

MINUTES of 234th MEETING OF STATELEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA) A.P., MEETING HELD ON 12.01.2024 AT VIJAYAWADA A.P.

234th SEIAA

Day-2

12.01.202 4



MINUTES OF THE 234th MEETING OF STATELEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA) A.P., MEETING HELD ON 12.01.2024 AT VIJAYAWADA A.P.

Present:

The following members were present. (Through Video Conference)

| 1. | Sri P.Venkata Rami Reddy, I.A.S, (Retired). | Chairman |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 2. | Dr.Thatiparthi Byragi Reddy Professor. Department of Environmental Sciences, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam | Member |
| 3. | S.Sri Saravanan, I.F.S Special Secretary to Govt., Environment, Forests, Sciences and Technology Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh & Member Secretary, SEIAA, A.P. | Member Secretary |

24/01/2024

235.24 2.618 Ha. Mining of Lime Stone (Minor) of M/s. Radha Krishna Minerals, at

| 224 19 5 | Survey No. 338/7-3, 338/7-4, 338/7-5, 338/7-6, 338/7-7& 338/7-8, |
|----------|---|
| P | Papayapalem Village, Bellamkonda Mandal, Palnadu District, Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/445313/2023 |
| R | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| C | Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor Mineral). |
| E C | The proposed project is for mining of Lime Stone (Minor) in an area of 2.618 Ha. of production capacity of Limestone (Minor) - 46,235 Tons/Annum with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the pproved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. |
| N | The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (≤ 250 a of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| H | The project proponent and their consultant, M/s Space Enviro Solutions, Hyderabad. have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: |
| | a. The Department of Mines and Geology not submitted approved DSR report of Guntur. The project proponent has submitted draft DSR report of Palnadu- 2023 and committee noted that the mineral content i.e., Road Metal is available in the Decherla Village and the same is reported at S.No.06 of Page No. 106 of the DSR. Hence, committee directed the project proponent to furnish approved DSR of Palnadu District at the time of applying of EC. |
| | b. The Department of Mines and Geology issued Extension of Letter of Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 10.03.2023 for a period of 10 years subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 01 years from the date of issue of LoI. |
| | c. The Committee noted that as per the cluster Letter dated: 24.08.2023 issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Narsaraopet, there are 02 existing quarry leases and 5 nos. of LoI within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is > 5.0 Ha. |
| | d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 30.04.2022, the total provided mineral reserves are 4,63,801 Tons and proponent proposed to excavate Limestone (Minor) - 46,235 Tons/Annum. The Life of the mine lease is 10 years. |
| | e. The Konanki R.F is existing at distance about 22m to the proposed mine. The District Forest officer, Guntur vide order dt.05.01.2022 issued Forest NOC to the proposed project. |

| The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Standard Terms of Reference with public hearing and with following additional conditions: The project proponent shall prepare Cluster EIA & EMP. The project proponent shall submit stream protection plan for passing stream on Eastern side. The project proponent shall submit plan for mineral transportation from the lease area. The project proponent shall submit a granite waste management plan. |
|--|
| at the time of applying of EC |
| |
| Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issu Standard Terms of Reference with public hearing. |
| 235.26 2.732 Ha. Mining of Morrum/ Gravel and Ordinary Earth, Road Metal and |
| 234.19 Ballast, Rough Stone/ Boulders of M/s Sri Srinivasa Granites, at Survey No |
| 63/1A11, 63-1A13, 63-1A14, 63-1A15, 63-1A16, Decherla Village, Kovvur |
| Mandal, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/446140/2023 |
| Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor Mineral). |
| The proposed project is for mining of Morrum/ Gravel and Ordinary Earth Road Metal and Ballast, Rough Stone/ Boulders in an area of 2.732 Ha. o production capacity of 90,000 m ³ /annum with a condition that total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining |
| scheme/ plan. |
| The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (\leq 25) ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The project proponent and their consultant, M/s HECS, have attended the |
| meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile West Godavari District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content i.e., Road Metal is available in |
| the Decherla Village and the same is reported at S.No.60 of Page No. 104 of the DSR Report of erstwhile West Godavari District. |
| b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 21.04.2023 issued |
| Letter of Intent (LOI) for a period of 10 years, subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 3 years from the date of issue of LoI. |
| c. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 11.08.2023 issued by the District Mines &Geology Officer, Rajamahendravaram, |

| there are 08 existing quarry leases and 5 nos. of LoI within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is > 5.0 Ha. d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 28.06.2023, the total provided |
|---|
| mineral reserves are 4,50,000 m ³ and proponent proposed to excavate |
| 90000 m ³ /annum. The Life of the mine lease is 8 years. |
| e. The committee while examining the KML file uploaded in the Parivesh Portal it was noted the extent of mine lease area is 2.16 Ha and as per |
| Letter of Intent (LOI) issued by the Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 21.04.2023, the extent of the mine lease area is 2.732 Ha which is not in line with the KML file. Hence, committee |
| recommended to reject the TOR application and directed the project |
| proponent to re-apply along with approved mining plan and letter from Department of mines and Geology as per the DGPS survey. |
| The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, |
| MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to |
| reject the TOR application and directed the project proponent to re-apply along with approved mining plan and letter from Department of mines and |
| Geology as per the DGPS survey. |
| |
| |
| Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to reject the TOR application. |
| |
| 0.486 Ha Colour Granite of M/s Maram Venka Reddy Granites at Sy. No. 103 (unsurveyed Hill) Veerlakonda of Konidena Village, Ballikurava Mandal, |
| Bapatla District (erstwhile Prakasam District), Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/446140/2023 |
| Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| Catagory DD at parts with D1 |
| Category: B2 at par with B1 The representative of the project and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs & |
| Consultant, have attended the meeting and presented the proposal. The SEAC |
| committee noted that: |
| a. The SEIAA, A.P., vide order dt. 21.09.2015 issued Environmental Clearance to M/s Sri Sai Vijaya Krishna Granites, at Sy. No. 103 |
| (unsurveyed Hill) Veerlakonda of Konidena Village, Ballikurava |
| Mandal, Bapatla District (erstwhile Prakasam District), for mining of |
| Colour Granite – 2740 m ³ /annum in an extent of 1.20 acres. The validity of EC order is for a period of 08 years i.e., upto 20.09.2023 or |
| Expiry of the date of mine lease period issued by the Government of |
| A.P., whichever is earlier. |
| b. The SEIAA, A.P., vide order dt. 29.07.2021 issued Transfer of Environmental Clearance from "M/s Sri Sai Vijaya Krishna Granites" |
| to "M/s Maram Venka Reddy" for mining of colour granite at Sy. No. |
| 103 (unsurveyed Hill) Veerlakonda of Konidena Village, Ballikurava |
| Mandal, Bapatla District (erstwhile Prakasam District). |

| | - |
|--------|---|
| | c. Now the project proponent has applied for Extension of Environmental |
| | clearance through parviesh portal on 04.10.2023. |
| | d. The project proponent obtained work order on 22.08.2016 issued by |
| | Assistant Director of Mines & Geology, Ongole for a period upto 20 |
| | years i.e., w.e.f. 14.10.2014 to 13.10.2034. |
| | e. The project proponent submitted production & despatch particulars |
| | issued by Divisional Mines & Geology Officer Ongole, Prakasam |
| | District vide Letter dated 23.09.2023 for the period from 2015-16 to |
| | 23.09.2023. |
| | f. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile |
| | Prakasam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and the |
| | present mine lease details are mentioned at page No. 133 of S.NO 220 |
| | in the DSR. |
| | g. As per the approved mining plan dt. 13.09.2023, the total provided |
| | mineral reserves are 46,085 m ³ . The proponent proposed to excavate |
| | Colour Granite – 1174 m3/annum (Average). The Life of the mine is 34 |
| | years. |
| | The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, revised |
| | scheme of mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications, & OMs and detailed |
| | deliberations, recommended to issue extension of EC order dt. 21.09.2015 for |
| | further period upto 13.10.2034 (lease period) for mining of colour granite - |
| | |
| | 1,174 m ³ /annum (Average) with a condition that the conditions stipulated in |
| | the earlier EC shall remain same, with following additional condition: |
| | The project proponent shall maintain the village road along with cluster |
| | partner. |
| | |
| | Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |
| | Extension of Environment Clearance upto 13.10.2034 |
| | 4.981 Ha. Gravel & Rough Stone of M/s. Sri Budi Venkatesh at Survey No. |
| 234.21 | 26/Part, Jagannadhapuram Village, Paravada Mandal, Anakapallia District, |
| | Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/449258/2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| | Category: B2 (Minor mineral) |
| | The proposed project is for mining of Gravel & Rough Stone in an area of |
| | 4.981 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Gravel & Rough Stone- |
| | 2,98,758 m ³ /annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme |
| | should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. |
| | The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA |
| | Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (≤ 250 |
| | ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| | The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. Space Enviro Solutions, |
| | Hyderabad have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC |
| | Committee noted that: |
| | a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile |
| 1 | a. The Department of Whites and Geology submitted DSK of erstwhile |

| r | |
|---|---|
| | Visakhapatnam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting |
| | and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby |
| | village /cluster to the subject mine (mentioned at S. No. 82 to 90 of |
| | Page No.135 of DSR Report). |
| | b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 20.09.2023 issued |
| | Temporary permit for a period of 1 year, subject to submission of |
| | Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 1 year from the |
| | date of issue of LoI. |
| | c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 12.10.2023, the total provided |
| | mineral reserves are 3,35,988 m ³ . The proponent proposed to excavate |
| | Gravel & Rough Stone – 2,98,758 m^3 /annum. The Life of the mine is 1 |
| | year. |
| | d. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 13.10.2023 |
| | issued by the District Mines& Geology officer (FAC), Anakapalli, there |
| | are no existing quarry leases issued mines within the radius of 500 mtrs |
| | area. The total cluster area is <5.0 Ha. |
| | e. The Hon'ble NGT vide order dated 09.07.2020 in O.A.No. 304/2019, |
| | directed to maintain a minimum distance criterion of 100 meters from |
| | the residential of Residential/Public Budlings, In-habited sites etc., |
| | when blasting is not involved. |
| | f. This proposal was earlier apprised in 233 rd SEAC meeting and while |
| | examining the KML file, the committee noted that H.T power line are |
| | passing near to subject mine and also observed that boundary wall of |
| | the Parwada Pharma city is existing at about 85m from the subject mine |
| | in northern direction. The committee also noted that there is no proper |
| | approach road to the subject mine. |
| | g. The committee recommended to raise ADS for submission of modified |
| | approved mining plan as per the NGT norms and also for submission of |
| | road plan for mineral transportation from the lease area. |
| | h. Now, the project proponent furnished ADS reply on 05.12.2023 |
| | furnishing transportation plan and also modified mining plan duly |
| | earmarking an area of 0.06 Ha as non-mining zone for maintaining 100 meters distance from boundary of the Pharma city, to comply with the |
| | orders of the Hon'ble NGT dated 09.07.2020 in OA No 304/2019. |
| | i. The project proponent informed that they have allocated Rs. 5.51 Lakhs |
| | as capital cost and Rs. 3.92 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the |
| | EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant to |
| | Jaganathapuram village &3 Nos. of Desktop Computers (3xRs. |
| | 50,000/-) and 1 No. of Multimedia Projector (Rs. 1,00,000/-) to Govt. |
| | School at Thanam Village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility |
| | (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine. |
| | The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining |
| | plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, |
| | recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Gravel & |
| | Rough Stone–2,98,758 m ³ /annum with following conditions: |
| | total conce 2,00,00 m / annum while following conditions. |

| | 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the |
|--------|--|
| | Environmental management plan 2. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach |
| | roads & village Roadsides. |
| | 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and |
| | existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. |
| | 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB |
| | stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. |
| | The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. |
| | 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin |
| | during vehicular movement. |
| | 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for |
| | mineral transportation purpose. |
| | 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak |
| | hours to avoid accidents. |
| | 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10.The project proponent shall provide Display Board at project site |
| | indicating the non-mining zone details. |
| | 11. The project proponent shall provide 600m trench with rocky bund on |
| | Western and Southern Side to prevent surface runoff. |
| | 12. The project proponent shall maintain 0.06 Ha as non-mining zone |
| | towards the Boundary of the Pharma city to comply with orders of the Hon'ble NGT. |
| | Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |
| | Environmental Clearance. |
| | |
| | 4.9 Ha. Mining of Road metal & Building stone of M/S RMC Readymix |
| 234.22 | (India), a division of Prism Cement Limited, Sy. No. 4, Thummapala (V) |
| | Anakapalli (M), Anakapalli District (Formerly Visakhapatnam District), Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/453807/2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2025 |
| | Category: B2 (Minor mineral) |
| | The proposed project is for mining of Road metal & Building stone in an area |
| | of 4.9 Ha. with a proposed production quantity of Road Metal and Building |
| | Stone – 74,901 m^3 /annum with a condition that the total production during a |
| | scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ |
| | plan. The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA |
| | Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (≤ 250 |
| | ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| | The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. SV Enviro Labs & |
| | Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The |
| | SEAC Committee noted that: |
| | a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile |

| | Visakhapatnam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting |
|-----|--|
| | and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby |
| | village /cluster to the subject mine. |
| | b. The Department of Mines and Geology issued 1 st renewal of Letter of |
| | Intent (LOI) vide order dt. 11.01.2019 for a period of 10 years subject |
| | to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE. |
| | c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 03.06.2019, the total provided |
| | mineral reserves are 12,87,700 m3. The proponent proposed to excavate |
| | 74,901 m3/annum. The Life of the mine is 17 years. |
| | d. The project proponent has obtained Standard TOR on 26.02.2022 and |
| | public hearing was held on 05.09.2023. |
| | |
| | e. Major Issues raised in the public hearing: Road Damages, Employment, |
| | Dust problems, truck loads as per the capacity of road design, medical |
| | camps and greenbelt development etc., are the specific issues raised |
| | during the public hearing. |
| | f. Response of the management during the public hearing: Sri G. Gopi, |
| | Authorized person of M/s. RMC Readymix (India). He has given |
| | assurance that the management will extend their cooperation for |
| | providing street lights, road repairs, water plant etc., under CSR |
| | activity. He assured that employment preference will be given to the |
| | local villagers. |
| | g. The Base line data was collected from Oct 21 to Dec, 2021 and the |
| | predominant wind direction is observed to be North East to South West. |
| | The maximum concentration of SPM (PM10) is observed to be 68.5 |
| | μ g/m3. The incremental concentration is 3.66 μ g/m3 and GLC of SPM |
| | will fall within the mine lease area. |
| | h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 6.70 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. |
| | 5.08 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent |
| | volunteered to provide water treatment plant, Road maintenance, |
| | adequate fund to the Thummapala panchayat village as a part of |
| | Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till |
| | the life of the mine. |
| | |
| | The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining |
| I r | blan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and EIA Report, PH minutes, detailed |
| c | leliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of |
| | Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone -74,901 m ³ /annum with following |
| | conditions: |
| | 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the |
| | Environmental management plan and EIA. |
| | 2. The project proponent shall plant 1800 no of the plants along the |
| | approach roads & village Roadsides. |
| | 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and |
| | existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. |
| | 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB |
| | stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. |
| | supulated another an quarty standards at any point of time. |

| | 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to |
|--------|---|
| | transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. |
| | 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin |
| | during vehicular movement. |
| | 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for |
| | mineral transportation purpose. |
| | 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak |
| | hours to avoid accidents. |
| | 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. |
| | 10. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in |
| | the public hearing. |
| | 11. The project proponent shall adopt for controlled blasting as the |
| | habitation is existing about 250m. |
| | Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |
| | Environmental Clearance with a condition that the proponent shall comply |
| | with the concerns raised in the public hearing. |
| | |
| 235 31 | 7.44 Ha. Mining of Road Metal, Building Stone quarry of M/s. Sri |
| | Venkatasasi Stone Crusher at Survey No: 75 Villages: Bowlavada village |
| | Mandal: Anakapalli District: Anakapalli (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam) State: |
| | Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/453968/2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| | |
| | Category: B2 at par with B1. |
| | The proposed project is for mining of Road Metal, Building Stone in an area |
| | of 7.44 Ha with a proposed production capacity of Road Metal & Building |
| | Stone - 2,50,056 m ³ / Annum with a condition that the total production during |
| | a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ |
| | plan. |
| | The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA |
| | Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (≤ 250 |
| | ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| | The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Hubert |
| | Enviro Care Systems Private Limited, Chennai, have attended the meeting and |
| | presented the case. The SEAC committee noted that: |
| | a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR for the |
| | erstwhile Visakhapatnam District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC |
| | meeting. The present mine lease details are mentioned in the DSR at S |
| | No 55 of page No. 197 of DSR. |
| | b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt.24.12.2016 issued |
| | work order for 15 years. |
| | c. As per the approved mining plan, the total provided mineral reserves |
| | are $27,31,520 \text{ m}^3$. The proponent proposed to excavate 2,50,000 |
| | m^{3} /annum. The Life of the mine is 9.83 years. |
| | d. The project proponent has obtained TOR with public hearing on |
| | a. The project proponent has obtained TOK with public hearing off |

| 21.06.2021 and subsequently the project proponent has obtained TOR |
|--|
| amendment on 22.02.2022 for enhancement of production. |
| e. Public Hearing details: Employment, Health camps, crop compensation |
| issues, blasting issues etc., are specific issues raised in the public |
| hearing. The project proponent has furnished undertaking dt. |
| 13.12.2023 regarding the objections raised in the public hearing. |
| f. Reply of the proponent during the public hearing is as follows: Sri N |
| Vamsi Krishna Senior Consultant, EIA Coordinator, Hubert Enviro |
| Care Systems Pvt Ltd informed that 19 people have given their views, |
| suggestions, and objections on the project and the same are recorded. |
| The same will be summarized and taken into consideration for |
| implementation. He said that in case of blasting activity, the sequential |
| Control Blasting Technology proposed in the project is different from |
| the old technology. The dispersion of the rocks due to the new |
| technology is less and blast point limited to 50 meters. The suggestion |
| regarding greenbelt development will be implemented by the project proponent. The dust pollution from the quarrying and crushing |
| operations will be controlled by sprinkling of water by tankers. The |
| project proponent will take required steps for constitution of the village |
| coordination committee to implement the development activities |
| mentioned in the project proposal. He said that annual health camps |
| proposed and allocated Rs.1,50,000/- amount for conducting health |
| camps. He said that damaged RO Plant will be notified. He said that 75 |
| no. of direct & indirect employment opportunities due to the proposed |
| project and employment provided for local villagers in water sprinkling |
| & Green Belt development activities. He stated that intimation about |
| the public hearing was given to the public as per the guidelines and the |
| project proposal were made available at various places. |
| g. The base line data was collected from Oct, 2021 to Dec, 2021 and the |
| predominant wind direction is observed to be North East to South West. |
| The maximum concentration of SPM is observed to be 68.46 μ g/m ³ . |
| The incremental concentration is 3.56 μ g/m ³ and GLC of SPM will fall |
| at a distance of 0.42Km towards South West direction from the mine |
| lease area. |
| h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 25.0 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. |
| 9.0 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent |
| volunteered to health equipment to PHC with supply of medicine to the |
| Thumpala PHC as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) |
| activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine. |
| i. S.O No 804 dt 14.03.2017 compliance: |
| Credible action was initiated vide CC No 988/2023 in the court |
| of Junior Civil courts of Anakapalli |
| The project proponent submitted undertaking on 22.11.2023 |
| stating that the violation will not be repeated. |
| The project proponent has submitted remediation and resource |
| augmentation plan with a cost of Rs 6,00,000/-and also uploaded |
| |

| Rs. during violation Rs as reported by cost induring amount to be period as the mining Rs violation levied as pe reported by department vide period in OM | Project | Visakhapati • The project issued by A • The Penalty MoEF&CC follows: Total | | 23. ubmitted p lakapalli vi in complia t. 07.07.20 ng 1% of | roduction dis ide letter dt19 nce with SOF 021 and the de f0.25 % of | patch letter 0.08.2023. 9 issued by etails is as Total |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Rs80MiningRoadRs.Rs.Rs.Rs.lakhsmetal& 5,19,29,865/- Building stone 904407 Cum80,000/-129825/-2,09,825/-j.The project proponent has uploaded the acknowledged payment of penalty amount of Rs. 2,10,000/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 24.11.2023.The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs EIA Report, Public Hearing minutes and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance fo mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 2,50,056 m ³ /annum with following conditions:1.The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA.2.The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides.3.The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed.4.The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time.5.The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. | | during violation period as reported by mining department letter dt. | Rs as reported the minit department vi letter | oycost ir 1gRs de | nduring violation period in Rs. | amount to be levied as per OM 07.07.20 21 |
| penalty amount of Rs. 2,10,000/- at APPCB Board Office Vijayawada on 24.11.2023. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs EIA Report, Public Hearing minutes and detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 2,50,056 m³/annum with following conditions: The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA. The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach roads & village Roadsides. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. | lakhs | Mining Road metal & Building stone 904407 | | | | |
| during vehicular movement.7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. | The Cor plan, Mo and deta mining o condition 1. T 2. T 70 3. T 4. T 5. T 5. T 6. T 0 0 7. T | nmittee after exa DEF&CC Notific iled deliberations of Road Metal & ns: he proponent sha nvironmental ma he project propor ads & village Ro he project propor sisting buffer zor he proponent sha ipulated ambient he proponent sha ansport vehicles he vehicles carry uring vehicular n he project propor | cations & OMs I s, recommended Building Stone Ill comply with t inagement plan a nent shall develo badsides. nent shall mainta ne plantation sho ill take dust supp air quality stanc ill carryout supp by continuous w ing the mines manovement. nent shall not use | EIA Report to issue Er - 2,50,056 ne proposa nd EIA. p greenbel in 7.5mt gr uld not be ression me ards at any ession of c ater sprayin aterial shall | t, Public Hea nvironmental m ³ /annum w ls furnished i t of 1km alon reenbelt in bu disturbed. easures to mee y point of time lust generated ng using tank l be covered w | ring minutes, Clearance for vith following n the g approach affer zone and et the CPCB e. d due to ters. with tarpaulin |

| | 9. The project proponent shall pay suitable compensations for surrounding |
|--------|---|
| | agricultural lands as per the norms. |
| | 10. The project proponent shall maintain village roads along with the cluster partners. |
| | 11. The project proponent shall comply with the commitments & promises |
| | made/given in the public hearing. |
| | 12. The project proponent shall obtain Buffer zone removal permission. |
| | |
| | |
| | Decision of SEIAA:- Refer to SEAC to examine with respect to the OM dated.08.01.2024 issued by MoEF&CC on violation cases. |
| | uated.00.01.2024 Issued by MOEF&CC on Molation cases. |
| | |
| | |
| | 4.032 Ha. Mining of Road Metal & Boulders quarry of Sri S. Narayana |
| 234.24 | Reddy, Survey No. 15, S. Pydipala Village, Rowthulapudi Mandal, Kakinada |
| | District, Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/443692/2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| | Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor Mineral). |
| | The proposed project is for enhancement of mining of Road Metal & Boulders |
| | from 72,000 m ³ /annum to 2,43,000 m ³ /annum in their existing mine lease area |
| | 5 |
| | of 4.032 Ha. with a condition that total production during a scheme should be |
| | limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. |
| | The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA |
| | Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (\leq 250 |
| | ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| | The project proponent and their consultant, M/s HECS, have attended the |
| | meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: |
| | a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile |
| | East Godavari District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and |
| | observed that the mineral content i.e., Road Metal is available in the |
| | Pydipala village and the same is reported at Page No. 145 of the DSR |
| | Report of erstwhile East Godavari District. |
| | b. The SEIAA, A.P vide order dt.16.12.2022 issued Environmental |
| | clearance for Mining of Road Metal & Boulders quarry of capacity - |
| | 72,000 m ³ /annum of Sri S. Narayana Reddy at Survey No.: 15, S. |
| | Pydipala Village, Rowthulapudi Mandal, Kakinada District which is |
| | valid for 18.69 years. |
| | c. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt.31.03.2023 issued |
| | work order for 15 years. |
| | d. Now the project proponent has applied enhancement of production |
| L | a in project proponent has appred children of production |

| | capacity and not submitted certified compliance report from IRO, |
|--------|--|
| | Vijayawada as required as per OM dt. 08.06.2022. Hence, committee |
| | directed the project proponent to submit CCR at the time of applying |
| | for EC. |
| | e. As per the approved mining plan dt. 16.08.2023, the total provided |
| | mineral reserves are 18,19,239 m ³ and the proponent proposed to |
| | excavate 2,43,000 m ³ /annum. The Life of the mine is 7.49 years. |
| | f. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 19.08.2023 |
| | issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Kakinada, there are 11 |
| | existing quarry leases within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total |
| | cluster area is > 5.0 Ha. |
| | The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, |
| | MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to |
| | issue Standard Terms of Reference with public hearing and with following |
| | |
| | additional conditions for enhancement of mining of Road Metal & Boulders |
| | from 72,000 m ³ /annum to 2,43,000 m ³ /annum in the existing mine lease area: |
| | 1. The project proponent shall prepare Cluster EIA & EMP. |
| | 2. The project proponent shall submit surface runoff prevention measures |
| | plan along with estimated cost for construction of trench. |
| | 3. The project proponent shall submit stream protection plan for passing |
| | stream on Eastern side. |
| | 4. The project proponent shall submit plan for mineral transportation from |
| | the lease area. |
| | 5. The project proponent shall submit a granite waste management plan. |
| | 6. The project proponent shall submit CCR from IRO, at the time of |
| | applying for EC. |
| | 7. The project proponent shall submit tree enumeration plan. |
| | 8. The project proponent shall submit production and dispatch details |
| | letter from Department of Mines and Geology. |
| | |
| | Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |
| | C |
| | Standard Terms of Reference with public hearing. |
| 235.35 | 0.607 Ha. Mining of Morrum/Gravel and Ordinary Earth, Road Metal and |
| | Ballast, Rough Stone/ Boulders of M/s Akshaya Granites and Metals, at |
| | Survey No.: 63/1A1 Decherla Village, Kovvuru Mandal, East Godavari |
| | District, Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/446051/2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| | Catagowy D2 at partwith D1 (Minor Minoral) |
| | Category: B2 at par with B1 (Minor Mineral). |

| The proposed project is for mining of Marrow (Crossel and Ordinana Factor |
|---|
| The proposed project is for mining of Morrum/Gravel and Ordinary Earth, Road Metal and Ballast, Rough Stone/ Boulders in an area of 0.607 Ha. of |
| production capacity of 21,750 m ³ /annum with a condition that total production |
| during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining |
| scheme/ plan. |
| The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA |
| Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (≤ 250 |
| ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| The project proponent and their consultant, M/s. HECS, have attended the |
| meeting and presented the proposal. The committee noted that: |
| a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile |
| West Godavari District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting |
| and observed that the mineral content i.e., Road Metal is available in |
| the Decherla Village and the same is reported at Page No. 104 of the |
| DSR Report of erstwhile West Godavari District. |
| b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 21.04.2023 issued |
| Letter of Intent (LOI) to the subject mine for a period of 10 years |
| subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a |
| period of 3 years from the date of issue of LoI. |
| c. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 11.08.2023 |
| issued by the District Mines & Geology Officer, Rajamahendravaram, |
| there are 12 existing quarry leases and 5 LOI mines within the radius of |
| 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is $>$ 5.0 Ha. |
| d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 28.06.2023, the total provided |
| mineral reserves are 1,02,600 m^3 and the proponent proposed to |
| excavate 21,750 m ³ /annum. The Life of the mine is 4.71 years. |
| The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, |
| MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, recommended to |
| issue Standard Terms of Reference for mining of Morrum/Gravel and |
| Ordinary Earth, Road Metal and Ballast, Rough Stone/ Boulders-21750 |
| m ³ /annum with public hearing and with following additional conditions: |
| 1. The project proponent shall prepare Cluster EIA & EMP. |
| 2. The project proponent shall submit surface runoff prevention measures |
| plan along with estimated cost for construction of trench. The project proponent shall submit stream protection plan for passing |
| The project proponent shall submit stream protection plan for passing stream on Eastern side. |
| 4. The project proponent shall submit plan for mineral transportation from |
| the lease area. |
| |
| Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |
| Standard Terms of Reference with public hearing. |
| 35.36 4.950 Ha Mining of Gravel of Sri I. Rajasekhar at Sy. No. 151/P of |
| 34.26 Jagannadhapuram Village, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra |
| Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/453724/2023 |
| Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |

| | 1 |
|---|--------|
| Category: B2 par with B1 (Minor mineral) | |
| The proposed project is for mining of Gravel in an area of 4.950 Ha. v | vith a |
| proposed production quantity of Gravel - 1,16,547 m ³ /annum with a con- | |
| that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the app | |
| quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. | |
| The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the | EIA |
| Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (| |
| ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). | |
| The project proponent and their consultant, VVN Technologies Pvt., | Ltd., |
| have attended the meeting and presented their proposal. The SEAC Comm | nittee |
| noted that: | |
| a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhil | e |
| Chittoor District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and | |
| observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village | |
| /cluster to the subject mine. | |
| b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 22.07.2022 i | ssued |
| Letter of Intent for a period of 5 years subject to submission of | |
| Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 3 years fro | m |
| the date of issue of LoI. | |
| c. As per the approved mining plan dt. 06.04.2022, the total provided | Į |
| mineral reserves are 5,86,210 m ³ and the proponent proposed to | |
| excavate 1,16,547 m ³ /annum. The Life of the mine is 5 years. | |
| d. The project proponent has obtained Standard TOR on 23.08.2022 a | and |
| public hearing was held on 21.09.2023. | |
| e. Major Issues raised in the public hearing: There no specific issues | |
| raised during the public hearing. | |
| f. Response of the management during the public hearing: Sri I. | |
| Rajasekhar, Managing Partner of the proposed mining project and | |
| P. Suri Naidu, Environmental consultant of the proposed projects N | |
| VVN Technologies Pvt Ltd, while addressing the concerns of the p | oublic |
| during the public hearing answered the following: - | |
| i. All the suggestions of the public made during the public hea | iring |
| will be taking into the consideration and will be addressed | |
| positively. | |
| ii. Plantation programme will be taken up with more than 1000 | , |
| plants. iii. Vehicle speed will be regulated and also tarpaulin covering | will |
| | WIII |
| be followed strictly during the transport. iv. Medicinal plants will be developed as a part of green | |
| development. | |
| v. Employment opportunities will be provided to the local peo | nle |
| on priority basis, locals vehicles will be hired for transport | Pic |
| operations and also roads will be maintained properly. | |
| g. The Base line data was collected from Oct 22 to Dec, 2022 and the | |
| predominant wind direction is observed to be North East to South | |
| | ., сы. |

| | The maximum concentration of $SPM(PM_{10})$ is observed to be 56.36 |
|--------|---|
| | μ g/m ³ . The incremental concentration is 11.36 μ g/m ³ and GLC of SPM will fall within the mine lease area. |
| | h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 7.5 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. |
| | 4.5 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent |
| | volunteered to provide water treatment plant at Tagathapalli village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall |
| | maintain till the life of the mine. |
| | The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and EIA Report, PH minutes, detailed deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of |
| | Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 1,16,547 m ³ /annum with following |
| | conditions: |
| | 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA. |
| | The project proponent shall plant 1800 no of the plants along the approach roads & village Roadsides. |
| | The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. |
| | 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB |
| | stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. |
| | 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to |
| | transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers.6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin during usehimilar measurement. |
| | during vehicular movement.7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. |
| | 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak |
| | hours to avoid accidents. |
| | 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands.10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on |
| | Eastern side to prevent surface. 11.The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakhs |
| | for green belt development apart from the proposed in the EMP. |
| | 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in |
| | the public hearing. |
| | Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |
| | Environmental Clearance. |
| 235.37 | 4.910 Ha Mining of Gravel of Sri I. Rajasekhar at Sy. No. 151/P of |
| | Jagannadhapuram Village, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/453809/2023 |
| | Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| | |

| Category: | B2 par with B1 (Minor mineral) |
|----------------------------|--|
| proposed p that the to | sed project is for mining of Gravel in an area of 4.910 Ha. with a production quantity of Gravel - 1,67,952 m3/annum with a condition tal production during a scheme should be limited to the approved s per Mining scheme/ plan. |
| Notificatio | used project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA on 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (<250 ng lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). |
| have attend noted that: | |
| Chit obse | Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile ttoor District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and erved that the mineral content is available in the nearby village ster to the subject mine. |
| b. The Lett subr | Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 22.07.2022 issued ter of Intent to the subject mine for a period of 5 years subject to mission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 3 rs from the date of issue of LoI. |
| min exca | per the approved mining plan dt. 12.04.2022, the total provided eral reserves are 8,40,060 m3 and the proponent proposed to avate 1,67,952 m3/annum. The Life of the mine is 5 years. |
| pub | project proponent has obtained Standard TOR on 23.08.2022 and lic hearing was held on 21.09.2023. or Issues raised in the public hearing: There no specific issues |
| - | ed during the public hearing. |
| Raja P. S VV duri | ponse of the management during the public hearing: Sri I. asekhar, Managing Partner of the proposed mining project and Sri. buri Naidu, Environmental consultant of the proposed projects M/s. N Technologies Pvt Ltd, while addressing the concerns of the public ing the public hearing answered the following: - i. All the suggestions of the public made during the public hearing |
| | will be taking into the consideration and will be addressed positively.ii. Plantation programme will be taken up with more than proposed number of traces |
| | number of trees. iii. Medicinal plants will be developed as a part of greenbelt development. |
| | iv. Employment opportunities will be provided to the local people on priority basis, locals vehicles will be hired for transport operations and also roads will be maintained properly. |
| | v. Water sprinkling facilities will be provided to suppress the dust. vi.Mine closer plan will be implemented as per norms. |

| The maximum concentration of SPM(PM10) is observed to be 56.36 µg/m3. The incremental concentration is 11.36 µg/m3 and GLC of SPM will fall within the mine lease area. h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 7.5 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 4.5 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant at Tagathapalli village as part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall maintain till the life of the mine. The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, minir plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and EIA Report, PH minutes, detaile deliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 1,67,952 m3/annum with followir conditions: 1. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA. 2. The project proponent shall plant 1800 no of the plants along the approach roads & village Roadsides. 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone ar existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. 5. The proponent shall nort use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaul during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart form amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
|--|-------------|---|
| plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and EIA Report, PH minutes, detailed eliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 1,67,952 m3/annum with followir conditions: The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA. The project proponent shall plant 1800 no of the plants along the approach roads & village Roadsides. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone ar existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall nor use fact and the nocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. | | predominant wind direction is observed to be North East to South West. The maximum concentration of SPM(PM10) is observed to be 56.36 µg/m3. The incremental concentration is 11.36 µg/m3 and GLC of SPM will fall within the mine lease area. h. The project proponent allocated Rs. 7.5 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 4.5 Lakhs as a recurring cost budget for the EMP. The proponent volunteered to provide water treatment plant at Tagathapalli village as a part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activity and shall |
| The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the Environmental management plan and EIA. The project proponent shall plant 1800 no of the plants along the approach roads & village Roadsides. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone an existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaul: during vehicular movement. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. The public hearing. | P d N | The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and EIA Report, PH minutes, detailed heliberations, recommended to issue Environmental Clearance for mining of Mining of Road Metal & Building Stone - 1,67,952 m3/annum with following wonditions: |
| Environmental management plan and EIA. 2. The project proponent shall plant 1800 no of the plants along the approach roads & village Roadsides. 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone ar existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaul during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| approach roads & village Roadsides. 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone ar existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. 4. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpauli during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | Environmental management plan and EIA. |
| The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone ar existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpault during vehicular movement. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpauli during vehicular movement. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peal hours to avoid accidents. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | 3. The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and |
| stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpauli during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peal hours to avoid accidents. 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpauli during vehicular movement. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peal hours to avoid accidents. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| 6. The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpauli during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peal hours to avoid accidents. 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | 5. The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to |
| during vehicular movement. 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peal hours to avoid accidents. 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peal hours to avoid accidents. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| hours to avoid accidents. 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. 10. The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12. The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | 7. The project proponent shall not use village road/ canal bund roads for mineral transportation purpose. |
| 10.The project proponent shall provide 520m trench with rocky bund on Eastern side to prevent surface. 11.The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12.The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | 8. The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak hours to avoid accidents. |
| Eastern side to prevent surface. 11.The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12.The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | 9. The project proponent shall not disturb surrounding agricultural lands. |
| 11.The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakh for green belt development apart from amount allocated in the EMP. 12.The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | |
| 12.The project proponent shall comply with the promise made/given in the public hearing. | | 11. The project proponent shall allocate additional budget of Rs. 2.0 Lakhs |
| the public hearing. | | • • • |
| | | |
| Environmental Clearance. | | Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to issue |

| 235.40 Proposed Mega Industrial Park (North Block) of M/s. Andhra Pradesh |
|--|
| 234.28 Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd., by APIIC at Kopparthy Village - |
| S.Nos. 2-7, 9-10, 47-48, 50-53, 56-59, 68-73, 75- 103, 108, 109, 112-118 |
| 435-439, 449-455, 457, Yadavapuram Village -S.Nos. 4–32, 35-50, 54-56, 60- |
| 63, 65-132, T.G.Palli Village -S.Nos. 366, Ambavaram Village- S.Nos. 554 |
| -555, 1255-1264, Thollaganganapalli, Yadavpuram&Ambavaram Villages |
| ChintakommaDinne, Vallur (M), YSR Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh |
| SIA/AP/INFRA1/419577/2023 |
| Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| |
| Category B1: |
| The proposed project is for development of Mega Industrial Park (North |
| Block) of M/s. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. |
| (APIIC) at Kopparthy village. |
| The proposed project falls under Item No. 7(c) - Industrial |
| estates/parks/complexes/areas, export processing Zones (EPZs), Special |
| Economic Zones (SEZs), Biotech Parks, Leather Complexes of the schedule of |
| the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof. |
| The project proponent and NABET accredited consultants M/s Voyants |
| Solutions Pvt. Ltd attended the meeting. The SEAC committee noted that: |
| a. APIIC proposed Mega Industrial Park (North Block) at Kopparthy, |
| Thollaganganapalli, Yadavapuram & Ambavaram village of |
| |
| Chinthakommadinne and Vallur Mandal of YSR Kadapa District, |
| Andhra Pradesh in an area of 997.59 Acres (403.71 Ha) with an |
| estimated investment of Rs 445.82 Crores out of total area of 3672.91 |
| acres granted vide GO No 54 dt 13.02.2019. |
| b. The Water Resource Department vide GO MS No.79 permitted the 46 |
| MLD (0.600TMC) of water from Somasila Reservoir to Andhra |
| Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd., (APIIC) for Mega |
| Industrial Park at Kopparthy YSR Kadapa District. |
| c. The SEAC committee noted that Industrial |
| Estates/Parks/Complexes/Areas, Export Processing Zones (EPZs), |
| Special Economic Zones (SEZs), Biotech Parks, Leather complexes |
| housing at least one category B industry and area < 500 Ha is a |
| category B activity as per EIA notification, 2006. |
| d. APIIC has proposed to house only Category B industries which attracts |
| Schedule $7(c)$ – Category B, of EIA notification 2006 and its |
| amendments thereafter. |
| e. The project proponent has obtained TOR with Public hearing on |
| 26.02.2020 and obtained TOR amendment on 27.09.2021. |
| f. Public Hearing Details: Employment opportunities and Compensation |
| issues are the issues raised during the public hearing. |
| g. Response from the Management during the Public Hearing: Sri. K. |
| Sreenivasa Murthy, Zonal Manager, M/s. APIIC while responding to |
| the views expressed in the public hearing, informed that, the YSR |
| Electronic Manufacturing Cluster was inaugurated by the hon'ble Chief |

| Minister on 23rd December, 2021. He informed that M/s. Dixon Technologies, has been provided sheds for establishment of their electronic industry and they have hired employees through engineering institutions and other industries like Chandrahas Garments, which is labor intensive industry, VR Gases etc., are coming up in the cluster and these industries have been informed to give priority to local people in employment. He further informed that, infrastructure like roads and other facilities are being developed rapidly with the 3 supports of Hon'ble Chief Minister, to provide enough opportunity for development of industries in the EMC and industrial Park. He requested the villagers to support the establishment of the project and informed that project will be beneficial for the people in terms of employment and other |
|---|
| developments. |
| h. The entire land is in possession with APIIC. The Government of AP vide G.O. Ms. 54 dated 13.02.2019 issued land possession certificate.i. The annual major wind predominance is from East to West and second predominance is from West to East. |
| j. This proposal was placed in 220 th SEAC meeting and recommended |
| raise ADS for clarification or Govt order regarding the reduction of |
| land to 997.59 Acres or 403.71 Hectares against the granted area of |
| over 3,000 acres as Industrial estates with area greater than 500 ha and |
| housing at least one Category B industry falls in category A requiring |
| EC from MoEF & CC. |
| k. The APIIC has submitted ADS reply on 05.07.2023 with regard to the |
| reason for proposed land area reduction to 997.59 acres as follows: |
| " 1. It was decided by APIIC to develop the entire area under Phase wise as |
| per the demand. Hence now it is proposed to develop to an extent of 997.59 |
| Acs only. |
| 2. The Land break details and necessary documents for the land possession to |
| an extent of 997.59 acres are uploaded in Parivesh portal. It is requested to |
| consider the subject project for according approval of Environmental |
| Clearance." |
| I. This proposal was appraised in 226 th SEAC meeting and the |
| Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining |
| plan, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs, EIA report, and detailed |
| deliberations, recommended to issue EC with following additional conditions: |
| i. The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the |
| Environmental management plan and EIA report. |
| ii. The project proponent shall develop 33% of green belt for the total area. |
| iii. The APIIC shall not house any category A industries as per the EIA |
| notification of 2006 and its amendments thereafter. |
| m.This proposal was placed in 228 th SEIAA meeting and decision of the SEIAA is as follows: |
| "It is observed from the layout map of Kopparthy that there are 3 industrial |
| |

| | | er. Refer to SEAC to ex | amine wh | ether the proposa |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| | _ | of MoEF&CC or not" | | |
| n. As per the recommendation of the SEIAA, the proposal was again | | | | |
| a | pprised in 235 th | SEAC meeting. | | |
| o. T | The SEAC comm | ittee verified the layout of | f Mega In | dustrial Park |
| • | , II 5 | , Kadapa, YSR District a | | |
| - | • | ock over an extent of 1,60 | | 0 |
| - | | MIP North block, MIP pl | | - |
| | | utting to each other in Ko | pparthy V | 'illage. The details |
| | re as follows: | | | |
| S. | Proposal no | Project name | | Proposal status |
| No | | | | EC Granted on |
| 1. | SIA/AP/NCP/5 | | | Obtained EC 2 |
| | 6779/2020 | Manufacturing Cluster | | Oct 2021 |
| | | (EMC) | | |
| 2. | | Mega Industrial Park | | SEIAA Referred |
| | 1/419577/2023 | × / | | Back to SEAC |
| 3. | | Mega Industrial Park by | | Under |
| | 1/449741/2023 | APIIC | | examination o |
| | | | | SEAC |
| | Not applied | MIP phase – I | 168.60 | Not applied |
| 5. | Not applied | MSME Kadapa | 42.40 | |
| 6. | Not applied | Women Entrepreneur | 47.69 | |
| | Total area | | 1466.5 | |
| | | | Ha. | |

Note: A part from the above an extent of 52.60 Ha. is allocated for SS Tank, 4.26 Ha. for housing, 40.54 Ha. allocated for Tee-Mag Alloys and 42.54 Ha. allocated for Nilkamal thereby the total area of Mega Industrial Park (MIP – North Block) is 1,606.49 Ha.

- p. From the above the committee noted that the total extent of Mega Industrial Park, Kopparthy is more than 500 Ha. and shall be appraised as Category – A at Central Level. The APIIC has not informed earlier that the above industrial parks are abutting to each other during their earlier appraisal to SEAC.
- q. The project proponent informed that the APIIC developed the industrial parks in Kopparthy village based on the line of activity and scale of operations and requested the committee to consider each industrial park as a single entity and to appraise category B as each industrial park area is less than 500 Ha.

The Committee after examining the project proposals, presentations, MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs, layout of Mega Industrial Park, Kopparthy, detailed deliberations and recommended to request SEIAA, A.P., directing the project proponent to approach MoEF&CC, GoI to appraise as a Category – A project at Central Level as the total area of the Mega Industrial Park is

| 1,606.49 Ha which is more than 500 Ha. |
|---|
| Decision of SEIAA:- Agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to direct the project proponent to approach MoEF&CC, GoI to appraise as a Category – A project at Central Level as the total area of the Mega Industrial Park is 1,606.49 Ha which is more than 500 Ha and to transfer the application to MoEF&CC. |
| 1.955 Ha Mining of Colour Granite of M/S YUVAL INDUSTRY at Sy.No: 54/1C & 55/8 of Ranganipalli Village, Punganuru Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh SIA/AP/MIN/454671/2023 |
| Recommendations of the SEAC on 13.12.2023 |
| Category: B2 (Minor mineral) |
| The proposed project is for mining of Colour granite in an area of 1.955 Ha |
| with a proposed production quantity of Colour Granite – 5121 m ³ /annum with a condition that the total production during a scheme should be limited to the approved quantity as per Mining scheme/ plan. |
| The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments thereof - (i). Mining of minerals (\leq 250 |
| ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease). The Project proponent and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs & Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their proposal: The SEAC committee noted that: |
| a. The Department of Mines and Geology submitted DSR of erstwhile Chittoor District. The same is reviewed in the SEAC meeting and observed that the mineral content is available in the nearby village /cluster to the subject mine. |
| b. The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dt. 06.10.2023 issued Letter of Intent (LOI) to the subject mine for a period of 20 years, subject to submission of Approved Mining Plan, EC and CFE within a period of 3 years from the date of issue of LoI. |
| c. The Committee noted that as per the cluster letter dated: 04.12.2023 issued by the District Mines &Geology Officer, Palamaneru, there are no existing quarry leases and one LOI mines within the radius of 500 mtrs area. The total cluster area is < 5.0 Ha. |
| d. As per the approved mining plan dt. 22.11.2023, the total provided |

| | mineral reserves are 1,01,792 m ³ and the proponent proposed to |
|---------|---|
| | excavate 5121 m ³ /annum. The Life of the mine is 19.88 years. |
| e. | While, examining the KML file uploaded in the Parivesh Portal, the |
| | committee noted that water body is existing at distance about 60m. |
| | Hence, committee directed the project proponent to maintain 10 m |
| | buffer zone in addition to existing 7.5 m buffer zone (non-mining zone) |
| | on Easter side and also directed to provide 360m retaining wall on |
| | Easter side to prevent surface runoff. |
| | ommittee after examining the project proposals, presentations, mining |
| olan, | MoEF&CC Notifications & OMs and detailed deliberations, |
| | mended to issue EC for mining of Colour Granite – 5121 m^3 /annum |
| | ollowing conditions: |
| | The proponent shall comply with the proposals furnished in the |
| | Environmental management plan. |
| | The project proponent shall develop greenbelt of 1km along approach |
| | roads & village Roadsides. |
| | The project proponent shall maintain 7.5mt greenbelt in buffer zone and |
| | existing buffer zone plantation should not be disturbed. |
| | The proponent shall take dust suppression measures to meet the CPCB |
| | stipulated ambient air quality standards at any point of time. |
| | The proponent shall carryout suppression of dust generated due to |
| | transport vehicles by continuous water spraying using tankers. |
| | The vehicles carrying the mines material shall be covered with tarpaulin |
| | during vehicular movement. |
| | The project proponent shall provide Display Board at project site |
| | indicating the non-mining zone details. |
| | The project proponent shall acquire 0.5 Ha of additional land for granite |
| | waste disposal after 2 nd year. |
| 9. | The project proponent shall not use village road for mineral |
| | transportation purpose. |
| 10 | .The project proponent shall restrict vehicles movement during the peak |
| | hours to avoid accidents. |
| | .The project proponent shall provide 360m retaining wall on Easter side |
| | to prevent surface runoff. |
| | The project proponent shall maintain 10 m buffer zone in addition to existing 7.5 m buffer zone (non-mining zone) on Eastern side |
| | existing 7.5 in burter zone (non-mining zone) on Eustern side |
| Decisi | on of SEIAA:- Refer to SEAC to constitute a committee to examine the |
| | |
| 13 2011 | e workings are seen in the KML map. |

S. Srisaravanan

| Sri.S.Sri.Saravanan, I.F.S | Dr.Thatiparthi Byragi Reddy | Sri P.Venkata Rami Reddy, I.A.S, (Retired). |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Special Secretary to Govt. | , Professor. Department of | |
| Environment, Forests, | Environmental Sciences, Andhra | |
| Sciences and | University, Visakhapatnam | Chairman, |
| Technology Department, | | SEIAA,A.P |
| Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | | |
| & | | |
| Member Secretary, | | |
| SEIAA, A.P. | | |
| | | |