

State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Uttar Pradesh

Directorate of Environment, U.P.

Vineet Khand-1, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow - 226 010

Phone : 91 522 2300 541, Fax : 91-522-2300 543

E mail : docuplko@yahoo.com

Website : www.seiaaup.com

Minutes of the 580th Meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, UP (SEIAA) held on 08.04.2022

The meeting of 580th State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, UP (SEIAA) was held on-line on 08.04.2022 at the Directorate of Environment. The following were present in the meeting:-

1. Dr. Rajiv Kumar Garg	Chairman, SEIAA, U.P
2. Shri Paras Nath	Member, SEIAA, U.P
3. Shri Ajay Kumar Sharma	Member Secretary, SEIAA, U.P

Nodal Officer SEIAA informed that agenda is prepared by Secretariat and approved by MS SEIAA which is hereby put up for the consideration of SEIAA and placed files and documents related to below mentioned projects before SEIAA.

Agenda-A: - Minutes of 631th SEAC-2 Meeting Dated 01/03/2022

1. Stone (Gitti & Boulder) Mining at Khasra No./Plot No./Gata No.-1144, Khand No.- 03, Village- Mamauli, Tehsil- Meja, Prayagraj., M/s Kumar Area-2.024 ha File No. 4990/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/40954/2019

SEIAA opined that it is not evident from the MoM of SEAC-2 whether entire ToR has been addressed while preparing EIA/EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC-2 and details in tabular form should be submitted. Further, it is not evident from MoM of SEAC-2 whether issues raised during public hearing have been addressed while preparing final EIA-EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC-2 and details in tabular form should be submitted. Hence SEIAA opined to refer back the project to SEAC-2 for review/ deliberations.

- ✓ 2. Stone (Khanda, Gitti, Boulder)Mining at Gata No.-105, Khand No.- 01, at Village- Athodna, Tehsil-Sadar, District -Jhansi, U.P. Area-2.023 ha. File No. 5985/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/181166/2020

SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC to grant the prior Environmental Clearance to the proposed project along with all the general and specific conditions as suggested by the SEAC but the SEIAA replaces the specific condition regarding cluster certificate as "If in future during the progressive mining this lease area becomes part of cluster i.e. area equal to or more than 5 ha., limited to B-1 category,

A

then additional conditions based on the EIA conducted by the concerned lease holders shall be imposed and joint EMP shall be implemented. The lease holder shall mandatorily follow all the imposed conditions otherwise it will amount to violation of E.C. conditions. If the certificate related to cluster provided by the competent authority is found false or incorrect then punitive actions as per the law shall be initiated against the authority issuing the cluster certificate" and adding following conditions:-

1. EC is valid for a period of 5 years from the date of issue its validity period is co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan or current lease period whichever is earlier.
2. A certificate from Forest Department shall be obtained that no forest land is involved in mining or as a route and if forest land is involved the project proponent shall obtain forest clearance and permission of Central and State Government as per the provisions of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 and submit before the start of work.
3. The mining lease holders shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora fauna etc.
4. Three tier green shelter belt of 7.5m width should be developed on the periphery of mine lease area. Local and native species should be planted in consultation with Forest/Horticulture Department/Agriculture University.
5. Plan for using the mine void for productive use in consultation with local administration and gram-panchayat.
6. If the proposed project is situated in notified area of ground water extraction, where creation of new wells for ground water extraction is not allowed, requirement of fresh water shall be met from alternate water sources other than ground water or legally valid source and permission from the competent authority shall be obtained to use it.
7. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or Horticulture Department, for planting at least 3,000 plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provision for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
8. In consultation with District Environment Authority or an Authority nominated by concerned DM, project proponent will prepared a conservation and management plan for rejuvenation and management of water bodies having total surface area of more than 15 ha. Funds for the same will be kept in a separate bank account and six monthly compliance status will be presented by project proponent before the nominated authority in the District.
9. Department of Geology and Mines, Government of Uttar Pradesh and / or concerned district administration, before releasing the security deposit to Project Proponent will ensure that Project Proponent has fully complied with the EC conditions. Non-compliance, if any, should be reported to UPSPCB for appropriate legal action and recovery of compensation.



10. Any application for transfer of this EC, during its validity period unless it is cancelled by a competent authority, has to be necessarily accompanied with status of compliance of EC conditions duly certified by IRO, MoEFCC, GSI, Lucknow.
3. Revision & Expansion of Group Housing "MRJV Palm Resort" Khasra No.- 10024, 1045, 1046, 107, 1067, 1068, NH-58, Noor Nagar, District- Ghaziabad, M/s MRJV Construction Company File No. 6525/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/224278/2021
SEIAA agreed with the recommendation of SEAC-2 that the matter shall be discussed after submission of online information on prescribed portal.
4. Develop T-5 Commercial at Plot No.- 5(T-5) at Business Bay-1, Sushant Golf City, Hi-Tech, Sultanpur Road, Lucknow., M/s SJP Hotel Resorts Pvt. Ltd., File No. 6501/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/220268/2021
SEAC-2 has informed that PP / consultant didn't appear for presentation. Project to be delisted and to be opened only after submission of written request by PP / consultant. Further, a reference be sent to DM, Lucknow; MS, SPCB; and VC, LDA that no construction should take place without valid EC and in case construction has been carried out without valid EC then work should be stopped and legal action should be initiated against the PP.
5. Khanda- Boulder / Gitty- Ballast and Red Morrum Mine at Araz No. 2456 Kha (Khand 03), Village- Pahra, Tehsil- Sadar, District- Mahoba, U.P., Shri Mayank Gupta, M/S JAGDEESH AND COMPANY, Lease area-4.0 ha., File No. 6645/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/235508/2021
SEIAA agreed with the recommendation of SEAC-2 that the matter shall be discussed after submission of online information on prescribed portal.
6. Expansion of Production Capacity 100 TPD To 500 TPD Of Cement Grinding Unit Plot No. D-15, Ramnagar Industrial Area, Tehsil: BilariDih Chandauli., Shri Pankaj Kumar Singh, M/s Alaknanda Cement Pvt. Ltd., File No. 6133/Proposal No. SIA/UP/IND/60022/2021
SEIAA noted that SEAC-2 has recommended to grant EC to the above project. SEIAA gone through file and documents and opined that this project has operated after taking CtO and CtE without having EC. This project attracts MoEFCC GoI, OM dated 07.07.2021 regarding handling of such cases. SEIAA also opined to refer back the project to SEAC-2 to give an opportunity to project proponent to present their case in this light and SEAC-2 to make recommendation on the merit of the case.
7. Stone (Khanda, Gitti, Boulder) Mining at Araz No./ Gata No.-1 Kha, Khand No.- V/6, Village- Veerpur, Tehsil- Meja, Prayagraj., M/s Akhilesh Kumar Singh, Area- 2.834 ha., File No. 6032/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/58920/2020
SEIAA opined that it is not evident from the MoM of SEAC-2 whether entire ToR has been addressed while preparing EIA/EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC-2 and details in tabular form should be submitted. Further, it is not evident from MoM of SEAC-2 whether issues raised during public hearing have been addressed while preparing final EIA-EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC-2 and details in tabular form should be submitted. Hence SEIAA opined to refer back the project to SEAC-2 for review/ deliberations.

A

8. Institutional Building (IT/ITES Building) at Plot No.-B-23, Sector-62, Noida, Shri Mukesh Kumar Arora, M/s Seven Star Infosoft Pvt. Ltd., File No. 6580/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/229916/2021

SEAC-2 has informed that PP / consultant didn't appear for presentation. Project to be delisted and to be opened only after submission of written request by PP / consultant. Further, a reference be sent to DM, Lucknow; MS, SPCB; and CEO, Noida Development Authority that no construction should take place without valid EC and in case construction has been carried out without valid EC then work should be stopped and legal action should be initiated against the PP.

9. Capacity Expansion of Common Biomedical Waste Management Treatment Storage and Disposal Facility, G-2,3,4 Growth Centre UPSIDC Bijoli, District-Jhansi, U.P., Dr. Vinay Kumar Verma, M/s Medical Pollution Control Committee., File No. 6801/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/70118/2021

SEIAA noted that SEAC-2 has recommended to issue ToR to the above project. SEIAA gone through file and documents and opined that online ToR has already been generated, so no additional ToR can be given at this stage. However concern raised by SEAC-2 may be communicated to PP/consultant to address these issues and present the same at the time of EIA appraisal.

10. Capacity Expansion of Common Biomedical Waste Management Treatment Storage and Disposal Facility. Dr. Vinay Kumar Verma, M/s Medical Pollution Control Committee. D-33 UPSIDC Industrial area Khalabad, District- Sant Kabir Nagar., File No. 6802/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/70546/2021

SEIAA noted that SEAC-2 has recommended to issue ToR to the above project. SEIAA gone through file and documents and opined that online ToR has already been generated, so no additional ToR can be given at this stage. However concern raise by SEAC-2 may be communicated to PP/consultant to address these issues and present the same at the time of EIA appraisal.

11. Durga Industrial Park at Khasra No.-1/2m,2 to 24,25/m,26 m, 27m,28,29, 30/m, 31/m, 37m, 38m, 39m, 40m, 41 m, Jhandapur, Ghaziabad and Khasra No.-4974/1m, Pasonda Ghaziabad & Khasra No.- 448/m, 450/m, 451, 452/1, 453/1, 454,455/2m,455/3m,457, 458m, 460m, 463m, 465/1m, 466m, 467m, & 468/2m, Jagola, Ghaziabad., Shri Narayan Gupta, M/s Durga Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., File No. 6281/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIS/612162/2021

SEIAA agreed with the recommendation of SEAC to constitute a Joint committee of concerned departments as GDA, CPCB, UPPCB and a representative of SEIAA for the inspection of the project site to get factual inspection reports from the environmental point of view and opined MS, SEIAA to issue a letter in this regard.

12. Morrum mining from Son Riverbed at Araj No.- 824 Kha (Khand No. 01) of Villages Ahori Khas, Tehsil-Obra, Sonbhadra, Shri Chander Shekhar Chaurasia, Area - 16.194 ha., File No. 6305/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/63476/2021

SEIAA opined that it is not evident from the MoM of SEAC-2 whether entire ToR has been addressed while preparing EIA/EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC-2 and details in tabular form should be submitted. Further, it is not evident



from MoM of SEAC-2 whether issues raised during public hearing have been addressed while preparing final EIA-EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC-2 and details in tabular form should be submitted. Hence SEIAA opined to refer back the project to SEAC-2 for review/ deliberations.

Assistant Nodal/Nodal Officer
SEIAA, UP



(Ajay Kumar Sharma)
Member-Secretary
SEIAA

(Paras Nath)
Member
SEIAA

(Dr. Rajiv Kumar Garg)
Chairman
SEIAA