

The project proponent has informed that online application was submitted to MoEF&CC on 30.05.2018 for the aforesaid project proposal within the stipulated time as mentioned in the MoEF &CC Notification S.O.1530 (E) dated 06.04.2018.

In view of the above, the Committee decided that the project proponent may approach MoEF & CC to transfer the online application filed by the proponent to MoEF & CC for seeking EC on 30.05.2018 to SEIAA-TN along with the hard copy of the application with note file processed by the MoEF & CC, and SEAC cannot process any application which is active in MoEF & CC.

STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE – TAMIL NADU

Minutes of the 121st Meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) held on 01st December for Appraisal of Non coal mining project at Conference Hall, 2nd floor (down), Panagal Maligai, Saidapet, Chennai.

Agenda No. 121-01

Existing Limestone Quarry over an extent of 3.07.0 Ha at S.F.No.132/1 & 132/3 (P), Panamoopanpatti Village, Usilampatti Taluk, Madurai District, by Tmt. B. Thiraviam – Activity 1(a) – Mining of major mineral – ToR to be issued

(SIA/TN/MIN/29371/2018)

The proponent, **Tmt. B. Thiraviam**, has applied for Environmental Clearance for Existing Limestone Quarry over an extent of 3.07.0 Ha at S.F.No.132/1 & 132/3 (P), Panamoopanpatti Village, Usilampatti Taluk, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu on 04.10.2018.

The proponent **Tmt. B. Thiraviam** have submitted the application for obtaining TOR through SIA/TN/MIN/29371/2018 dated: 04.10.2018.

The project involves mining of Limestone. The limestone is a major mineral as per the MMDR Act, 2015 as amended and as per EIA Notification, 2006 under activity 1(a) Category “B”, the project requires Environmental Impact Assessment. To enable EIA Study, a ToR needs to be issued.

The salient features of the project proposal as submitted by the project proponent is as follows:

1. During 2006, the State Govt. has allotted this mining lease area to the local people under section No. 12634/ 06/M3 dated 09.10.2006 on free of cost being a poramboke land. Later it was cancelled being mineral bearing area as per the Roc No. 6895/2017/J1- Minerals dated 08.06.2018. There is no production during 2013 -18 due to public litigation and settled by the


Chairman - SEAC

District Collector vide Letter Roc No. 6895/2017/J1- Minerals dated 08.06.2018 and the lease proposes to reopen the mine only after obtaining Environment Clearance from SEIAA/SEAC, Chennai.

2. Government order/Lease details :

The mining lease was granted in the name of **Tmt.B.Thiraviam W/o. Dr.K.Bose** Proprietor of **Panamoopanpatti Limestone mine, G.O.3 (D) No.124/ Industries (MMD2) Dept. dated 31.07.1997**, over an extent of 3.07.0 Hectares of revenue land, S.F.No: 132/1 and 132/3(P), Panamoopanpatti Village, Usilampatti Taluk, Madurai District. The lease was executed on 02.02.1998 for a period of 20 years which expired on 01.02.2018.and and the mining operation was continuing under deemed extension as per amended MMDR Act, 2015 up to 01.02.2048.

3. Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining approval details:

- The mining plan was approved by the Indian Bureau of Mines, Chennai vide letter No.TN/MDR/MP/LST-909.MDS dated 24.01.1996.
- The 1st Scheme of mining due 2003-04 to 2007-08 was not prepared.
- The 2nd Scheme of mining due 2008-09 to 2012-13 was not prepared.
- The 3rd Scheme of mining was approved by the Indian Bureau of Mines, Chennai vide letter No. TN/MDR/LST/MS-1140-MDS dated 02.12.2014.
- Now, the review mining plan and Progressive mine closure plan are prepared under Rule 17(1) of MCR, 2016 and Rule 23 of MCDR, 2017 for the next five years is due for the period from 2018-2019 to 2022-2023 for implementation.

The Mining Operation and quantity of Material are as follows:

| Years | ROM | Proposed Prod. And development (MT) | | | & | Actual Prod. And development (MT) | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| | | Prod | Reject | Topsoil Waste | | Prod | Reject | Topsoil |
| 1998-99 | 2620 | 500 | 2120 | 219 | | --- | --- | --- |
| 1999-00 | 5119 | 1000 | 4119 | 326 | | --- | --- | --- |
| 2000-01 | 5335 | 1000 | 4335 | 338 | | --- | --- | --- |
| 2001-02 | 5267 | 1000 | 4267 | 454 | | --- | --- | --- |
| 2002-03 | 5143 | 1000 | 4143 | 352 | | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | 23484 | 4500 | 18984 | 1689 | | --- | --- | --- |
| 2003-04 | --- | --- | --- | --- | | --- | --- | --- |
| 2004-05 | --- | --- | --- | --- | | --- | --- | --- |
| 2005-06 | --- | --- | --- | --- | | --- | --- | --- |


Chairman - SEAC

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 2006-07 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2007-08 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2008-09 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 54 | 280 | 500 |
| 2009-10 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2010-11 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2011-12 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2012-13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | --- | --- | --- | --- | 754 | 280 | 500 |
| 2013-14 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2014-15 | 1800 | 540 | 1260 | 975 | --- | --- | --- |
| 2015-16 | 1875 | 563 | 1312 | 17640 | --- | --- | --- |
| 2016-17 | 2430 | 729 | 1701 | 1080 | --- | --- | --- |
| 2017-18 | 2430 | 729 | 1701 | 1080 | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | 8535 | 2561 | 5974 | 20775 | --- | --- | --- |
| Gr.Total | 32019 | 7061 | 24958 | 22464 | 754 | 280 | 500 |

4. As per the IBM review of Mining periods for the 2018-2019 to 2022-2023, the production schedule for the year's states that the total quantity of recoverable limestone should not exceed 3124 MT.

For 2018-19 - 540 MT

For 2019-20 - 563 MT


For 2020-21 - 729 MT

For 2021-22 - 729 MT

For 2022-23 - 563 MT

The project proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of the SEAC held on 01.12.2018. Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided to recommend the proposal for the grant of Terms of Reference (ToR) with Public Hearing, subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the normal conditions as part of ToR:

1. A detailed report on the green belt development already undertaken is to be furnished. They should also submit the proposal for green belt activities.
2. The intersection of the ground water table vis a vis the mining at the conceptual period needs to be worked out on the basis of hydrogeological studies.
3. The Socio economic studies should be carried out within 10 Km buffer zone from the mines.
4. CER activities should be carried out taking in to consideration the recruitment of the local habitants available within the buffer zone. The proponent shall furnish the proposal for CER.
5. The proponent shall furnish the photographs for fencing around the project site.


Chairman - SEAC

6. Comprehensive EIA study shall be conducted considering the surrounding quarry.
7. Contour map for the 1 Km radius surrounding the site, village map, FMB & A Register may be furnished.
8. Study shall be conducted, whether the water pattern in the proposed quarry site is altered.
9. The quarry falls under HACA area. Hence, the project proponent has to get Hill Area Conservation Authority (HACA) clearance.


Part-I

STANDARD TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR CONDUCTING ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT STUDY FOR NON-COAL MINING PROJECTS AND INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN EIA/EMP REPORT

- 1) Year-wise production details since 1994 should be given, clearly stating the highest production achieved in any one year prior to 1994. It may also be categorically informed whether there had been any increase in production after the EIA Notification 1994 came into force, w.r.t. the highest production achieved prior to 1994.
- 2) A copy of the document in support of the fact that the Proponent is the rightful lessee of the mine should be given.
- 3) All documents including approved mine plan, EIA and Public Hearing should be compatible with one another in terms of the mine lease area, production levels, waste generation and its management, mining technology etc. and should be in the name of the lessee.
- 4) All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High Resolution Imagery/ topo sheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology and geology of the area should be provided. Such an Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).
- 5) Information should be provided in Survey of India Topo sheet in 1:50,000 scale indicating geological map of the area, geomorphology of land forms of the area, existing minerals and mining history of the area, important water bodies, streams and rivers and soil characteristics.
- 6) Details about the land proposed for mining activities should be given with information as to whether mining conforms to the land use policy of the State; land diversion for mining should have approval from State land use board or the concerned authority.
- 7) It should be clearly stated whether the proponent Company has a well laid down Environment Policy approved by its Board of Directors? If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA Report with description of the prescribed operating process/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/deviation/ violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions? The hierarchical system or administrative order of the Company to deal with the environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the EC conditions may also be given. The system of reporting of non-compliances / violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the Company and/or shareholders or stakeholders at large, may also be detailed in the EIA Report.


Chairman - SEAC


- 8) Issues relating to Mine Safety, including subsidence study in case of underground mining and slope study in case of open cast mining, blasting study etc. should be detailed. The proposed safeguard measures in each case should also be provided.
- 9) The study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the mine lease from lease periphery and the data contained in the EIA such as waste generation etc. should be for the life of the mine / lease period.
- 10) Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- 11) Details of the land for any Over Burden Dumps outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be given.
- 12) A Certificate from the Competent Authority in the State Forest Department should be provided, confirming the involvement of forest land, if any, in the project area. In the event of any contrary claim by the Project Proponent regarding the status of forests, the site may be inspected by the State Forest Department along with the Regional Office of the Ministry to ascertain the status of forests, based on which, the Certificate in this regard as mentioned above be issued. In all such cases, it would be desirable for representative of the State Forest Department to assist the Expert Appraisal Committees.
- 13) Status of forestry clearance for the broken up area and virgin forestland involved in the Project including deposition of net present value (NPV) and compensatory afforestation (CA) should be indicated. A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished.
- 14) Implementation status of recognition of forest rights under the Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 should be indicated.
- 15) The vegetation in the RF / PF areas in the study area, with necessary details, should be given.
- 16) A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the Mining Project on wildlife of the study area and details furnished. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other protected area and accordingly, detailed mitigative measures required, should be worked out with cost implications and submitted.
- 17) Location of National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Wildlife Corridors, Ramsar site Tiger/ Elephant Reserves/(existing as well as proposed), if any, within 10 km of the mine lease should be clearly indicated, supported by a location map duly authenticated by



Chairman - SEAC

Chief Wildlife Warden. Necessary clearance, as may be applicable to such projects due to proximity of the ecologically sensitive areas as mentioned above, should be obtained from the Standing Committee of National Board of Wildlife and copy furnished.


- 18) A detailed biological study of the study area [core zone and buffer zone (10 km radius of the periphery of the mine lease)] shall be carried out. Details of flora and fauna, endangered, endemic and RET Species duly authenticated, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished based on such primary field survey, clearly indicating the Schedule of the fauna present. In case of any scheduled-I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan along with budgetary provisions for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.
- 19) Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' or the Project areas likely to come under the 'Aravali Range', (attracting court restrictions for mining operations), should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the SPCB or State Mining Department should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.
- 20) Similarly, for coastal Projects, A CRZ map duly authenticated by one of the authorized agencies demarcating LTL, HTL, CRZ area, location of the mine lease w.r.t CRZ, coastal features such as mangroves, if any, should be furnished. (Note: The Mining Projects falling under CRZ would also need to obtain approval of the concerned Coastal Zone Management Authority).
- 21) R&R Plan/compensation details for the Project Affected People (PAP) should be furnished. While preparing the R&R Plan, the relevant State/National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs /STs and other weaker sections of the society in the study area, a need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirements, and action programmes prepared and submitted accordingly, integrating the sectoral programmes of line departments of the State Government. It may be clearly brought out whether the village(s) located in the mine lease area will be shifted or not. The issues relating to shifting of village(s) including their R&R and socio-economic aspects should be discussed in the Report.
- 22) One season (non-monsoon) [i.e. March-May (Summer Season); October-December (post monsoon season) ; December-February (winter season)] primary baseline data on ambient air quality as per CPCB Notification of 2009, water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna shall be collected and the AAQ and other data so compiled presented date-wise in



Chairman - SEAC

the EIA and EMP Report. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre-dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the mine lease in the pre-dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given.

- 23) Air quality modelling should be carried out for prediction of impact of the project on the air quality of the area. It should also take into account the impact of movement of vehicles for transportation of mineral. The details of the model used and input parameters used for modelling should be provided. The air quality contours may be shown on a location map clearly indicating the location of the site, location of sensitive receptors, if any, and the habitation. The wind roses showing pre-dominant wind direction may also be indicated on the map.
- 24) The water requirement for the Project, its availability and source should be furnished. A detailed water balance should also be provided. Fresh water requirement for the Project should be indicated.
- 25) Necessary clearance from the Competent Authority for drawl of requisite quantity of water for the Project should be provided.
- 26) Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.
- 27) Impact of the Project on the water quality, both surface and groundwater, should be assessed and necessary safeguard measures, if any required, should be provided.
- 28) Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided. In case the working will intersect groundwater table, a detailed Hydro Geological Study should be undertaken and Report furnished. The Report inter-alia, shall include details of the aquifers present and impact of mining activities on these aquifers. Necessary permission from Central Ground Water Authority for working below ground water and for pumping of ground water should also be obtained and copy furnished.
- 29) Details of any stream, seasonal or otherwise, passing through the lease area and modification / diversion proposed, if any, and the impact of the same on the hydrology should be brought out.
- 30) Information on site elevation, working depth, groundwater table etc. Should be provided both in AMSL and bgl. A schematic diagram may also be provided for the same.


Chairman - SEAC

- 31) A time bound Progressive Greenbelt Development Plan shall be prepared in a tabular form (indicating the linear and quantitative coverage, plant species and time frame) and submitted, keeping in mind, the same will have to be executed up front on commencement of the Project. Phase-wise plan of plantation and compensatory afforestation should be charted clearly indicating the area to be covered under plantation and the species to be planted. The details of plantation already done should be given. The plant species selected for green belt should have greater ecological value and should be of good utility value to the local population with emphasis on local and native species and the species which are tolerant to pollution.
- 32) Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated. Projected increase in truck traffic as a result of the Project in the present road network (including those outside the Project area) should be worked out, indicating whether it is capable of handling the incremental load. Arrangement for improving the infrastructure, if contemplated (including action to be taken by other agencies such as State Government) should be covered. Project Proponent shall conduct Impact of Transportation study as per Indian Road Congress Guidelines.
- 33) Details of the onsite shelter and facilities to be provided to the mine workers should be included in the EIA Report.
- 34) Conceptual post mining land use and Reclamation and Restoration of mined out areas (with plans and with adequate number of sections) should be given in the EIA report.
- 35) Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.
- 36) Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 37) Measures of socio economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.
- 38) Detailed environmental management plan (EMP) to mitigate the environmental impacts which, should inter-alia include the impacts of change of land use, loss of agricultural and grazing land, if any, occupational health impacts besides other impacts specific to the proposed Project.


Chairman - SEAC

- 39) Public Hearing points raised and commitment of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project.
- 40) Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 41) The cost of the Project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should be clearly spelt out.
- 42) A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report.
- 43) Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.
- 44) Besides the above, the below mentioned general points are also to be followed:-

- a) Executive Summary of the EIA/EMP Report
- b) All documents to be properly referenced with index and continuous page numbering.
- c) Where data are presented in the Report especially in Tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
- d) Project Proponent shall enclose all the analysis/testing reports of water, air, soil, noise etc. using the MoEF&CC / NABL accredited laboratories. All the original analysis/testing reports should be available during appraisal of the Project.
- e) Where the documents provided are in a language other than English, an English translation should be provided.
- f) The Questionnaire for environmental appraisal of mining projects as devised earlier by the Ministry shall also be filled and submitted.
- g) While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the Proponents and instructions for the Consultants issued by MoEF&CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry, should be followed.
- h) Changes, if any made in the basic scope and project parameters (as submitted in Form-I and the PFR for securing the TOR) should be brought to the attention of MoEF&CC with reasons for such changes and permission should be sought, as the TOR may also have to be altered. Post Public Hearing changes in structure and content of the draft EIA/EMP (other than modifications arising out of the P.H. process) will entail conducting the PH



Chairman - SEAC

again with the revised documentation.

- i) As per the circular no. J-11011/618/2010-IA.II(I) dated 30.5.2012, certified report of the status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the environment clearance for the existing operations of the project, should be obtained from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, as may be applicable.
- j) The EIA report should also include (i) surface plan of the area indicating contours of main topographic features, drainage and mining area, (ii) geological maps and sections and (iii) sections of the mine pit and external dumps, if any, clearly showing the land features of the adjoining area.

Agenda No. 121-02:

Existing Limestone Quarry over an extent of 21.66.5 Ha at S.F. No. 631/9, Devannagoundanur Village, Sankari Taluk, Salem District, by M/s. Super Mines and Minerals – Activity 1(a) – Mining of major mineral – ToR to be issued.

(SIA/TN/MIN/28302/2018)

The proponent, **M/s. Super Mines and Minerals**, has applied for Environmental Clearance for Existing Limestone Quarry over an extent of 21.66.5 Ha at S.F. No. 631/9, Devannagoundanur Village, Sankari Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu on 18.07.2018. The Project Proponent has obtained Environment Clearances under EIA notification 1994 from MoEF&CC vide Letter no. J-11015/14/99-1A. II(M) dated 19.05.2000


The proponent **M/s Super Mines and Minerals** have submitted the application for obtaining TOR through SIA/TN/MIN/28302/2018 dated: 18.07.2018.

The project involves mining of Limestone. The limestone is a major mineral as per the MMDR Act, 2015 as amended and as per EIA Notification, 2006 under activity 1(a) Category “B”, the project requires Environmental Impact Assessment. To enable EIA Study, a ToR needs to be issued.

The salient features of the project proposal as submitted by the project proponent is as follows:

1. Government order/Lease details :

The mining lease was granted in the name of **M/s. Super Mines and Minerals, G.O. (3D).No: 24/ Industries (MMD.1) Department** dated 23.03.1998, over an area of


Chairman - SEAC