

**Udupi Power Corporation Limited - List of Litigations**

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Forum / Court / Tribunal before which case is subjudice	Subject Matter of the Case
1.	WA 1396/2009	High Court of Karnataka	The appeal is to challenge the order of Single Judge of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka dismissing the WP 13006/2007. WP was dismissed upholding the acquisition in favour of Udupi Power Corporation Limited. The main grievance of the petitioner in the appeal is that the learned Single Judge failed to hold that the beyond 9 years from the notification i.e. 14.9.2008 onwards, no land should be acquired for dumping the ash.
2.	WCA/CR/32/10F/2010	Principal Sr. CJ & CJM, Mangalore, DK	Petitioners are claiming the compensation for death of their relative during the course of employment with UPCL.
3.	Arbitration Suits 40-43/2011	District Judge, Bangalore	Application made under Section 34 of the Arbitration And Conciliation Act 1996 Challenging the four Awards of Arbitration Tribunal In the matter of Arbitration between Simplex Infrastructure and UPCL.
4.	Arbitration Suits No. 45 to 48/2011	District Judge, Bangalore	Simplex filed objection petition under section 34 of the Arbitration Act challenging the Arbitral Tribunal award dated 5.3.2011.
5.	Arbitration Applications No. 384/2014 386/2014 388/2014 389/2014	District Judge, Bangalore	As Arbitration Suits before Court to restrain from transferring of shares of Udupi Power Corporation Limited by its promoter to Adani Power Limited.
6.	CC 1712/2011	2 <sup>nd</sup> ACJ (Judicial) JMFC, Udupi	Complaint under Section 200 of CrPC for the offence under section 92 of the Factories Act 1948 on the ground the Occupier and Manager have violated Section 7A(2)(c) of the Factories Act. 1948.
7.	CRL.P 5671/2011	High Court of Karnataka	To quash the Criminal Complaint filed before the II Addl Civil Judge (JR DN) and JMFC Udupi.
8.	Appeal 51/2012	National Green Tribunal, Chennai Bench.	Challenging comprehensive environment clearances granted by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Govt. of India to the 1200 MW Thermal Power Project of the UPCL..
9.	MWA/CR-2012	Committee under Labour department	For deposit of overtime wages at the double rate to security persons for working beyond 8 hours per day.
10.	Appeal No 50/2012	Karnataka State Appellate Authority	Challenging Combined consent order granted by the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board for Discharge of effluents and Emission under the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981 to the 1200 MW Thermal Power Project of the UPCL for the Year 2012-13.
11.	Appeal No 51/2012	Karnataka State Appellate Authority	Challenging Combined consent order granted by the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board for Discharge of effluents under the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1974 to the 1200 MW Thermal Power Project of the UPCL for the Year 2012-13.
12.	Civil Appeal No.2230/ 2013	Supreme Court	Challenging the Order of National Green Tribunal dated 11.1.2013 in Appeal No.56/2012 allowing the Appeal and upholding that Appeal by third-parties is permissible under Air & Water Act.
13.	Appeal No 1/2012	Karnataka State Appellate Authority	Challenging Combined consent order granted by the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board for Discharge of effluents under the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1981 to the 600 MW Thermal Power Project of the UPCL, for the Year 2011-12.
14.	Appeal No 5/2012	Karnataka State Appellate Authority	Challenging Combined consent order granted by the Karnataka State Pollution Control Board for Discharge of effluents under the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act 1974 to the 600 MW Thermal Power Project of the UPCL, for the Year 2011-12.
15.	EN 12 PPC 2013	First Appellate Authority Department of Energy, GoK	Appeal under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 for not providing the information and certain documents sought by the Complainant.
16.	Appeal No.18/2013	Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi	Challenging the CERC interim order granting provisional tariff for Unit 1 of UPCL project.
17.	MC No. 18/2013	District Court Udupi	Claiming enhanced compensation for loss of properties, Buildings, improvements etc for establishment of 400 KV transmission line between UPCL site to Shantigram, Hassn by KPTCL.
18.	A. No.26/2013	National Green Tribunal, Chennai Bench.	This application was a a Public Interest Litigation before High Court of Karatanaka filed by Janajagriti Samithi, Nandikur challenging the power project of UPCL at Udupi District. Petitioner has challenged the Environment Clearance & the extension of EC issued by MoEF, Site Clearance & Consent for Establishment issued by State Pollution Control Board, State

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Forum / Court / Tribunal before which case is subjudice	Subject Matter of the Case
			Government Orders with regard to Payment Security Mechanism, PPA and Land acquisition Notifications.
19.	A. No.27/2013	National Green Tribunal, Chennai Bench.	This application was a a Public Interest Litigation before High Court of Karatanaka filed by two Churches located near ash pond area in Santhur Village of Udupi District. In the petition, the challenge is to the Consent for Establishment issued for power project by Karnataka State Pollution Control Board and Land Acquisition Notification issued State Government for ash pond area of UPCL. So for as the Challenge to the Land Acquisition, the Hon'ble Court by means of order dated 14.03.2007 rejected the prayer relating the land acquisition on the ground that the individual grievance of the petitioners cannot be entertained in Public Interest Litigation.
20.	A. No.28/2013	National Green Tribunal, Chennai Bench.	This application was a a Public Interest Litigation before High Court of Karatanaka filed by nine Temples situated surrounding the main plant area of Udupi thermal power project at Yellur Village. The main grievance of the petitioners in the petition is that the power project of the UPLC will pollute the region and thereby people residing in and around the temples will move away and their rights to practice religion will be violated.
21.	HRC – 5306/11 SB	Karnataka State Human Rights Commission	The complainant has alleged that UPCL power plant at Udupi District is polluting the locality thereby violating their human Rights.
22.	HRC 3422/2012	Karnataka State Human Rights Commission	The Complaints stated that UPCL is not paying the compensation. KSHRC has registered complaint and issued notices Government of Karnataka, DC Udupi and UPCL for submission of reply/report.
23.	Petition No. 517/MP/2014 with IA NO. 63/2014	Central Electricity Regulatory Commission, New Delhi.	KSEB open access applications for 18.5 MW of power generated by UPCL denied by SLDC and PSOCL. UPCL filed petition to direct respondednt to grant open access.
24.	Appeal No. C/21705/2014-DB Stay Application - C/Stay/21895/2014	Customs Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal, Bangalore	To set aside Order in Original No. 11/2013-Commr dated 29.11.2013 passed by the Commissioner of Customs, Mangalore and allow the appeal in full with consequential relief to the Company.
25.	Appeal No. C/20589/2014-DB	Customs Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal, Bangalore	To set aside Order passed by the Commissioner of Customs, Mangalore and allow the appeal in full with consequential relief to the Company.
26.	Appeal No. C/25032/2013-SM	Customs Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal, Bangalore	To set aside Order passed by the Customs and Central Exercise (Appeals), Bangalore and allow the appeal in full with consequential relief to the Company.
27.	WP 2282/2014	High Court, New Delhi	Challenging CERC Tariff Regulation for the period 2014-19.
28.	Appeal No. 108/2014 & IA No. 199/2014	Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi	Challenging the CERC order granting final tariff for 1200 MW thermal power project of UPCL
29.	Appeal No. 122/2014 & IA. 219/ 2014	Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi	Challenging CERC granting final tariff order for 1200 MW thermal power project of UPCL.
30.	Appeal No.119/2014	Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi	Challenging the CERC order dated 20.02.2014 granting final tariff for 1200 MW thermal power project of UPCL.
31.	Petition No. SM/10/2014	Central Electricity Regulatory Authority, New Delhi	Beneficiaries of the PGCL station at Hassan have to bear point of connection charges for which necessary agreements has to be signed. CERC has registered suo-moto case to resolve issue
32.	Suo Moto Petition No. SM/014/2014	Central Electricity Regulatory Authority, New Delhi	Regarding compliance with the provision of Clause (7) of Regulation 30 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014.
33.	SUO MOTU/MSN/1/2010	Before the Hon'ble Secretary Revenue Department (Dispute) Government of Gujarat at Ahmedabad	Questioning the conversion of some land.
34.	Writ Petition No. 18321/2014	High Court, Bangalore, Karnataka	As per the Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 31.01.2014 passed in WP (Civil) No.79/2005 it has put forward the suggestions with regard to lack of proper health delivery system, evaluation of occupational health status, safety and protection of the workers of the employees of the Coal Fired

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Forum / Court / Tribunal before which case is subjudice	Subject Matter of the Case
			Thermal Power Plants (CFTPPs) in India and opined that it is appropriate to relegate the various High Courts to examine the issues contained in the respective states after calling for necessary reports from the CFTPPs. Hence Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka registered a Suo Moto Writ Petition in the larger interest of the workers working in CFTPPs.