

Minutes of 126th Meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bay's No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula on 11th December, 2020 under the Chairmanship of Shri Bharat Bhushan IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.

The Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda including Supplementary Item No. 59 & 60; were discussed and following decisions were taken:-

Item No. [1] EC for Proposed Affordable Group Housing Colony at Village Ullawas & Behrampur, Sector-59, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Pyramid Home Developers LLP.

The case was considered in the 199th & 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 23.06.2020 & 14.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC" to the Project on submission of aforesaid Affidavits.

Item No. [2] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony at Village Badha, Sector-86, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Pyramid Infratech Private Limited.

The Project was considered in the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020 and the case was recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. That water consumption has been calculated @135 lpcd in existing phase & @86 lpcd in

Expansion Phase. How would PP be able to differentiate in the supply? Water consumption to be balanced to reduce the excess available quantity of treated water.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC for Expansion" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [3] EC for 22.31558 Ha. (55.14 Acres) Warehouse Development Project at Village Dadri Toe, District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s Dadri Toe Warehousing Pvt. LTD.

The Project was considered in **the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020** and the case was recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the proposed Green Area is 16.08% of total Plot Area, accordingly the Authority directed the PP to create 5% of Total Plot Area as "Vertical Green" in addition to the stated Green Area and submit an Affidavit for the same.

Further, the Authority observed and directed the PP to explain the following:

1. Proposed Power back-up DG set of capacity 4625 KVA (500 KVA x 9 + 125 KVA x 1), what type of APCM are proposed. Emission & type of fuel must meet the guidelines of GRAP & NCAP.
2. Proposed trailer parking & ECS is for 545 & 224 respectively, PP & consultant must submit an affidavit regarding the extensive studies carried out to determine the "Incremental Pollution Load" and stated "Traffic" would not be obstructing the general traffic flow.
3. Water balance chart shows 5 KLD of "Fresh Water" for "HVAC", when excess treated water 67 KLD, is there, why to use fresh water? Treated Water should be used for HVAC. Submit the Plan to treat the residual water.
4. Peak hourly rain fall 0.05 m taken, it should not be less than 0.09 mt for design purposes of RWH Pits.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That the installation and running of the DG sets would be as per the guidelines of MoEF & CC and any Court Orders applicable for the DG sets;
- (e) That the Mist Guns would be installed as per the latest Court Orders and Rulings;
- (g) That the Storage/Warehouse facility would follow the issued or amended guidelines of MoEF & CC, GoI/ SPCB/CPCB in letter and spirit.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [4] ToR for proposed Mix Used Development project (MUD) located at adjacent to Bhaktawar Chowk Junction of Netaji Subhash Marg Road and Satpaul Mittal Marg Road, Plot C-1, District Centre, Sector 47, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s IKEA India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/54971/2020 dated 06.08.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of **TOR under category 8(b)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; after due deliberations;** the Authority decided to **approve** the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.**

Item No. [5] EC for proposed up-gradation of existing Common Effluent Treatment Plant from 5 MLD to 10 MLD (Based on Extended Aeration System) capacity at Sector -38, Phase-I, HSIIDC Industrial Area, Rai, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC Industrial Estate.

The case was considered in **the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the PP to submit the proof that CETP was constructed well before the existence of EIA Notification 2006.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of documents on the aforesaid observation by the PP.

Item No. [6] Amendment of Environment Clearance for Sarvodaya Hospital & Research Centre (A Unit of Anshu Hospitals Ltd) At Site No.1, Sector-08 at Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Sarvodaya Hospital & Research Centre.

The case was considered in **the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Amendment in Environment Clearance. Earlier, the EC was granted to 30.11.2017.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.12.2020,** the Authority observed that SEAC has recommended this project for Amendment whereas **the number of Beds is increased; Bio-medical waste as well as other requirements are also being increased /changed.**

After detailed deliberation; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC to ask the Project Proponent to apply under Expansion Category instead of Amendment in EC.

Item No. [7] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Revenue Estate of Village Dhoraka, Sector-95, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Doctor Agro Pvt. Ltd. in Collaboration with M/s Czar BuildwellPvt Ltd.

The case was considered in **the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to submit the NOC obtained from Chief Wildlife Warden as area falls in the Eco-Sensitive Zone.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That the RWH Pits 8 in Nos. with diameter 4.5 mts & depth 5 mts.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC" to the Project on submission of aforesaid NOC as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [8] EC for Expansion of Ware House at Village Behrampur, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s P. D. Enterprises.

The case was lastly taken up in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and decided to forward this case to SEIAA for taking Legal action under the provisions of **EP Act, 1986** being a Violation Case for construction of Warehouse without taking the prior Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification 2006.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

Item No. [9] EC for Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony at Village Dhunela & Berka, Sector-29, 30, 32 & 33, Tehsil Sohna, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s ST. Patricks Realty Private Limited.

The case was considered in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The Project has been granted earlier Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2017/634 dated 22.09.2017.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Proposed Number & capacity of DG sets are (1x900, 8x700, 3x600, 2x1000, 1x800, 2x500, 1x400, 1x300, 2x630), type of APCM proposed? Emission & fuel type to be as per GRAP and NCAP's guidelines.

2. 130 KLD of water requirement is shown for Cooling of HVAC & DG sets, all the quantity will not evaporate; PP should submit the plan to treat & reuse the residual water and plan to dispose of the rejects so produced.
3. PP should submit the revised calculations of RWH Pits. on Page No. D-38, submitted Peak hourly Rain fall 0.7973 mt/hr & then showing 40 mm (0.04mt/hr.) and subsequently submits that 80% of total run-off would be available for harvesting. Similarly, dual bore does not double the volume of Pit. Calculations should be self-explanatory and rational.
4. As per the attached RO, visit report only 20 RWH Pits have been constructed, has SEAC taken cognizance of the short-coming shown in the Report?
5. Whether the Green Area in granted EC is percentage of Total Plot Area or Net Plot Area? Same is to be compared with the Proposed Green Area after expansion.
6. The PP shall shift the nursery school located near the gas pipeline which was accepted by the PP and the consultant. They should submit details in this regard.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case till the submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [10] EC for Expansion of IT/ITES, SEZ Project (25.175 Acres), Sector 48, Village Tikri, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Candor Gurgaon One Reality Project Pvt Ltd.

The case was considered in the 202nd & 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 30.08.2020 & 15.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the proposed Green Area is 15% of total Plot Area, accordingly the Authority directed the PP to create 5% of Total Plot Area as "Vertical Green" in addition to the stated Green Area and submit an Affidavit for the same.

Further, the Authority observed and directed the PP to explain the following:

1. Proposed power back-up is of 47040 KVA, what types of APCM are installed & working and if

any addition in No. of DG sets what is the proposal? Type of Fuel to be used & emission must be as per the guide-lines of NCAP & GRAP.

2. Total water requirement is reducing by 35 KLD & waste water generation is increasing by 323 KLD?
3. 50 KLD of Fresh water shown to be required for “Filter back-Wash”, what is this process requiring 50,000 liters of fresh water per day, consuming 10,000 lts per day?
4. Documents (Fig 2.5) shows water requirement for HVAC & DG Cooling to be 732 & 47 KLD, 100% of this water would not be evaporated, how would the residual water be treated & the rejects would be handled? PP should submit the plan.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.
- (d) That “Zero Liquid Discharge” would be achieved and will install all the necessary equipment to treat the residual water”.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [11] EC for Revision and Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Revenue Estate of Village Mewla Maharajpur, Sector 45, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Jotindra Steel and Tubes Limited.

The case was considered in **the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. Earlier, the Project has obtained the Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2019/272 dated 30.08.2019. The TOR was granted on 20.12.2019. Thereafter, the PP submitted the EIA report.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Proposed DG sets 5 in Nos. Capacity 4000 KVA (3x1000+2x500 KVA), type of APCM proposed? Fuel type & emission to be as per the guidelines of GRAP & NCAP.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Revision and Expansion” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [12] EC for Project Expansion of Corporate Office Complex located at Plot No.13, Sector-32, Urban Estate, Gurugram-II, Haryana by M/s Padmini Technologies Ltd.

The case was considered in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and the committee observed that a Show-cause Notice was issued to PP vide letter No. 7095 dated **18.02.2020** by **HSPCB** that they have not obtained mandatory EC under EIA Notification 14.09.2006 as built up area is more than 20,000 sqm as per occupation certificate issued by Estate Officer HUDA vide letter dated 17.08.2016 and they are liable to pay the Environment Compensation in terms of directions of HSPCB issued vide their letter dated 29.04.2019. However, the Consultant and PP produced a letter **dated 02.02.2018** before the Committee addressed to SEIAA that they have sought a clarification from SEIAA whether the project required EC or not in reference to HUDA letter memo no.-SDE(S) 195 dated 23.02.2015 and the letter is placed on the record and the committee after deliberation decided to send the case back to SEIAA for clarification on the letter produced by the PP which required verification and approval of SEIAA to take up case under violation in reference to MOEF&CC OM dated 09.09.2019, as requested by PP and consultant before the SEAC.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority discussed the matter as well as Occupation certificated issued by Huda vide letter dated 23.02.2015 and 17.08.2016. It is quite evident from the perusal of the Occupation Certificates that the Project Proponent has constructed 27,990.827 Sqmt without Environment Clearance. The issue whether letter has been written to SEIAA is irrelevant. A mere writing a letter for clarification does not absolve the PP for any Act of Commission or Omission.

1. Refer to Occupation Certificate issued by HUDA dated 17.08.2016, area mentioned here is $988.319 + 13659.826 + 146.432 + 4398.75 + 4398.75 + 4398.75$ Sqmt= 27,990.827 Sqmt more than 20,000 Sqmt. while in Occupation Certificate issued by HUDA dated 23/06/2014 area

mentioned is $691.897 + 4398.75 \times 3 = 13888.147$ Sqmt.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986

Item No. [13] Amendment in Environment Clearance of “Ware Housing Facility” in Revenue Estate at village Jamalpur, Gurgaon, Haryana by Mr. Sat Prakash Sharma.

The case was considered in the 177th, 178th, 199th & 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 19.03.2019, 10.04.2019, 22.06.2020 & 15.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. The project was earlier granted Environment clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2015/272 dated 09.03.2015 for the Plot Area 41466.74 m² and Built-up Area 25939.87 m²

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority directed the PP & the Authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That the installation and running of the DG sets would be as per the guidelines of MoEF & CC and any Court Orders applicable for the DG sets;
- (e) That the Mist Guns would be installed as per the latest Court Orders and Rulings;
- (f) That the type and nature of material to be stored would strictly adhere to the recommendation and appraisal of SEAC;
- (g) That the Storage/Warehouse facility would follow the issued or amended guidelines of MoEF & CC, GoI/ SPCB/CPCB in letter and spirit.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “Amendment in EC” to the Project on submission of aforesaid Affidavits.

Item No. [14] ToR for proposed 6 MLD (3 MLD –Phase I, 3 MLD – Phase II) Common Effluent Treatment Plant (Based on Extended Aeration System) at Karnal, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/55094/2020 dated 11.09.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of **TOR under category 7(h)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference along with public hearing.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.** Further, the Authority decided to **exempt Public Hearing as per Stage III sub points b of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006** on the basis of request made by PP vide their letter No.HSIDC:IA:K:2020:927 dated 17.11.2020.

Item No. [15] Extension of validity of Environmental Clearance for proposed construction of Township Project “Parsvnath City, Dharuhera” at Sector 1, 1 B & 2B, near village Kharkhera, Distt. Rewari, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

The case was lastly taken up in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and *the Committee is of the unanimous view in its meeting dated 16.10.2020 that as the PP is not interested in appraisal of the Project; the case be again referred to SEIAA for taking legal action under the relevant provisions of the Act for violation of EIA Notification. It is further recommended that SEIAA may inform the concerned authorities for taking appropriate action as per law.*

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020** and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

Item No. [16] EC for Expansion of Office Complex at Plot No. 67, Sector-44, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Perfect Office Management Services Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in **the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.** The project was granted earlier Environment Clearance vide letter no. 1115 dated 16.12.2010 for Plot area 8400 m² and Built Up Area of 23482.04 m².

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Power back-up 4*750KVA, what type of APCM to be installed? Emission & Fuel type as per the guidelines of NCAP & GRAP.
2. 43 KLD of water requirement for cooling of DG & HVAC system, all the quantity of water would not be evaporated; PP should submit the plan to treat the residual water in the cooling tower.
3. Calculations for “RWH” pits, taking rain-fall intensity 0.09 and diameter 3.1 mt & depth 4 mt of the Pit, the no. of required pits should not be less than 4.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That “Zero Liquid Discharge” would be achieved and will install all the necessary equipment to treat the residual water”.
- (e) That provides separate “Entry” & “Exit” for vehicular traffic to avoid any type of congestion.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project on submission of the satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [17] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Project at Village Sukhrali, Sector-28, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Silverglades Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.

The case was considered in the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The Project was granted earlier EC vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2018/605 dated 15.06.2018.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Total built-up area is increasing but parking is being reduced by 31.69% from the proposed in

earlier “EC” (from 1180 to 806), should it be allowed & recently proposed should meet the norms for space for parking too?

2. Proposed capacity of DG sets 7,310 kVA (4DG sets of 1,500 kVA, 810 kVA & 500 kVA), what type of APCM are installed or proposed to install? Fuel type & emission to be as per guidelines of GRAP & NCAP
3. Capacity of STP to be enhanced to 320 KLD to be at least 1.25 times of waste water generated.
4. 135 KLD of water for HVAC cooling, submit the plan to treat residual water & to handle the rejects and to reuse the treated water.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.
- (d) That no construction activity has been done on the Project Site and no selling of plots to the individuals.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [18] ToR for Proposed Residential Plotted Scheme, Sector 6 (Part), Sector 9 (Part) and Sector 10, Dabwali, Sirsa, Hisar, Haryana by M/s Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/55195/2020 dated 25.09.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of TOR **under category 8(b)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the **additional Terms of Reference.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; after due deliberations;** the Authority decided to **approve** the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.**

Item No. [19] EC for Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony "DLF Garden City" (180.3115 Acres) at Village Bhangrola, Mewka, Dhorka & Hayatpur, Sector 91 & 92, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s DLF Utilities Limited.

The case was considered in the 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. The TOR was granted for expansion vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2019/507 dated 20.12.2019. Thereafter, the PP submitted the EIA/EMP Report. Earlier EC was granted to the project on 04.09.2013 for Plot Area of 664387.55sqm and EC was valid upto 03.09.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the following queries were raised:

- (a) That the DG sets proposed are 4 x 2000 KVA + 3 x 1010 kVA= 11,030 KVA, type of APCM installed & fuel being used?
- (b) That the fresh water shown to be used for "Filter back-wash"

The Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.
- (d) That "Zero Liquid Discharge" would be achieved and will install all the necessary equipment to treat the residual water.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC for Expansion" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits..

Item No. [20] EC for Warehouse (Non Agro) project at Revenue Estate of Village Bhaproda, Tehsil Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s AKNB Infracon Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in the 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the proposed Green Area is 16.5% of total Plot Area, accordingly the Authority directed the PP to create 5% of Total Plot Area as "Vertical Green" in addition to the stated Green Area and submit an Affidavit for the same.

Further, the Authority observed and directed the PP to explain the following:

1. Number of RWH Pits to be 22 with “Dual Boring”, diameter of the RWH Pit being 3.8 mts. certainly the Centre to Centre distance between the bores would be less than 3 mts. Is it feasible to have 2 bores at such a short distance apart? Either the Numbers of pits to be increased or the diameter of the Pit to be increased.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That the installation and running of the DG sets would be as per the guidelines of MoEF& CC and any Court Orders applicable for the DG sets;
- (e) That the Mist Guns would be installed as per the latest Court Orders and Rulings;
- (f) That the Storage/Warehouse facility would follow the issued or amended guidelines of MoEF& CC, GoI/ SPCB/CPCB in letter and spirit.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [21] EC for Expansion of Residential Township Colony at village Kadarapur, Maidawas and Ullawas, Sector 63A, Tehsil Sohna, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Anant Raj Limited.

The case was considered in the **204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. Earlier EC was granted to the project on 23.10.2013. The ToR was granted by SEIAA on 29.01.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority directed to the Project Proponent to submit reply of the following observations before the SEAC:

1. Proposed power back-up explained is “2 X 380 kVA (Existing complex) and (80 % DG sets backup will be provided)” Page No. B-12, the Capacity & Numbers of DG sets has not been defined, how can incremental Pollution Load be calculated or modelling been carried out?
2. Total volume of rain water available for harvesting (Page No. D-168) is 8060 mt³ & volume of

each pit = $3.14 \times 2.3 \times 2.3 \times 4.5 = 74.75$ mt³, thereby, No. of RWH pits would be = $8060/74.75 = \sim 108$. PP has proposed for 55 no. of RWH pits only.

3. PP should submit an affidavit stating that it will achieve “Zero Liquid Discharge” by installing all the necessary equipment like Multi-effect evaporator.
4. The project pertains to Expansion of existing project for which “EC” has already been granted. Being expansion project RO visit report dated 28/09/2020 is attached at E-150, RO has raised observations regarding installation of 02 Nos of STP (500 KLD & 10 KLD) instead of 04 Nos of STP (Total 1473 KLD), PP has not submitted adequacy certificate of STPs and design details 500 KLD STP. The PP has also not provided UV radiation/ozonization treatment facility (Sp. Condi.-b & d.).

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC to rectify the aforesaid observation and to take cognizance on the certified RO Report.

Item No. [22] EC for proposed Affordable Group Housing Project at Sector 85, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s S3 Infrabuild LLP.

The case was considered in **the 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 30.10.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. 10 KLD of water for DG cooling, 100% would not be lost in evaporation, submit the plan to treat the residual water & plan to dispose the rejects.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That the capacity of STP to be increased to 375 KLD (at least 1.25 times of Quantity of waste water generated).

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [23] EC for proposed Affordable Residential Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna Scheme-2016 in Revenue Estate of Village Shimla, Maulana, Sector 40, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Herman Properties Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in the 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 30.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC" to the Project on submission of aforesaid Affidavits.

Item No. [24] EC for Expansion of "Residential Plotted Colony" project "Vatika City Central" located at Village Sarai Mahdood, Sonda, Kanwali, Sector-21, 22, 23 & 25, Ambala, Haryana by M/s Vatika Limited.

The case was lastly taken up in 204th meeting of SEAC held on 30.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. STP capacity to be increased (at least 1.25 times of Waste Water Generated).
2. Proposed are 7 DG sets [1×1500 KVA+ 2×1010 KVA + 1×625 KVA +2×125 KVA +1×180 KVA], what type of APCM proposed? Fuel type & emission to be as per the guidelines of GRAP & NCAP.
3. On Page No. 17 of Form-1A, PP proposes to have 10 Nos. of Rain water collection chambers of 3000m³ and on page no. 23/24 under pt. 2.7 proposes to collect rain water to be collected in "Central Park" and water to be discharged in Model Town drain. No untreated water to be allowed to discharge in any drain.
4. Water is a scarce source and to maintain the Ground water Level rain water harvesting/collection chambers/trenches to be built. As such PP is drawing the underground water through bore-wells. PP should submit the Affidavit to construct Rain Water Collection

Chambers/ponds/trenches corresponding to volume of run-off/rain fall inside the project premises and will not discharge “Rain water” in any of the drains. Further, PP should treat the collected water & reuse the same.

5. Point No. 2.9 of Form-1A should be thoroughly replied & explained.
6. Water demand has been calculated on the basis of 135 lpcd.
7. Shown is 25 KLD of treated water for Cooling of DG sets, schematic plan to treat & reuse the residual water in the Cooling Tower should be submitted

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case till the submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [25] ToR for establishment of 18MW Cogeneration power plant at Village Sheikhpura Jagir, Tehsil & District- Karnal, Haryana by M/s Karnal Co-Operative Sugar Mill Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal number SIA/HR/THE/55040/2020 dated 06.10.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of TOR under category B-1(d) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 30.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; after due deliberations;** the Authority decided to **approve** the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.**

Item No. [26] TOR for the proposed CETP of 2 MLD capacity Based on Extended Aeration system at Murthal, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

The Project was submitted to SEIAA, Haryana vide Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/47508/2019 dated 18.12.2019 for **approval of TOR under Category 7(h)** of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006

alongwith Form-I and Pre-Feasibility Report. Thereafter, the project was considered in the 195th meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 28.01.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR with standard TOR of MoEF& CC, GoI with additional ToR. The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 123rd meeting of SEIAA held on 13.03.2020 and the Authority approved the “Terms of Reference” and asked the PP to prepare EIA by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC and the same was conveyed to PP vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/20/191 dated 19.05.2020.

The aforesaid Project was again considered **vide Item No 195.11 in 204th meeting of SEAC** held on 29.10.2020 for approval of TOR **under Category 7(h)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 by issuing Corrigendum with Specific & Additional TOR **with exemption of Public Hearing** as per clause 7(i)(iii) of EIA Notification as the Unit is located in Notified Industrial Area.

The Corrigendum of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; after due deliberations**, the Authority decided to **approve** the “Terms of Reference” with Specific & Additional TOR **with exemption of Public Hearing**.

Item No. [27] EC for Expansion cum Modification of Maharishi Markandeshwar University at Village Sadopur, Ambala, Haryana by M/s Maharishi Markandeshwar University Trust.

The case was considered in **the 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter number SEIAA/HR/2014/1381 dated 07.11.2014 for the plot area of 1,25,656.94 m² (31.05 Acres) and built up area of 51,985.94m² for the development of Maharishi Markandeshwar University.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.12.2020, considering the volume and nature of the Expansion being proposed and experiencing Covid-19 pandemic, accordingly, the Authority directed the Project Proponent to install its own biomedical treatment plant alongwith the expansion being carried out.**

Further, the Authority directed the PP to achieve “Zero Liquid Discharge” being a Medical/Hospital Institute; no waste water treated or untreated will be allowed to discharge in public Sewer. The Authority also directed the PP to explain the following:

1. Waste water generation is shown to be 638 KLD & STP proposed is of 700 KLD, seems to be on lower side, should be increased to 800 KLD.
2. If any, 3rd Party is presently engaged for handling of all types of wastes, must have been accorded “EC” to same. Engaging 3rd Party will not absolve the producer of bio-medical waste to dispose of these in safe & environmentally safe manner. (Affidavit in this regard is to be submitted).
3. Proposal is for Medical & Dental College, how to segregate & handle bio-medical waste including that of Covid-19?
4. PP is submitting that Total runoff rain water is 6,537.30 m³/hr. whereas lawn area which can hold/store water for natural percolation of 15,368.54 m³. PP should have sufficient no. of “Rain

water Storage pits/trenches” as accumulated water in the lawn would be a breeding ground for mosquitoes& is not environment friendly.

5. Water demand for resident students is calculated @135 lpcd.

The Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an affidavit stating that:

- (a) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (b) That the Anti Smog Guns would be installed as per the latest Court Orders and Rulings.

After detailed deliberations and considering the present unprecedented situation Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “Expansion cum Modification in EC” to the project on submission of aforesaid documents as well as affidavits.

Item No. [28] EC for Expansion with Modernization of Group Housing Colony at Sector 33, Village Dhunela, Tehsil Sohna, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Godrej Highview LLP.

The case was considered in the **205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The TOR was granted to the project on 20.05.2020. Thereafter, the PP submitted the EIA/EMP report. Earlier, the Environment Clearance was granted on 29.12.2017.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Peak hourly rain fall taken 45 mm while calculating the required no. of RWH pits (Page No. D-176), should be taken as 90 mm/hr. Resubmit the calculations.
2. Total Parking is being reduced from 1930 to 1650, built-up area is increasing proportionately population must be increasing as the dwelling units are increasing from 1068 to 1094? How much “ECS” proposed & mentioned in earlier “EC”?
3. Proposed Power back-up is 3x1500 kVA and 1x500 kVA, what type of APCM to be installed & type of fuel to be used? Proposed DG sets must meet the requirements of GRAP & NCAP
4. What is the Capacity of OWC? It must be sufficient to treat the generated waste on daily basis.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;

- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case till the submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [29] EC for Revision & Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony “Happy Homes Exclusive” Revenue estate of Village Budena, Sector-86, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Adore Realtech Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in the **205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The ToR was granted to the project on 20.12.2019. The project has already been accorded the Environmental Clearance from SEIAA, Haryana vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2016/392 dated 20.05.2016 for 5 acres of land (Phase I). The project was then applied for Environmental Clearance for Revision & Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony was obtained on additional 4.125 acres (total 9.125 acres) of the plot area (Phase II) from SEIAA, Haryana, vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2018/158 dated 08.03.2018. Now, the proposal is for the Revision & Expansion of Affordable group Housing Colony due to addition of 3.859 acres land which consists of additional 09 Residential Towers which that are Tower 21-29 (Phase III), Commercial Block (G+4), Community Hall, Aaganwadi cum Creche area, well planned internal road, parking facilities, energy conservation measures, rainwater harvesting measures, and sufficient green area aesthetics. As a result of the Revision & Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony, the total ground coverage increases from 10,357.61 sq.m. to 12,872.02 sq. m. and FAR from 82235.75 to 1,20,369.83 sqm , while the total built up area from 1,07,441.94 sq.m to 1,93,536.75 sq.m.

As per SEAC MoM of 205th meeting, the PP intimated the Committee that they have inadvertently uploaded and submitted two proposals for the same Project and it is requested to withdraw first proposal submitted by online vide SIA/HR/NCP/37064/2019 and consider the second Proposal SIA/HR/MIS/51565/2019 for EC, for which documents have been submitted and circulated. The request of PP was considered and allowed to withdraw first proposal and appraised the second Proposal.

After detailed deliberations the Committee decided following

- a) Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/37064/2019 shall be recommended to SEIAA for withdrawal as applicable inadmissible.
- b) Rated the project submitted vide online application SIA/HR/MIS/51565/2019 with **“Gold Rating”** and was of the unanimous view that this case for granting Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India should be recommended to the SEIAA with the following specific and general stipulations.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Would it be possible for PP to differentiate the resident on the basis quantity of supply of water (Phase-1 @ 135 lpcd, phase 2 & 3 @ 86 lpcd). PP should furnish an affidavit in regard to total consumption, treatment & reuse of water.
2. Expansion project, RO visit report points out towards certain deficiencies in implementation of condition of earlier accorded "EC". Report is attached as Annexure-45.
3. Proposed power back up is 2 X 1010 kVA + 2x 750 KVA +1 x 600 KVA, what type of APCM is installed/ proposed to be installed? Fuel & emission parameters of DG sets must meet the standards of GRAP & NCAP.
4. Waste water generation is 906 KLD & proposed functional STP is 900 KLD, 300 KLD STP is shown to be for stand-by, capacity of functional STP seems to be on lower side, should be at least 1100 KLD
5. Capacity of "OWC"?
6. Would PP be providing 940 ECS and 1880 ESS after expansion?

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC of Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/51565/2019 for grant "EC for Revision and Expansion" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [30] EC for Affordable Residential Group Housing Colony, Prime Minister Awas Yojana (PMAY) for core area over an area measuring 2.1255 acres at Khasra No. 40/2, 42/2, 34 min, 156/33/1 of revenue estate of Village Dharampur, Pinjore and the limits of Municipal Corporation Panchkula, Haryana having built-up area 29981.811sqm by M/s Berkeley Automobiles Limited.

The case was considered in **the 203rd & 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020 & 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. PP has submitted in reply to SEAC dtd. 06/11/2020 rectangular RWH pits with the dimensions 3.5 m , 3 m and 2.7 m with Dual bore, by having Dual bore the volume of pit would not double and is it possible to have 2 bore wells in so close vicinity to each other (even along the longest dimension)? And as such PP in Form-1/1A has submitted the dimensions to be 5,4 & 3 mts. PP should furnish an affidavit stating that the volume of each pit would be 60 mt³ having dimensions 5*4*3 mts.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case till the submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [31] EC for Group Housing Colony “Jai Jawan Awas Yojna” at Plot No.1, Sector-7, Bahadurgarh, Haryana by M/s Army Welfare Housing Organization.

The case was considered in **the 203rd & 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020 & 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and Authority needed more time to study and go through the papers, hence, this case is deferred till next meeting.

Item No. [32] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Village Dhorka, Sector-95, Gurugram, Haryana by Sandeep Yadav, B.S Yadav & S. A. Propcon Pvt. Ltd. in collaboration with M/s Sternal Buildcon Private Limited.

The case was considered in **the 200th & 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.07.2020 & 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance .**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Total Number of RWH Pits are 7, Volume/Size of the Pits is to be explain?
2. Proposed Power back-up is 3 DG sets of total 1,500 kVA capacity (3x 500 kVA), type of APCM to be installed ? Emission & Fuel to be as per the guidelines under NCAP & GRAP
3. Capacity of “OWC”?

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) That not to start any construction before prior permission from National Board of wildlife.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [33] Environment Clearance for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Estate of Village Gadoli Kalan, Sector- 37D, Gurugram Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by Lalwani brothers Buildcon LLP in collaboration with M/s Sternal Buildcon Private Limited.

The case was considered in the 200th & 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.07.2020 & 09.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Proposed Power back up is 3 DG sets of total 3,030 kVA capacity (3x 1,010 kVA), what type of APCM are proposed? Emission & fuel should meet the requirements as described under GRAP & NCAP.
2. Waste water generation is 509 KLD, capacity of STP should be 1.25 times of the waste water generated to cater for the preventive/break-down maintenance.
3. RWH pits 9 in Number, what would be the size of individual Pit?

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [34] Environment Clearance for Proposed Mixed land use Project under ToD Policy (70% Residential + 30 % Commercial) on land measuring 166.69 acres in Revenue Estate of village-Chauma, Sector-111, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Mask Realcon Pvt. Ltd & others.

The case was considered in the 177th, 178th, 182nd, 200th & 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 19.03.2019, 10.04.2019, 14.07.2020 & 10.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. It was informed by the Project Proponent that ToR was granted by MoEF & CC vide letter no.21-132/2018-IA-III dated 18.12.2018.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the proposed Green Area is 16.9% of total Plot Area, should be increased to at least 20%.

Further, the Authority observed and directed the PP to explain the following:

1. Proposed “Power Back-up” is 158825 KVA, what capacity of individual DG sets have been considered for “Incremental pollution Load”?
2. What types of APCM are proposed on DG sets? Type of fuel & emission must be as per the guidelines of GRAP & NCAP.
3. Capacity of OWC as quantity of “Waste Generated” is quite large must have spare capacity.
4. The Incremental Pollution load PM_{2.5} shown is 0.348 µg/m³, show the in-put data to arrive at this figure.
5. Water required for DG & HVAC cooling shown to be 858 & 1366 KLD respectively, 100% of water would not evaporate, submit the plan to treat residual water & disposal of rejects thus obtained.
6. PP should achieve “ZLD”, project is 70% residential + 30% Commercial.
7. Capacity of STP should be at least 1.25 times of the Waste Water Generated.

8. Peak hour rain fall taken as 0.045 mt/hr. instead of 0.09 mt/hr. Revise & resubmit the calculations for RWH Pits, the corresponding No. of RWH Pits to be made.
9. The proposed Green Area is 16.9% of Total Plot Area, the same should be increased to atleast 20% of Total Plot Area and submit the Green Area Plan accordingly.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to submit the satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits till next meeting.

Item No. [35] EC for Revision & Expansion of Affordable Group Housing project at Village Billah, Sec-14, Panchkula Ext-II, Panchkula, Haryana by M/s Green Space Infraheights Pvt Ltd.

The case was taken up in 201st, 202nd and 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana but the PP requested vide letter dated for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was considered in **the 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 09.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. Earlier, the Environment Clearance was granted to the project vide letter no. 154 dated 15.03.2016.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. PP has shown the requirement of Water for 786 Dwelling units @135 lpcd (Existing) and 349 units & 86 lpcd, would it be possible for PP to differentiate Dwelling Units on the basis of Water demand?
2. Capacity of "OWC"?
3. Proposed Power back up is 1000 kVA (2* 250 kVA & 1* 500 kVA). Type of Fuel & emission must be as per the guidelines of GRAP/NCAP
4. Water requirement given in Form-1A (530 KLD) is different from given in MoM (725 KLD).

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Revision and Expansion” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [36] EC for Expansion-cum-Modification of Residential Multistoried Group Housing Project at Village Prahladpur, Sector 80, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Ansal Crown Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in the 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. Earlier EC was granted to the project for total plot area 73,102.5sqm and built up area 152503.34sqm vide letter dated 16.06.2008 which was extended upto 16.06.2013.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Total water requirement as per the “Water Balance chart” and “Calculations” shown on Page No. 29 & 30 (6/28) is 710 KLD & Fresh Water 402 KLD, whereas, in MoM appears 563 & 338 KLD respectively.
2. Submitted on Page No. 5/23/29/30 of Form-1 & in “Water Balance chart”, STP capacity 650 KLD (580 + 70 KLD) while mentioned in MoM is 500KLD? As such in previous EC proposed capacity of STP is 580 KLD.
3. Proposed power back-up is 6 DG sets of 2640 KVA (4*500 KVA + 2*320 KVA) and the incremental pollution load is shown to be PM_{2.5} 0.00001 µg/m, seems to be inaccurate. Type of the Fuel & emission to be as per the guidelines of GRAP & NCAP.

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;

- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion-cum-Modification” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [37] EC for Proposed Mining Project “Stone along with associated Minor Mineral Mine, Projection capacity (ROM) 15307992.7 TPA at Khasra No. 132 Min, Mine Area 48.87 Ha Near Village Dadam, Tehsil Tosham, District Bhiwani, Haryana by M/s Govardhan Mines & Minerals.

The case was considered in the 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The Term of References (ToR) were approved by SEIAA, Haryana vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2019/354 dated 03.10.2019.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority noticed that the Project Proponent has submitted the copy of approved Wild Life Conservation Plan from the competent Authority and valid CTO from HSPCB and **after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to accord “EC for project Boulder, Stone along with associated Minor Mineral Mine” to the Project.**

Item No. [38] ToR for Proposed Residential Plotted Colony on 22.62 acres land under DDJAY Scheme at Village Ullawas & Behrampur, Sector-61, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Commander Realtors Private Limited & Others.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/57972/2020 dated 19.08.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of TOR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the “Terms of Reference” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC with the additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.**

Item No. [39] Extension of EC for Amravati Enclave issued to vide Memo No. SEIAA/HR/1053 dated 25.03.2010 by M/s Amarnath Aggarwal Investments (P) Limited.

The case was considered in the **205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020** and the Committee deliberated that the EC of the project was expired on 23.03.2017 but the PP applied for extension in validity of EC on 31.10.2017 after the expiry of EC letter. However, no construction was carried after expiry of EC as conveyed by MOEF&CC vide letter dated 03.05.2019 and affidavit submitted by PP that no construction was carried out during the period. Therefore, SEAC decided after deliberation that the project be recommended for extension in validity of Environment Clearance to SEIAA.

In view of above, the SEAC committee unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for extension in validity of EC issued vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/1053 dated 25.03.2010 as per the existing MoEF & CC notification/OM/Circular/Guidelines.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020**; after detailed deliberations the Authority decided to agree with recommendation of SEAC to grant Extension of validity of EC for further three years.

Item No. [40] EC for proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 1.5 MLD capacity (Based on extended aeration system) at Narwana, Jind, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC Narwana.

The case was taken up in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020**. The PP presented the case before the committee. During the discussion, the PP informed that they are shifting to a new area/location for setting up of CETP and committee deliberated on the request of PP and consultant that the amendment in TOR shall be granted in view of change of land. The Department has already considered the new land and **will submit the details before the SEIAA**. The committee deliberated that as the CETP is essential part of Environment protection activities and agreed that the **amendment in TOR for new area shall be recommended to SEIAA for approval** and PP shall prepare the EIA as per new location **along with public hearing** and PP shall submit the details of area to SEIAA before meeting for approval of additional TOR in addition to Standard ToR already granted vide approval dated 10.05.2019.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020**; the Authority revealed that the Project Proponent neither submitted the desired documents to SEIAA nor appeared before the Authority. Hence, the **Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for appraisal after obtaining the new documents pertains to Change in Land of the Project.**

Item No [41] Environment Clearance for Expansion of Non Agro Warehouse Project at Village Khijuri, Tehsil Dharuhera, District Rewari, Haryana by M/s R. J. Warehousing Pvt. Ltd, Kuldeep, Deepak and Others.

Lastly, the recommendation of SEAC was considered in **124th meeting of SEIAA held on 22.07.2020**, wherein the Authority decided to defer this case for want of more information. The Project Proponent submitted his reply on **05.10.2020**.

Thereafter, the case was considered **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020** and after detailed deliberations and discussions the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project.

Item No [42] EC for Expansion of Proposed Residential Plotted Colony at Sector 89-90, Village Hayatpur & Badha, Tehsil & District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Orris Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**. The TOR was granted by SEIAA, Haryana on 29.01.2020. Thereafter, the PP has submitted the EIA/EMP report on 06.11.2020. Earlier the EC was granted to the project for an area measuring 409060.29 (101.081acres) vide letter No. 1246 dated 17.10.2014 by SEIAA, Haryana.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020** and the Authority decided to defer the case till the next meeting for want of more time to study the documents.

Item No [43] EC under Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Residential Colony Project “Vipul Gardens” located in Sector-1, Village Dharuhera (NH-8), District-Rewari, Haryana by M/s Mudra Finance Ltd.

The case was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 26.11.2020** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance under Violation Category**. Earlier the Environmental Clearance was issued to the Project vide MOEF &CC letter dated 22.05.2008 for Total Plot Area 54,203.509 sqm and Total Built-up Area as indicated is 80,146.752 sqm. The TOR was issued by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2018 to the Project under Violation Notification.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020** and the Authority observed that the SEAC has not appraised/verified the damage Assessment Report carried out by the PP itself. Hence, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for appraisal of the project after proper verification of the damage Assessment Report.

Item No [44] EC for Proposed Commercial Complex “Summit Plaza” (Retail, Cinema & Office) having an area 2.65 acre at Sector 54, 5, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s DLF Limited & Others.

The case was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.11.2020 recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the proposed Green Area is 15.23% of total Plot Area, accordingly the Authority directed the PP to create 5% of Total Plot Area as "Vertical Green" in addition to the stated Green Area and submit an Affidavit for the same.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observation as well as Affidavits.

Item No [45] ToR for carrying out EIA studies of the Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at Khevat No. 128, Khatoni No. 150, Rakba 69 canal, 19 Rale, Village Kandela, Tehsil & District Jind, Haryana by M/s Divya Waste Management Company.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of TOR under category **7(d)(a)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter the case was taken up for approval of Terms of Reference in the 131st meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016. The observations of 131st meeting of the SEAC was issued to the PP vide letter no. 2549 dated 01.03.2018. The PP had not submitted the reply of observation.

Thereafter, the Case was sent to MoEF& CC on 20.08.2018 as the term of SEIAA came to end. Then, the case was received back from MoEF& CC. Then, the Show Cause Notice was issued on 10.05.2019 for considerable delay in submitting the reply. The PP submitted the letter dated 02.02.2016 addressed to SEIAA mentioning that due to some reason they are shifting to new project site.

Thereafter, the Case was taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 06.10.2020. The Consultant requested for the deferment of the case which was acceded by the committee but also informed that PP shall be present before the committee next time to explain the case as the unit is covered under **7(d) (a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006** and requires Environment clearance as the unit is already in operation and has also obtained authorization, therefore it seems that unit is running in violation of EIA notification 14.09.2006 and there under.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting. The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that the above said Project was received on dated 19.02.2016 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for writing letter to **RO, MoEF & CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No [46] EC of Expansion of Warehouse (Non-Agro) Project located at Revenue Estate of Village Koka, District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s Vertical Logistic Parks LLP.

The Project was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.11.2020 and the case was **recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance**. Earlier, the EC was granted to the project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2018/1091 Dated 20.08.2018.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority needs to take more time to study and go through the papers, hence, this case is deferred till next meeting.

Item No [47] Amendment in EC for Group Housing Colony located Village Mewaka, Sector 91, Gurugram, Haryana by M/S Jubilant Software Services Pvt Ltd.

The Project was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.11.2020 and the case was recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Amendment in Environment Clearance**. The TOR was granted to the project on dated 05.04.2019. The EIA report was submitted on 06.11.2020. Earlier, the EC was granted to the Project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2014/764 dated 29.05.2014.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority needs to take more time to study and go through the papers, hence, this case is deferred till next meeting.

Item No [48] EC for Expansion of Proposed Plotted Residential colony, Revenue Estate, Village Rohtak Sector-37, Rohtak, Haryana by M/s One Point Realty Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 30.11.2017. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up the approval of Terms of Reference in the 162nd meeting of the SEAC held on 14.12.2017.

The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to list the project in the 163rd meeting of the SEAC. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued to attending the meeting of the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 163rd meeting of the SEAC held on 08.01.2018. The case was not heard. The PP was advised to submit the certified copy of report from Regional Director, MoEF & CC regarding status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the Environment Clearance as contained in the MoEF & CC circular dated 30.05.2012.

Show Cause Notice was issued to the project proponent vide letter No. 2460 dated 23.01.2018. The PP vide their letter dated 14.12.2017 received through SEIAA on 29.01.2018 requested for exemption of their case from Environmental Clearance. Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 165th meeting of the SEAC held on 14.03.2018.

The project proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Member. It was unanimously decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP.

SCN was issued to the PP vide letter NO. 2571 dated 22.03.2018. PP vide letter dated 08.06.2018 received in this office on 19.06.2018 requested for withdrawal of their case. Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 173rd meeting of the SEAC held on 27.07.2018.

During presentation some of the Members informed that they have not received the documents and not in a position to appraise the project. It was unanimously decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP.

The observations of 173rd meeting of the SEAC was issued to the PP vide letter No. 3038 dated 07.08.2018. The reply of PP is still awaited.

The term of present SEAC has ended on 20.08.2018. As per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006, in the absence of a duly constituted SEIAA or SEAC, the Category 'B' project shall be treated as a Category 'A' project.

Therefore, the case is forwarded with the recommendation to forward the same to MoEF& CC, GoI as per EIA Notification, 2006.

Then, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting. The discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 30.11.2017 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for writing letter to **RO, MoEF & CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF & CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No [49] EC Regarding Terms of Reference (ToR) for carrying out EIA studies of the Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at 160/5410 part –B, Rakba 270, Canal 10 Marle, Khevat No. 741, Khata No. 886, Saalam Kite 46, Village Sisana, Tehsil Kharkhoda, District Sonipat (Haryana) by M/s Haryana Waste Management Company.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

The Terms of Reference were approved in the 131st meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016 and issued to the PP vide letter No. 879 dated 20.04.2016. The reply of PP is still awaited.

The term of present SEAC has ended on 20.08.2018. As per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006, in the absence of a duly constituted SEIAA or SEAC, a Category ‘B’ project shall be treated as Category ‘A’ project.

Therefore, the case is forwarded with the recommendation to forward the same to MoEF & CC, GoI as per EIA Notification, 2006.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 but neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting. The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 06.04.2016 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for

writing letter to **RO, MoEF & CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF & CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC

Item No [50] EC for Commercial Colony at Village Naharpur Kassan, Sector-81, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Action Construct well Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was considered in **183rd, 189th & 206th meeting of SEAC** held on 28.06.2019, 11.10.2019 & 26.11.2020 respectively and the case was **recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and After due deliberations; the Authority needs to take more time to study and go through the papers, hence, this case is deferred till next meeting.

Item No [51] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Project “Preston” at Village Shahpur Turk, Sector- 9 & 18, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

The project proponent submitted the case for obtaining Environmental Clearance to the SEIAA, Haryana on 25.02.2015. The case was taken up for appraisal in the 183rd meeting of the SEAC held on 27.06.2019 and recommended the case to SEIAA for the consideration.

The case was taken-up in 119th meeting of SEIAA. The Authority observed that SEAC has recommended to keep the case pending till the revised documents are submitted along with approved zoning plan etc. The revision & resubmission of approved Zoning plan and other approvals need verification, reappraisal & recommendation, therefore, authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to reappraise the case.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 188th meeting of SEAC held on 17.09.2019. The committee decided to give final and last opportunity to PP to submit the above referred documents within one month along with present status of project at site otherwise action will be initiated to proceed further as per EIA Notification 14.09.2006 /EP Act 1986.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC held on 27.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting .The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 25.02.2015 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for writing letter to **RO, MoEF & CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No [52] EC for Affordable Group Housing Project Village Khera&Bhatauli, Sector 20, Yamunanagar- Jagadhri, District Yamunanagar, Haryana by M/s Pandit Land & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The project proponent submitted the case for Environment Clearance under category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 for Affordable Group Housing Project Village Khera & Bhatauli, Sector 20, Jagadhri, District Yamunanagar, Haryana to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC on dated 17.07.2019 for appraisal.

Thereafter the case was taken up in 186th meeting of SEAC held on 14.08.2019. During Presentation the committee deliberately discussed on various issues like CGWA Permission, water assurance, sewage assurance, power load, double borewell, DLWR, ECBC Compliances, AAI, S.O.P Fire hazard, revised CER, Wildlife undertaking and raised some observations as below:-

1. The PP shall submit the comprehensive plans for CER preferably along with the consent of concerned Sarpanch of village. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions contained in Ministry's OM vide F.No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018, as applicable, regarding Corporate Environment Responsibility.
2. The PP shall submit the CGWA Permission for Ground water withdrawal from existing borewell.
3. The PP shall submit the Water Assurance from competent Authority
4. The PP shall submit the Sewage Assurance from competent Authority
5. The PP shall submit the power assurance from competent Authority
6. The PP shall submit the RWH plan along with DWLR
7. The PP shall submit the SOP Fire Hazard Management.
8. The PP shall submit the AAI Height Clearance certificate
9. The PP shall submit the ECBC Compliance Report along with percentage saving.
10. The PP shall submit the mitigation/ remedial measures for high AQI(PM10)
11. The PP shall submit the Environment impact Assessment of DG set Emission on Air Quality Index.
12. The pp shall submit the air quality modeling isopleths of DG sets with Air mode software version details.
13. The PP shall submit the Geo Technical report of the project area.
14. The PP shall submit the Hydrological study of the area
15. The PP shall submit the Wildlife clearance from the Chief Wildlife Warden
16. The PP shall submit the comprehensive plans for CER preferably along with the consent of concerned Sarpanch of village. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions contained in Ministry's OM vide F.No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018, as applicable, regarding Corporate Environment Responsibility.
17. The PP should enclose all analysis reports of Air, Water, Soil, Noise etc. from MoEF& CC /NABL Laboratory with scope of accreditation along with range of testing. All original reports should be available during appraisal of project.
18. The PP shall submit the complete details of Point No. 1.4,1.23,2.2, 2.6,4.6,4.7,5.2,5.3,5.4,5.1,7.3,7.4,7.5 of Form I and 5.4 &9.4 of Form IA.

19. The PP shall submit the summary details of physical and social infrastructure contiguity of the project.
20. The PP shall submit the net planned area along with land use details
21. The PP shall submit the contour plan/elevation plan/traffic circulation plan/ parking plan in the basement area and details of the basements as per the structure safety.
22. The PP shall submit the details of entry and exit in traffic circulation plan.
23. The PP shall submit the lightening safety plan of the project.
24. The PP shall submit the Sun simulation path of building orientation with interpretation.

The PP submitted the reply of above said observations. Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting .The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 17.07.2019 inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for writing letter to **RO, MoEF & CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF & CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No [53] EC for the Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility at Village Karawara, Manakpur, Tehsil Rewari, District Rewari, Haryana by M/s Upkar Waste Solutions.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.02.2016 under category 7 d(a) as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC. The Terms of Reference were approved in the 131st meeting of the SEAC held on 06.04.2016 and issued to the PP vide letter No. 879 dated 20.04.2016. The reply of PP is still awaited.

The term of present SEAC has ended on 20.08.2018. As per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006, in the absence of a duly constituted SEIAA or SEAC, a Category ‘B’ project shall be treated as Category ‘A’ project.

Therefore, the case is forwarded with the recommendation to forward the same to MoEF & CC, GoI as per EIA Notification, 2006. Then, the case was transferred by MoEF & CC on dated 25.03.2019. The show cause was issued to PP on 10.05.2019. Then, the PP submitted the reply on 27.05.2019.

Then, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting. The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 27.04.2016 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for writing letter to **RO, MoEF&CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No [54] EC for construction of “Group Housing Colony” at Sector-63, Kundli, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Regards Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on dated 02.04.2018 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category **8(a)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Then, the case was taken up in 167th meeting dated 20.04.2018. The PP neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents. The Observations were conveyed to the PP vide letter dated 2672 dated 24.04.2018. The Reply of PP is still awaited.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting .The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 20.04.2018 and inspite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC, no reply has been received from the Project Proponent even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to recommend this case to SEIAA for writing letter to **RO, MoEF & CC, GoI** to carry out a site inspection in accordance with MoEF & CC OM Dated 18.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No [55] Environment Clearance report for River Bed Minerals (Boulder, Gravel & Sand Minor Minerals) Mining Project, Khasra No.83 Min, Area: 13.20 Hect. Production: 559543.808 MTPA (ROM), Near Village Mandlai, Tehsil Raipur Rani, District Panchkula, Haryana by M/s Shree Balaji Mines & Minerals.

The Project was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.11.2020 and the case was recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance for One Year**. The TOR was granted to the project on 03.02.2020. The PP submitted the EIA/EMP report on 17.11.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and after due deliberations, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to accord **“EC for project Boulder, Gravel and Sand Minor Mineral” for one year to the Project.**

Item No [56] EC for warehouse for Storage for Agro as well as non agro produce project located at Village Yakubpur, Tehsil Badli, District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s P.R.J. Warehousing Private Limited.

The Project was considered in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 27.11.2020 and the case was recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the proposed Green Area is 25,643.13 mt² (15.02 % of the Net plot area), accordingly the Authority directed the PP to create 5% of Total Plot Area as "Vertical Green" in addition to the stated Green Area and submit an Affidavit for the same.

Further, the Authority observed and directed the PP to explain the following:

1. Proposed power back-up & No. of DG sets 4,085 kVA (2 X 1010, 2 X 600, 1 X 500 kVA and 1 X 365 kVA), type of APCM to be installed, emission & fuel type to be as per NCAP & GRAP.

The PP & the Authorized Consultant were further directed to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented;
- (d) Installation and running of the DG sets would be as per the guidelines of MoEF & CC and various judicial orders applicable for the DG sets;
- (e) Mist guns would be installed as per the judicial orders;
- (f) The type and nature of material to be stored would strictly adhere to the recommendation and appraisal of SEAC.
- (g) Storage/Warehouse facility would follow the issued or amended guidelines of MoEF & CC, GoI/ SPCB/CPCB in letter and spirit.

- (h) That 43 No's of RWH pits with volume 88.31 m³, diameter 5 mts & depth 4.5 mts would be constructed.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No [57] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony project at Village Behrampur, Sector 63-A, Gurugram, Haryana by Sh. Parkash & others C/o M/s CZAR Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was considered in **205th & 206th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2020 & 27.11.2020 and the case was recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.** Earlier, the Project was granted Environment Clearance vide SEIAA letter No. 246 dated 16.06.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020** and the Authority observed that the **Project Proponent has not submitted Certified Compliance report as well as final approval of 12% extra FAR from the concerned Authority.**

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for obtaining Certified Compliance Report from the Project Proponent as well as Certificate regarding final approval of 12% extra FAR from the concerned Authority, thereafter recommend this project after taking cognizance of the RO Report.

Item No [58] EC for Proposed and Modification of Commercial Project "Felix Plaza" coming at Village Shikhopur, Sector 82A, Gurugram, Haryana by Sh. Pramil Jindal & Smt. Neeta Jindal (Felix Plaza, AOP).

The case was considered in **206th meeting of SEAC held on 27.11.2020** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.** Earlier EC was granted to the project on 12.12.2013.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020** and **After due deliberations; the Authority needs to take more time to study and go through the papers, hence, this case is deferred till next meeting.**

Item No [59] O.A. No. 976 of 2019 titled as Gurinder Singh &Ors V/s Union of India

Lastly, the matter was discussed in the **123rd meeting of SEIAA held on 13.03.2020**, wherein the following was decided:-

- 1. That the present case is of violation and further directed the Project Proponent to get the extent of violation assessed by some Accredited Consultant and get appraised by SEAC.**

2. **That the Authority directed the Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula to initiate prosecution against the said unit under the relevant section of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.**
3. **Authority further decided that a fact finding team comprising of Mr. Hitender Singh & Mr. R. K. Sapra, Member, SEAC to visit the site and submit the report to SEAC, the case was referred back to SEAC.**

Thereafter, on 24.11.2020 the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal (NGT) considered the status report and has objected regarding the action taken by the Authority by giving liberty to the Project Proponent to get the extent of violation by some Accredited Consultant.

Further, the Sr. Addl. Advocate General (Haryana) intimated to the Authority to make assessment in exercise of their statutory functions, with the assistance of such expert or organization as may be considered necessary.

As per the directions passed by the Hon'ble Tribunal, SEIAA and HSPCB has to initiate action against the violators and the Action Taken Report is to be submitted before next date of hearing to Hon'ble NGT through email *judicial-ngt@gov.in* by both the **Organizations**. **The Next Date of Hearing is fixed for 15.02.2021.**

Accordingly, a letter to Chairman, HSPCB was sent on 10.12.2020, to make Assessment of the violations and Recovery of Compensation on 'Polluter Pays' principles in compliance of the Orders passed by the Hon'ble NGT on **06.02.2020** and **24.11.2020** respectively. **Further, the Authority nominated Shri Raj Kumar Sapra, IFS (Retd.) Member SEAC** on behalf of SEIAA to accompany with the concerned officers of HSPCB in the aforesaid matter.

The aforesaid matter was discussed in the 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority unanimously agreed with the decision already taken in the matter.

Item No. [60] Complaint regarding illegal mining on Yamuna riverbed in district Sonipat, Haryana by M/s DSP Associates, Tikola, in sheer violation of Environment laws and specific conditions of Environment Clearance resulting in ecological damage and natural ecology.

In the aforesaid matter, a Complaint addressed to Chairman, SEIAA was received in the Authority **on 16.10.2020** from Manjeet Singh, thereafter, the Chairman, SEIAA decided to **Constitute a Committee comprising of** Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat, Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, Architect Hitender Singh, Member SEAC, SE Irrigation, Sonipat, Regional Officer, HSPCB, Mining Officer, Mines and Geology Department Sonipat. In the report of the Committee, it was found that serious violations have been committed by the Project Proponent. On the basis of Report, a **Show-cause Notice** to the Project Proponent was issued **on 22.10.2020**. The **Reply of the Notice** was received in the office **on 02.12.2020**.

The aforesaid matter taken up in the 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020, and after detailed discussions on the complaint, Report of the Committee and the reply of the PP (Respondent) it was decided to prosecute the Owners of M/s DSP Associates.

Further, the Authority decided to get the damages assessed on the basis of video by some Specialist/Committee of Experts to be nominated by Chairman, SEIAA.

The Authority also unanimously decided to delegate its powers to Chairman, SEIAA to take action under Section 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 in any such situation arises.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.
