The 39th meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), was held on 27.04.2022 at 2:00 p.m. through both physical and online modes in the Conference Room at Paribesh Bhawan, Bidhan Nagar. The following members participated:

1)	Dr. Rajesh Kumar, IPS	Secretary, SEAC	Present
2)	Dr. Ashit Kumar Mukherjee	Chairman, SEAC	Present through VC
3)	Dr. Nilangshu Bhusan Basu	Member, SEAC	Present through VC
4)	Prof. (Dr.) Suchandra Bardhan	Member, SEAC	Present through VC
5)	Prof. (Dr.) Mamata Chaudhuri Ray	Member, SEAC	Present through VC
6)	Prof. (Dr.) Pradip Kumar Sikdar	Member, SEAC	Present through VC
7)	Prof. (Dr.) Anirban Gupta	Member, SEAC	Present
8)	Prof. (Dr.) Aniruddha Mukhopadhyay	Member, SEAC	Present
9)	Prof. (Dr.) Sampa Chakrabarti	Member, SEAC	Present
10)	Prof. (Dr.) Goutam Kumar Saha	Member, SEAC	Present
11)	Prof. (Dr.) Indranath Sinha	Member, SEAC	Present
12)	Shri Shubhendu Bandyopadhyay	Member, SEAC	Present

Members present were welcomed by the Chair. After the items of agenda were introduced proponents, were asked to make technical presentations.

Two applications for Environmental clearance were considered. One case was placed for reconsideration.

After the usual sequence of introduction of the authorized representatives of the project proponents and their production of credentials, the consultants engaged by them presented their case before the SEAC.

1. Technical presentations :-

1.1) For Environmental Clearance

1.1.1) Construction Sector

A. Proposed Modification and Expansion of an ongoing Mass Housing Project "SHUKHOBRISHTI" at Plot Nos. - E/1, E/2, Action Area III, Mouza – Patharghata, New Town, Rajarhat, Dist. – 24 Pgs (N), Kolkata – 700156, West Bengal.

(Proposal No. SIA/WB/ MIS/70155/2020).

Proponent - M/s. Bengal Shapoorji Housing Development Private Limited Environmental Consultant - M/s. Centre for Sustainable Development.

The Activity:

• This is a modification and expansion proposal of Mass Housing Project. Total built up area of the project is 13,79,789.98 sqm. on a land area is 6,07,023.70 sqm. (150 acres). Total no. of flats 19430.

Salient Features:

• Salient features of the project as uploaded in the PARIVESH portal may be summed up as below:-

Salient features	Existing project for which EC was granted vide No. EN/393/T-II-1/167/2007 dated 22.02.2008	Modification and expansions proposed
Land area	6,07,023.70 sqm. (150 acres)	6,07,023.70 sqm. (150 acres)
No. of blocks	Residential – G+4 storied – 200	LIG Blocks 153 nos. – G+4 storied
	nos.	MIG Blocks 15 nos. – G+14 storied
	Residential – G+14 storied – 36	UMIG Blocks 24 nos. – G+14 storied
	nos.	Club 1 – G+1 storied 1 no.
	School + Shopping complex +	Club 2 – G+2 storied 1 no.
	health centre + community	ATM – G storied 1 no.
	centre	Hospital 1 no. – B+G+6 storied
		School 1 no. – G+4 storied
		Mall & Office Block 1 no. – 2B+G+7
		storied
		Convention Centre Block – 1 no. 2B+G+7
		storied
		Showroom Block 1 no. – G+3 storied
No. of Flats	20,000 nos.	19430 (LIG Flats – 9874, MIG Flats – 3840
	(LIG Flats – 12000,	+ UMIG Flats – 5716) nos.
	MIG Flats – 8000)	,
Expected Population	,	Residential – 92992
		Residential staff – 100
		Hospital Patients – 150
		Hospital employees – 750
		School students – 375
		School teachers – 10
		School staff – 19
		Shop visitors – 2700
		Shop employee – 300
		Office employees – 793
		Restaurant – 1461
		Food Court – 1339
		Multiplex – 1300
		Banquet – 1429
		Conference Room – 750
		Convention Centre – 167
		Meeting Room – 350
		Cafeteria – 167
		Service Apartment – 128
		Showroom employees – 16
		Showroom visitors – 144
		Office employee – 210
		Total – 1,05,650 persons
Latitude & Longitude	22°34′10″N (approx.), & 88°30′23″E (approx.)	
Total water requirement	5690 KLD	(Expansion – 7932 KLD)
(operation stage)		Total 13,622 KLD

Salient features	Eviating pusicet for which EC	Madification and assessing property
Salient features	Existing project for which EC	Modification and expansions proposed
	was granted vide No.	
	EN/393/T-II-1/167/2007 dated 22.02.2008	
Fresh water requirement	5690 KLD	(Expansion – 7932 KLD) (WBHIDCO
riesii watei requirement	3090 KLD	supply)
		Total 13,622 KLD
Waste water generated	4321 KLD	(Expansion – 6089 KLD)
waste water generated	4321 KLD	Total 10,410 KLD
		(to be discharged into WBHIDCO sewer)
Domestic water requirement	5401 KLD	(Expansion – 7611 KLD)
(operation stage)	J 101 KEB	Total 13,012 KLD
Landscaping requirement	289 KLD	(Expansion – 321 KLD)
(Treated waste water)		Total 610 KLD
Solid Waste Disposal		MSW - 36341 kg/day (disposed off to
·		WBHIDCO)
		Biomedical waste – 56.25 kg/day (to
		authorized agency)
Total Built-up area	10,19,800 sq.m. (for	13,79,789.98 s.qm
	sanction)	
Proposed ground coverage	165505 sqm. (27.3% of Land	1,72,720.88 sq.m (28.45% of Land Area)
	Area)	
Internal Road Area		2,54,485.25 sq.m (41.92% of Land Area)
Exclusive Tree Plantation		1,21,918.00 sq.m (20.08% of Land Area)
Area		
Open Parking Area (Semi		31,794.12 sq.m (5.24% of Land Area)
Paved)		
Open Parking Area (Paved)		12,400.00 sq.m (2.04% of Land Area)
Service & Amenities Area		11,705.33 sq.m (1.93% of Land Area)
Proposed waterbody area	3310 sqm (0.55 of Land Area)	2,000.13 sq.m (0.33% of Land Area)
No. of Plantation	Plantation in CTO obtained area	(Proposed plantation 4798 nos.) Total
	– 5363 nos.	plantation 10,161 nos.
Parking Provided		Statutory requirement of parking – 14,231
		nos.
		Parking provided – Car – 10811 nos.
		2 wheelers – 9900 nos.
		LCV – 88 nos.
D.C. Coto for Deale University		Bus – 33 nos.
D.G. Sets for Back Up power		123 nos. 14.5 KVA
Electricity Load		37210 KVA by WBSEDCL
Total project cost (Rs.)		Rs. 2393.84333 Crores

Chronology of Events

- The existing project obtained Environmental Clearance from the SEIAA, West Bengal vide EC No. EN/393/T-II-1/167/2007 dated 22.02.2008.
- The project proponent had applied for ToR for the expansion project on 17.08.2020 at PARIVESH Portal and SEIAA issued Auto ToR on 23.09.2020.
- The project proponent had submitted their final EIA report on 17.03.2022 at PARIVESH Portal.
- The project proponent presented their Final EIA in the 39th reconstituted SEAC meeting held on 27.04.2022.

SEAC observation

- Based on the submission and presentation made by the project proponent, the committee recommended that the following submission / clarifications should be uploaded in the PARIVESH portal by the project proponent for further consideration
 - a) Comparative Statement of all the salient features for the entire project
 - i. portion completed as per the EC approved,
 - ii. remaining portion not yet constructed but approved under EC,
 - iii. proposed construction beyond the EC received and
 - iv. other important features.
 - b) Concurrence for water supply, waste water discharge, solid waste disposal and other services from the Competent Authorities.
 - c) Permission from WBF&ES and the AAI
 - d) Land related documents.
 - e) Plan for installation of organic waste composter facility as per the Solid Waste Management Rules 2016.
 - f) Detailed plan of solar power plant including PV array. The solar PV installation may be planned for grid connectivity.
 - g) Units for ambient air quality in the EIA report to be mentioned.
 - h) Details regarding the proposed hospital No. of beds, plan for management of BMW waste generated, permission from the competent authority etc.
 - i) Recast recharge structure provisions as discussed in the meeting.
 - j) Proper sub-surface lithological profile of the area.
 - k) Point 28 in form no 2 and the relevant portion in page 1-5 of EIA report is to be rectified as it has been admitted in page 3-6 of the said report that endemic, endangered faunal spp like Varanus flavescens, Lissemys punctata, which are schedule-I animals and marsh mongoose which are schedule-II animals were commonly encountered in the project site/study area. So, a comprehensive conservation plan needs to be prepared.
 - I) Plan for installation of efficient water fixtures for conservation of water.
 - m) Rainwater harvesting to be used to gardening and car washing which should be included in the water balance.
 - n) While submitting the land use plan within the project area, the details (exact width) of underground service lines including fire, electrical, sewerage and drainage should be depicted with a different colour in order to assess that the area required for exclusive tree plantation does not overlap with these underground service lines. The plan should be certified by the project architect.
 - o) All sanction drawings including building permit.
 - p) Details of a comprehensive Disaster Management Plan including emergency evacuation during natural and man-made disaster.
 - q) Inclusion of Clubs, ATM, Hospital, School, Mall, Office Block, Convention Centre, Showroom Block, etc. makes the expansion project a commercial building project. The entire gamut of EIA/ EMP may be relooked from that angle.

- r) Allocation for environmental management may be revised upward to make the EMP cost commensurate with the cost of expansion and modification proposed. Budget showing allocation for different heads should be mentioned. There should not be any overlap of CSR and CER activities. These activities must be taken up in localities outside the project boundary.
- s) Being a project under Schedule 8(b) of the EIA Notification 2006, the project does not require mandatory public hearing. But it would be in the fitness of thing to plan for a need based CER and for that a need assessment study may be carried out. While allocation of funds under Corporate Environment Responsibility may be clear and unambiguous, commitments made by the project proponent to address the environmental concerns may be clearly mentioned to enable the SEAC to prescribe specific condition(s) in physical terms while recommending the proposal to SEIAA. All the activities proposed by the project proponent or prescribed by the WB-SEIAA shall be part of the Environment Management Plan.
- t) As a part of the rainwater harvesting scheme it has been proposed to undertake ground water recharging in a staggered fashion. In order to ascertain that such recharges are effective it is recommended that the recharge be made to aquifers in medium-to-coarse-sand layers. The strainers can also be placed in fine sand, if and only if, medium-to-coarse-sand layers are not available and an appropriate strainer is chosen. As envisaged in para (j) above appropriate lithology(s) showing subsurface profile may be of immense help. From the drawing, no recharging should be done above 120m below ground level. Recharge well should be in the green area and suitably spaced.
- u) Conservation scheme for the endangered animals should be proposed.
- v) Embankment of the waterbody should be properly protected and maintained- an undertaking should be given.
- w) Measures for water conservation should be highlighted.
- x) Access/ facilities for the differently able people should be provided especially to the common facilities like club or commercial area.
- y) While designing the hospital and commercial complex, depth of the basement should be specified and its impact on the groundwater flow should be examined.
- z) Disaster Management Plan.

The SEAC will further consider the case on submission of satisfactory reply on the above-mentioned queries only through "PARIVESH" portal.

B. Proposed construction of Business Building at Premises No.-22-0706, Plot No- SV-7, Diplomatic Enclave in AA-II E, New Town, Rajarhat, West Bengal.

Proposal No. SIA/WB/MIS/267917/2022 Proponent - M/s. Nxtra Data Limited Environmental Consultant - M/s. Ultra-Tech.

The Activity:

• This is a proposal for construction of B+G+6 storied Business Building. Total built up area is 29857.970 sqm and land area is 11,528.67 sq.m.

Salient Features:

 Salient features of the proposed project as uploaded in the "PARIVESH" portal may be summed up as below:-

Land area	11,528.67 sgm
	•
No. of blocks	1 Block of B+G+6 storied Business Building
Latitude & Longitude	22° 36′ 46.33″N & 88° 27′ 23.34″E
Total Built-up area	29,857.970 sqm
Proposed ground coverage	4,578.68 sq.m (39.72% of Land Area)
Exclusive Tree Plantation Area	2,314.28sq.m (20.07% of Land Area)
Service Area (beyond basement)	484.75 sq.m (4.20 % of Land Area)
Paved Area (beyond basement)	2,468.03 sq.m (21.41 % of Land Area)
Basement Area (beyond Ground	1,682.93 sq.m (14.60 % of Land Area)
coverage)	
Source of Water	WBHIDCO
Quantum of Water required	24 KLD
Quantity of Wastewater Generation	9 KLD
Treated Wastewater Recycled	9 KLD (to be used in landscaping, flushing & car
	washing)
Quantity of Wastewater Discharge	0 KLD
Quantum of Fresh Water required	15 KLD
Quantity of Solid Waste Generation	50 kg/day
Constructional phase Water Demand	20 KLD (Construction work – 3 KLD, Workers – 17
	KLD)
Total Population During Construction	245 persons
Total Population During Operation	228 persons (Fixed – 192, Floating – 20, Service-
	16
	nos.)
Electricity Load	32000 KVA (29000 KW) by WBSEDCL / NTESCL
D.G. Sets for Back Up power	17 Nos. (15 Working +2 Standby) 2500 KVA
Parking Provided	123 nos. (required parking - 117 nos.)
Total no. of trees	190 nos.
Total project cost (Rs.)	Rs. 28941.52 lakh.

Chronology of the event

- The project proponent applied for environmental clearance of the project on April 14, 2022.
- The matter was taken up by the reconstituted SEAC in its 39th meeting held on April 27, 2022 where the project proponent presented its case.

SEAC Observations

- Based on the submission and presentation made by the project proponent, the committee recommended that the following submission / clarifications should be uploaded in the "PARIVESH" portal by the project proponent for further consideration -
 - 1. Land use plan sanctioned by the competent authority.
 - 2. Complete set of sanction plans including building permit.
 - 3. On considering huge carbon emissions and emissions of other gaseous pollutants from the said centre, percentage of exclusive tree plantation should be enhanced. The green belt should be erected around the project boundary and along the approach roads. While selecting plant spp,

- emphasis is to be given on those spp, which are tall and having good canopy structure, effective in absorbing SPM and controlling gaseous pollutants.
- 4. Permission from WBF&ES and AAI.
- 5. Basis of population calculation.
- 6. Sub-surface lithological profile of the area should be submitted.
- 7. Depth of recharge structure to be recast as discussed in the meeting. Recharging should be staggered and, in the level, containing medium to coarse sand. In case the strainer has to be placed in fine sand, the screen should be chosen accordingly.
- 8. While submitting the land use plan within the project area, the details (exact width) of underground service lines including fire, electrical, sewerage and drainage should be depicted with a different colour in order to assess that the area required for exclusive tree plantation does not overlap with these underground service lines. The plan should be certified by the project architect.
- 9. Impact on the air quality during operation of all the 17 no. DG sets. Emission and noise control of DG sets should conform the CPCB guidelines. Report in this regard should be submitted.
- 10. Proposal for hazardous waste as per the Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016.
- 11. Basement depth. A report on the impact of basement on groundwater flow to be submitted.
- 12. Detail plan for solar power generation.
- 13. Rooftop plan showing mast/antenna along with solar panels.
- 14. Undertaking to be submitted regarding rooftop garden as discussed in the meeting.
- 15. Impact of the roof-top towers/antenna on the birds should be studied and radiation limit should be guided by DoT.
- 16. If applicable, clearance regarding E-waste should be taken.
- 17. Regarding the social part of the EMP, need of the local people should be explored and this part may be revised accordingly. Activities like drinking water supply or drain are generally under the purview of the local civic body not of the project proponent. Awareness/ alternative teaching programmes and computer literacy to the local youth may be considered.
- 18. Details on risk assessment and damage control during different phases of the project and proposed safeguard measure is to be furnished.
- 19. Pre-Feasibility Report should be submitted.
- 20. Likely impact on environment including heat generation and carbon footprint during operation of the facility.
- 21. Large scale afforestation programme with the help of concerned department to be undertaken. Detailed plan to be submitted in this regard.
- 22. Report of compliance with respect to Clause No. 9.2 (v) of the checklist.
- 23. Modelling of 'worst case scenario' due to operation of DG sets.
- 24. Recharge pits on the SW side of the plot shown in the 'Water supply and RWH pit' drawing do not match with those of the 'Proposed tree plantation plan'. There are five pits in the former while three pits in the latter, with plants shown in the place of the two other pits. Necessary corrections to be made.
- 25. The desilting chamber/s for the RWH pits is/are not marked in the site plan in the 'Water supply and RWH pit' drawing. These need to be added to eliminate any conflict with adjoining services and plantation.
- 26. The project proponent must mention the measures taken to prevent contamination of the recharge pits that are close to the UG HSD yard.

27. The project proponent must obtain the Petroleum and Explosive Safety Organisation of India (PESO) license for 410 KL of HSD storage from competent authority.

The SEAC will further consider the case on submission of satisfactory reply on the above-mentioned queries only through "PARIVESH" portal.

2. Reconsideration proposals

2.1) For Environmental Clearance

2.1.1) Construction Sector

A. Proposed development of an affordable Housing Complex under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana at Premises No. 39/1, Shalimar Road, L.R. Dag No. – 12, 13, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 60, 61, 62, 63, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24, 1, 2, 11, L.R. Khatian No. – 170, 9, 15, 17, J.L. No. – 1, Mouza – Shibpur, Ward No. – 39, Borough – VI, under Howrah Municipal Corporation, P.S. – Shibpur, Howrah – 711103, West Bengal.

Proposal No. SIA/WB/MIS/220603/2021

Proponent - M/s. Ideal Riverview Projects Pvt. Ltd.

Name of the Environmental Consultant is M/s. ULTRA-TECH.

The Activity:

This is a fresh proposal for construction of an affordable Housing Complex under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana at Premises No. 39/1, Shalimar Road, L.R. Dag No. – 12, 13, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 60, 61, 62, 63, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24, 1, 2, 11, L.R. Khatian No. – 170, 9, 15, 17, J.L. No. – 1, Mouza – Shibpur, Ward No. – 39, Borough – VI, under Howrah Municipal Corporation, P.S. – Shibpur, Howrah – 711103, West Bengal. The proposed project comprises construction of Eight (8) Residential Towers:

- Tower 1 to 5 G + 12
- Tower 6 to 8 G + 1
 In addition to above it is also proposed to construct a single storied Club Block.
- Total built-up area will be 57,424.614 sqm. Total land area available for the project is 74,150.138 sq.m. It is proposed to construct 970 nos. (2 BHK-715 + 3 BHK-255).

Salient Features-

 Salient features of the proposed project as uploaded in the "PARIVESH" portal may be summed up as below:-

Land area	74,150.138 sq.m	
No. of stories	Eight (8) Residential Towers:	
	Tower 1 to 5 – G + 12	
	Tower 6 to 8 – G + 1 and	
	1 no. Club Block – G storied.	
No. of Flats	970 nos. (2BHK-715 + 3 BHK-255)	
Latitude & Longitude of site	22° 33' 10.95" N, 88° 18' 41.14" E	
Total Built-up area	57,424.614 sq.m.	
Ground Coverage	8,767.79 sq.m (11.82% of Land Area)	
Exclusive Tree Plantation Area	14,882.70 sq.m (20.07% of Land Area)	
Waterbody Area	3,895.91 sq.m (5.25% of Land Area)	
Service Area	1,403.80 sq.m. (1.89% of Land Area)	
Swimming pool Area	354.00 sq.m. (0.48% of Land Area)	
Paved Area	12,964.62 sq.m. (17.48% of Land Area)	
Open Parking Area	4,195.52 sq.m. (5.66% of Land Area)	
Future expansion Area	27,685.80 sq.m. (37.34% of Land Area)	
Source of Water	Howrah Municipal Corporation	
Quantum of Water required	804 KLD	
Quantity of Wastewater Generation	560 KLD	
Treated Wastewater Recycled	232 KLD (to be used in landscaping, flushing & car washing)	
Quantity of Wastewater Discharge	328 KLD	
Quantum of Fresh Water required	572 KLD	
Quantity of Solid Waste Generation	2700 kg/day	
Constructional Phase Water Demand	39 KLD (33 KLD for workers and 6 KLD for construction work)	
Population During Construction	465 persons	
Total Population During Operation	5668 (Fixed – 5105 and Floating – 563) persons	
Electricity (Demand Load)	2801 KVA by CESC	
D.G. Sets for Back Up power	2 nos. 500 KVA and 2 nos. 600 KVA	
Parking Provided	Cars — 805 nos. (Covered — 204 nos., Open — 17 nos. & Mechanical — 584 nos.), Scooty — 112 nos.	
Total no. of trees proposed	1060 nos.	
Total project cost (Rs.)	Rs. 167.82 Crores.	

Chronology of the event:

- The project proponent presented their EC application in the 21st reconstituted SEAC meeting held on 15.09.2021 and based on the submission and presentation made by the project proponent, the committee recommended that the following submission / clarifications to be provided by the project proponent for further consideration:
 - i. Mouza map showing all the plots and the location of the 'nallah', 'bagan', 'pukur' etc.
 - ii. Land conversion documents. Position of 'nallah', 'bagan' & 'pukur' to be shown in the master plan.
 - iii. Clarification to be provided regarding repetition of LR Dag nos. 11, 12, & 13 in the sanctioned plan.
 - iv. Complete set of legible drawings.
 - v. Tree plantation and their survival plan to be resubmitted.

- vi. Pond Embankment Shore Protection plan mentioning the slope, stability requirement etc.
- vii. Solar power plan details to be provided including layout of the solar photovoltaic array (SPVA).
- viii. Volume of water body to indicate rainwater harvesting capacity and layout showing stormwater connections to it. Carwash requirement should be utilised from this waterbody.
- ix. Details of water requirement including swimming pool water treatment.
- x. Report of the subsurface geology of the water body to be provided for assessment of natural rainwater recharging. If unsuitable, artificial recharge as per guidelines should be provided.
- xi. Undertaking to be submitted regarding no bore wells to be provided.
- xii. Concurrence from HMC regarding provision of water, waste collection and other services.
- xiii. Concurrence of electric supply.
- xiv. Since the project site is located in close proximity of railway land, the project proponent should obtain NOC from Railway Authority for construction of building, if applicable.
- xv. Sources of firefighting to be mentioned.
- xvi. Details of storm water/ discharge drain around the project to be mentioned.
- xvii. Water balance during lean season.
- xviii. Plan to make buildings' glazings safer for birds by employing patterned glass, screens and sun sheds and ornillux glass.
- xix. Provide High Rise Building related following environmental documents as per MoEF&CC, vide No. 21-270/2008-IA.III dated 07.02.2012:
 - a) Microclimate (sunshine & shadow analysis and its effect on energy consumption).
 - b) Air circulation (effect on natural ventilation and wind speed).
 - c) Day lighting (how dependence on artificial lighting during daytime is affected).
- xx. The effect of construction on neighbouring structures should be evaluated.
- The project proponent had submitted reply on 25.04.2022 in PARIVESH Portal, which was considered in the 39th meeting held on 27.04.2022.

SEAC Observations

- SEAC scrutinized the documents submitted by the project proponent in the meeting and after detailed discussion, the committee observed that the reply submitted is not satisfactory. Therefore, the committee recommended that following submission / clarifications should be uploaded in the PARIVESH portal by the project proponent for further consideration:-
 - (1) Permission from the competent authority regarding relocation of waterbody.
 - (2) Summary details of all the plot nos. including mutation and conversion in the name of the project proponent.
 - (3) Concurrence regarding water supply from the competent authority during construction phase.
 - (4) Concurrence from the competent authority regarding management of the non-biodegradable portion of the solid waste.
 - (5) Permission from AAI.
 - (6) Impact on the neighbouring structures during construction.
 - (7) Plan of solar energy generation.

The SEAC will further consider the case on submission of satisfactory reply on the above-mentioned queries only through "PARIVESH" portal.

Table-1: List of the projects which were placed before the reconstituted SEAC in the thirty ninth meeting held on 27.04.2022 and the Summary Decisions thereof:

SI.	Name of the unit & Project address	3) Summary Decision
No.		
3.2) (Construction Sector	
	Environmental Clearance	
1.	M/s. Bengal Shapoorji Housing Development Private Limited	
	Proposed Modification & Expansion of an ongoing Mass	
	Housing Project "SHUKHOBRISHTI" at Plot Nos E/1, E/2,	
	Action Area III, Mouza – Patharghata, New Town, Rajarhat,	Asked for additional submission
	Dist. – 24 Pgs (N), Kolkata – 700156, West Bengal.	
	(Proposal No. SIA/WB/ MIS/70155/2020).	
2.	M/s. Nxtra Data Limited	
	Proposed construction of Business Building at Premises No	
	22-0706, Plot No- SV-7, Diplomatic Enclave in AA-II E, New	Asked for additional submission
	Town, Rajarhat, West Bengal.	
	(Proposal No. SIA/WB/MIS/267917/2022).	
3.4)	Cases placed for reconsideration	
1.	M/s. Ideal Riverview Projects Pvt. Ltd.	
	Proposed development of an affordable Housing Complex	
	under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana at Premises No. 39/1,	
	Shalimar Road, L.R. Dag No. – 12, 13, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45,	
	60, 61, 62, 63, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22,	Asked for additional submission
	24, 1, 2, 11, L.R. Khatian No. – 170, 9, 15, 17, J.L. No. – 1,	Asked for additional submission
	Mouza – Shibpur, Ward No. – 39, Borough – VI, under	
	Howrah Municipal Corporation, P.S. – Shibpur, Howrah –	
	711103, West Bengal.	
	(Proposal No. SIA/WB/MIS/220603/2021).	

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to and from the Chair.

Sd/-	Sd/-
(Dr. Ashit Kumar Mukherjee)	(Prof. (Dr.) Sampa Chakrabarti)
Chairman	Member
State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal	State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Prof. (Dr.) Pradip Kumar Sikdar)	(Prof. (Dr.) Suchandra Bardhan)
Member	Member
State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal	State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Prof. (Dr.) Aniruddha Mukhopadhyay)	(Prof. (Dr.) Nilangshu Bhusan Basu)
Member	Member
State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal	State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Prof. (Dr.) Goutam Kumar Saha)	(Prof. (Dr.) Anirban Gupta)
Member	Member
State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal	State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Shri Shubhendu Bandyopadhyay)	(Prof. (Dr.) Indranath Sinha)
Member	Member
State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal	State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Prof. (Dr.) Mamata Chaudhuri Ray)	(Dr. Rajesh Kumar)
Member	Secretary
State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal	State Expert Appraisal Committee, West Bengal