

**Minutes of 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bay's No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula on 20<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>nd</sup> December, 2021 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Sameer Pal Srow, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.**

---

*With the onset of 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 20.12.2021 it was brought to the notice of Hon'ble Chairman, SEIAA that a complaint through mail dated 02.12.2021 against M/s Vatika Ltd. has been received by the office of SEIAA, Haryana.*

*It was decided to take up the matter in the ongoing meeting on 22.12.2021.*

*All the items of 131<sup>st</sup> meeting are confirmed. The Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda were discussed and following decisions were taken:-*

**Dated: 20.12.2021**

**Item No.[1] Modification/Modernization in EC of Proposed Commercial Building "Atrium Place" in Vanijya Nikunj, Udyog Vihar, Phase V, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Aadarshini Real Estate Developers Pvt. Ltd.**

---

Earlier EC has been granted vide letter dated 03.06.2020 for construction of Building "Horizon on the Highway" in Vanijya Nikunj, UdyogVihar, Phase V, Gurugram, Haryana on conceptual scheme.

The building plans have been approved by HSIIDC vide Memo No. HSIIDC/IPD/932-A, Vol1/2020/9785 dated 28.09.2020.

There are some changes mentioned in the approved building plans & those mentioned in the accorded "EC".

The case was considered in the 129<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 14.10.2021; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to send the request letter to SEAC for examination & simultaneously asked RO, HSPCB of concerned region for "Spot Inspection".

The case was taken up in 227<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 30/11/2021. The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 2,00,000 vide DD. No. 000268 dated 30.11.2021 received on 02.12.2021 in favour of MS, SEIAA.

SEAC deliberated that PP submitted request for change in name of the project from Commercial Building "Horizon on the highway" to Commercial Building "Atrium Place" and accepted the request and decided to forward to SEIAA for name change of the project.

SEAC was of the unanimous view that this case for granting Modification/amendment (Modernization) in earlier Environmental Clearance no. SEIAA/HR/2020/220 dated 03.06.2020 under EIA Notification dated 14.9.2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India should be recommended to the SEIAA whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as already recommended vide EC letter dated 03.06.2020.

*The recommendations of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and it was observed that as per the current guidelines issued vide letter F.No 22-37/2018-IA.III dated 19.04.2021 by MOEF & CC, GOI, all proposals are to be received online.*

*After due deliberations; Authority deem it appropriate to ask PP to apply online through PARIVESH Web Portal alongwith requisite documents so that necessary action can be taken.*

**Item No.[2] Amendment in EC for Group Housing Colony located at Village Mewaka, Sector-91, Gurugram, Haryana by M/S Jubilant Software Services Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The earlier recommendations of SEAC were considered in 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 08.10.2021; the Authority raised the observations regarding:

- i) The letter from MoEF& CC and shown/mentioned acceptance letter of SEIAA, Haryana, which PP is claiming “Deemed EC” or the other “EC” which was granted by SEIAA, Haryana vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2014/1611 dated 17/12/2014 for the built-up area 1,12843.00 Sqm.
- ii) SEIAA has raised its observations during its 127<sup>th</sup> meeting (d, e & f) regarding the Population & Pollution Load. The replies are not satisfactory. And there is definite increase in “Population” leading to increase in “Pollution Load” due to which extensive studies should be undertaken to find out the feasibility of such expansion or execution of project.

After due deliberations, Authority stated that:

The case does not seem to be of simply an Amendment. There are change in plans & certain deviations from the already Granted EC. Further, Authority found during the course of examination, there is clear mismatch in the letter No. SEIAA/HR/2014/1611 dated 17.12.2014 submitted by the Project Proponent and the available office record and in order to arrive at clarity, a three member Committee consisting of Sh. Vinay Gautam, Joint Director (Technical), Dr.Priya (SSA, SEIAA) and Sh. Sandeep Kumar (Assistant, SEIAA) was

constituted to establish the correctness and authenticity of the documents stated to be issued under the stamp of Member Secretary, SEIAA and to go through the “Half-yearly Compliance Reports” submitted by the Project proponent to verify the facts & submit the report within 7 days after issuance of Minutes.

Simultaneously, case is referred back to SEAC with the directions that case to be re-examined under the light of above mentioned observations to find out that the case may not pertain to “Violation” instead of “Amendment” and to verify the CTE/CTO

The case was taken up in 227<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 30.11.2021 and PP submitted replies to the raised observations of SEIAA and on the basis of those replies & earlier recommendations, SEAC has again forwarded the cases to SEIAA.

The case was taken up in 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; it was deliberated that a Committee (as stated above) has been constituted in 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting to look into the documents pertaining to said case.

*The Committee has submitted its report vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2021/1225 dated 24.11.2021 and was placed in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA. Authority took the cognizance of the said report and after due deliberations the Authority decided to send the report of the Committee to SEAC with the direction to look into the findings/facts transpired and detailed in the above said report. The SEAC will go through the report thoroughly in conjunction with the available record and submit its comments within 3 days of publishing of the MoM of 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting.*

*The case has been deferred in view of above asked comments of SEAC.*

**Item No.[3] EC for proposed “Affordable Group Housing Colony” at Village Badha, Sector 86, Gurugram, Haryana on land measuring 6.40 acres by S.M. Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. and others in collaboration with GLS infraprojects Pvt. Ltd..**

---

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/226684/2021 dated 28.08.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 227<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 30.11.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance with Gold Rating**.

The proposed project is Affordable Group Housing colony, total land area for proposed affordable group housing is 6.40 Acres /25,899.840 Sq.mt and Built up area is 77,530.19 m<sup>2</sup> as mentioned under the conceptual plan given on page No. 72.

The PP has submitted scrutiny fee amounting to Rs. 2,00,000 vide DD No. 498217 dated 25.10.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant EC to the Project.*

**Item No.[4] ToR for Proposed Project of Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 160 M.T per day at Plot no. 299, Sector 30A, Phase II, Industrial Estate Manakpur, Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Salasar Industries.**

---

The case was again taken up in 227<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 30.11.2021 and PP submitted written request for deferment of the case.

The committee deliberated the project in view of MoEF&CC guidelines j-11 013/5/2009-IA-II (part) dated 30.10.2012 and 18.11.2020. The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

*“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.*

Therefore, SEAC unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance of the MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to request Member Secretary, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB, Yamunanagar to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible.*

**Item No.[5] EC for “Proposed Affordable Goup Housing Colony” at Village Badha and Sikanderpur Badha, Sector 85, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Conmin Projects India Private Limited.**

---

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/219795/2021 on dated 12.01.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 219th meeting of SEAC held on 13.08.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 11.08.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 222<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 12.10.2021 but PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC. Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 227<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 30.11.2021 and PP submitted written request for withdrawal of the case in view of the change in planning and PP had submitted to DTCP for migration of License no. 26 of 2021 from Affordable group housing to Affordable Plotted colony under DDJY scheme. The committee deliberated the request of PP and application to DTCP and decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal the case in view of their application for migration of license.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to request Member Secretary, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB of the concerned area to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report at the earliest possible.*

**Item No.[6] EC for Proposed Manufacturing Unit of Methylcobalamin (b12) 100 kg/month and by-product Sodium Iodide 2 kg/month at Plot No. – 17 Moja Patan Arya Nagar, Distt.-Hisar, Haryana by M/s Nutriley Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The proposed project is for EC for Proposed Manufacturing Unit of Methyl cobalamin (b12) 100 kg/month and by-product Sodium Iodide 2 kg/month.

The case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on dated 18.11.2021 and the Committee unanimously recommended the said case under category 5(f) of EIA notification dtd. 14/09/2006 to grant “EC” with certain specific & general stipulations.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to accord “EC” to the said project.*

**Item No.[7] EC for proposed mining of Sand (Minor Mineral) at Jairampur Block YNR/B-6 (ML area-33.85 Ha.) Village-Jairampur Jagiri, Tehsil-Jagadhari, District-Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Balaji Infra.**

---

The case pertains to Sand mining (Minor minerals). ToR was approved in 136<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 09.07.2016.

EIA/EMP Report was submitted on 08.05.2018 & lastly the case was considered in 193<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 23.12.2019 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC for one year under category B1, 1(a) as per EIA Notification, 2006.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 123<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 13.03.2020 and reviewed the facts and record of the project, the Authority observed that the language of recommendation & Appraisal of SEAC is not very clear whether the “EC” should be accorded or wait for one year to get the “Replenishment Studies” submitted.

The Authority, further observed that the case was initially taken in 172<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03/07/2018 and still there is no clear recommendation regarding grant of “EC”, therefore, after detailed deliberations and discussions in the matter, the Authority decided to sought clarification from SEAC (Haryana) on the their recommendations and also decided to defer this case till the receipt of reply from SEAC.

The Authority asked PP to submit an affidavit stating that:

1. No mining activity is being carried out at the stated block & further mining will not give rise to cluster mining;
2. No intention to expand the mining activity beyond the stated/ approved area;
3. Sprinkler would be deployed to curb fugitive emission by using treated water;
4. Water trough would be provided for incoming/ outgoing water to wash the wheels;

5. No natural water course/ water body would be obstructed due to any mining activity or due to the dumping of the material and will not stack any mineral outside the concession area granted on mining contract without obtaining a valid mineral dealer license;
6. Total mineral excavated & stacked will not exceed 2 times of the average monthly production as per approved mining plan at any point of time;
7. No mining operations would be carried out in any reserved/protected forest or any area prohibited by any law force in India or by any authority without obtaining prior permission;
8. No mining operation in urbanizable zone of area;
9. No mining activity would be carried out in the river bed to a distance of 5 times of the span of bridge on up-stream side & 10 times the span on down-stream side;
10. Un-mined block of 50 meters width to be maintained after every block of 1000 meters over which mining is undertaken;
11. Maximum depth of mining will not exceed 3 meters from the un-mined bed level at any point in time;
12. Mining would be restricted within the central 3/4th width of river/rivulet;
13. No mining would be carried out outside the sanctioned block;
14. Mining would be carried out keeping a safety margin of 2 meters above ground water table.

The case again was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021; Authority found out complaint has been received by office of SEIAA. Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to look into the nature of complaint, if need be can send a team to get the spot inspection. Authority asked SEAC to apprise SEIAA about the future developments.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 227th meeting of SEAC held on 30.12.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA as below:-

1. PP has submitted the reply of complaint raised by Smt. Asma Parveen Khan against the company (M/s BalaJi Infra) on dated 15.08.2020.
2. PP has also submitted copy of Final Report written under section 173 Cr.P.C in the Court of Sh. SHER SINGH JMIC dated 14.11.2021 stating that the allegation of Smt. Asma Parveen Khan was not true and no evidence has been found against the complaint.
3. Further the PP has also submitted the revised partnership deed wherein M/s Balaji Infra Company has removed the name of Smt. Asma Parveen Khan from the deed.

4. The PP submitted the DD of Rs. 1.5 lakh as scrutiny fees in favour of MS, SEIAA

SEAC has recommended to SEIAA that the complaint/FIR has been filed and again submitted to SEIAA as recommended by SEAC vide MOM of its 193<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 23.12.2019.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to examine the proposal in light of recent “District Survey Report” and the validity of approved mining plan.*

**Item No.[8] EC for Establishment of proposed 18MW Cogeneration power plant at village SheikhpuraJagir, Tehsil & District Karnal Haryana by M/s Karnal Co-Operative Sugar Mill Ltd.**

---

The case is for obtaining EC under category 1(d) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The case was considered in 217<sup>th</sup>, 220<sup>th</sup>, 221<sup>st</sup> & 223<sup>rd</sup> & 224<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 17.07.2021, 30.08.2021, 21.10.2021 & 29.10.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 130th meeting of SEIAA held on 15.11.2021; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC for clear cut recommendations & appraisal of the case.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 227th meeting of SEAC held on 30.12.2021. PP submitted letter of request dated 30.11.2021 stating that:-

- Karnal Co-op Sugar Mills is a Cooperative society of Haryana Govt. comprising the local cane growing farmers as a member of society.
- Karnal Sugar Mills is indirectly controlled by Haryana Govt. Sugar Mills has invested a good amount in procurement of number of equipments of this power project. EC clearance is awaited, power project is not operative. Financial loss is expected to sugar Mill due to delay in installation and commissioning of power project and power export. This will ultimately affect interest the cane growing farmers.
- Due to incompleteness of the power project of 18 MW Karnal sugar Mills is not able to export the power to the HVPNL. Hence no power export earning is started.
- As all were aware that this is a peak crushing season and by delaying this project there is a huge loss of farmer's economy because this federation is for the farmer's benefits and the farmer's livelihood is depend on this farmers based sugar mill industry.

The case was again taken-up in 227<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and SEAC has reiterated the previous decision submitted to SEIAA in view of similar facts & findings conveyed vide MoM of 224<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting.



*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; the Authority discussed the case at length and in view of the observations conveyed by a member of SEAC through his mail dated 02.12.2021, the case is being referred back with the instructions that the case may be examined thoroughly by SEAC and will submit recommendations accordingly.*

**Item No.[9] EC for Expansion of “Medicity Project Institute of Integrated Medical Science and Holistic Therapies in Sector 38, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Global Health Private Limited.**

---

The Proposed project is for EC for Expansion of “Medicity Project Institute of Integrated Medical Science and Holistic Therapies in Sector 38, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Global Health Private Limited

The Auto TOR was granted by SEIAA vide letter dated 08.07.2021. The case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021 and certain observations were raised & passed on to the proponent.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 228th meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee.

PP has applied for expansion in EC to construct/develop Medical Education, Service Apartments and Residential & Accommodation on the basis of conceptual plan.

Project has already obtained Environmental Clearance, vide letter no. 21-262/2006-IA.III dated 11.09.2006 for the total plot area of 1,74,015 m<sup>2</sup> (43 Acres) for the development of Hospital & Medical Education, Support area, Guest Houses and Residential & Accommodation.

At present Hospital & support area have already been constructed at the site with built-up area of 2,50,421m<sup>2</sup>

PP has submitted that accorded EC is for 1500 beds, but PP runs the hospital at 1200 bed and do not plan to increase the number of beds from 1200 to 1500.

SEAC has appraised & recommended the case to SEIAA with “Gold ratings”.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to accord “EC” to the said project.*

**Item No.[10] ToR for Proposed Logistic Part at 23/5, Mileston, Delhi-Mathura Road, Ballabhgarh, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Apeejay Global Industrial And Logistic Park.**

---

The case was finally taken up in 228<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 04.12.2021. The said project is “Industrial project” which got exemption upto 1,50,000 square meters under MoEF&CC notification number 3252(E) dated 22/12/2014 & is associated with activity of industrial unit under the same premises, as per MoM of SEAC.

*PP has submitted that Apeejay Global Industrial and Logistic Park Apeejay Technopark proposing Logistic Park in addition to existing industrial shed. Earlier the built-up area of this industrial shed was less than 1,50,000 m<sup>2</sup>, which did not attract EIA notification.*

Now after proposed expansion, the built-up area is more than 1,50,000 m<sup>2</sup> which needs “EC”.

The committee has recommended the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021; the Authority deliberated on the objections/observations conveyed to SEIAA through the mail dated 02.12.2021 and the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the direction that a team comprising of Mr. A.K.Mehta (Member SEAC) as Co-ordinator, Mr. Hitender Singh, Member SEAC and RO, HSPCB, Faridabad will visit the site, check all the available record and submit its report at the earliest possible.*

**Item No.[11] ToR for proposed Amipur Unit (Minor Mineral) Sand Mining Project – 36,00,000MT, area 99.66 ha, near Village Amipur, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s LOG29 Cargo Movers Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide proposal no. SIA/HR/MIN/68013/2021 on 01.12.2021 for approval of ToR under category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000 vide DD No. 508311 dated 10.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.*

**Item No.[12] ToR for proposed Mahawatpur unit (Minor Mineral) sand Mining Project–18,00,000MT, area 53.76 ha, near Village Mahawatpur, Faridabad,Haryana by M/s Unicore Recycling Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/68078/2021 on 01.12.2021 for approval of ToR under category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000 vide DD No. 508310 dated 10.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.*

**Item No.[13] ToR for proposed Makanpur Unit (Minor Mineral) sand Mining Project – 24,00,000 MT area 66.32 ha. near Village Makhanpur, Faridabad, Haryana by M/S Dev & Div Solutions pvt.Ltd.**

---

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/68081/2021 on 01.12.2021 for approval of ToR under category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000 vide DD No. 508310 dated 10.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.*

**Item No.[14] ToR for proposed Dadsia Kiranwali Unit (Minor Mineral) sand Mining Project –36,00,000 MT area 94.09 ha. near Village Dadsia Kiranwali, Faridabad, Haryana by M/S DEV & DIV SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD.**

---

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/68086/2021 dated 01.12.2021 for approval of ToR under category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000 vide DD No. 740653 dated 10.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.*

**Item No.[15] EC for compliance under violation category for the project Expansion of Residential Group Housing Colony (Township Residential Complex and Commercial complex) at Village Rasoi, G.T. Karnal Road, Sector 61, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s CMD Pardesi Developers Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.04.2018 received in the SEAC on 27.04.2018.

The proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 169<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 18.05.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.0.3018 respectively.

The case is for the extension of validity of ToR under violation category.

The case was considered in various SEAC meetings but PP failed to appear or communicate its concerns. Thereafter, SEAC in its 217<sup>th</sup> meeting decided to send its own team comprising of Sh. V.K. Gupta , Chairman and Sh. Hitender Kumar, Member SEAC for “Spot Inspection”.

- The report mentioned that the Consultant (Perfact Group Enviro Solutions) has conveyed through email dated 21.11.2021 that they are not the consultant of the project. Therefore, will not be able to accompany during the site visit.
- Also mentioned that present scope of work has been completed including expansion area and constructed apartments are functioned.
- The ToR under violation category with total plot area 14.149 acres was granted on 07.08.2018. But after that no response from the PP
- During the site visit, the representative of PP conveyed their willingness to apply to get the validity of ToR under violation category extended for further appraisal.

The Committee conveyed to SEIAA along with the recommendations of SEAC vide earlier MoMs regarding violation & taking action under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority decided to refer back the above said case to SEAC with the following observations:*

- a) Whether PP has applied under the Violation Window period as per Notification dated 14.03.2017.*
- b) Authority found out that one Member SEAC has sent a mail dated 02.12.2021 stating that the visit reports of sub-committee are not being circulated among the constituent members of SEAC and such cases could not be thoroughly deliberated.*
- c) Further, SEAC is being directed to examine the case in the light of recent judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7576-7577 of 2021.*

**Item No.[16] EC for Expansion of affordable group housing colony village Badshahpur, Sector 68, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Sai Aaina Farms Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The present case is for “Withdrawal of submitted proposal of EC for Expansion” dtd.15/09/2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted on dated 22.07.2019.

The case was taken up in 217<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021. The discussions were held on the MoEF Notification 18.11.2020 and the decisions of SEIAA in its meeting regarding the projects to be get inspected by the members of SEAC. As per the orders of SEIAA vide its 128th MOM dated 26.05.2021. A Committee was formed comprising of Sh. V.K.Gupta , Chairman and Sh. Hitender Kumar, Member SEAC to visit the project site & submit the report regarding the status of the project in view of the details as mentioned.

During the site visit, it was observed that the project is under construction as per area details of EC already granted and the proposed area under expansion is vacant

- The PP further conveyed that they are withdrawing their application for EC for proposed expansion area
- The PP has given the withdrawal request in form of undertaking as well (copy placed on record) mentioned that they would like to withdraw the application in view of change in planning.

SEAC has recommended to SEIAA for withdrawal of proposal for Expansion of EC of the said project.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority agreed with the recommendation of SEAC.*

**Item No.[17] EC for Revision/Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony “Sushant City” at Village Rasoi, District Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd.**

---

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 09.01.2015 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Finally, the case was taken up in 217<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021. The discussion was held on the MoEF Notification 18.11.2020 and the decision of SEIAA in its meeting regarding the projects to be get inspected by the members of SEAC. As per the orders of SEIAA vide its 128th MOM dated 26.05.2021. A Committee was formed comprising of Sh. V.K. Gupta , Chairman and Sh. Hitender Kumar, Member SEAC.

The sub-committee submitted the report of site visit and thereafter, the case was taken up in 228th meeting of SEAC.

- As per the report the Consultant (Gaurang Environmental Solutions Pvt. Ltd.) conveyed through email dated 20.11.2021 of not being the consultant of the project. Therefore, will not be able to accompany during the site visit.
- Also mentioned that during site visit, it was observed that PP has started the development works like lying of water supply, lying of sewer and construction of roads up to water bound macadam in the area applied under expansion category.
- The ToR were approved in 118<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC on 03.03.2015 and conveyed to PP vide letter dated 2052 dated 10.03.2015.
- Therefore the project of expansion of EC for the work cited in the subject falls under violation category.

SEAC has forwarded the case to SEIAA stating that the construction has been carried out without taking the prior environmental clearance and is a clear case of violation and recommended for following action:-

- i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to PP regarding non existence of valid EC in light of the violation detected by visiting team of SEIAA.*

*Authority further decided to send the case to State Government/SPCB to initiate Credible Action under various provisions of section 19 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and further asked not to issue any consent to operate and occupancy certificate till the project is granted EC for Expansion.*

**Item No.[18] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Project “Preston” at Village Shahpur Turk, Sector- 9 & 18, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.**

---

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021. The discussion was held on the MoEF Notification 18.11.2020 and the decision of SEIAA in its meeting regarding the projects to be get inspected by the members of SEAC. As per the orders of SEIAA vide its 128th MOM dated 26.05.2021. A Committee was formed comprising of Sh. V.K. Gupta, Member SEAC and Sh. Hitender Kumar, Member SEAC.

The sub-committee submitted the report of site visit and thereafter, the case was taken up in 228th meeting of SEAC.

- As per the report the Consultant (Perfact Group Enviro Solutions) conveyed through email dated 20.11.2021 that they are not the consultant of the project. Therefore, will not be able to accompany during the site visit.



- Also mentioned that during site visit, it was observed that PP has constructed 3 towers at site partly and excavation for 2 tower has been made and work is lying abandoned since years.
- The limitation period of environment clearance has been expired. Similarly, the limitation period for ToR under expansion category has also been expired\
- Therefore PP has to seek fresh environment clearance including expansion as at present only minor part area ( 3 partly constructed tower) has been constructed against the built up area 2,03,903.71 sqm as per environment clearance already granted.

SEAC has forwarded the case to SEIAA stating that the area constructed at the site is less than the area granted vide earlier EC and PP has to seek a fresh EC if to carried out further construction.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and in view of the findings of the above said visiting team Authority decided to issue a final Notice for de-listing of the case to the Project Proponent.*

**Item No.[19] Amendment in EC of Non-Agro Warehouse project on land measuring 76.8437 acres (3,10,975.59 m<sup>2</sup>) located at Village Rahaka and Rani ka Singhola , Tehsil Sohna, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Emporium Industrial Parks (India) Private Limited.**

---

The case was recommended to SEIAA by SEAC in its 209<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 30.01.2021 for grant of Amendment in Environment Clearance.

The Authority in its 127<sup>th</sup> meeting decided to refer back the case to SEAC to seek reply of certain observations along with the studies needed to determine the “Incremental Pollution Load” from the project proponent. The same should be duly recommended & appraised to SEIAA.

Again SEAC recommended the case in its 213<sup>th</sup> meeting to SEIAA; the same was considered in 128<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting & again case was deliberated in 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021 decided to refer back all these cases to SEAC to take all such cases where there is increase in “Pollution Load” under the “Expansion” category and should be thoroughly studied to ascertain whether the project can be allowed for further expansion or not; considering the incremental pollution load, vehicular activities, increase in power demand or the available public utilities.

The case was finally taken up in 228<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting & request letter of PP dated 30.11.2021 to withdraw/delist their earlier EC application vide proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/191786/2021.

SEAC has recommended the case to SEIAA to allow PP to withdraw its earlier proposal.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to request Member Secretary, HSPCB to direct RO, HSPCB, Gurugram (South) to carry out the spot inspection and submit report regarding status of construction at the earliest possible.*

**Item No.[20] Modification/Amendment in EC for construction of Commercial Complex at Village Hayatpur, Sector-84, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Bajaj Motors Limited.**

---

The Proposal is for obtaining amendment in earlier accorded Environmental Clearance issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2017/857 dated 18.12.2017 under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Authority in its 129<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14.10.2021 decided to refer back all these cases to SEAC to consider such cases where there is increase in “Pollution Load” under the “Expansion” category and should be thoroughly studied to ascertain whether the project can be allowed for further expansion or not; considering the incremental pollution load, vehicular activities, increase in power demand or the available public utilities.

The case was taken up in 228<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021 & PP has submitted the request and gave presentation that built-up area is not increasing therefore “Amendment to earlier granted EC” be allowed.

The committee has forwarded the case to SEIAA while reiterating its earlier submission vide SEAC MoM of 213<sup>th</sup> meeting.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and following decisions were taken:*

- *Authority showed its displeasure that the observations of Authority has already been conveyed in its 129<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA through the MOM that wherever*

*there is an increase in population/pollution that case would not be considered under the head “Amendment”.*

- *The proposal of amendment is not accepted as there is definite increase in pollution load and is accordingly rejected.*
- *Authority further cautioned the consultant not to overlook the directives of Authority and applicability of relevant laws in handling such cases.*
- *The observations raised by Member SEAC through his mail bears relevance propriety in applicability of factual matrix in such cases and needs to addressed by SEAC thoroughly.*

**Item No.[21] Amendment of EC of Proposed Commercial Complex Project on land area measuring 4.843 at Sector 66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Gentle Realtors Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The Proposal pertains to “Amendment” in earlier granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2018/247 dated 04.04.2018.

Authority in its 129<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14.10.2021 referred back all these cases to SEAC to take all such cases where there is increase in “Pollution Load” under the “Expansion” category and should be thoroughly studied to ascertain whether the project can be allowed for further expansion or not; considering the incremental pollution load, vehicular activities, increase in power demand or the available public utilities.

The case was again considered in 228<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting; considering the submitted reply of PP, committee decided to forward the case to SEIAA to consider the full facts submitted vide SEAC MOM of 212<sup>th</sup> Meeting.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and following decisions were taken:*

- *Authority showed its displeasure that the observations of Authority has already been conveyed in its 129<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA through the MOM that wherever there is an increase in population/pollution that case would not be considered under the head “Amendment”.*
- *The proposal of amendment is not accepted as there is definite increase in pollution load and is accordingly rejected.*
- *Authority further cautioned the consultant not to overlook the directives of Authority and applicability of relevant laws in handling such cases.*

- *The observations raised by Member SEAC through his mail bears relevance propriety in applicability of factual matrix in such cases and needs to addressed by SEAC thoroughly.*

**Item No.[22] EC for the Residential cum Commercial Complex located in Sector-79, District- Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The case was referred back to SEAC in 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting to SEAC to ascertain whether PP has applied under violation category in “Window Period”.

The case was taken up 228<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03.12.2021 and discussions were held on reply of observations raised in 129<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA.

- PP submitted that EC application was submitted to SEIAA, Haryana dated 13.04.2018 under violation category notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.
- The screen shots showing the submission details are enclosed as Annexure-I(Placed on record)
- The proof of Credible action is attached as Annexure-II(Placed on record)

SEAC based on the earlier submitted full facts vide SEAC MOM of 210<sup>th</sup> meeting; has forwarded the case to SEIAA.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority decided to refer back the case in light of recent order of Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7576-7577 of 2021.*

**Item No.[23] Amendment in EC for “Commercial cum Office Complex” at Sector 42, Golf Course Road, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Munjal Hospitality Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The proposal pertains to “Amendment” in earlier granted Environment Clearance granted by MoEF& CC vide File no. 21-120/2018-IA-III dated 20.8.2020.

Authority in its 129<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14.10.2021 referred back all these cases to SEAC to take all such cases where there is increase in “Pollution Load” under the “Expansion” category and should be thoroughly studied to ascertain whether the project can be allowed for further expansion or not; considering the incremental pollution load, vehicular activities, increase in power demand or the available public utilities.

The case was again considered in 228th SEAC meeting, considering the submitted reply of PP, committee decided to forward the case to SEIAA to consider the full facts submitted vide SEAC MOM of 211<sup>th</sup> Meeting.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and following decisions were taken:*

- *Authority showed its displeasure that the observations of Authority has already been conveyed in its 129<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA through the MOM that wherever there is an increase in population/pollution that case would not be considered under the head “Amendment”.*
- *The proposal of amendment is not accepted as there is definite increase in pollution load and is accordingly rejected.*
- *Authority further cautioned the consultant not to overlook the directives of Authority and applicability of relevant laws in handling such cases.*
- *The observations raised by Member SEAC through his mail bears relevance propriety in applicability of factual matrix in such cases and needs to addressed by SEAC thoroughly.*

**Item No.[24] EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse/Logistic/Industrial Storage Project at Revenue Estate of Village Sehshaula, TalukaTauru, Mewat (Nuh), Haryana by M/s ERPL Warehouse Park Private Limited.**

---

The case was submitted on dated 22.11.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The proposed project is for EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse/Logistic/Industrial Storage Project.

Consent to Establish for the same is obtained from HSPCB (HSPCB/Consent/: 329962321GSMWCTE8394569) Dated 07.01.2021

Certified compliance report of the existing part has been obtained dated 04.08.2021. Action taken report of the points raised as per this report is submitted by the PP to MoEF&CC.

The case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 04/12/2021. SEAC held the discussions on abnormal increase in population 3500 to 8500 and reducing the staff water requirement from 45 LPCD to 30 LPCD in order to avoid construction of bigger STP detriment to Proper Management/conservation of Environment, without any legitimate backing for such storage and commercial project where washroom/Toilets are necessary component as per NBC that too should use water efficient fixtures, Aravali NoC, Green plan, water requirement, revised EMP, STP, contour plan, sewer permission, water assurance etc. and certain observations were raised.

SEAC considered the submitted reply of PP & rated this project with “Gold ratings” and recommended the project to SEIAA.

***The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority observed that there is a definite Reduction” in Green area from 20.02% in accorded EC to 15.02% in the said proposal. Further, the following variation in the data submitted by PP has been observed:***

***Rain Water Harvesting Pits.***

***As per details given at page no. 92 of Conceptual Plan are:***

<b><i>Sr. No.</i></b>	<b><i>Particular</i></b>	<b><i>Area (m<sup>2</sup>)</i></b>	<b><i>Run-off co-efficient</i></b>	<b><i>Rainfall intensity</i></b>	<b><i>Run-off (m3/hr)</i></b>
<b><i>1</i></b>	<b><i>Roof Area</i></b>	<b><i>98197.38</i></b>	<b><i>0.9</i></b>	<b><i>0.09</i></b>	<b><i>7953.99</i></b>
<b><i>2</i></b>	<b><i>Area under Road/Parking</i></b>	<b><i>70988.05</i></b>	<b><i>0.7</i></b>	<b><i>0.09</i></b>	<b><i>4472.25</i></b>
<b><i>3</i></b>	<b><i>Green Area</i></b>	<b><i>29910</i></b>	<b><i>0.2</i></b>	<b><i>0.09</i></b>	<b><i>538.38</i></b>

***Details Given in Reply to SEAC vide letter dated 06.12.2021 at Annexure -14.***

<b><i>Sr. No.</i></b>	<b><i>Particular</i></b>	<b><i>Area (m<sup>2</sup>)</i></b>	<b><i>Run-off co-efficient</i></b>	<b><i>Rainfall intensity</i></b>	<b><i>Run-off (m3/hr)</i></b>
<b><i>1</i></b>	<b><i>Roof Area</i></b>	<b><i>169254</i></b>	<b><i>0.9</i></b>	<b><i>0.09</i></b>	<b><i>13709.574</i></b>
<b><i>2</i></b>	<b><i>Area under Road/Parking</i></b>	<b><i>144746.64</i></b>	<b><i>0.7</i></b>	<b><i>0.09</i></b>	<b><i>9119.04</i></b>
<b><i>3</i></b>	<b><i>Green Area</i></b>	<b><i>55980</i></b>	<b><i>0.2</i></b>	<b><i>0.09</i></b>	<b><i>1007.64</i></b>

***The case was referred back to SEAC to examine variation in data submitted and the reduction in the green area. Further, it was observed that the reply to SEAC Annexure-16 is a copy paste of reply to SEAC Annexure-14 of the next project i.e. M/s Crystal City Developers Private Limited .***

*Further, Authority observed while recommending the case SEAC has mentioned in its MOM of 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting that the decision was “Unanimous” whereas dissent note conveyed in this case indicates otherwise.*

**Item No.[25] EC for Crystal City Logistic Park -1 (Warehouse/ Industrial Storage/ Logistic/ Assembling Park) Project located at 60 Milestone, Village Rathiwas, Bhudka, Manesar, Village Bhodakalan, Tehsil Pataudi, District Gurugram, Haryana, National Highway-48, Haryana by M/s Crystal City Developers Private Limited**

The proposed project is for EC for Crystal City Logistic Park -1 (Warehouse/ Industrial Storage/ Logistic/ Assembling Park) Project.

The case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting & committee raised certain observations. PP submitted the replies to the raised observations; committee considered the reply, recommended the project to SEIAA with “Gold ratings”.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority observed the following variation in the data submitted by PP:*

***Rain Water Harvesting Pits.***

*As per details given at page no. 93 of Conceptual Plan are:*

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Particular</i>	<i>Area (m<sup>2</sup>)</i>	<i>Run-off efficient</i>	<i>co-</i>	<i>Rainfall intensity</i>	<i>Run-off (m3/hr)</i>
1	Roof Area	169254	0.9		0.09	13709.574
2	Area under Road/Parking	126216.56	0.7		0.09	7951.64
3	Green Area	74510.08	0.2		0.09	1341.18

*Details given in Reply to SEAC vide letter dated 06.11.2021 at Annexure -14.*

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Particular</i>	<i>Area (m<sup>2</sup>)</i>	<i>Run-off efficient</i>	<i>co-</i>	<i>Rainfall intensity</i>	<i>Run-off (m3/hr)</i>
1	Roof Area	169254	0.9		0.09	13709.574
2	Area under Road/Parking	144746.64	0.7		0.09	9119.04
3	Green Area	55980	0.2		0.09	1007.64

*The case was referred back to SEAC to examine variation in data submitted.*

*Further, Authority observed while recommending the case SEAC has mentioned in its MOM of 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting that the decision was “Unanimous” whereas dissent note conveyed in this case indicates otherwise.*

**Item No.[26] EC for proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 6 MLD (3 MLD – Phase I, 3 MLD – Phase II) Common Effluent Treatment Plant (Based on Extended Aeration System) at Karnal, Haryana by M/s Haryana State Industrial And Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited.**

---

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/66789/2020 dated 01.12.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 7(h) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 01.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted scrutiny fee amounting to Rs. 1,00,000 vide DD. No. 701137 dated 09.11.2021 vide letter dated 11.11.2021 received on 12.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021; after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant EC to the Project.*

**Item No.[27] TOR for Industrial Model Township of Manesar, at Patli Hazipur Farukhnagar Gurugram Haryana by M/s Instakart Services Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The project proponent was submitted vide proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/69653/2021 dated 02.12.2021 the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of ToR under category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR has been generated on 01.12.2021.

Thereafter, the case was considered in 228<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 04.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of additional ToR as auto TOR has already been



granted to the project with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference.

The PP has submitted Scrutiny Fee amounting to Rs. 1,50,000 vide DD No. 508311 dated 10.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 132<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 20.12.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.*

**Item No.[28] EC for Expansion-cum-Modification of Group Housing Project at Village Palra, Sector 70A, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Haamid Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.**

---

The project proponent submitted the case along with EIA/EMP to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/61220/2021 dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining EC under category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted vide letter dated 08.07.2021.

The case was considered in 224<sup>th</sup>, 227<sup>th</sup> & 228<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 29.10.2021, 30.11.2021 & 04.12.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The PP has submitted scrutiny fee amounting to Rs. 2,00,000/- DD. No. 001385 dated 30.10.2021 vide letter dated 01.11.2021 received on 02.11.2021 in compliance of Haryana Government, Environment & Climate Change Department Notification No. DE&CCH/3060 dated 14.10.2021.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021; the Authority while examining the case found that there is contradiction in regard to discharge of excess treated water in Water Balance Diagram (Page No. 28) and description under mode of discharge given on Page No. 38 (Under point 2.13) in Form-IA. This requires clarity and response of PP.*

*Authority further asked PP to ensure 28 no. of RWH Pits to be installed as proposed in the original Plan (Page No. 130) submitted earlier for the grant of existing EC.*

*It needs to be further understood whether the observations raised by RO, MOEF & CC, GOI stands complied with.*

*Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC for submitting compliance of above said observations.*

**Item No.[29] Environment Clearance for proposed Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF), at Plot no. 66, Roz Ka Meo Industrial area, Sohna, Mewat, Haryana.**

---

The matter was placed before the 130<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEIAA, Haryana held on 16.11.2021 and the following were observed.

1. CWP No. 13204 of 2021 titled as Synergy Waste Management Pvt. Ltd Versus SEIAA & Anr was also filed before Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana. Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 20.07.2021 disposed of this petition with a direction to the respondent-authorities to consider and decide the representation dated 02.02.2021 preferable within a period of four weeks."
2. It was decided to direct the project proponent to appear in person along with the relevant documents. The project proponent intimated that he has already requested to Member Secretary HSPCB to issue Gap Analysis Report, in the meanwhile a letter from the Office of Member Secretary, HSPCB received by stating therein *that PGIMER Chandigarh has conducted its study and has submitted the preliminary interim report to HSPCB with the conclusion that presently, the existing CBWTFs have enough capacity to handle the BMW Generated even for the upcoming years and hence there is no need to install new CBWTF or no need to increase the capacity of any CBWTF as they have enough capacity with respect to current generation of Bio Medical Waste. However, the final report on the same is yet to be received and considering the COIVD 19 lockdown and its resultant impact on the study, PGIMER has sought more time to conclude the study, as demanded by HSPCB with a projected period of next 10 years especially in terms of incineration, with specific reference to their capacity and scope for handling the projected waste generation.*

The Project proponent has been directed to appear in person before the Authority on 22.12.2021 at 11:30 AM.

Sh. Manoj Kumar, authorized representative has appeared before the Authority on 22.12.2021 and submitted written and oral submissions. He has stated that PP had applied for establishment of new CBWTDF and during course of hearing representative sought the time for submission of additional information desired by Authority regarding number of beds available for coverage in view of proposed project excluding that of Faridabad and Gurugram.

After due deliberations, following decisions were taken;

- Authority granted time of 10 days to the representative to submit the desired information and will be verified from the concerned agency after receipt of the same.
- Authority deferred the case till the receipt of report from PP and concerned agency.

**Item No.[30] Revision in Environmental Clearance (No increase in Pollution load) of Group Housing by M/s Godrej Properties Ltd.**

---

**Facts of the case:**

1. The case was placed in 176<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28.02.2019.
2. The PP appeared before the committee and given in writing vide letter dated 27.02.2019 that the project listed in the 176<sup>th</sup> meeting is not proposed under the company name and requested to withdraw the same.
3. The Committee accepted the request of PP and the case was filed.
4. Secretary, SEAC forwarded file for further necessary action in view of recommendation of SEAC.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority found out that no action is required to be taken at the level of SEIAA and accordingly application is delisted.*

**Item No.[31] EC for replacement of one 24 stations carousel by one 72 stations carousel at BPCL Piyala LPG Plant, Faridabad, Haryana by Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited.**

---

**Facts of the case:**

1. The Application was submitted on 26.07.2018 and forwarded to SEAC for approval of Terms of Reference.
2. The Application was considered in 175<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 13.08.2018.
3. During the presentation of the project, the PP has requested that the applicability of EC under EIA notification 2006 on the BPCL for increase in LPG bottling capacity from 1000 MTPD to 1550 MTPD be accorded as in the similar waiver under clause 7 (ii) of the EIA Notification 2006 granted by MoEF & CC to M/s J.K. Cement works.
4. The PP has further informed that there is no change in storage capacity of the existing terminal; only capacity enhanced with no change in product mix, process etc.
5. The file was referred to SEIAA on 20.08.2018 for advise in the matter on the request made by PP.
6. The recommendation of SEAC was not get considered by SEIAA as the term of the Authority was lapsed.

*The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to constitute a sub-committee comprising of Sh. V.K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC, Dr. S.N. Mishra, Member SEAC and RO, HSPCB of the concerned area to carry out the spot inspection and submit its report within 10 days through Member Secretary, HSPCB.*

**Item No.[32] EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Commercial Building Project at plot no. M-1, Village- Samaspur, Bindapur, Sector-51, Mayfield Garden, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Sunvision Builders Pvt. Ltd.**

---

**Facts of the case:**

1. The case was placed in 176<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28.02.2019.
2. The PP informed to the committee that the Terms of References were approved by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2018 (under violation category).
3. The term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018, hence, PP has submitted EIA/EMP report on 02.11.2018 to MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi which was appraised in 15<sup>th</sup> meeting of EAC held on 29.11.2018.
4. The Environment Clearance to the project was granted to the Project vide letter No. F.No. 23-280/2018-IA-III(v) dated 27.09.2019.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority found out that no action is required to be taken at the level of SEIAA and accordingly application is delisted.*

**Item No.[33] EC for IT Park 'Gateway Tower' at Village Sarai Anangpur, District Faridabad (Haryana) by M/s Dove Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

---

Facts of the case:

1. The case was placed in 176<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28.02.2019.
2. The PP informed to the committee that the Terms of References were approved by MoEF & CC, GoI vide letter dated 16.04.2018 (under violation category).
3. The term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018, hence, PP has submitted EIA/EMP report on 02.11.2018 to MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi which was appraised in 17<sup>th</sup> meeting of EAC held on 29.01.2019.
4. The Environment Clearance to the project was granted to the Project vide letter No. F.No. 23-63/2018-IA-III(v) dated 27.12.2019.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority found out that no action is required to be taken at the level of SEIAA and accordingly application is delisted.*

**Item No.[34] Expansion of Warehouse For Storage Of Non-Agriculture produce (Logistic),Village Jhamuwas & Gudhi, District Mewat, Haryana by M/s Gokaldas Warehousing Corporation.**

---

Facts of the case:

1. The case was placed in 176<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28.02.2019.
2. The PP informed to the committee that the Terms of References were approved by MoEF & CC, GoI vide letter dated 07.08.2018 (under violation category).
3. The term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018 hence PP has submitted EIA/EMP report on 02.11.2018 to MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi which was appraised in 19<sup>th</sup> meeting of EAC held on 25.02.2019.
4. The Environment Clearance to the project was granted to the Project vide letter No. F. No. 23-281/2018-IA-III (v) dated 03.12.2019.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority found out that no action is required to be taken at the level of SEIAA and accordingly application is delisted.*

**Item No.[35] EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Project located at Village-Badshahpur, Sector-68, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Golden Glow Estates Pvt. Ltd.**

---

Facts of the case:

1. The case was placed in 176<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28.02.2019.
2. The PP informed to the committee that the Terms of References were approved by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2018 (under violation category).
3. SEAC pointed out the main file was not received from MoEF & CC, GoI. The PP has requested to remove the project from the agenda.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority found out that the case was considered in 131<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 03.12.2021 and it was decided to seek clarification from LR and the Authority decided to attach the present file with main file. The Authority decided to refer this case to SEAC with the directions to submit recommendations in the light of recent judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7576-7577 of 2021.*

**Item No.[36] Environment Clearance for Mining of Quartzite and associated minor mineral Stone at village Ghatal Maniawas Plot 1, Tehsil and District Rewari, Haryana over an area 10.185 Ha for Production Capacity of 8,00,000 (ROM) MTPA by M/S Satish and Company.**

---

Facts of the case:

1. The project was submitted on 06.03.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference.
2. The case was considered in 177<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20.03.2019 and Terms of Reference were approved by SEAC and conveyed to PP vide letter dated 05.04.2019.
3. Now the file has been submitted to SEIAA for keeping in record till the submission of EIA/EMP Report.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority decided to sought current status of the project from the PP. The case is being deferred till the status is received from PP.*

**Item No.[37] Mining of Quartzite and associated minor mineral Stone at village Ghatal Maniawas Plot 2, Tehsil and District Rewari, Haryana over an area 10.277 Ha for Production Capacity (ROM) 8,00,000 MTPA by M/S Satish and Company.**

---

**Facts of the case:**

1. The project was submitted on 06.03.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference.
2. The case was considered in 177<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20.03.2019 and Terms of Reference were approved by SEAC and conveyed to PP vide letter dated 05.04.2019.
3. Now the file has been submitted to SEIAA for keeping in record till the submission of EIA/EMP Report.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority decided to sought current status of the project from the PP. The case is being deferred till the status is received from PP.*

**Item No.[38] Environment clearance for Expansion cum Modification of Group Housing Colony at Village-Maidawas, Sector-65, Gurugram by M/S Active Promoters Private Limited & Others.**

---

**Facts of the case:**

1. The project was submitted on 18.12.2019.
2. Lastly the case was considered by SEAC in its 208<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07.01.2020 wherein the PP has requested to withdraw his application as the market demand of houses is very less due to covid-19 and recommended to SEIAA for withdrawal of the case.
3. The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 127<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021 and it was decided to allow to the PP to withdraw his case. Further Dr. S. N. Mishra, Member SEAC was deputed to verify the latest factual position of the project site.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and in the light of visit report dated 10.11.2021 which states “PP has completed the construction and also obtained the OC from DTCP. However as per the site plan tower P G+3 is existing and no extra construction is done”, the case stands disposed off and file is consigned to record room.*

**Item No.[39] Revision in Environmental Clearance of Group Housing Colony Village Kherki Majra, Sector-102 A, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Radhey Buildhome Pvt. Ltd.**

---

Facts of the case:

1. The project was submitted on 25.02.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference.
2. The case was considered in 177<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19.03.2019 and Terms of Reference were approved by SEAC and conveyed to PP vide letter dated 05.04.2019.
3. Now the file has been submitted to SEIAA for keeping in record till the submission of EIA/EMP Report.

*The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority decided to sought current status of the project from the PP. The case is being deferred till the status is received from PP.*

**Item No.[40] EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Project “EDGE TOWER” at Ramprastha City, Sector-37 D, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Ramprastha Promoters & Developers Pvt. Ltd.**

---

Facts of the case:

Lastly the case was considered in 129<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 wherein, it was noticed that the recommendation of SEAC was considered by SEIAA and it was decided to grant EC under violation category subject to submission of Bank Guarantee. Accordingly, a show-cause notice was served to PP vide letter dated 29.10.2021 for submission of Bank Guarantee.

**The Project Proponent submitted his reply vide letter dated 25.11.2021 in response to the show-cause notice and seek 02 months more time to submit desired bank Guarantee.**

**The matter was taken up in the 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 21.12.2021 and the Authority decided to refer the case to SEAC stating that the case pertains to violation and same may be viewed in light of recent Hon’ble Supreme Court Judgment dated 09.12.2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7576-7577. The Authority further asked SEAC to look into the aspect of NBWL Clearance too.**



**Item No.[41] Environment Clearance for Group Housing Colony project at Sector-82 A, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Vatika Ltd.**

---

It was brought to the notice of the authority that a two membered committee comprising of Sh. Hitender Singh, Member SEAC and Mr. A.K.Bhatia, Member SEAC has placed their report (with photographs of site) in 170<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 07.06.2018. The report states that the construction has been raised at site prior to grant of Environmental Clearance. Appropriate action may be taken as per law.

The case was again considered in 172<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03.07.2018 and submitted the status of construction till June, 2018 along-with copy of judgement passed by Bombay High Court and the case was referred to SEIAA for taking further necessary action.

The case was again considered in 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 25.08.2018 and it was decided to issue show-cause notice. Accordingly, a show-cause notice was issued vide letter dated 07.08.2018 and PP . submitted his reply vide letter dated 16.08.2018 which could not be considered due to lapse of term of SEIAA on 20.08.2018 and the file was transferred to MoEF & CC, GoI.

On the receipt of file from MoEF & CC, the reply was considered and vide letter dated 11.06.2019 , PP was asked to appear in person to explain his position.

The matter was placed in 130<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA dated 16.11.2021; the Authority observed that adequate opportunity has been given to PP but on one or the other pretext PP kept on dragging the issue.

**The Authority in its 132<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 22.12.2021 decided to issue a last final notice to PP stating that, “ In view of recent Hon’ble Supreme Court Judgment dated 09.12.2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7576-7577, why not the violation be considered as liable to be demolished”. PP is, therefore, given 15 days reply or otherwise it will be thought PP does not have anything to say & case will be decided ex-partie.**

***The Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.***

\*\*\*\*\*