Proceedings of the 324th SEAC Meeting held on 16th & 17th January - 2024

1.	Shri Mahesh A.N.	Chairman
2.	Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav,	Member
3.	Dr. Balakrishna S,	Member
4.	Shri Shivappa Naik,	Member
<u>5.</u> 6.	Shri K H Nagaraj,	Member
	Shri Sadiq Ahmed,	Member
7.	Dr. Sangamesh Kolliyavar,	Member
8.	Shri Dhruva Kumara B Y,	Member
9.	Shri R Gokul, IFS	Member Secretary

Members present in the meeting

Officials Present

1	Suhas H S		Supporting Staff
---	-----------	--	------------------

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The minutes 323rd SEAC meeting held on 26th, 30th & 31st December 2024 was read and confirmed.

324.1.1 Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Matur Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (5-34 Acres) by M/s. Banashree Minerals/Sri Raju Mangilal Bora – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/508955/2024 (SEIAA 01 MIN 2025)

SI.No		Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Banashree Minerals / Sri Raju Mangilal Bora
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy.No.7/2 of Matur Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (5-34 Acres) N 15° 51' 36.99885" E 76° 12'59.47650"
		N 15° 51' 38.20864" E 76° 13'04.39256" N 15° 51' 36.02159" E 76° 13'04.72089" N 15° 51' 34.69084" E 76° 13'03.56540" N 15° 51' 32.19319" E 76° 13'02.07396" N 15° 51' 29.89296" E 76° 13'01.26457" N 15° 51' 29.36015" E 76° 12'58.43893"
3	Type Of Mineral	OrdinarySand Quarry Project
	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	5-34 Acres
_	Annual Production (Metric Ton/Cum) Per Annum	7,112.1 Tonnes/annum(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.68 Crores (Rs. 168 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/Quarry-Cu.m/ Ton	1,22,328 Tonnes (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum-Cu.m/Ton	7.112.1 Tonnes/annum(including wests)
11	Permitted Quantity Per Annum-Cu.m/Ton 7,112.1 Tonnes/annum(including waste) CER Activities:Propose take up 800 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Matur Village Road and Govt. School	
12	EMP Budget Rs.17.49 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.76 lakhs (Recurring cost)
	<u> </u>	A W

About the project:

Jacolle

13	Forest NOC	20.02.2024
14	Approved by Quarry Plan	21.08.2024
15	Revenue NOC	12.02.2024
16	Cluster Certificate	28.08.2024
17	DTF	05.03.2024

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is untouched and no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 7-08 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. As per DMG letter dated 16.05.2024, there is no river sand mining in radius of 5km from the said lease.

Considering the existing cart track road of 200 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed. Proponent informed that the nala is at a distance of 52 mtr in sout east direction, the Committee noted the details.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,22,328 Tonnes (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 7,112.1 Tons/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 6. To provide additional safety measures towards river and to provide settling pits and gully plugs towards river,
- 7. To reuse top soil for back fillingfor mine closure.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.2 Transfer of E.C. - Building Stone Quarry Project at of K.B. Hosahalli Village, Kolar Taluk & District (2-30 Acres) by M/s. A.J. Stone Crushe / Sri K. M. Jayarama Reddy - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/502867/2024 (SEIAA 33 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SLNo Particulars Information Provided by PP 1 Name & Address of the Projects M/s. A.J. Stone Crushe / Sri K. M. Jayan Reddy 2 Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.l	rama
Proponent Reddy Proponent Reddy 2 Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.l	
2 Name & Location of the Project Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.	
K.B. Hosahalli Village, Kolar Taluk (2-30 Acres)	No.110 of & District

Jacollin

			LATITUPE	LONGITUDE
			N15"05"31.1801"	E77*56*10.8964*
			N13708'81.4808"	#77"\$\$ "17.5791"
			N13'06'28.2697"	£77*\$#*19,4\$67*
			N12'04'29.3147"	E77*\$8*20.34#\$*
			N13'06'38.5204"	#77°58*20,1432*
			N&3*05'86.3743*	E77*58*20.9##2*
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modifie	cation/ Renewal	Transfer of E.C.	
5	Type of Land [For Revenue, Gomala, Priva		Government	
6	Area in Acres		2-30 Acres	· · ·
7	Quarry plan	17.12.2022		
8	Audit Report	04.10.2024		······································

The proposal is for transfer of the EC, issued by SEIAA on 23.03.2023 from K M Jayarama Reddy to A J Stone Crusher (Kundrahalli Reddy) by considering Form T issued by DMG on 28.06.2023. Proponent has submitted audit report till 2024-25 certified by DMG on 04.10.2024 and submitted self certified compliance report.

The Committee after discussion and as per the provision in MoEF&CC OM dated 03.11.2023, decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue transfer of EC to A J Stone Crusher with all other conditions remaining same in the EC issued by SEIAA on 23.03.2023, with following consideration,

- 1. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 2. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 3. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 5. To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.3 Transfer of E.C. - Mineral Beneficiation Plant (Iron & Manganese Ore) Project at Ennihatti Village of Sandur Taluk, Ballari District by M/s. Tarcar Ferro Concentrates Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND1/508846/2024 (SEIAA 45 IND 2023)

The proposal is for transfer of the EC, issued by SEIAA on 09.12.2023 from Taanish Resources Pvt. Ltd. to M/s. Tarcar Ferro Concentrates Pvt. Ltd. by considering the Certificate of Incorporation issued by Registrar of Companies GoI on 23.09.2024 and Proponent had submitted a copy of a self certified compliance report submitted to RO.

The Committee after discussion noted that after obtaining the Col from RoC, Proponent has not submitted the land documents transferred to the Proponent. Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal and informed the Proponent to submit land documents pertaining to the Proponent.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC after submission of document sought.

Jacotto

324.1.4 Validity of Extention - Building Stone Quarry Project at of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (2-26 Acres) by Sri E. Hanumanthappa – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/502884/2024 (SEIAA 438 MIN 2019)

About the project:

Particul	ars	Information P	rovided by PP
Name & Address of the	Projects Proponent	Sri E. Hanumanthappa	
Name & Location of the	Project	-	roject at Sy.No.540/4 of Harapanahalli Taluk, 26 Acres)
		Latitude	Longitude
		14# 33' 07.35907"	76º 02' 45.44747"
		14º 33' 06.99310"	76º 02' 49.69724"
		14433 07.56777*	76*02*53.16290*
		14º 33' 06.21479"	76902" 53.56026"
		14933.05.83108-	76*02*45.48550*
Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal		Extension of Validity E.	С.
	-	Patta	
Area in Acres		2-26 Acres	·•
Annual Production (Metric Ton/Cum) Per		45,365 tons/annum(inclu	iding waste)
		3,34,637 Tones (includir	ig waste)
Permitted Quantity Per Annum-Cu.m/Ton		43,478 Tones / Annum (
Forest NoC	02.07.2024		
Cluster Certificate	03.12.2024		
AQP	02.07.2024		
	Name & Address of the Name & Location of the Location of the Name & Location of the New/Expansion/Modific Type of Land [For Revenue, Gomal, Private Area in Acres Annual Production (Me Annum Proved Quantity of mine Permitted Quantity Per A Forest NoC Cluster Certificate	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent Name & Location of the Project Type Of Mineral New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] Area in Acres Annual Production (Metric Ton/Curn) Per Annum Proved Quantity of mine/Quarry-Cu.m/Ton Porest NoC 02.07.2024 Cluster Certificate 03.12.2024	Name & Address of the Projects ProponentSri E. HanumanthappaName & Location of the ProjectBuilding Stone Quarry P Ucchangidurga Village, Vijayanagara District (2Lattude14* 33' 07.35907"14* 33' 07.35907"14* 33' 06.99310"14* 33' 05.83108"14* 33' 05.83108"Type Of MineralBuilding Stone Quarry 14* 33' 05.83108"New/Expansion/Modification/ RenewalExtension of Validity E.Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]PattaArea in Acres2-26 AcresAnnual Production (Metric Ton/Cum) Per Proved Quantity of mine/Quarry-Cu.m/Ton3,34,637 Tones (includir 43,478 Tones / Annun (Forest NoCProved Quantity Per Annum-Cu.m/Ton43,478 Tones / Annun (93.12.2024

The proposal is for extension of validity for the EC issued earlier by SEIAA on 25.10.2019 for a period of 5 years. The Proponent has submitted audit report till 2023-24 certified by DMG and a copy of recently issued self certified compliance report regarding complying with all the EC conditions and requested the Committee to issue validity extension.

The Committee as per the approved quarry plan considering the proved mineable reserve of 3,34,637 tons (including waste) estimated the life of mine to be 8 years by considering maximum annual production of 45,365 Tons/annum (including waste).

The Committee as per the provision in MOEF&CC OM dated 13.12.2022, after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to grant extension of validity of EC, till 24.10.2049 or till the validity of lease which ever is earlier with all other conditions remaining same as per the EC issued by SEIAA on 25.10.2019, with following consideration,

1. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.

- 2. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 3. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 5. To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

gardis

324.1.5 EIA: Building Stone Quarry Project at Chandanamatti Village, Dharwad Taluk & District (3-00 Acres) by M/s. Dattu J. Habib – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/506892/2024 (SEIAA 328 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SI.No	Ē	articulars	Information	Provided by PP
1	Name & Address	of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Dattu J. Habib	
2	Name & Location of the Project			Project at Sy.No.132/1K Chandanamatti Village, ict (3-00 Acres)
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 15° 31' 17.20"	E 75° 04' 36.30"
			N 15° 31' 20.39"	E 75° 04' 35.77"
			N 15° 31' 20.02"	E 75° 04' 39.85*
			N 15° 31' 16.80"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	L
4	New/Expansion/N	Addification/ Renewal	Re-appraisal	
5		Forest, Government	Government	
		, Private / Patta, Other]		
6	Area in Acres		3-00 Acres	
7	Annual Productio Annum	n (Metric Ton / Cum) Per	71,429Tonnes/annum(including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs.	In Crores)	Rs. 1.23 Crores (Rs.123 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity Ton	of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m /	10,73,534Tonnes (inclu	uding waste)
10	Permitted Quanti	ty Per Annum - Cu.m /	70,000Tonnes/annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities:		L	· ····································
	Year	Corporate Environmen	tal Responsibility (CER)	0 0 0 - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	1st	Providing solar power pan	eis to the GHPS school a	t Chandanamatti Village.
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits	to Chandanamatti Villag	je.
	3rd	Avenue plantation either s	ide of the approach road	I near Quarry site &
		Repair of road With draina	ges	
	4th	Conducting E-waste dri	ve campaigns in GHPS at	Chandanamatti Village.
	Sth	Health camp in GHPS at	: Chandanamatti Village.	·····
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 30.81 lakhs (Ca	pital Cost) & Rs.7.73 lak	ths (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	28.10.2015		
14	Quarry plan	14.06.2023		
15	Cluster certificate	04.07.2023		
16	Audit Report	20.09.2024		
17	PH	09.07.2024		

The proposal is for appraisal / re-appraisal of the EC issued by DEIAA as per the directions of Hon'ble NGT in OA 142/2022 and MoEF&CC OM dated 28.04.2023.

The Proponent had submitted compliance to MoEF&CC OM dated 28.04.2023 and has obtained intimation number DEIAA/DWD/15/17-18/536/2017/154859 from SEIAA on 21.09.2024, which the committee noted.

Jacolli

As per the cluster sketch dated 04.07.2023, the proposal had been categorized as B1, for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 17.10.2023 and public hearing was conducted on 09.07.2024, where opinion/requests of eight people had been recorded in public hearing report.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 432 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 10,73,534 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 15 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for maximum annual production of 71,429 Tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry & road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 7. To adhere to the compliance given in response to the opinion of public addressed during public hearing.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.6 Residential Apartment Project at Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Godrej Properties Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/515191/2024 (SEIAA 05 CON 2025)

Sl.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Sreeharsha MN, Authorized Signatory M/s. Godrej Properties Limited 10 th Floor, Prestige Obelisk, Kasturba Road, Ambedkar Veedhi, Sampangi Rama Nagara, Bangalore – 560 001.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Development project by M/s.Godrej Properties Limited at Sy No. 106/9 & 106/10(old no.106/6) of Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore - 560064
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment/Villas/Row Houses /Vertical Development / Office / IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/Hospital /other	Residential Development project Cat 8(a)

About the project:

Jacoll's

b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No	
c.	Zoning Classification	BDA ZR : Agricultural Land	
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New	
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Nala at 25.0 mts away from the p	project site
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	28,605.91 sq.m.	
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,23,971.02 sq.m.	
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	3.00 2.98	•• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Residential Development projec Buildings 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 9, each Tower having 2 Basemer Floor + 13 Upper Floors + Terra 552 units. The total site area is 2	10 and Club house, at Floor + Ground ace Floor with total
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects		
11	Height Clearance	Site Elevation in AMSL : 930.0 Permissible top elevation in AMSL : 980 Difference in meters : 50.0 Height proposed : 47.05	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	244.0 Crores	
		Details	Quantity in m ³
		Quantity of excavated soil	1,78,292.31
		Back filling for footings	89,146.16
10	Quantity excavated earth & its	Site filling required	10,120.60
13	management	Back filling for retaining wall	67,950.71
	-	Top soil for Landscaping	
			5,327.91
		Filling for internal roads	5,746.94
1.4		Total	1,78,292.31
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	C 0 (C 0 1 - 0	
a. b.	Ground Coverage Area Kharab Land	6,265.81 m2	
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	 9 752 96	
c. d.	Road & Open Space	8,753.86 m2 11,507.19 m2	·
e.	CA area		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
f.	Others-Road Widening		<u></u>
g.	Parks		
<u>h</u> .	Total	1,23,971.02 sq.m.	
15	WATER		
I.	Construction Phase		·
а.	Source of water		
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in		

Great States

	KLD		
C.	Quantity of water for Domestic	10 KLD	
d.	Purpose in KLD Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD	
<u>u</u> .	Treatment facility proposed and	The sewage generated during the construction	
e.	scheme of disposal of treated water	phase will be treated in the Mobile STP	
II.	Operational Phase		
		Fresh 300.0	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled 151.0	
	-	Total 452.0 KLD	
b.	Source of water	BWSSB	
C.	Wastewater generation in KLD	407.0KLD	
d.	STP capacity and Area required	410 KLD and 390 sq.m.	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology	
		No Disposal. The treated water will be reused for	
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site	
1.	water if any	avenue plantation and Reuse after treating wit	
		ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis	
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	·	
а.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof	400.0 cu.m.	
	& Hardscape/soft scape run off	10.037	
<u>b.</u>	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10.0 Nos.	
		The storm water from the site will be collected b	
17	Storm water management plan	rainwater harvesting system and will be used for	
10	WASTE MANACEMENT	recharging the ground water	
18 L	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
I.		Demolition Waste:Nil	
	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its		
a.	management.	Construction Waste:Nil	
		No of jabours = 100 Nos.	
		Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day	
_	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Separate collection bins will be used for organi	
Ъ.	and mode of Disposal other than	and inorganic waste. Organic waste will b	
	C&D.	converted in organic convertor. Inorganic soli	
		waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.	
II.	Operational Phase	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Quantity: 371.04kg/day	
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Mode of Disposal: Biodegradable waste will b	
a.	generation and mode of Disposal as	converted in organic convertor	
4 1	per norms	Capacity of facility: 6tons	
	(Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Area required:310 sq.m.	
		*	
	Quantity of non-biodegradable waste	Quantity: 556.56kg/day	
b.	generation and mode of Disposal as	Mode of Disposal: Non-Biodegradable waste wi	
2.	per norms	be handed over to authorized recyclers	
	r	Area required:485sq.m	
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Nil	
c.	generation and mode of Disposal as		
υ.	per norms		
	.		
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and	E-waste generation will be very less	
	.		

.

by .

Paroth

T	mode of Disposal as per norms	
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	3884kVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 X 750 + 1 X 500 kVA
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
đ.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	 1100 m2 of roof top area will be used for solar water heating systems. About 1000 m2 of open roof area will be used for installation of PV solar modules with trackers and sensors to optimize the performance of system to generate energy of about 1000 kWh/day. (@1kWh/sq.m/day) Energy saved by using Solar water Heater: 50,000 kWH/ Year(a) Solar Power Generation: In non-monsoon season 600 kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 1,44,000kWH In monsoon season 400 kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 48,000 kWH Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 1.92 L kWH / Annum(b) Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)= 0.5+ 1.92 L KWH = 2.42 L / Annum(c) Total energy savings = 21.33%
20	PARKING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	790 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic	Bellahalli Main Road LOS C
	Study Report	
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.00 m
21	CER Activities	YearCorporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)1stTo Provide Infrastructure Development to Bharat Scout & Guides Karnataka, State Head Quarters, Shanti Gruha, Maharani College, Bangalore.2ndProviding Rainwater Harvesting & solar power panels to GHPS at Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Yelahanka taluk, Bangalore Urban District
		Bangalore Urban District. 3 rd Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in

f.

9

		the Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Yelahanka taluk, Bangalore Urban District.
		4 th Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
		5 th Health camp in GHPS at Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Yelahanka taluk, Bangalore Urban District.
22		EMP (Construction & Operation)
		Operation Phase Construction Phase
	EMP (Details and capital cost &	Recurring Cost Per Recurring Cost Per
	recurring cost)	Annum = Annum = 17.38lakhs
		43.1305lakhs Capital Cost = 50.01
		Capital Cost = lakhs
		252.505 lakhs

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no construction activity has started. The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent.

The proposal is for construction of a commercial use project in an area demarcated for agriculture use as per RMP of BDA 2015, for which Proponent informed that they had obtained land conversion from DC for the proposed land use, permitted as per zoning regulations.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding foot kharab as per village map, provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the foot kharab as per village map has been rerouted to boundary as per DC order dated 03.07.2024 and have provided free public access for the same. Regarding rainwater harvesting Proponent informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structure of 400 cum capacity for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas with 10 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the same.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 335 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following consideration,

1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.



- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 400 cum & 10 pits.
- 5. To grow 335 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To provide bell mouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 7. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SELAA for further necessary action.

324.1.7 EIA - Proposed Construction of Tech Park Project at Sulikunte Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. MAX Global Developers – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/504344/2024 (SEIAA 11 CON 2024)

About the project:

SI. No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent		
		Name: R S Vinay kumar Reddy (Chief Finance and Accounts)		
1	Name & Address of the Project	Address:		
1	Proponent	Max Global Developers		
		#444, Grand, 5th Main, 16th Cross Road, 6th Sector,		
		HSR Layout, Bengaluru, Karnataka- 560102		
		Name:		
		Proposed Construction of Tech Park		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Location: S. No. 102/1, 102/2B, 102/6, 103/1 & 103/2		
	-	of Sulikunte Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East		
	}	Taluka, Bangalore Urban District- 560 035		
3	······································	Category 8(b) - Area Development Projects as per		
5	Type of Development	EIA Notification, 2006		
	Residential Apartment / Villas /	Not applicable		
	Row Houses / Vertical			
a.	Development / Office / IT/ ITES/			
	Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other			
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Commercial Development		
C.	Zoning Classification	Agricultural land, converted for Commercial development		
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New		
-	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the	No water bodies near the site boundary or passing		
5	vicinity of project site	through the site		
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	40,873.24 sq.m		
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	2,33,148 sq.m		

(Author

8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	3.00 2.99				
		S. No.	Block	Building Configuration	Building Use	
	Building Configuration [Number	1		2 Basements and Ground Floor	Parking	
9	of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and	2	- 4	1st Floor and 2nd Floor	Parking	
	Upper Floors]	3	-	3rd Floor to 12th Floor	IT Offices	
		4		Terrace Floor	OHT and Solar panel	
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	4 Tow				
11	Height Clearance	and	er CCZM proposed ing heigh	1 permissible height i l height is 947.4m A 1t)	s 980mtr AMSL AMSL (51.05 m	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	300				
13	Quantityexcavated earth& its management	The approximate quantity of earthwork will be 213708 cu.m. Excavated material of 54,061.03 cu.m will be reused the site for backfilling and remaining 1,59,647.3 cu.m shall be utilized at the project site for mud pressed blocks, landscaping, etc.				
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	presse				
a,	Ground Coverage Area	17.326	5.19 sq.m			
b.	Kharab Land		29 sq.m	·		
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	-				
d.	Internal Roads	0.54				
e.	Paved area	9,304	.26 sq.m			
f.	Others Specify	Area Left for Road- 765.145sq.m Surface Parking- 2,030.36 sq.m Site area for land development- 39,045.81sq.m (100%)				
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area	10,125	sq.m			
	Development Projects	40.00				
<u>h.</u>	Total	40,87	<u>3.2</u> 4 sq.п	<u> </u>		
<u>15</u>	WATER Construction Dhase					
I.	Construction Phase Source of water	Watar	tankers			
ab.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	91	Lankers			
с.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	9.0				
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	100				
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated	Mobile	STP wi	ll be installed at site		

A.

Basth

	water		• • •			
II.	Operational Phase					
		Fresh		459		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Recyc		698		
	KLD	Total		1,157		
b.	Source of water	Borew		-	vater and col	lected rainwater
c .	Wastewater generation in KLD	734 kl				
d.	STP capacity and Area required	810 kl	d; 391.14 s	a.m ST	P area	
e.	Technology employed for Treatment		Technology			
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	STP is	s based on 2	Zero Li	quid Dischar	ge System
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting				
а.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off	Rain V	Water Colle 00=800cur		inks of capac	cities
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	55 RV	VH recharg	e pits		
17	Storm water management plan	To avoid the loss of soil during monsoon, major construction activities will be avoided during rainy season. Water accumulated on the soil dump will be locally drained in the perimeter drain using small capacity pumps after particulate settlement. The water drainage facility is connected with the drainage system with the prior permission of the Municipal Corporation.				
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT					
I.	Construction Phase				•	
		• Demolition waste: An existing building (130 sq.m) and a temporary shed at the project site will be demolished.				
		Sr.	Type of I	Jedris	Approx. Quantity	Destination
		1	Steel		0. 8 Ton	Will be sent to recyclers
а.	Quantity of Construction &Demolition waster and its management.	2	Brick/Sto masonry	ne	8.25 cu.m	Good ones will be reused for masonry. Broken debris will be used for backfilling at site
		3	Wooden frames, 1 etc.	Planks	450kg	Sold to recyclers
		Sha site	ll be segre (Proper f	gated a facility		vithin the Project of construction



		Waste type	Practice to be adopted for Demolition and Construction
			Waste Management
		Broken	Broken bricks will be used in
		bricks/blocks	waterproofing works in the
			surface drain, storm water
			drains, etc.
		Broken tiles	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
:		sanitary ware	
		vitrified material	····· ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···
			suitable size pieces and will be
			used for exposed structures of
			the building and on the floors
		NY-sta samenata	of parking/parking approaches.
		Waste concrete	Waste concrete will be used
			for temporary flooring, PCC, surface drains, hard-stand
			inside the project area, etc.
		Broken glass	Broken glass if any generated
			during installation and storage
			will be stored at a separate
			location inside the project
			premises and will sent back to
			the supplier for recycling.
		Waste	Waste aggregate/stone will be
		aggregate/stone	crushed, aggregated and mixed
			with other road sub-base
			construction material and for
			backfill and consolidation of
			surfaces under podia, under
			margins/pitching of storm
			water drains, periphery
			curbing of roads, etc.
		Metal Scrap	Metal scrap will be sold to
			local scrap dealers for onward
			recycling.
		Plastic, paper	
		goods	wooden waste, used plastic bags of cement and other
1			construction material will be
			sold back to the supplier for
	· · ·		reuse. Plastic and paper waste
			will be sold to registered
			recyclers
		• Domestic Waste	(2,975 kg/day) – Biodegradable
	Quantity of Solid waste generation		mposted and rest shall be sent to
Ь.	and mode of Disposal other than	MSW site.	-
	C&D.	• Plastic waste to	be sold to recyclers.
II.	Operational Phase	•	
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quantity 1	,488 kg/day wet waste and
a .		3	, <u> </u>

fr.

bush

				01 11 1			
		as per norms	Mode of	Shall be composted in an			
		(Capacity of OWC & Area	Disposal	Organic Waste Convertor			
		required)		(OWC) depending up on the			
				requirement for horticulture			
				and rest will be sent to			
				Common MSW Management			
				Facility			
			Capacity of	4 OWC machines with the			
			facility	capacity of 500 kg			
			Area required	80 sq.m			
			Quantity	1,488 kg/day including inert waste			
			Mode of	Recyclable waste shall be sold to			
		Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	Disposal	recyclers.			
	b.	waste generation and mode of		Non-biodegradable waste will be			
		Disposal as per norms		sent to Common Solid Waste			
		F		Management Facility.			
			Area required	12 sq.m			
			Quantity	Negligible			
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Mode of Disposal				
	c.	generation and mode of Disposal		(occasional) shall be sold to			
	υ.	as per norms		registered waste oil recyclers.			
			Area required	20 sq.m			
			Quantity	Negligible			
			Mode of	E waste will be stored at a			
		Quantity of E waste generation		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	d.	and mode of Disposal as per	Disposal				
		norms		disposed through registered recyclers.			
			A real exercised				
	19	POWER	Area required	10 sq.m			
	19	Total Power Requirement -	7,354 kW from M	ESCOM			
	a.	Operational Phase	7,554 K W HUIII W				
		Numbers of DG set and capacity in	Total 7 DG Sate	of 14,000 kVA (2000 kVA each)			
	b.	- +		01 14,000 KVA (2000 KVA cacil)			
		KVA for Standby Power Supply					
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD – 2,800 l/hr	man lighting lands of building will			
				area lighting loads of building will			
			be powered by	L L			
			 Solar power generation using Solar Photovoltaic 				
			panel on the terrace floor will be connected to				
			major power requirement facilities during the				
				or Tech park due to uninterrupted			
		Energy conservation plan and		ion requirement will be a renewable			
		Percentage of savings including	energy source.				
	d.	plan for utilization of solar energy		ilding shell to reflect most of the			
		as per ÉCBC 2007	solar insulation				
		L ·	1	specification illuminators, activity			
				naries, LED illuminators and solar			
				terrace to utilize solar energy for			
				common areas.			
				and Solar energy for electricity			
1 1							
			-	external light fixtures are proposed. tilized from solar power per year			

ky ...

.

15

20	DADUDU	- Ti	ne energy s	lakh units in avings due els is estima	to installa	tion and use of
 a.	PARKING Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)		ired – 2,63 ded – 2,67	9 Cars + 67 9Cars	0 Two Wh	celers
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	D				
с.	Internal Road width (RoW)	<u>8 m</u>				
21		Sr. No.	Year		CER Activ	
			2024-25	Avenue project maintenan	site a	in front of long with
	CER Activities	2	2024-25	•	nt school th sanitar	srooms for in Village y & water il.
		3	2025-26	Desilting, lighting fo		tion and sol <mark>ar</mark> Lake
		4	2025-26		along with	nity area, box sanitary & d the lake
			Total CE	R budgete		
22		Cons	truction P			· · ·
		Sr. No	F	CMP Aspec	t	Approx. Cost (Rupees in Lakhs)
		1.	Barricade round the	s/dust barrie site	ers all-	282.0
		2.	Sprinkling season)	g of water (r	10n-rainy	150.0
	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	3.	center, sat sanitation	nagement - fety measure , amenities (ion Contrac	es, (through	12.0
		4.	Air, Water		oring -	3.0
			• • • • • • • • •	Total		447.0
		Operation	ation Phas	e		····
		Sr.	FMD	Aspect	Approx Budgete Capital	d Budgeted Operatin
		No.		-	cost (Rupees in Crore	



9	· CER Total	1.50 32.55	- 83.15
8	• Energy conservation	20.00	4.00
7	 Fire Fighting Measures 	2.50	10.00
6	. Solid Waste Management	1.20	24.00
5	EHS Management Cell	0.10	2.50
	 Environmental Monitoring 	0.07	3.00
3	. Storm water drain and Rainwater Harvesting System	0.35	3.50
	other landscape development	0.33	5.45

The Committee initially sought details regarding present site condition as per KML. Proponent informed the Committee that there is an existing old building of BUA 130Sqm, which will be demolished and the debris would be utilized within the site area and no construction has started. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for construction of tech park project in an area earmarked for agriculture use as per RMP of BDA 2015, for which Proponent informed that they have obtained land conversion for the proposed activity from DC. For the proposed project, SEAC had issued ToR on 03.05.2024. The Committee noted the details.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding foot kharab as per village map, HT line as per RMP and source of water during operation phase and details regarding rainwater harvesting. The Proponent informed the Committee that they have obtained order from DC on 22.02.2024 to re-route the foot kharab to the project boundary with free public access. Regarding HT line they have provided buffer of 17.5 mtr on either sides of HT line. Regarding the source of water during operational phase, they have conducted hydrogeology study by NABET accredited consultant M/s. Hubert Enviro Care Systems Ltd., informing that the total water requirement is 1157 KLD out of which about 459 KLD of fresh water requirement would be met from eight proposed borewell in the proposed project area after obtaining NoC from KGWA for digging and extraction of ground water. In addition, they have proposed sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize the rainfall within the site area. Regarding provisions made for rainwater, Proponent informed that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 500 cum capacity for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 300 cum capacity for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas with 55 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the same.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install aerators for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 520 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The

persit

Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters of water, soil and noise were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following consideration,

- 1. The source of water during operation phase should be as specified in the hydrogeology report and to provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 500 Cum and 300 Cum & 55 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 520 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. Total Glass facade should not be exceeding the norms.
- 7. To provide bell mouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 8. To provide diesel generator with catalytic converter with dual fuel option.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install aerators to conserve water for the proposed construction.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.8 Transfer of E.C. - Molasses Based Distillery & Co-generation Power Plant Project at Sy.Nos.221, 22311A, 22211, 22311, 22311B of Havinal Village, Chadchan Taluk, Vrjayapura District by M/s. Indian Sugar Manufacturing Company Ltd. to M/s. Shri Dutt India Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND2/501150/2024 (SEIAA 33 IND 2021)

The proposal is for transfer of the EC, issued by SEIAA on 24.02.2022 from M/s. India Sugar Manufacturing Company Ltd. to M/s. Shri Dutt India Pvt. Ltd. Proponent informed that they had purchased the said company through NCLT auction and accordingly had obtained name change from Registrar of Companies GoI on 01.07.2024 and have also obtained the land records in their name and requested the Committee for transfer of EC.

The Committee after discussion and by considering the land details, decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue transfer of EC to M/s Shri Dutt India Pvt. Ltd. with all other conditions remaining same as per the EC issued by SEIAA on 24.02.2022.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

(Jacot)

324.1.9 Residential Apartment & Club House Project at Sathnur Village, Jala Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. APG Townships Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/508684/2024 (SEIAA 06 CON 2025)

About the Project:

SL. No	Particulars	Information Provided by PP	
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Gurumoorthy N. Project Head, M/s. APG Townships Private Limited, No. 30, Assetz House, Crescent Road, Bengaluru – 560 001.	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of "Residential Apartment and Club House" Project at Sy. Nos. 119/1 & 119//2 of Sathnur Village, Jala Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.	
3	Type of Development		
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment and Club House. Cat 8(a)	
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA	
c.	Zoning Regulations	As per the Revised Master Plan of $BDA - 2015$, the proposed project site is designated as Agricultural Zone and also the land has been converted to residential purpose.	
4	New/-Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New	
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	As per village map, there is no nala within the 50 m radius of the project site. There is a kunte on northern side of the project site, which is at a distance of 40 m from the project site boundary.	
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	28327.75 Sqm	
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	116199.53 Sqm	
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	3.00 2.99	
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	4 Towers: 2BF+GF+14UF with a maximum height of 44.95 m.	
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects		
11	Height Clearance	44.95 m (As per CCZM, the permissible height is 84 m and the height achieved for our proposed building is 44.95 m.)	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 140.63 Crores	
13	Quantity of Excavated earth & its management	Total Excavated earth quantity – 97000 m ³ For Backfilling – 45000 m ³	

gu .

19

yast.

		For Landscapin For Driveway a	and site formation-24000 m^3	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)			
a.	Ground Coverage Area	7190.12 Sqm		
b.	Kharab Land			
с.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	10080.76 Sqm		
d.	Internal Roads	Driveway/Ram	p Area – 5632.58 Sqm	
		Service Area – 205 Sqm		
e,	Others Specify	Road Widening Area –2761.32 Sqm C.A Area-1425 Sqm		
ψ.	Outers speeny		available area in the project site –	
		400.47 Sqm	avanable alea in the project site –	
	Parks and Open space in case of			
f.	Residential Township/ Area			
	Development Projects.			
g.	Visitor's parking	632.5 Sqm		
g.	Total	28327.75 Sqm		
15	WATER			
I.	Construction Phase	······································		
a.	Source of water		struction will be sourced from ST	
		tertiary treated	water.	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	38 KLD		
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic	6.75 KLD		
d.	Purpose in KLD Waste water generation in KLD	60VID	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u> </u>	waste water generation in KED	6.0 KLD		
		Domestic server	te generated during construction she	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and	Domestic sewag will be treated	ge generated during construction pha	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	will be treated	in mobile STP, treated water will I	
e. II.		will be treated	in mobile STP, treated water will I	
	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase	will be treated used for dust su	in mobile STP, treated water will I	
	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in	will be treated used for dust su	in mobile STP, treated water will a ppression/landscaping within the site	
II. a.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD	will be treated used for dust su	in mobile STP, treated water will ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD	
II.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell.	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD	
II. a. b. c.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD	
II. a. b.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity –	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm)	
II. a. b. c.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology	
II. a. b. c. d. e.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc	in mobile STP, treated water will ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 KJ plantation.	in mobile STP, treated water will i ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology	
II. a. b. c. d. e.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 KJ plantation.	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. 6	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvest Capacity of sump/tank to store	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity- Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenu collection sump of Capacity 340	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum 5	128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenu collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.)	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum s	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenu collection sump of Capacity 340	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. 6	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity- Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum x Storm water sum 12 Nos.	in mobile STP, treated water will is ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenue collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity- Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum 12 Storm water sun 12 Nos. Internal garland	in mobile STP, treated water will 1 ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenu collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum drains will be provided within the site	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a. b.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off No's of Ground water recharge pits	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum s Storm water sum 12 Nos. Internal garland in order to carry	in mobile STP, treated water will I ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenu collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum drains will be provided within the site out the storm water into the recharge	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 Kl plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum s Storm water sun 12 Nos. Internal garland in order to carry pits and will be	in mobile STP, treated water will I ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenu collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum drains will be provided within the site out the storm water into the recharge managed within the site, excess runo	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a. b.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off No's of Ground water recharge pits	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity- Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum x Storm water sum 12 Nos. Internal garland in order to carry pits and will be will be routed	in mobile STP, treated water will is ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenue collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum drains will be provided within the site y out the storm water into the recharge managed within the site, excess runo to the external storm water drain of	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a. b.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off No's of Ground water recharge pits	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity – Sequential Batc Excess 115 Kl plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum s Storm water sun 12 Nos. Internal garland in order to carry pits and will be	in mobile STP, treated water will is ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenue collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum drains will be provided within the site y out the storm water into the recharge managed within the site, excess runo to the external storm water drain of	
II. a. b. c. d. e. f. f. 6 a. b.	scheme of disposal of treated water Operational Phase Total Requirement of Water in KLD Source of water Wastewater generation in KLD STP capacity Technology employed for Treatment Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off No's of Ground water recharge pits Storm water management plan	will be treated used for dust su Fresh Flushing Total Borewell. 340 KLD STP Capacity- Sequential Batc Excess 115 KI plantation. ing Roof rain water Cum.(170 cum x Storm water sum 12 Nos. Internal garland in order to carry pits and will be will be routed	in mobile STP, treated water will is ppression/landscaping within the site 250 KLD 128 KLD 378 KLD 378 KLD 350 KLD (area 495 Sqm) h Reactor Technology LD for construction works/ Avenue collection sump of Capacity 340 x 2 nos.) np of capacity 200 Cum drains will be provided within the site y out the storm water into the recharge managed within the site, excess runo to the external storm water drain of	



J. seats.

18 I.	WASTE MANAGEMENT Construction Phase					
a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management.	Demolition Waste: Demolition waste debris of quantity 4 tons will be used for internal road driveway formation. Construction Waste: Construction debris generated from the whole project is 58 Tons and this will be reused within the site for road and pavement formation.				
Ь.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms					
ĪĪ.	Operational Phase					
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: Mode of Disposal: Capacity of facility: Area	levels and will be processed i proposed organic waste converter.			
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	required: Quantity: Mode of Disposal: Area required:	1018kg/day Recyclable wastes will be handed over to authorized waste recyclers 4 Sqm			
c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: Mode of Disposal: Area required:	 95 L/Annum (0.19 L/ running) hour of DG Hazardous wastes like waste oil from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers. 4 Sqm 			
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: Mode of Disposal: Area required:	 1.6 tons/annum E-Wastes will be collected separately of it will be handed over to authorized H waste recyclers for further processing. 4 Sqm 			
19	POWER					
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	2954 kVA				
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500 KVA – 2 Nos &250 KVA-1 No. Stack Height ARL - 7 m&6 m respectively				
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set		g hr of DGs.			
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy	fixtures, VF	ransformer, solar water heater, LED light Ds etc energy savings is around 25.54%			
	Aur -	21	bounds			

f.

	as per ECBC 2007			
20	PARKING			
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	480 No. of cars. (provided – (25% of EV Charging faci provided i.e. 109 No. of Cars	lity of total	
		Road	Existing	Changed
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the	Bagalur Main Road towards NH44	0.17 A	0.28 B
	Traffic Study Report	Towards Bagalur	0.15	0.23
			A	B
с.	Internal Road width (RoW)	25 m Bagalur Main Road.	· · · · ·	- I
21	CER Activities	Development works in Sati includes	ooms s & internet fa	
22	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	Construction Phase: Capital Investment – 17.0 La Construction – 129.5 Lakh Operation Phase: Capital investment – 512.19 Operation Investment – 23.2	Lakh	

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment project in an area earmarked for agriculture use as per BDA of RMP 2015, for which the Proponent informed that they have obtained conversion of land to residential purpose from DC.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding cart track & water body as per village map, source of water during operational phase and provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the cart track in northern side is the existing public road and also an approach road to the proposed project and the water body in north is at a distance of 40mtrs and out side the buffer zone to the site area. Regarding source of water during operation, Proponent informed that they have conducted hydrogeology study by CGWA accredited consultant Dr. K R Sooryanarayan, informing that the total water requirement is 378 KLD out of which about 250 KLD of fresh water requirement would be met from 7 existing borewells in the proposed project area,only after obtaining NoC from KGWA for extraction of ground water. In addition, they have proposed sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize the rainfall within the site area justifying that drawing 250 KLD of ground water will not have adverse impact on ground water. Regarding harvesting rainwater, the Proponent informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 340 cum for runoff from rooftop and 200 cum capacity tanks for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas with 12 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the same.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

(Jourly)

The Proponent agreed to grow 350 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. The source of water during operation phase should be as specified in the CGWA hydrogeology report and to provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide rainwater storage structure of 340 cum, 200 cum and 12 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 350 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 7. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 8. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 9. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- 10. To incorporate additional dust control measures during construction.
- 11. To provide bell mouth entry/exist from the approach road and free public access in kharab area.
- 12. Excess treated water should be utilized with in the site area.
- 13. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.10 Residential Apartment Project at Billapura Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Grihamithra Confra Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/508342/2024 (SEIAA 07 CON 2025)

About the Project:

Sl. No	Particulars	Information provided by PP				
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Kumara Giri Reddy Konda, Managing Directo M/s. Grihamithra Confra Private Limited, #1356, 6 th Main, BEML Layout 5 th Stage, Rajarajeshwari Nagar,1 st phase, Bengaluru –56009				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of Residential Apartment, Sy. No. 7/3, Billapura Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District				
3	Type of Development					
a.	Residential Apartment/Villas/ Row Houses/Vertical Development/ Office /IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential units Cat 8(a)				

Yauth

	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA		
	c.	Zoning Regulations	As per the Anekal Local Planning Area Master Plan - 2031 (Sarjapura: SP-3), the proposed project site is designated as Residential & Commercial Zone.		
4	L _	New/-Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New		
5	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	There is a tertiary nala on western side of the project site boundary		
6	5	Plot Area (Sqm)	12,520.33 Sqm		
_ 7		Built Up area (Sqm)	48,258.69Sqm		
8		FAR Permissible Proposed 	2.75 2.75		
9		Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Proposed project comprising 270 no. of residential units distributed over Block A, B & C: BF+GF+13UF with a maximum height of 44.95 m.		
1(0	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	NA		
11	1	Height Clearance	44.95 m (As per CCZM map, the permissible height is 115.50 m AMSL and the height achieved for our proposed building is 44.95 m)		
12	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 77.89 Crores		
13	3	Quantity of Excavated earth & its management	Excavated earth quantity - 11,681 m3 Backfilling - 3,504 m3 Landscaping - 3,555 m3 Driveway - 2,700 m3 Site formation - 1,022 m2		
14	1	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	Site formation – 1,922 m3		
_	а.	Ground Coverage Area	3712.09Sqm		
	b .	Kharab Land			
	c .	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	3555.22 Sqm		
	d.	Internal Roads	2700.45Sgm		
	e.	Paved area			
	f.	Others Specify	Service Area – 365.80 Sqm CA Area – 626.23Sqm STRR Land Bank Area- 627.19 Sqm Road Widening Area- 933.35 Sqm		
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	-		
	h.	Total	12,520.33Sqm		
15		WATER			
	I.	Construction Phase			
	a.	Source of water	The domestic water requirement will be met by external suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose will be met by STP tertiary treated water.		

for the

.

24

b.	Quantity of water for Construction	23 KLD			
U	in KLD				
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	4.5 KLD			
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4.0 KLD			
		Domestic sewa	ge generated during construction		
	Treatment facility proposed and		eated in mobile STP, treated water		
e.	scheme of disposal of treated water	will be used for	dust suppression/ landscaping within		
		the site.			
П.	Operational Phase				
	Total Dequirement of Water in	Fresh	122 KLD		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Flushing	61 KLD		
		Total	183 KLD		
b.	Source of water	Borewell			
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	165 KLD			
d.	STP capacity		180 KLD (area 216 Sqm)		
	Technology employed for	Sequential Batch	Reactor Technology		
e.	Treatment				
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess		D for construction works/ Avenue		
I .	treated water if any	plantation.			
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvest		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Capacity of sump/tank to store		sump – 250 Cum		
a .	Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run	Strom water Sump-100 Cum			
	off				
<u>b</u> ,	No's of Ground water recharge pits	13 Nos.			
			ardscape area is collected in a storm		
		water sump of capacity 100 cum			
		Internal garland	drains will be provided within the		
17	Storm water management plan	site in order to	carry out the storm water into the ad will be managed within the site		
1					
		and in the worst rain fall, excess runoff will be discharged to the external storm water drain on			
		eastern side of t			
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	castern side of a			
I.	Construction Phase				
		Construction W	aste: Construction debris generated		
	Quantity of Construction &		project is 24 tons and this will be		
a .	Demolition waster and its	reused within the site for road and pavement			
	management.	formation.			
		Total quantity	of solid waste generation is 10		
	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Kg/day. In which, 4 kg/day is the biodegradable			
b.	and mode of Disposal as per norms				
		and this will be handed over to local vendors.			
П.	Operational Phase		-		
		Quantity:	216kg/day		
		Mode of			
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Disposal:	household levels and will be		
a.	generation and mode of Disposal		processed in proposed organic		
	as per norms		waste converter.		
			250 kg/day		
		facility:			

gur.

Great

		Area required	24 Sam				
		Quantity:					
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable		324 kg/day				
b.	waste generation and mode of		f Recyclable wastes will be handed				
	Disposal as per norms	Disposal: Area required	over to authorized waste recyclers 4 4 Sqm				
		Quantity:					
			85 L/Annum (0.17 L/ running) hou of DG				
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste		f Hazardous wastes like waste oi				
с.	generation and mode of Disposal	Disposal:	from DG sets, used batteries etc				
	as per norms		will be handed over to the				
			authorized hazardous wast				
			recyclers.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Area required					
		Quantity:	0.68 ton/annum				
	Quantity of E waste conception and		f E-Wastes will be collected				
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Disposal:	separately & it will be handed over				
	mode of Disposal as per norms		to authorized E-waste recyclers for				
		Area required:	further processing. 4 Sqm				
19	POWER	[Alca required.	4 Sqin				
	Total Power Requirement -	2218kVA					
a.	Operational Phase						
1	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	300 KVA - 1 No. & 400 kVA - 2 Nos.					
b .	KVA for Standby Power Supply	Stack Height ARL - 6 m					
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	243.32 1/hr					
	Energy conservation plan and	5star rated transformer, Solar Lights, solar water					
d.	Percentage of savings including		ising VFDs., etc				
1	plan for utilization of solar energy	The overall en	ergy savings is around 25.5 %				
<u> </u>	as per ECBC 2007						
20	PARKING						
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	297 No. of car	S.				
	Level of Service (LOS) of the	Road	Towards Existing Changed				
b.	connecting Roads as per the	Sarjapura-	Sarjapura C B				
	Traffic Study Report	Attibele	Attibele C B				
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	Road					
21	Internal Road width (Row)	24.89 m wide	Sarjapura - Attibele Road				
21	·CER Activities	facilities to Go Village.	f class rooms & drinking water ovt. Higher Primary School, Billapura				
22		Construction P	hase				
	EMP (Details and capital cost &	Capital Investment – 12.40Lakh Construction – 76.63 Lakh					
	recurring cost)	Operation Phase:					
		Capital investment – 279.63 Lakh					
			stment – 20.0 Lakh/annum				

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment project in an area earmarked for residential & commercial use as per Anekal Planning Authority, for which the Proponent informed that they have obtained conversion of land to residential purpose from DC.

(Jourto)

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding source of water during operational phase and provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the source of water during operation, they have conducted hydrogeology study by CGWA accredited consultant Dr. K R Sooryanarayan, informing that the total water requirement is 183 KLD out of which about 122 KLD of fresh water requirement would be met from 3 existing & 1 proposed borewell in the proposed project area, only after obtaining NoC from KGWA for digging & extraction of ground water. In addition, they have proposed sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize the rainfall within the site area justifying that drawing 122 KLD of ground water will not have adverse impact on ground water. Regarding harvesting rainwater, the Proponent has informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 250 cum for runoff from rooftop and 100 cum capacity tanks for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas with 13 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the same.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 125 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. The source of water during operation phase should be as specified in the CGWA hydrogeology report and to provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide rainwater storage structure of 250 cum, 100 cum and 13 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 125 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 7. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 8. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 9. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- 10. To incorporate additional dust control measures during construction.
- 11. To provide bell mouth entry/exist from the approach road and free public access in kharab area.
- 12. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Jack/

324.1.11 Residential Apartment with club house project at of Neriga Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Manyatha Developers (P) Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/496358/2024 (SEIAA 08 CON 2025)

SLNo.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent				
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Venkataramana A and M/s. Manyatha Developers (P) Ltd No.7/3, 3 rd floor, Tapar Niketan, Brunton Road, Bengaluru - 560001				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of Residential Apartment with club house project at Sy. Nos. 178/1 and 178/2B of Neriga Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru				
3	Type of Development					
a.	Residential Apartment/Villas/Row Houses/Vertical Development/ Office/ IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/Hospital /other	I				
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA				
c.	Zoning Classification	As per Master Plan-2031 for Anekal Local Planning Area the proposed project site is designated in commercial zone. Land conversion has been obtained for Layout- Residential and commercial purposes. There is a provision for residential development as per the local planning authority guidelines.				
4	New/Expansion/Modification/Renewal	New				
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Neriga – 1 km (N) Chikkadasarahalli Lake – 1.2 km (W) Kathirguppe lake – 1.8km (NW) Sarjapura Doddakere lake – 2.5 km (S)				
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	12,545.08				
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	39,037.59				
8	FAR Permissible Proposed 	2.25 2.25				
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower A: 2B+G+25UF – 82.5m				
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	No. of Units: 178 units				
11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM permissible height is 1035m AMSL and proposed height is 1007.5mt AMSL				
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 80.0 Cr				
13	Quantity excavated earth & its	Sl. Description Quantity Unit				
15	management	A Earth Work Excavation 35,700 Cum				
		a For Backfilling 6,000 Cum				

About the project:

Ra

		b	Top soil requirement for landscape development on natural earth and podium	10,500	Cum	
		c	Earth used for formation of internal roads	19,200	Cum	
4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	_				
	Ground Coverage Area	958.2	Sqm			
	Kharab Land	NA				
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	4639.6	4 Sqm			
	Internal Roads	3328.7	'8 Sqm			
	Paved area					
	Others Specify	Civic a Road y	amenities area1191.78 Sq widening area - 2,426.68 Sq	n m		
	Parks and Open space in case of					
g.	Residential Township/ Area					
	Development Projects			, . <u></u> _		
h.	Total	12,545	5.08 Sqmt			
15	WATER					
I.	Construction Phase					
8.	Source of water		SB treated water/our own ST	P treated wa	ter	
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KL				
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	2 KLI				
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	1.5 K			_	
e.	Treatment facility proposed and Mobile Sewage Treatment Plant					
II .	Operational Phase					
		Fresh	·	80		
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled 40				
		Total		120		
b.	Source of water	Borev	vell	· · · · · · ·		
с.	Wastewater generation in KLD	96				
d.	STP capacity and Area required		apacity	98 KLD		
u. :			required	120 Sqmt		
e.	Technology employed for Treatment		Technology			
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated		is 14 KLD will be used for	r Floor wasn	ing ai	
	water if any		y Construction Project			
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvestin	¥	<u> </u>			
a.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof		of collection sump is provi			
	& Hardscape/soft scape run off		required for Rain water tanl	cis i zu Sqm		
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	15 No		of mater of		
17	Storm water management plan	We have provided 70m3of roof water collection sump. The quantity of storm water produced within the site will be directed to recharge pits of 15 Nos. provided around the periphery of the site				
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT					
<u>I</u> .	Construction Phase					
a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its		D waste generated will be		nal; tl	
<u> </u>	Agu.	29	Jaco			

A

	management.	will be utilized formation of paved	within in the project site for roads.			
b.		Quantity of so construction other	lid waste generation during than C&D0.5kg/day			
	C&D.	Mode of Disposal:	Given to BBMP authorities			
<u> </u>	Operational Phase					
a.	per norms	Quantity Mode of Disposal	240 kg/day Biodegradable waste will be processed in organic waste converter			
	(Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Capacity of facility				
		Area required	25 Sqmt			
	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable	Quantity	160 kg/day			
Ъ.	waste generation and mode of		Non- Biodegradable waste will			
	Disposal as per norms		be given to authorized vendors			
		Area required	15 Sqmt			
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Quantity	200lt/hr running			
с.		Mode of Disposal	Will be given to PCB authorized			
10.	generation and mode of Disposal as		recycler			
	per norms	Area required	5 Sqmt			
		Quantity	20 kg/year			
.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms		Will be given to PCB authorized			
d .		mode of Emplosui	recycler			
	here and a second per normal	Area required				
19	POWER		5 Sqmt			
a,	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1150 KW				
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500 KVA X 2 No.				
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diese	el			
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	21%				
20	PARKING					
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	350 No of cars				
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LC per the Traffic Study Sarjapura Road. Towards Varthur is J Towards Sarjapura i	_			
<u>.</u>	Internal Dand width (D+117)	8.0				
с.	Internal Road width (RoW)	To provide infrastructure development of nearby government school & hospital				
21	CER Activities	To provide infrast				
•		To provide infrast	& hospital			

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment project in an area earmarked for commercial use as per Anekal Planning Authority, for which the Proponent informed that they have obtained conversion of land to residential purpose from DC.



(seat)

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding cart track road as per village map and source of water during operational phase and provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the cart track road in north east is left as it is with free public access and regarding the source of water during operation, Proponent informed that they have conducted hydrogeology study by CGWA accredited consultant Dr. K R Sooryanarayan, informing that the total water requirement is 120 KLD out of which about 80 KLD of fresh water requirement would be met from 3 proposed borewells in the proposed project area, only after obtaining NoC from KGWA for digging & extraction of ground water. In addition, they have proposed sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize the rainfall within the site area justifying that drawing 80 KLD of ground water will not have adverse impact on ground water. Regarding harvesting rainwater, the Proponent has informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 70 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas with 15 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the same.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 250 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. The source of water during operation phase should be as specified in the CGWA hydrogeology report and to provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide rainwater storage structure of 70 cum and 15 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 250 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 7. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 8. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 9. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- 10. To incorporate additional dust control measures during construction.
- 11. To provide bell mouth entry/exist from the approach road and free public access in kharab area.
- 12. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Joest /

324.1.12 Residential Apartment Project at Chikkabidarakallu Village, Dasanapura Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Brigade Enterprises Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/506589/2024 (SEIAA 10 CON 2025)

About the Project:

.

rivous	the Project:	Information Descrided by Drange ant			
	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent			
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Abraham Koshy, Head Design Management M/s. Brigade Enterprises Limited 29 th & 30 th Floors, World Trade Center, Brigade Gateway Campus, 26/1, Dr. Rajkumar Road, Malleswaram-Rajajinagar, Bengaluru - 560 055			
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Brigade Lumina" Proposed Residential Development with Club House at Sy.No.38/2A, 38/2B & 46/2 of Chikkabidarakallu Village, Dasanapura Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban.			
3	Type of Development				
a	Residential Apartment/ Villas/Row Houses/Vertical Development/Office / IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Cat 8(a)			
b		-			
С	Zoning Classification	As per the Revised Master plan 2015 of Bengaluru for the planning district 3.03 Makali map, the proposed project site comes under Industrial Zone, Residential Zone & Mutation Corridor.			
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New			
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	 Doddabidarakallu Lake - 700 m from the project site in the southern direction Anchepalya Lake - 1.0 km from the project site in the western direction Dasarahalli Lake - 2.3 km from the project site in the South East direction 			
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	17,047.36 Sqmt			
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	88,918.86 Sqmt			
8	FAR Permissible Proposed 	3.25 3.25			
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Tower A - 3B + G + 24 UF ; Tower B - 3B + G + 24 UF ; Tower C - 3B + G + 24 UF; Club House- 3B + G + 7 UF			
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction / Residential Township / Area Development Projects	Total no. of units is 500 nos.			
11	Height Clearance	Achieved – 75 m			
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 125 Crores			
13	Quantity excavated earth & its management	 Total Excavated Earth –23,230 Cum Backfilling for foundation–7,434 Cum 			

GRADEL

32

		Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent			
		a Aler and distant A.	 Landscaping- 6,503 Cum 			
			 For Roads & walkways- 5,808 Cum 			
			•			
Ļ			For site formation-3,485 Cum			
14		Details of Land Use (Sqm)				
\vdash	<u>a.</u>	Tower Ground Coverage Area	3,563.78Sqmt			
Ц	b.	Kharab Land				
	¢.	Landscape area	7,633.47Sqmt			
Щ	d.	Drive way Ramp	4,934.05Sqmt			
	e.	Area left for proposed CDP road	404.68 Sqmt			
1	f.	Surface Parking	231.33Sqmt			
i l	g.	Service Area	280.05 Sqmt			
Ц	<u>h.</u>	Total	17,047.36 Sqmt			
15		WATER				
	<u> </u>	Construction Phase				
	а.	Source of water	Nearby project tertiary treated water will be used for construction.			
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD			
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	2.7 KLD			
	d,	Waste water generation in KLD	2.4 KLD			
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	The total sewage generated from construction site is 2.4 KLD. The generated sewage will be collected in collection tank & will be lifted to BWSSB sewage plant			
-	II.	Operational Phase	for further treatment.			
┆┣	<u>II</u> .	Operational Flase	Fresh 278 KLD			
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in	Recycled 134 KLD			
	ч.	KLD	Total 412 KLD			
	b.	Source of water	Bore well / Rain water			
	<u>с.</u>	Waste water generation in KLD	Sullage: 250 KLD			
-			Sewage: 134 KLD			
	d.	STP capacity & Area required	STP capacity: 385 KLD (Black Water treatment capacity is135 KLD and Grey water treatment capacity is 250 KLD).			
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology			
Γ			For Flushing – 134 KLD			
	f .	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	For Landscaping – 10 KLD			
			Treated Sullage for domestic purpose-202 KLD			
16		Infrastructure for Rain water harvesti	ng			
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	350 Cum			
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	2 Nos. of deep recharge wells			
17	7		The roof runoff will be collected in roof rain water collection sump of capacity 350 Cum, Surface runoff will be collected in 2 Nos. of deep recharge wells.			
		MANAGEMENT				
	I.	Construction Phase				

Ann.

Jersto/

•

	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent				
	Demolition waste and its	no any old or used structure within the project site and				
		hence there is nodemolition waste from the project site.				
ь	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Solid waste generated from the construction site is 17.8				
ľ	and mode of Disposal as per norms	kg/day, which will be collected manually and handed				
		over to authorized recyclers.				
<u>II.</u>	Operational Phase					
		 Quantity:542 kg/day 				
·		 Mode of Disposal: Biodegradable wastes will be 				
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as	segregated at the source and will be processed in				
a.	per norms	proposed organic waste converter.				
		 Capacity of facility:600 kg 				
		• Area required (for storage and processing): 500 m ²				
		Quantity:814 kg/day				
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	Mode of Disposal: Non-biodegradable Wastes will				
b.	waste generation and mode of	be given to the waste recyclers.				
	Disposal as per norms	• Area required: 25 m ²				
<u> </u>		• Quantity: 0.1 TPA.				
		 Mode of Disposal: Hazardous wastes like waste oil 				
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	from DG sets, used batteries etc. will be handed over				
с.						
		to the authorized hazardous waste recyclers.				
		• Area required: 20m ²				
	Quantity of E waste generation and	Quantity: 0.1TPA				
		Mode of Disposal:E-Wastes will be collected				
d.	mode of Disposal as per norms	separately & it will be handed over to authorized E-				
	mode of Disposal as per norms	waste recyclers for further processing.				
		Area required: 10 m ²				
9	POWER					
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	3,200 kW				
Ь.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	1000 kVA X 2 Nos. & 500 kVA X 4 Nos.				
Ľ.	KVA for Standby Power Supply					
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	917 L/hr				
ł	Energy conservation plan and	 Solar water heating Common area lighting will be considered on solar 				
d.	Percentage of savings including	power				
u.	plan for utilization of solar energy	 LED lighting provision will be made 				
	as per ECBC 2007	Energy Savings: 23.5%				
20	PARKING					
	Parking Requirement as per norms	800 ECS				
a.	(ECS)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Modified Change				
		Existing Modified Changed Scenar				
h	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic	Koad [Towrads] traine adding [Scenario](LUS)				
b.	Study Report	L V L L/Road ((Nomi				
		(LOS) generate Widenin Metro)				
		d traffic g) &				

Agreen -

freat

	Particulars	Informa	tion Prov	vided by I	Proponen	t	
		Bengaluru	C	D	С	С	С
		- Tumkur	С	B	С	A/B	С
		Highway	С	D	В	В	С
		(NH-4)	С	С	В	A	С
C.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8 m Road			_		
21	CER Activities	 Sanitation facilities to the nearby Govt. School Rain water Harvesting to the school building Plantation in the school and the approach road 					
22	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	During C Capital in Recurring During O Capital in Recurring	vestmer g Cost – peration vestmer	nt — 12.8 1 10.6 lakh <u>:</u> nt — 395 la	s/ annum akhs		

The proposal was appraisd only after considering and recommending the proposal in agenda 324.1.34 SIA/KA/INFRA2/502139/2025 (SEIAA 117 CON 2014) for surrender of the existing E.C.

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area earmarked for industrial use in a mutation corridor as per RMP of BDA, for which the Proponent informed that they have obtained change of land use to residential use from BDA on 08.04.2014.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding source of water during operational phase and provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee regarding the source of water during operation that they have conducted hydrogeology study by CGWA accredited consultant T Rajendiran, informing that the total water requirement is 412 KLD out of which about 279 KLD of fresh water requirement would be met from 6 proposed borewells in the proposed project area, only after obtaining NoC from KGWA for digging and extraction of ground water. In addition, they have proposed sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize the rainfall within the site area justifying that drawing 279 KLD of ground water will not have significant impact on ground water. Regarding harvesting rainwater, the Proponent has informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 350 Cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas with 2 deep recharge wells. The Committee noted the same.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 230 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

Aur.

(Decol)

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. The source of water during operation phase should be as specified in the CGWA hydrogeology report and to provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide rainwater storage structure of 350 cum and 2 deep recharge wells.
- 5. To grow 230 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 7. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 8. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 9. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- 10. To incorporate additional dust control measures during construction.
- 11. To provide bell mouth entry/exist from the approach road and free public access in kharab area.
- 12. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.13 Building Stone Quarry Project at Bokikere Village, Hosadurga Taluk, ChitradurgaDistrict (7-00 Acres) by Sri V. Veeresh – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/508180/2025 (SEIAA 03 MIN 2025)

About the project:

SL.No	Particulars		Information Provided by PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		Sri V. Veeresh	
2 Name & Location of		e Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.34 of Bokikere Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District (7-00 Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude
			13*47'04.45338"	76*16'39.20612"
			13*47'04.70367"	76*16'42.19681"
			13*46'55.15952"	76*16'43.80824"
			13*46'54.73243"	76*16'40.52078"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal		Extension of Validity E.C.	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Gover Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]		Government	
6	Area in Acres		7-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton/Cum) Per Annum		3,84,212 tons/annum(including waste)	
8	Proved Quantity of mine/Quarry-Cu.m/Ton		44,53,622 Tones (including waste)	
9	Permitted Quantity Per Annum-Cu.m/ Ton		3,65,001 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)	
10	Quarry plan	06.04.2024		
11	Audit Report	26.11.2024		
12	Forest NoC	04.09.2018		
13	Cluster Certificate	26.11.2024		

Versi

The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is for issue of EC for building stone for which SEIAA had earlier issued EC on 19.11.2019 with validity of 5years. Presently as the validity of earlier EC was getting expired, Proponent had applied a fresh application for the same area with no change in production. Further, the Proponent informed that their lease was granted on 28.07.2023 with QL no. 609 and as per audit report dated 26.11.2024, they had not carried out any work from the date of grant of lease and hence justified for not submitting CCR for earlier EC. The Committee noted the details.

As per the cluster sketch there are another 03 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease, out of which 02 leases are exempted as ther validity had expired and the total area of the remaning lease including the applied lease is 9-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road of 723 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 44,53,622 tonns (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,84,212 tons/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1.To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.14 Residential Apartment Project at Hagadur Village, K.R.Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Ankuraa Homes – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/508525/2024 (SEIAA 09 CON 2025)

Abut the Project.

Sl.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Ankuraa Homes, Sy.No.3, Site No. 4/1, 3 rd Floor, Whitefield, Bangalore - 560066
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment with Amenities Building project at at Sy.Nos.184 & 185 of Hagadur Village, K R Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore.
3	Type of Development	

PRIME

	Residential Apartment/Villas/Row	Residen	tial Apartment with Amen	ities Build	ing	
а.	Houses/Vertical Development/ Office	Cat 8(a)	-		0	
	/IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/Hospital / other					
b.	Residential Township/Area	NA				
	Development Projects	As per	CDP-2015 project si	te comes	under	
с.	Zoning Classification	Residen	tial (main) zone			
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal	New	tile on an Niele in annual			
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	As per village map, Nala is running within the project site on the northern side & southern side of the project site; & these nala has been shifted boundary on the north & south side of the project site				
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	12,140.	47 Sqmt			
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	40,134.	44 Sqm			
8	FAR Permissible Proposed	2.25 2.249				
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks/Towers/Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Residen	tial Apartment in 2B+G+1	9 UF		
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects		Jnits:175 units			
11	Height Clearance	-	CCZM permissible heigh posed height is 924m AM		AMSL	
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 75.0) Cr			
		SLNo. A a	Description Earth Work Excavation For Backfilling	Quantity 74,000 32,000	Unit Cum Cum	
13	Quantity excavated earth & its management	ь	Top soil requirement for landscape development on natural earth and podium	19,000	Cum	
		c	Earth used for formation of internal roads	23,000	Cum	
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)					
а.	Ground Coverage Area	1,574.5				
b.	Kharab Land		harab Area (1 & 2) –1,517 ari Kharab Area -202.34 S	-		
c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	3,173.7	4 Sqm	-		
d.	Internal Roads	4,499.2	3 Sqm			
e.	Paved area			-	_	
f.	Others Specify		nder Existing Road - 1,079 /idening Area - 93.35 Sqm	-		
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects					
h.	Total	12,140.	47 Sqmt			
	At	8	Jacot	Sh.		

A.

15	WATER		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
I.	Construction Phase					
а.	Source of water	BWSSB treated water/our own STP treated water				
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	15 KLD				
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	5 KLD	5 KLD			
d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD				
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Mobile Sewage	Treatment Plant			
II.	Operational Phase					
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled 3	9 9 18			
b.	Source of water	BWSSB				
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	95 KLD				
d.	STP capacity and Area required	STP capacity Area required	95 KLD 100 Sqmt			
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technolog				
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Excess 31 KLD	will be used for Floor washing and			
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nearby Construc	tion Project			
	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting					
a. (Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off		r Rain water tank is 90 Sqmt			
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	08 Nos.	r Kalli water tank is 90 Sqint			
17	Storm water management plan	We have provided 90m3 of roof water collection sump. The quantity of storm water produced within the site will be directed to recharge pits of 08 Nos. provided around the periphery of the site.				
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	provided around	the periphery of the site.			
1.	Construction Phase		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management.	I I X7 II WASTA GONARDIAN WILL BA WARE PAUNISALS this				
b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	Quantity of solid waste generation during construction other than C&D0.5kg/day Mode of Disposal: Given to BBMP authorities				
II.	Operational Phase					
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity Mode of Disposal Capacity of facility Area required	236 kg/dayBiodegradableprocessedinorganicwasteconverter240 kg/day of capacity25 Sqmt			
		Quantity	158 kg/day			
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Mode of Disposal Area required Quantity	Non-Biodegradable waste will be given to authorized vendors 10Sqmt 50-60 lts			

Bur

frank

.

<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	generation and mode of Disposal as		Will be given to PCB authorized
	per norms	Disposal	recycler
		Area required	5 Sqmt
		Quantity	20 kg/year
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and	Mode of	Will be given to PCB authorized
u .	mode of Disposal as per norms	Disposal	recycler
		Area required	5 Sqmt
19	POWER	-	
	Total Power Requirement -Operational	700 KW	
a.	Phase		
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	500 KVA X I N	0.
0.	KVA for Standby Power Supply		
C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric d	iesel
	Energy conservation plan and	23.35%	
d.	Percentage of savings including plan		
a .	for utilization of solar energy as per		
	ECBC 2007		
20	PARKING		
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	225 ECS	
		Level of Service	(LOS) of the connecting Roads as
	Level of Service (LOS) of the	per the Traffic S	tudy Report on
b .	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	Pattandur Agrah	ara Gutta Road - B
	Study Report	SH-35 / NH-207	towards Varthur kodi is B
	-	SH-35 / NH-207	towards Whitefieldis B
С.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0	
21	CER Activities		astructure development of nearby
21		government scho	.
22	EMP (Details and capital cost &	Construction ph	ase Rs. 118.0 lakhs
	recurring cost)	Operation phase	Rs. 453.0 lakhs

The Committee initially sought details regarding present site condition as per KML. Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is a vacant land and no construction has started. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for construction of residential development project in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA 2015 for the proposed project.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding drain, foot kharab as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the secondary drain in south eastern side, buffer of 25 mtrs from the center of drain is proposed and for the tertiary drains, they had obtained reroute order from DC on 07.05.2024 and accordingly have rerouted the drain and have provided buffer of 15mtrs from center of the rerouted drains and the foot kharab inside the site area is retained as it is with free public access. Regarding the CDP road in eastern side, Proponent had retained 24 mt wide CDP road as it is in the proposed plan. Regarding, harvesting rainwater, the Proponent informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 90 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas with 8 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the details.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

(seally,

The Proponent agreed to grow 130 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. Treated water should be utilized completely within the site.
- 4. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 5. To provide rainwater storage structure of 90 cum and 08 recharge pits.
- 6. To grow 130 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 7. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 8. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 9. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- 10. To provide bell mouth entry/exist from the approach road.
- 11. To provide free public access in kharab area.
- 12. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.15 Development of Production (Block -01) building project at Hitech Defence & Aerospace Park at Bhattaramarenahalli Village, Channarayapattna Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rurai District by M/s. Kalyani Tech Park Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/508047/2024 (SEIAA 11 CON 2025)

Sl. No	Particulars	Information Provided by PP		
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	K. Siva Reddy,CGM Projects M/s.Kalyani Tech Park Pvt. Ltd. 165/2, Krishnaraju Layout, Doraisanipalya, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560076		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Development of Production (Block -01) building project at Plot No: 147 and 148 of (Aerospace sector) HITECH DEFENCE AND AEROSPACE PARK at Sy Nos. Parts of 5/2, 13, 124, 125, 126, 128 and 129 of Bhattaramarenahalli Village, Channarayapattna Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District - 560102		
3	Type of Development			
a.	Residential Apartment/Villas/Row Houses/Vertical Development/ Office /IT/ITES/Mall/Hotel/ Hospital /other	Development of Production (Block-1) Building and Gate House Cat 8(a)		
b.	Residential Township/ Area	NA		

YRGN,

	Development Projects					
C .	Zoning Classification	As per KIADB, project site comes zone	under Indi	ıstrial		
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New				
5	Water Bodies/ Nala in the vicinity of project site	NA				
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	92,622.00Sqm				
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	23,968.85Sqmt				
8	FAR • Permissible	2.5				
	 Proposed 	0.182				
9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Production block – 1 (B+G+2 TF)				
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	NA				
11	Height Clearance	Height of building is about 14.7 m which is less 15m				
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.70 Cr				
		Description	Quantity	Unit		
		Earth Work Excavation	20,000	Cum		
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	For Backfilling Top soil requirement for landscape development on natural earth and podium Earth used for formation of internal roads	10,000 49,000 6,000	Cum Cum Cum		
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)					
a.	Ground Coverage Area	5974.00 Sqmt				
b.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	9265.00 Sqm				
с.	Internal Roads	13893.30 Sgmt				
<u>d</u> .	Paved area	•				
e.	Other provision	Surface parking : 4642.00 Sqm FUTURE DEVELOPMENT ARE	EA - 58847	.7 Sqm		
f,	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA				
h.	Total	92,622.00 Sqm				
15	WATER					
I.	Construction Phase	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<u>a</u> .	Source of water	BWSSB STP treated water/Nearby	y STP treat	ed wate		
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10				
c. Quantity of water for Domestic 2						

Ar.

Joseph .

Purpose in KLD						
d. Waste water generation in KLD 1.5	1.5					
	Mobile sewage Treatment Plant					
II. Operational Phase						
Free						
a. Total Requirement of Water in KLD Rec	cycled 12					
Tot						
	ADB					
c. Waste water generation in KLD 23						
	KLD					
	R Technology, Area required for STP is 25Sqmt					
1. water if any	Excess					
16 Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting						
	0 m3 of collection sump is provided ea required for Rain water tank is 350Sqmt					
	nos.					
	provided 350 m3 of of roof water collection sump 20 nos. of recharge pits all along the project site					
18 WASTE MANAGEMENT						
I. Construction Phase						
a. Quantity of Solid waste generation Giv and mode of Disposal as per norms	Given to BBMP authorities					
II. Operational Phase						
a. Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	 135kg/day converted in to organic manure and used for garden 24 kg/ hr 135kg/day of capacity Space required is 20 sqmt 					
	90 kg/day given to PCB authorized recycler 50-80lts given to PCB authorized recycler 60 kg/year given to PCB authorized recycler					
c. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms						
d. Quantity of E waste generation and 60 mode of Disposal as per norms						
19 POWER						
a. Total Power Requirement - 500 Operational Phase	0 kW					
b. Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply 220	220 KVA X 1 No					
	w Sulphuric diesel					
d. Energy conservation plan and percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	.9%					
20 PARKING						
i a Parking Keguirement as per norms	otal No of Car Provided -151 ucks parking provided - 19					

kan.

grant?

b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report on NH-7 (3+3) lanes MCW& (2+2) lanes SR Airport (MCW) – B Airport (SR) – B Bangalore city (MCW) – C Bangalore city (SR) - B
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	9.0 mt
21	CER Activities	To provide infrastructure development of nearby Govt School.
22	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	Construction phase - 122 Lakhs Operation Phase - 237 Lakhs

The Committee initially sought details regarding present site condition as per KML and details of activity proposed in the proposed area. Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is a vacant land and no construction has started and they had proposed green category industry with plug and play facility for electronic & information tech. in a KIADB allotted area and accordingly had obtained CFE from KSPCB on 16.12.2024. The Committee noted the clarification.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding foot kharab as per village map and provisions made for harvesting rainwater in the proposed area. The Proponent informed the Committee that the foot kharab in east is the existing public road and which is also an approach road to the project. Regarding, harvesting rainwater, the Proponent has informed the Committee that they have proposed rainwater storage structures of 350 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas with 20 recharge pits within the site area. The Committee noted the details.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed and informed that they had proposed 100% roof area for solar power harvesting.

The Proponent agreed to grow 1160 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. Proponent agreed to provide 100% roof top for solar power generation.
- 3. Treated water should be utilized completely within the site.
- 4. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 5. To provide rainwater storage structure of 350 cum and 20 recharge pits.
- 6. To grow 1160 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 7. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 8. To incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets with dual fuel option.
- 9. To provide bell mouth entry/exist from the approach road.

YALON/

- 10. To provide free public access in kharab area.
- 11. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.
- 324.1.16 Transfer of E.C. Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Hasuvinakaval Village, Periyapatna Taluk, Mysore District (1-0 Acre) by M/s. Sapthagiri M. Sand & Stone Crusher / Sri Pradeep Rangegowda – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/497104/2025 (SEIAA 406 MIN 2023)

About the project:

SLNo	Particu	lars	Information Provided by PP		
1	Name & Address	of the Projects	M/s. Sapthagiri M. Sand & Stone Crusher / Sri		
	Proponent		Pradeep Rangegowda		
2	Name & Location of the	ne Project	Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at		
		·	Sy.No.448 of Hasuvinakaval Village, Periyapatna		
			Taluk, Mysore District (1-0 Acre)		
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New/Expansion/Modif	ication/ Renewal	Transfer of E.C.		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomala, Private / Patta, Other]		Government		
6	Area in Acres		1-0 Acre		
7	Quarry plan	14.12.2022			
8	Audit Report	12.11.2024			

The proposal is for transfer of the EC, issued by SEIAA on 28.11.2023 from Sri. T R Pradeep to a firm M/s. Sapthagiri M. Sand & Stone Crusher by considering the Form T issued by DMG on 07.08.2024. Proponent had submitted audit report till 2023-24 certified from DMG on 12.11.2024 and submitted self certified compliance report.

The Committee after discussion and as per the provision in MoEF&CC OM dated 03.11.2023, decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue transfer of EC to M/s. Sapthagiri M. Sand & Stone Crusher with all other conditions remaining same as per the EC issued by SEIAA on 28.11.2023, with following consideration,

- 1. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 2. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 3. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.17 Building Stone Quarry Project at Shivapura Village, Hebri Taluk & Udupi District (5.75.5 Acres)by Sri Sudhakar Shetty– Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/509005/2025 (SEIAA 05 MIN 2025)

Sl.No	Particulars	Information Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Sudhakar Shetty
	Proponent	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos.149/12,

			40, 15, 18, 24, 3 & 2	21, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 5, 3 of Shivapura Village,	
			Hebri Taluk & Udupi Di	strict(5.75.5 Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude	
			N13° 23' 56.6431"	E74° 57' 58.6988"	
			N13° 23' 57.0833"	E74° 57' 59.5315"	
			N13* 23' 56.0751*	E74° 57' 59.9291"	
			N13° 23' 56.1289*	E74° 58' 00.0428"	
			N13° 23' 55.8269"	E74° 58' 00.5068"	
			N13° 23' 52.3601"	E74° 58' 01.4209"	
			N13° 23' 50.3211" N13° 23' 50.1584"	E74° 58' 02.9419" E74° 58' 02.4826"	
			N13° 23' 48.5711"	E74° 58' 03.1553"	
			N13° 23' 48.2232"	E74° 58' 01.9463"	
			N13° 23' 47.3299"	E74° 58' 02.2318"	
			N13° 23' 45.6794"	E74° 58' 01.9335"	
			N13º 23' 45.4872"	E74" 58' 01.3604"	
			N13° 23' 47.3657"	E74° 58' 00.6239"	
			N13º 23' 49.2205"	E74* 57* 59.8966*	
			N13º 23' 49.3295"	E74° 58' 00.1382"	
			N13° 23' 54.2489*	E74° 57' 58.1073*	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry		
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renew		New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Govern	ment	Patta		
	Revenue, Gomala, Private / Patta, Oth	ner]			
6	Area in Acres		5.75 Acres	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / C	Cum)	1,27,551 Tonnes/annum	(including waste)	
	Per Annum	í			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs.40 I	Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/Quarry-C]u.m/			
	Ton			U /	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum-C	um/	1.25.000Toppes/appum	(excluding waste)	
10	Ton		1,20,0001000000000000000000000000000000	(choluding hubbe)	
11	CER Activities: Propose grow 100	<u>. </u>	of additional alastatia	n on either eide of the	
11					
12	approach road from quarry location t				
12		is (Cap	ital Cost) & Rs.8.46 lak	is (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC 24.07.2024				
14	Quarry plan 19.11.2024				
15	Cluster certificate 25.11.2024				
16	Notification 30.10.2024				

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no working has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 5-75 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1,800 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

yaut/

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 14,80,322 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,27,551 tons/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and road connecting crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.18 Trasfer of E.C. -Building Stone Quarry Project at K.B.Hosahalli Village, Kolara Taluk, Kolara District (0-26 Acres) by M/s. A.J. Stone Crushe / Sri K. M. Jayarama Reddy- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/502879/2025 (SEIAA 13 MIN 2020)

Sl.No		Particu	lars				Information P	rovided by PP
1	Name &	t Address	of 1	the Pro	jects	M	/s. A.J. Stone Crushe /	Sri K. M. Jayarama
	Proponen	t				R	eddy	
2	Name & I	ocation of t	he Proj	ject				Project at Sy.No.110 of
								Kolara Taluk, Kolara
	District (Building Stone Quarry P							
						S	y.No.110 of K.B.Ho	sahalli Village, Kolara
						T	aluk, Kolara District (0	-26 Acre)
				Latitude Longitude				
						II	13° 6'29.11"N	77° 58'20.29"E
					I		13° 6'29.21"N	77° 58'18.00"E
							<u>13° 6'30.34"N</u>	77° 58'18.18"E
						∔	13° 6'30.12"N	77° 58'20.37"E
				∔	<u>13° 6'41.48''N</u>	77° 58'14.17'E		
<u> </u>				ļŢ	13° 6'42.34"N	77° 58'27.01"E		
3	Type Of N						uilding Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expa	nsion/Modif	ication	v/ Renew	/al	T	ransfer of E.C.	
5	Type of	Land [Fo	rest,	Govern	ment	Ó	Bovernment	
Į .	Revenue,	Gomala, Priv	ate/Pa	itta, Othe	r]			
6	Area in A	cres				Ō	-26 Acre	
7	Quarry pl	an	31.12	2.2019		-		
8	Audit Rep		04.10	0.2024				

47

hant

The proposal is for transfer of the EC, issued by SEIAA on 15.07.2021 from K M Jayarama Reddy to A J Stone Crusher (Kundrahalli Reddy) by considering Form T issued by DMG on 04.07.2024. Proponent has submitted audit report till 2024-25 certified by DMG on 04.10.2024 and submitted self certified compliance report.

The Committee after discussion and as per the provision in MoEF&CC OM dated 03.11.2023, decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue transfer of EC to A J Stone Crusher with all other conditions remaining same as in the EC issued by SEIAA on 15.07.2021, with following consideration,

- 1. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 2. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 3. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.19 Pink Granite Quarry Project at Purthageri Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppal District (0-25 Acre) by Sri Shanthappa Nagappa Guram – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/492901/2025 (SEIAA 06 MIN 2025)

<u>Sl.No.</u>		Information Provided by Proponent		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Shanthappa Nagappa Guram		
2	Name & Location of the Project		Project at Sy.No.12/2 of tagi Taluk, Koppal District	
		N15*58*41.99993*	E76*01'51.79994*	
		N15"58'41.60000"	E76*01'54.50016"	
		N15'58'42.68014"	E76'01'54.39999"	
		N15*58*42.699997*	676*01'51.90010*	
3	Type Of Mineral	Pink Granite Quarry Project		
4	New/Expansion/Modification/Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]	Patta		
6	Area in Acres	0-25 Acre	· · • •	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton/Cum) Per Annum	16,870 Cum /annum (inclu	iding waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 2.23 Crores (Rs.223 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	1,687 Cum/annum (recove	ery)	
11	CER Activities: Shall be spend toward Dam, providing water to Purthageri Vi		ng & rejuvenation of Kadur	
12	EMP Budget Rs.10 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs.3 lakhs (Recurring cost)			

Devot

13	Quarry plan	21.02.2024
14	Cluster certificate	08.08.2024
15	Forest NoC	09.11.2022
16	Revenue NOC	18.01.2023
17	DTF	24.01.2023

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent submitted to the Committee that they have constructed rainwater harvesting pond and no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification given by the Proponent.

As per the cluster sketch there are 04 leases in radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and total area of the leases including the applied lease is 9-38 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road of about 650 mtr connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 84,349 cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 16,870 Cum/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To strengthen the approach road to the quarry as per norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To handle the waste generated by obtaining necessary permission.
- 6. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 7.To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.20 ToR: Mineral Beneficiation Plant Project at Sy.No.21/1 of Dindadahalli Village, Chitradurga Taluk, Chitradurga District by M/s. Mavenforever Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND1/503978/2024 (SEIAA 03 IND 2025)

The proposal is a green field project for establishment of mineral beneficiation plan of capacity 4.95 LTPA in an area of 3-24 Acres. The Committee initially sought clarification regarding proposed capacity with reference to the site area. The Proponent submitted revised layout plan justifying the proposed production in the area of 3-24 Acres. The Committee noted the clarification and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

Jacon /

- 1. Forest NoC certified by DCF should be submitted.
- 2. Storage, disposal& handling of tailings should be detailed.
- 3. Complete land documents and conversion documents for the applied extent.
- 4. Strengthening of the approach road in order to mitigate dust pollution should be detailed.
- 5. The Project being near the forest boundary, plant activity might affect the wildlife. Wild life conservation plan to be prepared and authenticated.
- 6.Layout plan with 33% green belt area and details of buffer for drain/water bodies as per village map.
- 7. Village map with boundary marking of proposed area
- 8. Provision to construct 12m height double layer porous fence on the boundary wall of the factory.
- 9.KML polygon with all the coordinates of the site area.
- 10. Details of siting guidelines for the proposed industry.
- 11. Details of chimney emission, mass based quantity of emission and the Mathematical modelling details.
- 12. Details of source of water for the proposed activity.
- 13. To submit detailed compliance in response to the opinion of public addressed during public hearing (mainly to provide employment to local people).
- 14. Activities such as provisions for rejuvenation for water bodies/drains in the vicinity of the project, Public Health Care unit, etc., to be taken up under CER should be detailed out in physical terms and included as part of EMP.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.21 EIA: Building Stone quarry Project at Sy.No.64/2A of Yadrav Village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi District (1-28 Acres) (0.688Ha) by M/s R.S. Stone Crusher / Sri Rudragouda S. Patil- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/502227/2024 (SEIAA 66 MIN 2023)

The Proponent remained absent with intimation and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the Project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in upcoming meetings.

324.1.22 ToR:Manufacture Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Synthetic Organic Lifesaving Drugs Project at Plot No.225 Part, 3rd Phase, KIADB Industrial Area, Malur Taluk, Kolar District by M/s. Hepa Pharma Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND3/500786/2024 (SEIAA 02 IND 2025)

The proposal is for establishment of API's & synthetic Organic drugs manufacturing unit for 28 products with total of 5.637 TPM capacity in an area of 3,305 Sqm in KIADB industrial area.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies,

- 1. Route of synthesis of proposed products and details of R&D facility.
- 2. Details of critical pollution load taking into account the maximum number of products proposed to be manufactured at any given point of time.
- 3. Mitigative measures of critical pollution.
- 4. Complete land documents and conversion documents for applied area pertaining to Proponent.
- 5. Village map with boundary markings of proposed area.

(ada

- 6. Details of cumulative pollution load of the proposed project on the existing pollution load of the industrial area and methods of handling the same.
- 7. Hydrogeology study report of the proposed and surrounding area.
- 8. Activities such as provisions for rejuvenation for water bodies/drains in the vicinity of the project, Public Health Care unit, etc., to be taken up under CER should be detailed out in physical terms and included as part of EMP.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.23 EIA: Bengaluru Signature Business Park (BSBP) Project at Sy.Nos.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6(part), 7(part), 8(part), 9(part), 75(part), 42(part), 35(part), 36(part), 1 (part), 3(part) etc.. Buvanahalli Village, Udayagiri, Doddasanni and Anneshwara Villages, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Karnataka State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (KSIIDC) – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/57048/2018 (SEIAA 170 CON 2018)

The proposal was considerd in 323rd SEAC meeting and the Proponent remained absent and earlier to it the Committee had deferred the proposal in 254th SEAC meeting informing,

"This project is appraised during the meeting 219th meeting held on 27-3-2019 and decided to issue standard TORs along with additional TORs. Accordingly ToRs was issued on 25.07.2019 and the project proponent submitted the EIA Report on 01.12.2020.

The project proponent and consultant attended the meeting during 254thSEAC meeting held on 07. 01.2020. The committee appraised the proposal considering the information provided in the statutory application Form-I, IA, Conceptual plan, EIA Report and clarification/additional information provided during the meeting.

SEAC has received objection from Brigade Hotel Ventures Limited that Sy.No.47/6 (old Sy.No.67/2) of Udayagiri Village, is owned by Mr. M.R. Jayshankar through registered sale deed. Subsequently Brigade Hotel Ventures has claimed that they have entered into an agreement with Mr. M.R Jayshankar for development of hotel cum commercial building. In response to this the proponent clarified that the proposed project area does not include the said survey number 47/6 (old Sy No 67/2) of Udayagiri Village at all. Hence the committee proceeded with the appraisal.

The Committee took note of the fact that the project area includes 13-13 acres of forest land which was originally diverted under FC Act for New International Airport at Devenahalli Taluk and has remained unutilized for the said purpose. The proponent said that this land is proposed to be maintained as social forest and as part of buffer zone. The proponent further clarified that this proposal has been approved by Government. The committee felt that the following should be submitted by the proponent:

- 1. Approval Orders of Government concerning the project.
- 2. Undertaking that issues regarding the said forest land will be suitably resolved with Forest Department as per applicable legal provisions.
- 3. Commitment towards managing solid waste, both organic and inorganic within the project area.
- 4. List of trees to be felled for developing the industrial layout to be submitted.
- 5. Possibility of creating ponds to capture surface runoff to be explored and details to be submitted."

(Jacob)

51

In the present meeting, the Committee initially sought details regarding the forest land involved in the proposed project and clearance for the same. The Proponent informed the Committee that there were few parcels of land falling inside the forest area and informed that they are yet to obtain clearance for the same. The Committee noted the clarification and after discussion decided to reject the proposal and informed the Proponent to apply a fresh application in PARIVESH 2.0 excluding the area falling inside forest land, for which the Proponent agreed.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.24 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (1-20 Acres) by Sri Rajappa S Halagi – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/256973/2022 (SEIAA 67 MIN 2022)

SL.No			Information 1	Provided by PP	
1	Name & Address of the		Sri Rajappa S Halagi		
2	2 Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Talu District (1-20 Acres)		
			LATITUDE N15° 05' 12.5" N15° 05' 10.1" N15° 05' 10.7" N15° 05' 13.1"	LONGITUDE E75° 37' 14.7" E75° 37' 15.2" E75° 37' 17.8" E75° 37' 17.3"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	and a set of the set o	
4	New/Expansion/Modifie	cation/ Renewal	New		
5	Revenue, Gomala, Priva	orest, Government tte / Patta, Other]	Patta		
6	Area in Acres		1-20 Acres	·····	
7	Annual Production (Me Annum	, 	26,316 Tonnes/annum(including waste)		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro		Rs. 1.83 Crores (Rs.183	Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of Ton		2,97,228 Tonnes (includ	ing waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per /	Annum-Cu.m/Ton	25,000 Tonnes/annum (e	excluding waste)	
11	CER Activities:				
	Year		CER		
	1 st Providing Solar	Power Panels to com	mon public places		
	Strengthening of	approach road.	te nursery plants at Chil	-	
	3 rd Cleaning out and	deepening of Belha	ti Pond and Devehal pond	1	
	 4th Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder 5th Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road with drainages 				
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 21.54 lakhs (Cap	ital Cost) & Rs. 6.26 lakh	s (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	12.06.2020		<u></u>	
14	Quarry plan 28.01.2022				
	Cluster certificate	15.11.2024			
	Notification	19.01.2022			
17	Revenue	03.08.2020			

52

Jacon

The Committee initially sought clarification regarding the proposed activity in the default ESZ of Kappathgudda WLS. The Proponent informed the Committee that, as per Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, the Hon'ble SC had directed the following,

"44....(b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider bufferzone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park orwildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall bemaintained.

...(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which the proposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in the order passed by this Court on 4^{th} December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall beimplemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

With reference to the Hon'ble SC directions, Proponent in the present case informed that MoEF&CC has issued draft notification on 30.09.2024, wherein it is informed that the Eco-Sensitive Zone around the Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary extends from 1 km to 4.30 km and the default 10 km buffer zone as ESZ do not apply to the current project area as the draft notification had already been published by MoEF&CC on 30.09.2024 and as per the co-ordinates provided in the draft ESZ notification of Kappathgudda WLS, the proposed project area is at a nearest distance of 3.64 Km out side ESZ of Kappathagudda WLS and at a distance of 4.48 km from Kappathagudda WLS. Further, the Proponent requested the Committee to consider the proposal in similar grounds of M/S. MARWA MINING COMPANY with file number SEIAA 655 MIN 2021 for grant of EC. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project with a condition to abide by the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG letter dated 15.01.2025, building stone operation had been carried is in accordance with Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR and as per which total 1,365 tonnes of building stone materials was excavated from the quarry and corresponding royalty of Rs. 55,000 has been paid. The Proponent further informed that the mineral obtained during leveling of site, were under the provisions of Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR wherein, minor mineral remains, after self consumption for bonafide usage by the land owner from his land and if the land owner intends to sell or dispose excavated minor mineral, they shall pay an advance royalty, additional payment, contribution to DMF fund with valid mineral dispatch permits which shall not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent and appraised the project.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that they had removed top soil to check the availability of mineral and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and informed that the project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent as per KML and appraised the project.

Ŧ.

Jaco 12/

53

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 2-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 260 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 2,97,228 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 26,316 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 7. EC is subject to the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.25 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, GadagDistrict (5-00 Acres) by M/s. V. R. Ballari and Company – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/242141/2021 (SEIAA 653 MIN 2021)

<u>SLNo</u>	Particulars	Information Provided by PP		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. V. R. Ballari and Company		
2	Name & Location of the Project		Project at Sy.No.106/1 of e, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag	
		Latitude Longitude		
		N 15º 05' 27.1"	E 75º 35' 49.9"	
		N 15º 05' 23.6"	E 75º 35' 50.4"	
		N 15º 05' 26.1"	E 75º 35' 56.8"	
		N 15º 05' 26.4"	E 75º 35' 56.7"	
		N 15º 05' 29.3"	E 75º 35' 53.9"	



3	Туре О	of Mineral	· · · ·	Building Stone Quarry
4		xpansion/Modifi	cation/ Renewal	New
5		-	rest, Government ate / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in	Acres		5-00 Acres
7	Annual Per An	•	etric Ton / Cum)	1,89,474 Tonnes/annum(including waste)
8	Project	t Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 1.42 Crores (Rs.142 Lakhs)
9	Proved Ton	Quantity of mir	ne/ Quarry- Cu.m /	20,39,318 Tonnes (including waste)
10	Permit	ted Quantity Per	Annum-Cu.m/Ton	1,80,000Tonnes/annum (excluding waste)
11	CER A	Activities:		
	Year	Corporate Envir	onmental Responsib	ility (CER)
	1*	Solar Power Par	iels in GLPS school at	t Chikkasavanoor village
	2 nd	Rain water harv	esting pits nearby G	LPS school at Chikkasavanoor village
	3 rd Avenue plantation either side of the approach road near Quarry site & Repa With drainages			e approach road near Quarry site & Repair of road
	4 th	Conducting E-w	aste drive campaign	s in the nearby localities
	5 th	Scientific suppo	rt and awareness to) local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder
12	EMP	Budget	Rs. 28.01 lakhs (Ca	pital Cost) & Rs. 13.84 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC 20.08.202		20.08.2021	
14	Quarry plan 1:		15.01.2021	
15	Cluster certificate		20.11.2024	
16	Notifi	cation	02.11.2021	
17	Reven	ue	02.08.2021	

The Committee initially sought clarification regarding the proposed activity in the default ESZ of Kappathgudda WLS. The Proponent informed the Committee that, as per Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, the Hon'ble SC had directed the following,

"44. ...(b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider bufferzone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park orwildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained.

...(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which theproposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in theorder passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in thecase of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall beimplemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

With reference to the Hon'ble SC directions, Proponent in the present case informed that MoEF&CC has issued draft notification on 30.09.2024, wherein it is informed that the Eco-Sensitive Zone around the Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary extends from 1 km to 4.30 km and the default 10 km buffer zone as ESZ do not apply to the current project area as the draft notification had already been published by MoEF&CC on 30.09.2024 and as per the co-ordinates provided in the draft ESZ

(secon)

notification of Kappathgudda WLS, the proposed project area is at a nearest distance of 2.60 Km out side ESZ of Kappathagudda WLS and at a distance of 4.89 km from Kappathagudda WLS. Further, the Proponent requested the Committee to consider the proposal in similar grounds of M/S. MARWA MINING COMPANY with file number SEIAA 655 MIN 2021 for grant of EC. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project with a condition to abide by the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG letter dated 15.01.2025, building stone operation had been carried is in accordance with Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR and as per which total 23,010 tonnes of building stone materials was excavated from the quarry and corresponding royalty of Rs. 4,72,500 has been paid. The Proponent further informed that the mineral obtained during leveling of site, were under the provisions of Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR wherein, minor mineral remains, after self consumption for bonafide usage by the land owner from his land and if the land owner intends to sell or dispose excavated minor mineral, they shall pay an advance royalty, additional payment, contribution to DMF fund with valid mineral dispatch permits which shall not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 9-12 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 410 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 20,39,318 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,89,474 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

(pusts

- 7.EC is subject to the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.
- 324.1.26 Building Stone Quarry Project at Parasapur Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (2-.08 Acres) by M/s. Adishakthi Stone Crusher – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/252932/2022 (SEIAA 29 MIN 2022)

			<u> </u>		formation	Provided by PP	
SL.No		Particula		Information Provided by PP M/s. Adishakthi Stone Crusher			
1			rojects Proponent	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.78/2			
2	Name & L	ocation of the	Project	Building Sto	one Quarr	y Project at Sy.No. 18/2 of	
1				Parasapur Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag			
I I				District (2-0	.8 Acres)		
				Latin	ude	Longitude	
				N 159 12	36.2"	E 75º 32' 01.1"	
				N 15º 12	(33.4"	E 759 32' 00.1"	
				N 15º 12	33.2"	E 75º 32' 03.6"	
				N 15º 12		E 75º 32' 03.9"	
3	Type Of M	lineral	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Building St		y	
4	New/Expa	nsion/Modifica	tion/ Renewal	New			
5	Type of			Patta			
	Revenue, Gomala, Private / Patta, Other]						
6		Area in Acres			2-0.8 Acres		
7	Annual Pr	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per			ines/annun	n(including waste)	
	Annum	<u> </u>			(P1	161-11-2	
8		ost (Rs. In Cror		Rs. 1.15 Cr			
9	Proved Q Ton	uantity of mine	e/ Quarry- Cu.m /	3,88,357 T	onnes (inc	luding waste)	
10		Quantity Per	Annum - Cu.m /	76.118 Tor	nes/annur	n (excluding waste)	
10	Ton	Quality 101		,			
11	CER Acti	vities:					
	Year		ironmental Respons	ibility (CER)			
	1#	Providing sola	r power panels to co	ommon publi	c places		
	2 nd	The propone	nt proposes to di	stribute nur	sery plant	ts at Parasapur Village &	
		Strengthening	of approach road				
	3rd	Conducting E-	waste drive campaig	ins in the ne	arby localit	ies	
	40		port and awarene	ss to local fi	armers to	increase yield of crop and	
		fodder			<u> </u>		
	5 th Health camp in nearby communit			y places	b Do 0 72	lakha (Recurring cost)	
12				ipital Cost) o	<u>c rs. 7.23</u>	lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC 01.12.2021						
14	Quarry p		<u>18.01.2022</u> 12.11.2024				
15	Cluster ce		04.01.2022				
16	Notificat						
17	Revenue 15.11.2021						

(sech)

The Committee initially sought clarification regarding the proposed activity in the default ESZ of Kappathgudda WLS. The Proponent informed the Committee that, as per Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, the Hon'ble SC had directed the following,

"44. ...(b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider bufferzone beyond one kilometre is proposed under anystatutory instrument for a particular national park orwildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained.

...(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which theproposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in theorder passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall beimplemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

With reference to the Hon'ble SC directions, Proponent in the present case informed that MoEF&CC has issued draft notification on 30.09.2024, wherein it is informed that the Eco-Sensitive Zone around the Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary extends from 1 km to 4.30 km and the default 10km buffer zone as ESZ do not apply to the current project area as the draft notification had already been published by MoEF&CC on 30.09.2024 and as per the co-ordinates provided in the draft ESZ notification of Kappathgudda WLS, the proposed project area is at a nearest distance of 219 mtrs out side ESZ of Kappathagudda WLS and at a distance of 1.33 km from Kappathagudda WLS. Further, the Proponent requested the Committee to consider the proposal in similar grounds of M/s. MARWA MINING COMPANY with file number SEIAA 655 MIN 2021 for grant of EC. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project with a condition to abide by the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed that the applied area is a fresh land and no mining has been carried out by them and informed that the project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent as per KML and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 5 leases in radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which 2 leases are exempted as ECs were issued prior to 15.01.2016 and total area of remaning leases including the applied lease is 12-13.08 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 110 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

H.

(rest)

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,88,357 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 77,671 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 7. EC is subject to the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.27 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (3-20 Acres) by M/s. Vijayalaxmi Stone Crusher, Prop: Sri. B.H. Kalał – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/258598/2022 (SEIAA 106 MIN 2022)

SI.No	Particulars	Information Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s.Vijayalaxmi Stone Crusher, Prop: Sri. B.H. Kalal
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarr Project at Sy.No.98/2 of Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (3-20 Acres) LATITUDE LONGITUDE N15" 05' 10.8" E75" 36' 29.0" N15" 05' 09.9" E75" 36' 29.2" N15" 05' 09.2" E75" 36' 29.8" N13" 05' 06.2" E75" 36' 30.3" N13" 05' 06.0" E75" 36' 26.8" N15" 05' 07.0" E75" 36' 26.6" N15" 05' 10.9" E75" 36' 25.6"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomala, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta
6	Area in Acres	3-20 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	1,57,895Tonnes/annum(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.34 Crores (Rs.134 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	11,33,918 Tonnes (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m /	1,50,000 Tonnes/annum (excluding waste)

Vani

	Ton					
11	CER A	CER Activities:				
	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)				
	1 sc	Providing sol	ar power panels to common public places			
	2nd	Conducting	E-waste drive campaigns in Chikkasavanoor village			
	3rd	Cleaning out (NE)	and deepening of Belhatti Pond - 2.91 Kms (E), Devihal pond - 3.40 Kms			
	4th	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder				
	5th Health camp in nearby community places					
_12	EMP E	Budget	Rs. 41.28 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 13.67 lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest	NOC	11.01.2022			
14	Quarry	/ plan	03.02.2022			
15	Cluster	certificate	21.11.2024			
16	Notification		27.01.2022			
17	Reven	ue	27.10.2021			

The Committee initially sought clarification regarding the proposed activity in the default ESZ of Kappathgudda WLS. The Proponent informed the Committee that, as per Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, the Hon'ble SC had directed the following,

"44. ...(b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider bufferzone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park orwildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ coveringthe area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained.

...(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which theproposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in theorder passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall beimplemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

With reference to the Hon'ble SC directions, Proponent in the present case informed that MoEF&CC has issued draft notification on 30.09.2024, wherein it is informed that the Eco-Sensitive Zone around the Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary extends from 1 km to 4.30 km and the default 10km buffer zone as ESZ do not apply to the current project area as the draft notification had already been published by MoEF&CC on 30.09.2024 and as per the co-ordinates provided in the draft ESZ notification of Kappathgudda WLS, the proposed project area is at a nearest distance of 3.17 Km out side ESZ of Kappathagudda WLS and at a distance of 4.93 km from Kappathagudda WLS. Further, the Proponent requested the Committee to consider the proposal in similar grounds of M/s. MARWA MINING COMPANY with file number SEIAA 655 MIN 2021 for grant of EC. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project with a condition to abide by the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed that the applied area is a fresh land and no mining has been carried out by them and informed that the project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent as per KML and appraised the project.

Versty

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 3-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 620 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 11,33,918 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 8 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,57,895 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 7. EC is subject to the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.28 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkasavnoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Ganesh Y Bankapur- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/244604/2021 (SEIAA 665 MIN 2021)

SI.No	Particulars	Information Pr	rovided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Ganesh Y Bankapur	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Pr Chikkasavanoor Village, District (1-00 Acre)	
		Latitude	Longitude
		N 15° 05' 10,8"	E 75° 37' 15.1"
		N 15° 05' 12.4"	E 75* 37* 14.8**
		N 15° 05' 12.1"	E 75* 37' 12.0"
		N 15" 05' 10.5"	E 75° 37' 2.4"

3	Type Of N	Aineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4		nsion/Modification/ Renewal			
5					
		Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Ac	cres	1-00 Acre		
7	Annual Pr Per Annur	roduction (Metric Ton/Cum) n	5,263 Tones/ Annum (including waste)		
8	Project Co	ost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs.1.00 Crores (Rs. 100 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Cu.m/Ton		2,39,826 Tones (including waste)		
10	Permitted Cu.m/Ton		Per Annum- 5,000 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)		
11	CER Activ	vities:	A		
	Year	Corporate Environmental Resp	te Environmental Responsibility (CER)		
	1*	Providing solar power panels t	o common public places		
		Scientific support and awaren fodder	ess to local farmers to increase yield of crop and		
	3"	Rain water harvesting pits nea	rby school		
		Avenue plantation either side of road With drainages	of the approach road near Quarry site & Repair		
	5 th	Health camp in nearby commu	inity places		
12	EMP Budg	get Rs. 10.61 lakhs (C	Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.81 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NO	C 15.10.2015	15.10.2015		
14	Quarry pla				
15	Cluster certificate 29.11.2024				
16	Revenue	19.10.2015			
17	Notificatio	on 10.12.2018			

The proposal was earlier considered in 299thSEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the proposal informing the following,

"The Committee initially noted the complaint received through email (govindsadvocates@gmail.com) on 20th June 2023 for the present proposal regarding the quarry site situated in close proximity to Kappatgudda WLS.

The Committee noted that as per the records submitted by the Proponent, the project site is located at a distance of 3.6 KM from the boundary of Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary and ESZ has not been notified as yet.

The Proponent submitted the Hon'ble HC Orders in WP 15528/2021 dated 06.04.2023 directing SEIAA the following,

"On Instructions, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5 submits before this Court that the respondent No.5 would decide the application of the petitioner dated 04.04.2019 within a stipulated period fixed by this Court. Accordingly, accepting his submission as undertaking to this Court, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 5 to decide the application of the petitioner dated 04.04.2019. Needless to state that, such decision shall be on the merits of the application and particularly in view of the latest judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD**, **IN RE VS. UNION OF INDLA** reported in 2020 (10) SCC 544 as expeditiously as possible and not later that eight weeks from the receipt of the copy of this court. With the above observation, petition is disposed of."

taut /

62

As per the Orders of Hon'ble HC Orders in WP 15528/2021 dated 06.04.2023, the Committee informed the Proponent to submit applicability of latest Orders of the Hon'ble SC in the case of T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD, IN RE VS. UNION OF INDIA regarding the applied project. The Proponent requested the Committee for some more time to provide clarification for the applicability of the latest Orders of the Hon'ble SC in the case of T.N GODAVARMAN THIRUMULPAD, IN RE VS. UNION OF INDIA for the said project"

In the present meeting, the Committee initially sought clarification regarding the proposed activity in the default ESZ of Kappathgudda WLS. The Proponent informed the Committee that, as per Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, the Hon'ble SC had directed the following,

"44. ...(b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider bufferzone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park orwildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained.

...(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which theproposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in theorder passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in thecase of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall beimplemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

With reference to the Hon'ble SC directions, Proponent in the present case informed that MoEF&CC has issued draft notification on 30.09.2024, wherein it is informed that the Eco-Sensitive Zone around the Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary extends from 1 km to 4.30 km and the default 10km buffer zone as ESZ do not apply to the current project area as the draft notification had already been published by MoEF&CC on 30.09.2024 and as per the co-ordinates provided in the draft ESZ notification of Kappathgudda WLS, the proposed project area is at a nearest distance of 3.62 Km out side ESZ of Kappathagudda WLS and at a distance of 4.5 km from Kappathagudda WLS. Further, the Proponent requested the Committee to consider the proposal in similar grounds of M/S. MARWA MINING COMPANY with file number SEIAA 655 MIN 2021 for grant of EC. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project with a condition to abide by the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG letter dated 15.01.2025, building stone operation had been carried is in accordance with Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR and as per which total 1,716 tonnes of building stone materials was excavated from the quarry and corresponding royalty of Rs. 61,500 has been paid. The Proponent further informed that the mineral obtained during leveling of site, were under the provisions of Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR wherein, minor mineral remains, after self consumption for bonafide usage by the land owner from his land and if the land owner intends to sell or dispose excavated minor mineral, they shall pay an advance royalty, additional payment, contribution to DMF fund with valid mineral dispatch permits

which shall not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 1-00 Acre and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 353 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 2,39,826 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be coterminous with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,263 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 7. EC is subject to the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.29 Building Stone Quarry Project at Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (6-00 Acres) by Sri S. R. Ballari – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/220582/2021 (SEIAA 320 MIN 2021)

SL.No	Particulars	Information Provided by PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri S. R. Ballari	
2		Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.106/2 of Chikkasavanoor Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (6-00 Acres)	



Jært

			····	r =, ////	
			<u> </u>	Longitude	
			N 15º 05' 21.9"	E 75º 35' 58.5"	
			N 15º 05' 21.2"	E 75º 35' 52.4"	
			N 15º 05' 17.8"	E 75º 35' 54.0"	
			N 15º 05' 15.6"	E 75º 35' 56.1"	
			N 15º 05' 15.4"	E 75º 35' 58.1"	
3	Type Of Mir	neral	Building Stone Quarry	/	
4	New/Expans	ion/Modification/ Renewal	l New		
5	Type of	Land [Forest, Governm	ent Patta		
	Revenue, Go	omala, Private / Patta, Othe	r]		
6	Area in Acre		6-00 Acres		
7	Annual Pro	fuction (Metric Ton / Cu	m) 2,52,632 Tonnes/annu	m(including waste)	
	Per Annum				
8	Project Cost	(Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.70 Crores (Rs.17	Rs. 1.70 Crores (Rs.170 Lakhs)	
9		ntity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.		24,89,124 Tonnes (including waste)	
	Ton			<u> </u>	
10	Permitted O	uantity Per Annum - Cu.	m / 2,40,000 Tonnes/annu	m (excluding waste)	
	Ton	5		/	
11	CER Activi	ties:			
	Year	Corporate Environmental	Responsibility (CER)		
	1*	Providing solar power pa	nels to common public plac		
	2nd	Enhancing ground water	through construction of che	eck dams	
	3"	Rain water harvesting pit			
	4 th		campaigns in the nearby k		
	5*		s to distribute nursery p	lants at Chikkasavanoor	
		Village & Strengthening o			
12	EMP Budge		(Capital Cost) & Rs. 15.18	lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC 02.02.2021		···-		
14	Quarry plan 30.06.2021				
15	Cluster certi	ficate 20.11.2024			
16	Notification	05.06.2021		<u></u> .	
17	Revenue	30.07.2020			

The proposal was earlier considered in 299th SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the proposal informing the following,

"As per the forest NOC the project site is at a distance of 6.1 km from the Kappadagudda Wildlife Sanctuary, for which the ESZ was not notified. Hence by default 10 km is the ESZ and the project site falls within the ESZ. Proponent/consultant informed about submission of application with wildlife board and provision to submit application simultaneously with NBWL & SEAC/EAC Chairman briefed the committee about guidelines of 19.12.2012 by MoEF (wildlife division) and OM dated 08.08.2019 by MoEF(IA Division) about provision to submit application simultaneously with EAC & NBWL for clearances. EC & NBWL for clearances will be processed by respective agencies on their merit and clearance of one aspect will not confer any right upon the project proponent on the other proponent to obtain the clearance from both the agencies. This provision may please be looked into for further reference."

In the present meeting, the Committee initially sought clarification regarding the proposed activity in the default ESZ of Kappathgudda WLS. The Proponent informed the Committee that, as per Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 dated 03.06.2022, the Hon'ble SC had directed the following,

(Jacoll)/

"44. ...(b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed asper law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider bufferzone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park orwildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained.

...(h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which theproposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in theorder passed by this Court on 4^{th} December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation (supra) and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall beimplemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at."

With reference to the Hon'ble SC directions, Proponent in the present case informed that MoEF&CC has issued draft notification on 30.09.2024, wherein it is informed that the Eco-Sensitive Zone around the Kappathagudda Wildlife Sanctuary extends from 1 km to 4.30 km and the default 10km buffer zone as ESZ do not apply to the current project area as the draft notification had already been published by MoEF&CC on 30.09.2024 and as per the co-ordinates provided in the draft ESZ notification of Kappathgudda WLS, the proposed project area is at a nearest distance of 2.82 km out side ESZ of Kappathagudda WLS and at a distance of 5.06 km from Kappathagudda WLS. Further, the Proponent requested the Committee to consider the proposal in similar grounds of M/s. MARWA MINING COMPANY with file number SEIAA 655 MIN 2021 for grant of EC. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project with a condition to abide by the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG letter dated 15.01.2025, building stone operation had been carried is in accordance with Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR and as per which total 1,716 tonnes of building stone materials was excavated from the quarry and corresponding royalty of Rs. 5,05,000 has been paid. The Proponent further informed that the mineral obtained during leveling of site, were under the provisions of Rule 3(A)(A)(4) of KMMCR wherein, minor mineral remains, after self consumption for bonafide usage by the land owner from his land and if the land owner intends to sell or dispose excavated minor mineral, they shall pay an advance royalty, additional payment, contribution to DMF fund with valid mineral dispatch permits which shall not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification of Proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there two leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 12-12 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 424 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

hank

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 24,89,124 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 10 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,52,632 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- EC is subject to the final out come of Hon'ble SC directions in WP 202 of 1995 and final notification of MoEF&CC regarding Kappathagudda WLS.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.
- 324.1.30 Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.244/2B of Chamakeri Village, Athani Taluk, Belagavi District (4-00 Acres) by Sri B B Jadhav – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/514091/2024 (SEIAA 04 MIN 2025 (D))

The Proponent remained absent without intimation and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the Project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in upcoming meetings.

324.1.31 Building Stone Quarry Project at Hoskote Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (1-0 Acre) (QL No.128) by Sri C. Shivappa- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/504274/2024 (SEIAA 02 MIN 2025 (D))

Sl.No	Particulars	Information Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri C. Shivappa
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.437/A1 of Hoskote Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (1-0 Acre) (QL No.128)
		14º 38' 57.60480* 76º 04' 57.93320*
		14º 38' 57.81331" 76º 05' 01.10009"
		149 38' 56.18465" 76* 05' 01.19229"
		14º 38' 56.20349" 76º 04' 58.11640"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry



Jacot /

4	New/Expansion/Modifie	cation/ Renewal	Re-Appraisal			
5	Type of Land [For Revenue, Gomal, Privat		Government			
6	Area in Acres		1-0 Acre			
7	Annual Production (Me Annum	tric Ton / Cum) Per	15,564Tonnes/annum (including waste)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cro	ores)	Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs.20 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of min	ne/ Quarry- Cu.m /	88,794Tonnes (including waste)			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Ton	Annum - Cu.m /	15,253Tonnes/annum (excluding waste)			
11	CER Activities: Propo approach road from qua	se take up 1000 No arry location to Hosak	o. of additional plantation on either side of the tote Village Road and Govt. School.			
12	EMP Budget		tal Cost) & Rs. 2.79 lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Quarry plan	02.11.2016				
14	Cluster certificate	04.12.2024				
15	Forest NoC	27.06.2015				
16	Audit Report	0912.2024				

The proposal is for appraisal / re-appraisal of the EC issued by DEIAA as per the directions of Hon'ble NGT in OA 142/2022 and MoEF&CC OM dated 28.04.2023.

The Proponent had submitted compliance to MoEF&CC OM dated 28.04.2023 and stated that the procedure as per MoEF&CC OM with SoP dated 15.01.2024 has been followed.

As there is no change in proposed production & area with reference to EC issued by DEIAA on 03.02.2017, Proponent has submitted self certified compliance to the EC conditions and has submitted DMG certified audit report till 2023-24. The Committee noted the details.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the leases including the present lease is 2-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 550 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 88,794Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 15,564Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.



Decoll'

- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.
- 324.1.32 Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy.No.184/2(P) of Devanahalli Village, Devanahalli Taluk & Bengaluru Rural District (3-00 Acres) by Smt. Manjula – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/508421/2025 (SEIAA 07 MIN 2025 (D))

The Proponent remained absent without intimation and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the Project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in upcoming meetings.

324.1.33 Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Thylagere Village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (1-20 Acres) by Sri M.N Siddalinga Devaru – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/502503/2024(SEIAA 250 MIN 2024 (D))

SI.No	Particulars		Info	ormatio	<u>n Provi</u>	ided by P	P	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri M	.N Sidd	alinga D	evaru			
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Sy.No.110 of Thylagere Village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (1-20 Acres)						
		Latitude (N) Longitude (E)						
		D M S D M S						
		13	18	16.9	77	40	19.3	1
		13	18	14.4	77	40	21.0]
		13	18	13.3	77	40	21.5	
		13	18	13.3	77	40	20.8	1
		13	18	16.1	77	40	17.5	
3	Type Of Mineral			ne Quarr	у			
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal	Re-A	ppraisal	l				
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]	Gove	mment					
6	Area in Acres	1-20	Acres					
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	75,08	8Tonne	s/annum	(inclu	ding wast	e)	
8	Project Cost (Rs, In Crores)	Rs. 1.	.11 Croi	res (Rs.1	11 Lak	hs)		-
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	4,05,	372Ton	nes (incl	uding v	waste)		
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	73,58	6Tonne	s/annum	ı (exclu	iding was	te)	
11	CER Activities:	1						

69

	Yea	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)				
	r					
	1 st	Providin	ing solar power panels to common public places to the GHPS school at Thylagere Village			
	2 nd Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodde					
	3rd 4 th	Rain water h	Rain water harvesting pits to the GHPS school at Thylagere Village			
		Conducting E-waste drive campaigns at Thylagere Village.				
	5 th	Health c	amp in the GHPS school at Thylagere Village.			
12	EMP Bu	ıdget	Rs. 19.03lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs.7.38 lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Quarry plan Cluster certificate		08.03.2024			
14			18.10.2024			
15	Forest N	юC	23.09.2015			
16	Audit Report		15.10.2024			

The proposal was earlier considered in 322nd SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the proposal informing the following,

"The Committee initially noted the complaint received through mail from <u>sonnappabhagyamma@gmail.com</u> on 10.11.2024 and sought clarification from Proponent & Consultant about Manjunatha Stone Crusher in Sy.No.110 of Thylagere.

The Proponent informed the Committee that even though their site is part of sy no 110 of Thylagere, their area is not inside any agricultural land designated for public grazing. The proposed area is more than 500m outside the Manjunath Stones Crusher site. As per the cluster sketch given by the Department of Mines and Geology M/s. Manjunath Stones Crusher is not part of our 500m cluster. Hence the project is far away from our location. The Lokayukhta Case and DC's court cases mentioned in the complaint is not pertaining to their lease. The Committee noted the details.

The Committee during appraisal noted that the co-ordinates of the proposed site area as per AQP and notification were different. Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal and informed the Proponent to get clarification from DMG regarding the same."

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted revised AQP dated 06.01.2024 with coordinates as per notification sketch. The Committee noted the details and appraised the project.

The proposal is for appraisal / re-appraisal of the EC issued by DEIAA as per the directions of Hon'ble NGT in OA 142/2022 and MoEF&CC OM dated 28.04.2023.

The Proponent had submitted compliance to MoEF&CC OM dated 28.04.2023 and stated that the procedure as per MoEF&CC OM with SoP dated 15.01.2024 has been followed.

As there is no change in proposed production & area with reference to EC issued by DEIAA on 09.08.2018, Proponent has submitted self certified compliance to the EC conditions and has submitted DMG certified audit report till 2023-24. The Committee noted the details.

As per the cluster sketch there are 16 leases in radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which 9 leases are exempted as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and 4 leases are exempted as ECs were issued prior to 15.01.2016 and total area of remaning leases including the applied lease is 5-13 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

lacom,

Considering the existing cart track road to a length of 138 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road, the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 4,05,372 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 75,088 Tonnes/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road & buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers mainly for audiometry & spirometry from the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and air pollution from the quarry area.
- 5. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by Proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

324.1.34 Surrender of EC :Proposed Residential Development at Sy.Nos.38/2A, 38/2B & 46/2 of Chikkabidarakallu Village, Dasanapura Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban Districtby M/s. Frontier Infracon – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/502139/2025 (SEIAA 117 CON 2014)

The proposal is for surrender of EC as per the provisions in MoEF&CC OM dated, 29.03.2022. The Proponent informed the Committee that earlier M/s. Frontier Infracon, GPA Holder for the Land Owner Mr.K.R Balasubramanian & Others had obtained CFE dated 28.05.2014 for residential development on a plot area of around 15,825.08 Sq.m, comprising of 525 number of Residential Units with club house with a Built up area of 79,346.24 Sq.m. They had also obtained Environment Clearance on 27.03.2015 with file number SEIAA 117 CON 2014. Further, after obtaining the NOC's from required statutory authorities the earlier developers cleared the site and commenced excavation work at site post May 2016 and post commencement, due to some unknown reason they stopped the work and had also not applied for Plan sanction renewal prior to expiry date of May 2018. Later on, due to some mis-understanding between the above parties viz., Mr.K.R.Balasubramanian & M/s.Frontier Infracon, terminated their JD & GPA vide Arbitral Tribunal Order No.: 1 5936/23-24 dated 22.02.2023. Subsequently, M/s. Brigade Enterprises Limited entered into an agreement with the landowner vide GPA dated 28.06.2024 to develop the property vis-à-vis in the existing site condition. Since the project has an Environment Clearance (EC) which is valid till March 2025, Proponent had applied for surrendering the existing EC to SEIAA and parallelly also initiate the process of applying for a fresh Environment Clearance and Consent for Establishment.



(Jacon)

Further, the Proponent in reference to MoEF&CC OM dated 29.03.2022, submitted compliances and factual report from KSPCB dated 16.10.2024 and as per the factual report, the site is partly excavated by the earlier developer M/s Frontier Infracon with two temporary sheds existing at site, for watchman and storage of materials. The Committee noted the details and after discussion decided to forward the proposal to SEIAA for surrender of earlier EC vide file number SEIAA 117 CON 2014.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Warat?