

**Minutes of 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA, Haryana, held on 20.09.2022 & 21.09.2022 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Sameer Pal Srow, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Haryana at Panchkula**

---

List of participants is annexed as **Annexure-A**.

At the outset, the Chairman SEIAA welcomed the Member and Member Secretary of the SEIAA and advised the Member Secretary to give brief background of this meeting.

Minutes of the 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA are confirmed except Item No. 17, 18 & 19.

**ITEM No. 145.17:** EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony “ROF Ambliss” Project at Revenue estate of village Shikohpur, Sector-78, Gurugram Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Pegasus Land And Housing Pvt. Ltd.

**ITEM No. 145.18:** Corrigendum in EC for Addition of (Minor Mineral) Boulder and Gravel” as per the DMG letter at Mandoli Ghaggar East Block YNR B3, over an area of 20.18 Ha. in village Mandoli Ghaggar, Tehsil Chhachroli, District Yamunanagar, Haryana M/s JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd.

**Item No. 145.19:** Corrigendum in Environmental Clearance for “Addition of (minor mineral) boulder and gravel as per the DMG letter” at Mandoli Ghaggar West Block / YNR B-4, over an area of 25.56 Ha in VillageMandoli Ghaggar West Block, Tehsil- Chhachroli District Yamunanagar, Haryana M/s JSM foods Pvt. Ltd.

In the above listed items (Item No. 145.17

1. Due to a typographical error capacity of Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) got mentioned as 50 KLD (***at page No. 20 at Condition no. 1) in the minutes of 145<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 09.09.2022,***

“Now

Modified/ corrected & same may be read as 450 KLD for the said project”

2. For Item No. 145.18 & 145.19,  
“Observations/directions of the Hon’ble Punjab & Haryana High Court as made in CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana & Ors is applied for compliance”.

(It is pertinent to mention that minutes of 145<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> & 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 were uploaded & letter of confirmation for the same items & other items were in the process till 13.09.2022, when the

directions/observations of Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana & Ors) dated 13.09.2022 were received. Accordingly, the decision of 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting and same cases being listed in 146<sup>th</sup> meeting on other issues are hereby combined as decision of the Authority).

**Item No. 146.01: EC for Proposed Residential Plotted Colony under DDJAY SCHEME on land measuring 8.7465 acres situated in the revenue estate village Naurangpur, sector 79 & 79 B, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Loon Land Development Limited**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/72456/2022 dated 08.06.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Project proponent has submitted scrutiny fees DD No. 500493 Dated: 29.04.2022 Amount 2,00,000/-

The case was taken up in 245<sup>th</sup> & 247<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 25.07.2022 & 09. 07.2022 for appraisal and final the SEAC recommended case to the SEIAA for granting Environmental Clearance with the following details of the project:

**Table 1: Basic Details**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Latitude	28° 21' 26.50" N
2.	Longitude	76° 58' 19.87" E
3.	Total Plot Area	35395.826 m <sup>2</sup>
4.	Built Up area	121934.00m <sup>2</sup>
5.	Proposed Ground Coverage	13840.00 m <sup>2</sup>
6.	Proposed FAR Residential	58654.40m <sup>2</sup>
7.	Green Area	7079.16 m <sup>2</sup> green area i.e. 20% of plot area including 400 sqm under miyawaki plantation
8.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits	9 Nos.
9.	STP Capacity	290 KLD
10.	Parking Required	652 ECS
11.	Parking Provided	652 ECS
12.	Organic Waste Converter	1 Nos.
13.	Maximum Height of the Building (m)	16.4 M
14.	Power Requirement	3912 KVA
15.	Source	Dakshin Haryana BijliVitaran Nigam Limited (DHBVNL)
16.	Power Backup	4250 kVA (2 x 1500 + 1 x 1000 + 1 x 250 kVA)
17.	Total Water Requirement	298 KLD

18.	Fresh Water Requirement	196 KLD	
19.	Recycled/Treated Water Requirement	102 KLD	
20.	Waste Water Generated	230 KLD	
21.	Solid Waste Generated	1.58 TPD	
22.	Biodegradable Waste	0.95 TPD	
23.	Number of Towers /plots	652 Nos. plots + 2 Commercials	
24.	Basement	One Basement	
25.	Stories	B+S+4	
26.	Total Cost of the project:	285 Cr.	
27.	Incremental Load in respect of:	PM 2.5	0.56 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		PM 10	1.007 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		SO <sub>2</sub>	3.75 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		NO <sub>x</sub>	16.2 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		CO	0.004mg/m <sup>3</sup>

**Table 2.1: EMP Details (CONSTRUCTION PHASE)**

COMPONENT	CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)	RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum
BARRICADING OF CONSTRUCTION SITE	7.5	1.65
ANTI - SMOG GUN WITH COMPLETE ASSEMBLY	5	2.4
DUST MITIGATION MEASURES	1.5	0.25
SITE SANITATION	2	1
MOBILE STP	3	1
DISINFECTION/ PEST CONTROL		0.5
LABOUR HEALTH CHECK UP & FIRST AID FACILITY	1	0.5
LABOR WELFARE (canteen, creche, safe access road - water power, cooking kerosene/gas)	2.5	1.5
WHEEL WASHING	1	0.5
WASTE STORAGE BINS - LABOUR CAMP/SITE OFFICES	1.5	0.75
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNAGES	1.5	0.15
SAFETY TRAINING TO WORKERS		1
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCE REPORT OF EC CONDITIONS		2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26.5</b>	<b>13.2</b>

**Table 2.2: EMP Details (OPERATIONAL PHASE)**

<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum</b>
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT ( 290 KLD)	56	15.12
RAIN WATER HARVESTING SYSTEM (09 Nos)	31.5	4.73
SOLID WASTE STORAGE BINS & COMPOSTER (Organic Waste Converter 1.58 tpd)	26.86	17.73
HORTICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (TREE PLANTATION & LANDSCAPING)	5.08	1.27
ROOF TOP SPV PLANT (200 KWp)	160	0.00
POND MAINTAINANCE	27	0.00
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCES OF ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE CONDITIONS		2.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>306.44</b>	<b>40.84</b>

The recommendations of SEAC were taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; The Authority after detailed discussions & perusal of records placed on the file; decided to refer back to SEAC with the following observations:

- 1) The project proponent has mentioned that 105 KLD treated water will be disposed of in the nearby construction activities as well as Green belt of HUDA which appears not to be feasible & practical.
- 2) Assurance with regards to discharge of excess treated water.
- 3) Clear-cut status of licence indicating that the Project Proponent (PP) is owner and has possession of the land/ right to use.
- 4) Approved Zoning Plan
- 5) Status of Revenue Rasta (Within or outside the project boundary).

Therefore, The Authority deemed it appropriate not to consider this proposal/project at this stage, accordingly refers it back, with the direction to SEAC to get all above mentioned points examined/ appraised and thereafter make appropriate recommendation to the Authority.

**Item No. 146.02: Extension of EC validity for Boulder, Gravel and Sand minor mineral project located at Mandoli Ghaggar East Block / YNR B-3 located at Village Mandoli Ghaggar east Tehsil Chhachhrauli District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana over an area of 20.18 Ha. With production capacity of 08,50,000 TPA by M/s JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd.**

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal SIA/HR/MIN/276004/2022 dated 02.06.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The complaint was received regarding the minor minerals in the said mining project. The complaint was forwarded in the Mining Department for the comments. The comments from the mining department are received

Initially, M/s. JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd. have been granted the Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Director General, Mines and Geology department, Haryana vide letter no DMG/HY/CONT/ M.G.EAST BLOCK/YNR B-3/2015/3915 dated 19.06.2015 for the Sand minor mineral.

The case was taken up in 245th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on dated 25.07.2022. However, PP has submitted a letter dated 22.07.2022 to defer the case with a request to take up the case in next meeting. After due deliberation, the Committee acceded with the request of PP and decided that the case be deferred and shall be taken up in next meeting.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The discussion was held on LOI, Mining Plan, Replenishment Study, status of compliance of Earlier EC and asked the PP to submit the relevant information. The PP submitted reply vide letter dated 31.08.2022 as under:

1. That, the LOI to the project was granted vide letter no. DMG/HY/CONT/ M.G.EAST BLOCK/YNR B-3/2015/3915 dated 19.06.2015 for Sand minor mineral. The Lease is valid for a period of 10 years as per the LOI.
2. That, Revised mining closer plan is duly approved by DMG Haryana vide letter no: DGM/HY/Mandoli Ghaggar East Block/YNR-3/2021/3991 dated 12.10.2021.
3. That, Replenishment Study report is approved by mining department Haryana vide letter noDMG/HY/RS/Mandoli ghaggarynr b-3/2022/5115 dated 09.08.2022.
4. That, we have also obtained the status of compliance of earlier EC granted from HSPCB vide file no HSPCB-180001/82/2022-Region Yamuna Nagar-HSPCB dated 09.07.2022
5. That, our representation regarding the case filed by complainant in National Green Tribunal (NGT) and Punjab and Haryana High Court is also attached as Annexure-1 and Annexure-2.

After discussion, the committee decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for grant of **extension in period of validity of earlier EC** issued to the project vide letter dated 21.12.2016 subject to decision of any Court of Law and NGT.

***The recommendations of SEAC were taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; The Authority after perusal of relevant record & examination of facts, arrived at the conclusion that the project proponent to be granted extension of validity in the earlier Environment Clearance (dated 21.12.2016) as per the approved and accepted mining plan by DMG Haryana.***

***It is pertinent to mention that one year Zero period conveyed by MoEF vide Notification No. S.O.221 (E) dated 18<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 for the Covid Period concession also stands included/covered.***

***Therefore, the Authority decided to extend the validity of the existing EC upto 20.12.2026 i.e. as per the approved Mining Plan dated 12.10.2021 issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana.***

***It is further relevant to place on the record that the Authority in its 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 09.09.2022 in respect of Item No. 18, decided to allow addition of Boulder & Gravel in the EC dated 21.12.2016 of the Project Proponent, in pursuance to the letter dated 12.10.2021 & 05.01.2022 issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana and the recommendation made by SEAC in its 246<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 23.08.2022.***

***Although, the decision of the Authority was uploaded on PARIVESH Web Portal, but letter for the same could not be issued till 13.09.2022 (process was under way), when Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana & Ors made certain observations vide order dated 13.09.2022 in regard to Mining of Boulders & Gravels at the site being used for Sand Mining by the Project Proponent.***

In view of the above, The Authority decided to list again the Item No. 18 of 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting in its 146<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 20.09.2022 to take a holistic & comprehensive view of the facts mentioned above.

The Authority keeping in view, the recommendations of the SEAC and observation of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in the above mentioned case alongwith perusal of record, details received from Mines & Geology Department, Haryana decided as under:

1. Proposal for the extension of validity of EC dated 21.12.2016 allowed to be extended till 20.12.2026 as per the approved Mining Plan issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana.
2. Decision to add Boulders & Gravels as allowed in 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting will stand allowed with the conditions as made in the CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana & Ors made certain observations vide order dated 13.09.2022.

Order dated 13.09.2022 is reproduced for the information & strict compliance of the Project Proponent:

CM-14169-CWP-2022 in/& CWP-10588-2022  
M/S GANGA YAMUNA MINING CO. V/S STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

***On the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana.***

***Counsel for the State submits that in the light of the order passed by the National Green Tribunal, dated 31.05.2022, the contractors have been***



*restrained from mining boulders and gravel in the three contact areas. They are, therefore, now required to extract only sand.*

*This fact is disputed by the learned senior counsel for the applicant – petitioner.*

*To put the things to rest especially in the light of the order passed by the National Green Tribunal, dated 31.05.2022, mining of boulders and gravel from the three contract sites shall remain stayed till further orders.*

*Application stands disposed of accordingly.*

**CWP-10588-2022**

*Reply to the writ petition be filed within a period of four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner.*

*Counsel for the petitioner has not file the process fee and therefore, respondents No.4 and 5 could not be served. Process dasti only to effect service upon the said respondents for 03.11.2022.*

*It is made clear that in case the private respondents are not served for the next date of hearing, the Court would consider vacating the stay granted today.*

**13.09.2022**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE**

**(ALOK JAIN)  
JUDGE**

In view of the above, Project Proponent is directed to ensure strict compliance of the directions made above without any exception, till the final outcome of the pending proceedings in the Hon'ble NGT & Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

**NOTE:** The Authority deem it appropriate to again clarify that the project proponent shall not undertake mining of Boulder & Gravel in the allotted mining areas, despite the facts that extension of validity in the earlier EC dated 21.12.2016 & addition of these items have been allowed by SEIAA to the Project Proponent.

**Item No. 146.03:** Extension of EC validity for sand minor mineral project located at Mandoli Ghaggar West Block / YNR B-4 at Village Mandoli Ghaggar west Tehsil Chhachhrauli District YamunaNagar, Haryana over an area of 25.56 Ha With production capacity of 11,91,000 TPA by M/s JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal SIA/HR/MIN/276111/2022 dated 02.06.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The complaint was received regarding the minor minerals in the said mining project. The complaint was forwarded in the Mining Department for the comments. The comments from the mining department are received.

Initially, M/s. JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd. have been granted the Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Director General, Mines and Geology department, Haryana vide letter no DMG/HY/CONT/ M.G.WEST BLOCK/YNR B-4/2015/3918 dated 19.06.2015 for Sand minor mineral.

The case was taken up in 245th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on dated 25.07.2022. However, PP has submitted a letter dated 22.07.2022 to defer the case with a request to take up the case in next meeting. After due deliberation, the Committee acceded with the request of PP and decided that the case be deferred and shall be taken up in next meeting.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The discussion was held on LOI, Mining Plan, Replenishment Study, status of compliance of Earlier EC and asked the PP to submit the relevant information. The PP submitted reply vide letter dated 31.08.2022 as under:

1. That, the LOI to the project was granted vide letter no. DMG/HY/CONT/ M.G.WEST BLOCK/YNR B-4/2015/3918 dated 19.06.2015. The Lease is valid for a period of 07years as per the LOI.
2. That, Revised mining closer plan is duly approved by DMG Haryana vide letter no: DGM/HY/Mandoli Ghaggar West Block/YNR-B-4/2021/4008dated 12.10.2021.
3. That, Replenishment Study report is approved by mining department Haryana vide letter noDMG/HY/RS/Mandoli Ghaggar Ynr B-4/2022/5118dated 09.08.2022.
4. That, we have also obtained the status of compliance of earlier EC granted from HSPCB vide file no HSPCB-180001/83/2022-Region Yamuna Nagar-HSPCB dated 09.07.2022
5. That, our representation regarding the case filed by complainant in National Green Tribunal (NGT) and Punjab and Haryana High Court is also attached as Annexure-1 and Annexure-2.

After discussion, the committee decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for grant of **extension in period of validity of earlier EC** issued to the project vide letter dated 21.12.2016 subject to decision of any court of law and NGT.

***The recommendations of SEAC were taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; The Authority after perusal of relevant record & examination of facts, arrived at the conclusion that the project proponent to be granted extension of validity in the earlier Environment Clearance (dated 21.12.2016) as per the approved and accepted mining plan by DMG Haryana.***



***It is pertinent to mention that one year Zero period conveyed by MoEF vide Notification No. S.O.221 (E) dated 18<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 for the Covid Period concession also stands included/covered.***

***Therefore, the Authority decided to extend the validity of the existing EC upto 20.12.2026 i.e. as per the approved Mining Plan dated 12.10.2021 issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana.***

***It is further relevant to place on the record that the Authority in its 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 09.09.2022 in respect of Item No. 19, decided to allow addition of Boulder & Gravel in the EC dated 21.12.2016 of the Project Proponent, in pursuance to the letter dated 12.10.2021 & 05.01.2022 issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana and the recommendation made by SEAC in its 246<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 23.08.2022.***

***Although, the decision of the Authority was uploaded on PARIVESH Web Portal, but letter for the same could not be issued till 13.09.2022 (process was under way), when Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana & Ors made certain observations vide order dated 13.09.2022 in regard to Mining of Boulders & Gravels at the site being used for Sand Mining by the Project Proponent.***

In view of the above, The Authority decided to list again the Item No. 19 of 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting in its 146<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 20.09.2022 to take a holistic & comprehensive view of the facts mentioned above.

The Authority keeping in view, the recommendations of the SEAC and observation of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in the above mentioned case alongwith perusal of record, details received from Mines & Geology Department, Haryana decided as under:

1. Proposal for the extension of validity of EC dated 21.12.2016 allowed to be extended till 20.12.2026 as per the approved Mining Plan issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana.
2. Decision to add Boulders & Gravels as allowed in 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting will stand allowed with the conditions as made in the CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana & Ors made certain observations vide order dated 13.09.2022.

Order dated 13.09.2022 is reproduced for the information & strict compliance of the Project Proponent:

CM-14169-CWP-2022 in/& CWP-10588-2022  
M/S GANGA YAMUNA MINING CO. V/S STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

***On the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana.***

***Counsel for the State submits that in the light of the order passed by the National Green Tribunal, dated 31.05.2022, the contractors have been***

*restrained from mining boulders and gravel in the three contact areas. They are, therefore, now required to extract only sand.*

*This fact is disputed by the learned senior counsel for the applicant – petitioner.*

*To put the things to rest especially in the light of the order passed by the National Green Tribunal, dated 31.05.2022, mining of boulders and gravel from the three contract sites shall remain stayed till further orders.*

*Application stands disposed of accordingly.*

**CWP-10588-2022**

*Reply to the writ petition be filed within a period of four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner.*

*Counsel for the petitioner has not file the process fee and therefore, respondents No.4 and 5 could not be served. Process dasti only to effect service upon the said respondents for 03.11.2022.*

*It is made clear that in case the private respondents are not served for the next date of hearing, the Court would consider vacating the stay granted today.*

**13.09.2022**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE**

**( ALOK JAIN)  
JUDGE**

In view of the above, Project Proponent is directed to ensure strict compliance of the directions made above without any exception, till the final outcome of the pending proceedings in the Hon'ble NGT & Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

**NOTE:** The Authority deem it appropriate to again clarify that the project proponent shall not undertake mining of Boulder & Gravel in the allotted mining areas, despite the facts that extension of validity in the earlier EC dated 21.12.2016 & addition of these items have been allowed by SEIAA to the Project Proponent.

**Item No. 146.04: EC for Mining of Stone along with Associated Minor Minerals (69,00,000 MTPA) mine located at Khasra No. 46 Min, Village Usmapur, District Mahendragarh, Haryana (M.L area 33.10 ha). By M/s Astha Infra Developers Private Limited**

The project was submitted to SEIAA vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIN/76930/2021 dated 19.05.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category-1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP submitted the EIA report along with prefeasibility report, approved DSR, approved Mining plan and Mine closure plan on dated 04.07.2022. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.1,50,000/- vide

DD No. 001081 dated 20.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 08.07.2022 and the Committee recommended the case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 08.08.2022, and after due deliberation, the Authority observed that SEAC has not given its comments on the Conservation Plan submitted by the project proponent. The Authority thus decided to refer back this case to SEAC with following observations for examination/appraisal by the SEAC:-

- 1) PP to provide the actual cost of the project, duly certified by a qualified CA.
- 2) SEAC to ensure that the Wildlife Conservation Plan submitted by PP is in order, including the cost norm requirements, the details of works and timelines for implementation of the same and give its recommendations/ opinion on the same, for further consideration of the Authority.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. PP submitted reply about CA certificate, approved Wildlife Conservation Plan and presented before the committee. Detailed discussion was held on Wildlife Conservation Plan which was duly approved by the PCCF-cum-Chief Wildlife Warden, Govt. of Haryana. The Committee agreed with the provisions of Wildlife Conservation Plan approved by the PCCF-cum-Chief Wildlife Warden, Govt. of Haryana. The PP shall deposit the necessary amount of Wildlife Conservation Plan with PCCF-cum-Chief Wildlife Warden, Govt. of Haryana for implementation of approved plan.

After detailed discussion and deliberation, the committee decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting EC as per the recommendations conveyed by SEAC vide its MoM of 244<sup>th</sup> meeting along with corrigendum.

**The recommendations of SEAC were taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; the Authority after detailed discussions & perusal of record/facts placed on file; deemed it appropriate to refer back this case to SEAC with the following observations:**

- 1) The project cost details / figure as submitted by PP appraised by the SEAC does not reflect Harmony (whereas land cost indicating in pp is zero and SEAC by SEAC 10 Cr.). Details are mismatching.**
- 2) SEAC is further directed to list all kind of condition to be complied with regard to mining limit that is depth and safety measure etc., which will not compromised with the environmental surrounding in that area. Details regarding permissible mining depth and extent of mining needs to be clearly reflected in the appraisal/recommendation of the SEAC.**

**Item No. 146.05: EC for Proposed “Affordable Group Housing Colony” on the land measuring 6.15 acres (Built-up Area: 73,235.380 m) at Kila No. 13, 8/2, 18, 3/2, 7, 8/1, 14/1, 17/2, 23/1, 14/2 of Village Badshahpur, Sector-70 District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Riseonic Realty Private Limited**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/268548/2022 dated 20.04.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The case was accepted by SEIAA and forwarded to SEAC on 25.04.2022 which was accepted by SEAC on 23.06.2022. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No. 322334 dated 20.04.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 08.07.2022 and it recommended the case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 09.08.2022 and after due deliberation, the Authority observed that the STP capacity is not adequate, following the general procedure adopted in similar cases (STP may require to be enhanced to 650 KLD) and the PP has not submitted the permission from the competent authority for their sewer connections. The authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the request to examine the above two issues and submit the case for further consideration.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP submitted the reply of the observations raised by SEIAA during the meeting. In addition to the reply, PP submitted an affidavit that:

1. STP capacity has been increased from 620 KLD to 650 KLD as previous calculation was as 120% of the total waste water for STP capacity but now we are taking 125% of total waste water for STP capacity.
2. The assurance for discharge of surplus treated sewerage effluent from GMDA, Gurgaon has already been obtained vide letter no. GMDA/SEW/2022/89 dated 24.01.2022 of GMDA, Gurgaon for 650 KLD.

Keeping in view the submission of reply of PP, the committee was of unanimous view that this case be sent to SEIAA for granting Environment Clearance as already recommended with same terms and conditions.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation i.e. To grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project**

**with the additional conditions that the project proponent shall make provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load.**

**Item No. 146.06: EC for Proposed Max Super Speciality Hospital at Sector 56, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Max Healthcare Institute Limited**

The project was submitted to SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/271568/2022 dated 06.05.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a)of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No.564883 dated 04.05.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 09.08.2022 and after due deliberation, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with following few observations for examination.

The case was considered in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The reply submitted by PP was discussed in the meeting and is as under:-

Sr.NO.	OBSERVATIONS	REPLY
1.	The PP has submitted only its copy of application for NOC under Aravali Notification and SEAC has accepted the same, which is not acceptable	This land has been allocated to PP by HSVP therefore, Aravali clearance is not applicable, however the Aravali Clearance have been obtained vide DC office letter no.74 dated 16.08.2022.
2.	PP has also submitted a request to SEIAA for changing the conditions for running of DG sets not more than 04 hours a day; whereas, during the appraisal the project proponent has submitted affidavit stating that the operation of DG set shall be considered only for 2 hours in case power failure, hence, operational hours for DG sets shall be considered 02 hours/day. SEAC may examine the above in view of the requirement of a health care facility, where it may not be affordable to operate under such conditions practically.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 2hr DG Set affidavit submitted earlier was for the purpose of doing air modelling and finding the requisite ground level concentration.</li> <li>• The fact that a tertiary healthcare facility like ours would have severed medical equipment running at any given point in time in a 24hr's day catering to critical patient needs; some of this would be life support systems. Hence we need to have redundancy of power back up; Generators are one such reliable power back up source. Since we have little or no hold on the grid power supply and its failure it would be prudent to run power generators as and when necessary without any restriction on running hours. Low Sulphur Diesel will be used in Generators.</li> <li>• As per the Commission for Air Quality Management in NCR and adjoining areas order dated 13.12.2021, DG sets operations for medical services</li> </ul>



		(hospital/nursing/healthcare care facilities) is permitted.
3.	The SEAC is advised to examine the fresh water requirement and mode of discharge carefully.	Fresh water requirement is 207 KLD and has been shown in the chart by the PP alongwith the recirculation of waste water from STP and discharge from ETP will be sent to multi effect evaporator. PP has also obtained assurance for discharge of 41 KLD of domestic effluent.
4.	Further, SEAC is also advised to examine the proposal of green area along with fire safety arrangements (circular passage for rescue and movement of fire tenders in case of emergency). This is wake of recent major fires incidents in various Hospitals in the country.	<p>The Green Area is 6,440 sq.m. (@30.31 % of Plot Area). As per MoEF&amp;CC Norms = 1 tree/80 SQM of plot area need to be provided, which will be 21,245.560/80= 266 Trees. However, we are proposing 428 Trees.</p> <p><b>Fire safety arrangements –</b> Adequate fire fighting arrangements along with the all necessary equipment's will be provided. The fire fighting arrangements provided in the proposed project such as Separate fire hydrant system, Fire fighting pumps, sprinkler system, CO2 extinguishing system for transformer room and other critical areas, Fire Mock drill will be conducted regarding the fire fighting arrangement during operation phase, Smoke detector will be provided.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PP has submitted all the fire safety measures will be taken as per the applicable fire safety norms.</li> <li>• Landscape Plan &amp; Fire safety Plan are submitted.</li> </ul>
5.	Size and scope of STP and ETP (keeping in view the requirements in the coming years).	The size of STP & ETP is given the details below mentioned Domestic waste water generation at present - 217KLD and STP (MBR) provided 300 KLD. Effluent generation at present 22 KLD and ETP provided 30 KLD.
6.	SEAC to also examine the scope of facilities for smooth entry and exist of movements (for the patients/attendants/professionals) from the premises in case of emergency and storage of gases in the premises.	<p>Site layout map is provided (Showing all the facilities like smooth entry and exist of movements (for the patients/attendants/professionals) from the premises in case of emergency and storage of gases in the premises). During earlier SEAC presentation a detailed study of traffic has been made. The study made a detailed analysis of the traffic situation and enumerated various mitigation measures in order to improve the level of service.</p> <p>A proper medical gas storage facility has been provided; the location of storage facility has been earmarked in the plan.</p>

The committee discussed the reply and after deliberation, decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting EC to the project after incorporating the reply of observations of SEIAA on the relevant conditions. The rest of the conditions shall



remain same as conveyed earlier vide 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022 the Authority after detailed discussions & perusal of facts/record placed on file; deemed it appropriate to refer back this case to SEAC with the following observations:**

- 1) The installation of DG sets/stack height, proximity & orientation of nearest building should not allow to create a “Heat Island Effect”. The nature of the fuel to be used for DG sets should be as per GRAP/NCAP guidelines. The storage of such fuel & even DG sets needs of NoC from the Deptt. of Petroleum & Explosives.
- 2) The internal & external traffic plan needs further scrutiny, there should not be any kind of traffic obstruction from main gate to the emergency ward. And no impediment in the free flow to external traffic due to the upcoming project. There seems to be certain obstruction, PP should make their own arrangements to ensure free flow of traffic.
- 3) On further deliberation, Authority found out that the no schematic plan has been submitted to treat the residual water of cooling tower of HVAC/DG sets, residual water would be of high TDS & should be treated & the reject should be sent to multi-effect evaporator.
- 4) Authority asked the “Technical Committee” to look into all these aspects & related aspects too, the fresh studies may be required for increasing the operational hours of the DG sets. And the same to be thoroughly checked & recommended accordingly.
- 5) Authority deliberated on the request of PP as mentioned in reply to the raised query No. 2, that “it would be prudent to run power generators as and when necessary without any restriction on running hours. “Authority found out that the studies have been carried out by taking the running of the DG sets for 2 hrs./day for the “International Pollution load”. If PP needs no restriction on the running of DG sets then the studies should have been carried out for at least 8 hrs./day and a complementing environment management plan should have been submitted. Authority drew the inference that the studies submitted are incomplete.
- 6) Examine and explain the provisions of effective controls and building management systems such as Automatic Fire Alarm and Fire Detection and Suppression System etc. must be ensured. Adequate access to fire tenders should be provided
- 7) Parking should be worked as per norms of HUDA/DTCP/MoEF for Super Specialty Hospital for OPD and 289 beds. Provision may be made for parking on surface/basement as per zoning area norms as no parking shall be permitted outside project area.

8) The Authority deliberated in the recent past, some unfortunate and heart breaking incidents of fire in the Hospitals resulted in loss of big number of lives. Therefore, it is relevant to understand whether the proper and appropriate fire fighting plans are being put in to place, while this kind of project come into being.

SEAC needs to re-look & appraised after obtaining the needful inputs in regard to fire fighting situations, & proposed safety management plan.

- the complete risk assessment plan of the fire fighting systems (water sprinkling system, water hydrant system, chemical fire extinguishers systems, capacity and storage of water for fire fighting, man power for fire fighting and protective clothing for fire fighters and liaison with the district fire fighting teams and other district authorities for use) in case of fire fighting and fire rescue system taking into account all the building design features with line diagrams of the fire fighting system and rescue systems indicating the codes, and standards and specification used with reference to NBC 2005.

**Item No. 146.07: EC for proposed Commercial complex on land measuring 14326.37 Sqm situated at site/ Building No.4, Sector-43, Urban estate Gurgaon-II, Gurugram Haryana by M/s Lekh Buildtech Private Limited**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/279545/2022 dated 22.06.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No. 657034 dated 05.06.2022.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 08.07.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental clearance.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 09.08.2022 and after due deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with two observations for examination/reconsideration of the SEAC.

The case was considered in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP submitted the reply of the observations of SEIAA as below:

Sr. No.	Observation of SEIAA	Reply
1.	The PP shall submit revised list of proposed species of trees, the PP shall increase solar to 5% of total power demand and, the PP shall maintain 15% of total plot area as landscape in addition with 5% of vertical green. The project proponent has not replied to the queries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PP has submitted the revised list of proposed tree species.</li> <li>2. Previously PP proposed 3% solar requirement of total power. During appraisal 3% solar power was increased to 5%.</li> <li>3. Previously there was total 2149.5 sqm</li> </ol>

	<p>pertaining to solar energy and SEAC has recommended this case for grant of EC without taking complete reply from the PP and has also recommended the green area to 15% only.</p>	<p>green area including on ground 1039.65 sqm (7.25% of plot area 14326.37 sqm) and vertically 1109.85 sqm. During appraisal green area was increased to 2865.274 sqm including on ground 2148.9 sqm (15% of plot area) and vertically 716.3 sqm.</p>
4.	<p>The PP has not submitted NOC under Aravali Notification and SEAC has informed that PP has informed that it is not necessary. It is not acceptable that the expert committee has relied solely upon the statement of PP and has not justified its stance in its recommendations.</p>	<p>As the plot has been allotted by Haryana ShehriVikasPradhikaran (HSVP) in auction vide Endst No. Z0002/EO018/UE029/LALOT/0000000186 dated 19.05.2022. It is a commercial plot, falls fully within a well-developed Sector 43 and was developed before EIA Notification,2006 by HUDA hence Environmental Clearance was not required. Due to unavailability of khasra number of this site, we cannot apply for Aravalli NOC, as khasra number is prerequisite information to apply for Aravalli NOC. Further to this Notification of Haryana State Pollution Control Board (HSPCB) dated 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012, page no 15, Annexure D, point No. 10 states <b><i>“For areas covered under Aravali Notification, if applicable i.e. Gurgaon/ Mewat districts (report of Tahsildar regarding Kisam of land through Deputy Commissioner and report of DFO regarding Section 4 and 5 of PLPA) are required to be submitted. No such report is required for projects/units located in approved industrial estate/approved HUDA sector in this area and area under Gurgaon and Mewat”.</i></b></p>

The committee discussed the reply and after detailed deliberation decided that the case be recommended to SEIAA for granting of EC to the project.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146th meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation i.e. To grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the additional conditions that the project proponent shall make provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load.

**Item No. 146.08: EC for proposed Commercial complex on land admeasuring 5344.61 situated at site/ Building No.1, Sector-25, Urban estate Gurgaon-II, Gurugram by M/s Lekh Buildtech Private Limited**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/279528/2022 dated 22.06.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No.657035 dated 05.06.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 08.07.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 09.08.2022 and after due deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with three observations for examination of SEAC.

The case was considered in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP submitted the reply of the observations of SEIAA as below :

Sr. No.	Observation of SEIAA	Reply
1.	The PP shall submit revised list of proposed species of trees, the PP shall increase solar to 5% of total power demand and, the PP shall maintain 15% of total plot area as landscape in addition with 5% of vertical green. The project proponent has not replied to the queries pertaining to solar energy and SEAC has recommended this case for grant of EC without taking complete reply from the PP and has also recommended the green area to 15% only.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PP has submitted the revised list of proposed tree species.</li> <li>2. Previously PP proposed (50KWP) 3.08% solar power of total power requirement. During appraisal 3.08% solar power was increased to 5% i.e 81KWP.</li> <li>3. Previously there was total 801.80 sqm green area including on ground 241.92 sqm (4.5% of plot area 5344.61 sqm) and vertically 559.88 sqm. During appraisal green area was increased to 1068.92 sqm including on ground 801.63 sqm (15% of plot area) and vertically 267.29 sqm.</li> </ol>
2.	The PP has not submitted NOC under Aravali Notification and SEAC has informed that PP has informed that it is not necessary. It is not acceptable that the expert committee has relied solely upon the statement of PP and has not justified its stance in its recommendations.	<p>As the plot has been allotted by Haryana ShehriVikasPradhikaran (HSVP) in auction vide Endst No. : Z0002/EO018/UE029/LALOT/0000000201 dated 19.05.2022 through auction. It is a commercial plot, falls fully within a well-developed Sector 25 and was developed before EIA Notification,2006 by HUDA hence, Environmental Clearance was not required. Due to unavailability of khasra number of this site, we cannot apply for Aravalli NOC, as khasra number is prerequisite information to apply for Aravalli NOC.</p> <p>Further to this Notification of Haryana State Pollution Control Board (HSPCB) dated 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012, page no 15, Annexure D, point No. 10 states <b><i>“For areas covered under Aravali Notification, if applicable i.e. Gurgaon/Mewat districts (report of</i></b></p>

		<i>Tahsildar regarding Kisam of land through Deputy Commissioner and report of DFO regarding section 4 and 5 of PLPA) are required to be submitted. <u>No such report is required for projects/units located in approved industrial estate/approved HUDA sector in this area and area under Gurgaon and Mewat</u>”.</i>
3.	The STP capacity proposed is not adequate and SEAC may look into the same.	Total sewage generated from this project is estimated to be about 113.6 KLD. Considering capacity of STP to be 125% of the quantity of sewage generation, capacity of STP so required will be 142 KLD. However, we have proposed the capacity of STP to be 150 KLD. This accounts to 132% of the sewage generation.

The committee discussed the reply submitted by the PP and after detailed deliberation decided that the case be recommended to SEIAA for granting of EC to the project.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146th meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation i.e. To grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the additional conditions that the project proponent shall make provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load.**

**Item No. 146.09: EC for Warehouse and Industrial (Agro & Non Agro Produce) Logistic Project located at Village-Chawen & Khor, Tehsil-Pataudi & Dist- Gurugram, Haryana by M/s ASD Hotels and Resorts LLP**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/280100/2022 on dated 01.07.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 245th meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 26.07.2022. The PP presented the case before the committee. The discussion was held on water source, RWH, green area, ZLD, population, DG sets, solar power, EMP, Miyawaki etc. and few observations were raised.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 09.09.2022 and after detailed deliberation SEAC recommended case to SEIAA with following details as under:

**Table 1: Basic Details**

Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/MIS/280100/2022
2.	Latitude	28°18'40.86"N
3.	Longitude	76°44'37.66" E
4.	Plot Area	98,642.21 m <sup>2</sup>



5.	Net Plot Area		96,554.15 m <sup>2</sup>
6.	Proposed Ground Coverage		51,489.67 m <sup>2</sup> (@ 53.33% of the net plot area)
7.	Proposed FAR		72,139.89m <sup>2</sup> (@ 74.71% of the net plot area)
8.	Total Built Up area		72,139.89m <sup>2</sup>
9.	Total Green Area with %		14743.23 m <sup>2</sup> (@15.27% of Net Plot Area)
10.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits (with size)		24 No. of RWH pits (effective dia. and depth of a Recharge pit 4.5 m)
11.	STP Capacity		130 KLD
12.	Total Parking		14,586.63 m <sup>2</sup>
13.	Organic Waste Converter		1
14.	Maximum Height of the Building (m)		15
15.	Power Requirement		1,193 kVA
16.	Power Backup		2 no. of DG sets of total capacity 1000 kVA (2 X 500 kVA)
17.	Total Water Requirement		159 KLD
18.	Domestic Water Requirement		114 KLD
19.	Fresh Water Requirement		62 KLD
20.	Treated Water		92 KLD
21.	Waste Water Generated		102 KLD
22.	Solid Waste Generated		790.301 kg/day
23.	Biodegradable Waste		284 kg/day
24.	R+U Value of Material used (Glass)		Component U Value R Value Roof < 0.409R-2.1 External wall < 0.352 R-2.35
25.	Total Cost of the project:	Land Cost Construction Cost	INR 50 Crores
26.	EMP Budget (per year)	Capital Cost Recurring Cost	151.43 Lakhs 26.355 Lakhs
27.	Incremental Load in respect of:	PM <sub>2.5</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CO	0.01 µg/m <sup>3</sup> 0.01 µg/m <sup>3</sup> 0.45 µg/m <sup>3</sup> 0.35 µg/m <sup>3</sup> 0.03 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
28.	Construction Phase:	Power Back-up Water Requirement & Source STP (Modular) Anti-Smoke Gun	100 kVA 145 ML & Private water tankers 1 1

**Table 2**  
**ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN**



DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE		
COMPONENT	CAPITAL COST (INR LAKH)	RECURRING COST (INR LAKH/YR)
Labor Sanitation & Waste Water Management	5	1.25
Dust Mitigation Measures Including site barricading, water sprinkling and anti- smog gun)	8	2
Storm Water Management (temporary drains and sedimentation basin)	3	0.75
Solid Waste Management	8	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>

DURING OPERATION PHASE		
COMPONENT	CAPITAL COST (INR LAKH)	RECURRING COST (INR LAKH/YR)
Sewage Treatment Plant	13	3.25
Rain Water Harvesting System	36	9
Solid Waste Management	2	0.5
Environmental Monitoring	0	9
Green Area/ Landscape Area	9	2.25
Others (Energy saving devices, miscellaneous)	10	2.5
<b>Socio-Economic</b>		
Rejuvenation of Dhig Wala Pond in Village Chawen (1.29 acres) (Pond ID- 01HRGGMGGM0002CHH001)	24	---
Setting up solar lighting facilities in	6	---
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>26.5</b>
TOTAL EMP BUDGET		
COMPONENT	CAPITAL COST (INR LAKH)	RECURRING COST (INR LAKH/YR)
During Construction Phase	23	6
During Operation Phase	100	26.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>32.5</b>

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation i.e. To grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the additional conditions that the project proponent shall make provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load.

**Item No. 146.10: Extension of Validity of EC for proposed project Mining of sand minor mineral from the riverbed Yamuna river with 19,50,000 MT production capacity over an area of 44.14 hectare located at Village- Kanalsi, Tehsil- Chhachhrauli, District- Yamuna nagar, Haryana by M/s P. S. Buildtech**

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIN/237132/2021 dated 20.12.2021 for obtaining Extension in validity of Environment Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/741 Dated 15.09.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.1,50,00/- vide DD. No.502777 dated 08.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

1. As already discussed and conveyed through the MoM of 133<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for "Extension in validity must get a "Compliance report" from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.
2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 15.09.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 235<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28.03.2022. The Chairman SEAC informed the committee that a complaint has been received through SEIAA regarding the minor minerals in the said mining. The Mining Officer present in the meeting was also informed about the complaint and Committee decided the copy of complaint be forwarded to Mining Department for their

comments and with a copy to the SEIAA. The case will be taken up after the receipt of comments of Mining Department.

The case was again taken up in 242<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 24.06.2022. The Compliance Report of earlier EC and Self Contained Note not received as per the observation raised by SEIAA in its 135<sup>th</sup> meeting. Further, the comments sought from the Mining Department, not received till date. The Mining Officer of Mining Department was present in the meeting and was directed to do the needful at the earliest. The case will be taken up after receipt of comments from Mining Department.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 245<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 25.07.2022. However, PP has submitted a letter dated 22.07.2022 to defer the case with a request to take up the case in next meeting. After due deliberation, the Committee acceded with the request of PP and decided that the case be deferred and shall be taken up in next meeting.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. PP submitted reply of complaint from Mining department, six monthly Compliance Report of earlier EC, undertaking and site photographs. The discussion was held on LOI, Mining Plan, Replenishment Study, status of compliance of Earlier EC and asked the PP to submit the relevant information. The PP submitted the reply:

1. That, the LOI to the project was granted vide letter no. DMG/HY/Cont./Kanalsi/YNR B-5/2015/10072 dated 30.11.2015 for the mineral Boulder Gravel and sand. The Lease is valid for a period of 09 years as per the LOI.
2. That, Revised mining plan is duly approved by DMG Haryana vide letter no: DMG/HY/MP/ Kanalsi/ YNR B-5/2021/5672 dated 01.12.2021.
3. That, Replenishment Study report was submitted to the Mining department, Haryana. Replenishment study is approved vide letter no DMG/HY/RS/Kanalsi block ynr b-5/2022/5116 dated 09.08.2022.
4. That, we have also obtained the status of compliance of earlier EC granted from HSPCB vide file no HSPCB-180001/84/2022-Region Yamuna Nagar-HSPCB dated 09.07.2022.

After discussion, the committee decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for grant of **extension in period of validity of earlier EC** issued to the project vide letter dated 15.09.2016 subject to decision of any court of law and NGT.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.09.2022; after detailed deliberation the Authority decided to grant of extension in period of validity of earlier EC granted to the project vide letter dated 15.09.2016, as per approved mining plan issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana vide letter No. DMG/HY/MP/ Kanalsi/ YNR B-5/2021/5672 dated 01.12.2021 for four years. As gathered from the record, the Project Proponent holds mining lease period for 9 years commencing from**

15.09.2016 (date of issuance of EC). Thus, the Authority grant extension of validity of EC till 14.09.2025 i.e. till the approved Mining Plan & lease period of the Project Proponent (approved & accepted by the Mines & Geology Department, Haryana).

Further, the Authority directs Project Proponent to comply with the directions as made vide order dated 13.09.2022 in the case of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana and CWP No. 10595 of 2022 titled as Ram Pal V/s State of Haryana & Ors.

In view of the above, The Authority decided to consider the proposal for extension of validity of the earlier EC dated 15.09.2016 till 14.09.2025. This is in pursuance to the recommendation of SEAC and lease and mining plan as approved by the Mines & Geology Department, Haryana. Further, the Authority deem it appropriate to direct Project Proponent not to carry out any activity beyond the scope and directions of orders dated 13.09.2022 in regard to pending CWP No. 10588 of 2022 titled as M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co. V/s State of Haryana and CWP No. 10595 of 2022 titled as Ram Pal V/s State of Haryana & Ors before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

**Item No. 146.11: EC for Expansion of Proposed Mixed land use colony under ToD policy on land measuring 15.03125 acres in Sector 113, Gurgaon, Manesar Urban Complex Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Union Buildmart Pvt. Ltd**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/75100/2018 dated 14.04.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No. 500411 dated 25.01.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 08.07.2022 and the Committee recommended the case to SEIAA for grant of EC.

The recommendations of SEAC were taken up in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 08.08.2022. After having gone through the records and recommendations of the SEAC, the Authority raised 03 observations and referred back the case to SEAC.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised by SEIAA as below:

No.	Observation of SEIAA	Reply
1.	The status of Revenue Rasta is not clearly defined and discussed relating to the project in the recommendations of SEAC.	PP will not use revenue rasta for any purpose and has proposed their services in a way that revenue rasta is not affected (services provided without using revenue rasta). The affidavit is

	Therefore, the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC, with the instructions to direct the PP to submit necessary permission for the Revenue Rasta from the competent authority.	also attached herewith as Annexure 1. In future if any scenario arises that the services lines need to cross the revenue rasta then permission to cross the revenue rasta from competent authority will be obtained, EC shall be amended accordingly.
2.	The authority further observed that the capacity of the STP proposed is inadequate and deems it appropriate to enhance to 1,550 KLD. SEAC may examine/appraise and make recommendations, accordingly.	TOR has been approved by EAC infra 2, MoEF&CC for STP capacity of 1480 KLD and same is taken up in the EIA study. The total waste water generation was 1234 KLD for which proposed STP was 1480 KLD i.e. 120 % of the total waste water generation. Now as suggested by SEIAA, Haryana we have increased capacity of STP from 120 % to 125 % i.e. 1480 KLD to 1550 KLD.
3.	Considering the fact that a huge quantity of effluent will be generated and the proposal for reusing the entire quantity of water in flushing, gardening and other internal purposes does not seem to be feasible proposition, therefore, it was decided that the PP shall also obtain necessary permission from the competent authority for sewer connections and the same shall be verified by SEAC before recommending the case.	Keeping in the view of conservation of water EAC/ SEAC/ SEIAA directs all the project proponents to reuse the treated water generated in their project under landscaping, flushing, DG cooling as much as possible to reduce the fresh water requirement. Hence we have also given the proposal to reuse the treated water within the above said heads to conserve the fresh water to the extent possible. Total treated water generation for the project will be 1111 KLD and recycled treated water will be 901 KLD. Surplus treated water i.e. 210 KLD will be discharged in public sewer. PP submitted assurance for discharge of treated effluent dated 23.12.2021.

The committee discussed the reply and after deliberation, decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting EC to the project after incorporating the reply of observations of SEIAA on the relevant conditions. The rest of the conditions shall remain same as conveyed earlier vide 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022.

**The recommendations of SEAC were considered in 146<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20.09.2022. After detailed discussions and examination of facts on record; it reveals that the excavation has been done on the REVENUE RASTA AND EXPANSION PART ALSO by the project proponent.**

**Therefore, Authority decided to constitute a sub-committee consisting of Sh. V.K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC and Shri R. Bhaskar, Expert Member of SEIAA to visit the site and submit report in regard to actual status of the construction/project. Regional Officer, Gurugram (South) will assist the committee. The Sub-Committee will submit report within 15 days, positively.**

**Item No. 146.12: EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse and Industrial Shed located at Village Durina, Tehsil & District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s Aaravalli Logistics**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/76630/2021 dated 06.05.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> & 247<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC for appraisal and final recommended case to SEIAA for grant of EC with following details of project as under:

**Table -1 Basic Details**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Existing	Expansion	Total Area (in M <sup>2</sup> )
	<b>Online Project Proposal Number</b>	SIA/HR/MIS/76630/2021		
1.	Latitude	28°33'25.76"N		
2.	Longitude	76°43'6.42"E		
3.	Plot Area	2,19,461.28	1,07,565.19	3,27,026.47
4.	Net Plot Area	--	--	--
5.	Proposed Ground Coverage	1,30,467.00	56,334.00	1,86,801.00
6.	Proposed FAR	1,45,945	87817.46	2,33,762.46
7.	Non FAR Area	1,100.0	-1,100.0	--
8.	Total Built Up area	<b>1,47,045</b>	<b>86,717.46</b>	<b>2,33,762.46</b>
9.	Total Green Area with Percentage	34,016.49 (@ 15.49 % of the plot area)	16,639.91	50,656.4 (@ 20.65 % of the plot area)
10.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits	56	25	81
11.	STP Capacity	250 KLD	140 KLD	390 KLD
12.	Total Parking	35,113.80 sqm	14,267.2 sqm	49,381.0 sqm
13.	Organic Waste Converter	1	--	1
14.	Maximum Height of the Building (m)	21	--	21
15.	Power Requirement (kVA)	3 MVA	4.1 MVA	7.1 MVA
16.	Power Backup	6 DG Sets of total capacity of 4,085 kVA (2 X 1010, 2 X 600, 1 X 500 kVA and 1 x 365 kVA)	--	6 DG Sets of total capacity of 4,085 kVA (2 X 1010, 2 X 600, 1 X 500 kVA and 1 x 365



					kVA)
17.	Total Water Requirement		330 KLD	179 KLD	509 KLD
18.	Domestic Water Requirement		228 KLD	129 KLD	357 KLD
19.	Fresh Water Requirement		125 KLD	111 KLD	236 KLD
20.	Treated Water		183 KLD	90 KLD	273 KLD
21.	Waste Water Generated		203 KLD	107 KLD	310 KLD
22.	Solid Waste Generated		1,550 kg/day	890.6 kg/day	2,440.6 kg/day
23.	Biodegradable Waste		620 kg/day	259 kg/day	879 kg/day
24.	Number of Towers		5 sheds	3 sheds	8 sheds
25.	R+U Value of Material used (Glass)		The project will involve limited use of clear & tinted glass having U-value less than 3.11w/m <sup>2</sup> -°C.	--	The project will involve limited use of clear & tinted glass having U-value less than 3.11w/m <sup>2</sup> -°C.
26.	Total Cost of the project:	i) Land Cost	INR 290 Crore	+ INR 127.18 Crore	INR 417.18 Crore
		ii) Construction Cost			
27.	EMP Budget (per year)	Capital Cost	Rs. 186 lacs	+Rs.725 lacs	Rs. 911 lacs
		Recurring Cost	Rs. 44.65 lacs	+ Rs.134.35	Rs. 179 lacs
28.	Incremental Load in respect of:	PM 2.5		0.58	0.01 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		PM 10		0.122	0.02 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		SO <sub>2</sub>		1.75	0.12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		NO <sub>2</sub>		2.83	0.24 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		CO		0.73	0.12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
34.	Status of Construction	The construction status of site as on date is as follows: Construction of T-01 block & utility building has been completed.			
35.	Construction Phase:	Power Back-up	100 kVA	-	100 kVA
		Water Requirement & Source	294 ML	173 ml	467 ML
		STP (Modular)	1	1	1
		Anti-Smoke Gun	1	1	1

**Table -2 EMP Details**

**DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

S. No	Particulars	Capital Cost (Lakhs)	Annual Recurring Cost (Lakhs)
1	Pollution Control system	10.0	--
2	Air Pollution Control Systems (Water sprinklers, mechanical broomers, stack etc.)	15.0	5.0
3	Rainwater harvesting systems	10.0	3.0
4	Sewage Treatment Plant (STP)	15.0	5.0
5	Environmental Management Department	4.0	1.0
6	Environmental Laboratory	4.0	1.0
7	Noise Reduction Systems	2.0	0.5
8	Occupational Health Management	2.0	0.5
9	Green Belt Development	10.0	3.0
10	Fire fighting systems	5.0	1.5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77.0</b>	<b>20.5</b>

<b>DURING OPERATION PHASE</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST(INRLAKH)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST(INRLAKH/YR)</b>
Sewage Treatment Plant	300.0	75.0
Rain Water Harvesting System	150.0	40.0
Solid Waste Management	10.0	2.5
Environmental Monitoring	0	9.0
Green Area/ Landscape Area	100.0	25.0
Others (Energy saving devices, solar panel etc. & miscellaneous)	25.0	7.0
<b>Socio-Economic</b>		
1. Rejuvenation of Jattu Wala Pond in Village Aurangpur (Pond ID-01HRJJRJR0273AURA001) 2. Rejuvenation of Chamara Wala Pond in Village Aurangpur (Pond ID-01HRJJRJR0273AURA002) 3. Rejuvenation of Dhanka Wala Pond in Village Aurangpur (Pond ID-01HRJJRJR0273AURA004)	120.0	---
Providing sports equipment in the following local Govt. Schools- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Silani at approx. 3.5 km in WNW direction.</li> <li>Govt. Primary School, Bodia at approx. 4.0 km in NNE direction.</li> <li>Govt. Primary School, Munimpur at approx. 4.3 km in SE direction.</li> </ul>	79.0	---

Providing solar panels in the following nearby villages- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Village-Kiloi at approx. 1.3 km in ESE direction</li> <li>• Village-Surah at approx.. 1.5 km in East direction</li> <li>• Village-Silana at approx. 2.6 km in West direction</li> </ul>	50.0	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>834.0</b>	<b>158.5</b>

<b>TOTAL EMP BUDGET</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST(IN RLAKH)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST(IN RLAKH/YR)</b>
During Construction Phase	77.0	20.5
During Operation Phase	834.0	158.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>911.0</b>	<b>179.0</b>

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; the Authority after detailed discussions & perusal of facts placed on record; deemed it appropriate to refer back this case to SEAC with the following observations:

- 1- The Green area indicated and recommended are not in harmony (@ 20.65%. i.e.  $32726.46 * 20.65/100 = 67530.869$  sqm. Whereas the PP has mentioned 50656.4 sqm)
- 2- Compliance report in regard to the conditions stipulated in original is not mentioned/ reflect in the recommendations.
- 3- No convening proposal seems to have been placed in regard disposal of treated water. Clarification required points mentioned above therefore SEAC is required to look fresh and frame recommendations accordingly.

**Item No. 146.13: EC for proposed Expansion of Plotted Colony on 23.2999 acres land under DDJAY Scheme at Village Behrampur & Ullawas, Sector-61, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Commander Realtors Private Limited and others.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/57972/2020 on 07.06.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/ SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted the scrutiny fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No. 701380 dated 06.01.2022.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 08.07.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental clearance.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 08.08.2022 and the Authority referred back this case to SEAC with 04 observations for examination. Further, SEAC was advised to take note of the issue of permission for sewer connections placed on record with clear-cut marking of location and capacity to be discharge, before recommending the cases of housing and commercial complexes and also to examine the present mode of disposal in the existing project and offer its comments/recommendations, for further consideration.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP submitted the reply vide letter dated 31.08.2022 as under:-

Sr. No.	Observation raised by SEIAA	Reply																
1.	As the plot area has been increased, the PP has not increased the green area proportionately in the expansion part and SEAC has also not taken cognizance of the same.	<p>After due deliberation during SEAC presentation, the landscape plan has been revised. Now we have proportionately increased the green area in the expansion part of the project.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Particulars</th> <th>AS per Previous EC</th> <th>AS per Revised Proposal</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Plot area, sqm</td> <td>91534.687</td> <td>2757.017</td> <td>94291.704</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Green Area, sqm</td> <td>18604.88</td> <td>560.00</td> <td>19164.88sqm</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Percentage of total area</td> <td>20.32 %</td> <td>20.32 %</td> <td>20.32 %</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Particulars	AS per Previous EC	AS per Revised Proposal	Total	Plot area, sqm	91534.687	2757.017	94291.704	Green Area, sqm	18604.88	560.00	19164.88sqm	Percentage of total area	20.32 %	20.32 %	20.32 %
Particulars	AS per Previous EC	AS per Revised Proposal	Total															
Plot area, sqm	91534.687	2757.017	94291.704															
Green Area, sqm	18604.88	560.00	19164.88sqm															
Percentage of total area	20.32 %	20.32 %	20.32 %															
2.	The status of Revenue Rasta has not been clearly defined and discussed in the recommendations of the SEAC. SEAC is required to direct the PP to obtain necessary permission for the Revenue Rasta from the competent authority for the said project.	PP will not use revenue rasta for any purpose and provide separate services for separate pockets, so that there is no crossing/interference of revenue rasta. In future if any scenario arises that the services lines needs to cross the revenue rasta then permission to cross the revenue rasta from competent authority will be obtained, EC shall be amended accordingly. The affidavit is attached as Annexure-2.																
3.	Water Balance submitted in the EIA Report (Page 28) needs to be re-examined with reference to the one given in the presentation.	<p>Water balance submitted during the presentation and Water balance given in the EIA report at page no 28, both are same and for proposed expansion part only. Overall water balance is given below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Particulars</th> <th>As per Previous EC</th> <th>Due to Proposed Expansion</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Water</td> <td>837 KLD</td> <td>19 KLD</td> <td>856 KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fresh water</td> <td>551 KLD</td> <td>14 KLD</td> <td>565 KLD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Waste Water Generation</td> <td>635 KLD</td> <td>15 KLD</td> <td>650 KLD</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Particulars	As per Previous EC	Due to Proposed Expansion	Total	Total Water	837 KLD	19 KLD	856 KLD	Fresh water	551 KLD	14 KLD	565 KLD	Waste Water Generation	635 KLD	15 KLD	650 KLD
Particulars	As per Previous EC	Due to Proposed Expansion	Total															
Total Water	837 KLD	19 KLD	856 KLD															
Fresh water	551 KLD	14 KLD	565 KLD															
Waste Water Generation	635 KLD	15 KLD	650 KLD															

		Treated Water available for reuse	571.5 KLD	13.5 KLD	585 KLD
		Recycled treated water	286 KLD	5 KLD	291 KLD
		Excess treated water discharged into public sewer	285.5 KLD	8.5 KLD	294 KLD
		Proposed STP Capacity	800 KLD	20 KLD	820 KLD
		<b>STP Proposed</b>	<b>820 KLD (126 % of waste water generation)</b>		
		Excess 294 KLD treated water will be discharged into public sewer.			
4.	The disposal mode of effluent generated, shown in project proposal (nearby construction site) is vague, and not a realistic. Several cases have been reported, where untreated / partially treated effluent is being discharged, illegally through tankers in the nearby drains / rivers / water bodies, causing pollution (foul smell, unhealthy condition). Hon'ble NGT and HSPCB have also taken cognizance of the same and made serious observations on this issue.	Excess 294 KLD treated water will be discharged into public sewer. PP submitted assurance for disposal of treated effluent dated 09.06.2022.			

The committee discussed the reply and after deliberation, decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting EC to the project after incorporating the reply of observations of SEIAA on the relevant conditions. The rest of the conditions shall remain same as conveyed earlier vide 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; the Authority after detailed discussions & perusal of facts placed on record; deemed it appropriate to refer back this case to SEAC with the following observations:**

- 1- Plot area increases 2757.017 Sq. Meters but FAR increases 13105.25 Sq. Meters (Approximately 4.7 times), give justification.
- 2- The Aravalli NOC dated 30.10.2013 Supported for the project pertains to village **Ullawas, Sector-60** and the project is indicated at some other location at Village Behrampur, Sector-61.



It needs to be clarified/ understood whether the NOC placed on record is a composite Aravalli clearance or same has been in wrongly placed in support of project. The document does not reflect clear connect and relevance with the project. It needs to be verified.

- 3- With Regard to Revenue Rasta, there has to be clear-cut reply, weather the project proponent holds the right for the use of Revenue Rasta or not.
- 4- The services to be provided to the community centre which is proposed to be constructed across the revenue rasta. Needs clear appraisal & recommendation.

**Item No. 146/14: EC for Expansion of Expansion Mixed Land Use Colony (70% Residential and 30% Commercial) on the land measuring 14.4125 Acres in Revenue Estate of village Maidawas and Badshahpur, Sector 65 of GMUC, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Mangalam Multiplex Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/72534/2022 dated 31.05.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000/- vide DD No. 513317 dated 24.01.2022.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 08.07.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA for grant of Environmental clearance.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 08.08.2022 and the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with two observations.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP vide letter dated 31.08.2022, submitted reply to observations raised by SEIAA is as under:-

Sr. No.	Observation raised by SEIAA	Reply
1.	The project proponent does not have a valid license whereas he has produced a copy of application for renewal of license and SEAC has recommended this case for grant of EC without asking valid license. The License was valid till 01.05.2022. This is a serious issue/lapse and amounts to casual approach.	Renewal of License has been obtained vide memo No. LC-3281 (A+B+C) Vol-II-JE (VA)-2022/23295 dated 08.08.2022.
2.	Water Balance diagram submitted in the EIA Report on Page No. 29 at figure No. 2.4 is not in consonance with what has been given in the presentation.	Water balance submitted during the presentation and Water balance given in the EIA report at page no 29, both are same and for proposed expansion part only. Overall water balance is given below:

Particulars	As per Previous EC	Due to Proposed Expansion	Total
Total Water	1499 KLD	30 KLD	1529 KLD
Fresh water	684 KLD	20 KLD	704 KLD
Waste Water Generation	1016 KLD	24 KLD	1040 KLD
Treated Water available for reuse	914 KLD	21.6 KLD	936 KLD
Recycled treated water	815 KLD	10 KLD	825 KLD
Excess treated water discharged into public sewer	99 KLD	11.6 KLD	111 KLD
Proposed STP Capacity Earlier	1220 KLD	30 KLD	1250 KLD
<b>STP Proposed Now</b>	<b>1300 KLD (125 % of waste water generation)</b>		

Excess 111 KLD treated water will be discharged into public sewer. Permission for sewerage connection is attached as **Annexure 2**.

The committee discussed the reply and after deliberation, decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting EC to the project after incorporating the reply of observations of SEIAA on the relevant conditions. The rest of the conditions shall remain same as conveyed earlier vide 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; the Authority after detailed discussions & perusal of records/facts placed on file; deemed it appropriate to refer back this case to SEAC with the following observations:**

- 1- Status of Aravali NOC is not clear.
- 2- Ground coverage increases to 468.242 Sq. Meters but the plot area remains same. SEAC to examine and explain where the Ground Coverage is increasing as all the towers are in construction phase.

3- Maximum height of building will be 163.075 Meters but the PP has obtained permission of 160.95 Meters from Airport Authority of India, please examine and explain

Therefore, SEAC requires to re-look into these aspect, carefully, particularly the height permission and the actual status being requested for height in the project.

**Item No. 146.15: EC under Violation for Project “Corporate Office” at Plot No. 13, Sector 32, Urban Estate Gurugram-II, Haryana by M/s Padmini Technologies Ltd**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/278481/2022 dated 17.06.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000/- vide DD No. 535727 dated 02.04.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022 and recommended the case to SEIAA for grant of EC under violation category.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 144<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 09.08.2022 and made the four observations.

The case was taken up in 247<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP vide letter dated 25.08.2022 submitted the detailed reply of observations raised by SEIAA as below:

Sr. No	Observation	Reply
1	This case, being considered under violation category, requires to be examined critically in view of the SOPs given. A Certificate is required from the field officers of HSPCB on ‘whether any further violation has been committed, beyond the extent indicated by the PP’ and this has not been done by SEAC.	<p>The Damage Assessment report has been prepared as per the SOP for violation cases dated 07.07.2021 considering the complete building of 27,988.827 m<sup>2</sup> under violation.</p> <p>Total Cost of remediation towards  <b>(i) Environmental Damages and natural resource and community Augmentation plan proposed is Rs. ₹60.14 Lakh</b></p> <p><b>(ii) 1% penalty as per SOP 7th July 2021, Clause no 12.a(ii) -Rs. 60.13 lakh</b></p> <p><b>(iii) 0.25 % of Total Turnover as per SOP 7th July 2021, Clause no 12.a(ii)- Rs. 25.3512.</b></p> <p>A show cause notice was issued by RO, HSPCB on 18.02.2020 stating that- <i>the</i></p>

		<p><i>environmental clearance under EIA Notification 14.09.2006 as total built up area of your building (including basements) is more than 20000 Sqm as per occupation certificate issued by Estate Officer, HUDA, Gurugram vide letter No. Z0002/E0018/UE029/OCCER/0000000339 dated 17.08.2016. The Copy of Show cause Notice is attached as Annexure 1.</i></p> <p><i>An affidavit stating- that the built up area constructed is 27,988.827 m<sup>2</sup> and building is operational for the same. Further to that no construction activity has been undertaken at the site.</i></p> <p><b>Affidavit stating the above points is attached as annexure 2.</b></p> <p><i>That the built up area is in line with the Building plan approval dated 01.01.2015 and Occupancy Certificate dated 17.08.2016. The Copy of Building plan Approval and Occupancy certificate is enclosed as Annexure 3.</i></p>
2	<p>It has been observed that SEAC has not verified the requirement of NOC under Aravali notification and has solely relied upon the statement of the PP that it is not applicable in this case. This stance taken by the expert body is not acceptable and appreciable.</p>	<p>The land is allocated by HUDA, Gurgaon and there are no khasra numbers allocated/mentioned in the allotment papers.</p> <p>Whereas, NOC for Aravali can be given to the land based on the Khasra number allotted. Also HUDA sectors were well developed before 2006.</p>
3	<p>A HT line is passing through the project site and SEAC has not made any comments/recommendations/suggestions on the same(PP needs to be directed 15 meters ROW, both sides need to be maintained) along with other applicable conditions. SEAC comments and recommendations must figure clearly on such issues, invariably in relevant cases.</p>	<p>The Electric pole was removed from the site in 2006 and the project was executed after shifting of that Electric pole. <b>The copy of the final Decree is attached as Annexure 5</b> stating that the electric pole has been removed from the project site.</p>
4	<p>SEAC has recommended this project for grant of EC under violation category subject to condition that SPCB taking action against the PP. Credible action is a crucial part of such violation cases and SEAC may ensure that in all such violation cases, recommendations are made only after satisfying itself of the credible</p>	<p>SEIAA in its 127th Minutes of meeting has stated that <b>Authority after deliberations acceded to the request of PP for consideration of the case under Violation Category. In the meanwhile, prosecution action will be initiated against the PP. The minutes of the 127th SEIAA, Haryana Meeting is attached as Annexure 6.</b></p>

	<p>action taken by the competent enforcement authorities concerned against all the violations identified.</p>	<p>PP submitted that we are also in the process of obtaining credible action from the competent authority.</p> <p>However till date HSPCB has not filed any court case against the project.</p>
--	---	---

The committee discussed the reply and after deliberation, decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting EC under Violation category to the project after incorporating the reply of observations of SEIAA on the relevant conditions. The rest of the conditions shall remain same as conveyed earlier vide 244<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 09.07.2022.

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; Authority after perusal of records gathered that Project in question is already pending for the opinion of Learned LR, Haryana. Appropriate action shall be taken upon receiving the opinion of LR in this case.**

**Item No.146.16: Extension of validity of Environment Clearance for the development of Residential Group Housing Colony located at Sector 64 Sonipat Kundli Multifunctional urban complex Haryana by M/s Kamal Ideal Infratech Private Limited.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/287371/2022 dated 05.08.2022 for obtaining **Extension of validity of Environment Clearance** under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted DD of Rs.2,00,000/- dated 05.08.2022 against the scrutiny fee.

The case was taken up in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022. The PP submitted a brief note as under:

- The previous Environment Clearance was granted vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2014/1062 dated 06<sup>th</sup> August 2014 for plot area 43850.182 Sqm and 124204.32 Sqm built-up area. The Environment clearance was valid till 5<sup>th</sup> August 2021.
- The present application has been submitted for extension of validity of EC on 05<sup>th</sup> August 2022 (before expiry of validity) for additional 3 years.
- The MoEF&CC Notification dated 18<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 clearly states that ***“9A. Not with standing anything contained in this notification, the period from the 1st April, 2020 to 31st March, 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of Prior Environmental Clearances granted under the provisions of this notification in view of outbreak of Corona Virus (COVID-19) and subsequent lockdowns (total or partial) declared***



***for its control, however, all activities undertaken during this period in respect of the Environmental Clearance granted shall be treated as valid.”***

The PP has further submitted that keeping in view the above mentioned notification the validity of EC was extended till 5<sup>th</sup> August 2022. Further, the PP also submitted that vide notification dated 12.04.2022, the MoEF&CC, it is amended that the prior environmental clearance granted for an existing or new project or activity shall be valid for a period of ten years. The PP has further provided the key details of the project as per the previous EC as under:

#### **Basic Details**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Quantity</b>
1	Plot Area	43850.182 Sqm
2	Built UP Area	124204.32 Sqm
3	Maximum Height of the building	45 mtrs
4	Total Water Requirement	632 KLD
5	Fresh water Requirement	381 KLD
6	Waste water generation	456 KLD
7	Capacity of STP	365 KLD
8	Total Power Requirement	7005.6 KVA
9	Green Area	30.16 % of plot area (20.16 % for Tree plantation – 10 % landscaping )
10	Solid Waste Generation	1766 KG/Day
11	Total Proposed Parking	1030 ECS
12	Proposed RWH Pits	11 Pits

After detailed discussion on the submission made by the PP before the Committee, it was decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for the Extension of Validity of EC for 3 years (as per MoEF&CC Notification dated 12th April, 2022).

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; the Authority deemed it appropriate that before considering the issue of Validity of Extension it is important to get inputs, weather the Project proponent has complied with the terms & conditions as stipulated in original EC. It needs to be also understood whether there are any violation at the end of project proponent within the scope and ambient of 14.09.2006.**

**Authority feels that the recommendation could have been made on the line of delisting the project in the light of Notification dated 12.04.2022.**

**Therefore, Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for clear cut recommendations after examination.**

**Item No. 146.17: EC for Setting up of Cement grinding unit with Cement production capacity of 1.5 MTPA by M/s NUVOCO Vistas Corporation Ltd**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online application/proposal no. **SIA/HR/IND/81967/2022** dated 08.08.2022 along with copy of EIA/EMP report for seeking Environment Clearance (EC) under the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 for the project mentioned above. The proposed project activity is covered under 3(b) Cement Plants under Category “B” of the schedule of EIA Notification, 2006. The project has been granted ToR on 08.04.2022 by SEAC in its 236<sup>th</sup> meeting. The PP has submitted DD of Rs.2,00,000/- dated 17.12.2021 against the scrutiny fee.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA with following details as under

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1	Latitude	28°27'04.202" N to 28°27'29.647" N
2	Longitude	76°16'21.615" E to 76°16'35.987" E
3	Plot Area	12.94 Ha.
4	Total Built Up area	Plant area: 3.74 ha; Roads : 2.44 ha; Greenbelt: 4.27 ha, Open Area : 2.49 ha
5	Total Green Area with Percentage	4.27 (33% of total project area)
6	Rain Water Harvesting Pits	4 RWH Pits to Harvest 1513 m <sup>3</sup> /hr rain water
7	STP Capacity	18 KLD
8	Power Requirement	10 MW
9	Power Backup	1500 KVA DG Set
10	Solar Power	20% of Total Power requirement
10	Total Water Requirement	195 KLD
11	Domestic Water Requirement	20 KLD
12	Fresh Water Requirement	195 KLD
13	Treated Water	12 KLD
14	Waste Water Generated	15 KLD
15	Solid Waste Generated	600 TPA Bag filter dust which will be recycled in process
16	Biodegradable Waste	2 kg/day sludge from STP
17	Total Cost of the project:	Rs. 21565 Lakhs
	i. Land Cost	Rs. 1450 Lakhs
	ii. Construction Cost	Rs. 6000 Lakhs
	iii. Plant and Machinery Cost	Rs. 8400 Lakhs
	iv. Pollution control equipment	Rs. 1250 Lakhs
18	CER	Rs. 5.4 Crores
19	Incremental Load in respect of:	
	i) PM10	0.0385 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	ii) PM2.5	0.0246 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	iii) SO2	0.0215 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	iv) NOx	0.0369 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

**Raw Material Requirement**

S. No.	Material	Quantity (MTPA)		Source	Distance / Mode of Transportation
		PPC	OPC		
1.	Clinker	1.0	1.425	Nuvoco Cement Plant: Chittorgarh and Nimbol/Open market	595 & 475 km by road
2.	Fly ash	0.5	-	Coal Fired Power plant in Jharli, Jhajjar/Open market	13 - 15 km / 300 km by Road
3	Mineral/ Chemical Gypsum	0.05	0.03	Binakner mines, Bhavnagar/Bharuch, Ashapuraperfoclay, Hindustan zinc waste, Import/Open market	450- 1100 km by road

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC with the direction to re-look & make clear-cut proposal with regard to the followings:**

- 1) Fresh water intake and domestic water is 20 KLD, Details of water balance (Water Intake, Use, wastewater generation) taking into account reuse and recirculation of effluent. The Authority feels it appropriate call for clarification and clear-cut recommendations of SEAC regarding additional water conservation measures proposed for the project.
- 2) Examine the feasibility of zero discharge. In case of any proposed discharge, its quantity, quality and point of discharge, users downstream, etc.
- 3) Explore the possibility of cooling towers installation. Details regarding the same.
- 4) Details regarding fly ash utilization as per new notification
- 5) Detailed plan of fly ash utilization / management.
- 6) Details of evacuation of fly ash.
- 7) SEAC needs to re-look the complete risk assessment plan of the fire fighting systems.

**Item No. 146.18: EC for Expansion of “Mapsko Garden Estate” Plotted Township project at Sector 26 & 27 Village Ahamadpur, Sonapat, Haryana M/s MAPSKO Builders Pvt. Ltd**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online application/proposal no. **SIA/HR/MIS/70886/2022** dated 06.08.2022 for seeking Environment Clearance (EC) under the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 for the project mentioned above. The proposed project activity is covered under Category 8(b) of the schedule of EIA Notification, 2006. The scrutiny fee has been deposited vide DD of Rs.2,00,000/- dated 05.01.2022 at the time of filing application for approval of ToR. The ToR granted by SEIAA, Haryana vide letter No. File No SEIAA(137)/HR/2022/734 dated 08.04.2022.

The case is taken up in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA with following details as under:

**Table 1: Basic Details**

Sr. No.	Particulars	As per Existing EC	Modification & Expansion	Total
1.	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/MIS/70886/2022		
2.	Latitude	28°58'05.25"N		
3.	Longitude	77°03'12.87"E		
4.	Total Plot Area	134.205 Acres	6.7875 Acres	137.24375 Acres
5.	Built up area	443343 sqm	-	443343 sqm
6.	Total Green Area with Percentage	108598 sqm	2081 sqm	110679 sqm 20 %
7.	Rain Water Harvesting Pond	134 Nos	2 Nos	136 Nos
8.	STP Capacity	2200 KLD (to be install)	Will be treated in proposed STP	2200 KLD (the capacity of STP already proposed in the was not increased as it was sufficient to cater the demand of additional area of 6.7875 Acres. PP has given the time schedule for completion of STP June, 2025 during presentation for appraisal) The assurance from HSVP for discharge of sewage has been obtained vide letter NO.HDM/2021/5947 & 5937 dated 02.08.2021 copy attached
9.	Total Parking	-	-	-
10.	Organic Waste Converter	-	1 Nos.	1Nos
11.	Maximum height & number of floors (in meter)	Less than 15 m	Less than 15 m	Less than 15 m
12.	Power Requirement	132500 KW	350 KW	132850 KW
13.	Total Water Requirement (KLD)	1956 KLD	80 KLD	2036 KLD
14.	Fresh Water Requirement (KLD)	1493 KLD	62 KLD	1555 KLD
15.	Waste Water	1834 KLD	70 KLD	1904 KLD

	Generated (KLD)			
16.	Solid Waste Generated ( kg/day)	8013 kg/day	175 kg/day	8188 kg/day
17.	Biodegradable Waste ( kg/day)	-	105 kg/day	4912.8 kg/day
18.	Total Cost of the project:	370 cr		

**Table 2: EMP BUDGET**

<b>Environment Budget (Construction Phase)</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum</b>
BARRICADING OF CONSTRUCTION SITE	7.5	1.65
ANTI - SMOG GUN WITH COMPLETE ASSEMBLY	5	2.4
DUST MITIGATION MEASURES	1.5	0.25
SITE SANITATION	2	1
MOBILE STP	3	1
DISINFECTION/ PEST CONTROL		0.5
LABOUR HEALTH CHECK UP & FIRST AID FACILITY	1	0.5
LABOR WELFARE (canteen, creche, safeaccess road - water power, cooking kerosene/gas)	2.5	1.5
WHEEL WASHING	1	0.5
WASTE STORAGE BINS - LABOUR CAMP/SITE OFFICES	1.5	0.75
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNAGES	1.5	0.15
SAFETY TRAINING TO WORKERS		1
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCE REPORT OF EC CONDITIONS		2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26.5</b>	<b>13.2</b>

<b>ENVIRONMENT BUDGET (Operation Stage)</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum</b>
RAIN WATER HARVESTING SYSTEM (1Nos)	3.5	0.53
HORTICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (TREE PLANTATION & LANDSCAPING)	34.65	8.66
POND MAINTAINACE	27	0.00
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6MONTHLY COMPLIANCES OF ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE CONDITIONS		2.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65.15</b>	<b>11.19</b>

**The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146th meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022;** after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the following additional conditions:

1. The provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load shall be provided.
2. The project proponent shall install STP with the capacity of 2300 KLD.
3. Project proponent shall obtain requisite sewer connection/permission from the Competent Authority. Haryana State Pollution Control Board and Town and Country Planning Department will not provide **Consent to operate and Occupation Certificate** without having being complied with the aforesaid condition i.e. Sewer Connection.
4. Further, PP will ensure that Green area will remain same as mentioned in the earlier Environmental Clearance and will not reduce in any manner.

**Item No. 146.19: EC for Proposed Expansion of Residential Group Housing project "MAPSKO MOUNT VILLE" at Sector 79, Gurugram, Haryana M/s MAPSKO Builders Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online application/proposal no. **SIA/HR/MIS/76007/2022** dated 21.07.2022 for seeking Environment Clearance (EC) under the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 for the project mentioned above. The proposed project activity is covered under Category 8(b) of the schedule of EIA Notification, 2006. The scrutiny fee has been deposited vide DD of Rs.2,00,000/- dated 15.04.2022 at the time of filing application for approval of ToR. The ToR granted by SEIAA, Haryana vide letter dated 20.05.2022

The case is taken up in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022 and recommended case to SEIAA with following details as under;

Sr. No.	Particulars	As per Existing EC	Modification & Expansion	Total
	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/MIS/76007/2022		
1.	Latitude	28°21'40.61"N		
2.	Longitude	76°58'15.95"E		
3.	Total Plot Area	66242.88765 sqmt	-	66242.88765 sqmt
4.	Net Plot Area	60897.4 sqmt	-	60897.4 sqmt
5.	Built up area	155796.66	17907.02 sqmt	173703.68 sqmt
6.	Total Green Area with Percentage	19972.23 sqmt	-	19972.23 sqmt 30.15 %
7.	Rain Water Harvesting Pond	16	1	17 Nos.
8.	STP Capacity	740 KLD	-	740 KLD (there is only increase of 4 nos. in total population due to increase in built-up area as such there is no increase of total capacity of STP as already provided in existing EC).



9.	Total Parking	1484	-	1484
10.	Organic Waste Converter	-	1 Nos.	1Nos
11.	Maximum height & number of floors (in meter)	105.1	-	105.1
12.	Power Requirement	10100 KVA	5110 KVA	15210 KVA
13.	Power Backup	-	-	3500 KVA
14.	Total Water Requirement (KLD)	855 KLD	0.34 KLD	855.34 KLD
15.	Fresh Water Requirement (KLD)	524 KLD	0.26 KLD	524.26 KLD
16.	Recycled/Treated Water Requirement (KLD)	331	0.08 KLD	331.08 KLD
17.	Waste Water Generated (KLD)	614 KLD	0.3 KLD	614.3 KLD
18.	Solid Waste Generated ( kg/day)	2715.50 kg/day	524.5 kg/day	3240 kg/day
19.	Biodegradable Waste ( kg/day)	-	312	1930 kg/day
20.	Dwelling Units	812	-10	802
21.	EWS	144	+6	150
22.	Service Personnel Units	76	+12	88
23.	Nursery School, Club and Convenient Shopping	-	-	1 Nos.
24.	Max. no. of Floors	-	-	B+St+30
25.	Total Cost of the project:	462 cr.	50 Cr.	512 Cr.
26.	Incremental Load in respect of:	PM 10		0.316 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		PM 2.5		0.048 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		SO <sub>2</sub>		0.316 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		NO <sub>X</sub>		1.29 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		CO		0.007 mg/m <sup>3</sup>

**Table 2: EMP BUDGET**

<b>Environment Budget (Construction Phase)</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum</b>
BARRICADING OF CONSTRUCTION SITE	7.5	1.65
ANTI - SMOG GUN WITH COMPLETE ASSEMBLY	5	2.4
DUST MITIGATION MEASURES	1.5	0.25
SITE SANITATION	2	1
MOBILE STP	3	1
DISINFECTION/ PEST CONTROL		0.5
LABOUR HEALTH CHECK UP & FIRST AID FACILITY	1	0.5
LABOR WELFARE (canteen, creche, safeaccess	2.5	1.5

road - water power, cooking kerosene/gas)		
WHEEL WASHING	1	0.5
WASTE STORAGE BINS - LABOUR CAMP/SITE OFFICES	1.5	0.75
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNAGES	1.5	0.15
SAFETY TRAINING TO WORKERS		1
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCE REPORT OF EC CONDITIONS		2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26.5</b>	<b>13.2</b>

<b>ENVIRONMENT BUDGET (Operation Stage)</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum</b>
RAIN WATER HARVESTING SYSTEM (1Nos)	3.5	0.53
HORTICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (TREE PLANTATION & LANDSCAPING)	34.65	8.66
POND MAINTAINACE	27	0.00
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCES OF ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE CONDITIONS		2.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65.15</b>	<b>11.19</b>

**Recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146th meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022;** after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the following additional conditions:

- 1- The provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load shall be provided.
- 2- The PP will ensure that Green area will remain same as mentioned in the earlier Environmental Clearance and will not reduce in any manner.

**Item No. 146.20: Extension of Validity of EC of Sand (Minor Mineral) at Naggal Block/PKL B-15 over an area of 31.08 Ha in Village Naggal, Alipur and Jalouli, Tehsil and District Panchkula, Haryana by M/s R M Secure Services Pvt. Ltd.**

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIN/260956/2022 dated 31.03.2022 for obtaining Extension of validity of EC under category 1(a) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs.1,50,000/- vide DD No.004516 dated 28.03.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The case was considered in 237<sup>th</sup> and 242<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC. The PP presented its case in 242<sup>nd</sup> meeting and submitted information as under:

1. Letter of Intent (LOI) vide letter no DMG/HY/Cont/Naggal Block/PKL B-15/2019/1098.
2. Mining plan approval for production of 13,00,000 TPA vide letter no DMG/ HY/ MP/ Naggal Block/ PKL, B-15/ 2019/ 5525 for a period of 5 years.
3. Approved DSR of District Panchkula.
4. Environment Clearance was granted to the project vide letter no SEIAA (127)/HR/2021/276 dated 08.04.2021 for one year.
5. Replenishment study was conducted during pre-monsoon (15th to 20th June, 2021) and post-monsoon (18<sup>th</sup> to 21<sup>st</sup> October, 2021).
6. Certified Compliance Report vide file no.HSPCB-150001/181/2022-Region Panchkula-HSPCB.

Further, the replenishment for the year 2021 was conducted by PP and submitted the same to Mining Department as per the earlier EC granted. After examination, the Committee in its 242<sup>nd</sup> Meeting, recommended the case to SEIAA to extend validity of EC to the project upto validity period of mining plan. In its 143<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16.07.2022, SEIAA referred back the case after putting observations that:

*The replenishment study, needs further examination and analysis to arrive at the conclusion that the study proposal is good enough to consider the extension proposal for further mining to ensure there is no damage and loss to the environment and the same is required to be placed on record before considering the proposal.*

*It was also observed that replenishment study has been submitted with the Mines and Geology Department, Haryana but whether the Department has approved the same or not, it is not clear. The replenishment study needs to be approved & accepted by Mines and Geology Department, Haryana.*

The case was taken up in 246<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 23.08.2022 but deferred on request of consultant.

The case is taken in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022. The discussion was held on Replenishment Study and status of compliance of Earlier EC. PP also submitted a letter no: DMG/HY/RS/Naggal Block PKL B- 15/2022/5533 dated 29.08.2022 of Director General, Mines & Geology Department, Haryana wherein it is stated that the PP has submitted replenishment study report for this project which has been approved by the department enabling them to submit before SEIAA. It is further stated in the letter that the report seems to be in order and accepted.

After detailed discussion, the committee agreed with the acceptance letter on the replenishment study by Director General, Mining & Geology, Govt. of Haryana and decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for granting extension in validity period

of EC to the project up to validity of Mining Plan subject to decision of any court of law with a condition that the PP shall submit the replenishment study every year.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; after detailed deliberation Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC and grant extension in validity period of the earlier EC dated 08.04.2021 to the project up to validity of Mining Plan.

As per the record, Project Proponent holds lease for 10 years and approved mining plan for five years, therefore, The Authority approves the extension of validity upto 07.04.2026 i.e. as per the approved Mining Plan dated 24.11.2020 issued by Mines & Geology Department, Haryana.

Accordingly, The Authority agrees with the recommendation of SEAC.

**Item No. 146.21: EC for Expansion of Non agro Warehouse (Logistic) Project at Revenue Estate of Village Luhari, Tehsil and District Jhajjar, Haryana by Sh. Vijay Kumar**

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/263668/2022 dated 26.03.2022 for obtaining EC under category 8(a) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 237<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 13.04.2022. The committee had decided to constitute a sub-committee consisting for site inspection report consisting of following:

1. Sh. Rajbir Singh Boondwal, Member SEAC
2. Dr. Vivek Saxena, Member SEAC

The case was taken up in 242<sup>nd</sup> and 245<sup>th</sup> meetings of SEAC.

The report of sub-committee constituted by SEAC was presented before the Committee in 245<sup>th</sup> meeting. It was observed by the sub-committee that earlier built up area was less than 20,000 sqm and, therefore, the project was not attracting the provisions of EIA notification, 2006 in respect of seeking the Environment Clearance, hence the project was not falling under violation category. The report of the sub-committee was accepted by SEAC. The PP presented the case before the committee, however, certain observations were raised.

The case was taken up in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022. Vide letter dated 01.09.202 and recommended case to SEIAA with following details

Sr. No.	Particulars	Existing	Expansion	Total Area (in M <sup>2</sup> )
	<b>Online Project Proposal Number</b>	<b>SIA/HR/MIS/263668/2022</b>		
1.	Latitude	<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Latitude</b>	<b>Longitude</b>
2.	Longitude	1	28°23'4.45"N	76°42'10.64"E
		2	28°23'2.84"N	76°42'13.06"E

		3	28°22'57.02"N	76°42'13.02"E
		4	28°22'56.93"N	76°42'15.44"E
		5	28°22'55.08"N	76°42'15.52"E
		6	28°22'54.99"N	76°42'17.90"E
		7	28°22'51.13"N	76°42'17.89"E
		8	28°22'51.10"N	76°42'13.18"E
		9	28°22'51.20"N	76°42'10.47"E
		10	28°22'55.01"N	76°42'10.56"E
		11	28°22'55.20"N	76°42'5.66"E
		12	28°22'58.29"N	76°42'5.66"E
		13	28°22'58.45"N	76°42'3.19"E
		14	28°23'2.01"N	76°42'3.24"E
		15	28°23'1.94"N	76°42'8.15"E
		16	28°23'1.02"N	76°42'8.21"E
		17	28°23'1.01"N	76°42'10.65"E
3.	Plot Area (sq.m)	78,432.817	1,795.443	80,228.260
4.	Net Plot Area (sq.m)	73,891.882	1,795.443	75,687.325
5.	Proposed Ground Coverage (sq.m)	15,814.299(@21.40 % of the plot area)	28,840.951	44,655.250 (@59% of the plot area)
6.	Proposed FAR (sq.m)	16,491.44 (@22.31% of the plot area)	38,760.31	55,251.75 (@73% of the plot area)
7.	Non-FAR Area (sq.m)	21.313	3,205.197	-
8.	<b>Total Built Up area</b> (sq.m)	16,512.753	41,965.507	55,251.75
9.	Total Green Area with Percentage (%)	<b>11416.29 sqm</b> (@15.45% of the net plot area)	<b>277.39 sqm</b> (@15.45% of the net plot area)	11,693.69 sqm (@15.45% of the net plot area)
10.	Rainwater Harvesting Pits (No's)	09	10	19
11.	Total Parking (Sqm)	11,087.381 (@ 15 % of the net plot area)	265.718	11,353.099 (@ 15% of the net plot area)
12.	Organic Waste Converter (No's)	01	-	01
13.	Maximum Height of the Building (m)	18.0		
14.	Power Requirement (KVA)	1,292.93kVA		
15.	Power Backup (KVA)	DG set of total capacity 900 KVA (2 X 450 KVA)		
16.	Total Water Requirement (KLD)	50	38	88
17.	Fresh Water Requirement (KLD)	10	24	34
18.	Treated Water (KLD)	40	14	54
19.	Wastewater Generated (KLD)	14	32	46
20.	STP Capacity (KLD)	50		

		(approx. 20% than wastewater generated)		
21.	Solid Waste Generated (Kg/Day)	133	310	443
22.	Biodegradable Waste (Kg/Day)	66.5	155	221.5
23.	Number of Towers	NA	NA	NA
24.	Dwelling Units/ EWS	NA	NA	NA
25.	Salable Units	NA	NA	NA
26.	Basement	NA	NA	NA
27.	Community Center	NA	NA	NA
28.	Stories	NA	NA	NA
29.	R+U Value of Material used (Glass)	U-Value: 3.3W/m <sup>2</sup> °C (0.588 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> °F Solar heat gain coefficient: 0.29 R-Value: 3.5 m <sup>2</sup> -°C/W		
30.	Total Cost of the project:	i) Land Cost		
		ii) Construction Cost		
31.	Total (Cr.)	21.53 Cr. ~2153 Lakhs	36.90Cr. ~3690 Lakhs	58.43 Cr. ~5843 Lakhs
32.	EMP Cost/Budget	34.5 Lakhs	94.55 Lakhs	129.05 Lakhs (i.e. 2.21% of the total project cost i.e. 5843 Lakhs)
33.	Incremental Load in respect of:			
	i) PM 2.5 (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	90.49	0.08	90.57
	ii) PM 10(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	162.9	1.04	163.94
	iii) SO <sub>2</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	84.3	3.69	87.99
	iv) NO <sub>2</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	41.78	5.01	46.79
	v) CO(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1057.5	1.68	1059.18
34.	Construction Phase:	i) Power Back-up	01 DG Set of 500 KVA	
		ii) Water Requirement & Source	4.5 KLD Private Water Tanker for Domestic	
		iii) STP (Modular)	4 KLD	

#### EMP BUDGET

S.No	Description	During Construction Phase	Description	During Operation Phase	Total
------	-------------	---------------------------	-------------	------------------------	-------



		<b>Capital Cost (Lakhs)</b>	<b>Recurring Cost (Lakhs/Year)</b>		<b>Capital Cost (Lakhs)</b>	<b>Recurring Cost (Lakhs/Year)</b>	
1	Labor sanitation & wastewater Management	0	0.3	Water Pollution Control & Rainwater Harvesting (12 number)	42	2	44.3
2	Air, Noise, Soil, Water Monitoring	0	0.5	Monitoring for Ambient Air, Water, Ambient Noise ,Soil, STP( Inlet & Outlet), DG Stack Emission & DG Noise	0	0.75	1.25
3	Green Belt Development	2	0.2	Green Belt Development (including Miyawaki Forest)	4	0.4	6.6
4	Occupational Health & Safety	2	0.2	Energy saving (Solar Panel System)	12	0	14.2
5	Solid Waste Management	1	0.1	Solid Waste Management (Dust Bins & OWC)	10	1	12.1
6	Water for Dust suppression	1	0.1				1.1
7	Socioeconomic-Rejuvenation of pond	15	0				15
	<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.4</b>		<b>68</b>	<b>4.15</b>	<b>94.55</b>

<b>EMP Cost Expenditure Already Done</b>		
<b>S.NO.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Capital Cost</b>
1	Labour Sanitation	3
2	Green Belt Development	5
3	Rain Water Harvesting Pit (7 numbers)	24.5
4	Occupational Health	2

5	Total Expenditure	34.5
Total Project cost ( In Lakhs)		5843
Expenditure done + proposed		129.05
Percentage (%)		2.21

**Recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 146th meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the following additional conditions:**

- 1- The provisions for Solar Power System @ 5% of the Total Power Load shall be provided.**
- 2- The project proponent shall install STP with the capacity of 60 KLD.**

**Item No. 146.22: EC for proposed expansion of Fortis Hospital at Sector 44, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Fortis Hospitals Limited.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/289167/2022 dated 18.08.2022 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The PP has submitted the required scrutiny fee amounting to Rs.2,00,000 vide DD No.331528 dated 18.08.2022 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Notification No.DE&CCH/3060, dated 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2021.

The PP had obtained Environment Clearance from MoEF&CC vide letter No. 21-653/2006-IA.III dated 11.07.2007 which was valid for 05 years. The PP has obtained OC dated 03.10.2011 issued by Town and Country Planning Department and Consent to Operate (CTO) from Haryana State Pollution Control Board vide letter no.HSPCB/Consent/313099721GUNOCTO12816508 dated 26.07.2021 and valid till 20.09.2024. Now PP has applied for expansion of the project within the same plot area and same zoning plan under fresh category of Environment Clearance. PP has not applied for extension of validity of Environment Clearance after expiry of validity of EC, but an undertaking has been submitted by PP that they have not constructed any construction after 2011 whereas expiry of validity of Environment Clearance was upto 10.07.2012.

The case was taken up in 248<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 06.09.2022 and recommendation case to SEIAA with following details as under

**Table 1 – Basic Details**

SN	Description	Qty as per EC letter issued dated 11 <sup>th</sup> July 2007	Existing	Proposed	After Expansion	Unit
1	Plot Area	43303.70	43303.70	Nil	43303.70	SQM

2	Proposed Built Up Area	114055	64295.913	21243.930	85539.843	SQM
3	No of Beds	650	330	200	530	NOS
4	Permissible Ground Coverage Area		11825.925	11825.93	11825.93	SQM
5	Proposed Ground Coverage Area		10215.34	1610.59	11825.93	SQM
6	Permissible FAR Area		64955.55	64955.55	64955.55	SQM
7	Proposed FAR Area		39201.68	18032.63	57234.31	SQM
8	Total Water Requirement	1000	627	445	1055	KLD
9	Fresh water requirement		233	224	457	KLD
10	Waste water Generation	750	223	259	482	KLD
				Will be treated in existing STP		
11	STP Capacity	800	825	-	825	KLD
12	Proposed ETP Capacity		20	70	90	KLD
13	Total Power Requirement		3000	1737	4737	kW
14	DG set backup		6000	1500	7500	KVA
15	HVAC Capacity		1600	600	2200	Tonnes
16	SPV		90	87	177	kwp

Environment Budget (Construction Phase)		
COMPONENT	CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)	RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum
BARRICADING OF CONSTRUCTION SITE	2.25	0.495
ANTI - SMOG GUN WITH COMPLETE ASSEMBLY	5	2.4
DUST MITIGATION MEASURES	1.5	0.25
SITE SANITATION	2	1
MOBILE STP	0	1
DISINFECTION/ PEST CONTROL		0.5
LABOUR HEALTH CHECK UP & FIRST AID FACILITY	1	0.5
LABOR WELFARE (canteen, creche, safeaccess road - water power, cooking kerosene/gas)	2.5	1.5
WHEEL WASHING	0	0.5
WASTE STORAGE BINS - LABOUR CAMP/SITE OFFICES	1.5	0.75
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SIGNAGES	1.5	0.15
SAFETY TRAINING TO WORKERS		1
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCE REPORT OF EC CONDITIONS		2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>17.25</b>	<b>12.045</b>

<b>ENVIRONMENT BUDGET (Operation Stage)</b>		
<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>CAPITAL COST (Rs in Lacs)</b>	<b>RECURRING COST (Rs in Lacs)/Annum</b>
SOLID WASTE STORAGE BINS & COMPOSTER (Organic Waste Converter)	6.46	4.26
HORTICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (TREE PLANTATION & LANDSCAPING)	0.90	0.23
ROOF TOP SPV PLANT	69.60	0.00
ENVIRONMENT MONITORING & 6 MONTHLY COMPLIANCES OF ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE CONDITIONS		2.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>76.96</b>	<b>6.49</b>

**Recommendations of SEAC were taken up in the 146th meeting of SEIAA held on 21.09.2022; after detailed discussion; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant Environment Clearance (EC) to the project with the following additional conditions:**

- 1- The provisions for Solar Power System @ 5 to 10 % of the Total Power Load shall be provided.**
- 2- The project proponent shall install STP & ETP with the capacity of 300 KLD& 90KLD.**
- 3- The PP will ensure that Green area will remain same as mentioned in the earlier Environmental Clearance and will not reduce in any manner.**
- 4- PP will develop miyawaki plantation of forth corner of project.**
- 5- In order to control immobility of transport separated assessable passage will be work of approval of competent Authority.**
- 6- The complete risk assessment plan of the fire fighting systems (water sprinkling system, water hydrant system, chemical fire extinguishers systems, capacity and storage of water for fire fighting, man power for fire fighting and protective clothing for fire fighters and liaison with the district fire fighting teams and other district authorities for use) in case of fire fighting and fire rescue system taking into account all the building design features with line diagrams of the fire fighting system and rescue systems indicating the codes, and standards and specification used with reference to NBC 2005.**

**The meeting ended with a vote of thanks.**

**\*\*\*\*\***

**List of Participants**

1. Prof. R. Baskar,  
FGGS School of Sciences.  
IGNOU, Delhi  
Expert Member
  
2. Shri Pardeep Kumar, IAS  
Director, Environment & Climate Change  
Department, Haryana  
Member Secretary

