



0191-2474553/0194-2490602

Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India.

J&K UT LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE (JKEAC)

Department of Ecology, Environment & Remote Sensing

SDA Housing Colony, Bemina, Srinagar, Kashmir

Email: seacers@gmail.com, Website: www.parivesh.nic.in



MINUTES OF MEETING

MINUTES OF 66TH MEETING OF THE JK EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 21ST OF OCTOBER, 2021 VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING

In pursuance to Meeting Notice issued vide No: EAC/JK/20/9839-859 dated: 12/10/2021, the 66th Meeting of JK Level Expert Appraisal Committee (JKEAC) was held on 21st of October, 2021 via video Conferencing. The following attended the meeting: -

1	Mr. S.C. Sharma, IFS(Rtd.)	Chairman
2	Mr. M.A Tak, IFS(Rtd.)	Member
3	Engineer BB Sharma	Member
4	Mr. Irfan Yasin	Member
5	Prof. Anil Kumar Raina	Member
7	Prof. Falendra Kr. Sudan	Member
8	Mr. A.R. Makroo	Member
9	Mr. Humayun Rashid	Secretary

The Secretary, JKEAC welcomed the Chairman and other participating Members of the JK Expert Appraisal Committee and other participants.

The meeting proceeded as per following sequence: -

AGENDA ITEM NO: 01

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for River Bed Material in Block No-18, Area 7.26 Ha ANS River downstream Sungri Bridge, District-Reasi, State-Jammu & Kashmir in favour of Shri Karanvir Singh S/O Shri. Surinder Singh R/O Pathankot, Panjab
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category(B1/B2)	B1
Proposal No:	SIA/JK/MIN/55859/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/268
Consultant with validity	M/S P& M solutions Pvt. Ltd, Noida. Valid till: 10/12/2022
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Mohd. Rashid

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	7.26	102.52	209.92	1.37	10.50	4.19

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
Not provided	1	0.13	2.0	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
03/06/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	10/08/2020	05/11/2020

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec 2020	31-03-2021	03-10-2020	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Not submitted	
6	Pollution Control Board	Not submitted	
7	Revenue Dept.	Not submitted	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.72	1.20	To be revised with appropriate budget
2	Haulage route maintenance	0.65	1.60	
3	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution monitoring	0.00	2.00	
5	Dust suppression	0.00	2.00	
6	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	3.70	
	Total	1.37	10.50	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up	1.07	
2	Drinking water	Installation of hand pumps nearby school	2.64	
3	Covid-19	Distribution of sanitizers, N95 masks	0.55	
	Total		4.19	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	

8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	Yes
2	Prevention of illegal mining	---
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	---
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	Yes
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	---
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	---
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body, irrigation channels and rivulets	---
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	---
10	Material should be provided at concessional rates	Yes
11	Mining should not be allowed near bridge	---
12	Favoured grant of early EC	Yes
14	Locals should be allowed to lift minor minerals free of cost	Yes
15	Mining should be carried out as per norms & guidelines stipulated by the govt.	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	No	No	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts.	No	No	-	-	

	from the ends of mining block.					
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be excluded from mining activity
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	Yes	-	-	Fragile ecology of the area

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 13th meeting held on 03.10.2020 during which the Committee recommended TORs to the project subject to detailed impact study of loaded tippers and other traffic on the terrain and report of Sub-Committee of JKEAC to be constituted for site visit, once the PP applies for EC, to have an overall assessment of the impacts of all the mining projects in the area and to recommend acceptance of EIA/EMP prescriptions or outrightly reject the cases or recommend appropriate mitigative measures to preserve the fragile ecology of the area.
- b) The Committee observed that the PP has not complied with the requirements stipulated earlier and has not produced the desired report. The Committee is still of the opinion that on account of the fragile geology prevalent in the area and the comparatively narrowness of the roads marked with steep gradient, the detailed assessment of impact resulting from increased traverse of heavy loaded vehicles is pre-requisite for objective appraisal of the proposal.
- c) On submission of the report based on study described herein above, the PP may approach JKEIAA for taking up the site visit by JKEAC Sub-Committee to be constituted subsequently.
- d) The G&M Dept. may obtain the present status of the irrigation network executed in Mahore-Dharmari area under various schemes of the Govt. The Committee is given to understand that most of the irrigation network created under DPAP programme is presently defunct or only partly functional due to spate of slips & slides at the take-off points. Besides, keeping in view the past experience of mining activity in hilly areas, the G&M Dept. may be asked to convey its considered opinion whether the area is fit for mining activity and that excavation in the deep Nalas will not set off landslides.
- e) A considerable area of the mining block falls under active water channel which needs to be excluded while calculating targeted mineral production. However, as per the EIA document/PFR, the consultant has calculated the targeted mineral on the entire area that includes even the active water channel.
- f) The NOC have been obtained individually from the concerned Depts. The title of the land under the block has not been got verified from the concerned revenue authority for which action needs to be taken.
- g) The compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station displaying the date of collection of data missing in EIA report which needs to be complied in letter and spirit prior to grant of EC.
- h) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of Consent fee of JKPC and therefore, needs to be revised.
- i) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, area targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

1. In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Expert Appraisal Committee rejected the case in the present form. The project proponent, however, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for appraisal, after complying with the requirements and taking action in light of the observations / deliberations made herein above.
2. The G&M Dept. shall take a holistic view of the observations made herein above and formally communicate to JKEIAA whether the Mahore area, in view of its fragile geology, is fit for mining activity to enable the Committee to proceed in the matter.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 02

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for Minor Mineral Block 19. Area 5.43 Ha Located in Ans River at Village- Sungri Tehsil Mahore, District-Reasi, State-Jammu & Kashmir in favour of Shri Kishori Lal S/O Shri Kuldeep Singh.
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category(B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/54787/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/196
Consultant with validity	M/S P& M solutions Pvt. Ltd, Noida. Valid till: 10/12/2022
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Mohd. Rashid

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	5.43	36.00	116.40	2.34	5.82	2.33

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.015	1	0.36	2.0	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
27/03/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	04/06/2020	17/08/2020

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec 2020	31-03-2021	06-08-2020	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Not submitted	
6	Pollution Control Board	Not submitted	
7	Revenue Dept.	Not submitted	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks/
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.54	1.20	To be revised in the light of recommendations to be made by the Sub-Committee subsequent to site visit of the area
2	Haulage route maintenance	1.80	1.60	
3	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution monitoring	0.00	1.00	
5	Dust suppression	0.00	1.00	
6	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	1.00	
	Total	2.34	5.82	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent	Remarks

			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up	1.50	
2	Drinking water	Installation of hand pumps nearby school	0.00	
3	Covid-19	Distribution of sanitizers, N95 masks	0.83	
	Total		2.33	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	Yes
2	Prevention of illegal mining	---
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	---
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	Yes
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	---
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	---
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body, irrigation channels and rivulets	---
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	---
10	Material should be provided at concessional rates	Yes
11	Mining should not be allowed near bridge	---
12	Favoured grant of early EC	Yes
14	Locals should be allowed to lift minor minerals free of cost	Yes
15	Mining should be carried out as per norms & guidelines stipulated by the govt.	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary	Outside mining site boundary	Upstream from mining block	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks

		(Yes/No/Not identifiable)	(Yes/No/Not identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	No	No	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021

8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be excluded from mining activity
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	Yes	-	-	Fragile ecology of the area

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 10th meeting held on 06.08.2020 during which the committee recommended TORs to the project subject to detailed impact study of load bearing and carrying capacity of the area to sustain mining activity and conduct of site visit by a Sub-Committee of JKEAC to be constituted to have an overall assessment of the impacts of all the mining projects in the area, after the PP applies for EC, in view of fragile ecology of the area which being highly landslide prone.
- b) The Committee observed that the PP has not complied with the requirements stipulated earlier and has not produced the desired study. The Committee is still of the opinion that on account of the fragile geology prevalent in the area and the comparatively narrowness of the roads marked with steep gradient, the detailed assessment of impact resulting from increased traverse of heavy loaded vehicles is pre-requisite for objective appraisal of the proposal.
- c) On submission of the Impact Assessment study described herein above, the PP may approach JKEIAA for taking up the site visit by JKEAC Sub-Committee to be constituted subsequently.
- d) It was further observed that at the time of recommending ToRs, the Committee had desired the Geology & Mining Department to get a detailed ecological study done through an expert research institution of repute to determine the cumulative impact of mining activity on the fragile geology of the area as there is apprehension that such mining activities may trigger landslides in the area. Action on this count may be sought from the G&M Dept.
- j) The G&M Dept. may obtain the present status of the irrigation network executed in Mahore-Dharmari area under various schemes of the Govt. The Committee is given to understand that most of the irrigation network created under DPAP programme is presently defunct or only partly functional due to spate of slips & slides at the take-off points. Besides, keeping in view the past experience of mining activity in hilly areas, the G&M Dept. may be asked to convey its considered opinion whether the area is fit for mining activity and that excavation in the deep Nalas will not set off landslides.

- k) A considerable area of the mining block falls under active water channel which needs to be excluded while calculating targeted mineral production. However, as per the EIA document/PFR, the consultant has calculated the targeted mineral on the entire area that includes even the active water channel.
- l) The NOC have been obtained individually from the concerned Depts. The title of the land under the block has not been got verified from the concerned revenue authority for which action needs to be taken.
- m) The compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station displaying the date of collection of data missing in EIA report which needs to be complied in letter and spirit prior to grant of EC.
- n) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of Consent fee of JKPC and therefore, needs to be revised.
- o) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, area targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

- a) In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Expert Appraisal Committee rejected the case in the present form. The project proponent, however, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for appraisal, after complying with the requirements and taking action in light of the observations / deliberations made herein above.
- b) The G&M Dept. shall take a holistic view of the observations made herein above and formally communicate to JKEIAA whether the Mahore area, in view of its fragile geology, is fit for mining activity to enable the Committee to proceed in the matter.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 03

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for Minor Mineral Block 20. Area 5.76 Ha Located in Ans River at Sungri Tehsil Mahore, District-Reasi, State-Jammu & Kashmir in favour of Shri. Kapil Agarwal S/O Jugesh Agarwal.
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category(B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/54788/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/197
Consultant with validity	M/S P& M solutions Pvt. Ltd, Noida. Valid till: 10/12/2022
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Mohd. Rashid

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	5.71	88.72	156.62	2.02	7.80	3.13

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.015	1	0.29	2.0	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
27/03/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	04/06/2020	17/08/2020

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec 2020	31-03-2021	06-08-2020	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	

4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Not submitted	
6	Pollution Control Board	Not submitted	
7	Revenue Dept.	Not submitted	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks/ To be revised by the PP with appropriate budget
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.57	1.20	
2	Haulage route maintenance	1.45	1.60	
3	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution monitoring	0.00	2.00	
5	Dust suppression	0.00	1.00	
6	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	2.00	
	Total	2.02	7.80	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up	1.08	
2	Drinking water	Installation of hand pumps nearby school	1.78	
3	Covid-19	Distribution of sanitizers, N95 masks	0.27	
	Total		3.13	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	Yes
2	Prevention of illegal mining	Yes
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	---
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	Yes
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	---
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	---
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body, irrigation channels and rivulets	---
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	---
10	Material should be provided at concessional rates	Yes
11	Mining should not be allowed near bridge	Yes
12	Favoured grant of early EC	Yes
14	Locals should be allowed to lift minor minerals free of cost	Yes
15	Mining should be carried out as per norms & guidelines stipulated by the govt.	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	Yes	501	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	Yes	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to	No	No	-	-	

	mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.					
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be excluded from mining activity
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 10th meeting held on 06.08.2020 during which the committee recommended TORs to the project subject to detailed impact study of load bearing and carrying capacity of the area to sustain mining activity and conduct of site visit by a Sub-Committee of JKEAC to be constituted to have an overall assessment of the impacts of all the mining projects in the area,

- after the PP applies for EC, in view of fragile ecology of the area which being highly landslide prone.
- b) The Committee observed that the PP has not complied with the requirements stipulated earlier and has not produced the desired study. The Committee is still of the opinion that on account of the fragile geology prevalent in the area and the comparatively narrowness of the roads marked with steep gradient, the detailed assessment of impact resulting from increased traverse of heavy loaded vehicles is pre-requisite for objective appraisal of the proposal.
 - c) On submission of the Impact Assessment study described herein above, the PP may approach JKEIAA for taking up the site visit by JKEAC Sub-Committee to be constituted subsequently.
 - d) It was further observed that at the time of recommending ToRs, the Committee had desired the Geology & Mining Department to get a detailed ecological study done through an expert research institution of repute to determine the cumulative impact of mining activity on the fragile geology of the area as there is apprehension that such mining activities may trigger landslides in the area. Action on this count may be sought from the G&M Dept.
 - e) The G&M Dept. may obtain the present status of the irrigation network executed in Mahore-Dharmari area under various schemes of the Govt. The Committee is given to understand that most of the irrigation network created under DPAP programme is presently defunct or only partly functional due to spate of slips & slides at the take-off points. Besides, keeping in view the past experience of mining activity in hilly areas, the G&M Dept. may be asked to convey its considered opinion whether the area is fit for mining activity and that excavation in the deep Nalas will not set off landslides.
 - f) A considerable area of the mining block falls under active water channel which needs to be excluded while calculating targeted mineral production. However, as per the EIA document/PFR, the consultant has calculated the targeted mineral on the entire area that includes even the active water channel.
 - g) The NOC have been obtained individually from the concerned Depts. The title of the land under the block has not been got verified from the concerned revenue authority for which action needs to be taken.
 - h) The compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station displaying the date of collection of data missing in EIA report which needs to be complied in letter and spirit prior to grant of EC.
 - i) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of Consent fee of JKPCC and therefore, needs to be revised.
 - j) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, area targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

- a) In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Expert Appraisal Committee rejected the case in the present form. The project proponent, however, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for appraisal, after complying with the requirements and taking action in light of the observations / deliberations made herein above.

- b) The G&M Dept. shall take a holistic view of the observations made herein above and formally communicate to JKEIAA whether the Mahore area, in view of its fragile geology, is fit for mining activity to enable the Committee to proceed in the matter.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 04

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for minor mineral Block No 29, Area 2.74 Ha A3-Watalhama Village Up & Downstream Vishu Nalla, District-Kulgam, State-Jammu & Kashmir in favour of Shri. Amit Mahajan S/O Shri. Adarsh Mohan Mahajan.
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category(B1/B2)	B2
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/232011/2021 //SIA/JK/MIN/197853/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/546
Consultant with validity	M/S P& M solutions Pvt. Ltd, Noida. Valid till: 10/12/2022
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Mohd. Rashid

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	2.74	450.60	539.25	2.30	26.96	10.78

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
1.00	1	0.40	2.1	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
05/08/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	04/12/2020	NA

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	The NOC issued by the ACR Kulgam reveals that the title of the land under the block is Shamlat
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Not submitted	
6	Pollution Control Board	Not submitted	
7	Revenue Dept.	Issued	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.30	1.46	
2	Haulage route maintenance	2.00	1.50	
3	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution monitoring	0.00	8.00	
5	Dust suppression	0.00	8.00	
6	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	8.00	
	Total	2.30	26.96	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent	Remarks

			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up	3.00	
2	Sanitization	Construction of toilets in the nearby school	4.78	
3	Covid-19	Distribution of sanitizers, N95 masks	3.00	
	Total		10.78	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	To be revised with appropriate budget
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Google Image of 05/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	-
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/	No	No	-	-	

	within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.					
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Google Image of 06/2021
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be excluded from mining activity
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) As per NOC issued by the ACR Kulgam, the title of the entire land of the mining block is Shamlat. The consent of the respective rightful owners / stake holders of such category of land has either not been obtained or not enclosed with the documents. Until and unless such consent is obtained and made part of documentation, such areas cannot be considered for appraisal process.
- b) The archive Google images reveal features indicative of heavy illegal mining in and around the designated mining block.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee recommended the project for rejection of Environmental Clearance in view of the fact that the title of land under the designated mining block is not State land. However, the project proponent, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for consideration of the case after obtaining consent of the rightful owners of the land under the proposed mining block duly attested by the Competent Authority.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 05

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Area of 4.62 ha., Block-1-A at Lassipora SIDCO Bridge, Downstream Nallah Rambiar, Tehsil: Litter, District: Pulwama, UT Jammu & Kashmir favouring Karanvir Singh S/o Sh. Surinder Singh R/o 01 Lane No. 03, Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar Pathankot (PB)
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category (B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/61204/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/463
Consultant with validity	P & M solution (10/12/2022)
Presenter of consultant:	Sh.Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Sh.Mohd. Rashid

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	4.62	225.50	342.4	1.7	17.12	6.84

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
1.00	1.00	0.12	2.00	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
18/09/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	12/01/2021	01/04/2021

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	01/10/2020	05/08/2021	20/03/2021	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	(Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	Dy. Commissioner, Pulwama vide Ref No. DCP/Esstt/SM/Noc/408-420 dated 03/05/2021 has conveyed NOCs of stake holders. The NOC from Revenue indicate presence of Milkiat land and Shamlat land in the designated mining block.
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest land	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Issued Conditionally	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued	
7	Revenue Dept.	State land, Milkiat and Shamlat	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued Conditionally	

10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	
----	-----------------------------------	-----	--

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.47	1.20	To be revised with appropriate budget
2	Haulage route maintenance	0.60	1.62	
3.	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.0	4.0	
5	Pollution control/ water sprinkling/Dust suppression	0.00	5.30	
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00	
7.	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	5.00	
8	Flood Protection works	0.00	0.00	
9	Installation of Hume Pipes	0.00	0.00	
	Total	1.7	17.12	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask to the nearby village and panchayat.	2.84	
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light installation in the village.	0.00	
3	Drinking water facility	Drinking water facility in nearby village/ Installation of hand pumps panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps	2.00	
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	2.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools.	0.00	
5	Rain water Harvesting structure	Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village.	0.00	
	Total		6.84	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	0.00	0.00	

2	CCTV Camera	0.00	0.00	
3	Weight Bridge	0.00	0.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.00	0.00	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.00	0.00	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	0.00	0.00	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.00	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	--
2	Prevention of illegal mining	--
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	--
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	--
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	Yes
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	--
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion	--
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	--
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands	--
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level	--
12	Ban on mining activities at the night	---
13	Installation of solar lights	--
14	Haulage route maintenance	--
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area	---
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner	Yes
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved	---
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected	---
19	Land suited along the bank of Chenab river may be protected	---
20	Title of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block	----
21	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process	----
22	Embankments of Nallah be protected	----
23	Protection wall should be constructed to protect the agriculture land from intrusion of wild animals	---

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest available Google image of 04/2021

2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Not identifiable
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Active water channel needs to be excluded
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the	No	No	-	-	

	mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.					
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed by the Expert Appraisal Committee earlier during the 30th Meeting held on 20/03/2021 during which the Committee had observed features indicative of heavy illegal mining in the mineral block and had recommended the case for grant of ToRs subject to Multi-Departmental report for fixing responsibility for the illegal mining activity in the area after recording statements of local panchayat representatives.
- b) JKEAC expressed surprise as to how the case had been forwarded for appraisal when the PP had not complied with the condition under which ToRs had been granted to the project. It further observed that it has been recommending cases for EC conditionally, specifically when illegal mining is detected during appraisal process. In the instant case, project proponent has failed to comply with conditions under which ToRs had been granted despite the lapse of nearly 6 months.
- c) The failure of PP to produce report, as sought by JKEAC creates suspicion about involvement of PP in illegal mining and under the circumstances, the case cannot be proceeded with.
- d) The area proposed for mining includes Shamlat and Milkiat lands but the consent of the respective rightful owners / stakeholders has either not been obtained or not enclosed with the documents. Until and unless such consent is obtained and made part of documentation, such areas need to be excluded from the proposed mining block to enable JKEAC to proceed further in the matter.
- e) The area has many flood protection works to safe guard the Lassipora industrial area. Since, the safety of the industrial area/buildings is of paramount importance, the existing flood protection works need to be strengthened. The project proponent would get the area inspected by Flood Control authorities to estimate the cost of such strengthening and provide the same in EMP budget estimates to enable taking up of the strengthening works if and when required.
- f) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised mining block area, revised surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its denovo approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.
- g) EMP Budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget as suggested in the remark's column of EMP table above.
- h) The active water channel runs along the length of the mining block from U/Stream to D/Stream.
- i) Budget for surveillance equipment has not been provided and the Committee has accordingly recommended it as per budget in identical projects.
- j) Mining depth to be restricted to max.1m in aggregate in view of non-availability of replenishment data in the DSRs formulated by the G&M Dept.

- k) The ToR condition relating to submission of photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station showing data with date of collection of the baseline data has not been submitted in the EIA report and the same needs to be complied in letter and spirit.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

1. In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee did not agree to approve the case in the present form.
2. The Project proponent, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for grant of EC after getting the area falling under Shamlat and Milkiat excluded from the designated mining block or obtain prior consent of the concerned rightful owners and stakeholders as the case may be duly attested by the Competent Authority to enable consideration of the proposal.
3. Production of an explicit report from the Multi Dept. District Level Task Force Cell, as required in accordance with the observations made in the meeting held on 20/03/2021, to the effect that the PP is not involved in the illegal mining activity shall also be prerequisite for reconsideration.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 06

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Area of 9.42 ha., Block- 11 at Ziarat of Gunj Bhakash Arhama Upstream Rambiaranallah, District Shopian, J & K favouring: - Sai Mines Logate, Kathua, Jammu and Kashmir-18410. saimine.ec@gmail.com
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category (B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/59672/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/371
Consultant with validity	P & M solution (10/12/2022)
Presenter of consultant:	Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	M/S Sai Mines

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	9.42	24.10	193.25	5.80	9.67	3.87

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.50	1.00	0.96	2.00	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
18/09/2020	15/04/2021	31/08/2021	03/10/2020	12/02/2021

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by PP/ (previous) project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	March-May, 21	05/08/2021	19/01/2021	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	(Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	Dy. Commissioner, Shopian has conveyed NOCs of stake holders.
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest land	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Issued Conditionally	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued	
7	Revenue Dept.	Issued	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks/ Budget recommended by Committee (in lacs)	
		Capital	Recurring	Capital	Recurring
1	Green belt development	1.00	1.20	6.00	5.00
2	Haulage route maintenance	4.80	1.50	5.00	4.00
3.	Env.Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.00	2.00	1.00	2.00
5	Pollution control/ water sprinkling/Dust suppression	0.00	2.97	2.00	3.00
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
8	Flood Protection works	0.00	0.00	6.00	4.00
8	Laying of Hume pipes	0.00	0.00	7.00	4.00
	Total	5.80	9.67	29.50	24.50

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask to the nearby village and panchayat.	1.87	
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light installation in the village.	0.00	
3	Drinking water facility	Drinking water facility in nearby village/ Installation of hand pumps panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps	0.00	
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	1.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools.	1.00	
5	Rain water Harvesting structure	Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village.	0.00	
	Total		3.87	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	

6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	--
2	Prevention of illegal mining	Yes
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	Yes
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	--
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	Yes
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	--
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion	--
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	--
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands	--
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level	--
12	Ban on mining activities at the night	Yes
13	Installation of solar lights	--
14	Haulage route maintenance	Yes
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area	Yes
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner	Yes
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved	---
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected	---
19	Land suited along the bank of Chenab river may be protected	---
20	Title of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block	----
21	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process	Yes
23	Protection wall should be constructed to protect the agriculture land from intrusion of wild animals	---
24	Apprehensive Noise and Air Pollution before taking any decision	Yes
25	Stop the illegal encroachments in and around the Nallah Rambiar and save the all its boundaries for future generations	Yes
26	Pollution control Norms should be strictly be followed	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest available Google image of 09/2018

2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Not identifiable
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Active water channel needs to be excluded
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the	No	No	-	-	

	mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.					
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed by the Expert Appraisal Committee earlier during the 24th Meeting held on 19/01/2021 during which ToRs were granted to the project.
- b) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised mining block area, revised surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.
- c) Report from the MDLTF Cell to the effect the PP is not involved in illegal mining.
- d) EMP Budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget as suggested in the remark's column of EMP table above.
- e) The NOCs issued by Irrigation & Flood Control Dept., in most cases are not site specific and reiterate only the broad guidelines as contained in the SWARA Act, 2010. In the instant case, even though the NOC makes mention of the existence of a number of irrigation water supply lines in vicinity of the mining block and the consultant was able to point out the existence of one canal head at a distance of nearly 4Kms from the edge of the proposed mining block.
- f) The Committee expressed its dismay at the absence of concerned stake holder depts. although the G&M Dept. had been requested to ensure their presence in the meeting for providing necessary clarification as and when required.
- g) The conditions of NOC of stockholder department need to be adhered to in letter and spirit.
- h) Mining depth needs to be restricted to max.1m in aggregate in view of non-availability of replenishment data in the DSRs formulated by the G&M Dept.
- i) The ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of air quality monitoring station showing data with dates of the baseline data collection is missing from the EIA report and therefore the Committee desired that the PP should comply with this ToR condition in letter and spirit before grant of EC.
- j) The active water channel should not be disturbed at all costs.
- k) The concerns raised by the locals during the Public Hearing need to be addressed by the PP by providing employment opportunities with adequate budget to mitigate flood threat.
- l) The PP need to submit report from the Multidept. District Level Task Force Cell for fixing responsibility for illegal mining prior to grant of EC.
- m) Bulk density of 2.1 in Mining Plan not agreed. Committee desired that in absence of actual measurements, a nominal bulk density of 2.0 be adopted.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the project for grant of EC subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per **Annexure B** to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations.
- c) Maximum targeted mineral production of **113000 MT**.
- d) Condition the geographical coordinates as per LoI issued by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA
- e) **Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stockholder departments which needs to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned department.**
- f) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- g) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data

AGENDA ITEM NO: 07

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Area of 4.63 ha., Block- 2-B at Lassipora SIDCO Bridge, Downstream Nallah Rambiarra, District: Pulwama, State- Jammu & Kashmir favouring Karanvir Singh S/o Sh. Surinder Singh R/o 01 Lane No. 03, Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar Pathankot (PB)
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category (B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/61214/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/462
Consultant with validity	P & M solution (10/12/2022)
Presenter of consultant:	Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Sh. Karanvir Singh

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	4.63	370.00	486.9	2.43	24.34	9.73

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
1.50	1.00	0.39	2.00	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
14/08/2020	15/04/2021	31/08/2021	07/12/2020	01/04/2021

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec/20	05/08/2021	20/03/2021	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	(Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	Dy. Commissioner, Pulwama vide letter no DCP/Esstt/SM/NOC/421-33 dated 03/05/2021 has conveyed NOCs of stake holders.
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest land	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Issued Conditionally	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued	
7	Revenue Dept.	State land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks/
		Capital	Recurring	

1	Green belt development	0.48	1.20	To be revised with appropriate budget
2	Haulage route maintenance	1.95	1.62	
3.	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.0	7.00	
5	Pollution control/ water sprinkling/Dust suppression	0.00	9.00	
6	Solid waste management			
7.	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	5.52	
8	Laying of Hume pipes	0.00	0.00	
	Total	2.43	24.34	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask to the nearby village and panchayat.	3.73	
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light installation in the village.	0.00	
3	Drinking water facility	Drinking water facility in nearby village/ Installation of hand pumps panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps	0.00	
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	2.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools.	4.00	
5	Rain water Harvesting structure	Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village.	0.00	
	Total		9.73	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	--
2	Prevention of illegal mining	--
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	--
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	--
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	Yes
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	--
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion	--
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	--
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands	--
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level	--
12	Ban on mining activities at the night	---
13	Installation of solar lights	--
14	Haulage route maintenance	--
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area	---
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner	Yes
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved	---
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected	---
19	Land suited along the bank of Chenab river may be protected	---
20	Title of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block	----
21	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process	----
22	Embankments of Nallah be protected	----
23	Protection wall should be constructed to protect the agriculture land from intrusion of wild animals	---
24	Material should be provided to the small-scale unit holders in a proper way	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest available google image of 04/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the	No	No	-	-	

	ends of mining block.					
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Not identifiable
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Active water channel needs to be excluded
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	

12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	
----	---	----	----	---	---	--

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed by the Expert Appraisal Committee earlier during the 30th Meeting held on 20/03/2021 during which the Committee had observed features indicative of heavy illegal mining in the mineral block and had recommended the case for grant of ToRs subject to Multi-Departmental report for fixing responsibility for the illegal mining activity in the area after recording statements of local panchayat representatives.
- b) JKEAC expressed surprise as to how the case had been forwarded for appraisal when the PP had not complied with the condition under which ToRs had been granted to the project. It further observed that it has been recommending cases for EC conditionally, specifically when illegal mining is detected during appraisal process. In the instant case, project proponent has failed to comply with conditions under which ToRs had been granted despite the lapse of nearly 6 months.
- c) The failure of PP to produce report, as sought by JKEAC creates suspicion about involvement of PP in illegal mining and under the circumstances, the case cannot be proceeded with.
- d) The area proposed for mining includes Shamlat and Milkiat lands but the consent of the respective rightful owners / stakeholders has either not been obtained or not enclosed with the documents. Until and unless such consent is obtained and made part of documentation, such areas need to be excluded from the proposed mining block to enable JKEAC to proceed further in the matter.
- e) The area has many flood protection works to safe guard the Lassipora industrial area. Since, the safety of the industrial area/buildings is of paramount importance, the existing flood protection works need to be strengthened. The project proponent would get the area inspected by Flood Control authorities to estimate the cost of such strengthening and provide the same in EMP budget estimates to enable taking up of the strengthening works if and when required.
- f) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised mining block area, revised surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its denovo approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.
- g) EMP Budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget as suggested in the remark's column of EMP table above.
- h) The active water channel runs along the length of the mining block from U/Stream to D/Stream.
- i) Budget for surveillance equipment has not been provided and the Committee has accordingly recommended it as per budget in identical projects.
- j) Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m in aggregate in view of non-availability of replenishment data in the DSRs formulated by the G&M Dept.
- k) The ToR condition relating to submission of photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station showing data with date of collection of the baseline data has not been submitted in the EIA report and the same needs to be complied in letter and spirit.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

- a) In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee did not agree to approve the case in the present form.
- b) The project proponent, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for grant of EC after getting the area falling under Shamlat and Milkiat excluded from the designated mining block or obtain prior consent of the concerned rightful owners and

stakeholders as the case may be duly attested by the Competent Authority to enable consideration of the proposal.

- c) Production of an explicit report from the Multi Dept. District Level Task Force Cell, as required in accordance with the observations made in the meeting held on 20/03/2021, to the effect that the PP is not involved in the illegal mining activity shall also be prerequisite for reconsideration.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 08

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Area of 3.27 ha., Block- 30 at A-Chowgam Bridge Downstream Viethvethri Nalla, District-Kulgam, State-Jammu & Kashmir favouring M/S Sai Mines R/O Logate, Kathua Jammu and Kashmir
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category (B1/B2)	B2
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/197867/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/547
Consultant with validity	P & M solution (10/12/2022)
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Manas Vyas
Project proponent / representative of Project proponent:	Mr. Mohd. Rashid Khan

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New Project	3.27	10.80	99.45	2.66	4.97	1.98

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.90	1.00	0.462	2.00	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
16/07/2020	15/04/2021	31/08/2021	04/12/2020	NA

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by (previous) project	Public Hearing held on	Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.

JKEIAA for the project on		proponent as the case may be			
NA	NA	01/10/2020	NA	New	NA

APPRAISAL PROCESS

(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S. No.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	(Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	Dy. Commissioner, Kulgam vide letter no DCK/Accts/2021-22/12-26/98-21dated 03/04/2021 has conveyed NOCs of stake holders.
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest land	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	-	
6	Pollution Control Board	-	
7	Revenue Dept.	State land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.	---	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.35	1.50	To be revised with appropriate budget
2	Haulage route maintenance	2.31	0.75	
3.	Env. Awareness programme	0.00	0.00	
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.00	1.00	
5	Pollution control/ water sprinkling/Dust suppression	0.00	1.00	
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00	
7.	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	0.00	0.72	
8	Laying of Hume pipes	0.00	0.00	
	Total	2.66	4.97	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S. No.	Project activity	Activities	Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask to the nearby village and panchayat.	1.28	
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light installation in the village.	0.00	
3	Drinking water facility	Drinking water facility in nearby village/ Installation of hand pumps panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps	0.00	
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	0.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools.	0.70	
5	Rain water Harvesting structure	Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village.	0.00	
	Total		1.98	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	
2	Prevention of illegal mining	
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	

8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion	Not Applicable
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands	
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level	
12	Ban on mining activities at the night	
13	Installation of solar lights	
14	Haulage route maintenance	
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area	
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner	
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved	
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected	
19	Land suited along the bank of Chenab river may be protected	
20	Title of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block	
21	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process	
22	Embankments of Nallah be protected	
23	Protection wall should be constructed to protect the agriculture land from intrusion of wild animals	
24	Material should be provided to the small-scale unit holders in a proper way	

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable)	Upstream from mining block (Mts.)	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest available Google image of 11/2020
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	Yes	Yes	386	Inside block	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	

5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Not identifiable
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Active water channel needs to be excluded
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	Yes	No	-	-	Bridge inside the mining block

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) One bridge exists inside the mining block and another at a distance of 386 mts. from the mining block boundary towards Upstream. Therefore, the Committee desired that the mining block needs to be resized to maintain a safe distance of 500m from the bridges. It was further observed on Google Image platform that while maintaining safe distance to the bridges as per guidelines, the mining block area may be rendered less than 1Ha. for which the PP and his consultant need to assess the feasibility and financial viability of the project to undertake mining in the resized block.
- b) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised mining block area, revised surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its denovo approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC or as decided by JKEIAA.
- c) EMP Budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget.
- d) Illegal mining observed in the mining block and its vicinity which demands report from the Mutidept. District Level Task Force Cell to the effect that the PP is not involved in it.
- e) In view of heavy illegal mining activity having taken place the Committee also desired the PP to obtain a certificate from the G&M Dept. to the effect that the mining block is still fit for any further mining.
- f) Mining depth be restricted to max.1m in aggregate in view of non-availability of replenishment data in the DSRs formulated by the G&M Dept.
- g) Bulk density above 2 not agreed by the Committee. A nominal bulk density of 2 be used in absence of actual field measurements.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee did not approve the project in present form. However, the PP, if he so desires, is free to resubmit the case after getting the mining block resized by the competent authority to maintain safe distance of 500mts from the bridges upstream and downstream of the mining block, besides complying with all the observations made herein above under deliberations.

OBSERVATIONS ABOUT CONDUCT OF CONSULTANTS

(Excellent/Good /Average/Poor)

(SCALE: 9 to 10= Excellent / 7-8=Good /5-6=Average / 4-5= below average / less than 3= Poor)

S. No.	Component	P and M Solution
1	Submission of the documents	6
2	Site Appreciation Note, kml /kmz file, site photo and site videos and PowerPoint presentation	6
3	Presentation of factual details of case(s)	6
4	Field knowledge of the case(s)	6
5	Formulation of PFR, EMP, EIA, CER etc.	6
	Overall professional conduct during proceedings	6

Note: The recommendations made herein above are subject to grant of extension to the Letter of Intents of the pertinent cases by the Competent Authority and in case extension to LoI to any of the above cited cases is not extended, the recommendations thereof made herein above shall automatically stand withdrawn.

The minutes were formulated online by the JK Level Expert Appraisal Committee (JKEAC) immediately after the deliberations and are hereby issued with approval of the esteemed Chairman, JKEAC.



(Humayun Rashid)
S E C R E T A R Y

JKUT level Expert Appraisal Committee

NO:EAC/JK/21/10141-10153

Dated:22.10.2021

Copy by email to:

1. The Member Secretary, J&K Environment Impact Assessment Authority (JKEIAA), /PCCF/Director, Ecology, Environment and Remote Sensing, J&K Govt., Jammu for favour kind information and necessary action please.
2. Sh. S. C. Sharma, Chairman, J&K Expert Appraisal Committee, (JKEAC) 331 Shastri Nagar, Jammu-180004 for favour of kind information.
3. Sh. M.Atak, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 124 Mominabad (Near Jakfed), Anantnag Kashmir,-192101 for favour of kind information.
4. Sh. BrajBhushan Sharma, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 278/2 Channi Himmat, Jammu for favour of kind information.
5. Professor Shakeel Ahmad Romshoo, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal Committee, (JKEAC) Department of Earth Sciences Kashmir University Srinagar-190006 for favour of kind information and necessary action please.
6. Sh. Abdul Rashid Makroo, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) H/No. 9 Lane No 11 Sector C, Gulshan Nagar Nowgam Bypass, Srinagar-190019 for favour of kind information please.
7. Professor ArvindJasrotia Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 33/D Sainik Colony Jammu-180011 for favour of kind information please.
8. Dr.Ghulam Mohammad Dar, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Main Campus IMPA&RD, M.A Road, Srinagar-190001 for favour of kind information please.
9. Sh. IrfanYasin, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Bagh-e-Hyderpora, Bypass, Srinagar for favour of kind information please.
10. Professor Anil Kumar Raina, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Department of Environmental Science University of Jammu, Jammu-180006 for favour of kind information please.
11. Professor M. A. Khan, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Khan House, A-27 Milatabad, Peerbagh "B" Srinagar for favour of kind information please.
12. Dr.Falendra Kumar Sudan, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Professor Department of Economics University of Jammu, Jammu for favour of kind information please.
13. Sh. Sheikh Sajid, PA for information and with direction to upload the minutes on the environmental clearance portal at parivesh.nic.in.
14. Concerned File.

ANNEXURE-B

STANDARD AND SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR GRANT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE WITH RESPECT TO RIVER BED MINING PROJECTS ON LEASE BASIS

STANDARD CONDITIONS:-

Statutory compliance

- 1) This Environmental Clearance (EC) is subject to orders/ judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of J&K, Hon'ble NGT and any other Court of competent jurisdiction, as may be applicable.
- 2) The Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 2nd August, 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in matter of Common Cause versus Union of India & Ors before commencing the mining operations.
- 3) The UT Government of J&K shall ensure that mining operation shall not be commenced till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 2nd August, 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in matter of Common Cause versus Union of India & Ors.
- 4) This Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal NBWL Clearance from MoEF&CC subsequent to the recommendations of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife, if applicable to the Project.
- 5) This Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal Forest Clearance (FC) under the provision of Forest Conservation Act, 1980, if applicable to the Project.
- 6) Project Proponent (PP) shall obtain Consent to Operate after grant of EC and effectively implement all the conditions stipulated therein. The mining activity shall not commence prior to obtaining Consent to Establish / Consent to Operate from the concerned State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE.
- 7) The PP shall adhere to the provision of the Mines Act, 1952, Mines and Mineral (Development & Regulation), Act, 2015 and rules & regulations made there under. PP shall adhere to various circulars issued by Directorate General Mines Safety (DGMS) and Indian Bureau of Mines from time to time.
- 8) The Project Proponent shall obtain consents from all the concerned land owners, before start of mining operations, as per the provisions of MMDR Act, 1957 and rules made there under in respect of lands which are not owned by it.
- 9) The Project Proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCC's Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IAJI (M), dated 29th October, 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-Issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
- 10) The Project Proponent shall obtain necessary prior permission of the competent authorities for drawl of requisite quantity of surface water and from CGWA for withdrawal of ground water for the project.
- 11) A copy of EC letter will be marked to concerned Panchayat / local NGO etc. if any, from whom suggestion / representation has been received while processing the proposal.

- 12) State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE shall be responsible for display of this EC letter at its Regional office, District Industries Centre and Collector's office/ Tehsildar's Office for 30 days.
- 13) The Project Authorities should widely advertise about the grant of this EC letter by printing the same in at least two local newspapers, one of which shall be in vernacular language of the concerned area.
- 14) The advertisement shall be done within 7 days of the issue of the clearance letter mentioning that the instant project has been accorded EC and copy of the EC letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE and web site of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (www.environmentclearance.nic.in). A copy of the advertisement may be forwarded to the concerned MoEFCC Regional Office for compliance and record.
- 15) The Project Proponent shall inform the MoEF&CC for any change in ownership of the mining lease. In case there is any change in ownership or mining lease is transferred than mining operation shall only be carried out after transfer of EC as per provisions of the para 11 of EIA Notification, 2006 as amended from time to time.

Air quality monitoring and preservation

- 16) The Project Proponent shall install a minimum of 3 (three) online Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations with 1 (one) in upwind and 2 (two) in downwind direction based on long term climatological data about wind direction such that an angle of 120° is made between the monitoring locations to monitor critical parameters, relevant for mining operations, of air pollution viz. PM10, PM 2.5, NO₂; CO and SO₂ etc. as per the methodology mentioned in NAAQS Notification No. B-29016/20/90/PC/I, dated 18.11.2009 covering the aspects of transportation and use of heavy machinery in the impact zone. The ambient air quality shall also be monitored at prominent places like office building, canteen etc. as per the site condition to ascertain the exposure characteristics at specific places. The above data shall be digitally displayed within 03 months in front of the main Gate of the mine site.
- 17) Effective safeguard measures for prevention of dust generation and subsequent suppression (like regular water sprinkling, metalled road construction etc.) shall be carried out in areas prone to air pollution wherein high levels of PM10 and PM2.5 are evident such as haul road, loading and unloading point and transfer points. The Fugitive dust emissions from all sources shall be regularly controlled by installation of required equipment's/ machineries and preventive maintenance: Use of suitable water-soluble chemical dust suppressing agents may be explored for better effectiveness of dust control system. It shall be ensured that air pollution level conform to the standards prescribed by the MoEFCC/ Central Pollution Control Board.

Water quality monitoring and preservation

- 18) In case, immediate mining scheme envisages intersection of ground water table, then Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal clearance from CGWA. In case, mining operation involves intersection of ground water table at a later stage, then PP shall ensure that prior approval from CGWA and MoEFCC is in place before such mining operations. The permission for intersection of ground water table shall essentially be based on detailed hydro-geological study of the area.
- 19) Regular monitoring of the flow rate of the springs and perennial nallahs flowing in and around the mine lease shall be carried out and records maintain. The natural water bodies and or streams which are flowing in an around the village, should not be

disturbed. The Water Table should be nurtured so as not to go down below the pre-mining period. In case of any water scarcity in the area, the Project Proponent has to provide water to the villagers for their use. A provision for regular monitoring of water table in open dug wall located in village should be incorporated to ascertain the impact of mining over ground water table. The Report on changes in Ground water level and quality shall be submitted on six-monthly basis to the Regional Office of the Ministry, CGWA and State Groundwater Department / State Pollution Control Board.

- 20) Project Proponent shall regularly monitor and maintain records w.r.t. ground water level and quality in and around the mine lease by establishing a network of existing wells as well as new piezo-meter installations during the mining operation in consultation with Central Ground Water Authority/ State Ground Water Department. The Report on changes in Ground water level and quality shall be submitted on six-monthly basis to the Regional Office of the Ministry, CGWA and State Groundwater Department / State Pollution Control Board.
- 21) The Project Proponent shall undertake regular monitoring of natural water course/ water resources/ springs and perennial nallahs existing/ flowing in and around the mine lease and maintain its records. The Project Proponent shall undertake regular monitoring of water quality upstream and downstream of water bodies passing within and nearby/ adjacent to the mine lease and maintain its records. Sufficient number of gullies shall be provided at appropriate places within the lease for management of water. PP shall carryout regular monitoring w.r.t. pH and included the same in monitoring plan. The parameters to be monitored shall include their water quality vis-à-vis suitability for usage as per CPCB criteria and flow rate. It shall be ensured that no obstruction and/ or alteration be made to water bodies during mining operations without justification and prior approval of MoEFCC. The monitoring of water courses/ bodies existing in lease area shall be carried out four times in a year viz. pre- monsoon (April-May), monsoon (August), post-monsoon (November) and winter (January) and the record of monitored data may be sent regularly to Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and its Regional Office, Central Ground Water Authority and Regional Director, Central Ground Water Board, State Pollution Control Board and Central Pollution Control Board. Clearly showing the trend analysis on six-monthly basis.
- 22) Quality of polluted water generated from mining operations which include Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) in mines run-off; acid mine drainage and metal contamination in runoff shall be monitored along with Total Suspended Solids (TDS), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), pH and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). The monitored data shall be uploaded on the website of the company as well as displayed at the project site in public domain, on a display board, at a suitable location near the main gate of the Company. The circular No. J- 20012/1/2006-IAJI (M) dated 27.05.2009 issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change may also be referred in this regard.
- 23) Project Proponent shall plan, develop and implement rainwater harvesting measures on long term basis to augment ground water resources in the area consultation with Central Ground Water Board/ State Groundwater Department. A report on amount of water recharged needs to be submitted to Regional Office MoEFCC annually.
- 24) Industrial waste water (workshop and waste water from the mine) should be properly collected and treated so as to conform to the notified standards prescribed from time to time. The standards shall be prescribed through Consent to Operate (CTO) issued by concerned State Pollution Control Board (SPCB). The workshop effluent shall be treated after its initial passage through Oil and grease trap.

- 25) The water balance/water auditing shall be carried out and measure for reducing the consumption of water shall be taken up and reported to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC and State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE.

Noise and vibration monitoring and prevention

- 26) The peak particle velocity at 500m distance or within the nearest habitation, whichever is closer shall be monitored periodically as per applicable DGMS guidelines.
- 27) The illumination and sound at night at project sites disturb the villages in respect of both human and animal population. Consequent sleeping disorders and stress may affect the health in the villages located close to mining operations. Habitations have a right for darkness and minimal noise levels at night. PPs must ensure that the biological clock of the villages is not disturbed; by orienting the floodlights/ masks away from the villagers and keeping the noise levels well within the prescribed limits for day /night hours.
- 28) The Project Proponent shall take measures for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment. The workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs /muffs. All personnel including laborers working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices along with adequate training, awareness and information on safety and health aspects. The PP shall be held responsible in case it has been found that workers/ personals/ laborers are working without personal protective equipment.

Mining plan

- 29) The Project Proponent shall adhere to the working parameters of mining plan which was submitted at the time of EC appraisal wherein year-wise plan was mentioned for total excavation subject to changes recommended in the specific conditions herein below. No change in basic mining proposal like mining technology, total excavation, mineral & waste production, lease area and scope of working (viz. method of mining, overburden & dump management , O.B & dump mining, mineral transportation mode, ultimate depth of mining etc.) shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, which entail adverse environmental impacts, even if it is a part of approved mining plan modified after grant of EC or granted by State Govt. in the form to Short Term Permit (STP), Query license or any other name.
- 30) The Project Proponent shall get the Final Mine Closure Plan along with Financial Assurance approved from Indian Bureau of Mines/Department of Mining & Geology as required under the Provision of the MMDR Act, 1957 and Rules/ Guidelines made there under. A copy of approved final mine closure plan shall be submitted within 2 months of the approval of the same from the competent authority to the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for record and verification.
- 31) The land-use of the mine lease area at various stages of mining scheme as well as at the end-of-life shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan. The excavation vis-à-vis backfilling in the mine lease area and corresponding afforestation to be raised in the reclaimed area shall be governed as per approved mining plan. PP shall ensure the monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas until the vegetation becomes selfsustaining. The compliance status shall be submitted half-yearly to the MoEFCC and its concerned Regional Office.

Land reclamation

- 32) The Overburden (O.B.) generated during the mining operations shall be stacked at earmarked OB dump site(s) only and it should not be kept active for a long period of time. The physical parameters of the OB dumps like height, width and angle of slope shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan as per the guidelines/circulars issued by D.G.M.S w.r.t. safety in mining operations shall be strictly adhered to maintain the stability of top soil/OB dumps. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation.
- 33) The reject/waste generated during the mining operations shall be stacked at earmarked waste dump site(s) only. The physical parameters of the waste dumps like height, width and angle of slope shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan as per the guidelines/circulars issued by DGMS w.r.t. safety in mining operations shall be strictly adhered to maintain the stability of waste dumps.
- 34) The reclamation of waste dump sites shall be done in scientific manner as per the Approved Mining Plan cum Progressive Mine Closure Plan. iv. The slope of dumps shall be vegetated in scientific manner with suitable native species to maintain the slope stability, prevent erosion and surface run off. The selection of local species regulates local climatic parameters and help in adaptation of plant species to the microclimate. The gullies formed on slopes should be adequately taken care of as it impacts the overall stability of dumps. The dump mass should be consolidated with the help of dozer/ compactors thereby ensuring proper filling/ levelling of dump mass. In critical areas, use of geo textiles/ geo-membranes / clay liners / Bentonite etc. shall be undertaken for stabilization of the dump.
- 35) The Project Proponent shall carry out slope stability study in case the dump height is more than 30 meters. The slope stability report shall be submitted to concerned regional office of MoEF&CC.
- 36) Catch drains, settling tanks and ponds of appropriate size shall be constructed around the mine working, mineral yards and Top Soil/OB/Waste dumps to prevent run off of water and flow of sediments directly into the water bodies (Nallah/ River/ Pond etc.). The collected water should be utilized for watering the mine area, roads, green belt development, plantation etc. The drains/ sedimentation sumps etc. shall be de-silted regularly, particularly after monsoon season, and maintain properly.
- 37) Check dams of appropriate size, gradient and length shall be constructed around mine pit and OB dumps to prevent storm run-off and sediment flow into adjoining water bodies. A safety margin of 50% shall be kept for designing of sump structures over and above peak rainfall (based on 50 years data) and maximum discharge in the mine and its adjoining area which shall also help in providing adequate retention time period thereby allowing proper settling of sediments/ silt material. The sedimentation pits/ sumps shall be constructed at the corners of the garland drains,
- 38) The top soil, if any, shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) within the mine lease only and should not be kept unutilized for long. The physical parameters of the top soil dumps like height, width and angle of slope shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan and as per the guidelines framed by DGMS w.r.t. safety in mining operations shall be strictly adhered to maintain the stability of dumps. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation purpose.

Transportation

- 39) No Transportation of the minerals shall be allowed in case of roads passing through villages/ habitations. *(In such cases, PP shall construct a 'bypass' road for the purpose of transportation of the minerals leaving an adequate gap (say at least 200 meters) so that the adverse impact of sound and dust along with chances of accidents could be*

mitigated.) All costs resulting from widening and strengthening of existing public road network shall be borne by the PP in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department. Transportation of minerals through road movement in case of existing village/ rural roads shall be allowed in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department only after required strengthening such that the carrying capacity of roads is increased to handle the traffic load. The pollution due to transportation load on the environment will be effectively controlled and water sprinkling will also be done regularly. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Project should obtain Pollution Under Control (PUC) certificate for all the vehicles from authorized pollution testing centers.

- 40) The Main haulage road within the mine lease should be provided with a permanent water sprinkling arrangement for dust suppression. Other roads within the mine lease should be wetted regularly with tanker-mounted water sprinkling system. The other areas of dust generation like crushing zone, material transfer points, material yards etc. should invariably be provided with dust suppression arrangements. The air pollution control equipment's like bag filters, vacuum suction hoods, dry fogging system etc. shall be installed at Crushers, belt-conveyors and other areas prone to air pollution. The belt conveyor should be fully covered to avoid generation of dust while transportation. PP shall take necessary measures to avoid generation of fugitive dust emissions.

Green Belt

- 41) The Project Proponent shall develop greenbelt in 7.5m wide safety zone all along the mine lease boundary as per the guidelines of CPCB in order to arrest pollution emanating from mining operations within the lease. The whole Green belt shall be developed within first 5 years starting from windward side of the active mining area. The development of greenbelt shall be governed as per the EC granted by the Ministry irrespective of the stipulation made in approved mine plan.
- 42) The Project Proponent shall carryout plantation/ afforestation in backfilled and reclaimed area of mining lease, around water body, along the roadsides, in community areas etc. by planting the native species in consultation with the State Forest Department/ Agriculture Department/ Rural development department/ Tribal Welfare Department/ Gram Panchayat such that only those species be selected which are of use to the local people. The CPCB guidelines in this respect shall also be adhered. The density of the trees should be around 2500 saplings per Hectare. Adequate budgetary provision shall be made for protection and care of trees.
- 43) The Project Proponent shall make necessary alternative arrangements for livestock feed by developing grazing land with a view to compensate those areas which are coming within the mine lease. The development of such grazing land shall be done in consultation with the State Government. In this regard, Project Proponent should essentially implement the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court with regard to acquisition of grazing land. The sparse trees on such grazing ground, which provide mid-day shelter from the scorching sun, should be scrupulously guarded/ protected against felling and plantation of such trees should be promoted.
- 44) The Project Proponent shall undertake all precautionary measures for conservation and protection of endangered flora and fauna and Schedule-I species during mining operation. A Wildlife Conservation Plan shall be prepared for the same clearly delineating action to be taken for conservation of flora and fauna. The Plan shall be approved by Chief Wild Life Warden of the State Govt.

- 45) And implemented in consultation with the State Forest and Wildlife Department. A copy of Wildlife Conservation Plan and its implementation status (annual) shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the Ministry.

Public hearing and human health issues

- 46) The Project Proponent shall appoint an Occupational Health Specialist for Regular as well as Periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the mining activities, as per the DGMS guidelines. The records shall be maintain properly. PP shall also carryout Occupational health check-ups in respect of workers which are having ailments like BP, diabetes, habitual smoking, etc. The check-ups shall be undertaken once in six months and necessary remedial/ preventive measures be taken. A status report on the same may be sent to MoEFCC Regional Office and DGMS on half-yearly basis.
- 47) The Project Proponent must demonstrate commitment to work towards 'Zero Harm' from their mining activities and carry out Health Risk Assessment (HRA) for identification workplace hazards and assess their potential risks to health and determine appropriate control measures to protect the health and wellbeing of workers and nearby community. The proponent shall maintain accurate and systematic records of the HRA. The HRA for neighbourhood has to focus on Public Health Problems like Malaria, Tuberculosis, HIV, Anaemia, Diarrhoea in children under five, respiratory infections due to bio mass cooking. The proponent shall also create awareness and educate the nearby community and workers for Sanitation, Personal Hygiene, Hand washing, not to defecate in open, Women Health and Hygiene (Providing Sanitary Napkins), hazard of tobacco and alcohol use. The Proponent shall carryout base line HRA for all the category of workers and thereafter every five years.
- 48) The Proponent shall carry out Occupational health surveillance which be a part of HRA and include Biological Monitoring where practical and feasible, and the tests and investigations relevant to the exposure (e.g. for Dust a X-Ray chest; For Noise Audiometric; for Lead Exposure Blood Lead, For Welders Full Ophthalmologic Assessment; for Manganese Miners a complete Neurological Assessment by a Certified Neurologist, and Manganese (Mn) Estimation in Blood; For Inorganic Chromium Fortnightly skin inspection of hands and forearms by a responsible person. Except routine tests all tests would be carried out in a Lab accredited by NABH. Records of Health Surveillance must be kept for 30 years, including the results of and the records of Physical examination and tests. The record of exposure due to materials like Asbestos, Hard Rock Mining, Silica, Gold, Kaolin, Aluminium, Iron, Manganese, Chromium, Lead, Uranium need to be handed over to the Mining Department of the State in case the life of the mine is less than 30 years. It would be obligatory for the State Mines Departments to make arrangements for the safe and secure storage of the records including X-Ray. Only conventional X-Ray will be accepted for record purposes and not the digital one). X-Ray must meet ILO criteria (17 x14 inches and of good quality).
- 49) The Proponent shall maintain a record of performance indicators for workers which includes
- a. there should not be a significant decline in their Body Mass Index and it should stay between 18.5 -24.9,
 - b. the Final Chest X-Ray compared with the base line X-Ray should not show any capacities,
 - c. At the end of their leaving job there should be no Diminution in their Lung Functions Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1) Forced Vital

- Capacity (FVC), and the ratio) unless they are smokers which has to be adjusted, and the effect of age,
- d. their hearing should not be affected. As a proof an Audiogram (first and last need to be presented),
 - e. they should not have developed any Persistent Back Pain, Neck Pain, and the movement of their Hip, Knee and other joints should have normal range of movement,
 - f. they should not have suffered loss of any body part. The record of the same should be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEFCC annually along with details of the relief and compensation paid to workers having above indications.
- 50) The Project Proponent shall ensure that Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
- 51) Project Proponent shall make provision for the housing for workers/labors or shall construct labour camps within/outside (company owned land) with necessary basic infrastructure/ facilities like fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche for kids etc. The housing may be provided in the form of temporary structures which can be removed after the completion of the project related infrastructure. The domestic waste water should be treated with STP in order to avoid contamination of underground water.
- 52) The activities proposed in Action plan prepared for addressing the issues raised during the Public Hearing, if applicable, shall be completed as per the budgetary provisions mentioned in the Action Plan and within the stipulated time frame. The Status Report on implementation of Action Plan shall be submitted to the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry along with District Administration.

Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER)

- 53) The activities and budget earmarked for Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) as per Ministry's O.M No 22-65/2017-IA. III dated 30/09/2020 or as proposed by EAC should be kept in a separate bank account. The activities proposed for CER shall be implemented in a time bound manner and annual report of implementation of the same along with documentary proof viz. photographs, purchase documents, latitude & longitude of infrastructure developed & road constructed needs to be submitted to Regional Office MoEF&CC annually along with audited statement.
- 54) Project Proponent shall keep the funds earmarked for environmental protection measures in a separate account and refrain from diverting the same for other purposes. The Year wise expenditure of such funds should be reported to the MoEFCC and its concerned Regional Office.

Miscellaneous

- 55) The Project Proponent shall prepare digital map (land use & land cover) of the entire lease area and KML file for recording the base line data and closure time data (after three years) and submit a report to concerned Regional Office of the MoEFCC.
- 56) The Project Authorities should inform to the Regional Office regarding date of financial closures and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities and the date of start of land development work.
- 57) The Project Proponent shall submit six monthly compliance reports on the status of the implementation of the stipulated environmental safeguards to the MOEFCC & its

concerned Regional Office, Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Board.

- 58) A separate 'Environmental Management Cell' with suitable qualified manpower should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive. The Senior Executive shall directly report to Head of the Organization. Adequate number of qualified Environmental Scientists and Mining Engineers shall be appointed and submit a report to RO, MoEFCC.
- 59) The concerned Regional Office of the MoEFCC shall randomly monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the MoEFCC officer(s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS: -

1	The Project Proponent must obtain NOCs from competent authority of all the concerned stake holder departments /Joint Inspection Report prior to grant of formal Environmental Clearance (EC). The EC shall be subject to strict compliance of conditions of the NOCs issued by the stake holder departments.
2	The cremation ground if any in the vicinity be properly demarcated and left undisturbed and its map showing geographical coordinates be submitted to JKEIAA before issuance of formal EC. Besides, map showing extraction route bypassing village settlements and agricultural/horticultural land as far as possible be submitted to the JKEIAA before formal grant of EC.
3	The maximum exploitation of the RBM from the mining block shall be as per approved mining plan or <u>as per revised mining plan to be approved by competent authority denovo</u> within the prescribed area and depth recommended by the JK Expert Appraisal Committee, as the case may be and <u>whichever is less</u> . Mining depth mentioned above the recommended depth by JKEAC, if mentioned anywhere in any of the submitted documents whether by mistake or otherwise, shall be treated as omitted.
4	A green belt shall be developed under close supervision of the local panchayat.
5	The river bed material shall be sold to the local population within radial distance of 2 kms from the mine site for residential construction purpose at 50% concessional rates.
6	The formal lease shall be granted only after the Project Proponent deposits the funds earmarked under EMP / CER in a separate account to be opened for the purpose and funds be utilized in coordination with DFO, Social Forestry Dept. and concerned Chief Medical Officer (CMO) under supervision of local Panchayat Head. The funds earmarked for CSR shall also be utilized in accordance with CSR Policy Rules.
7	All the provisions of Minor Mineral Rules sanctioned under SRO105 with all amendments with respect to pertinent provisions of the legal framework relating to progressive mine closure shall be complied by the Project Proponent.
8	This Environmental Clearance is subject to final outcome of any litigation pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of Jammu & Kashmir, Hon'ble NGT, or any other Court of Law, if any, as may be applicable to this project.
9	This Environmental Clearance is without prejudice to the standing instructions /orders from the competent authorities in the MoEF&CC, GoI and the UT of J&K w.r.t river bed mining activity.
10	An inspection Committee comprising of Additional Dy. Commissioner, District Mineral Officer, representative of Pollution Control Board, Executive Engineer Irrigation & Flood Control Dept., Executive Engineer, PHE(Jalshakti) Dept. and District Fisheries Officer shall monitor the implementation of the EMP and also certify at the end of each monsoon, that sufficient replenishment of the minor mineral has

	taken place during monsoon and further mining in the mining block shall not adversely affect the hydrological and ecological settings in the area.
11	The Project Proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operations for conservation and protection of endangered fauna and flora in the area.
12	Masks and PPE shall be provided to the workers in view of COVID-19.
13	Social distancing norms and other standard operating procedures relating to COVID-19 shall be followed during mining activity.
14	The plan worked out and expenditure made under Occupational Health should be undertaken in consultation with the Local Health Institution/PHC/Sub-Centre for utilization for the health and welfare of the local workers involved in mining activity and villagers living in the close vicinity. Regular Medical checkups and free medicines shall be provided under the fund.
15	The Project Proponent must ensure that the biological clock of the villagers in the vicinity of the project is not disturbed by any unauthorized night operations and all measures must be taken for keeping the noise levels within prescribed levels.
16	Provision shall be kept for housing of the labour force, toilets, facilities for cooking, safe water, health care, crèche etc. for the labour force.
17	A final Mine closure Plan along with details of Corpus fund shall be communicated to the MoEF&CC in advance for approval.
18	The top soil in case of surface land mining shall be temporarily stored at the designated / appropriate site and concurrently used for land reclamation.
19	The mining operations shall be undertaken in a systematic manner so that it does not damage the aesthetic view of the area.
20	Adequate steps should be taken to check soil erosion and engineering structures shall be raised wherever required. The mining shall be restricted to 3/4 th of the width of the river/riverlet or 7.5 m(inward) from river bank but upto 10% of the width of the river. While calculating targeted mineral production and while formulating the mining plan, the project proponent shall ensure that the area for removal of minerals shall not exceed 60% of the mine lease area, and any deviation or relaxation in this regard shall be adequately supported by the scientific report in accordance with Sand Mining Monitoring & Enforcement Guidelines of 2020.
21	The compliance report shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC at Chandigarh with a copy to the Regulatory Authority.
22	The mining activity should in no case go beyond the area as mentioned in the Letter of Intent or Environmental clearance letter, whichever is less and a buffer area is maintained such that the slopes of adjoining area does not get disturbed.
23	All the provisions made and restrictions imposed as covered in the Minor Mineral Rules, shall be complied with, particularly regarding Environment Management Practices and its fund management.
24	The recommendations made in the District Survey Report on sustainable mining practices if any shall be complied.
25	The mining shall be done in bench form with maximum bench height within the mining depth as prescribed by the Expert Appraisal Committee
26	Mining should be initiated only after installation of signboards with demarcation pillars indicating the geo-coordinates of the plot as mentioned in the letter of intent, measurements (length /breadth) and should be laid in presence of the authorized official of the Geology & Mining Department. All vertices /corners of the mining block must be marked with concrete pillars of size 0.5mtx0.5mt x2.5mts (2.5 mts. is the depth

	below the NSL).The demarcation pillars site should mention the specific EC conditions and contact details of concerned authorities responsible for monitoring of compliance of EC conditions/district administration/Police at four corners of the mining block prominently on a large size durable display board for enabling the public to inform the authorities in case of violation of the EC conditions. The display board with details shall be maintained in perfect order throughout the period of lease/mining.
27	There should be no change in the method of excavation and quantity of minerals to be extracted.
28	The EC holder shall keep a correct account of quantity of mineral mined out, dispatched from the mine, mode of transport, registration number of vehicle, person in-charge of vehicle and mine plan. This should be produced before officers concerned for inspection.
29	The access to mining site should be controlled in a way that vehicles carrying mineral from that area are tracked and accounted for in accordance with standing guidelines issued by the Govt.
30	The Geology & Mining Department should use technology like Bar Coding, Information and Communications Technology (ICT), Web based and ICT enabled services, mobile SMS App etc. to account for weight of mineral being taken out of the plot and the number of trucks moving out with the mineral.
31	The PP should ensure no agriculture land in the area is used for any storage of sand or gets damaged due to any other transportation activity. Where ever, damages to agricultural land, assets or human or bovine life by way of plying of trollies and tippers etc. involved in transportation of minor mineral is reported, the Project Proponent shall pay adequate compensation with penalty to the effected persons as to be fixed up by the competent authority.
32	Waste generated will be collected on regular basis and will be disposed as per the Municipal Solid Waste Management (Management & Handling) Rule 2000 and its subsequent amendments.
33	Spring sources should not be affected due to mining activities. Necessary Protection measures are to be incorporated.
34	The mineral transportation shall be carried out through covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded.
35	Overloading of trucks and trolleys will be avoided.
36	All the instructions from authorities representing various government departments having stakes shall be complied with during the mining operations.
37	Haul road will be kept wide, compact and water spraying will be done. It shall be properly maintain by the PP and restored to original position after mining. Axel load on the roads should not exceed the prescribed load as per IRC
38	Restricted working hours. Mining operation has to be carried out between 6 am to 7 pm. During day light. Mining operations shall not be allowed at night.
39	No overhangs shall be allowed to be formed due to mining and mining shall not be allowed in area where subsidence of rocks is likely to occur due to steep angle of slope. Mining activity shall not be avoided in rainy season
40	Vehicles used for transportation of material are to be permitted only with fitness and PUC Certificates.
41	There shall be no extraction of stone / boulder in landslide prone areas.
42	Adequate facility for drinking water and toilets should be provided for the workers.
43	There should be controlled clearance of overground vegetation to be undertaken.

44	Transport of mineral will not be done through villages / habitations and any private productive or waste land.
45	Deep drilled handpump with sufficient funding provision should be earmarked for providing drinking facility to the labour force.
46	Recommendations of National Institute for Labour for ensuring good occupational environment for mine workers would also be adopted.
47	The PP shall be responsible for enforcement of COVID-19 standard operating procedures and guidelines with respect to safety of workers involved in the mining activity.
48	Project Proponent shall ensure that the road may not be damaged due to transportation of the mineral and transport of minerals will be as per IRC relevant guidelines if any in this regard.
49	Health and safety of workers should be taken care of. They should also be provided training in safety and health care aspects.
50	Junction at takeoff point of approach road with main road be properly developed with proper width and geometry required for safe movement of traffic by concession holder at his own cost.
51	No stacking shall be allowed on road side along National Highway or any public road.
52	Concealing of any information/data of submission of wrong/fabricated information by the Project Proponent and failure to comply with any of the above conditions may lead to withdrawal of the EC and attract action under the provisions of EP Act, 1986.
53	Mining shall be done manually minimally supported by semi-mechanized methods. Heavy machinery like JCBs, Excavators/L&T hydraulic excavators etc. should not be allowed. Emphasis should be given to employment of locally available labour force to address the socio-economic concerns of the locals.
54	The progressive mine closure shall be as per Rules in vogue. All actions relating to progressive mine closure shall be taken well in advance during the final year of mining so that the site is rehabilitated ecologically.
55	The reasonable concerns expressed by the local population during public hearing or otherwise shall be addressed by the Project Proponent. No blasting operations shall be allowed.
56	No mining activity shall be carried out in flowing water channel area within the mining block and adequate measures shall be taken to safeguard water quality and aquatic life including fisheries if available in the same.
57	The PP shall earmark funds strictly as per the agreed Environmental Management Plan to be spent on environmental monitoring, dust sprinkling, green belt development, rehabilitation of mined out area and landscaping/regressing and haulage route maintenance. The detailed updated plan shall be submitted to JKEIAA as per above deliberations before grant of formal EC, if desired by the JKEAC. Further, the CSR funds shall be earmarked and utilized as per CSR Rules.
58	The hydraulic structures/embankments /protection bunds shall not be disturbed /damaged during mining operations.
59	The alignment of the bank of the river and water shall not be changed in any case.
60	The water way of the Nalla shall not be restricted.
61	The applicant is bound to abide by the J&K Water Resources (Regulation and Management) Act and Rules of 2011 and J&K Fisheries Act, 2016 and all other relevant Acts and Rules thereof.

62	The EC is subject to condition that the mining block is located on state land exclusively in river bed without any encumbrances of any sort.
63	Under CSR, within 2 km radial distance, activities like regular health check-up of local villagers once a week shall be undertaken with free medicines. Safe drinking water facility by way of renovation of existing tanks/wells, digging of new tube wells and installation of water filters shall be undertaken. Skill development trainings shall be organized for unemployed local youth. Further, construction of toilets in schools, solar street lighting, free distribution of books, note books, N95 masks, hand sanitizers and school bags among students within 2 km radius from the mine area shall be undertaken. Under EMP, with 2 kms radial distance from mine area; air, water, soil, noise pollution monitoring on half yearly basis, black topping of roads passing through villages and maintenance of haulage /extraction routes, water sprinkling, PPE to mine workers, bearing of school/college fee and allied costs on education of children of mine workers, bearing of all costs on ailments/healthcare of mine workers, creation of green belt by way of raising and maintenance of 2500 trees per hectare on land identified by DFO Social Forestry concerned in consultation with village panchayat during the period of mining, shall be undertaken.
64	The JKEIAA reserves the right to impose any other condition in the EC at any time during the period of mining lease. The JKEIAA reserves the right to revoke the EC in case any of the environmental clearance conditions are violated during the mining activity. Mere grant of Environmental Clearance does not entitle the Project Proponent for grant of mining lease unless he obtains all other clearances as required under other provisions of law.
65	The EC is subject to condition that the Project proponent shall not change the water course of the river and no mining activity shall be carried out in active channel area, if any in the mining block.
66	Wherever the PP has to transport the mined out material across shallow water creeks found inside the mining block, he shall lay Hume pipes of appropriate sizes for the purpose so as not to disturb the natural flow of water.
67	On the request of project proponent, the competent authority shall issue necessary corrigendum to the approved mining plan w.r.t mining depth and area excluding the active water channel /green patch/ flood control structures/maintenance of safe distance to bridges/hydraulic structures and quantification of the targeted mineral, if and wherever, recommended by the Expert Appraisal Committee.
68	The project proponent shall undertake an environmental audit at least once a year by a reputed third-party entity and report of such audit shall be placed in public domain.
69	To ensure that irrigation inlets are not left high and dry due to mining and resultant degradation of bed, the Project Proponent also must construct well-designed cut-off walls, stretching bank to bank, having depth 1.5 times the maximum scour depth below the bed level, both upstream and downstream, maintaining prescribed distance from irrigation inlet/s as per guidelines.
70	The District Mineral Officer shall monitor the replenishment of the mined-out areas, traffic management, levels of production, river bank erosion, exit point of the site and instruct the Project proponent for initiating measures for mitigating environmental concerns.
71	The PP shall not sub-let the mining block to any third party without written approval from the JKEIAA

72	After ceasing the mining operations, the project proponent shall undertake re-grassing of the mining lease area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to mining activities and restore the area to a condition fit for growth of flora, fauna and fodder as per rules in vogue.
73	The Project Proponent shall strictly adhere to the Sand Mining Guidelines of 2016 and Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines of 2020 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, GoI
74	An appeal against the EC shall lie with the Hon'ble NGT, if preferred within 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the NGT Act, 2010.
75	In the event any conflict in interpretation of Standard /General Conditions vis-a vis Specific Conditions, the Specific Conditions shall prevail.