99-04 F.6451 Proposed construction of Multi – Storeyed office building by M/s. United India Insurance Co Limited for a build up area of 25, 478. 42 sq.m at S.F.No. 329/2, Triplicane Village, Mylapore – Triplicane Taluk, Chennai District, Tamilnadu – Category "B2"-8(a) Building & Construction Projects - Environmental Clearance- Regarding

> The Proponent, M/s. United India Insurance Co Limited, has applied for Environment Clearance for the construction of Multi – Storeyed office building with built up area of 25, 478.42 sq.m at S.F.No. 329/2, Triplicane Village, Mylapore – Triplicane Taluk, Chennai District, Tamilnadu on 28.09.2017.

> The project proposal was placed in the 96th meeting of the SEAC held on 01.11.2017. Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided to recommend the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the normal conditions.

- It is proposed to demolish the existing building of an area of 6800 sq.m in order to facilitate the construction of the proposed building. The proponent should furnish detailed particulars about quantum of demolition waste that will be generated and methods that will be adopted for reuse / disposal of the waste.
- 2. The background noise levels prevailing at the site already exceeds permissible noise levels. Hence, the proponent should develop thick greeneries all around the project boundary in order to contain the noise levels.

3. The operation of demolition of the existing building should be

Chairman, SEAC

Member-Secretary, SEAC

carried out only during day time with adequate measures to contain the noise and dust in a way that it does not affect the residents who are living very close (at about 20m from the project site).

- 4. No greeneries have been developed at the site as part of the existing building infrastructure development so far. As a compensation the proponent is asked to deposit Rs. 10 lakhs with Pallikaranai Marsh Conservation Authority and the receipt should be submitted before the receipt of EC.
- 5. The proponent is a Government agency. However part of the building is proposed to be used for commercial purposes and hence for CSR activities Rs. 1.25 crores should be earmarked as agreed by the proponent. This fund for CSR should concentrate on infrastructure facilities useful to the local community. Accordingly, the proponent is asked to identify 10 local schools for which an amount of Rs. 12.5 Lakhs will be dispersed per school. The proponent has identified the following schools as beneficiaries.
 - (i) Government Madrasa Higher Sec. School, Anna Salai
 - (ii) Government Girls Middle School, Egmore High Road
 - (iii) Municipal Corporation School, Padupakkam
 - (iv) Government School, Nungambakkam
 - (v) Government Corporation Higher Sec. School, Avvai
 Shanmugam Salai
 - (vi) Corporation Primary School, Saidapet
 - (vii) Corporation Middle School, Nungambakkam
 - (viii) Government School, Aalapakkam

N. D Member-Secretary, SEAC

Chairman, SEAC

- (ix) Mogappair Government High School
- (x) Perunthalaivar Kamarajar Government Girls High School, Ambattur
- 6. The entire building consisting of 14 floors is proposed to be used for office purposes. There is a very good possibility of generation of significant amount of e-waste in the offices. The proponent should furnish the details of the e-waste generation and management.

The minutes of the 96th meeting was conveyed to the proponent in Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No. 6451/2017 dated: 03.11.2017. In response to this communication, the proponent has submitted a letter dated: 23.11.2017 in which he has given his version on a few items including the CSR activities recommended by the SEAC.

The essence of his communication is that the proponent wants the SEAC recommendation regarding the CSR activities to be completely waived as some activities had already been done elsewhere. The SEAC in the 99th meeting held on 12.12.2017 considered the points submitted by the proponent and decided that the original recommendation of SEAC regarding the CSR activities will stand and the proponent should implement the CSR activities as agreed by him in the 96th Meeting of SEAC since the activities mentioned by him do not deserve to be considered under the norms followed by the SEAC for the developmental projects. The proponent should implement the CSR activities surrounding the project site only. Also, the CSR should be on infrastructure which will be serving community like schools on a long term basis.

Name	Designation	Signature
Dr. K. Thanasekaran	Member	Obeenus

N . Jon hand

Chairman, SEAC

2	Dr. A. Navaneetha Gopalakrishnan	Member	
3	Dr.K.Valivittan	Member	tret
4	Dr.Indumathi M. Nambi	Member	
5	Dr. G. S. Vijayalakshmi	Member	QS Vejayut
6	Dr. M. Jayaprakash	Member	nitar
7	Shri V. Sivasubramanian	Member	
8	Shri V. Shanmugasundaram	Member	
9	Shri B. Sugirtharaj Koilpillai	Member	Brond .
10	Shri. P. Balamadeswaran	Co-opt Member	Asit
11	Shri. M.S. Jayaram	Co-opt Member	Layaran

N.g Member-Secretary, SEAC

Chairman, SEAC