

Minutes of 137th meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bay's No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula on 24th to 26th March, 2022 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Sameer Pal Srow, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.

All the items of 136th meeting are confirmed. The Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda were discussed and following decisions were taken:-

Dated: 24.03.2022

Item No.[1] EC for Expansion cum Modification of Group Housing Project at Village Palra, Sector 70A, Gurgaon by M/s Haamid Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent submitted the case along with EIA/EMP to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/61220/2021 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted vide letter dated 08.07.2021.

The case was considered in 224th, 227th, 228th, 232th & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 29.10.2021, 30.11.2021, 04.12.2021, 07.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

- The recommendation is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA”.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[2] EC for Proposed “Affordable Group Housing Colony” at Village Ullawas, Sector 62, Gurugram, Haryana of land measuring 5.7875 acres by M/s Gulmohar Finance Ltd. in Collaboration with Synergy shine Infra LLP

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/233253/2021 on dated 28.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was lastly taken up in 232nd & 234th meeting of SEAC held 06.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

- The recommendation of case for EC is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA”.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[3] EC for Proposed Affordable Group Housing Colony on land measuring 8.75 acres in the Revenue Estate of Village Tikampur, Sector-103, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Care Realtech Pvt Ltd.

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/225771/2021 dated 22.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(a) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 17.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 233rd meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

- The recommendation is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form 1, 1A”.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No. [4]EC for Expansion cum Modification of Group Housing Colony at Village Garauli Kalan, Sector 37-D, Gurugram, Haryana of land measuring 13.156 acres by M/s Ramprastha Promoters& Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The PP submitted the final EIA/EMP report for the project vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/68492/2021 on dated 02.11.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the Authority observed that the details provided by the PP regarding total water requirement in form 1A& conceptual plan and subsequent request to reduce the requirement doesn't go harmoniously with the recommendations made by the expert committee. In-fact contrary to the request to reduced water requirement, SEAC has recommended 54 KLD in addition to earlier granted Environmental Clearance and no justification has been provided in this regard. This seems to be a glaring gap between the requirement conveyed by PP and recommended by expert appraisal committee.

Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back this case to SEAC and the committee is advised to relook into this aspect and submit case accordingly.

Further PP should update in form 1A and should make compliance in this regard.

Item No.[5] EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse/Logistic/Industrial Storage Project at Revenue Estate of Village Sehsaula, Taluka Tauru, Mewat (Nuh), Haryana by M/s ERPL Warehouse Park Private Ltd.

The case was submitted on dated 22.11.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was lastly considered in 232nd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

- The recommendation is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA”

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[6] EC for Crystal City Logistic Park-1 (Warehouse/ Industrial Storage/ Logistic/ Assembling Park) Project located at 60 Milestone, Village Rathiwas, Bhudka, Manesar, Village Bhodakalan, Tehsil Pataudi, District Gurugram, Haryana, National Highway-48, Haryana by M/s Crystal City Developers Private Limited.

The proposed project is for EC for Crystal City Logistic Park -1 (Warehouse/ Industrial Storage/ Logistic/ Assembling Park) Project.

The case was lastly considered in 232nd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

- The recommendation is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[7] EC for Residential Plotted Colony Project (under DDJAY) located at Village Gadauli, Sector 37 D, Gurugram, Haryana by Signature Global Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/69732/2021 dated 08.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The ToR has been granted vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2021/972 dated 26.10.2021.

The case was considered in 229th, 233rd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2021, 18.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 respectively and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance with the standard and specific conditions as earlier recommended vide 229th MoM of SEAC.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the Authority agreed with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant "EC" subject to the condition that PP will comply with permission allowed by Municipal Corporation vide letter dated 14.03.2022 for laying services in the Revenue Rasta no.156 and 340.

Item No.[8] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Revenue Estate of Village Dhanwapur, Sector 104, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Czar Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/226216/2021 dated 08.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was lastly considered in 232nd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 229th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

The recommendation is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA”.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[9] EC for Project Expansion of Commercial Office Complex “Signature Tower III” at Sector 15 Part-II, Gurugram by M/s Unitech Limited.

The EIA/EMP was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana under violation vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/226633/2021 dated 05.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 228th & 231st meeting of SEAC held on 01.12.2021, 29.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC under Violation Category.

The recommendations of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to submit recommendations as per the notification of MoEF& CC dated 7th July, 2021 recalculating the penalty & damage assessment and clearly mentioning the methodology used in arriving at the final figure. The Remedial & Resource Augmentation plan should be apart from the Environment Management plan & the various statutory obligations of project & PP under various “Environment protection acts”. The Remedial & Resource Augmentation plan is to be sustainable, verifiable & quantifiable and the PP submitted the reply dated 07.02.2022.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 234th meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.03.2022.

The PP submitted the following reply raised in 134th MOM of SEIAA:-

S.No	Observation	Reply
1	Revised Damage Assessment Report with 1-% Additional penalty Cost, complying to SOP dated 07.07.2021.	The revised Damage Assessment report is attached as Annexure 1 .

2	LULC impact to be revised to Rating 5.	The land use and land cover impact has been revised and revised Damage Assessment report is attached as Annexure 1.
3	Self- Contained note	The self- contained note is attached as Annexure 2.
4	Details of green cover existing, proposed, along with photographs and Landscape Plan.	The details of green developed and proposed along with photographs and landscape plan is attached as Annexure 3.
5	Video of Site with Latitude and Longitude for current activity.	Video of Site with Latitude and Longitude is provided in CD. Attached as Annexure 4.

The discussion was held on the observations raised by SEIAA in its MOM on damage assessment report, SOP dated 07.07.2021, Green cover, LULC impact to be revised to Rating 5 and certain observations were raised.

1. The PP shall submit the revised Damage assessment report including the additional 1% penalty cost as per SOP dated 07.07.2021.
2. The PP shall submit the revised damage assessment report in view of the LULC impact, self- contained note details of Green cover and existing proposed green cover along with photographs.
3. The PP shall submit the video of the site with latitude and longitude of the site with current activity.
4. The PP shall submit the Environment Component vide assessment methodology.

The PP submitted reply of above said observations vide letter dated 10.03.2021.

The PP also submitted the self-contained note duly signed by PP and consultant as following:

- The project is the Expansion of the Commercial Office Complex “Signature Tower-III” located at Sector 15, Gurugram, Haryana developed by M/s Unitech Ltd.
- The project has already been granted Environmental Clearance vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2012/128 dated 11th July, 2012 for plot area 30958.45 m² (7.65 Acres) and built-up area 86802.00 m²
- The project proponent has already completed the structural construction which is more than built-up area of the project increased from 86802.0 m² to 1,05,500.0 m² as per prior Environment Clearance, hence the project falls under Violation Category as per Environment Protection Act 1986 under MoEF& CC

Notification No.S.O.804(E) dated 14.03.2017.

- The ToR has been granted vide: TOR Letter No. : SEIAA(1255)/HR/2020/490 dated 28.10.2020. under violation category.
- The development comprises 4 Towers with 4 (3B + LG) Basement + Ground floor + 12 floors on a plot area of 30958.45 m² with total built-up area 105,500 m². The activities in the development are only offices.
- Construction has been done i.e. 4 No. of towers are already constructed. The CTO has already been taken as per Earlier EC i.e for Built up area 86802.0 m². Only Tower A is operational.
- Cost of the project is Rs. 190 Crores.
- Area constructed without permission is 18698 Sqm. Hence, the cost of construction of this area is approx. 24 Crores. Hence Damage has been assessed on the violated area and cost.
- The EIA Report was submitted to SEIAA on 01.09.2021.
- **Green Development Details:** Green Area as per environmental clearance granted was 9356.05 m² (30.22%) out of which approximately 70 % of green area has been developed at site.
- **No of Trees required:** Net Plot area/80 = 30958.45/80 = 386.9 = 387 no. of trees.
- **Trees already planted** = 238 no.
- **Trees to be planted**= 149 no.
- As per earlier granted Environmental Clearance, Fresh water demand was 319 KLD and now it is reducing to 130 KLD because earlier fresh water usage was shown in HVAC cooling and that is being replaced by treated water in present expansion. Hence, fresh water demand is reduced.

The PP also submitted the 1% additional penalty cost as per SOP dated 07.07.2021.

After due deliberations, the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and unanimously decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for EC under violation along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 231st meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

The PP shall submit the 1 % penalty Cost (Rs. 24 cr.) in addition to already recommended Rs. 43.58 cr. for total damage cost recommended vide 231st MoM of SEAC.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and after having gone through the record and recommendations of Expert committee; it was observed that the penalty calculations made by Expert appraisal committee appears to be erroneous and incorrect with reference to provision provided in SOP dated 07.07.2021.

Accordingly, Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC and the committee is advised to re-look into this aspect and re-calculate amount strictly with reference to guidelines provided in SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[10] EC for the project “Affordable Plotted Housing Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojana (DDJAY)” over land area measuring 26.957 acres in Sector 93, Village Hayatpur, Sub Tehsil Hasaru, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s DLF Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/63304/2021 dated 07.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 229th, 233rd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2021, 17.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 respectively and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC with the standard and specific conditions as earlier recommended vide 233th MoM of SEAC along with additional stipulations as given below:-

Additional stipulations

- The recommendation is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated
- The PP shall submit the undertaking for installing the DG set of 4000KVA and reduce the power backup undertaking.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA”.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[11] EC for Proposed New Establish 5000 TCD Sugar Mill Crushing Capacity at Village Dahar, Tehsil Israna, District Panipat, Haryana by M/s Panipat Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited.

The project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/IND2/63957/2019 dated 22.07.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 5(j) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted vide letter dated 03.10.2019

The case was lastly considered in 234th meeting of SEAC held on 10.03.2022 and after detailed deliberations on ETP, Methodology for Bio-methanation, Water quality, existing trees, Green plan, Drainage plan, Haul roads, crushing capacity, it was decided to recommend to **SEIAA for approval of TOR in violation** of the provision of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 that the project proponent will prepare the EIA by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference along with **Public Consultation.**

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” under violation category in accordance with SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI and directed the project proponent to prepare the EIA/EMP report by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference along with Public Consultation as recommended by SEAC.

Further authority decided to delist this application of EC and advised PP to proceed as per due procedure applicable.

Item No.[12] TOR for manufacturing of Formaldehyde, Resin/Glue at Plot No. 238, Phase-II, Sector-30A, Industrial Estate, Manakpur, Tehsil & District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Mak Leon Organics Private Limited.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/IND3/70128/2021 on dated 02.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining terms of reference under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 234th meeting of SEAC held on 10.03.2022 .The discussion was held on boilers, stack height, SWH, hazardous waste, STP, ETP, Water balance and certain observations were raised which were replied by PP vide letter dated

10.03.2021. After deliberations, it was decided by the committee to recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of TOR and the project proponent will prepare the EIA by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA/EMP Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with alongwith additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[13] ToR for Proposed Expansion of “Mapsko Garden Estate” Plotted Township Project at Sector 26 & 27 Village Ahamadpur, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Mapsko Builders Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 08.11.2019. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of ToR under category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 234th meeting of SEAC held on 10.03.2022 and the committee to recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of TOR and the project proponent will prepare the EIA by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with following additional Terms of Reference

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with alongwith additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[14] EC of Revision and Expansion of Logistic Park Project at Village Aurangabad, Mitnol & Deeghot, Tehsil Hodal, District Palwal, Haryana by M/s Topline Logistics Park Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no.SIA/HR/MIS/240332/2021 on dated 28.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance for expansion under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was lastly considered in 232nd & 234th meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 & 09.03.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance with the following specific and general stipulations:

Additional Stipulations:-

- The recommendation of case for EC is subject to the submission of updated form I, IA on the Parivesh Portal and accordingly ADS will be generated.

SEAC has issued following corrigendum received through email on 16.03.2022.

“The Agenda item no. 234.01, 234.02, 234.03, 234.06, 234.07, 234.09, 234.13, 234.19 in the minutes to be read as deferred (for additional information) instead of recommended to SEIAA subject to submission of updated form I, IA”.

The recommendation of SEAC and corrigendum was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the after due deliberations; it has been decided to refer back this case to SEAC in view of corrigendum issued.

Item No.[15] EC for the Residential cum Commercial Complex located in Sector-79, District- Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

The Authority in its 129th meeting held on 14.10.2021 decided to refer back all these cases to SEAC to take all such cases where there is increase in “Pollution Load” under the “Expansion” category and should be thoroughly studied to ascertain whether the project can be allowed for further expansion or not; considering the incremental pollution load, vehicular activities, increase in power demand or the available public utilities.

The case was taken up taken up in 228th minutes of meeting held on 03.12.2021. The PP submitted the case before the committee.

The PP has submitted the copy of DD for Rs. 2.0 lakh in favour of MS, SEIAA along with affidavit.

The discussion was held on reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA and PP submitted the reply of observations of 129th meeting of SEIAA as following:-

S. No.	Queries	Reply
1.	Whether the case has been applied during the stipulated time period for applying the cases under the “Violation category” as per Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.	Yes, the EC application was submitted to SEIAA, Haryana dated 13.04.2018 under violation category notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.
2.	The proof of credible action taken under the EPA, 1986.	The screen shots showing the submission details are enclosed as Annexure-I(Placed on record) The proof of Credible action is attached as Annexure-II (Placed on record)

Recommendation of SEAC:

The case was taken up in 234th meeting of SEAC held on 10.03.2022. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised by SEIAA in its 132nd MOM .After due deliberation the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and decided to forward the case to SEIAA as committee has already submitted with full facts vide SEAC MOM of 210th meeting with **Additional Stipulations:-**

- Damage assessment report including 1% additional penalty cost as per SOP dated 07.07.2021.

Observation:-

1. The case was referred back to SEAC for recommendation of the case in view of SOP dated 07.07.2021. SEAC has recommended this case to SEIAA along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.
2. SEAC has raised the observation that “PP shall submit an affidavit w.r.t “the penalty amount and its submission as per the SOP of MoEF & CC for violation.” and the PP has submitted “as per SOP of MoEF & CC for violation the penalty amount is INR 51.4 Lakhs and we will submit the same to the concerned department”.
3. SEAC has imposed Additional Condition i.e. Damage assessment report including 1% additional penalty cost as per SOP dated 07.07.2021

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022 and the Authority having gone through the recommendations of SEAC gathered that penalty amount calculated and recommended doesn't seem to be as per methodology provided in the SOP.

Accordingly, Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with an advice to relook into this aspect and recalculate amount strictly with reference to guidelines provided in SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[16] Violation ToR for project Expansion of Corporate Office Complex located at Plot No.13, Sector-32, Urban Estate, Gurugram-II, Haryana by M/s Padmini Technologies Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 08.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to find out that:

- a) Whether the case has been applied during the stipulated time period for applying the cases under the “Violation category” as per the notification 14/03/2017 & subsequent notification 08/03/2018 or not?
- b) The proof of credible action taken under the EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

1. PP submitted the proposal to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/154294/2020 dated 20.08.2020.
2. PP has submitted a request letter dated 02.02.2018 submitted on 05.02.2018 to SEIAA Haryana asking the clarity of applicability/ requirement of Environmental Clearance on our project.
3. The State Environment Impact assessment Authority, Haryana has written to the Chairman, HSPCB vide a letter no. SEIAA/HR//478 dated 12.05.2021 for initiating credible action against M/s Padmini Technologies Ltd, 101-,ist floor, kundani Niwas, Hari Nagar Ashram, New Delhi-110014 under section 15 of the Environment (protection) Act, 1986 for commencing construction of “Corporate office complex” located at plot no.13, Sector 32, Urban Estate Gurugram-II Haryana without obtaining Environment clearance under EIA notification 14.09.2006.

The request letter dated 02.02.18 submitted on 05.02.18 by PP was also placed before the Committee.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[17] EC for w.r.t Expansion of Ware House at Village Behrampur, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s P. D. Enterprises.

The project proponent has submitted the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.06.2018 with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E) dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No. S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

The case was taken up in 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 and Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to verify & clearly state whether the case has been submitted during the “Time Window” for “Violation Cases” and the credible action taken report.

Authority further asked SEAC to look into the following too;

- i) Damage assessment to be done as per the guidelines of NGT /guidelines of CPCB issued in this regard.
- ii) Budget for Remediation & Augmentation Plan to be calculated as per the norms of NGT/CPCB (Guidelines issued).
- iii) Green area shown is 819.219 sq. mt. out of the Plot area 14,973.36 Sq.mt, approx. 5.47% which is very less considering the norms & type of Project.This aspect to be considered while assessing the “Environmental Damage”.
- iv) If unit is in operation, submitted data should be verified.
- v) Public consultation required.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 & SEAC again sent to case SEIAA for grant of TOR along with public hearing under violation category.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

25.03.2022

Item No.[18] EC for Expansion of Warehouse (For Storage of Commercial Goods) planned at Village Binola, & BhoraKalan, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Skymettle Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.

The project was earlier submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 27.07.2018 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The present case is for approval of “ToR under Violation category”.

The case was taken up in 219th SEAC meeting & the committee forwarded the following recommendations to SEIAA for approval.

- a) For clubbing the 2 files submitted vide letter dated 27.07.2018 and 30.07.2021 respectively.
- b) For Grant of Terms of Reference subject to outcome of Hon’ble Madras High Court Case along with public consultation and additional terms of reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 13.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, decided to refer the case back to SEAC with the following directives:

- Damage assessment & the budget for remediation plan to be carried out as per Hon’ble NGT/CPCB guidelines issued in this regard
- The timeline must be factored in since when the Damage to environment has been done with the quantum to damage.
- Remediation & Resource Augmentation plan to be verifiable, sustainable and should additional to what PP is supposed to do under the conditions of CTO/EC.
- Budget for Remediation & Resource augmentation plan to be checked & verified by, after taking all the details needed from the record/PP/Consultant.
- To check whether the case has been applied during the “Time Window” provided for such cases.
- Credible action report as per EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[19] EC for Proposed Integrated Residential Colony Plotted and Group Housing Sushant City Royale at Sector 35/36 Karnal Haryana by M/s Ansal Landmark (Karnal).

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/56727/2017. The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 10.10.2016.

The case taken up 129th meeting of SEIAA dated 13.10.2021 decided to refer back it to SEAC with the following directives:

- Damage assessment & the budget for remediation plan to be carried out as per Hon'ble NGT/CPCB guidelines issued in this regard
- The timeline must be factored in since when the Damage to environment has been done with the quantum to damage.
- Remediation & Resource Augmentation plan to be verifiable, sustainable and should additional to what PP is supposed to do under the conditions of CTO/EC.
- Budget for Remediation & Resource augmentation plan to be checked & verified thoroughly, after taking all the details needed from the record/PP/Consultant.
- To check whether the case has been applied during the "Time Window" provided for such cases
- Credible action report as per EPA,1986

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and the Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back this case to SEAC for appraisal in the light of SoP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[20] EC for Warehouse Project at Village Binola, Manesar, Gurgaon Haryana by India Land and Space Logistics Pvt. Ltd.

The project pertains to the development of “Ware-house” in Manesar, Gurugram. The project has been started & completed without seeking the prior “Environmental Clearance” as per EIA, 2006.

The case has been submitted to SEIAA with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

The case was considered in 129th SEIAA meeting held on 09/10/2021, Authority observed the following:

- As per the submission of PP in front of SEAC “the construction work started without obtaining the Environment Clearance due to unawareness of EIA Notification, 2006, after its building plans were approved by DTCP Haryana in 2012, therefore “Environmental damage” started with the start of construction. Volume & Quantum of construction/damage to environment should be assessed as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT.
- Recalculate the “Budget for Remedial & Augmentation Plan” considering time line/volume of construction/Location of project etc. as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT. PP & consultant to submit the various input factors needed for calculations under the oath of its authenticity.
- Submitted is 60 mt. wide “Green Belt” as part of total “Green area”, is this “Peripheral or inside”, if peripheral; is it part of total land mass meant for project?

- Plot area mentioned 50,408.23 sqm, net plot area 32412.52 sqm & total no. of “RWH Pits” 4 pits (Diameter: 3.5 m and Depth: 4m), mentioned in MoM. Even considering the net plot area the no. & volume of RWH pits seems to be lesser.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the direction to look into the proof of credible action taken as per EPA, 1986 and whether the proponent applied during the window period as per Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 and SEAC decided again to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance along with 9 no. of RWH pits instead of 4 RWH Pits whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 216th meeting held on 30.06.2021 with additional stipulation.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and the Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back case to SEAC for appraisal in the light of SoP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[21] EC of project Institutional Office Building located at Plot No. 6, Sector 32, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Focus Energy Ltd.

The case pertains to grant of “EC” under “Violation Category”. The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 23.04.2018 received in the SEAC on 27.04.2018.

The case was taken up in 129th SEIAA meeting held on 08/10/2021, Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back the case with the following observations:

The amount for Remediation & Augmentation plan to be calculated as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT issued in this regard. The input data required to be submitted by consultant & PP.

- Remediation & Augmentation plans to be sustainable, verifiable & in addition to what is as such mandatory in compliance of “environmental Laws & Conditions”.

- Proof of credible action taken by State/HSPCB under the provision of section-19, of EPA, 1986 to MoEF & CC prior to grant of “EC”.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

1.	The amount for Remediation & Augmentation plan to be calculated as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon'ble NGT issued in this regard. The input data required to be submitted by consultant and PP.	<p>CPCB/Hon'ble NGT guideline is regarding Environmental Compensation may be levied under Section 25 -Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and under Section 21 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 This is under polluter pay principle which means it is applicable to the projects which are already under operation and when it is proven that physically damage to environment done like disposal of untreated water, emissions in air with pollution control devices and solid waste disposal in open.</p> <p>CPCB/Hon'ble NGT has issued an report for assessing environment compensation and action plan to utilize funds (and not regarding Remediation & Augmentation Plan) whereby it consists of 4 chapters namely;</p> <p>1. Chapter I: Environment compensation to be levied on industrial units- The said project is a commercial complex and hence it is not applicable.</p> <p>2. Chapter II: Environmental Compensation to be levied on all violation of Graded Response Action Plan (GRAP) in Delhi- NCR- Not applicable</p> <p>3. Chapter III- Environmental Compensation to be levied in case of failure of preventing the pollutants being discharged in water bodies and failure to implement waste management rules- wastewater from the project will be treated in the in-house STP and solid waste- biodegradable waste will be treated in the in-house Organic Waste Converter and non-biodegradable will be given to approved recycler. Hence no failure in waste management will be there.</p>
----	--	---

		<p>Chapter IV- Environmental Compensation in case of illegal extraction of Groundwater- No extraction of Groundwater is envisaged in the project. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change vide Notification number S.O.804(E), dated the 14th March, 2017 has notified the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006]</p> <p>As per Notification The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be Prepared in all aspects</p> <p>CPCB guideline as placed in record.</p>
--	--	---

SEAC has again sent the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 215th meeting held on dated 18.06.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st Meeting of SEIAA and decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and the Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back case to SEAC for appraisal in the light of SoP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[22] EC for Expansion of Residential Project “Lavanya Apartment” located at Sector-81, Village Nawada Fatehpur, Gurgaon by M/s Graphic Research Consultants (India) Private Limited.

The case was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021 and the Authority observed that the PP has submitted proof of “Bank Guarantee” for a sum of Rs. 38.70 Lakhs; further the Authority directed the PP to explain & submit the following

documents:

- a) Latest Status Report of the Project duly verified by the RO, MoEF& CC/ RO, HSPCB;
 - b) Confirmation of the land use as per the 'Master-Plan' of the area from DTCP.
- Accordingly, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed that the Project Proponent has not submitted the reply of the above raised observations.

The Authority considered the case in 129th SEIAA meeting dated 14.10.2021 and Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for further verification of documents submitted by Project proponent.

The case was again taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021 and the committee discussed the reply of PP.

1. Along with copy of latest status report of RO Gurugram indicating that case has been filed against the unit in Faridabad Environment Court
2. Copy of license granted by DTCP and final development plan of Gurugram-Manesar Urban Complex 2031AD for confirmation of the land use.

The Committee has again sent the case to SEIAA for EC under Violation Category as recommended vide minutes of 199th meeting of SEAC held on 22.06.2020.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and the Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back case to SEAC for appraisal in the light of SoP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[23] Extension of ToR Validity for one year of project Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony” at Sector 81, 82, 82A, 83, 84 & 85 at Village Sihi, Sikhopur, Badha, Sikandarpur Badha & Kherki Daula, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Vatika Limited.

The file pertains to validity of ToR in view of Notification dated 18.01.2021 issued by MOEF & CC, GOI and the TOR was issued by SEIAA vide letter dated 28.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021 & was seen in light of “MoEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 i.e.in view of outbreak of COVID-19, 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021 period shall not be considered for the purpose of calculations of period of validity of TOR granted” and MoEF notification dated 12.01.2017 as given below:-

“This period of validity could be extended by the Regulatory Authority concerned by a maximum period of one year provided an application is made by the application to the Regulatory Authority before the expiry of validity period, together with an updated Form-I, based on proper justification and also recommendation of the EAC/SEAC”.

The committee discussed that the PP has not submitted the updated form-I in reference of notification dated 12.01.2017 and after deliberation in view of the above SEAC decided to recommend to SEIAA for extension in validity of TOR for further 1 year in reference to 18.01.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th Meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and following facts on record were considered:

- 1. The PP had applied for EC vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/17683/2014 through PARIVESH Portal.***
- 2. Thereafter, the project had been granted TOR under Violation Category on 28.08.2018.***
- 3. The PP had submitted request vide letter dated 16.08.2021 for extension in period of TOR as per MOEF & CC, GOI Notification dated 18.01.2021***

After detailed examination, the Authority decided to accede the request of PP in view of Notification dated 18.01.2021 issued by MOEF & CC, GOI and Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/17683/2014 is hereby de-listed.

Item No.[24] EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Residential Colony Project “Vipul Gardens” located in Sector-1, village Dharuhera (NH-8), District-Rewari, Haryana by M/s Mudra Finance Ltd

Earlier the committee recommended budget for “Remediation & Augmentation Plan” of Rs.60,00,000 /-(Rupees Sixty Lakhs Only).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- The PP should recalculate the budget as per the guidelines of CPCB.
- Remediation & Augmentation plan should be sustainable, verifiable and apart from what PP is supposed to do under its “Environment management plan”, should be community based.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

The case was again taken up in 129th SEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021, Authority deliberated on the observations raised in 128th SEIAA meeting and decided to refer back the case to SEAC to take cognizance of raised observations & SEAC and to find out that:

- a) Whether the case has been applied during the stipulated time period for applying the cases under the “Violation category” as per Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.
- b) The proof of credible action taken under the EPA, 1986.

Then, the case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

1. The TOR has been issued by SEIAA vide TOR letter dated 07.08.2018 under violation category
2. The PP submitted the copy of letter issued by HSPCB dated 25.08.2020 stating that credible action has been initiated by HSPCB in Environment Court Faridabad.

SEAC has discussed & noted that the application received in SEIAA on 17.04.2018, ToR issued dated 07.08.2018 applied under violation category, prosecution filed in Environment Court Faridabad and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for EC under Violation Category as recommended vide minutes of 210th meeting of SEAC held on 18.02.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and the Authority after due deliberations decided to refer back case to SEAC for appraisal in the light of SoP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Item No.[25] EC for Expansion of Commercial Project “AIPL Joy Street” at Sector-66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Landmark Apartments Pvt. Ltd.

The case has been considered during the 129thSEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021 and Authority observed that the case pertains to “Violation”, Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to check the validity of application to apply during the stipulated “Time Window” as per the Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018 and the proof of credible action under section-15 of EP Act, 1986.

Then the case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

- Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
- Further the proposal was submitted on 17.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
- There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF & CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF & CC as per record for ready reference.
- Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 14.10.2021 states “Committee recommended to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference under violation along with public consultant.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020, as per record. As per EIA Notification, 2006 our project falls under item 8(a) for which public consultation is exempted.

SEAC deliberated on the reply of PP, credible action, window period of violation cases, the receipt of violation application on 17.05.2021, no credible action initiated against

the unit and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for approval of ToR under Violation Category.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[26] EC of Proposed Development of Sector 37 at Karnal, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC Karnal.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 13.10.2021; after due deliberations; the Authority decided that:

- a) Regional Officer, HSPCB of concerned region to visit the site and submit the report with pictures & other evidences.
- b) Complaint no. 624 dated 16/09/2021 received by the office of SEIAA to be sent to MD.HSIIDC for comments.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 3rd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF

& CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[27] ToR for Existing Project for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 90 M.T per day at Plot No. 54, HSIIDC, Manakpur Chhachhrauli Road, Jagadhri District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana -135003 by M/s Synochem Organics Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA on 13/07/2021. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent, unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualifies and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF & CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF & CC.

4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF & CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF & CC, GOI.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve Terms of Reference “TOR” under violation category in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects and directed the project proponent to prepare the EIA/EMP report by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[28] ToR for Capacity Expansion of Formaldehyde Manufacturing Unit in existing facility from 100 TPD to 120 TPD at Plot No. M-28 & E-57, Industrial area Yamunanagar, Haryana by M/s Globe Panel Industries India Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA dated 13/07/21. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent, unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualify and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF & CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF & CC.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF& CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF& CC, GOI.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve Terms of Reference “TOR” under violation category in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects and directed the project proponent to prepare the EIA/EMP report by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[29] ToR for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 80 TPD at Plot No. 83, Sec-1, Phase-I, Growth Centre Saha (Approved Industrial Area), Ambala, Haryana by M/s Gayatri Industries.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA dated 13/07/21. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualify and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.

3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF & CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF&CC.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF& CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF& CC, GOI .

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve Terms of Reference “TOR” under violation category in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects and directed the project proponent to prepare the EIA/EMP report by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[30] ToR for Capacity Expansion of Formaldehyde Manufacturing Unit in Existing Facility from 80 TPD to 250 TPD at Plot No. 211, HSIIDC Industrial Estate, Manakpur, Jagadhri, Distt. Yamuna Nagar (H.R) by M/s Sanwaria Polymers Industries Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA dated 13/07/21. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent, unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualifies and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF & CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF & CC.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF & CC, GoI in regard to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF& CC, GOI.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve Terms of Reference “TOR” under violation category in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects and directed the project proponent to prepare the EIA/EMP report by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[31] EC for construction of “Five Star Hotel” at Village Prithla, District Palwal, Haryana by M/s SRS Retreat Services Pvt. Ltd.

The SEAC in its 143rd meeting held on 27.10.2016 unanimously decided that the case be sent to SEIAA for de-listing as the project proponent fails to comply the observations within a period of six months. It is further recommended that SEIAA may inform the concerned Authorities for taking appropriate action as per law.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 98th meeting of SEIAA held on 05.12.2016 in the light of guide lines of MoEF issued vide OM No. J-11 013/5/2009-IAII (Part) dated 30-10-2012. It was noticed that the project proponent vide letter dated 22.07.2014 submitted application for Environmental Clearance for the proposed “Five Star Hotel” at Village Prithla, District Palwal, Haryana. It was decided to defer this case with the decision that Shri Hitender Singh and Shri Raj Kumar shall visit the site for inspection. The term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018 but site visit report was not received till 20.80.2018.

Sh. R.K. Sapra, Member, SEAC inspected the project site on dated 20.04.2019 and submitted report on dated 30.04.2019 and conclude that “Directors of Company accept that the construction of five star hotel has been done. It led to violation of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

Hence, it is clearly evident from the above facts that this is a case of EC violation, hence PP needs to be prosecuted.”

The project was again considered in 117th meeting of SEIAA 18.04.2019 and it was decided that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level. Thereafter, a show-cause notice was served to the project proponent but he has failed to submit his reply.

The case was considered in 129th meeting of SEIAA dated 09.10.2021; after due deliberations, the Authority decided to issue Final Show-Cause Notice to Project Proponent

for violating the norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 stating to reply within 15 days of the receipt of the said Notice. Thereafter, a reminder letter has been issued by letter dated 06.01.2022. \

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[32] Environmental Clearance for Construction of Indian Institute of Corporate Affairs, Manesar, Haryana by Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India.

The case was appraised in the 75th Meeting of SEAC held on 11.02.2013 and file sent to SEIAA on 22.02.2013 for grant of Environment Clearance. The case was taken up by SEIAA in its 54th meeting held on 28.06.2013, wherein it was decided that the Principal Secretary, Environment may be requested to provide the evidence of credible action taken against the Project Proponent so that further needful action may be taken by the Authority in this case.

The case could not be taken up in the SEAC as the term of SEIAA/SEAC was elapsed on 21.03.2015. Therefore, the case was transferred to Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India in the month of March, 2015. This case could not taken by the MoEF and was again transferred to SEIAA on 18.05.2018 in view of the Notification dated 08.03.2018.

The Project Proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Member and not applied for Environment Clearance with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. The MoEF& CC has prescribed the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environment Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of Environmental Clearance or changed the product mix

without obtaining prior environment clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 (S.O.1533 (E) dated the 14th September, 2006.

As per Notification dated 14.03.2017, the Projects or activities which are in violation as on date of this notification only will be eligible to apply for environment clearance under this notification and the project proponent can apply for environment clearance under this notification only within six months from the date of this notification.

During Discussion, it was revealed that SEIAA has already initiated legal proceedings against the project proponent.

In view of above, the Committee is of the unanimous view that case be referred to SEIAA for taking further necessary action in view of Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

The case was again taken up in the 117th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.04.2019 and the authority decided that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level.

Now, the matter is again taken up in 129th meeting dated 11.10.2021 of SEIAA and the Authority deliberated and decided to get the Action taken report & further details from HSPCB.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[33] EC for the construction of Ware House Project located at Village Khantawas, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by Sh. Narinder Rana & others.

The case was again taken up in the 117th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.04.2019 and the authority decided that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level.

During 129th SEIAA Meeting held on 11.10.2021, it was revealed that SEIAA has already initiated legal proceedings against the Project Proponent as per the findings of the SEAC in its 173rd Meeting held on 27.07.2018.

The Authority deliberated and decided to get the Action taken report & further details from HSPCB.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[34] EC for the Adesh Haryana University located at Village Mohri, Distt. Kurukshetra, Haryana by M/s Adesh Welfare Society

The recommendations of SEAC were taken up for consideration in the 117th Meeting of SEIAA held on 18.04.2019. The Project Proponent has already started construction before the grant of Environment Clearance which is a clear violation of the EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was again taken up in the 117th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.04.2019 and the authority decided that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level.

Now, the matter is again taken up in 129th meeting dated 11.10.2021 of SEIAA and the Authority observed that a Show-Cause Notice dated 18.04.2019 has been sent to the Project Proponent and reply has not been received so far. Therefore, Authority decided to ask RO, HSPCB of the concerned region to visit the site to get the facts. Regional Officer, HSPCB, Kurukshetra has submitted the report vide letter no. HSPCB/KKR/2021/275 dated 26.11.2021 and violation was reported.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

26.03.2022

Item No.[35] Extension of EC validity for Mining of Boulder, Gravel and Sand Minor Mineral at Mandewala Block/ YNR B-38” village Mandewala, Tehsil Chhachhrauli, District Yamunanagar, Haryana over an area 15.00 Ha with total production capacity of 2,40,000 TPA by M/s Saharanpur Mines Management Services Pvt. Ltd.

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/217849/2021 on 16.08.2021 for obtaining Extension in EC under category 1(a) EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 222nd meeting of SEAC held on 12.10.2021 and it was intimated in the meeting that a complaint regarding the project has been received in the office with the copy marked to the ACS Environment & Climate Change Department and copy endorsed to Chairman HSPCB, Chairman SEIAA, Member Secretary SEIAA, and Chairman SEAC. The complaint was discussed in the Committee wherein issues raised that mining is not being carried out as per approved mining plan and hydal channel tazewal is approximately 150 meters and regarding replenishment study.

After discussion on the complaint, it was decided that the case along with complaint dated 04.10.2021 be sent back to SEIAA to seek the comments from DG Mining, Irrigation and concerned departments.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 130th Meeting of SEIAA held on 15.11.2021 and the Authority decided to seek the comments from DG Mining, Irrigation, Forest and concerned Departments.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 after due deliberations, Authority decided to issue reminder letters to the concerned departments and further, Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for recommendations based on the comments received from concerned departments.

Item No.[36] Environmental Clearance for Revision & Expansion of the Group Housing Project located at Sector-68, Badshahpur, Gurgaon by M/s Soni Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 09.07.2018. The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA. Thereafter, the case was taken up for appraisal in the 174th meeting of the SEAC held on 08.08.2018. The case was again taken up for appraisal in the 184th, 187th & 188th meeting of SEAC held on 15.07.2019, 30.08.2019 & 16.09.2019 and recommended the case to SEIAA for the grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC were considered by SEIAA in its 121st/ 122nd meeting held on 25.11.2019 & 22.01.2020 and found that the Project Proponent failed to circulate & submit the required documents each and every time; therefore, the case was deferred.

The case was again taken up in the 127th meeting held on 17.03.2021 and the Authority observed that despite repeated opportunities; the PP has not submitted the authenticated documents; hence, it seems that the Project Proponent is not interested to get Environment Clearance.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to de-list this case; in the meanwhile the Authority further decided to get a factual report of the Project Site from concerned Deputy Commissioner-cum-Chairperson of District Level Monitoring Committee.

The matter was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 13.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to send a request to concerned Deputy Commissioner-cum-Chairperson of District Level Monitoring Committee to send the report at the earliest but report is awaited.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 and the Authority decided to request Member Secretary, HSPCB to nominate concerned RO, HSPCB (RO will assist sub-committee members) along with Dr. Sandeep Gupta, Member SEAC to carry out the spot inspection to get the current status of project & submit report at the earliest.

Item No.[37] Environment Clearance for proposed 03 MLD Common Effluent Treatment Plan (CETP) HSIIDC, Industrial Estate, Village Kutana, Tehsil Rohtak, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC, Site Office, I.M.T. Rohtak, (Near Village Kheri Shad), Haryana.

The case was considered in 157th meeting held on 30.08.2017 for Approval of terms of Reference. The TOR has been granted to the project on 08.11.2017. Thereafter, the PP submitted the EIA/EMP report on 14.12.2020.

The EIA/EMP report was considered in 209th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.01.2021 and observed that CETP has already been constructed without taking prior EC and recommended to SEIAA for taking Action under Section 15 of EP, Act, 1986.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered by SEIAA in its 127th meeting held on 17.03.2021 and it was decided to issue show-cause notice to the PP.

Reply of Show-cause Notice was not received.

The matter was again taken up in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and it was decided to defer this case till next meeting in order to have deeper look at the facts of the case.

The matter was placed before the 130th Meeting of SEIAA, Haryana held on 16.11.2021 and the Authority decided to ask RO, HSPCB, Bahadurgarh to conduct a spot inspection and send the visit report at the earliest possible. Authority further asked the PP to make the presentation before the Authority to understand the case.

In view of Opinion received from Ld. LR, Haryana file may be referred back to SEAC for recommendation as per SOP dated 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[38] ToR for Proposed Project of Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 160 M.T per day at Plot no. 299, Sector 30A, Phase II, Industrial Estate Manakpur, Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Salasar Industries.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND3/61783/2021 on dated 15.03.2021 for approval of TOR under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR granted on 15.03.2021.

The case was taken up in 214th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 28.05.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 27.05.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 216th meeting of SEAC held on 29.06.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 29.06.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 219th meeting of SEAC held on 12.08.2021 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 12.08.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 222nd meeting of SEAC held on 11.10.2021 but PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC. Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 227th meeting held on 30.11.2021.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 227th meeting held on 30.11.2021 and PP submitted written request for deferment of the case.

The committee deliberated the project in view of MoEF& CC guidelines J-11 013/5/2009-IA-II (part) dated 30.10.2012 and 18.11.2020. The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF& CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 23.04.2021 and in spite of taking up in meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance of the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

Case was taken up in 132nd Meeting and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB, Yamuna agar to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary, HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Item No.[39] EC for “Proposed Affordable Goup Housing Colony” at Village Badha and Sikanderpur Badha, Sector 85, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Conmin Projects India Private Limited.

The project Proponent applied for seeking Environment vide proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/219795/2021 dated 12.01.2021 Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. During the appraisal of the case, the project proponent submitted a written request for withdrawal of the case in view of the change in planning, accordingly they had submitted application to DTCP for migration of License no. 26 of 2021 from Affordable group housing to Affordable Plotted colony under DDJY scheme.

SEAC recommended this case to SEIAA for withdrawal the case in view of their application for migration of license.

Case was taken up in 132nd Meeting and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB of the concerned area to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary, HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Item No.[40] EC for Revision/Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony “Sushant City” at Village Rasoi, District Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd.

The case was lastly taken up in 132nd meeting of SEIAA and decided to issue SCN regarding non-existence of valid EC in the light of visiting team of SEIAA. Authority further decided to send this case to State Government, SPCB to initiate credible action under provision of 19 of EPA, 1986.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF

& CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[41] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Project “Preston” at Village Shahpur Turk, Sector- 9 & 18, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

The case was lastly taken up in 132nd meeting of SEIAA and decided to issue Final Notice for de-listing the project.

Accordingly, a Show-cause Notice was issued to the Project proponent vide letter dated 11.01.2022 and PP submitted his reply stating that they has de-licensed the land area and the Group Housing shall be developed in 10.83 Acres and they will seek fresh EC with the revised licenses and approvals.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to delist this case.

Item No.[42] EC for the project “Auria” Group Housing Colony measuring land area of 11.925 Acres at Sector 88, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s RPS Infrastructure Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority observed that Scrutiny fee has not been submitted by the PP and SEAC has also not take cognizance on the same.

Further, the Authority observed the following:

- Compliance report dated 07/10/2021 has shown non-compliance of certain specific as well as general conditions, annexure-IX & PP has submitted the “Action Taken report” to be attached in the same annexure.
- Annexure-XVII, Reply to SEAC, Water balance :
- Out of 356 KLD of Domestic water 206 KLD (57.87% only) of Waste water generation is shown.
- 95/114/124 KLD of excess treated water for near-by areas for Horticulture or sprinkling purposes

- 5KLD for filter back-wash, 2 KLD shown to be recovered where would remain 3 KLD go?
- 5 KLD miscellaneous, what about the rest of 3 KLD?
- 19 KLD for cooling, for what purposes (HVAC or DG cooling), not mentioned? The residual water would be having high concentration of salts. PP should achieve “ZLD” by installing the required equipment like RO/SO, MEE etc.
- Capacity of STP to be increased proportionately as the quantity of waste water to be recalculated.
- Page no. A-10, pt. 3.1, PP has submitted that “High sulphur Diesel” (HSD) will be stored, under 7.3 page no. A-17, mentioned is “The only source of emission from combustion of fuel (High Sulphur Diesel) will be from DG sets”.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC to examine the observations of SEIAA and submit recommendations accordingly.

The PP submitted the reply dated 10.03.2022.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 234th meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.03.2022. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised by SEIAA in its 135th MOM as following:-

Sr. No.	Observation	Reply
1.	Authority observed that Scrutiny fee has not been submitted by the PP and SEAC has also not take cognizance on the same.	Scrutiny fee has been submitted by the PP in the name of Member Secretary vide DD no. 337795 dated 27.12.2021 Receiving of Scrutiny fee submission is attached as Annexure-I .
2.	Compliance report dated 07/10/2021 has shown non-compliance of certain specific as well as general conditions, annexure-IX & PP has submitted the “Action Taken report” to be attached in the same annexure.	The Earlier EC was granted by SEIAA Haryana vide letter: no. DEH/09/SEIAA-/287 dated on 04.05.2009. Earlier EC issued has Specific as well as General conditions both, which are given as under in EC letter: Part A: Specific conditions which include construction phase as well as operation phase both. Part -B - General Condition Compliance report issued by RO Chandigarh has non compliance of both General as well as specific conditions. We have submitted the non compliance of both the conditions (Specific and General). Non compliance letter issued by Regional office and the reply submitted for the same is enclosed as Annexure-II

3.	Out of 356 KLD of Domestic water 206 KLD (57.87% only) of Wastewater generation is shown.	Revised water management & water balance is attached as Annexure-III
4.	95/114/124 KLD of excess treated water for near-by areas for Horticulture or sprinkling purposes.	Revised water management & water balance is attached as Annexure-III
5.	5 KLD for filter back-wash, 2 KLD shown to be recovered where would remain 3 KLD go?	Revised water management & water balance is attached as Annexure-III
6.	5 KLD miscellaneous, what about the rest of 3 KLD?	Revised water management & water balance is attached as Annexure-III
7.	19 KLD for cooling, for what purposes (HVAC or DG cooling), not mentioned? The residual water would be having a high concentration of salts. PP should achieve “ZLD” by installing the required equipment like RO/SO, MEE etc.	19 KLD of treated water will be used for DG cooling. Therefore, the residual water would not have a high concentration of salts. Equipment like RO/SO, MEE etc. is not required.
8.	Capacity of STP to be increased proportionately as the quantity of waste water to be recalculated.	Quantity of waste water generated is 479 KLD. Therefore, we have increased the capacity of STP from 470 KLD to 580 KLD.
9.	Page no. A-10, pt. 3.1, PP has submitted that “High sulphur Diesel”(HSD) will be stored....., under 7.3 page no. A-17, mentioned is “The only source of emission from combustion of fuel (High Sulphur Diesel) will be from DG sets”.	There are DG sets of 3 Nos (2 x 1010 & 1 x 750 KVA). Following measures shall be taken : 1. Diesel Conforming to BS VI with 10 ppm Sulphur will be used [Ultra low sulphur diesel as per guidelines of NCAP and GRAP.] 2. Anticipated emission from individual DG sets will comply with CPCB-II norms. 3. Through periodical inspection and maintenance procedures, the emission levels will be controlled to remain within CPCB-II 4. In addition to that the project will install Retrofit emission control devices approved by CPCB to further reduce the emission by intervening the exhaust as and when required .

The discussion was held on Compliance report, ATR, STP, ZLD, water details etc. and certain observations were raised as following:-

1. The PP shall submit the Self contained Note on the project

2. The PP shall submit the Affidavit stating that excess treated water will be discharged into the sewer only after taking permission from the competent authority.
3. The PP shall submit the Used oil disposal agreement.
4. The PP shall submit the Fire SOP along with an Approved fire plan
5. The PP shall submit the tangible EMP
6. The PP shall submit the Mitigation measures for air pollution and Dust Mitigation

The PP submitted the reply of above said observations vide letter dated 10.03.2022 vide letter dated 10.03.2022 along with affidavit that the DG Set will be based on multi fuel injection system and capacity will be 2*1000 and 1*750 kVA

The PP also submitted the self contained note duly signed by PP and consultant as following:-

- *The 19 KLD of treated water will be used for DG cooling.*
- *The 2 KLD water will be treated in STP itself as the 2 KLD water will be diluted in 479 KLD of Total waste water. Hence, no RO /MEE is required for the same. Calculator for the same is given below:*

- *Waste water from DG cooling = 2 KLD*
- *Other Water = 477 KLD*

$$[2 \text{ m}^3 \times 10,000 \text{ g/m}^3] + [477 \text{ m}^3 \times 1,000 \text{ g/m}^3]$$

$$479$$

$$= 1037.578 \approx 1040 \text{ mg/l}$$

As per Mirbolooki, H., et al. Treatment of high saline textile wastewater by activated sludge microorganisms. Journal of Applied Research and Technology (2017), According to the results, increasing the TDS concentration of wastewater up to 5000 mg/L did not affect COD removal efficiency of the activated sludge microorganisms in the treatment system.

- *Earlier the waste water generation was not calculated as per NBC of India 2016 norms, now we have followed NBC of India 2016 to recalculate waste water generation.*
- *Total water requirement will be 616 KLD. Total wastewater generation will be 479 KLD which will be treated in STP of capacity 580 KLD based on MBBR Technology. 431 KLD of treated water will be generated from STP out of which 260 KLD will be*

reused for flushing, gardening, Filter backwash, DG cooling and miscellaneous purposes.

Detailed water management is given below:

Water management:

	<i>Population</i>	<i>Factor in LPCD</i>	<i>Requirement in KLD</i>	<i>DOMESTIC in KLD</i>	<i>FLUSHING in KLD</i>	<i>WASTE WATER in KLD</i>
<i>Resident</i>	3,868	135	522	348	174	
<i>Primary, Nursery school and Support & recreational, Staff</i>	245	45	11	6	5	
<i>Visitor</i>	387	15	6	2	4	
<i>Total</i>	4,500		539	356	183	
<i>waste water generation</i>				285	183	468
<i>Gardening</i>	5 l/sqm (9675 m ²)		48			-
<i>Filter backwash</i>			5			5
<i>DG cooling</i>			19			2
<i>Misc</i>			5			4
<i>Total</i>			616			479

The documents were placed before the committee. The committee agrees that 19 KLD of treated water will be used by PP for DG cooling and the residual 2 KLD water will be treated in the STP. After due deliberation the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and unanimously decided to recommend the case for EC to SEIAA along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 231st meeting.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 and the Authority decided to carry out the spot inspection to get the current status of project by constituting a team comprising of Dr. Rajbir Singh Bondwal, IFS (Retd.) Member SEAC, Professor R. Baskar, FGS (Ind), (IGNOU), Member, SEIAA & Sh. Vinay Gautam (Joint Director Tech., SEIAA) and concerned RO, HSPCB (RO will assist members of SEIAA/SEAC) to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB and will submit report at the earliest.

Accordingly, the case is deferred till the receipt of the report.

Item No.[43] Environment Clearance for proposed Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF), at Plot no. 66, Roz Ka Meo Industrial area, Sohna, Mewat, Haryana by M/s Synergy Waste Management Pvt. Ltd.

The matter was placed before the 130th Meeting of SEIAA, Haryana held on 16.11.2021 and the Authority decided to finally ask the Project Proponent to appear in person to represent their case.

The matter was again taken up in the 132nd meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021.

The Project proponent has been directed to appear in person before the Authority on 22.12.2021 at 11:30 AM.

Sh. Manoj Kumar, authorized representative has appeared before the Authority on 22.12.2021 and submitted written and oral submissions. He has stated that PP had applied for establishment of new CBWTF and during course of hearing representative sought the time for submission of additional information desired by Authority regarding number of beds available for coverage in view of proposed project excluding that of Faridabad and Gurugram.

After due deliberations, following decisions were taken;

- Authority granted time of 10 days to the representative to submit the desired information and will be verified from the concerned agency after receipt of the same.
- Authority deferred the case till the receipt of report from PP and concerned agency.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 and the Authority decided to de-list the case as per the orders passed by State Government, Environment Department bearing no. 16/17/2016-3Env. dated 18.07.2016.

Item No.[44] Environment Clearance for Group Housing Colony project at Sector-82 A, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Vatika Ltd.

The matter was taken up in 129th meeting on 11.10.2021 of SEIAA after detailed discussion authority found out that more time is needed to study the case in details, therefore case has been deferred till next meeting.

The matter was placed in 130th meeting of SEIAA dated 16.11.2021; the Authority observed that adequate opportunity has been given to PP but on one or the other pretext PP kept on dragging the issue.

The Authority in its 132nd meeting held on 22.12.2021 decided to issue a last final notice to PP stating that, “ In view of recent Hon’ble Supreme Court Judgment dated 09.12.2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7576-7577, why not the violation be considered as liable to be demolished”. PP is, therefore, given 15 days reply or otherwise it will be thought PP does not have anything to say & case will be decided ex-parte and Issued Final Show-Cause Notice to PP dated 23.12.2021 and pp submitted reply.

The PP appeared before the Authority and made his submissions. The date of hearing was fixed for 24.03.2022.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 and Authority decided to convey next date of hearing to PP shortly.

Item No.[45] EC for Affordable Group Housing Project Village Khera&Bhatauli, Sector 20, Yamunanagar- Jagadhri, District Yamunanagar, Haryana by M/s Pandit Land & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was placed in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The case was again taken up in the 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021. The discussion was held on the MoEF Notification 18.11.2020 and the decision of SEIAA in its meeting regarding the projects to be get inspected by the members of SEAC. As per the orders of SEIAA vide its 128th MOM dated 26.05.2021. A Committee was formed comprising of the following:-

1. Sh. S.K. Mehta , Member SEAC
2. Sh. R.K. Sapra, Member SEAC

The Committee shall visit the project site and submit the report regarding the status of the project in view of the details as mentioned above within 30 days positively.

The sub-committee submitted the report of site visit and thereafter, the case was taken up in 229th meeting of SEAC.

- As per the site shown which lies inside the gate of Ansal Housing Complex Jagadhari, no construction was found there.
- The affidavit was sought from PP for verification of site but has not given the Affidavit.
- Hence no proper inference may be drawn regarding the status of construction at the site due to non-cooperation by PP.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 17.07.2019 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and further non-cooperation by PP during the site visit of sub-committee, the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF & CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

Lastly, the case was taken up in 133rd meeting of SEIAA and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB, Yamuna Nagar to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible but the report is awaited.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary, HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Item No.[46] EC for Proposed Residential Plotted Colony Project at Sector 92, 93 and 95 at Village Wazirpur, District Gurgaon by M/s Ramprastha Estates Private Limited, Haryana

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/57409/2018 dated 26.05.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted to the project on 10.05.2019.

The case was taken up in 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 10.11.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 18.02.2021. The case was again taken up in the 212th meeting held on 26.03.2021 and

Consultant but the PP requested vide letter dated 18.02.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC appeared and requested for deferment as the PP is not unable to attend the meeting. The committee deliberated the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and next time the case will be dealt as per the existing notification/OM of MOEF&CC.

A sub committee comprising of Sh. Mehar Chand and Dr. S. N. Mishra was constituted by SEIAA vide order dated 1.07.2021 and they have submitted the report to SEIAA, However, the copy is submitted to SEAC (placed on record).

The Committee inspected the site on 27.08.2021. Following were present from PP side:

1. Mr. Anup Kaul (General Manager)
2. Mr. Suresh Kaul (Sr. Project Manager)
3. Mr. Aman Sharma Vardan Environet (Consultant)

The case was presented by PP giving the details of area in possession (Annex 1) which is less than 50 hectares. Brief of details submitted by the project proponent is as follows:

- That the project has been granted license N. 44 of 2010 with Endst. No. 5DV-V-2010/LC-2098-Vol-III/7533 dated 11.06.2010 for a total area of 128.594 acres.
- That we have sold 2 acres area of commercial complex to JMS Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. and conveyance deed was done through Vasika no. 1073 dated 14.10.2015
- That as per information obtained M/s JMS Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. has already got EC from SEIAA, Haryana. EC was issued in the name of Ramprastha
- That we have sold 1 acres area of school site to Ms. Himani W/o Sh. Yogesh Bansal and Ms. Sangeeta W/o Sh. Karan Singh and Conveyance deed was done through Vasika No. 1925 dated 18.10.2017
- Further we have surrender 5.025 acres of Land from our possession and got the permission for delicensing from DTCP through Endst. No. LC-1634/Asstt (AK)/2020/10538 dated 22.06.2020.
- At present net land in possession with us is 120.5687 acre (48.792 Ha).

The committee also observed that laying of sewerage services and road is being carried out by project proponent in approximately 5-6 acres of land (see attached photographs). It appears that laying of services started just in recent past. Presently, the total licensed area in the name of Ramprastha is 123.5687 acres that including the area of JMS Buldtech and School also.

The committee deliberated on the report of sub-committee constituted and decided to recommend to SEIAA for following:-

The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no Consent to Operate or Occupancy Certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

Facts of the case:

1. The project proponent applied for seeking Environment Clearance for proposed Residential Plotted Colony of 128.594 acres.
2. Shri Shashank Gupta S/o Laxmi Narayan Gupta filed a complaint dated 09.04.2021 and intimated that the project proponent has started construction activity at the project site without obtaining environment clearance.
3. A show-cause Notice was served to PP vide letter dated 25.05.2021 and a team was also constituted vide letter dated 01.07.2021.
4. PP has submitted his reply vide letter dated 16.08.2021 and denied to the allegations raised regarding violations.
5. Thereafter, constituted sub-committee submitted its report as also referred by SEAC.

Observations:

Case was taken up in 134th meeting of SEIAA and the Authority decided to get the request of PP verified by constituting a committee of two ROs of HSPCB to be nominated by HSPCB for site inspection to submit the report at the earliest.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary, HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Item No.[47] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Colony planned at Village Nangli Umarpur, Sector 62, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Emaar MGF.

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/66969/2019 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR has been granted to the project vide letter dated 03.10.2019. Thereafter, the case was taken up in

224th meeting of SEAC held on 29.10.2021 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case vide letter dated 26.0.2021 which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 231st meeting of SEAC held on 28.12.2021 but PP submitted a letter of withdrawal dated 28.12.2021 stating that due to the change in planning, they will not be going for the expansion of our group housing project, at present & will apply for EC for expansion of group housing, once the change in planning is finalized.

The PP submitted the Affidavit cum undertaking dated 29.12.2021 (placed on record)

- That construction has been carried out as per the condition of EC granted.
- No violation of condition has been done
- That due to the change in planning, they will not be going for the expansion of our group housing project, at present & will apply for EC for expansion of group housing, once the change in planning is finalized.

The committee after deliberation decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal of case for EC in view of Affidavit submitted by PP.

Lastly, the case was taken up in 134th Meeting and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB of the concerned area to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary, HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Item No.[48] EC for Expansion of Institutional Project “NCR Biotech Science Cluster Phase-II at village Bhankri, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Translational Health Science and Technology.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/211992/2021 on dated 02.08.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR was granted by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2020.

The case was taken up in 218th meeting of SEAC held on 30.07.2021 but the members informed the committee that they have not received the documents and it was unanimously decided to defer the case as the documents were not circulated to the members and their case will be considered only after the receipt of documents.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 223rd meeting of SEAC held on 21.10.2021 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

The case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee but the PP requested vide letter dated 18.11.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 231st meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 28.12.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF& CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 05.03.2021 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and Authority decided to constitute a sub-committee comprising of Joint Director (Technical), SEIAA & 2 Regional Officers to be nominated by MS, HSPCB to verify the present status of the project & the compliance of the conditions of already accorded “EC”, till then decision is deferred.

The Committee submitted its report on 17.03.2022 and was taken on record.

The Committee concluded as under-

1. No construction was found on the land for proposed Expansion for which unit has applied for Environmental Clearance.
2. Director, THSTI vide letter dated 12.03.2022 has intimated that they want to peruse their application of Expansion.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022 and after detailed deliberations, Authority decide to refer back the case to SEAC to appraise the report submitted by the sub-committee and submit recommendations accordingly .

Item No.[49] EC for VSR Mall (Known as 114 Avenue) (Retail Cum Office Complex) at Sector-I14 Gurugram, Haryana M/S VSR Infratech Pvt Ltd.

The case was taken up 130th meeting of SEIAA held on 15.11.2021 and the authority decided to issue Show Cause Notice to PP which vide No. SEIAA/HR/2021/1220 Dated 23.11.2021.

Now the project proponent has submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter dated nil which is received on 23.12.2021 and they have intimated built- up area mention in EC was 41429 Sq.mt. The total built-up area now would be 45573.7788 sqmt. instead of 41429 sq.mt. to non- consideration of service area in the basement and service floor amounting to that of 4144 Sq.mt (Non FAR). The department of Town and Country planning issued occupancy certificate for 41003sqmt.

The PP has requested to incorporate total built-up area as 45573.7788 sq.mt instead of 41479 sq.mt in the earlier EC letter dated 28.05.2013.

The case was taken up in the 135th Meeting of SEIAA and the authorized person appeared before the Authority and submitted written request for providing another date. Personal Hearing was conducted on 28.01.2022 and PP submitted his Chronology details.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; it was observed by the Authority that PP has violated the norms of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to de-list this application submitted for grant of Environment Clearance and advised the PP to apply online as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F. No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, under violation category as per SOP vide F.No.22-21/2020-IA.III dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI being a case of violation.

Further, the Authority will constitute a sub-committee separately to prepare damage assessment report.

Item No.[50] EC for proposed “Construction of factory, located at Plot No. 10, Sector-5, Growth Centre [Now known as IMT Bawal, District Rewari, Haryana by M/s Pearl Global Industries Ltd.

The case was considered in 129th meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2021. After due deliberations, the Authority decided to request RO, HSPCB of that particular region to visit the site to find out the facts; in mean while Authority decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to PP why electricity or other utilities may not be disconnected

The project proponent submitted his reply vide letter dated 24.12.2021 received on 29.12.2021. The project proponent intimated vide letter dated 24.12.2021 that they have constructed industrial shed and provided reference to Office Memorandum dated 05.03.2015 issued by MoEF & CC, GoI vide which it has been clarified that “The projects or activities shall not include industrial shed, school, college, hostel for educational institution, but such buildings shall ensure sustainable environmental management, solid and liquid waste management, rain -water harvesting and may use recycled materials such as fly ash bricks.” The PP has requested to withdraw show-cause notice and intimated that they will not pursue their case further and close it.

Case was taken up in the 135th Meeting of SEIAA and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB of the concerned area to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary, HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Item No.[51] EC for proposed Expansion of IT Park Complex project at Village Ullahawas, Sector-59, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Nova Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

Case was taken up in 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to nominate a team to verify the present status & to submit the report positively within a week, till then case is deferred. Report is still awaited.

The case was taken up in the 137th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.03.2022; after deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a reminder letter to Member Secretary,

HSPCB for seeking the report from the concerned Regional Officer and also decided to refer back this case to SEAC to make recommendations after the receipt of report from the concerned agency.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.
