

Minutes of 134th meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bay's No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula on 17th to 18th January, 2022 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Sameer Pal Srow, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.

All the items of 133rd meeting are confirmed. The Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda were discussed and following decisions were taken:-

Dated: 17.01.2022

Item No.[1] EC for Expansion of Independent Floors “The Grove” at Block B, Sector 54, DLF 5, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s DLF Limited & Others.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/239923/2021 dated 08.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 231st meeting of SEAC held on 28.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Expansion in EC.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. **2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 518889 dated 22.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

PP vide letter dated 17.01.2022 has submitted additional information regarding water balance including revised calculations, STP adequacy details, area statement and clarification on RWH pits as per HUDA norms.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority, after considering the additional information of PP submitted vide letter dated 17.01.2022, decided to agree with recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant EC to the Project.

Item No.[2] Extension in Validity of EC of Commercial complex “KLJ Square” Village Shikohpur, Sector 83, NH-8, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s KLJ Realtech PVT. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/233861/2021 dated 08.12.2021 for obtaining Extension in validity of Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 229th & 231st meetings of SEAC held on 16.12.2021 & 28.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Extension in validity of EC.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 665084 dated 15.11.2021 received on 18.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer back the said case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, a committee of Sh. Vijay Kumar Gupta, Chairman, SEAC, Sh. S N Mishra, Member SEAC and concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is constituted for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier issued EC dated 5th February 2015 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[3] Extension in Validity of EC for Residential Plotted Colony Project located at Village Nangli,Umarpur, Maidawas and Kadarpur in Gurgaon - Manesar Complex, Haryana by M/S Brahma City Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/235088/2021 dated 07.12.2021 for obtaining extension in validity of Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 231st meetings of SEAC held on 28.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Extension in validity of EC.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 906800 dated 17.11.2021 received on 18.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, a committee of Sh. Vijay Kumar Gupta, Chairman, SEAC, Sh. S N Mishra, Member SEAC and concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is constituted for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 03.09.2014 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*
- 3. SEAC to check the category of the project.*

Item No.[4] Extension of Validity EC of M/s Routes and Journeys, Shri Sanjay Kumar, at BirTapu YNR B-07 Village- BirTapu, Tehsil –Jagadhri over an area of 14.45 Ha. in District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Routes and Journey.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/237120/2021 dated 07.12.2021 for obtaining extension in Validity of Environmental Clearance under Category 1 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **231st meetings of SEAC held on 28.12.2021** and **recommended to SEIAA for grant of Extension in validity of EC for one year** in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. 460 dated 27.06.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs.1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 447637 dated 02.11.2021 received on 25.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, a committee of Sh. R K Sapra, Member, SEAC, Sh. A K Mehta, Member SEAC and concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is constituted for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 27.06.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[5] EC for Extension of Group Housing Project at Village Chauma, Sector 111,Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Kashish Developers Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/238213/2021 dated 07.12.2021 for obtaining extension in validity of Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 229th& 231st meetings of SEAC held on 16.12.2021 & 28.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Extension in validity of EC.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 746218 dated 26.11.2021 received on 02.12.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the **134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022** and the Authority observed the following:

- EC was granted on 01.10.2013 was valid till 30.09.2020. As per MOEF &CC notification dated 18.01.2021, the period from 1st April, 2020 to 31st March, 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of prior EC granted, therefore, EC was valid till 29/09/21.
- PP has applied to SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/238213/2021 on dated 07.12.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, a committee of Sh. R K Sapra, Member, SEAC, Sh. A K Mehta, Member SEAC and concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is constituted for site inspection.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated*

01.10.2013 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.

- 3. Self-contained note must mention the chronology of all the events led to delay & how much is the delay in filing the application, has to be duly appraised & recommended by SEAC, any document or record needed from the office of SEIAA, SEAC should seek & appraise the case accordingly.*

Item No.[6] EC for Revision in the project “IT Park” complex located at Village Ullahawas, Sector 59, Gurugram by M/s Nova Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/201728/2021 dated 05.03.2021. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA for amendment in EC under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The project was granted earlier EC on dated 15.04.2014

The case was taken up in 219th meeting of SEAC held on 12.08.2021 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 222nd meeting of SEAC held on 12.10.2021 but PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 231st meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 28.12.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 05.03.2021 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022 and the Authority decided to request MS, HSPCB to nominate a team to verify the present status & to submit the report positively within a week, till then case is deferred.

Item No.[7] EC for Proposed Residential Plotted Colony Project at Sector 92, 93 and 95 at Village Wazirpur, District Gurgaon by M/s Ramprastha Estates Private Limited.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/57409/2018 dated 26.05.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted to the project on 10.05.2019.

The case was taken up in 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 10.11.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 18.02.2021. The case was again taken up in the 212th meeting held on 26.03.2021 and Consultant but the PP requested vide letter dated 18.02.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC appeared and requested for deferment as the PP is not unable to attend the meeting. The committee deliberated the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and next time the case will be dealt as per the existing notification/OM of MOEF&CC.

It is submitted by SEAC member that a sub committee comprising of Sh. Mehar Chand and Sh. S. N. Mishra was constituted by SEIAA vide order dated 1.07.2021 and they have submitted the report to SEIAA, However, the copy is submitted to SEAC (placed on record)

The Committee inspected the site on 27.08.2021. Following were present from PP side:

1. Mr. Anup Kaul (General Manager)
2. Mr. Suresh Kaul (Sr. Project Manager)
3. Mr. Aman Sharma Vardan Environet (Consultant)

The case was presented by PP giving the details of area in possession which is less than 50 hectares. Brief of details submitted by the project proponent is as follows:

- That the project has been granted license N. 44 of 2010 with Endst. No. 5DV-V-2010/LC-2098-Vol-III/7533 dated 11.06.2010 for a total area of 128.594 acres.

- That we have sold 2 acres area of commercial complex to JMS Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. and conveyance deed was done through Vasika no. 1073 dated 14.10.2015
- That as per information obtained M/s JMS Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. has already got EC from SEIAA, Haryana. EC was issued in the name of Ramprastha.
- That we have sold 1 acres area of school site to Ms. Himani W/o Sh. Yogesh Bansal and Ms. Sangeeta W/o Sh. Karan Singh and Conveyance deed was done through Vasika No. 1925 dated 18.10.2017
- Further we have surrendered 5.025 acres of Land from our possession and got the permission for delicensing from DTCP through Endst. No. LC-1634/Asstt (AK)/2020/10538 dated 22.06.2020.
- At present net land in possession with us is 120.5687 acre (48.792 Ha).

The committee also observed that laying of sewerage services and road is being carried out by project proponent in approximately 5-6 acres of land (see attached photographs). It appears that laying of services started just in recent past. Presently, the total licensed area in the name of Ramprastha is 123.5687 acres that including the area of JMS Buldtech and School also.

The committee deliberated on the report of sub-committee constituted and decided to recommend to SEIAA for following:-

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no Consent to Operate or Occupancy Certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

PP vide letter dated 17.01.2022 has submitted a request that they had carried out only soil test pits and drainage pits along with path have been executed at site over a vey small portion for test purpose along with sample manhole. No other work has been done at site. Services work of the plotted colony will be taken up for execution only after following all the norms as prescribed under Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986 and after obtaining EC.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the **134th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.01.2022** and the Authority observed that the sub-committee has reported that in 5-6 acres of land (out of 123.5687 acres), certain roads & sewage pipes are being laid.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to get the request of PP verified by constituting a committee of 02 ROs of HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB for site inspection & to submit the report at the earliest possible till then case is deferred.

Item No.[8] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Colony planned at Village Nangli Umarpur, Sector 62, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s EMAAR MGF.

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/66969/2019 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR has been granted to the project vide letter dated 03.10.2019.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 224th meeting of SEAC held on 29.10.2021 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case vide letter dated 26.0.2021 which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 231st meeting of SEAC held on 28.12.2021 but PP submitted a letter of withdrawal dated 28.12.2021 stating that due to the change in planning, they will not be going for the expansion of our group housing project, at present & will apply for EC for expansion of group housing, once the change in planning is finalized.

The PP submitted the Affidavit cum undertaking dated 29.12.2021 (placed on record)

- That construction has been carried out as per the condition of EC granted.
- No violation of condition has been done
- That due to the change in planning, they will not be going for the expansion of our group housing project, at present & will apply for EC for expansion of group housing, once the change in planning is finalized.

The committee after deliberation decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal of case for EC in view of Affidavit submitted by PP.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority observed that Compliance report in view of earlier granted EC & a report on no extra construction carried out as earlier proposed for expansion, RO, HSPCB of concerned area nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB may be asked for "Spot inspection" & the compliance of earlier granted EC, till then the decision is deferred.

Item No.[9] EC for Expansion of Institutional Project “NCR Biotech Science Cluster Phase-II at village Bhankri, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Translational Health Science and Technology.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/211992/2021 dated 02.08.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR was granted by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2020.

The case was taken up in 218th meeting of SEAC held on 30.07.2021 but the members informed the committee that they have not received the documents and it was unanimously decided to defer the case as the documents were not circulated to the members and their case will be considered only after the receipt of documents.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 223rd meeting of SEAC held on 21.10.2021 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

The case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee but the PP requested vide letter dated 18.11.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 231st meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 28.12.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF& CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 05.03.2021 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should

write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and Authority decided to constitute a committee comprising of Joint Director (Technical), SEIAA & 2 Regional Officers to be nominated by MS, HSPCB to verify the present status of the project & the compliance of the conditions of already accorded “EC”, till then decision is deferred.

Further Authority decided to issue an advisory that in such cases of “Extension in Validity of EC” or Expansion/delisting/withdrawal/filing; report of RO or team nominated by SEAC/SEIAA should not be older than 4 weeks and the report must be supplemented with the recent photographs of the site.

Item No.[10] EC for the project “Auria” Group Housing Colony measuring land area of 11.925 Acres at Sector 88, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s RPS Infrastructure Ltd.

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/221964/2021 dated 12.08.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under category 8(a) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 220th, 221st, 227th & 231st meeting of SEAC held on 30.08.2021, 29.09.2021, 30.11.2021 & 28.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority observed that Scrutiny fee has not been submitted by the PP and SEAC has also not take cognizance on the same

Further, the Authority observed the following:

- *Compliance report dated 07/10/2021 has shown non-compliance of certain specific as well as general conditions, annexure-IX & PP has submitted the “Action Taken report” to be attached in the same annexure.*
- *Annexure-XVII, Reply to SEAC, Water balance :*
 - a) *Out of 356 KLD of Domestic water 206 KLD (57.87% only) of Waste water generation is shown.*

- b) 95/114/124 KLD of excess treated water for near-by areas for Horticulture or sprinkling purposes.*
- c) 5KLD for filter back-wash, 2 KLD shown to be recovered where would remain 3 KLD go?*
- d) 5 KLD miscellaneous, what about the rest of 3 KLD?*
- e) 19 KLD for cooling, for what purposes (HVAC or DG cooling), not mentioned? The residual water would be having high concentration of salts. PP should achieve “ZLD” by installing the required equipment like RO/SO, MEE etc.*
- f) Capacity of STP to be increased proportionately as the quantity of waste water to be recalculated.*
- g) Page no. A-10, pt. 3.1, PP has submitted that “High sulphur Diesel”(HSD) will be stored....., under 7.3 page no. A-17, mentioned is “The only source of emission from combustion of fuel (High Sulphur Diesel) will be from DG sets”.*

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC to examine the observations of SEIAA and submit recommendations accordingly.

Item No.[11] EC for Project Expansion of Commercial Office Complex “Signature Tower III” at Sector 15 Part-II, Gurugram by M/s Unitech Limited.

The EIA/EMP was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana under violation vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/200374/2021 dated 05.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006

The case was considered in 228th & 231st meeting of SEAC held on 01.12.2021, 29.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC under Violation Category.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000 vide DD No. 883970 dated 10.11.2021 received on 11.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to submit recommendations as per the notification of MoEF & CC dated 7th July, 2021 recalculating the penalty & damage assessment and clearly mentioning the methodology used in arriving at the final figure. The Remedial & Resource Augmentation plan should be apart from the Environment Management plan & the various statutory obligations of project & PP under

various “Environment protection acts”. The Remedial & Resource Augmentation plan is to be sustainable, verifiable & quantifiable.

Item No.[12] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Project located at Revenue Estate of Village Gadauli Khurd, Sector 37 C, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Renuka Traders Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/200374/2021 dated 05.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 228th & 231st meeting of SEAC held on 04.12.2021, 29.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC under Violation Category.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000 vide DD No. 220315 dated 27.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority observed the following:

Observations:

- 1. Green area shown in existing EC is 4022.15 mt² that is 13.94% of Net plot area. Perhaps typo error in %.*
- 2. Green area mentioned (location & quantum) in accorded EC cannot be altered.*
- 3. Total STP capacity mentioned in MoM under Table 2 Basic Details is 510 (plus 245KLD) which is not clear.*
- 4. Water Balance Diagram fig.2/3/4, 15 KLD of treated water is shown for Cooling of DG sets, all this water won't evaporate; PP should submit the schematic plan to treat the residual water & how to dispose of rejects?*
- 5. PP has submitted that 159/167.5/179 KLD of excess treated water would be discharged in public sewer.*
- 6. Energy consumption per sq. ft. of built-up area should be mentioned in pt. 9.1 of Form-1A.*
- 7. What has been asked under pt. 9.2/9.7 is not replied properly.*
- 8. Expansion project and Report of RO, MoEF & CC is not submitted.*

9. PP has submitted the revised calculations for RWH pits taking peak hourly rain fall at 90 mm & have increased the dimensions of RWH pits, submitted are Dia-6 mts & Depth-3.5 mts. Total no. of RWH pits 5+2=7. The no. & dimensions of pits should be mentioned in document. The same has been recently circulated, has SEAC taken cognizance of same?

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to examine the observations of SEIAA and submit recommendations accordingly.

Item No.[13] EC for proposed Expansion of IT Park Complex project at Village Ullahawas, Sector-59, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Nova Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

S. No	Particulars of Project		
1.	Applied for	:	Expansion in EC
2.	Listed at Sr. No. (SEIAA)	:	<u>134/13</u>
3.	Meeting Details of SEAC	:	207th meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2020 and recommended to SEIAA in accordance with MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020
4.	Nature & Category of Project	:	Building & Construction Project under category 8 (a)
5.	Location of Project	:	Village Ullahawas, Sector-59, Gurgaon, Haryana
6.	Built Up Area as per earlier granted EC	:	144408 Sqmt

Facts of the Case:

1. The case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 .The PP requested vide letter dated 24.12.2020 for withdrawal of case submitting that the Building 1 is completed and building 2A & 2B towers work was earlier started but

construction is now kept on hold due to financial crisis. Keeping in view, the request of PP the committee raised the issue regarding the status of construction at site and the PP submitted the affidavit that no construction has been done at the project site which was placed before the committee. The Committee deliberated the request of PP for withdrawal and delisting as the PP requested to submit the fresh application for expansion after revised building plans.

The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 08.05.2018 and project is pending since long and now PP has requested to withdrawal of the case, the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance 74 in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started

2. The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; the Authority observed that SEAC has recommended this case for writing a letter to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI whereas the PP submitted a request on 17.03.2021 stating that they have revised their Project Scheme & re-applied vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/201728/2021 dated 04.03.2021 and also requested to withdraw this present case.

After detailed deliberation, the Authority decided to accede the request of PP to withdraw his case. Further, in the meanwhile, the Authority decided that Shri PrabhakarVerma, Member SEAC may visit the site and report regarding latest position of the Project.

3. The matter was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 13.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to ask Dr.S.N.Mishra to visit the site & send the factual report at the earliest possible.

The report from Dr. S. N. Mishra, Member SEAC has been received on 16.12.2021 which is reproduced as under:

As per SEIAA order Memo No. SEIAA/HR/2021/860-861 dated 06.08.2021 undersigned was directed to inspect site of IT Park Complex at Village Ullahawas, Sector 59, Gurugram, Haryana developed by M/s Nova Realtors Pvt. Ltd. However, project was in the name of M/s Real Capital Towers Pvt. Ltd which was changed to Nova Realtors Pvt. Ltd. The desired documents are attached showing change.

The site was visited on 09.10.2021 by undersigned.

Mr. Bhim Singh, General Manager from Proponent was present along with Consultant from Grass Root Res. & Creation India (P) Ltd.

The IT Complex was proposed to be developed measuring land area 5.525 + 1.15 acre (revenue estate) in total 4.675 acres. The EC was granted for built up area (comprising 3 basement + GF+ 33 Fl.) of 144408 Sqmt, but not beyond 115 meter height vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2010-837 dated 05.10.2020.

The PP has constructed the building 1 containing 3 basement & G+16 covering area of 44630.63 Sqmt, for which OC has been obtained vide memo No. ZP-689/50(BS)/2018/30705 dated 30.10.2018. This building is functional in all respects (with desired requirement of water, power & functional STP). Further, PP has constructed another building denoting here building -2, up to 6 floor, unfurnished. No work was going on at the time of visit in this building (Pl see attached Photo-2). Adjacent to this building, digging of plot is done. It seems that it is abandoned for long period (Photos attached i-v).

Moreover, PP has given affidavit ensuring neither extra construction nor future violation of any EC conditions stipulated. In case, it happens, will be sole responsibility of the project proponent.

In view of the above facts & figures presented by PP along with compliance report, it is apparent that PP has neither constructed/achieved complete sanctioned built up area nor extra increase in any sanctioned dimension of this project.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to accord the approval of withdrawal of application by PP.

**Item No.[14] EC for proposed Institutional Project “Prannath Parnami University”
located at Chaudhariwas, Hisar, Haryana by M/s Shri Prannath Parnami.**

S. No	Particulars of Project		
1.	Applied for	:	Fresh EC for Institutional Project
2.	Listed at Sr. No. (SEIAA)	:	<u>134/14</u>
3.	Meeting Details of SEAC	:	145th meeting of SEAC held on 30.11.2016 and recommended to SEIAA for de-listing as the PP was failed to comply the observations within a period of six months.
4.	Nature & Category of Project	:	Building & Construction Project under category 8 (a)
5.	Location of Project	:	Chaudhariwas, Hisar, Haryana
6.	Constructed Built Up Area	:	40,000 Sqmt Approximately

Facts of the Case:

1. The Project was considered in 145th meeting of SEAC held on 30.11.2016 and recommended to SEIAA for de-listing as the PP was failed to comply the observations within a period of six months.
2. The recommendation of SEAC was considered by SEIAA on 19.01.2017 and it was decided to constitute a sub-committee comprising of Sh. Hitender Singh and Dr. S.N. Mishra, Members SEAC.
3. Thereafter, no such report has been received till date from the Sub-Committee.
4. Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 117th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.04.2019 and it was decided that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level.
5. A show-cause Notice was served to the project Proponent but the PP has failed to submit his reply.

6. The matter was placed before the 130th Meeting of SEIAA, Haryana held on 16.11.2021 and the Authority decided to ask RO, HSPCB, Hisar to conduct a spot inspection and submit the report at the earliest possible and defer this case to the next meeting.

The Report from HSPCB through Regional Officer, Hisar has been received on 16.12.2021 and intimated that he has carried out inspection of the site of above said project on 17.11.2021.

During inspection, it was observed that the project is having total land area of 21.5 acre and built up area of 40,000 sqmt approximately having 03 building blocks i.e. 02 educational blocks and 1 hostel block. As per representative of project Sh. Pankaj Kumar, Liaison Officer the said project has started construction work in 2008 and completed in 2013 of having 03 building blocks i.e.02 educational blocks and 1 hostel block and has dropped the proposed plan for establishment of University and they also informed that they have intimated same to SEIAA, Haryana vide their letter dated 28.06.2019.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to accord the approval of withdrawal of application by PP.

Additional Agenda

Item No.[15] EC for proposed mining of Sand (Minor Mineral) at Jairampur Block YNR/B-6 (ML area-33.85 Ha.) Village-JairampurJagiri, Tehsil-Jagadhari, District-Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Balaji Infra.

The case pertains to Sand mining (Minor minerals). ToR was approved in 136thSEAC meeting held on 09.07.2016.EIA/EMP Report was submitted on 08.05.2018 & lastly the case was considered in 193rd meeting of SEAC held on 23.12.2019 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC for one year under category B1, 1(a) as per EIA Notification, 2006.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **123rdmeeting of SEIAA held on 13.03.2020** and reviewed the facts and record of the project, the Authority observed that the language of recommendation & Appraisal of SEAC is not very clear whether the “EC” should be accorded or wait for one year to get the “Replenishment Studies” submitted.

The Authority, further observed that the case was initially taken in 172nd meeting of SEAC held on 03/07/2018 and still there is no clear recommendation regarding grant of “EC”, therefore, after detailed deliberations and discussions in the matter, the Authority decided to sought clarification from SEAC (Haryana) on the their recommendations and also decided to defer this case till the receipt of reply from SEAC.

The Authority asked PP to submit an affidavit stating that:

- 1.No mining activity is being carried out at the stated block & further mining will not give rise to cluster mining;
2. No intention to expand the mining activity beyond the stated/ approved area;
3. Sprinkler would be deployed to curb fugitive emission by using treated water;
4. Water trough would be provided for incoming/ outgoing water to wash the wheels;
5. No natural water course/ water body would be obstructed due to any mining activity or due to the dumping of the material and will not stack any mineral outside the concession area granted on mining contract without obtaining a valid mineral dealer license;
6. Total mineral excavated & stacked will not exceed 2 times of the average monthly production as per approved mining plan at any point of time;
7. No mining operations would be carried out in any reserved/protected forest or any area prohibited by any law force in India or by any authority without obtaining prior permission;
8. No mining operation in urbanizable zone of area;
9. No mining activity would be carried out in the river bed to a distance of 5 times of the span of bridge on up-stream side & 10 times the span on down-stream side;
10. Un-mined block of 50 meters width to be maintained after every block of 1000 meters over which mining is undertaken;
11. Maximum depth of mining will not exceed 3 meters from the un-mined bed level at any point in time;
12. Mining would be restricted within the central 3/4th width of river/rivulet;

13. No mining would be carried out outside the sanctioned block;
14. Mining would be carried out keeping a safety margin of 2 meters above ground water table.

The case again was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021; Authority found out complaint has been received by office of SEIAA. Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to look into the nature of complaint, if need be can send a team to get the spot inspection. Authority asked SEAC to apprise SEIAA about the future developments.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 227th meeting of SEAC held on 30.12.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA as below:-

1. PP has submitted the reply of complaint raised by Smt. Asma Parveen Khan against the company (M/s BalaJi Infra) on dated 15.08.2020.
2. PP has also submitted copy of Final Report written under section 173 Cr.P.C in the Court of Sh. Sher Singh JMIC dated 14.11.2021 stating that the allegation of Smt. Asma Parveen Khan was not true and no evidence has been found against the complaint.
3. Further the PP has also submitted the revised partnership deed wherein M/s Balaji Infra Company has removed the name of Smt. Asma Parveen Khan from the deed.
4. The PP submitted the DD of Rs. 1.5 lakh as scrutiny fees in favour of MS, SEIAA

SEAC has recommended to SEIAA that the complaint/FIR has been filed and again submitted to SEIAA as recommended by SEAC vide MOM of its 193rd meeting held on 23.12.2019.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132nd meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to examine the proposal in light of recent “District Survey Report” and the validity of approved mining plan.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022 and observations raised by SEIAA in its meeting was considered and PP submitted the reply of observations as following:-

Observation raised by SEIAA	Reply
PP shall submit the “District Survey Report”	The DSR is prepared and approved vide

and the validity of approved mining plan	memo no. Mining/YNR/2709 Dtaed:11.08.2017 placed on record. 232nd Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 06.01.2022 and 07.01.2022 76 The Department of mines and geology Haryana has confirmed vide their memo no. DMG/HY/M.PLAN /Jairampurjagir/YNRB6/7183 Dated: 24/12/2021 that the approved mining plan of the project is still valid copy of letter is placed on record.
--	---

After due deliberation the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and decided to forward the case to SEIAA for grant of EC as committee has already submitted with full facts vide SEAC MOM of 227th meeting.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to agree with recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant EC to the Project for one year and PP is further asked to submit the “Replenishment Studies” as per the guidelines issued by MoEf & CC on January, 2020.

Item No.[16] EC for Expansion cum Modification of Group Housing Project at Village Palra, Sector 70A, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Haamid Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.

The Project Proponent submitted the case along with EIA/EMP to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/61220/2021 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted vide letter dated 08.07.2021.

The case was considered in 224th, 227th& 228thmeeting of SEAC held on 29.10.2021, 30.11.2021 & 04.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted scrutiny fee amounting to Rs. 2,00,000/- DD. No. 001385 dated 30.10.2021 vide letter dated 01.11.2021 received on 02.11.2021in compliance of Haryana

Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132nd meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021; the Authority while examining the case found that there is contradiction in regard to discharge of excess treated water in Water Balance Diagram (Page No. 28) and description under mode of discharge given on Page No. 38 (Under point 2.13) in Form-IA. This requires clarity and response of PP.

Authority further asked PP to ensure 28 no. of RWH Pits to be installed as proposed in the original Plan (Page No. 130) submitted earlier for the grant of existing EC

It needs to be further understood whether the observations raised by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI stands complied with.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC for submitting compliance of above said observations.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022. The observations raised by SEIAA in its meeting were considered and PP submitted the reply of observations as following:-

Sr. No.	Observations	Reply
1.	That there is contradiction in regard to discharge of excess treated water in Water Balance Diagram (Page No. 28) and description under mode of discharge given on Page No. 38 (Under point 2.13) in Form-IA.	The treated water from STP will be used in flushing and horticulture purpose. After usage of water in flushing and horticulture, the excess treated water of 380KLD from STP will be discharged in the nearest sewerage line. There is typing error at point no.2.13
2.	28 no. of RWH Pits to be installed as proposed in the original Plan (Page No. 130) submitted earlier for the grant of existing EC.	We will develop 28 no's of RWH pits at our project site.

3.	Whether the observations raised by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI stands complied with.	The observations raised by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI were duly replied by us and our reply against the observations has been incorporated by the RO, MOEF&CC, GOI in the Certified compliance report issued. Further the Action taken report is placed on record
----	---	---

After due deliberation on treated water, RWH, Certified compliance report, the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and decided to forward the case to SEIAA as committee has already submitted with full facts vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC stating that the recommendation & appraisal should be thorough & clear about the raised observations.

Item No.[17] EC for Crystal City Logistic Park -1 (Warehouse/ Industrial Storage/ Logistic/ Assembling Park) Project located at 60 Milestone, Village Rathiwas, Bhudka, Manesar, Village Bhodakalan, Tehsil Pataudi, District Gurugram, Haryana, National Highway-48, Haryana by M/s Crystal City Developers Private Limited

The proposed project is for EC for Crystal City Logistic Park -1 (Warehouse/ Industrial Storage/ Logistic/ Assembling Park) Project.

The case was considered in 228th SEAC meeting & committee raised certain observations. PP submitted the replies to the raised observations; committee considered the reply, recommended the project to SEIAA with “Gold ratings”.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132nd Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority observed the following variation in the data submitted by PP:

Rain Water Harvesting Pits.

As per details given at page no. 93 of Conceptual Plan are:

Sr. No.	Particular	Area (m ²)	Run-off co-efficient	Rainfall intensity	Run-off (m ³ /hr
1	Roof Area	169254	0.9	0.09	13709.574

2	Area under Road/Parking	126216.56	0.7	0.09	7951.64
3	Green Area	74510.08	0.2	0.09	1341.18

Details given in Reply to SEAC vide letter dated 06.11.2021 at Annexure -14.

Sr. No.	Particular	Area (m ²)	Run-off co-efficient	Rainfall intensity	Run-off (m ³ /hr)
1	Roof Area	169254	0.9	0.09	13709.574
2	Area under Road/Parking	144746.64	0.7	0.09	9119.04
3	Green Area	55980	0.2	0.09	1007.64

The case was referred back to SEAC to examine variation in data submitted.

Further, Authority observed while recommending the case SEAC has mentioned in its MOM of 228th Meeting that the decision was “Unanimous” whereas dissent note conveyed in this case indicates otherwise.

The case was taken up in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022. The discussion was held on population details, Climatology data of CPCB, Hydraulic design and dimensions of each component of two 270KLD, STP using MBBR technology, micromet data, Fire fighting plan, Revised FMCG products, MSDS, Contour plan, traffic study, Revised ECBC, copy of LOI as issued for the project etc. and certain observations were raised in the meeting of SEAC and SEIAA which were replied by PP placed on record.

After due deliberation the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and decided by majority to forward the case to SEIAA along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

1. 92 Rain Water Harvesting pits and two RWH ponds shall be provided for rainwater usages as per the CGWB norms.
2. The PP shall install Digital water level recorder for monitoring the water recharge and carry out quarterly maintenance and cleaning of 92 RWH pits.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC stating that the

recommendation & appraisal should be thorough & clear about the raised observations and the corrective action pertaining to the variation in the data. The corrected data should find its place in appraisal & recommendations.

Item No.[18] EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse/Logistic/Industrial Storage Project at Revenue Estate of Village Sehsaula, TalukaTauru, Mewat (Nuh), Haryana by M/s ERPL Warehouse Park Private Limited.

The case was submitted on dated 22.11.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The proposed project is for EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse/Logistic/Industrial Storage Project.

Consent to Establish for the same is obtained from HSPCB (HSPCB/Consent/: 329962321GSMWCTE8394569) Dated 07.01.2021

Certified compliance report of the existing part has been obtained dated 04.08.2021. Action taken report of the points raised as per this report is submitted by the PP to MoEF & CC.

The case was considered in 228th SEAC meeting held on 04/12/2021. SEAC held the discussions on abnormal increase in population 3500 to 8500 and reducing the staff water requirement from 45 LPCD to 30 LPCD in order to avoid construction of bigger STP detriment to Proper Management/conservation of Environment, without any legitimate backing for such storage and commercial project where washroom/Toilets are necessary component as per NBC that too should use water efficient fixtures, AravaliNoC, Green plan, water requirement, revised EMP, STP, contour plan, sewer permission, water assurance etc. and certain observations were raised.

SEAC considered the submitted reply of PP & rated this project with “Gold ratings” and recommended the project to SEIAA.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132nd Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority observed that there is a definite Reduction” in Green area from 20.02% in accorded EC to 15.02% in the said proposal. Further, the following variation in the data submitted by PP has been observed:

Rain Water Harvesting Pits.

As per details given at page no. 92 of Conceptual Plan are:

Sr.	Particular	Area (m ²)	Run-off co-	Rainfall intensity	Run-off (m3/hr)
-----	------------	------------------------	-------------	--------------------	-----------------

No.			efficient		
1	Roof Area	98197.38	0.9	0.09	7953.99
2	Area under Road/Parking	70988.05	0.7	0.09	4472.25
3	Green Area	29910	0.2	0.09	538.38

Details Given in Reply to SEAC vide letter dated 06.12.2021 at Annexure -14.

Sr. No.	Particular	Area (m ²)	Run-off co-efficient	Rainfall intensity	Run-off (m ³ /hr)
1	Roof Area	169254	0.9	0.09	13709.574
2	Area under Road/Parking	144746.64	0.7	0.09	9119.04
3	Green Area	55980	0.2	0.09	1007.64

The case was referred back to SEAC to examine variation in data submitted and the reduction in the green area. Further, it was observed that the reply to SEAC Annexure-16 is a copy paste of reply to SEAC Annexure-14 of the next project i.e. M/s Crystal City Developers Private Limited .

Further, Authority observed while recommending the case SEAC has mentioned in its MOM of 228th Meeting that the decision was “Unanimous” whereas dissent note conveyed in this case indicates otherwise.

The case was taken up in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022. The discussion was held on population details, Climatology data of CPCB, Hydraulic design and dimensions of each component of two 270KLD, STP using MBBR technology, micromet data, Fire fighting plan, Revised FMCG products, MSDS, Contour plan, traffic study, Revised ECBC, copy of LOI as issued for the project etc. and certain observations were raised in the meeting of SEAC and SEIAA.

PP submitted self contained note as below along with the reply of observations:-

- ERPL Warehousing Park Pvt. Ltd. Has obtained an environment clearance letter dated 02.11.2020 wherein the water calculations for staff were done keeping 45 LPCD as the water consumption.
- PP has introduced change in the project planning.
- PP has reduced the water consumption to 30 LPCD for their staff population as the Multinational Brands anticipated to occupy the space; they have been working over the water reduction policies directed to revolutionize the water conservation scenario.

- PP has intimated regarding the water conservation demand of the probable future occupiers and their water requirement target of 30 litres per capita per day for the staff by installing efficient fixtures and adequate technologies.
- PP has considered making provision for the washroom area instead of the bathing facilities and has referred NBC 2016, BIS norm published by Central Ground Water Authority, Government of India.
- PP has clarified that the requirement of water will not be compromised in any way and neither had intended to excuse from the budget associated with the STP capacity.
- PP will install the water meter to monitor the actual consumption of fresh water.
- PP will adopt adequate fixtures along with the necessary facilities to conserve the water.

The reply placed below the committee and the committee deliberated on following points:-

- Latest notification of Haryana Water Resources Authority dated 07.01.2022, it is observed that the village, where project is proposed, is falling under the Pink Zone (Low ground water stressed village).
- That PP has proposed to extract the water from Ground itself as there is no other source of water available in the region. PP has already applied for Ground Water NOC from the Haryana Water Resources Authority and submitted the copy of application to the office of SEAC.
- Further, it is also observed that PP has proposed water conservation measures like Dual plumbing, Rain water Harvesting through 53 pits and 2 ponds, inclusion of water saving fixtures using adequate technologies and an affidavit in this regard has also been submitted to the office of SEAC.

After due deliberation on the water requirement @30LPCD in view of taking water conservation measures by installing facets etc. and making the provision of washrooms without bathroom, the committee considered the reply submitted by PP and decided by majority to forward the case to SEIAA along with additional stipulation and other standard and specific condition which committee has already submitted vide SEAC MOM of 228th meeting.

Additional Stipulations:-

1. Telemetry water flow meters shall be installed at the source of water i.e. Borewell; Inlet and Outlet of STP (as per the guidelines of HWRA and HSPCB) and data needs

to be submitted with Six Monthly compliance reports to the SEIAA/HSPCB.

2. The PP shall obtain the Fresh Environment Clearance with updated water requirement in case of increase in water quantum at any stage.
3. That, the PP shall contribute towards the conservation of water by installing various water conservation technologies

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 134th meeting of SEIAA held on 18.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC stating that the recommendation & appraisal should be thorough & clear about the raised observations.

The PP is mentioning the consumption of water at 30 LPCD instead of 45 LPCD that may not be an attempt to install a STP with lower capacity. SEAC should clearly mention technical view point on this aspect very clearly while recommending the case to SEIAA and the corrective action taken pertaining to the variation in the data. The corrected data should find its place in appraisal & recommendations.
