

Minutes of the


260th MEETING


STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY


Date: 14.12.2017

**MINUTES OF THE 260th MEETING OF THE STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT
AUTHORITY HELD ON 14.12.2017**

Agenda No.	Description	File No.	Minutes
a)	Confirmation of the minutes of the 259 th meeting of the Authority held on 13.12.2017		The minutes of the 259 th Meeting of the Authority held on 13.12.2017 was confirmed.
b)	The Action taken on the decisions of the 259 th meeting of the Authority held on 13.12.2017		The Member Secretary tabled the action taken report on the decisions of the 259 th meeting and discussed.
1.	To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for the proposed Chinnapattakadu Limestone Mining Lease-II by M/s. Dhandapani Cements Private Limited for an extent of 4.93 Ha, Limestone production of 476970 Tonnes at S.F.No. 20/1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 4C, 24/12A, 12B, 12C, 12D, 12E, 12F, 12G, 13, 206/3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 207/1, 2, 3, 10, 11 & 12 in Chinnapattakadu Village, Ariyalur Taluk, Ariyalur District	6422	Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to call for the following additional details: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Since Limestone being used for captive utilization, methods taken to suppress the transportation pollution shall be furnished. 2) Details of total number vehicles running per day. Between the mine to factory. 3) Distance between the Cement Plant and quarrying site shall be furnished. 4) It is mentioned in the biological study report, there is no rare/ endemic /endangered species of flora and fauna surveyed in the mine vicinity or study area. But in contrary whereas in the EIA report in item no. 3.10 flora and fauna, a list of many species in each subhead has been mentioned. This has to be justified and details of conservation plan for the above shall be furnished. 5) Photograph of the earlier mined site shall be furnished. 6) Impacts on the surrounding environment if any, due to the earlier mining activities shall be furnished. 7) Recovery, rehabilitation and restoration plan shall be elaborated, with budget.


MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN


			<p>8) What is the impact of the mining activity on the surrounding settlements and villages.</p> <p>9) Will there be any impact on the ground water and disruption in water /stream flow pattern, due to mining and ground vibrations.</p> <p>10) The mining lease is for 30 Years, where as it is mentioned that 78% of the mineable resources shall be mined within 2021 i.e within 10Years of the mining lease period. How will you justify that it is a sustainable mining.</p> <p>11) Impact on Traffic and Air pollution shall be furnished.</p> <p>12) Possibility of increasing the budget for afforestation and biodiversity to conservation to be examined.</p>
2.	<p>To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for the proposed Chinnapattakadu Limestone Mining Lease – 1 by M/s. Dhandapani Cements Private Limited for an extent of 4.94 Ha, Limestone production of 500785 Tonnes at S.F.No. 181/1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 7, 8, 10A, 10B, 10C, 10E, 10F, 11, 12, 13A, 14, 15A, 15B, 16, 17, 18B, 187/1C, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 12B, 13B, 13C, 13D, 13E, 14D, 16A, 16B, 16C, 16D, 17A, 17B, 17C, 17D, 201/2B, 2D, 2E, 2F, 2G, 4A, 4B, 4C & 8 in Chinnapattakadu Village, Ariyalur Taluk, Ariyalur District.</p>	6423	<p>Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to call for the following additional details:</p> <p>1) Since Limestone being used for captive utilization, methods taken to suppress the transportation pollution shall be furnished.</p> <p>2) Details of total number vehicles running per day. Between the mine to factory.</p> <p>3) Distance between the Cement Plant and quarrying site shall be furnished.</p> <p>4) It is mentioned in the biological study report, there is no rare/ endemic /endangered species of flora and fauna surveyed in the mine vicinity or study area. But in contrary whereas in the EIA report in item no. 3.10 flora and fauna, a list of many species in each subhead has been mentioned. This has to be justified and details of conservation plan for the above</p>


MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN

			<p>shall be furnished.</p> <p>5) Photograph of the earlier mined site shall be furnished.</p> <p>6) Impacts on the surrounding environment if any, due to the earlier mining activities shall be furnished.</p> <p>7) Recovery, rehabilitation and restoration plan shall be elaborated, with budget.</p> <p>8) What is the impact of the mining activity on the surrounding settlements and villages.</p> <p>9) Will there be any impact on the ground water and disruption in water /stream flow pattern, due to mining and ground vibrations.</p> <p>10) The mining lease is for 30 Years, where as it is mentioned that 78% of the mineable resources shall be mined within 2021 i.e within 10Years of the mining lease period. How will you justify that it is a sustainable mining.</p> <p>11) Impact on Traffic and Air pollution shall be furnished.</p> <p>12) Possibility of increasing the budget for afforestation and biodiversity to conservation to be examined.</p>
3.	<p>To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for the proposed Perali (South) Limestone Mine by Tmt. S. Sathammai for an extent of 1.920 Ha, Limestone production of 22500 Tonnes at S.F.No. 23/12B, 16, 17, 18, 24/4, 24/6, 24/7 in Perali (South) Village, Kunnam Taluk, Perambalur District.</p>	6274	<p>Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to call for the following additional details:</p> <p>1) Since Limestone being used for captive utilization, methods taken to suppress the transportation pollution shall be furnished.</p> <p>2) Details of total number vehicles running per day. Between the mine to factory.</p> <p>3) Distance between the Cement Plant and quarrying site shall be furnished.</p> <p>4) It is mentioned in the biological</p>


MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN


		<p>study report, there is no rare/ endemic /endangered species of flora and fauna surveyed in the mine vicinity or study area. But in contrary whereas in the EIA report in item no. 3.10 flora and fauna, a list of many species in each subhead has been mentioned. This has to be justified and details of conservation plan for the above shall be furnished.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5) Photograph of the earlier mined site shall be furnished. 6) Impacts on the surrounding environment if any, due to the earlier mining activities shall be furnished. 7) Recovery, rehabilitation and restoration plan shall be elaborated, with budget. 8) What is the impact of the mining activity on the surrounding settlements and villages. 9) Will there be any impact on the ground water and disruption in water /stream flow pattern, due to mining and ground vibrations. 10) The mining lease is for 30 Years, where as it is mentioned that 78% of the mineable resources shall be mined within 2021 i.e within 10Years of the mining lease period. How will you justify that it is a sustainable mining. 11) Impact on Traffic and Air pollution shall be furnished. 12) Possibility of increasing the budget for afforestation and biodiversity to conservation to be examined.
--	--	---



MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN

4.	<p>To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for the proposed construction of Residential building by M/s. Dawning Developers LLP at S.F.No: 122/2A, 122/2B, 123/1B, 123/2B, 123/1A2, 123/2A2, 123/1C1 pt, 123/2C1, 123/1C2, 123/2C2, 123/2C3 pt, 123/2D pt, 123/1 pt (subdivide as 123/1A1) & 123/2 pt (subdivide as 123/2A1), 135/2A, 35/2B, 123/1C (T.S.NO. 9/6, 9/7, 11/1, 11/4, 11/5, 11/6, 11/7, 11/9, 11/10, 12/5 & 12/6) of Athipattu Village, Ambattur Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamilnadu.</p>	6465	<p>Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to call for the following particulars:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vertical planting and tree cover/gardening should be established to tide over rising temperatures and wind velocity as per structural engineering. 2. Building activity should not be in migratory path way of the migrating birds. 3. The building should not spoil the green views and aesthetics of surroundings and provide enough clean air space. 4. Internal action plan for the door to door collection, transportation, temporary storage and disposal. This cost shall also be included in the EMP. 5. The number of trees proposed is not sufficient for the green belt, hence possibility of creating more greenery with detailed plan shall be furnished. 6. Bio-diversity study shall include detailed study on grasses, herbs and shrubs. 7. Disaster management plan shall include flood management. 8. Break up cost of EMP for the operation phase shall include the cost of power consumption, labor and consumables for each sub head, as the Maintenance staff is projected to be 129 nos, cost projected is appeared to be not matching with actual. The cost projected in the EMP is not matching to realistic 9. The details of afforestation / restoration programme contemplated to strengthen the
----	---	------	--



MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN

			<p>open spaces shall be furnished, which shall preferably include native species along with the financial forecast for planting and maintenance for 5 years shall be projected.</p> <p>10. The proponent has not furnished the details of flood occurrence and also certificate stating that the proposed site had not encroached any water body (rivers, canals, lakes, ponds, tanks, etc) from its original boundary shall be obtained from the competent authority, as called for from PP by the SEAC</p>
5.	<p>To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for the proposed Construction of Residential building by M/s. Casa Grand Builder Private Limited at S.F.No. 12,Old S.No.849/2, Block No.49,Ward C, Madhavaram Village, Madhavaram Taluk, Tiruvallur District, Tamilnadu.</p>	6466	<p>Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to seeking following details :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The project proponent has not furnished the details, requested by SEAC that details of flood occurrence and also certificate stating that the proposed site had not encroached any water body (rivers, canals, lakes, ponds, tanks, etc) from its original boundary shall be obtained from the competent authority. 2. The number of trees proposed is not sufficient for the green belt, hence possibility of creating greenery with detailed plan shall be furnished. 3. The project proponent has not furnished the flood management in the Disaster management plan . Hence, The project proponent is requested to furnish the flood management in the Disaster management plan. 4. The project proponent has to include the working laborers in the water requirement calculation


MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN


			<p>details and cost of the laborers should be included in the EMP.</p> <p>5. Break up cost of EMP for the operation phase shall include the cost of power consumption, labor and consumables for each sub head, cost projected is appeared to be not matching with actual. The cost projected in the EMP is not matching to realistic.</p>
6.	To record/close the application submitted by Thiru. N. Palanisamy seeking Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough Stone Quarry over an extent of 1.05.0 Ha at S.F.No. 611/2H, 611/2I & 611/2J., Rakkiyalayam Village, Avinashi Taluk, Tiruppur District.	4696	Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to record and close the application.
7.	To record/close the application submitted by Thiru.G.Vadivelu seeking Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarrying of Rough Stone over an extent of 1.68.0 Ha at S.F.No 207/6, 207/7 & 209/3 Pongupalayam Village, Tiruppur Taluk, Tiruppur District.	4381	Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to record and close the application.
8.	To record/close the application submitted by Tmt. B. Vasanthi seeking Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarrying of Rough Stone over an extent of 1.09.0 Ha at S.F.No 146/6, 7 Deevattipatty Village Omalur Taluk Salem District.	4303	Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to record and close the application.
9.	To record/close the application submitted by Thiru.R.Gopal seeking Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarrying of Rough Stone over an extent of 1.41.0 Ha at S.F.No 104/1A,104/1C Deevattipatty Village Omalur Taluk Salem District	4501	Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to record and close the application.


MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN

10.	To record/close the application submitted by Thiru. N. Sivaprakash seeking Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarrying of Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry over an extent of 1.82.0 Ha at S.F.No. 171/1, Thenkumarapalayam Village, Pollachi Taluk, Coimbatore District.	5635	Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to record and close the application.
-----	--	------	---


MEMBER SECRETARY


MEMBER


CHAIRMAN