

Minutes of 135th meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bay's No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula on 24th to 25th January, 2022 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Sameer Pal Srow, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.

All the items of 134th meeting are confirmed. The Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda were discussed and following decisions were taken:-

Dated: 24.01.2022

Item No.[1] Extension of Validity of EC for proposed project Mining of sand minor mineral from the riverbed Yamuna river with 2,59,000 MT production capacity over an area of 12.62 hectare located at Village-Bhoodkalan, Tehsil -Chhachhrauli, District- Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining Co.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/236549/2021 dated 20.12.2021 as for obtaining Extension in validity of Environment Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/271 Dated 12.04.2016

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 1,5,00,00/- vide DD. No. 434436 dated 09.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the **135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022** and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for "Extension in validity must get a "Compliance report" from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection*

to verify the present status of the project.

- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 12.04.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[2] Extension of Validity of EC for proposed project Mining of sand minor mineral from the riverbed Yamuna river with 2,00,000 MT production capacity over an area of 9.95 hectare located at Village-BhoodMajra, Tehsil Chhachhrauli, District- Yamuna nagar, Haryanaby M/s Ganga Yamuna Mining CO.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/236556/2021 dated 20.12.2021 for obtaining Extension in validity of Environment Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/485 dated 27.06.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 1,5,00,00/- vide DD. No. 434435 dated 09.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated*

27.06.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.

Item No.[3]Extension of Validity of EC for proposed project Mining of sand minor mineral from the riverbed Yamuna river with 9,10,000 MT production capacity over an area of 48.60 hectare located at Village- Jhidhari Block/YNR B-33, Tehsil- Chhachhrauli, District- Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s PS Buildtech.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/237126/2021 dated 20.12.2021 for obtaining Extension in validity of Environment Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/741 Dated 15.09.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 1,5,00,00/- vide DD. No.502777 dated.08.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 15.09.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be*

submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.

Item No.[4] Extension of Validity of EC for proposed project Mining of sand minor mineral from the riverbed Yamuna river with 9,10,000 MT production capacity over an area of 48.60 hectare located at Village-Jhidhari Block/YNR B-33, Tehsil- Chhachhrauli, District- Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s PS Buildtech.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/237132/2021 dated 20.12.2021 for obtaining Extension in validity of Environmental Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. *SEIAA/HR/2016/746 Dated 15.09.2016.*

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 1,5,00,00/- vide DD. No. 502778 dated 08.11.2021 received on 15.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 15.09.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[5] EC for Project Expansion of construction of “Independent Floors” at DLF Garden City, Village Bhangrola, Mewka, Dhoraka & Hayatpur, Sector 91 & 92, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s DLF Utilities Limited.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/244454/2021 on dated 22.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance for Expansion under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance for Expansion.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 519999 dated 22.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

PP vide affidavit dated 25.01.2022 has submitted following details.

1. That no construction activity has been undertaken at site yet
2. That green area of 1331.40 sqm (6.3 % of 21080.8m²) will be developed as granted in environmental clearance dated 24.11.2021 vide EC identification no. EC 21B038HR140906 vide file no. SEIAA/HR/2021/433 dated 24.11.2021.
3. That green area of 1,681.420 m² as lawn will be developed (6.02 % of plot area 27927 m²). Total green area of plotted Township has got Environmental Clearance vide letter no SEIAA/(126)/HR/2021/192 dated 18.02.2021 will be 135288.94 m² (35.2 % of the licensed area including the residential plots being developed by DLF Utilities Limited.
4. That it is a plotted colony and it will take a long time to fully develop. In future, whenever actual treated water will be generated, we will lay down our own sewerage with requisite carrying capacity & will connect our sewerage system with nearby HSVP sewerage and will seek prior permission from appropriate Govt. Authorities for the same.
5. At any point of time any untreated or excess treated water will not be discharged in open nearby space.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority deliberated on the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC. Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant “EC” to said project.

Authority further decided to advice “Project proponent”& to add additional conditions.

i. PP will use “Clean Fuel” in the form of CNG/PNG for its DG sets.

ii. PP will develop its own “Sewer System” with adequate capacity in alignment of nearby “Sewer System” of HUDA to discharge excess treated water.

iii. Authority further said that if such “Sewer System” is not developed, OC/CTE/CTO would be granted only after full proof of “Zero Liquid Discharge”.

Item No.[6] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Revenue Estate of Village Dhanwapur, Sector 104, Gurugram, Haryana by Sh. Bhoop Singh & others in collaboration with Czar Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/226216/2021 dated 08.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance for Expansion.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 000292 dated 02.12.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority after going through the submitted documents vis-à-vis reply to the raised queries of SEAC gathered that there are certain inaccuracies in calculating the capacity of Rain Water Storage Tank & in reusing such stored water.

Authority further observed that PP has submitted an affidavit stating to achieve “ZLD” & at the same time showing approx. 300 KLD of excess treated water to discharge in external sewer.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the directions to relook into every aspect of the submitted case including Form-1, 1A & Conceptual plan etc. to arrive at a meaningful opinion.

Item No.[7] EC for Proposed “Affordable Group Housing Colony” at Village Ullawas, Sector 62, Gurugram, Haryana of land measuring 5.7875 acres by M/s Gulmohar Finance Ltd. in Collaboration with Synergy shine Infra LLP.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/233253/2021 on dated 28.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 037822 dated 08.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority, while going through the submitted facts found out that there are glaring discrepancies in Presentation, Circulation Copy & Submitted Copy.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to look discrepancies in Presentation, Circulation Copy & Submitted Copy.

Authority further expressed its disappointment & displeasure in not paying full attention to such issues before appraising & recommending the case to SEIAA.

Item No.[8] EC for VSR Mall (Known as 114 Avenue) (Retail Cum Office Complex) at Sector-I14 Gurugram, Haryana by M/S VSR Infratech Pvt Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/215355/2021 dated 22.07.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for amendment in EC under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered 218th & 222nd meeting of SEAC held on 30.07.2021 & 11.10.2021 and the PP presented the case before the Committee and during discussion on the earlier EC dated 28.05.2013 extension of validity of EC, built up area sanctioned in earlier EC and it was found that PP has constructed 45573.778 Sqm instead of 41,429 sqm as sanctioned in EC dated 28.05.2013, it was concluded by the Committee that PP has constructed more area than that sanctioned in the EC letter hence, it is, the clear case of violation of MOEF&CC notification dated 14.09.2006 and the Committee unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for taking action against the PP under the provisions of section 19 of EP Act 1986, being a violation case.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 130th Meeting of SEIAA held on 15.11.2021 and the Authority decided to issue a “Show-cause notice” to the Project Proponent, stating why not the legal proceedings be initiated under Section 19 of EPA, 1986 for increase in built-up area beyond the specified area in accorded “EC”.

The Case was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022; and the authorized person appeared before the authority and submitted written request for

providing another date. The authority exceeded request of PP and further authority directed the PP to appear on 28.01.2022 at 2.30 PM.

Item No.[9] EC for Proposed Group Housing project at Village Wazirpur, Sector 92 & 95, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s NA Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. C/o M/s Raheja Developers Pvt. Ltd. and M/s Saan Procon Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/69919/2021 dated 28.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR has been granted to the project vide letter dated 23.11.2021.

The case was considered in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 06.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 330854 dated 25.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority after due deliberations decided to refer the case back to SEAC and asked SEAC to further relook into all the aspects of case along with the observations of Mr. A. K. Mehta.

Authority further decided to constitute a committee headed by Mr. Vinay Gautam (Joint Director Tech., SEIAA) & requested MS to depute the RO of the concerned area to accompany for the “Spot Inspection” & to verify the status of construction, % Green Area provided and discharge of excess treated water.

Item No.[10] ToR for Revision and Expansion in EC for Industrial Warehousing/Storage/ Logistics Project at Revenue Estate of Village Faizabad, District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s Indospace Industrial Park Badli Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/70180/2021 dated 28.12.2021 for approval of Terms of Reference under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 232nd Meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the

additional Terms of Reference. Auto ToR has been generated to the project vide letter dated 21.12.2021

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/-** vide DD No. 355320 dated 25.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendations of SEAC was considered in the 135th Meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.

Item No.[11] ToR for Setting up of 1.5 MTPA Clinker Grinding Unit at Village Chirya, District Charkhi Dadri, Haryana by M/s Nuvoco Vistas Corporation Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND/70176/2021 dated 28.12.2021 for approval of Terms of Reference under Category 3(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 232nd Meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/-** vide DD No. 030295 dated 17.12.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendations of SEAC was considered in the 135th Meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to dispose of the case from its portal with the directions to Project Proponent to apply the case to MoEF & CC considering the capacity of Unit in view of notification dated 23.11.2016.

Item No.[12]EC for Commercial Colony at Village-Nangli Umarpur, Sector-62, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s JMD Limited.

The application was submitted on 13.02.2015 and the case was considered by SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 15.03.2016 wherein it was observed that PP has already started

construction work which amounts to violation of EIA Notification and sent back to SEIAA for taking legal Action.

The file was transferred to MoEF & CC, GoI in compliance of MoEF & CC, GoI Notification dated 14.03.2017. The Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change returned back all the cases pertains to violation category to SEIAA Haryana in view of MoEF & CC, GoI Notification dated 08.03.2018.

Thereafter, the case was considered by SEAC in its 169th meeting held on 18.05.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 and recommended to SEIAA for Approval of Terms of Reference.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.07.2018 and decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC and approved the ToR and communicated to the PP vide letter dated 09.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 3rd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 232nd meeting of SEAC held on 07.01.2022. The committee deliberated on the inspection report submitted by sub-committee members and as per report no construction has been done on the project site so it was decided by the committee to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal of earlier TOR issued to the project as the PP has also requested vide letter dated 12.10.2021 to Chairman SEIAA for withdrawal of Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/22996/2018 applied under Violation Notification.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority after examining the MoM of SEAC of 129th & 232nd meeting gathered that there is clear cut contradiction in stand of PP & recommendations of SEAC, more so the record indicates violation has been committed vis-à-vis approved plan.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the direction that there is need of thorough inspection of records & SEAC should do the critical analysis before recommending such cases to SEIAA.

Item No.[13] EC for proposed Clinker Grinding Unit with Cement production capacity of 5.0MTPA at villege Jhanswa, Tehsil; Matanhail, Dist- Jhajjar Haryana by M/s Wonder Cement Ltd.

The project was submitted to SEIAA on 11.04.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference and Terms of Reference were approved by SEIAA vide letter dated 05.07.2019.

Thereafter, EIA report was submitted by the project proponent on 16.09.2019 for proposed Clinker Grinding Unit with Cement production capacity of 5.0MTPA at villege Jhanswa, Tehsil Matanhail, Dist- Jhajjar Haryana.

Thereafter, SEAC considered this case in its 190th meeting held on 23.10.2019 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. The recommendation of SEAC was taken up by SEIAA in its 121st meeting held on 25.11.2019 and it was decided to agree with the recommendation of SEIAA. Accordingly, Environment Clearance was issued vide letter dated 09.12.2019.

Now, the project proponent intimated that Eco Sensitive Zone of Nahar Wildlife Sanctuary was not declared and now as per latest Gazette Notification S.O. 4272 (E) dated 16.08.2021 Eco Sensitive Zone of Nahar Wildlife Sanctuary is declared 100 meter from boundry.

The relevant part of the Notification is reproduced as under:

- 1. Extent and boundaries of Eco Sensitive Zone: (1) The Eco Sensitive Zone shall be to an extent of uniform 100 meters around the boundary of Nahar Wildlife Sanctuary and the area of the Eco Sensitive Zone shall be 0-94 square Kilometers; the Nahar Wildlife Sanctuary is divided into two land parcels, namely Nahar Protected Forest an Bir Sarkar Reserve Forest areas due to existing agricultural land in between the two parcels.*

The project proponent vide his request letter intimated that there plant site is located at a distance of 6.13 km from the boundary of Nahar Wildlife Sanctuary and is no more falling within the Eco Sensitive Zone of the sanctuary.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that “EC” for the project was granted on 09.12.2019 & the notification came to life on 16.08.2021, the unit seems to be falling under the “ESZ” on the date of issuance of “EC” (within 10 Km distance from boundary).

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to issue notice for withdrawal/abeyance to PP for 15 days. Further, the Authority decided to refer the case to MoEF & CC, GoI to seek clarification in this regard.

Item No.[14] EC for proposed “Construction of factory, located at Plot No. 10, Sector-5, Growth Centre [Now known as IMT Bawal, District Rewari, Haryana by M/s Pearl Global Industries Ltd.

The SEAC in its 145th meeting held on 30.11.2016 unanimously decided that the case be sent to SEIAA for de-listing as the project proponent fails to comply the observations

within a period of six months as per the directions of MoEF and SEIAA vide letter No. 244 dated 07.04.2016. It is further recommended that SEIAA may inform the concerned Authorities for taking appropriate action as per law.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 99th meeting of SEIAA held on 19.01.2017 in the light of guide lines of MoEF issued vide OM No. J-11 013/5/2009-IAII (Part) dated 30-10-2012. It was noticed that the project proponent vide letter dated 21.10.2014 submitted application for Environmental Clearance for Construction of factory, located at Plot No. 10, Sector-5, Growth Centre [Now known as IMT Bawal, Bawal, District Rewari, Haryana. The Authority decided that Mr. Hitender Singh and Dr. S. N. Mishra, Members SEAC shall visit the site for inspection. The term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018 but site visit report was not received.

The project was again considered in 117th meeting of SEIAA 18.04.2019 and it was decided that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level.

It is also revealed from the records that the project proponent had already started construction on the project site without obtaining Environment Clearance, hence violated the norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, a show-cause notice was served to the project proponent but PP has failed to submit his reply. The case was again taken up in 117th Meeting of SEIAA held on 18.04.2019 and it was decided by the Authority that Chairman, SEIAA would take the final view at his own level.

The case was again considered in 129th meeting of SEIAA dated 08.10.2021. After due deliberations, the Authority decided to request RO, HSPCB of that particular region to visit the site to find out the facts; in mean while Authority decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to PP why electricity or other utilities may not be disconnected.

The matter was again considered in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer the case till the receipt of RO's report and request Member Secretary, HSPCB to ask the RO of concerned area to visit the site & send the report, so that the decision could be taken in this regard.

Item No.[15] Environment Clearance for warehouse project in the revenue estate of Village Pathrari, Gurugram by M/s Sunsat Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted on 30.08.2013 and considered in 92nd meeting of SEAC held on 03.09.2013 and observations were communicated to the PP vide letter dated 14.10.2013.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up by SEAC in its 112nd meeting held on 19.09.2014 and recommended to SEIAA for de-listing as the project proponent failed to comply with the observation within a period of six months. SEIAA has referred back this case to SEAC to check the status of Construction in any and submit their report along with recommendations.

At that time case could not be considered as the term of SEIAA/SEAC has lapsed. Thereafter, the case was considered in 119th meeting of SEAC held on 20.10.2015 and constituted a committee.

The committee visited the site and submitted his report. On the basis of report, the case was sent to SEIAA for taking legal Action.

The Conclusion of Sub-Committee Report is as under:-

1. SEIAA be informed of the matter.
2. Information regarding validity of CLU, approval of building plans & Construction activity, if any, be obtained from DTCP, Haryana by SEIAA
3. HSPCB may be advised not to grant CTE & CTO of the said project till such time the case is decided by SEIAA.

Lastly, the case was considered by SEAC in its 178th meeting held on 11.04.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation in reference to Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018. The Project Proponent has intimated that another case is pending with SEIAA, hence the case was refer back to SEIAA for taking necessary action.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021; after going through the report of the sub-committee the Authority decided to defer this case for the next meeting and before that a self- contained note mentioning all the facts of the case will be submitted in the next meeting.

The matter was again considered in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority opined that this is a clear-cut case of violation and to ascertain the facts a committee of Mr. V.K.Gupta (Chairman, SEAC) & Mr. A.K. Mehta (Member, SEAC) is being constituted. The committee will submit the report within next 7 days.

Item No.[16] Amendment to Environment Impact Assessment Report for Modification and Expansion of Group Housing Project “Atharva at Sector 109, Village Pawala Khusrupur, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Raheja Developers Limited.

The case was taken up for appraisal in the 170th meeting of the SEAC held on 07.06.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively.

The Term of References were approved by SEIAA in its 115th Meeting, conveyed vide letter dated 07.08.2018. The Project Proponent submitted the EIA Report on 04.04.2019 and the case was taken up in 180th meeting in SEAC and PP presented his project but unable to produce any evidence about the prosecution launched by any competent authority as recommended by the SEAC in its earlier 170th meeting.

Thereafter, the SEAC decided that the PP shall produce the evidence of prosecution launched by the competent authority before appraisal and file was sent back to SEIAA for taking the action as per the minutes of 170th meeting.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 118th meeting of SEIAA, Haryana held on 12.06.2019 and Public consultation was exempted.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 202nd meeting of the SEAC held on 30.08.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC under Violation Category with an amount of Rs. 26,70,400/- towards Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan to be spend within a span of three years.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 125th meeting of SEIAA held on 07.10.2020 and It was observed that the budgetary amount of Rs. 26,70,400/- seems to be very less & certain activities mentioned under the Plan is on the periphery or inside the project which is not allowed as per guidelines.

PP is under statutory obligation to provide the Budget of Augmentation and Remediation Plan outside area of Project to preserve Environment. The Budget for the Remediation Plan & Resource Augmentation Plan of the Project is to be as per the guidelines of “CPCB” given in this regard.

Further, the project proponent should submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board and the quantification finalized by Regulatory Authority and the bank guarantee shall be deposited prior to the grant of environmental clearance and will be released after successful implementation of the remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan, and after the recommendation by regional office of the Ministry, State Expert Appraisal Committee and approval of the Authority.

After detailed discussions; the Authority decided to approve in principle on the submission of Re-calculated Budget for the “Remediation Plan, Natural & Community Resource Augmentation Plan.

The Case was again taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021; the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP to submit bank guarantee with in next 15 days failing which action under the various provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 would be taken.

The matter was again considered in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that PP has not submitted the reply to “Show cause notice” nor submitted any bank-guarantee.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to send the case to SEAC to recalculate the “Damage Assessment” & “Penalty” as per the provisions of SOP dated 07.07.2021 issued by MoEF& CC in regard to violation cases.

Item No.[17] Environment Clearance for Proposed New Establish 5000 TCD sugar mill crushing capacity at Village Dahar, Tehsil Israna, District Panipat, Haryana by M/s Panipat Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited.

The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/IND2/63957/2019 dated 22.07.2021 for obtaining EC under category 5(j) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted vide letter dated 03.10.2019

The case was taken up in 218th meeting of SEAC held on 30.07.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee and the discussion was held on machinery installed, status of the project, construction status.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 223rd, 227th and 231st meeting of SEAC held on 21.10.2021, 29.11.2021 and 29.12.2021 respectively but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC. The case was again taken up in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.01.2022.

- Earlier, SEIAA constituted a sub committee comprising of Sh. V.K. Gupta (Chairman SEAC) and Sh. R. K.Sapra, (Member SEAC) to visit the project site and submit the report.
- The sub-committee submitted the report before the SEAC committee and committee deliberated the report as given below:
 - *The Committee visited the site on 12.01.2022 in the presence of the officers of M/s Panipat Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited.*

- *The PP informed that they are having existing cooperative sugar mill of capacity 1800TCD at Guhana Road Panipat and proposing shifting, modernization and expansion of the existing plant to Nuh Site at Village Dahar, panipat and they have applied for EC of above said project.*
- *During the inspection the committee found that unit has already started the construction and installation of plants and machinery at site without obtaining prior EC in violation of the provision of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and PP stated that they will submit the detailed facts in the meeting dated 17.01.2022.*
- *In view of the above mentioned facts it was decided regarding EC of the said project will be taken in the next meeting of SEAC/SEIAA to be held on 17.01.2022 on the basis of the facts and documents to be submitted by the PP.*

Further, the PP submitted the letter addressed to Chairman SEAC in the meeting dated 17.01.2022 mentioning following points:-

- *That the work order for setting-up New Sugar Project of this Mill was issued on 05-03-2019 to M/s. Uttam Sucrotech Int. Pvt. Ltd., Noida.*
- *That the applicant has applied for grant of environment clearance for establishment of sugar Mill at village Dahar, District Panipat on 18-07-2019.*
- *That the matter for issuance of TOR was decided in the 120th meeting of SEIAA held on 18-09-2019 and after examination of documents it was decided to issue TOR.*
- *That the intention was clear first to take Environment Clearance before the starting of the project. But, due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation, the public hearing was not held.*
- *That after issuance of TOR the applicant has submitted the prefeasibility report/study, however thereafter the further actions could not be taken up by the committee within time bound period due to Covid-19 situation or other procedural delays and ultimately the public hearing for installation of Sugar Mill was held on 04.12.2020.*
- *That as is evident from the above facts the delay in obtaining environment clearance cannot be attributed to the applicant and the same has been happened only because of procedural delay caused by the unavoidable circumstances due to spread of Covid-19, Pandemic. It is not out of place to mention here that all the requirements for grant of environment clearance*

were duly fulfilled by the Mill at the time of submission of application for environment clearance and the observations raised by the authority have been duly replied and complied with by the mill.

- *That the present Mill has been proposed at the present site after getting due approvals from the State Government with a clear cut motive and good intentions to impact the economy of the farmers, labourers, traders and transporters etc. of State of Haryana and in the nearby States, which will impact the economy of the country definitely in a positive manner. Diversion of Sugarcane (due to non start of New Sugar Project of this Mill) to other State causes losses to Cane Farmers and Private Sugar Mills are providing lesser Sugarcane Rates and Farmers has to take Sugarcane more than 100 Kms. extra causing them extra loss.*
- *That in the expectation of getting the environment clearance within time the Mill has issued tenders and granted contracts for completion within a time bound manner with a prospective to generate jobs and economy for the farmers, labourers, traders and transporters etc. (who were already facing great financial hardships due to the negative impact caused by Covid-19 on their lives).*
- *That although during all the studies to assess the impact of the plant being established at the site in question, on environment, it was found that the Mill in question is entitled for environment clearance. However, now the only question came into existence whether the construction work started by the Mill at the site, falls in the definition of violation or not? If we go by the dictionary meaning of violation, the answer may be in affirmative, on the other and if we go by the intention of legislature while issuing EIA Notification, 2006, the case of the applicant does not fall in the definition of violation, because the intention of the legislature behind issuance of EIA Notification 2006 was to stop industrialization in a haphazard manner and i.e. too without taking proper steps to control the damage caused by such industry to the environment, on the other hand in the case of applicant-Mill, all the studies conducted by the authorities for grant of EC were found to be in order which entitled the Mill for EC.*
- *That the unit has only started construction at the site in question only with a positive intention to help economy of the Country, State and the Citizens, who*

have already badly affected by the Covid-19 situation. The unit is a State entity and as such it cannot be taken from any angle that the construction has been started at the site for any personal gains/profits on the other hand the same carried out in larger public interest.

- *That the construction work at new site of New Sugar Project of this Mill is not completed yet only 55% to 60 % work is completed at present.*
- *That the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand while dealing in the matter of Hindustan Cooper Limited Vs. The Union of India in W.P. (C) No. 2364 of 2014, vide order dated 28.11.2014, held as under:-*
- *“(...) action for alleged violation would be an independent and separate proceeding and therefore, consideration of proposal for environment clearance cannot await initiation of action against the project proponent.*
- *(...) the proposal of the petitioner company for environmental clearance must be examined on its merits, independent of any proposed action for alleged violation of the environmental laws.”*
- *That in view of the above propositions laid down by the Hon'ble High Court the grant of EC to the applicant must be examined on its merits, independent of any proposed action for alleged violation of Environmental Laws, which will certainly result into delay in grant of EC, which will further cause loss to the economy of the State due to delay in launching of the project.*
- *That the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the matter of Puducherry Environment Protection Association Vs. The Union of India in W.P. No.11189 of 2017, vide order dated 13.10.2017, held as under:-*
- *The question is whether an establishment contributing to the economy of the country and providing livelihood to hundreds of people should be closed down only because of failure to obtain prior environmental clearance, even though the establishment may not otherwise be violating pollution laws or the pollution, if any, can conveniently and effectively be checked. The answer necessarily has to be in the negative.*
- *It is reiterated that protection of environment and prevention of environmental pollution and degradation are nonnegotiable. At the same time, the Court cannot altogether ignore the economy of the Nation and the need to protect the livelihood of hundreds of employees employed in projects, which as stated above, otherwise comply with or can be made to comply with norms.”*

- *That Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the Civil Appeal No.7576-77 of 2021 (copy enclosed) in its decision on 09-12-2021 has allowed the grant of Environment Clearance to the Appellant with some penalization.*
- *That even if it is taken to be true for the sake of arguments (though not admitted) that the Mill has violated any provision/intention of legislature behind EIA Notification, 2006 for start of construction after grant of TOR and successful Environment Impact Assessment Study and public hearing, with a positive intention to provide boost to the economy, which has already badly affected due to Covid-19, the grant of EC cannot be delayed in the waiting legal action, if any, for alleged violation and the same shall be considered and granted expeditiously in compliance of observations and directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the case of Puducherry Environment Protection Association Versus The Union of India in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 (Supra).*
- *That the Mill is making plantation of 3000 Plants at site of New Sugar Project out of which 1500 Plants had already been planted.*
- *That if Environment Clearance for New Sugar Project has not been granted than the subsidy of 5 Crores will be vanished.*
- *That if Environment Clearance for New Sugar Project has not been granted than the Industrial Licence will not be issued.*
- *That if Environment Clearance for New Sugar Project has not been granted than the Haryana Water Resources Authority will not issue permission for Extraction of Ground Water.*
- *That if Environment Clearance for New Sugar Project has not been granted than the Co-gen Plant of 28 MW will not run due to which Mill cannot export 21 MW Electricity which directly causes a net loss of 50 Crores to the Mills.*
- *That if Environment Clearance for New Sugar Project has not been granted than the Haryana State Pollution Control Board will not issue C.T.O. for New Sugar Project.*
- *That if Environment Clearance for New Sugar Project has not been granted than the Regional Officer, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panipat will start prosecution for non grant of EC and under Water & Air Act.*

After deliberation on the site visit report of sub-committee, letter submitted by PP, the committee found that unit has already started the construction and installation of

plants and machinery at site without obtaining prior EC in violation of the provision of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006

In view of the above mentioned facts, the SEAC recommended the case to SEIAA to consider the case under violation of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 as the project has already started production without obtaining the prior environment clearance from SEIAA.

The matter was again considered in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and Authority further decided to refer back the case to SEAC to submit recommendations covering all the aspect.

Item No.[18] EC for Proposed Affordable Group Housing Colony on land measuring 8.75 acres in the Revenue Estate of Village Tikampur, Sector-103, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Care Real tech Pvt Ltd In Collaboration With HCBS Developments Ltd.

The Project Proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA vide online ProposalNo.SIA/HR/MIS/225771/2021 dated 22.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(a) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 473391 dated 06.12.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer this case back to SEAC with the following observations.

- 1. There is variation in built up area submitted by PP in application form and presentation which needs to be clarified.*
- 2. PP has submitted on page no. 76/78/80 that 284/299/313 KLD of excess treated water would be discharged in nearby sewer line which needs to be clarified.*
- 3. Ground water table of 20-25 meters as given in Geo technical studies is incorrect and needs to be corrected based on actual water table in sector 103, Gurugram and PP/Consultant need to submit revised and correct Geo Technical studies giving actual water table in this area including replacing RWH pits by rain water collection Tanks in papers circulated and should be as indicated in presentation of this case.*

Item No.[19] Extension of Validity EC of M/s Northern Royalty Company for Boulder , Gravel and Sand Minor Mineral mining of Devdhar Block/YNRB-24 over an area of 31.87 ha in Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Northern Royalty Company.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIN/236229/2021 on dated 01.12.2021 for obtaining extension in Validity of Environmental Clearance under Category 1 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/490 dated 27.06.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 434424 dated 26.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 27.06.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[20] EC for Extension of Validity EC for Mining of Boulder, Gravel and sand (Minor Minerals) at Malikpur Khadar Block /YNR B-28 over an area of 23.20 Ha. in Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Karaj Singh, S/o Major Singh.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIN/236289/2021 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/888 dated 13.10.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 003405 dated 26.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 13.10.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[21] EC for Extension of Validity EC of M/s Mubarikpur Royalty Company (MRC) at Bailgarh South Block/YNR B-2 over an area of 28.00 Ha. in Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Mubarikpur Royalty Company.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIN/236359/2021 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/475 Dated 27.06.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to 1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 003409 dated 27.10.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 27.06.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[22] Extension of validity EC of M/s Delhi Royalty Company at Kohliwala Block/YNR- B- 21 & 22 over an area of 13.59 Ha. in District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Delhi Royalty Co.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/236868/2021 dated 01.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1 (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 229th & 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2021 & 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/480 dated 27.06.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 553678 dated 27.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 27.06.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[23] Extension of validity EC for Boulder, Gravel and Sand Mine over an area of 23.05 hectare located at Pobari Block YNR/B-11 located at Village Pobari, Tehsil Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Development Strategies India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/237062/2021 dated 23.11.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 229th & 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2021 & 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of extension in EC for one year as per MOEF & CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 in the earlier EC issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/495 Dated 27.06.2016.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 017420 dated 26.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that the recommendations are not accompanied with the compliance report.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC with following observations.

- 1. As already discussed & conveyed through the MoM of 133rd SEIAA meeting that in case of projects taken up for “Extension in validity must get a “Compliance report” from Concerned RO, HSPCB. The report to be made in the prescribed format adopted by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh. Accordingly, concerned RO, HSPCB to be nominated by Member Secretary, HSPCB is deputed for site inspection to verify the present status of the project.*
- 2. The PP should submit a duly signed self-contained note stating that they had been complying with all stipulations imposed in their earlier accorded EC dated 27.06.2016 along with notarized affidavit in this regard, the same should be duly authenticated by the accredited consultant and certified compliance report need to be submitted and must be appraised by SEAC.*

Item No.[24] EC for construction of “Group Housing Colony” at Sector-63, Kundli, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Regards Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on dated 02.04.2018 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Then, the case was taken up in 167th meeting dated 20.04.2018. The PP neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents. The Observations were conveyed to the PP vide letter dated 2672 dated 24.04.2018. The Reply of PP is still awaited.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting .The discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 20.04.2018 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEIAA no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF & CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021. The discussion was held on the MoEF Notification 18.11.2020 and the decision of SEIAA in its meeting regarding the projects to be get inspected by the members of SEAC. As per the orders of SEIAA vide its 128th MOM dated 26.05.2021. A Committee was formed comprising of the following:-

1. Sh. Vivek Saxena , Member SEAC
2. Sh. Prabhakar Verma, Member SEAC

The Committee shall visit the project site and submit the report regarding the status of the project in view of the details as mentioned above within 30 days positively.

The case was again taken up in 231st meeting and was deferred as the sub-committee conveyed that some more time is required to submit the report of site inspection.

The report dated 06.01.2022 has been submitted by Dr. Vivek Saxena, Member, SEAC to the SEAC and thereafter the case was taken up in 233rd meeting held on 17.01.2022.

The report submitted that visit was conducted on 28.12.2021 for the said project and during site inspection; it was found that no work has been started by the project proponent as also reflected in attached site photographs. Another member could not join the inspection. The report was placed before the committee and also circulated to the members in advance. The committee deliberated that as no construction has been started at the project so it is unanimously decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for delisting.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC for delisting the case.

Item No.[25] EC for project “Affordable Plotted Housing Colony under Deen Dayal Jan AwasYojana (DDJAY)” over land area measuring 26.91875 acres in Sector 93, Village Hayatpur, Sub Tehsil Hasaru, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/S DLF limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/63304/2021 dated 07.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 229th & 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2021 & 17.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. **2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 519899 dated 27.10.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 133rd meeting of SEIAA held on 31.12.2021; the Authority observed the following:

- On page No. A-14 & A-18, it has been mentioned as “The only source of emission from combustion of fuel (High sulphur diesel) will be from DG sets (3 x 2000 kVA) _____”. Instead PP should install DG sets based on Green fuel (PNG/CNG as per availability) in place of HSD based DG sets.
- On page no. A-17, Noise generation from HVAC & DG sets, is PP intended to install HVAC? Type & cooling mechanism of HVAC?
- PP submitted that 193/237/259 KLD of excess treated water would be given for sprinkling in the near-by areas. Any formal agreement or permission in this regard?
- Revenue rasta is passing through the Project.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to look into the above mentioned observations and recommend the case to Authority accordingly.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 18.01.2022. The observations raised by SEIAA in its meeting were considered and PP submitted the reply of observations as following:-

S.No	Observation	Reply
1	On page No. A-14 & A-18, it has been mentioned as “The only source of emission from combustion of fuel (High sulphur diesel) will be from DG sets (3 x 2000 kVA)_____”. Instead PP should install DG sets based on Green fuel (PNG/CNG as per availability) in place of HSD based DG sets.	There are DG sets of 3 x 2000 KVA. Following measures shall be taken : 1. Diesel Conforming to BS VI with 10 ppm Sulphur will be used [Ultra low sulphur diesel as per guidelines of NCAP and GRAP.] 2. Anticipated emission from individual DG sets will comply with CPCB-II norms. 3. Through periodical inspection and maintenance procedures, the emission levels will be controlled to remain within CPCB-II 4. In addition to that, the project will install Retrofit emission control devices approved by CPCB to further reduce the emission by intervening the exhaust as and when required.

2	On page no. A-17, Noise generation from HVAC & DG sets, is PP intended to install HVAC? Type & cooling mechanism of HVAC?	The commercial area of the project will have installation of a Water cooled HVAC system in the commercial part . Revised water management and balance diagram is placed on record
3	PP submitted that 193/237/259 KLD of excess treated water would be given for sprinkling in the near-by areas. Any formal agreement or permission in this regard?	The excess treated will be reused in other nearby DLF projects for construction purposes
4	Revenue rasta is passing through the Project.	Separate services will be provided. We have divided plot into the three zones i.e. Zone A, Zone B & Zone C. Separate STPs are provided in each zone.

After due deliberation on revenue Rasta, DG set, HVAC, HSD, Green fuel, water calculation, usages of treated water, cooling mechanism, and the committee considered the reply submitted by PP . Thereafter, the committee deliberated the reply of observation and again decided to recommend for grant of EC with the standard and specific conditions as earlier recommended vide 229th MoM of SEAC along with additional stipulations as given below:-

1. Sewage shall be treated in the STP based on latest Technology to achieve standards ordered by NGT. The Treated effluent from STP shall be recycled /reused for flushing, DG cooling and Gardening.
2. The excess treated water shall be reused in nearby DLF Projects for construction purpose
3. The PP shall provide the separate services without crossing the revenue rasta in three pockets i.e. zone A,B and C
4. The PP shall install Retrofit emission control devices for DG sets approved by CPCB to further reduce the emission by intervening the exhaust. The emission level shall comply with the CPCB guidelines or norms

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority deliberated w.r.t “Revenue Rasta” and decided to seek clarification on the status of “Revenue Rasta”.

Authority further decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the direction to place the justification & recommendation before the Authority w.r.t “Revenue Rasta” whether agency holds the right to share or agreed to share it with the said project.

Item No.[26] EC for residential plotted colony project under DDJAY located at village Sector - 37D Gurugram, Haryana by M/S Signature Global Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/69732/2021 dated 08.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The ToR has been granted vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2021/972 dated 26.10.2021.

The case was considered in 229th meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 543434 dated 29.10.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 133rd meeting of SEIAA held on 31.12.2021 and the Authority observed that revenue rasta is passing through the project.

The Authority deliberated the case w.r.t affidavit dated 11/12/2020 submitted by PP for obtaining the permission from competent Authority to lay down services in the revenue rasta crossing the project site.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to look into above mentioned aspect of the case & recommend the case accordingly.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 18.01.2022. The observations raised by SEIAA in its meeting were considered and PP submitted the reply of observations as following:-

Sr. No.	Queries	Reply
1.	The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 133 rd meeting of SEIAA held on 31.12.2021 and the Authority observed that revenue rasta is passing through the project.	We have proposed the separate services in the project site due to the presence of Revenue Rasta in the project. We have divided the project site in to four blocks (i.e Block A, B, C and D)

<p>The Authority deliberated the case w.r.t affidavit dated 11/12/2020 submitted by PP for obtaining the permission from competent Authority to lay down services in the revenue rasta crossing the project site.</p>	<p>as per the route of Revenue Rasta. All the four blocks will be provided with separate services.</p>
---	--

After due deliberation on Revenue Rasta, services, Infrastructure laid down and the committee considered the reply submitted by PP. Thereafter, the committee deliberated the reply of observation and again decided to recommend for grant of EC with the standard and specific conditions as earlier recommended vide 229th MoM of SEAC along with additional stipulations as given below:-

1. Sewage shall be treated in the STP based on latest Technology to achieve standards ordered by NGT. The Treated effluent from STP shall be recycled /reused for flushing. DG cooling and Gardening.
2. The PP shall provide the separate services without crossing the revenue rasta in four pockets i.e. pocket A,B,C and D

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority deliberated w.r.to “Revenue Rasta” and decided to seek clarification on the status of “Revenue Rasta”.

Authority further decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the direction to place the justification & recommendation before the Authority w.r.to “Revenue Rasta” whether agency holds the right to share or agreed to share it with the said project.

Item No.[27] EC for Establishment of proposed 18MW Cogeneration power plant at village Sheikhpura Jagir, Tehsil & District Karnal Haryana by M/s Karnal Co-Operative Sugar Mill Ltd.

The project was submitted to SEIAA vide online Proposal No. **SIA/HR/THE/63370/2020** for obtaining EC under category 1(d) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The case was considered in 217th, 220th, 221st & 223rd& 224th meeting of SEAC held on 17.07.2021, 30.08.2021, 21.10.2021 & 29.10.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 130th meeting of SEIAA held on 15.11.2021; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC for clear cut recommendations & appraisal of the case.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 227th meeting of SEAC held on 30.12.2021. PP submitted letter of request dated 30.11.2021 stating that:-

- Karnal Co-op Sugar Mills is a Cooperative society of Haryana Govt. comprising the local cane growing farmers as a member of society.
- Karnal Sugar Mills is indirectly controlled by Haryana Govt. Sugar Mills has invested a good amount in procurement of number of equipments of this power project. EC clearance is awaited, power project is not operative. Financial loss is expected to sugar Mill due to delay in installation and commissioning of power project and power export. This will ultimately affect interest the cane growing farmers.
- Due to incompleteness of the power project of 18 MW Karnal sugar Mills is not able to export the power to the HVPNL. Hence no power export earning is started.
- As all were aware that this is a peak crushing season and by delaying this project there is a huge loss of farmer's economy because this federation is for the farmer's benefits and the farmer's livelihood is depend on this farmers based sugar mill industry.

The case was again taken-up in 227th SEAC meeting and SEAC has reiterated the previous decision submitted to SEIAA in view of similar facts & findings conveyed vide MoM of 224th SEAC meeting.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132nd meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; the Authority discussed the case at length and in view of the observations conveyed by a member of SEAC through his mail dated 02.12.2021, the case is being referred back with the instructions that the case may be examined thoroughly by SEAC and will submit recommendations accordingly.

The case was again taken up in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 18.01.2022.

- The PP submitted the copy of DD for Rs.2 lakh in favour of MS, SEIAA
- Earlier, SEIAA constituted a sub committee comprising of Sh. V.K. Gupta (Chairman SEAC) and Sh. R.K.Sapra, (Member SEAC) to visit the project site and submit the report.
- The sub-committee submitted the report before the committee and committee deliberated the report as given below:

- *The Committee visited the site on 12.01.2022 in the presence of the officers of M/s Karnal Co-Operative Sugar Mill Ltd.*
- *The PP informed that they are having existing cooperative sugar mill of capacity 3500 TCD at Shekhpura Jagit Distt. Karnal and proposing, modernization and expansion of the 18MW Cogen Unit. Sugar Mill have applied for EC of 18MW power project of Karnal Cooperative Sugar Mill of 24.07.2020*
- *During the inspection the committee found that electric power generated by 18MW turbine already installed, around 5MW at present. Power is not exported to HVPNL or any govt agency as power export line is under erection*
- *Karnal cooperative sugar mill is operated at 3500TCD which is not covered under EIA Notification 2006 as per the details of documents i.e. license, DMR copies from 09.11.2021 to 11.01.2022 and daily power generation copies for dated 16.12.2021, 4.01.2022 and 05.01.2022 respectively are attached.*
- *In view of the above mentioned facts, it was decided that further decision regarding the Environmental Clearance of the above said project will be taken in the next meeting of SEAC/SEIAA to be held on 17.01.2022 on the basis of the facts and documents to be submitted by the PP related to the project*

The PP submitted the following points related to the project:-

1. Karnal Co-Operative Sugar Mill is operating at 3500 TCD which is not covered under EIA notification 2006.
2. Power generated by turbine is around 5 MW. The power is used to operate the refined sugar plant of 3500 TCD.
3. Power is not exported to HVPNL or any govt agency.
4. For making project cost effective Haryana Govt had accorded the approval of single 18 MW turbine in Karnal Co-Operative sugar mill new project. *After clearance of EC necessary pending work of power project will be carried out and 18 MW electric power will be generated by turbo generator set, out of this around 13.2 MW power will be exported to HVPNL and balance around 4.8 MW will be utilized to run the refined sugar plant.*
5. Erection of power export line is not completed a case of ROW problem in relaying of export line is pending in honourable High court.
6. We here by request you to kindly provide the Environmental Clearance at the earliest.

However, according to the Notification No. F. No. 22-24/2018-IA.III dated 23rd January 2019 Exemption of Environment Clearance for Thermal Power Plant using Waste Heat recovery Boilers (WHRB) without any auxiliary fuel etc.

- *The Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 under the environment (Protection) Act, 1986, as amended vide S.O. 1599 (E) dated 25th June,2014 provides exemption to Thermal Power Plant from the requirement of prior environment clearance.*
- *This Ministry has received many proposals of environmental clearances for setting up new or expansion of captive power plants employing Waste Heat Recovery Boilers (WHRB) without any auxiliary fuel in the existing Cement Plants, Integrated Steel Plants, Metallurgical Industries (Ferrous and Non-ferrous) and other industries, having potential for heat recovery to utilise the same for power generation.*
- *The spirit of exempting requirement of environmental clearance for the Thermal Power Plant using waste heat boilers without any auxiliary fuel vide S.O. 1599 (E)dated 25th June, 2014 is to promote energy conservation, reduce green house emissions and in larger interest of the environment including climate change.*
- *In view of the above, it is hereby clarified that setting up new or expansion of captive power plants employing WHRB without using any auxiliary fuel, in the existing Cement Plants, Integrated Steel Plants, Metallurgical Industries (Ferrous and Nonferrous) and other industries having potential for heat recovery, does not attract the provisions of EIA Notification 2006, read with subsequent amendments therein.*

In case of Karnal coop. Sugar Mill, Sugar Mill is agro-based industry working for upliftment of nearby sugar cane farmers. Only sugar production in industry is not a viable case presently. To make industry in financial gain, agro integrated project is considered to setup. On the same line, expansion modernization of karnal sugar mill has been taken up for production of refined sugar along with co-gen plant. By power plant, electricity will be exported to HVPNL in national interest and farmers benefit, sugar mill expansion of captive power plant employing bagasse a bio- waste product, employing water heat recovery, boiler without any auxiliary fuel in the existing in the head of other industry having potential for heat recovery to utilize the same for power generation this point should also be considered in case of E.C of Karnal Cooperative Sugar Mill.

The committee deliberated on the additional point raised by PP regarding

Notification No. F. No. 22-24/2018-IA.III dated 23rd January 2019 for Exemption of Environment Clearance for various Plant etc. using Waste Heat recovery Boilers (WHRB) without any auxiliary fuel etc. and a case of ROW problem in relaying of export line is pending in Honourable High court. After due deliberation the committee unanimously decided to forward the case to SEIAA as committee has already submitted with full facts vide SEAC MOM of 227th meeting along with request submitted by PP vide letter dated 18.01.2022.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022; the Authority decided to refer the case back to SEAC to submit the clear cut recommendations.

Item No.[28] EC for Revision & Expansion of Commercial Colony (6.79375 acres) in the revenue estate of Village- Bajghera, Sector-114, Gurugram Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Chintels India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/246115/2021 on dated 20.12.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 18.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000/- vide DD. No. 508025 dated 14.12.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 24.01.2022 and the Authority observed that certain glaring shortcomings have been pointed out by Mr. A.K. Mehta through his mail dated 21/01/2022. Authority further observed that there is some mismatching of record in regard to “Green Area” mentioned & earlier recommendations made by SEAC in the EC letter and there seems to be tempering in EC letter.

After detailed deliberations, Authority decided to constitute a committee headed by M.S SEIAA, Joint Director(Tech.), SEIAA and ADA to ascertain the facts & referred the case back to SEAC with the direction to look into the all the aspects of raised observations.

Item No.[29] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project at Revenue estate of Village Gadauli Kalan, Sector-37D, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Lalwani Brothers Buildcon LLP in collaboration with Sternal Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/230986/2021 on dated 12.01.2022 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 233rd meeting of SEAC held on 18.01.2022 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to **Rs. 2,00,000/- vide DD. No. 259600 dated 10.11.2021** in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

PP has submitted assurance for sewerage connection for disposal of 187 KLD surplus domestic treated effluent from GMDA Gurugram vide memo no. GMDA/SEW/2021/1234 dated 21.09.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 135th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.01.2022 and the Authority observed that there is a mismatch in what PP is stating in submitted affidavit (to achieve ZLD) & showing in “Water Balance Diagram” in regard to discharge of excess treated water.

Authority further decided to advice “Project proponent” & to add following additional conditions.

- a) to use “Clean Fuel” in the form of CNG/PNG for its DG sets.*
- b) to develop its own “Sewer System” with adequate capacity in alignment of nearby “Sewer System” of HUDA to discharge excess treated water.*

Authority further said that if such “Sewer System” is not developed, OC/CTE/CTO would be granted only after full proof of “Zero Liquid Discharge”.

Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant “EC” to said project.

Item No.[30] Issuance of Corrigendum in the subject for already issued EC dated 2/12/2016 in the respect of J.S.M. Foods Pvt. Ltd.- Mandoli Ghaggar West by M/s JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd.

Environment Clearance to the project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/989 dated 21.12.2016 was granted for River Bed Mining of Minor Minerals “Mandoli Ghaggar East Block/YNR B-3” at village Mandoli, tehsil & District Yamnanagar over an area of 20.18 Ha.

Whereas, the project proponent mentioned in the Form-I of his application at Sr. No. 3

3	Proposed capacity/ area/length/ tonnage to be handled/ command area/ lease area/ number of well to be drilled	Proposed Production of 8.50 lakh MTPA of Sand Area: 20.18 ha
---	---	--

Whereas, Letter of Intent granted by Director General of Mining vide letter dated 19.06.2015 indicates in para 1 that **“for obtaining the mining contract of Minor Minerals Mines namely “Mandoli Ghahhar East Block/YNR B 3” for extraction of Sand having tentative area of 20.18 hectares.**

Further, Mining plan and Progressive Mine Closure Plan was also approved vide letter dated 08.01.2016 for Sand Mine (Minor Minerals).

Accordingly, Environment Clearance vide letter dated 21.12.2016 was accorded for River bed mining of Sand Minor Minerals at over an area of 20.18 Ha.

The project proponent has requested to issue a *corrigendum* for adding ***Boulder and Gravel besides Sand in the existing EC.***

It is also pertinent to mention here that Director General, Mines & Geology Department vide letter dated 05.01.2022 addressed to M/s JSM Foods Private Limited along with Endst. to Chairman, SEIAA intimated that it is clear that for excavation of Minerals (excavation of BG along with Sand) will have no impact of overall mining as the approved quantity of minerals under EC will not change . Accordingly, the disposal of Boulder, Grave found along with Sand inextricably mixed with each other and not a case of excavation of any separately found minerals, disposal of same under nomenclature of Boulder, Gravel and Sand is being allowed subject to condition that you may get the necessary corrections made in your EC document from SEIAA by clarifying/applying the same.

The case was taken up in 135th meeting held on 25.01.2022 and it was observed that PP has applied offline.

As per the current guidelines issued vide letter F.No. 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MoEF & CC, GOI, all proposals are to be received online.

After due deliberations; authority deem it appropriate to ask PP to apply online through PARIVESH Web Portal alongwith, requisite documents so that necessary action can be taken.

Item No.[31] Issuance of Corrigendum in the subject for already issued EC dated 21/12/2016 in the respect of J.S.M Foods Pvt. Ltd.- Mandoli Gagghar East by M/s JSM Foods Pvt. Ltd.

Environment Clearance to the project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/984 dated 21.12.2016 was granted for River Bed Mining of Minor Minerals “Mandoli Ghaggar West Block/YNR B-3” at village Mandoli, tehsil & District Yamnanagar over an area of 25.56 Ha.

Whereas, the project proponent mentioned in the Form-I of his application at Sr. No. 3

3	Proposed capacity/ area/length/ tonnage to be handled/ command area/ lease area/ number of well to be drilled	Proposed Production of 11.91 lakh MTPA of Sand Area: 25.56 ha
---	---	---

Whereas, Letter of Intent granted by Director General of Mining vide letter dated 19.06.2015 indicates in para 1 that “for obtaining the mining contract of Minor Minerals Mines namely “Mandoli Ghahhar West Block/YNR B 3” for extraction of Sand having tentative area of 25.56 hectares.

Further, Mining plan and Progressive Mine Closure Plan was also approved vide letter dated 08.01.2016 for Sand Mine (Minor Minerals).

Accordingly, Environment Clearance vide letter dated 21.12.2016 was accorded for River bed mining of Sand Minor Minerals at over an area of 25.56 Ha.

The project proponent has requested to issue a *corrigendum* for adding ***Boulder and Gravel besides Sand in the existing EC.***

It is also pertinent to mention here that Director General, Mines & Geology Department vide letter dated 05.01.2022 addressed to M/s JSM Foods Private Limited along with Endst. to Chairman, SEIAA intimated that it is clear that for excavation of Minerals (excavation of BG along with Sand) will have no impact of overall mining as the approved quantity of minerals under EC will not change . Accordingly, the disposal of Boulder, Grave found along with Sand inextricably mixed with each other and not a case of excavation of any separately found minerals, disposal of same under nomenclature of Boulder, Gravel and Sand is being allowed subject to condition that you may get the necessary corrections made in your EC document from SEIAA by clarifying/applying the same.

The case was taken up in 135th meeting held on 25.01.2022 and it was observed that PP has applied offline.

As per the current guidelines issued vide letter F.No. 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MoEF & CC, GOI, all proposals are to be received online.

After due deliberations; authority deem it appropriate to ask PP to apply online through PARIVESH Web Portal alongwith, requisite documents so that necessary action can be taken.
