

0191-2474553/0194-2490602 Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India.



J&K UT LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE (JKEAC) Department of Ecology, Environment & Remote Sensing SDA Housing Colony, Bemina, Srinagar, Kashmir Email: seacers@gmail.com, Website: www.parivesh.nic.in

MINUTES OF MEETING

MINUTES OF 76th MEETING OF THE JK EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 30TH OF NOVEMBER, 2021 AND 4TH DECEMBER, 2021 VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING

In pursuance to Meeting Notice issued vide No: EAC/JK/20/11421-11447 dated: 25/11/2021 and Meeting Continuation Notice issued vide No: EAC/JK/20/11448-11469, the 76th Meeting of JK Level Expert Appraisal Committee (JKEAC) was held on 30th of November, 2021 and 4TH December, 2021 at 10:30 A.M. via video Conferencing. The following attended the meeting:

No.	Name	Designation
1	Mr. S.C. Sharma, IFS(Rtd.)	Chairman
2	Mr. M.A. Tak, IFS(Rtd.)	Member
3	Engineer B.B. Sharma	Member
4	Prof. Arvind Jasrotia	Member
5	Prof. Falendra Kr. Sudan	Member
6	Prof. Anil Kumar Raina	Member
7	Humayun Rashid	Secretary

The Secretary, JKEAC welcomed the Chairman, Members of the JK Expert Appraisal Committee, project proponents and the consultants.

The meeting proceeded as per following sequence: -

AGENDA ITEM NO: 01

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for the Minor Mineral (River Bed Material) mining project at block No. 27, revised area 8.21 Ha. Located at village Mah, Tehsil: Qaimoh & District: Kulgam UT Jammu & Kashmir in favour of Shri Gh. Mohammad Pinchoo S/O Shri Mohammad Rajab Pinchoo.
Project type	Mining Lease
(whether mining lease/STP/	
Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category(B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/57331/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/313
Consultant with validity	M/S OCEAO Enviro Management solutions Pvt. Ltd.
	Valid till: 04/08/2024
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Himanshu Goel

Project proponent /	Mr. Shahnawaz Pinchoo
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Revised Area	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed
	(Ha)			Capital	Recurring	(lacs/annum)
New	8.21	288.90	599.00	17.72	3.71	2.38
Project						

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.15	1	1.84	2.0	Yes

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
05/08/2020	21/09/2021	30/11/2021	31/08/2020	27/12/2020

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec 2020	08/05/2021	17/11/2020	30/10/2021

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	

 ${\tt Page}2$

3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/
			Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Issued	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued conditionally	
7	Revenue Dept.	Issued as state land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.		

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S.		Budget proposed by		Remarks/
No	Proposed actions	Proponent		
		(in lacs)		
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	1.37	0.36	
2	Haulage route	11.04	1.10	
	maintenance			
3	Env. Awareness	0.00	0.00	
	programme			
4	Pollution monitoring	0.42	0.42	
5	Dust suppression	1.11	1.11	
6	Occupational Health &	3.55	0.57	
	Safety of mine workers			
7	Solid waste management	0.23	0.15	
8	Installation of Hume pipes	0.00	0.00	
	Total	17.72	3.71	

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed	
			by	
			Proponent	
			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-	0.00	
		up		
2	Solar lighting	Installation of solar panels, distribution of	1.19	
		solar lights in the nearby area		
3	Covid -19	Distribution of Sanitizers, face masks etc in	0.00	
		the nearby village.		
4	Sanitation	Construction of toilet (Ladies & Gents) in the	0.00	
		nearby village		
5	Education	Financial aid for school, building repairs &	1.19	
5	Education	distribution of furniture, stationers in the	1.17	
		nearby school		
	Total		2.38	
	10101		2.30	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget pro	posed by	Remarks
No.		Proponent		
		(in lacs)		
		Capital	Recurring	
			(in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.05	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	0.50	
4	Personal Computer with power	1.00	0.05	
	backup			
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	1.00	0.02	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.02	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.25	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.96]
	Total	16.00	1.85	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	Yes
2	Prevention of illegal mining	Yes
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	

Page4

5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	
6	Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Mining should be done scientifically	Yes
8	Pitched for grant of early EC	Yes
9	Environmental issues should be resolved on priority	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features	Within	Outside	Upstream	Downstream	
	observed	Mining site	mining site	from	From mining	Remarks
		boundary	boundary	mining	block	
		(Yes/No/Not	(Yes/No/Not	block	(Mts.)	
		identifiable)	identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Features indicative of illegal mining on Google image of 10/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining	No	No	-	-	

Page**5**

r	1111/11					
	block/adjacent to					
	mining block or					
	within 500 mts.					
	from the mining					
	block.					
6	Structures of any	No	No	-	-	
	kind (buildings					
	/Flood control/					
	protection					
	works/ electric					
	installations, if					
	any located					
	within/adjacent/					
	in close vicinity					
	to mining block					
	or within 500					
	mts. of the					
7	mining block.	Not	Vac			Eastures
7	Irrigation canal		Yes	-	-	Features
	headworks/ khul	identifiable				indicative of
	inlets, if any					irrigation
	located within					offtake points
	mining block or					
	within 500 mts.					
	of the mining					
0	block.	X 7	37			
8	Active water	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be
	channels, if any					excluded
	crossing the					from mining
-	mining block.					activity
9	National	No	No	-	-	
	Park/Wildlife					
	Sanctuary/ESZ,					
	if any located in					
	close vicinity of					
	the mining block					
	and distance					
	thereof from the					
L	mining block.					
10	Forest land, if	No	No	-	-	
	any located in					
	close vicinity of					
	the mining					
	block. If yes, the					
	distance thereof					
	from the ends of					
	mining block.					
12	Any other	No	No	-	-	
	relevant feature					
	of interest or					
	condition which					
	is critical to					
	grant of					
		•	•	•	•	·

 ${}^{\tt Page}6$

ToRs/EC to the proposed project			

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 19th meeting held on 17.11.2020 during which the Committee recommended ToRs in favour of the project subject to condition that the mining block is relocated to exclude green area and to tap materials south of the Pillar-C of the mining block to appropriately train the nalla. The PP has got the mining block resized thereby reducing its area from 9.21 Ha to 8.21 Ha by partially excluding the active water channel. The green patch observed on Google image during previous meeting was not found existing in the block as per features observed on the latest Google image of 10/2021, and has vanished probably due to illegal mining. The PP has submitted title verification of the mining block from the competent Authority which rules out possibility of any private cropland within the block. The Committee, as such, observed that the mining block needs to be further resized by excluding the remaining active water channel / water creeks.
- b) The Committee while examining the mining block on Google Earth platform, observed features indicative of heavy illegal mining in the block. The same needs clarification from the Multi Dept. District level Task Force (MDLTF) Cell for fixing responsibility in this regard.
- c) In view of over exploitation and extensive illegal mining observed on the Google image, the Committee is apprehensive of availability of enough minable reserves that can be retrieved without further damaging the ecology of the river system. Therefore, the G&M Dept. needs to physically verify the availability of material on site and convey their firm estimate of minor mineral reserves that can be retrieved without violating *norm of not excavating beyond 1 m below NSL* in absence of replenishment data in the DSR and accordingly, issue a '*fit for mining certificate*' for the mining block.
- d) The examination of mining block on Google Earth platform also revealed features that are suggestive of irrigation off-take points in the vicinity of the mining block. The RQP representing the case contested the existence of any such irrigation facility in the vicinity of the block.
- e) Therefore, the Committee desired project proponent to obtain field verification of the observations made by the Committee as discussed herein above, by the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. In case, it is found that such irrigation facilities are not actually existing as claimed by the RQP, same needs to be recorded by the concerned hydraulic engineer with consent of local PRI, with seal and signature, certifying therein that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block boundary, preferably on the back side of Colour Google image of the area depicting the mining block boundary and Kuhls/canals off-take points.
- f) Further, a joint inspection Committee similar to the one already constituted by District Administration for block No.26 earlier, comprising of representatives of Revenue, G&M Dept., Irrigation & Flood Control Dept. and Fisheries Dept. needs to be constituted to inspect and report technical feasibility of allowing further mining in the said mining block, also certifying therein, that the mining activity in the block would not cause any adverse environmental impact on the existing irrigation facilities, flood protection works and fisheries potential of the nalla.
- g) The Committee also observed two rectangular features suggestive of Forest/Horticulture nurseries in the close vicinity of the mining block. The consultant failed to indicate the same in the site appreciation note and also could not clarify the same during deliberations. Therefore, necessary clarification / specific NOC shall have to be obtained by the PP w.r.t the two nurseries from the concerned department's viz. Forest/Horticulture Dept. or local PRI/private owners, as the case may be.
- h) The PP shall also submit revised PFR/EIA after recalculating the targeted mineral production by excluding the active water channel.

- i) The compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of Air Quality Monitoring Station displaying the dates of collection of data is missing in EIA report which needs to be submitted.
- j) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of EMP, CER and therefore needs to be revised.
- k) EMP/CER budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget.
- 1) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised area, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority.
- m) Mining depth of 2.5 m & bulk density of 2.3 adopted in the instant mining plan were not agreed by the committee.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee did not approve the case in the present form.

However, the Project Proponent, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA for reconsideration of the case after complying with the observations/requirements as detailed herein above.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 02

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for Nallah Bed Mining Project – revised area 1.13 Ha located at Minor Mineral Block No- 10, Shartmuqam Bridge to check Drugmullah upsteam Nallah Pohru, village- Shart Muqam, Tehsil & District- Kupwara & UT: J&K in favour of Nazir Ahmad Wani S/O Abdul Wahid Wani.
Project type	Mining Lease
(whether mining lease/STP/	
Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category(B1/B2)	B2
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/226351/2021 //SIA/JK/MIN/60956/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/506
Consultant with validity	M/S OCEAO Enviro Management solutions Pvt. Ltd.
	Valid till: 04/08/2024
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Himanshu Goel
Project proponent /	Nazir Ahmad Wani
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Revised Area	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed
	(Ha)			Capital	Recurring	(lacs/annum)
New	1.13	133.2	341	27.00	19.50	8.00
Project						

Mine Closure plan	Mining depth	Haulage Route	Bulk density	Cluster situation
proposed	proposed	(Kms)	proposed by proponent	(Yes/No)
(in lacs)	(mts.)			

0.15	2.5	1.12	2.3	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
28/08/2020	05/09/2021	30/11/2021	28/10/2020	14/05/2021

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	NA	NA	24-04-2021 & 23/09/2021	23-08-2021

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

Page 9

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/
			Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Not submitted	
6	Pollution Control Board	submitted	
7	Revenue Dept.	Issued as state land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued]
10	R&B Dept.	Issued	

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S.		Budget p	Budget proposed by		get suggested by
No	Proposed actions		Proponent (in lacs)		nmittee n lacs)
		Capital	Capital	Capital	Capital
1	Green belt development	5.00	3.00	5.00	3.00
2	Haulage route maintenance	7.00	5.00	7.00	5.00
3	Env. Awareness programme	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
4	Pollution monitoring	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
5	Dust suppression	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
6	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.50
7	Solid waste Management	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
8	Laying of Hume pipes across water creeks	8.00	5.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	27.0	19.50	19.00	14.50

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed	
			by	
			Proponent	
			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up	3.00	
		Installation of hand pumps		
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light	1.00	
		installation in the village.		
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	2.00	

 ${}^{\text{Page}}10$

4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools.	2.00	
	Total		8.00	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget pro	posed by	Remarks
No.		Proponent		
		(in lacs)		
		Capital	Recurring	
			(in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.05	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	0.50	
4	Personal Computer with power	1.00	0.05	
	backup			
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	1.00	0.02	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.02	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.25	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.96	
	Total	16.00	1.85	

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features	Within	Outside	Upstream	Downstream	
	observed	Mining site	mining site	from	From mining	Remarks
		boundary	boundary	mining	block	
		(Yes/No/Not	(Yes/No/Not	block	(Mts.)	
		identifiable)	identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Latest available hazefree Google Image of October /2017
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located	No	No	-	-	

Page 1.

	within mining					
	area/ within 500					
	mts. from the					
	ends of mining					
	block.					
4	Foot bridge with	No	No			
4		INO	INO	-	-	
	only abutments					
	on two banks, if					
	any located					
	within mining					
	area/ within 500					
	mts. from the					
	ends of mining					
	block.					
5		No	No			
5	Green patch	INO	No	-	-	
	(Cropland / trees					
	/orchard etc. if					
	any located					
	within mining					
	block/adjacent to					
	mining block or					
	within 500 mts.					
	from the mining					
	block.					
(N.	N.			
6	Structures of any	No	No	-	-	
	kind (buildings					
	/Flood control/					
	protection					
	works/ electric					
	installations, if					
	any located					
	within/adjacent/					
	in close vicinity					
	to mining block					
	or within 500					
	mts. of the					
	mining block.					
7	Irrigation canal	Not	Yes	-	-	Features
	headworks/ khul	Identifiable				indicative of
	inlets, if any					one irrigation
	located within					offtake point
	mining block or					on Google
	within 500 mts.					image of
						October
	of the mining					
	block.					/2017
8	Active water	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be
	channels, if any					excluded
	crossing the					from mining
	mining block.					activity
9	National	No	No	_	_	
	Park/Wildlife					
	Sanctuary/ESZ,					
	if any located in					
	close vicinity of					

 ${}^{\tt Page}12$

	the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.					
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 38th meeting held on 24.04.2021 during which the committee recommended TORs to the project subject to title verification by the competent revenue authority and after recalculation of the targeted mineral production by excluding the active water channel.
- b) The project was again discussed during 61st meeting held on 23.09.2021 during which the committee rejected the case for grant of EC. The PP, however was allowed to approach the JKEIAA again after submitting following compliances:
 - i. Resizing the block by excluding the entire water channel and to maintain safe distance to the piers of bridge.
 - ii. Submission of clarification from R&B Dept. w.r.t bridge piers presumably under construction in the close vicinity of the mining block.
- c) The PP has got the mining block further resized from 3.50 Ha to 1.13 Ha by excluding the entire active water channel and maintaining safe distance to the bridge piers.
- d) The PP also presented the NOC from the concerned Xen, R&B Dept. in compliance to item ii.
- e) It was also noted that the Xen Irrigation Dept. has issued NOC subject to restricting mining depth at max. 0.60m only and the Committee, during 61st JKEAC Meeting had also desired that the PP must restrict the mining depth to 0.60m only.
- **f**) The Committee, however, observed that the consultant has not yet updated the Prefeasibility report by recalculating the targeted mineral production at 1.13 Ha block size with mining depth of 0.60m and therefore desired that the PP should submit the updated /revised PFR, **prior to grant of EC.**
- g) The Committee further observed features on Google Image, indicative of an off-take point of irrigation kuhls in the vicinity of the mining block and desired project proponent to obtain field verification of same by the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. In case, it is found that such irrigation facility is not existing, same needs to be recorded by the concerned hydraulic engineer with consent of local PRI, with seal and signature, certifying therein that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block boundary, preferably on the back side of Colour Google image of the area depicting the mining block boundary and Kuhls/canals off-take points, prior to grant of EC.
- h) Submission of *'non-involvement in illegal mining certificate'* from MDLTF Cell, prior to grant of EC.

- i) **G&M Dept. needs to revisit the economic viability of the revised mining block** in view of drastic reduction in the mining block size from 10 Ha to 1.13 Ha as the same could lead to illegal mining practices to fill the viability gap, if adequate monitoring is not ensured by enforcement agencies.
- j) Clear cut NOC from Revenue Dept. submitted w.r.t. title of the land as state land has been submitted.
- k) Cluster certificate has also been submitted for consideration under B2 category.
- 1) EMP/CER budget be revised as suggested in remarks column **prior to grant of EC** as Hume pipes are no longer required while restricting mining to dry shoal area only.
- m) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of EMP/CER etc. and therefore needs to be revised, **prior to grant of EC.**
- n) Revised mining plan not submitted yet. Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised area surface plan, area targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority **prior to grant of EC.**
- o) Mining depth of 2.5m & bulk density of 2.3 adopted in the instant mining plan were not agreed by the committee. Mining depth be restricted to max. 0.60m in aggregate and bulk density of 2.0 only be adopted for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee recommended the case for grant of Environmental Clearance subject to:-

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per Annexure B to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Compliance of observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Submission of revised PFR with revised area of **1.13 Ha** and mining depth of **0.60m** and recalculated targeted mineral production, **prior to grant of EC.**
- d) Submission of field verification report from concerned Xen, Irrigation Dept. with seal and signature with local PRI on board, certifying therein that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block, <u>preferably</u> on the back side of Colour Google image, prior to grant of formal EC.
- **p**) Securing of *'non-involvement in illegal mining certificate'* from MDLTF Cell, **prior to grant of EC.**
- e) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stakeholder departments which need to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned dept.
- f) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- g) Maximum targeted mineral production of 13500 MT.
- h) Condition that the geographical coordinates as per revised area conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA.
- i) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data.

	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for Minor Mineral Block
	Project - area 7.83 Ha located at Minor Mineral Block No- 02,
Title of case:	Shedipora- Rukhmachwari (Bakahakar) bridge to Kachiwara
	Downstream Talri Nallah, village-Bakahakar, Tehsil-Rajwar &
	District: Kupwara and UT: J&K in favour of Reyaz Ahmad Sofi
	S/O Sh. Habib-Ullah Sofi.
Project type	Mining Lease

AGENDA ITEM NO: 03

(whether mining lease/STP/	
Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category(B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/58521/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/351
Consultant with validity	M/S OCEAO Enviro Management solutions Pvt. Ltd.
	Valid till: 04/08/2024
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Himanshu Goel
Project proponent /	Sh. Reyaz Ahmad Sofi
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Revised Area	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed
	(Ha)			Capital	Recurring	(lacs/annum)
New Project	7.83	14.80	322	17.00	15.00	6.50

Mine Closure plan	Revised	Haulage Route	Revised Bulk density	Cluster situation
proposed	Mining depth	(Kms)	proposed by proponent	(Yes/No)
(in lacs)	proposed			
	(mts.)			
0.15	1	0.62	2.0	NA

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
29/07/2020	14/09/2021	30/11/2021	17/09/2020	18/01/2021

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec 2020	30-06-2021	09-01- 2021 & 23/09/202 1	06-08-2021

 ${}^{\text{Page}}15$

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/
	_	_	Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest]
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Issued	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued	
7	Revenue Dept.	Issues as state land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Submitted	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.		

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S.		Budget proposed by		Remarks
No	Proposed actions	Prop	onent	
		(in lacs)		
		Capital Recurring		
1	Green belt development	5.00	4.00	
2	Haulage route	5.00	4.00	
	maintenance			
3	Env. Awareness	0.50 0.50		
	programme			

 ${}^{\tt Page}16$

4	Pollution monitoring	2.00	2.00
5	Dust suppression	2.00	2.00
6	Occupational Health &	1.50	1.50
	Safety of mine workers		
7	Solid waste management	1.00	1.00
	Total	17.0	15.0

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed	
			by	
			Proponent	
			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up	2.00	
		Installation of hand pumps		
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light	0.50	
		installation in the village.		
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	2.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby	2.00	
		Primary School Education Grants to girl child		
		to check drop outs in schools.		
	Total		6.50	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget p	proposed by	Remarks
No.		Pro	ponent	
		(in	lacs)	
		Capital	Recurring	
			(in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power	0.50	0.05	
	backup			
5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.10	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	

 ${\tt Page}17$

	1
<u> </u>	
EMP cost to be implemented practically	
CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	
RBM material to locals on concessional rates	
Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	
Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to	
report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	
Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body, irrigation channels and	Yes
rivulets	
Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	
Impact on social life and horticultural/pasturelands	
Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level	
Due to extraction soil erosion has occurred & the irrigation land has	Yes
been affected	
Due to deep extraction the drinking water level has gone down &	Yes
springs have dried up.	
	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftmentRBM material to locals on concessional ratesManual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youthDisplay of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditionsPollution, land degradation, loss of water body, irrigation channels and rivuletsLoss of land, unemployment and environmental concernsImpact on social life and horticultural/pasturelandsMoney generated should be utilized at panchayat levelDue to extraction soil erosion has occurred & the irrigation land has been affectedDue to deep extraction the drinking water level has gone down &

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features	Within	Outside	Upstream	Downstream	
	observed	Mining site	mining site	from	From mining	Remarks
		boundary	boundary	mining	block	
		(Yes/No/Not	(Yes/No/Not	block	(Mts.)	
		identifiable)	identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features	Yes	Yes	-	-	Google
	indicative of any					Image of
	Illegal mining.					10/2017
2	Bridge with	No	No	-	-	
	midstream piers,					
	if any, located					
	within mining					
	area/ within 500					
	mts. from the					
	ends of mining					
	block.					
3	Bridge with only	No	No	-	-	
	abutments, if					
	any, located					
	within mining					
	area/ within 500					
	mts. from the					
	ends of mining					
	block.					
4	Foot bridge with	No	No	-	-	
	only abutments					
	on two banks, if					
	any located					
	within mining					
	area/ within 500					
	mts. from the					

 ${}^{\text{Page}}18$

	ends of mining					
	block.					
5		No	No			
5	Green patch	NO	INO	-	-	
	(Cropland / trees					
	/orchard etc. if					
	any located					
	within mining					
	block/adjacent to					
	mining block or					
	within 500 mts.					
	from the mining					
	block.					
6	Structures of any	No	No	-	-	
	kind (buildings					
	/Flood control/					
	protection					
	works/ electric					
	installations, if					
	any located					
	within/adjacent/					
	in close vicinity					
	to mining block					
	or within 500					
	mts. of the					
	mining block.					
7	Irrigation canal	No	No	-	-	
	headwork's /					
	khul inlets, if					
	any located					
	within mining					
	block or within					
	500 mts. of the					
	mining block.					
8	Active water	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be
	channels, if any					excluded
	crossing the					from mining
	mining block.					activity
9	National	No	No	-	-	
	Park/Wildlife					
	Sanctuary/ESZ,					
	if any located in					
	close vicinity of					
	the mining block					
	and distance					
	thereof from the					
	mining block.					
10	Forest land, if	No	No	-	-	
	any located in					
	close vicinity of					
	the mining					
	block. If yes, the					
	distance thereof					
	from the ends of					
	mining block.					
		1	1	1	1	

 $_{\text{Page}}19$

12	Any other	No	No	-	-	
	relevant feature					
	of interest or					
	condition which					
	is critical to					
	grant of					
	ToRs/EC to the					
	proposed project					

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 23rd meeting held on 09.01.2021 during which the committee recommended TORs to the project subject to condition that boundaries of the mining block are redefined/redrawn so as to exclude land outside the nallah bed (including land parcels bearing tree cover) besides obtaining a certificate from the concerned Revenue Authority (Tehsildar Concerned) to the effect that the mining block covers only the river bed and does not include any land outside the river bed including proprietary land.
- b) The project was again discussed during 61st meeting held on 23.09.2021 during which the Committee rejected the case for grant of EC. The PP, was however allowed to again approach the JKEIAA, if he so desires, with following compliances:
 - *i.* To exclude the area outside nalla bed.
 - *ii.* To obtain certificate from Revenue Authority to the effect that the mining block covers only Nalla bed and does not include any land outside the river bed including proprietary land.
 - *iii.* To propose mitigation measures to address the concerns of locals raised during public hearing w.r.t lowering down of the water table due to mining activity.
 - iv. Non-involvement report in illegal mining activity from MDLTF Cell.
- c) The compliance to item i has not been submitted. Though the PP has resized the block to maintain safe distance to the bridge, he has failed to exclude the areas outside the nallah bed.
- d) The compliance to item ii has also not been made.
- e) With respect to item iii, the PP has proposed some measures w.r.t the points raised during public hearing especially the concerns relating to the water availability in the nearby well. But, the Committee was not satisfied with the proposals made in this regard.
- f) In spite of considerable concern /apprehension shown by the locals during public hearing in regard to depletion of ground water due to proposed mining, the project proponent / Consultant has failed in enunciating any engineering mitigation measures to protect the water table from further depletion.
- g) However, with respect to item No. iv, the PP has submitted compliance by producing the report from the MDLTF Cell indicating that the PP is not involved in illegal mining in the block.
- h) Earlier, during the 61st JKEAC meeting, the Committee had opined that interest of the public can be best served by not allowing mining activity in the area as agriculture is the mainstay and source of livelihood of majority of people residing here.
- i) The depletion of water table and its adverse effect on local crops has also been clearly brought out by the Tehsildar concerned through his communication dated 31/08/2021.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee did not approve the case.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 04

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for Nallah Bed Mining Project – revised area 7.30 Ha located at Minor Mineral Block No- 07, Mughalpora bridge to Bumhama (exit point) Downstream Pohru Nallah, village-Mughalpora, Tehsil & District- Kupwara & UT: J&K in favour of Shri Aijaz Ahmad Magray S/O Gh. Mohiuddin Magray.
Project type	Mining Lease
(whether mining lease/STP/	
Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category(B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/58321/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/336
Consultant with validity	M/S OCEAO Enviro Management solutions Pvt. Ltd.
	Valid till: 04/08/2024
Presenter of consultant:	Mr. Himanshu Goel
Project proponent /	Sh. Aijaz Ahmad Magray
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Revised	Bid cost	Project cost	EMP cost proposed		CER budget
	Area	(in lacs)	(in lacs)	(in lacs)		proposed
	(Ha)			Capital	Recurring	(lacs/annum)
New	7.30	156.30	457.00	9.28	5.32	1.82
Project						

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.15	1	0.58	2.0	NA

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
25/08/2020	15/04/2021	31/08/2021	17/09/2020	23/12/2020

G.O 76JK-FST of	Previous Project	Baseline data	Public	Case	Area
2020 dated	proponent	Collection by	Hearing	discussed	reduced by

29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on		project proponent as the case may be	held on	by JKEAC earlier on	G&M Dept.
NA	NA	Oct-Dec 2020	30-06- 2021	05-12-2020 & 23/09/2021	26-08-2021

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EIA/EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/
			Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued conditionally	
4	Forest Dept. (if required)	Issued as non-forest	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept (If required)	Issued conditionally	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued	
7	Revenue Dept.	Issued as State land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally	
10	Soil and Water Conservation dept.		

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN								
S.		Budget p	roposed by	Rem	arks/			
No	Proposed actions	Prop	oonent	Budget recommended by				
	_	(in	lacs)	Com	mittee			
				(in]	lacs)			
		Capital	Recurring	Capital	Recurring			
1	Green belt development	1.22	0.32	5.00	5.00			
2	Haulage route	3.51	3.51	5.00	4.00			
	maintenance							
3.	Env. Awareness	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50			
	programme							
4	Pollution monitoring	0.42	0.42	2.00	2.00			
5	Dust suppression	0.35	0.35	2.00	2.00			
7.	Occupational Health &	3.55	0.57	4.00	1.50			
	Safety of mine workers							
8.	Solid waste Management	0.23	0.15	0.50	0.50			
9.	Laying of Hume pipes	0.00	0.00	8.00	5.00			
	across water creeks							
	Total	9.28	5.32	27.00	20.00			

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	Budget
			proposed	recommended
			by	by
			Proponent	Committee
			(in lacs)	(in lacs)
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-	0.00	3.00
		up		
		Installation of hand pumps		
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light	0.91	1.00
		installation in the village.		
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	0.00	3.00
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in	0.91	2.00
		nearby Primary School Education Grants to		
		girl child to check drop outs in schools.		
	Total		1.82	9.00

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget proposed by		Remarks
No.		Prop	ponent	
		(in lacs)		
		Capital Recurring		
			(in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power	0.50	0.05	
	backup			

 ${}^{\text{Page}}23$

5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.10	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
No.		
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	Yes
2	Prevention of illegal mining	
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to	
	report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body, irrigation channels and	Yes
	rivulets	
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	
10	Impact on social life and horticultural/pasturelands	
11	Haulage route to be maintained	
12	Damage to roads due to tractors & Tippers	Yes
14	Mining to be carried out in scientific manner	Yes
15	Extraction causes damage to embankment of the nallah	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features	Within Mining site	Outside	Upstream	Downstream	Remarks
	observed	Mining site boundary	mining site boundary	from mining	From mining block	Remarks
		(Yes/No/Not	(Yes/No/Not	block	(Mts.)	
		identifiable)	identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Features indicative of illegal mining on Google Image of June/2020
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	

Page 24

12 1					[
	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining	No	No	-	-	
	block.					
	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the	No	No	-	-	
6	ends of mining					
	block.					
5 ((// 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7 	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	Yes	-	-	Features presumable indicative of Irrigation Khul on Google Image
	Active water	Yes	Yes	-	-	Need to be excluded from mining
	channels, if any crossing the mining block.					activity
	crossing the	No	No	-	-	

 ${}^{\rm Page}25$

	Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.					
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 21st meeting held on 05.12.2020 during which the Committee recommended TORs to the project subject to relocation/resizing/reducing the minor mineral block to achieve a distance of 500 mts. from the bridge and excluding the active water channel area (10%).
- b) The project was again discussed during 61st meeting held on 23.09.2021 during which the Committee rejected the case for grant of EC. However, the Consultant was allowed to resubmit the case after making the following compliances:
 - i. Clarification from Xen Irrigation in regard to potential damage to irrigation structures like irrigation offtake point existing within 200m
 - ii. Addressing the diverse concerns raised in the Public Hearing by the representatives of local population especially w.r.t lowering down of water table.
 - iii. Submission of clarification from Tehsildar on presence of cropland within the boundaries of mining block
 - iv. Submission of clarification from MDLTF Cell on illegal mining activity.
- c) The consultant informed that one irrigation off-take point does exist but is at a distance of 250m from the mining block. However, the Committee desired that the Xen Irrigation Dept. should issue site specific NOC indicating presence or absence of offtake point of any irrigation canal/khul within 200m from the mining block. In case, it is found that such irrigation facility is not existing, same needs to be recorded by the concerned hydraulic engineer with consent of local PRI, with seal and signature, certifying therein, that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block boundary, preferably on the back side of Colour Google image of the area depicting the mining block boundary and Kuhls/canals off-take points, prior to grant of EC.
- d) The mitigation measures presented by the consultant which also includes restricting mining depth to max. **0.60m** as prescribed by the concerned Xen, Irrigation Dept. and the same needs to be followed in letter and spirit.

- e) The Tehsildar concerned has intimated that title of the land is state land and no private land exists within the mining block.
- f) The PP has also submitted report on illegal mining from MDLTF Cell indicating that the PP is not involved in the illegal mining in the block.
- g) The PP shall ensure that the active water channel does not get disturbed during haulage of material for which enough No. of Hume pipes of appropriate specification shall be laid for crossing over the water creek.
- **h**) The compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen displaying the date of collection of data is missing in EIA report which needs to be submitted, **prior to grant of EC.**
- i) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of EMP/ CER etc. which needs to be revised, **prior to grant of EC.**
- j) EMP/CER needs to be revised with appropriate budget as suggested in remarks column, **prior** to grant of EC.
- **k**) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised area, surface plan, area targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent, **prior to grant of EC.**
- 1) Bulk density of 2.5 adopted in the instant mining plan was not agreed by the committee. Mining depth be restricted to max. **0.60m in aggregate** and bulk density of 2.0 only be adopted for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee recommended the case for the grant of Environmental Clearance subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per <u>Annexure B</u> to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Compliance of observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Submission of field verification report from concerned Xen, Irrigation Dept. with seal and signature with local PRI on board, certifying therein that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block, preferably on the back side of Colour Google image, prior to grant of formal EC.
- d) Submission of revised EIA/EMP as per deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- e) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stakeholder departments which need to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned dept.
- f) The condition that No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers is used in mining and no water course disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- g) Maximum targeted mineral production of **52500 MT**.
- h) Revised geographical coordinates as per revised area are conveyed by G&M Dept. to JKEIAA for incorporation in the EC letter.
- i) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data.

	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed					
Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, revised A						
Title of case:	ha., Block-03 at Mehmoodabad Bridge, Sandran Nallah					
	Downstream District Anantnag, J&K U.T: favouring M/S Ka					
Agarwal S/O Jugesh Agarwal, R/O H. No.179 near Pa						
	Anandpur, Pathankot, Punjab. kapil.aggarwalec1@gmail.com,					
Project type						

AGENDA ITEM NO: 05

(whether mining lease/STP/	Mining Lease
Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category (B1/B2)	B2
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/238510/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/554
Consultant with validity	P and M Solution valid till: 12/10/2022
Presenter of consultant:	Shri Manas Vyas
Project proponent /	Mr. Rashid Khan
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Revised	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost pro (in lacs)	oposed	CER budget proposed
	Area (Ha)			Capital	Recurring	(lacs/annum)
New	4.00	15.99	104.39			2.09
Project				4.00	5.22	

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.50	1.00	0.72	2.00	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
18/06/2021	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	13/09/2021	NA

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	NA	NA	New project	27/10/2021

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan	Formulated not as per guidelines	
4	District Survey Report	Formulated not as per guidelines	Needs revision for including replenishment data etc.
5	(Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER)	Formulated but needs revision	

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	The NOC from the Irrigation
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally on	Department raises concerns that the
		generalized format	supply channel of Krerri Nowpora
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	Kard is located in the vicinity of
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest	mining block and the extraction of
	_	land	river bed material shall adversely
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Not Issued	affect the functioning of irrigation
6	Pollution Control Board	Not Issued	facilities to the beneficiaries.
7	Revenue Dept.	Not issued	Since, Kreeri Nowpora Kard Ladh
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally	is a Zamandari Khul, NOC from
10	Soil and Water		Tehsildar concerned may also be
	Conservation dept.		sought.

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S.		Budget p	roposed by	Remarks/
No	Proposed actions	Prop	onent	
		(in	lacs)	
		Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	0.40	1.20	
2	Haulage route maintenance	3.60	1.62	
3.	Env. Awareness	0.00	0.00	
	programme			
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.00	0.80	
5	Pollution control/ water	0.00	0.60	
	sprinkling/Dust suppression			
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00	

 ${}^{\rm Page}29$

7.	Occupational Health &	0.00	1.00
	Safety of mine workers		
9	Lying of Hume Pipes	0.00	0.00
	Total	4.00	5.22

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed by	
			Proponent	
			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health	2.09	
		check-up,		
		Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask		
		to		
		the nearby village and panchayat.		
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light	0.00	
		installation in the village.		
3	Drinking water	Drinking water facility in nearby village/	0.00	
	facility	Installation of hand pumps		
		panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps		
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	0.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in	0.00	
		nearby Primary School Education Grants to		
		girl child to check drop outs in schools /		
		Renovation of toilets of nearby school		
5	Rain water	Construction of Rain water harvesting	0.00	
	Harvesting	structure in the primary School nearby		
	structure	village.		
	Total		2.09	
		village.	2.09	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget p	roposed by	Remarks
No.		Prop	ponent	
		(in	lacs)	
		Capital	Recurring	
			(in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power	0.50	0.05	
	backup			
5	Mobile Application Barcode	0.50	0.05	
	scanner			
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.10	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	

 ${}^{\rm Page}30$

Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS AND COMMITMENTS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings				
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained				
2	Prevention of illegal mining				
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically				
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment				
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates				
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth				
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions				
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion				
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	XY . A 11 11			
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands	Not Applicable			
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level				
12	Ban on mining activities at the night				
13	Installation of solar lights				
14	Haulage route maintenance				
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area				
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner				
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved				
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected				
19	Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block				
20	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process				
21	Impact on irrigation off take points and loss of productive agricultural				
22	Religious places should be saved from mining activities				
23	Public properties like dug wells, irrigation Canals, water sources etc should be saved from mining activities.				
24	Air and Nosie pollution preventive measures				

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no	. Features	Within	Outside	Upstream	Downstream	
	observed	Mining site	mining site	from	From mining	Remarks
		boundary	boundary	mining	block	
		(Yes/No/Not	(Yes/No/Not	block	(Mts.)	
		identifiable)	identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest
	indicative of any					available
	Illegal mining.					google image
						of 11/2020
		1		1		

Page 31

2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	_	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts.	Not identifiable	Yes	-	-	Features suggestive of irrigation khul as per image

	of the mining					
-	block.					
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	No	No	-	-	-
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project proponent has applied for grant of EC as a fresh case and the PP has on its own got the mining block resized from 8.69 Ha to 4.00 Ha for maintaining safe distance of 500m from the bridge as per letter No. 5517-19 dated 27/10/2021 issued by the Joint Director, G&M Dept.
- b) As per the communication issued by concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. the supply channel of Krerri Nowpora Kard is located in the area and extraction of bed material shall adversely affect smooth irrigation facilities to the beneficiaries. However, the Xen has desired that NOC with respect to the Zamandari Kuhl in the vicinity may be sought from the concerned Tehsildar.
- c) The Committee also observed features indicative of existence of a Khul offtake point within 200m from the mining block.
- d) In view of the apprehensions expressed by the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. and observations on Google Earth Image, the Committee is of the considered view that mining activity in the block will adversely affect the regulation of water supply in the irrigation Khul existing in the area.
- e) Title verification of the land under the mining block not submitted by the project proponent.
- f) Extensive illegal mining activity observed in and around the mining block as per features on latest Google image of 11, 2020 which needs appropriate action by the competent authority in this regard.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee rejected the case for grant of EC.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 06

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, revised Area of 1.61 Ha., Block-9/A at, Hall Rajpora Bridge Downstream Nalla Sasara, Pulwama U.T: Jammu & Kashmir favouring M/S Vinod Kumar Shukla S/O Chander Narayan Gupta R/O. Behinar, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh. vinodkumarshukla.ec@gmail.com
Project type	
(whether mining lease/STP/	Mining Lease
Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category (B1/B2)	B2
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/238420/2021//SIA/JK/MIN/67529/2021//
	SIA/JK/MIN/54923/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/544
Consultant with validity	P and M Solution valid till: 12/10/2022
Presenter of consultant:	Shri Manas Vyas
Project proponent /	Mr. Mohd. Rashid Khan
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Revised	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed
	Area (Ha)			Capital	Recurring	(lacs/annum)
New	1.61	51.80	159.2			3.18
Project				2.10	7.96	

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.90	1.00	0.48	2.00	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated	Previous	Baseline data	Public	Case	Area
	Project	Collection by	Hearing	Discussed	reduced by
29/07/2021	proponent	(previous)	held on		



Property Intent (froject on	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	proglect proptonentVaslinity case may be			Min ing EAC	TORSM graated on
NA	NA	NA	NA		13/10/2021	30/10/2021
09/06/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021		10/07/20	020	NA

<u>APPRAISAL PROCESS</u> (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable	Submitted	
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine	Formulated	
	closure Plan	not as per	
		guidelines	
4	District Survey Report	Formulated	Needs revision for including replenishment
		not as per	data etc.
		guidelines	
5	(Environmental	Formulated	
	Management Plan/	but needs	
	Corporate Environmental	revision	
	Responsibility		
	(EMP/CER)		

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued conditionally	Ref NOC issued by Dy.
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	Commissioner Pulwama concerned
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest	under single window clearance
		land	system under vide letter No:
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Issued conditionally	DCP/Estt/SM/NOC/512-24 Dated
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued conditionally	03/05/2021
7	Revenue Dept.	Issued as State land]
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued conditionally]
10	Soil and Water		
	Conservation dept.		

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

ENVI	RONMENTAL MANAGE	MENT PLAN		LO
S. No	Proposed actions	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)	Remarks/	Page 31

		Capital	Recurring
1	Green belt development	0.20	1.00
2	Haulage route maintenance	1.90	1.50
3.	Env. Awareness	0.00	0.40
	programme		
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.00	1.60
5	Pollution control/ water	0.00	2.00
	sprinkling/Dust suppression		
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00
7.	Occupational Health &	0.00	1.86
	Safety of mine workers		
9	Lying of Hume Pipes	0.00	0.00
	Total	2.10	7.96

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed	
			by	
			Proponent	
			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health	1.68	
		check-up,		
		Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask		
		to		
		the nearby village and panchayat.		-
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light	0.50	
		installation in the village.		
3	Drinking water	Drinking water facility in nearby village/	0.00	
	facility	Installation of hand pumps		
		panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps		-
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	1.05	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in	1.00	
		nearby Primary School Education Grants to		
		girl child to check drop outs in schools /		
		Renovation of toilets of nearby school		
5	Rain water	Construction of Rain water harvesting	0.00	
	Harvesting	structure in the primary School nearby		
	structure	village.		
	Total		3.18	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget proposed by		Remarks
No.		Proponent		
		(in lacs)		
		Capital Recurring		
		(in lacs)		
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	

 ${}^{Page}36$

3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power	0.50	0.05	
	backup			
5	Mobile Application Barcode	0.50	0.05	
	scanner			
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.10	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS AND COMMITMENTS

S. No.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings					
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained					
2	Prevention of illegal mining					
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically					
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment					
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates					
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth					
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions					
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion					
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns					
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands					
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level					
12	Ban on mining activities at the night					
13	Installation of solar lights Not					
14	Haulage route maintenance Not Applicabl					
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area					
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner					
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved					
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected					
19	Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block					
20	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process					
21	Impact on irrigation off take points and loss of productive agricultural					
22	Religious places should be saved from mining activities					
23	Public properties like dug wells, irrigation Canals, water sources etc					
	should be saved from mining activities.					
24	Air and Nosie pollution preventive measures					

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

Page3,

S.no.	Features observed	Within Mining site boundary	Outside mining site boundary	Upstream from mining	Downstream From mining block	Remarks
	_	(Yes/No/Not identifiable)	(Yes/No/Not identifiable)	block (Mts.)	(Mts.)	
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest available google image of 06/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block	No	No	-	-	

 ${}^{\text{Page}}38$

	or within 500 mts. of the mining block.					
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	No	Yes	-	-	Features presumably indicative of presence of supply channel of irrigation works in the vicinity
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Active water channel needs to be excluded
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed by the Expert Appraisal Committee earlier during the 64th Meeting held on 13/10/2021 under B1 category during which the Committee did not approve the project for grant of Terms of Reference. However, the PP was allowed to again approach the JKEIAA after resizing the block to maintain safe distance to the bridges on either sides and to apply under appropriate category.
- b) The PP has now again approached the JKEIAA after getting the mining block resized from Geology and Mining Department vide letter No. 5624-26 dated 31/10/2021 with resultant area of 1.61Ha instead of 4.48 Ha for keeping a safe distance of 500 mts. from both the bridges.
- c) The Project proponent has submitted a cluster certificate issued from Joint Director Geology and Mining Department vide letter dated 12/11/2021 which mentions that no e-auctioned minor

 ${}^{\rm Page}39$

block falls within a distance of 500 mts. from the e-auctioned minor block No 09/A Pulwama covering an area of 1.61 Ha.

- d) Extensive illegal mining activity observed in and around the mining block as per features on latest Google image which needs appropriate action by the competent authority in this regard.
- e) The Committee observed that almost 60% of the area of the resized mining block comprises of active water channel. Further in rest of the 40% dry shoals, illegal mining has depleted the mineral resources to a large extent and there appears little material left for mining activity which needs *'fit for mining certificate'* from the G&M Dept. mentioning therein that adequate replenishment has taken place and there is enough of RBM available in the block that can be retrieved without causing any adverse effect on the ecological and hydrological regime of the nalla.
- f) The examination of mining block on Google Earth platform revealed features that are suggestive of irrigation off-take points in the vicinity as well as in the centre of the mining block. But, the NOC issued by Irrigation Dept. under Single Window System is general in nature and does not indicate the existence of any irrigation kuhl offtake point within or in the vicinity of the mining block.
- m) Therefore, the Committee desired project proponent to obtain field verification report of same from the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept., if he so desires. In case, it is found that such irrigation facility is not existing, same needs to be recorded by the concerned hydraulic engineer with consent of local PRI, with seal and signature, certifying therein, that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block boundary, preferably on the back side of Colour Google image of the area depicting the mining block boundary and Kuhls/canals off-take points.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the mining block for rejection of environmental clearance.

However, the PP, if he so desires, may obtain ground verification report w.r.t presence or absence of irrigation off-take points within or in close vicinity of the mining block and '*fit for mining certificate*' from the G&M Dept. as per deliberations made herein above to again approach the JKEIAA for reconsideration of the case.

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Revised Area of 1.01 ha., Block- 30 at A- Chowgam Bridge Downstream Viethvethri Nalla, District-Kulgam, State-Jammu & Kashmir favouring M/S Sai Mines R/O Logate, Kathua Jammu and Kashmir.
	(ADS)
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ Quarry license/brick earth)	Mining Lease
Category (B1/B2)	B2
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/197867/2021
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/547
Consultant with validity	P & M solution (10/12/2022)
Presenter of consultant:	Manas Vyas

AGENDA ITEM NO: 07



Project proponent /	Mr. Mohd. Rashid Khan
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Ū.	Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.90	1.00	0.462	2.00	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
16/07/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	04/12/2020	NA

G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on	Previous Project proponent	Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be	Public Hearing held on	Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on	Area reduced by G&M Dept.
NA	NA	NA	NA	21/11/2021	05/11/2021

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M	Submitted	
	as applicable		
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	

 $_{\mathsf{Page}}41$

3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine	Formulated	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining
	closure Plan	not as per	depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted
		guidelines	mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated	Needs revision for including replenishment
		not as per	data etc.
		guidelines	
5	(Environmental	Formulated	Needs revision as per deliberations/
	Management Plan/	but needs	observations /recommendations
	Corporate Environmental	revision	
	Responsibility		
	(EMP/CER)		

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued conditionally	Dy. Commissioner, Kulgam vide
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued Conditionally	letter no DCK/Acctts/2021-22/12-
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	26/98-21dated 03/04/2021 has
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest	conveyed NOCs of stake holders.
		land	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Not submitted	
6	Pollution Control Board	Not submitted	
7	Revenue Dept.	State land	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
10	Soil and Water		
	Conservation dept.		

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S.		Budget proposed by		Ren	narks/
No	Proposed actions	Proponent		Budget recommended by	
		(in	lacs)	Com	mittee
				(in	lacs)
		Capital	Recurring	Capital	Recurring
1	Green belt development	0.35	1.50	3.00	2.00
2	Haulage route maintenance	2.31	0.75	2.00	2.00
3.	Env. Awareness	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	programme				
4	Pollution Monitoring	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
5	Pollution control/ water	0.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
	sprinkling/Dust suppression				
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.	Occupational Health &	0.00	0.72	1.00	1.00
	Safety of mine workers				
8	Laying of Hume pipes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	2.66	4.97	8.00	9.00

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed	



			by Proponent
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask to	(in lacs) 1.28
2	Electrification	the nearby village and panchayat.Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street lightinstallation in the village.	0.00
3	Drinking water facility	Drinking water facility in nearby village/ Installation of hand pumps panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps	0.00
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	0.00
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools.	0.70
5	Rain water Harvesting structure	Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village.	0.00
	Total		1.98

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S.	Particulars	Budget p	roposed by	Remarks
No.		Prop	ponent	
		(in	lacs)	
		Capital	Recurring	
			(in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power	0.50	0.05	
	backup			
5	Mobile Application Barcode	0.50	0.05	
	scanner			
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag	1.00	0.10	
	(RFID) and GPS			
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
No.		
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	
2	Prevention of illegal mining	
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	

 $P_{\text{age}}43$

6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth			
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals			
	to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions			
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil			
	erosion			
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns			
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands			
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level			
12	Ban on mining activities at the night	Not Applicable		
13	Installation of solar lights			
14	Haulage route maintenance			
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area			
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner			
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved			
18	Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected			
19	Land suited along the bank of Chenab river may be protected			
20	Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before			
	the auction of Mining Block			
21	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be			
	consulted before tendering process			
22	Embankments of Nallah be protected			
23	Protection wall should be constructed to protect the agriculture land			
	from intrusion of wild animals			
24	Material should be provided to the small-scale unit holders in a proper			
	way			

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

S.no.	Features	Within	Outside	Upstream	Downstream	
	observed	Mining site	mining site	from	From mining	Remarks
		boundary	boundary	mining	block	
		(Yes/No/Not	(Yes/No/Not	block	(Mts.)	
		identifiable)	identifiable)	(Mts.)		
1	Features indicative of any Illegal mining.	Yes	Yes	-	-	As per latest available google image of 11/2020
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500	No	No	-	-	-

 $P_{age}44$

	mts. from the					
	ends of mining					
	block.					
4	Foot bridge with	No	No			
+	only abutments		110	-		
	on two banks, if					
	any located					
	within mining area/ within 500					
	mts. from the					
	ends of mining					
5	block.	No	No			
5	Green patch	NO	INO	-	-	
	(Cropland / trees					
	/orchard etc. if					
	any located					
	within mining					
	block/adjacent to					
	mining block or					
	within 500 mts.					
	from the mining					
	block.	N	N			
6	Structures of any	No	No	-	-	
	kind (buildings					
	/Flood control/					
	protection works/					
	electric					
	installations, if					
	any located					
	within/adjacent/					
	in close vicinity					
	to mining block					
	or within 500					
	mts. of the					
7	mining block.	Not	Nat			Not
7	Irrigation canal	Not	Not	-	-	Not
	headworks/ khul	identifiable	identifiable			identifiable
	inlets, if any					
	located within					
	mining block or					
	within 500 mts.					
	of the mining					
8	block.	Yes	Yes			Activo watan
0	Active water	1 85	1 05	-	-	Active water
	channels, if any					channel needs to be excluded
	crossing the					to be excluded
	mining block.	No	No			
9	National Dort/Wildlife	No	No	-	-	
	Park/Wildlife					
	Sanctuary/ESZ,					
	if any located in					
	close vicinity of					
	the mining block					
	and distance					

 $_{\text{Page}}45$

	thereof from the mining block.					
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	-

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed by the Expert Appraisal Committee earlier during the 66th Meeting held on 21/11/2021 during which the Expert Appraisal Committee did not approve the project. However, the PP, had been allowed to approach JKEIAA after getting the mining block resized by the competent authority to maintain safe distance of 500mts from the bridges upstream and downstream of the mining block, obtaining fit for mining certificate and securing a non-involvement in illegal mining certificate from competent authority and to apply under appropriate category.
- b) The PP has now again approached the JKEIAA with the mining block resized from Geology and Mining Department vide letter No 5766/68 dated 05/11/2021 covering an area of 1.01 ha instead of 3.27 Ha by maintaining safe distance of 500 mts. from the bridges.
- c) The PP has also submitted a copy of letter No. 517-18 dated 16/11/2021 from DMO concerned addressed to the Joint Director, G&M Dept. wherein it has been mentioned that the resized block is fit for mining and having good potential for RBM. The PP needs to obtain the said certificate from the Joint Director, G&M Dept., Kashmir, **prior to grant of EC.**
- d) The report from the Multi dept. District Level Task Force Cell to the effect that the PP is not involved in it is still awaited and needs to be submitted, **prior to grant of EC**. In case it is reported that the PP is involved in illegal mining, the process for grant of EC shall be liable to be aborted.
- e) The examination of mining block on Google Earth platform revealed features that are suggestive of irrigation off-take points in the vicinity of the mining block. The Committee desired clarification from the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. but there was no one present to clarify the doubt as the NOC issued by the concerned Dept. was found to be in a generalized format and not specific to the ground realities.
- n) Therefore, the Committee desired project proponent to obtain field verification report of same from the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. In case, it is found that such irrigation facility is not existing, same needs to be recorded by the concerned hydraulic engineer with consent of local PRI, with seal and signature, certifying therein, that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block boundary, <u>preferably</u> on the back side of Colour Google image of the area depicting the mining block boundary and Kuhls/canals off-take points, prior to grant of EC.
- **f**) The Committee while observing the mining block on Google Earth Image, found that the mining block encompasses two large shoals on either side of the active water channel with a small triangular shoal on the right side downstream of the block. It was therefore, desired that

the PP shall have to further resize the mining block to 0.60 Ha only by restricting mining only to two large shoals with separate haulage routes on either side of the water channel. Accordingly, the PP shall get the mining block resized and recalculate the minable reserves besides revising the haulage routes, prior to grant of EC.

- g) Drastic reduction in mining area is bound to affect the economic viability of mining operations. The same needs to be re-ascertained by the G&M Dept. as the viability gap, if it exists, is likely to open doors to illegal mining and further degradation of environment.
- h) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised mining block area, revised surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its denovo approval by the competent authority **prior to grant of EC**.
- i) EMP Budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget as suggested in the remark's column of EMP table herein above, **prior to grant of EC.**
- j) Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m in aggregate and bulk density of 2.0 only be adopted for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the project for grant of EC subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per Annexure B to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Securing of *"Non-involvement in illegal mining"* certificate from the Multi Dept. District level Task Force (MDLTF) Cell, prior to grant of formal EC.
- d) Submission of field verification report from concerned Xen, Irrigation Dept. with seal and signature with local PRI on board, certifying therein that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block, <u>preferably</u> on the back side of Colour Google image, prior to grant of formal EC.
- e) <u>Further resizing</u> of the block to <u>0.60 Ha</u> and revision of PFR with revised haulage routes as per deliberations **prior to grant of EC**
- f) Securing a formal 'fit for mining certificate' from the G&M Dept., prior to grant of EC
- g) Maximum targeted mineral production of 12,000 MT.
- h) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stockholder departments which needs to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned department.
- i) Condition that No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers is used in mining and no water course disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- j) Condition the geographical coordinates as per revised area to be conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA
- k) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data

Title of case:	Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Area of 9.06 ha., Block-04 at Naj Nallah Upstream Naj Bridge, District Kathua (Plan-II) Jammu and Kashmir area 9.06 ha. M/S Singh Minerals R/O Near Kali Mata Mandir, Kollu Wali Gali, Pathankot. singhminerals.ec@outlook.com
Project type (whether mining lease/STP/	Mining Lease

AGENDA ITEM NO: 08

Quarry license/brick earth)	
Category (B1/B2)	B1
Proposal no:	SIA/JK/MIN/61939/2020
File no:	SEAC/JK/20/530
Consultant with validity	P & M solution (10/12/2022)
Presenter of consultant:	Sh. Manas Vyas
Project proponent /	Mr. Mohd. Rashid Khan
representative of	
Project proponent:	

CASE SUMMARY

Status	Area (Ha)	Bid cost (in lacs)	Project cost (in lacs)	Revised EMP cost proposed (in lacs)		CER budget proposed (lacs/annum)
				Capital	Recurring	
New	9.06	55.71	203.61			4.07
Project				11.50	14.50	

Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs)	Mining depth proposed (mts.)	Haulage Route (Kms)	Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR/EIA	Cluster situation (Yes/No)
0.00	1.00	0.11	2.00	No

PROJECT EVENT DATES

Date of Letter of Intent (LoI)	LoI –Date of Extension, if any	LoI Date of Validity	Date of Mining Plan approval	ToRs granted on
22/09/2020	18/09/2021	30/11/2021	17/02/2021	13/07/2021

G.O 76JK-FST of	Previous	Baseline data	Public	Case	Area
2020 dated	Project	Collection by	Hearing	Discussed	reduced by
29/07/2021	proponent	(previous)	held on	by JKEAC	G&M
implemented by		project		earlier on	Dept.
JKEIAA for the		proponent as the			
project on		case may be			
NA	NA	March-May	23/09/2021	14/06/2021	No
		2021		and	
				03/11/2021	



APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

S.	Document	Status	Remarks of the Committee
No.			
1	Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M	Submitted	
	as applicable		
2	Pre-feasibility report (PFR)	Submitted	
3	Approved Mine Plan /Mine	Formulated	Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining
	closure Plan	not as per	depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted
		guidelines	mineral production, mining methodology etc.
4	District Survey Report	Formulated	Needs revision for including replenishment
		not as per	data etc.
		guidelines	
5	(EIA/Environmental	Formulated	Needs revision as per deliberations/
	Management Plan/	but needs	observations /recommendations
	Corporate Environmental	revision	
	Responsibility		
	(EMP/CER)		

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

S. No.	Department	status as per NOC	Remarks/ Reference
1	Irrigation Dept.	Issued Conditionally	Addl. Dy. Commissioner, Kathua
2	Flood Control Dept.	Issued Conditionally	has conveyed NOCs of stake
3	Fisheries Dept.	Issued Conditionally	holders.
4	Forest Dept.	Issued as non-forest	
		land	
5	Wildlife Protection Dept	Not issued	
6	Pollution Control Board	Issued	
7	Revenue Dept.	Not issued	
8	PHE (Jalshakti) Dept.	Issued Conditionally	
10	Soil and Water		
	Conservation dept.		

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

S. No	Proposed actions	Prop	Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs)		Budget suggested by Committee (in lacs)	
		Capital	Recurring	Capital	Recurring	
1	Green belt development	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	
2	Haulage route maintenance	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	
3.	Env. Awareness programme	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	
4	Pollution Monitoring	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	

 $_{Page}49$

5	Pollution control/ water	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
	sprinkling/Dust suppression				
6	Solid waste management	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.	Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers	1.00	5.00	1.00	3.00
9	Installation of Hume Pipes	0.00	0.00	6.00	4.00
	Total	11.50	14.50	15.50	17.50

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

S.	Project activity	Activities	Annual	Remarks
No.			Budget	
			proposed	
			by	
			Proponent	
			(in lacs)	
1	Health	Free Distribution of medicines, health	2.07	
		check-up,		
		Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and Mask		
		to		
		the nearby village and panchayat.		
2	Electrification	Solar Lamp distribution & Solar street light	0.00	
		installation in the village.		
3	Drinking water	Drinking water facility in nearby village/	0.00	
	facility	Installation of hand pumps		
		panchayat/ Installation of hand pumps		
3	Sanitation	Construction of ladies' toilets in the village.	1.00	
4	Education	Distribution of school bags & books in	1.00	
		nearby Primary School Education Grants to		
		girl child to check drop outs in schools/		
		Renovation of toilets of nearby school		
5	Rain water	Construction of Rain water harvesting	0.00	
	Harvesting	structure in the primary School nearby		
	structure	village.		
	Total		4.07	

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

S. No.	Particulars	Budget pro Proponent (in lacs)	posed by	Remarks
		Capital	Recurring (in lacs)	
1	Transport Permit	2.00	0.00	
2	CCTV Camera	1.00	0.10	
3	Weight Bridge	10.00	1.00	
4	Personal Computer with power backup	0.50	0.05	

 $\mathsf{Page} 50$

5	Mobile Application Barcode scanner	0.50	0.05	
6	Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS	1.00	0.10	
7	Annual Audit of lease	0.00	0.70	
8	Security Guard	0.00	0.80	
	Total	15.00	2.80	

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

S.	Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings	(Yes/No)
No.		
1	Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained	Yes
2	Prevention of illegal mining	Yes
3	EMP cost to be implemented practically	
4	CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment	
5	RBM material to locals on concessional rates	Yes
6	Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth	Yes
7	Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions	
8	Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion	Yes
9	Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns	
10	Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands	
11	Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level	Yes
12	Ban on mining activities at the night	
13	Installation of solar lights	
14	Haulage route maintenance	
15	Proper plantation of the nearby area	
16	Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner	Yes
17	Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved	
19	Land suited along the banks of river may be protected	
20	Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block.	Yes
21	People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process	Yes
23	Irrigation canals, irrigation off take points and both embankments should be protected during mining operations	Yes
25	Mining should be socio - economic friendly and biodiversity should be maintained	-
26	Safeguard of Water resources / bodies lying in the said mining area	Yes
27	Protection of Dug wells	Yes
28	According to Deputy Director Geology and Mining Department a moving rock in Naj, Bhini, Ujh and up to Panijtirthi area which is not fit	yes
29	People will come on roads if mining will be done due to drinking water shortage and dug wells gone dry.	Yes

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

Page

S.no.	Features observed Features	Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) No	Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) No	Upstream from mining block (Mts.) -	Downstream From mining block (Mts.)	Remarks As per latest
	indicative of any Illegal mining.					available google image of 06/2021
2	Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
3	Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
4	Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
5	Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
6	Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block	No	No	-	-	

 ${}^{\mathsf{Page}}52$

	or within 500 mts. of the mining block.					
7	Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block.	Not identifiable	Not identifiable	-	-	Not identifiable
8	Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block.	Yes	Yes	-	-	Active water channel needs to be excluded
9	National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block.	No	No	-	-	
10	Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block.	No	No	-	-	
12	Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project	No	No	-	-	

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed by the Expert Appraisal Committee earlier during the 45th Meeting held on 14/06/2021 for grant of ToRs and 69th meeting held 03/11/2021 during which the committee did not agree to recommend the case for grant of EC. However, the PP was allowed to approach JKEIAA for reconsideration of the case after complying with following requirements / observations:-.
 - i. The Concerned Dy. Commissioner needs to constitute a committee comprising of Xen Irrigation, Xen Flood Control, Tehsildar concerned and the representatives of PRI to visit the site to know the actual ground conditions vis-a-vis the apprehensions expressed in the public hearing and the representations against mining activity in the area.

 $\mathsf{Page} 53$

- *ii.* Clarification w.r.t presence of Irrigation offtake points within 200m from the mining block from Xen Irrigation Dept. with PRI on board.
- *iii. Title verification from Revenue Authority.*
- b) The Xen. Irrigation, Flood control, PHE division kathua and Tehsildar, Billawar have conducted a joint inspection of the Minor Mineral Blocks in the area, in presence of Local Panchayat representatives. The Committee has reported that no hydraulic structures/Irrigation canals/kuhls/ offtake points shall be damaged during the mining operations & there shall be no scarcity of ground water in Minor Mineral Block no. 04, 08 & 09.
- c) The Executive Engineer Irrigation Division Kathua has also issued a detailed NOC mentioning therein that there is no irrigation structure/ network existing within 200m upstream or downstream of the block.
- d) The NOC from Executive Engineer Jal Shakti (PHE) Division Kathua while issuing a fresh detailed NOC mentions that there is no PHE infrastructure existing in block identified by the concerned mining department officials.
- e) The PP has failed to submit the title verification from the competent revenue authority which needs to be submitted **prior to grant of EC.**
- f) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, revised surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its denovo approval by the competent authority, **prior to grant of EC**.
- **g**) The ToR condition relating to submission of photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station showing data with dates of collection of the baseline data has not been submitted in the EIA report and the same need to be submitted **prior to grant of EC.**
- h) Mining depth of 2.00 m and Bulk density of 2.25m in mining plan not agreed by the Committee. Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m in aggregate and bulk density of 2.0 only be adopted for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the project for grant of EC subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per Annexure B to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Submission of title verification by the concerned revenue authority should be submitted by the PP prior to grant of EC.
- d) All genuine concerns raised during public hearing would be addressed by the PP.
- e) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stakeholder departments especially conditions laid by the Fisheries Department, PHE and Irrigation and Flood Control Dept. which need to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned dept.
- f) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- g) Maximum targeted mineral production of 108,700 MT.
- h) Condition the geographical coordinates as per revised area to be conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA
- i) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data

OBSERVATIONS ABOUT CONDUCT OF CONSULTANTS

(Excellent/Good /Average/Poor)

(SCALE: 9 to 10= Excellent / 7-8=Good /5-6=Average / 4-5= below average / less than 3= Poor)

S.	Component	M/S OCEAO	M/S P and M Solutions,
Ν		Enviro Management	Noida
о.		solutions Pvt. Ltd.	
1	Submission of the documents	6	6
2	Site Appreciation Note, kml /kmz file, site photo and site videos and PowerPoint presentation	5	5
3	Presentation of factual details of case(s)	5	5
4	Field knowledge of the case(s)	5	5
5	Formulation of PFR, EMP, EIA, CER etc.	6	6
	Overall professional conduct during proceedings	5.4	5.4

Note: The recommendations made herein above are subject to grant of extension to the Letter of Intents of the pertinent cases by the Competent Authority and in case extension to LoI to any of the above cited cases is not extended, the recommendations thereof made herein above shall automatically stand withdrawn.

The minutes were formulated online by the JK Level Expert Appraisal Committee (JKEAC) immediately after the deliberations and are hereby issued with approval of the esteemed Chairman, JKEAC.

(Humayun Rashid) S E C R E T A R Y JKUT level Expert Appraisal Committee

NO:EAC/JK/21/11651-11664 Copy by email to:

Dated:13/12/2021

- 1. The Member Secretary, J&K Environment Impact Assessment Authority (JKEIAA), /PCCF/Director, Ecology, Environment and Remote Sensing, J&K Govt., Jammu for favour kind information and necessary action please.
- 2. Sh. S. C. Sharma, Chairman, J&K Expert Appraisal Committee, (JKEAC) 331 Shastri Nagar, Jammu-180004 for favour of kind information.
- 3. Sh. M.A Tak, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 124 Mominabad (Near Jakfed), Anantnag Kashmir,-192101 for favour of kind information.
- 4. Sh. BrajBhushan Sharma, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 278/2 Channi Himmat, Jammu for favour of kind information.
- 5. Professor Shakeel Ahmad Romshoo, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal Comittee, (JKEAC) Department of Earth Sciences Kashmir University Srinagar-190006 for favour of kind information and necessary action please.
- 6. Sh. Abdul Rashid Makroo, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) H/No. 9 Lane No 11 Sector C, Gulshan Nagar Nowgam Bypass, Srinagar-190019 for favour of kind information please.
- 7. Professor ArvindJasrotia Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 33/D Sainik Colony Jammu-180011 for favour of kind information please.
- 8. Dr.Ghulam Mohammad Dar, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Main Campus IMPA&RD, M.A Road, Srinagar-190001 for favour of kind information please.
- 9. Sh. IrfanYasin, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Bagh-e-Hyderpora, Bypass, Srinagar for favour of kind information please.

- 10. Professor Anil Kumar Raina, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Department of Environmental Science University of Jammu, Jammu-180006 for favour of kind information please.
- 11. Professor M. A. Khan, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Khan House, A-27 Milatabad, Peerbagh "B" Srinagar for favour of kind information please.
- 12. Dr.Falendra Kumar Sudan, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Professor Department of Economics University of Jammu, Jammu for favour of kind information please.
- 13. Sh. Sheikh Sajid, PA for information and with direction to upload the minutes on the environmental clearance portal at <u>parivesh.nic.in</u>.

Page 56

14. Concerned File.

ANNEXURE-B

STANDARD AND SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR GRANT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE WITH RESPECT TO RIVER BED MINING PROJECTS ON LEASE BASIS

STANDARD CONDITIONS :-

Statutory compliance

- 1) This Environmental Clearance (EC) is subject to orders/judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of J&K, Hon'ble NGT and any other Court of competent jurisdiction, as may be applicable.
- 2) The Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 2nd August,2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in matter of Common Cause versus Union of India & Ors before commencing the mining operations.
- 3) The UT Government of J&K shall ensure that mining operation shall not be commenced till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 2nd August, 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in matter of Common Cause versus Union of India &Ors.
- 4) This Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal NBWL Clearance from MoEF&CC subsequent to the recommendations of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife, if applicable to the Project.
- 5) This Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal Forest Clearance (FC) under the provision of Forest Conservation Act, 1980, if applicable to the Project.
- 6) Project Proponent (PP) shall obtain Consent to Operate after grant of EC and effectively implement all the conditions stipulated therein. The mining activity shall not commence prior to obtaining Consent to Establish / Consent to Operate from the concerned State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE.
- 7) The PP shall adhere to the provision of the Mines Act, 1952, Mines and Mineral (Development & Regulation), Act, 2015 and rules & regulations made there under. PP shall adhere to various circulars issued by Directorate General Mines Safety (DGMS) and Indian Bureau of Mines from time to time.
- 8) The Project Proponent shall obtain consents from all the concerned land owners, before start of mining operations, as per the provisions of MMDR Act, 1957 and rules made there under in respect of lands which are not owned by it.
- 9) The Project Proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCC's Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IAJI (M), dated 29th October, 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-Issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
- 10) The Project Proponent shall obtain necessary prior permission of the competent authorities for drawl of requisite quantity of surface water and from CGWA for withdrawal of ground water for the project.

. age**5**.

- 11) A copy of EC letter will be marked to concerned Panchayat / local NGO etc. if any, from whom suggestion / representation has been received while processing the proposal.
- 12) State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE shall be responsible for display of this EC letter at its Regional office, District Industries Centre and Collector's office/ Tehsildar's Office for 30 days.
- 13) The Project Authorities should widely advertise about the grant of this EC letter by printing the same in at least two local newspapers, one of which shall be in vernacular language of the concerned area.
- 14) The advertisement shall be done within 7 days of the issue of the clearance letter mentioning that the instant project has been accorded EC and copy of the EC letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE and web site of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (*www.environmentclearance.nic.in*). A copy of the advertisement may be forwarded to the concerned MoEFCC Regional Office for compliance and record.
- 15) The Project Proponent shall inform the MoEF&CC for any change in ownership of the mining lease. In case there is any change in ownership or mining lease is transferred than mining operation shall only be carried out after transfer of EC as per provisions of the para 11 of EIA Notification, 2006 as amended from time to time.

Air quality monitoring and preservation

- 16) The Project Proponent shall install a minimum of 3 (three) online Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations with 1 (one) in upwind and 2 (two) in downwind direction based on long term climatological data about wind direction such that an angle of 120° is made between the monitoring locations to monitor critical parameters, relevant for mining operations, of air pollution viz. PM10, PM 2.5, NO₂; CO and SO₂ etc. as per the methodology mentioned in NAAQS Notification No. B-29016/20/90/PC/I, dated 18.11.2009 covering the aspects of transportation and use of heavy machinery in the impact zone. The ambient air quality shall also be monitored at prominent places like office building, canteen etc. as per the site condition to ascertain the exposure characteristics at specific places. The above data shall be digitally displayed within 03 months in front of the main Gate of the mine site.
- 17) Effective safeguard measures for prevention of dust generation and subsequent suppression (like regular water sprinkling, metalled road construction etc.) shall be carried out in areas prone to air pollution wherein high levels of PM10 and PM2.5 are evident such as haul road, loading and unloading point and transfer points. The Fugitive dust emissions from all sources shall be regularly controlled by installation of required equipment's/ machineries and preventive maintenance: Use of suitable water-soluble chemical dust suppressing agents may be explored for better effectiveness of dust control system. It shall be ensured that air pollution level conform to the standards prescribed by the MoEFCC/ Central Pollution Control Board.

Water quality monitoring and preservation

18) In case, immediate mining scheme envisages intersection of ground water table, then Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal clearance from CGWA. In case, mining operation involves intersection of ground water table at a later stage, then PP shall ensure that prior approval from CGWA and MoEFCC is in place before such mining operations. The permission for intersection of ground water table shall essentially be based on detailed hydro-geological study of the area.

- 19) Regular monitoring of the flow rate of the springs and perennial nallahs flowing in and around the mine lease shall be carried out and records maintain. The natural water bodies and or streams which are flowing in an around the village, should not be disturbed. The Water Table should be nurtured so as not to go down below the premining period. In case of any water scarcity in the area, the Project Proponent has to provide water to the villagers for their use. A provision for regular monitoring of water table in open dug wall located in village should be incorporated to ascertain the impact of mining over ground water table. The Report on changes in Ground water level and quality shall be submitted on six-monthly basis to the Regional Office of the Ministry, CGWA and State Groundwater Department / State Pollution Control Board.
- 20) Project Proponent shall regularly monitor and maintain records w.r.t. ground water level and quality in and around the mine lease by establishing a network of existing wells as well as new piezo-meter installations during the mining operation in consultation with Central Ground Water Authority/ State Ground Water Department. The Report on changes in Ground water level and quality shall be submitted on sixmonthly basis to the Regional Office of the Ministry, CGWA and State Groundwater Department / State Pollution Control Board.
- 21) The Project Proponent shall undertake regular monitoring of natural water course/ water resources/ springs and perennial nallahs existing/ flowing in and around the mine lease and maintain its records. The Project Proponent shall undertake regular monitoring of water quality upstream and downstream of water bodies passing within and nearby/ adjacent to the mine lease and maintain its records. Sufficient number of gullies shall be provided at appropriate places within the lease for management of water. PP shall carryout regular monitoring w.r.t. pH and included the same in monitoring plan. The parameters to be monitored shall include their water quality vis-à-vis suitability for usage as per CPCB criteria and flow rate. It shall be ensured that no obstruction and/ or alteration be made to water bodies during mining operations without justification and prior approval of MoEFCC. The monitoring of water courses/ bodies existing in lease area shall be carried out four times in a year viz. pre- monsoon (April-May), monsoon (August), post-monsoon (November) and winter (January) and the record of monitored data may be sent regularly to Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and its Regional Office, Central Ground Water Authority and Regional Director, Central Ground Water Board, State Pollution Control Board and Central Pollution Control Board. Clearly showing the trend analysis on six-monthly basis.
- 22) Quality of polluted water generated from mining operations which include Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) in mines run-off; acid mine drainage and metal contamination in runoff shall be monitored along with Total Suspended Solids (TDS), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), pH and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). The monitored data shall be uploaded on the website of the company as well as displayed at the project site in public domain, on a display board, at a suitable location near the main gate of the Company. The circular No. J- 20012/1/2006-IAJI (M) dated 27.05.2009 issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change may also be referred in this regard.
- 23) Project Proponent shall plan, develop and implement rainwater harvesting measures on long term basis to augment ground water resources in the area consultation with Central Ground Water Board/ State Groundwater Department. A report on amount of water recharged needs to be submitted to Regional Office MoEFCC annually.
- 24) Industrial waste water (workshop and waste water from the mine) should be properly collected and treated so as to conform to the notified standards prescribed from time to time. The standards shall be prescribed through Consent to Operate (CTO) issued by

concerned State Pollution Control Board (SPCB). The workshop effluent shall be treated after its initial passage through Oil and grease trap.

25) The water balance/water auditing shall be carried out and measure for reducing the consumption of water shall be taken up and reported to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC and State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE.

Noise and vibration monitoring and prevention

- 26) The peak particle velocity at 500m distance or within the nearest habitation, whichever is closer shall be monitored periodically as per applicable DGMS guidelines.
- 27) The illumination and sound at night at project sites disturb the villages in respect of both human and animal population. Consequent sleeping disorders and stress may affect the health in the villages located close to mining operations. Habitations have a right for darkness and minimal noise levels at night. PPs must ensure that the biological clock of the villages is not disturbed; by orienting the floodlights/ masks away from the villagers and keeping the noise levels well within the prescribed limits for day /night hours.
- 28) The Project Proponent shall take measures for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment. The workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs /muffs. All personnel including laborers working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices along with adequate training, awareness and information on safety and health aspects. The PP shall be held responsible in case it has been found that workers/ personals/ laborers are working without personal protective equipment.

Mining plan

- 29) The Project Proponent shall adhere to the working parameters of mining plan which was submitted at the time of EC appraisal wherein year-wise plan was mentioned for total excavation subject to changes recommended in the specific conditions herein below. No change in basic mining proposal like mining technology, total excavation, mineral & waste production, lease area and scope of working (viz. method of mining, overburden & dump management, O.B & dump mining, mineral transportation mode, ultimate depth of mining etc.) shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, which entail adverse environmental impacts, even if it is a part of approved mining plan modified after grant of EC or granted by State Govt. in the form to Short Term Permit (STP), Query license or any other name.
- 30) The Project Proponent shall get the Final Mine Closure Plan along with Financial Assurance approved from Indian Bureau of Mines/Department of Mining & Geology as required under the Provision of the MMDR Act, 1957 and Rules/ Guidelines made there under. A copy of approved final mine closure plan shall be submitted within 2 months of the approval of the same from the competent authority to the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for record and verification.
- 31) The land-use of the mine lease area at various stages of mining scheme as well as at the end-of-life shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan. The excavation vis-à-vis backfilling in the mine lease area and corresponding afforestation to be raised in the reclaimed area shall be governed as per approved mining plan. PP shall ensure the monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas until the vegetation becomes selfsustaining. The compliance status shall be submitted half-yearly to the MoEFCC and its concerned Regional Office.

Land reclamation

- 32) The Overburden (O.B.) generated during the mining operations shall be stacked at earmarked OB dump site(s) only and it should not be kept active for a long period of time. The physical parameters of the OB dumps like height, width and angle of slope shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan as per the guidelines/circulars issued by D.G.M.S w.r.t. safety in mining operations shall be strictly adhered to maintain the stability of top soil/OB dumps. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation.
- 33) The reject/waste generated during the mining operations shall be stacked at earmarked waste dump site(s) only. The physical parameters of the waste dumps like height, width and angle of slope shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan as per the guidelines/circulars issued by DGMS w.r.t. safety in mining operations shall be strictly adhered to maintain the stability of waste dumps.
- 34) The reclamation of waste dump sites shall be done in scientific manner as per the Approved Mining Plan cum Progressive Mine Closure Plan. Iv. The slope of dumps shall be vegetated in scientific manner with suitable native species to maintain the slope stability, prevent erosion and surface run off. The selection of local species regulates local climatic parameters and help in adaptation of plant species to the microclimate. The gullies formed on slopes should be adequately taken care of as it impacts the overall stability of dumps. The dump mass should be consolidated with the help of dozer/ compactors thereby ensuring proper filling/ levelling of dump mass. In critical areas, use of geo textiles/ geo-membranes / clay liners / Bentonite etc. shall be undertaken for stabilization of the dump.
- 35) The Project Proponent shall carry out slope stability study in case the dump height is more than 30 meters. The slope stability report shall be submitted to concerned regional office of MoEF&CC.
- 36) Catch drains, settling tanks and ponds of appropriate size shall be constructed around the mine working, mineral yards and Top Soil/OB/Waste dumps to prevent run off of water and flow of sediments directly into the water bodies (Nallah/ River/ Pond etc.). The collected water should be utilized for watering the mine area, roads, green belt development, plantation etc. The drains/ sedimentation sumps etc. shall be de-silted regularly, particularly after monsoon season, and maintain properly.
- 37) Check dams of appropriate size, gradient and length shall be constructed around mine pit and OB dumps to prevent storm run-off and sediment flow into adjoining water bodies. A safety margin of 50% shall be kept for designing of sump structures over and above peak rainfall (based on 50 years data) and maximum discharge in the mine and its adjoining area which shall also help in providing adequate retention time period thereby allowing proper settling of sediments/ silt material. The sedimentation pits/ sumps shall be constructed at the corners of the garland drains,
- 38) The top soil, if any, shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) within the mine lease only and should not be kept unutilized for long. The physical parameters of the top soil dumps like height, width and angle of slope shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan and as per the guidelines framed by DGMS w.r.t. safety in mining operations shall be strictly adhered to maintain the stability of dumps. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation purpose.

Transportation

39) No Transportation of the minerals shall be allowed in case of roads passing through villages/ habitations. (*In such cases, PP shall construct a 'bypass' road for the purpose*

of transportation of the minerals leaving an adequate gap (say at least 200 meters) so that the adverse impact of sound and dust along with chances of accidents could be mitigated.)All costs resulting from widening and strengthening of existing public road network shall be borne by the PP in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department. Transportation of minerals through road movement in case of existing village/ rural roads shall be allowed in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department only after required strengthening such that the carrying capacity of roads is increased to handle the traffic load. The pollution due to transportation load on the environment will be effectively controlled and water sprinkling will also be done regularly. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Project should obtain Pollution Under Control (PUC) certificate for all the vehicles from authorized pollution testing centers.

40) The Main haulage road within the mine lease should be provided with a permanent water sprinkling arrangement for dust suppression. Other roads within the mine lease should be wetted regularly with tanker-mounted water sprinkling system. The other areas of dust generation like crushing zone, material transfer points, material yards etc. should invariably be provided with dust suppression arrangements. The air pollution control equipment's like bag filters, vacuum suction hoods, dry fogging system etc. shall be installed at Crushers, belt-conveyors and other areas prone to air pollution. The belt conveyor should be fully covered to avoid generation of dust while transportation. PP shall take necessary measures to avoid generation of fugitive dust emissions.

Green Belt

- 41) The Project Proponent shall develop greenbelt in 7.5m wide safety zone all along the mine lease boundary as per the guidelines of CPCB in order to arrest pollution emanating from mining operations within the lease. The whole Green belt shall be developed within first 5 years starting from windward side of the active mining area. The development of greenbelt shall be governed as per the EC granted by the Ministry irrespective of the stipulation made in approved mine plan.
- 42) The Project Proponent shall carryout plantation/ afforestation in backfilled and reclaimed area of mining lease, around water body, along the roadsides, in community areas etc. by planting the native species in consultation with the State Forest Department/ Agriculture Department/ Rural development department/ Tribal Welfare Department/ Gram Panchayat such that only those species be selected which are of use to the local people. The CPCB guidelines in this respect shall also be adhered. The density of the trees should be around 2500 saplings per Hectare. Adequate budgetary provision shall be made for protection and care of trees.
- 43) The Project Proponent shall make necessary alternative arrangements for livestock feed by developing grazing land with a view to compensate those areas which are coming within the mine lease. The development of such grazing land shall be done in consultation with the State Government. In this regard, Project Proponent should essentially implement the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court with regard to acquisition of grazing land. The sparse trees on such grazing ground, which provide mid-day shelter from the scorching sun, should be scrupulously guarded/ protected against felling and plantation of such trees should be promoted.
- 44) The Project Proponent shall undertake all precautionary measures for conservation and protection of endangered flora and fauna and Schedule-I species during mining operation. A Wildlife Conservation Plan shall be prepared for the same clearly

delineating action to be taken for conservation of flora and fauna. The Plan shall be approved by Chief Wild Life Warden of the State Govt.

45) And implemented in consultation with the State Forest and Wildlife Department. A copy of Wildlife Conservation Plan and its implementation status (annual) shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the Ministry.

Public hearing and human health issues

- 46) The Project Proponent shall appoint an Occupational Health Specialist for Regular as well as Periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the mining activities, as per the DGMS guidelines. The records shall be maintain properly. PP shall also carryout Occupational health check-ups in respect of workers which are having ailments like BP, diabetes, habitual smoking, etc. The check-ups shall be undertaken once in six months and necessary remedial/ preventive measures be taken. A status report on the same may be sent to MoEFCC Regional Office and DGMS on half-yearly basis.
- 47) The Project Proponent must demonstrate commitment to work towards 'Zero Harm' from their mining activities and carry out Health Risk Assessment (HRA) for identification workplace hazards and assess their potential risks to health and determine appropriate control measures to protect the health and wellbeing of workers and nearby community. The proponent shall maintain accurate and systematic records of the HRA. The HRA for neighbourhood has to focus on Public Health Problems like Malaria, Tuberculosis, HIV, Anaemia, Diarrhoea in children under five, respiratory infections due to bio mass cooking. The proponent shall also create awareness and educate the nearby community and workers for Sanitation, Personal Hygiene, Hand washing, not to defecate in open, Women Health and Hygiene (Providing Sanitary Napkins), hazard of tobacco and alcohol use. The Proponent shall carryout base line HRA for all the category of workers and thereafter every five years.
- 48) The Proponent shall carry out Occupational health surveillance which be a part of HRA and include Biological Monitoring where practical and feasible, and the tests and investigations relevant to the exposure (e.g. for Dust a X-Ray chest; For Noise Audiometric; for Lead Exposure Blood Lead, For Welders Full Ophthalmologic Assessment; for Manganese Miners a complete Neurological Assessment by a Certified Neurologist, and Manganese (Mn) Estimation in Blood; For Inorganic ChromiumFortnightly skin inspection of hands and forearms by a responsible person. Except routine tests all tests would be carried out in a Lab accredited by NABH. Records of Health Surveillance must be kept for 30 years, including the results of and the records of Physical examination and tests. The record of exposure due to materials like Asbestos, Hard Rock Mining, Silica, Gold, Kaolin, Aluminium, Iron, Manganese, Chromium, Lead, Uranium need to be handed over to the Mining Department of the State in case the life of the mine is less than 30 years. It would be obligatory for the State Mines Departments to make arrangements for the safe and secure storage of the records including X-Ray. Only conventional X-Ray will be accepted for record purposes and not the digital one). X-Ray must meet ILO criteria (17 x14 inches and of good quality).
- 49) The Proponent shall maintain a record of performance indicators for workers which includes
 - a. there should not be a significant decline in their Body Mass Index and it should stay between 18.5 -24.9,
 - b. the Final Chest X-Ray compared with the base line X-Ray should not show any capacities,

- c. At the end of their leaving job there should be no Diminution in their Lung Functions Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1) Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), and the ratio) unless they are smokers which has to be adjusted, and the effect of age,
- d. their hearing should not be affected. As a proof an Audiogram (first and last need to be presented),
- e. they should not have developed any Persistent Back Pain, Neck Pain, and the movement of their Hip, Knee and other joints should have normal range of movement,
- f. they should not have suffered loss of any body part. The record of the same should be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEFCC annually along with details of the relief and compensation paid to workers having above indications.
- 50) The Project Proponent shall ensure that Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
- 51) Project Proponent shall make provision for the housing for workers/labors or shall construct labour camps within/outside (company owned land) with necessary basic infrastructure/ facilities like fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche for kids etc. The housing may be provided in the form of temporary structures which can be removed after the completion of the project related infrastructure. The domestic waste water should be treated with STP in order to avoid contamination of underground water.
- 52) The activities proposed in Action plan prepared for addressing the issues raised during the Public Hearing, if applicable, shall be completed as per the budgetary provisions mentioned in the Action Plan and within the stipulated time frame. The Status Report on implementation of Action Plan shall be submitted to the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry along with District Administration.

Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER)

- 53) The activities and budget earmarked for Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) as per Ministry's 0.M No 22-65/2017-IA. III dated 30/09/2020 or as proposed by EAC should be kept in a separate bank account. The activities proposed for CER shall be implemented in a time bound manner and annual report of implementation of the same along with documentary proof viz. photographs, purchase documents, latitude & longitude of infrastructure developed & road constructed needs to be submitted to Regional Office MoEF&CC annually along with audited statement.
- 54) Project Proponent shall keep the funds earmarked for environmental protection measures in a separate account and refrain from diverting the same for other purposes. The Year wise expenditure of such funds should be reported to the MoEFCC and its concerned Regional Office.

Miscellaneous

- 55) The Project Proponent shall prepare digital map (land use & land cover) of the entire lease area and KML file for recording the base line data and closure time data (after three years) and submit a report to concerned Regional Office of the MoEFCC.
- 56) The Project Authorities should inform to the Regional Office regarding date of financial closures and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities and the date of start of land development work.

^{age}64

- 57) The Project Proponent shall submit six monthly compliance reports on the status of the implementation of the stipulated environmental safeguards to the MOEFCC & its concerned Regional Office, Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Board.
- 58) A separate 'Environmental Management Cell' with suitable qualified manpower should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive. The Senior Executive shall directly report to Head of the Organization. Adequate number of qualified Environmental Scientists and Mining Engineers shall be appointed and submit a report to RO, MoEFCC.
- 59) The concerned Regional Office of the MoEFCC shall randomly monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the MoEFCC officer(s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS: -

1	The Project Proponent must obtain NOCs from competent authority of all the			
	concerned stake holder departments /Joint Inspection Report prior to grant of formal			
	Environmental Clearance (EC). The EC shall be subject to strict compliance of			
	conditions of the NOCs issued by the stake holder departments.			
2	The cremation ground if any in the vicinity be properly demarcated and left undisturbed			
	and its map showing geographical coordinates be submitted to JKEIAA before issuance			
	of formal EC. Besides, map showing extraction route bypassing village settlements and			
	agricultural/horticultural land as far as possible be submitted to the JKEIAA before			
	formal grant of EC.			
3	The maximum exploitation of the RBM from the mining block shall be as per approved			
	mining plan or <u>as per revised mining plan to be approved by competent authority</u>			
	denovo within the prescribed area and depth recommended by the JK Expert Appraisal			
	Committee, as the case may be and <u>whichever is less</u> . Mining depth mentioned above			
	the recommended depth by JKEAC, if mentioned anywhere in any of the submitted			
	documents whether by mistake or otherwise, shall be treated as omitted.			
4	A green belt shall be developed under close supervision of the local panchayat.			
5	The river bed material shall be sold to the local population within radial distance of 2			
	kms from the mine site for residential construction purpose at 50% concessional rates.			
6	The formal lease shall be granted only after the Project Proponent deposits the funds			
	earmarked under EMP / CER in a separate account to be opened for the purpose and			
	funds be utilized in coordination with DFO, Social Forestry Dept. and concerned Chief			
	Medical Officer (CMO)under supervision of local Panchayat Head. The funds			
	earmarked for CSR shall also be utilized in accordance with CSR Policy Rules.			
7	All the provisions of Minor Mineral Rules sanctioned under SRO105 with all			
	amendments with respect to pertinent provisions of the legal framework relating to			
	progressive mine closure shall be complied by the Project Proponent.			
8	This Environmental Clearance is subject to final outcome of any litigation pending			
	before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of Jammu & Kashmir,			
	Hon'ble NGT, or any other Court of Law, if any, as may be applicable to this project.			
9	This Environmental Clearance is without prejudice to the standing instructions /orders			
	from the competent authorities in the MoEF&CC, GoI and the UT of J&K w.r.t river			
	bed mining activity.			
10	An inspection Committee comprising of Additional Dy. Commissioner, District			
	Mineral Officer, representative of Pollution Control Board, Executive Engineer			
	Irrigation & Flood Control Dept., Executive Engineer, PHE(Jalshakti) Dept. and			

	District Fisheries Officer shall monitor the implementation of the EMP and also certify
	at the end of each monsoon, that sufficient replenishment of the minor mineral has
	taken place during monsoon and further mining in the mining block shall not adversely
	affect the hydrological and ecological settings in the area.
11	The Project Proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operations
	for conservation and protection of endangered fauna and flora in the area.
12	Masks and PPE shall be provided to the workers in view of COVID-19.
13	Social distancing norms and other standard operating procedures relating to COVID-
	19 shall be followed during mining activity.
14	The plan worked out and expenditure made under Occupational Health should be
	undertaken in consultation with the Local Health Institution/PHC/Sub-Centre for
	utilization for the health and welfare of the local workers involved in mining activity
	and villagers living in the close vicinity. Regular Medical checkups and free medicines
	shall be provided under the fund.
15	The Project Proponent must ensure that the biological clock of the villagers in the
	vicinity of the project is not disturbed by any unauthorized night operations and all
	measures must be taken for keeping the noise levels within prescribed levels.
16	Provision shall be kept for housing of the labour force, toilets, facilities for cooking,
	safe water, health care, crèche etc. for the labour force.
17	A final Mine closure Plan along with details of Corpus fund shall be communicated to
	the MoEF&CC in advance for approval.
18	The top soil in case of surface land mining shall be temporarily stored at the designated
	/ appropriate site and concurrently used for land reclamation.
19	The mining operations shall be undertaken in a systematic manner so that it does not
17	damage the aesthetic view of the area.
20	Adequate steps should be taken to check soil erosion and engineering structures shall
	be raised wherever required. The mining shall be restricted to $3/4^{\text{th}}$ of the width of the
	river/riverlet or 7.5 m(inward) from river bank but upto 10% of the width of the river.
	While calculating targeted mineral production and while formulating the mining plan,
	the project proponent shall ensure that the area for removal of minerals shall not exceed
	60% of the mine lease area, and any deviation or relaxation in this regard shall be
	adequately supported by the scientific report in accordance with Sand Mining
	Monitoring & Enforcement Guidelines of 2020.
21	The compliance report shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC at
22	Chandigarh with a copy to the Regulatory Authority.
22	The mining activity should in no case go beyond the area as mentioned in the Letter of
	Intent or Environmental clearance letter, whichever is less and a buffer area is
22	maintained such that the slopes of adjoining area does not get disturbed.
23	All the provisions made and restrictions imposed as covered in the Minor Mineral Rules, shall be complied with, particularly regarding Environment Management
	Practices and its fund management.
24	The recommendations made in the District Survey Report on sustainable mining
<i>2</i> -7	practices if any shall be complied.
25	The mining shall be done in bench form with maximum bench height within the mining
	depth as prescribed by the Expert Appraisal Committee
26	
	Mining should be initiated only after installation of signboards with demarcation pillars indicating the geo-coordinates of the plot as mentioned in the letter of intent,

41 42	Adequate facility for drinking water and toilets should be provided for the workers.
11	There shall be no extraction of stone / boulder in landslide prone areas.
40	Vehicles used for transportation of material are to be permitted only with fitness and PUC Certificates.
40	Mining activity shall not be avoided in rainy season
	allowed in area where subsidence of rocks is likely to occur due to steep angle of slope.
39	No overhangs shall be allowed to be formed due to mining and mining shall not be
	pm. During day light. Mining operations shall not be allowed at night.
38	Restricted working hours. Mining operation has to be carried out between 6 am to 7
	on the roads should not exceed the prescribed load as per IRC
51	properly maintain by the PP and restored to original position after mining. Axel load
37	Haul road will be kept wide, compact and water spraying will be done. It shall be
50	having stakes shall be complied with during the mining operations.
35 36	Overloading of trucks and trolleys will be avoided. All the instructions from authorities representing various government departments
35	vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded.
34	The mineral transportation shall be carried out through covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded
24	measures are to be incorporated.
33	Spring sources should not be affected due to mining activities. Necessary Protection
- 22	subsequent amendments.
	Municipal Solid Waste Management (Management & Handling) Rule 2000 and its
32	Waste generated will be collected on regular basis and will be disposed as per the
	the competent authority.
	pay adequate compensation with penalty to the effected persons as to be fixed up by
	etc. involved in transportation of minor mineral is reported, the Project Proponent shall
	agricultural land, assets or human or bovine life by way of plying of trollies and tippers
	gets damaged due to any other transportation activity. Where ever, damages to
31	The PP should ensure no agriculture land in the area is used for any storage of sand or
	plot and the number of trucks moving out with the mineral.
	Information and Communications Technology (ICT), Web based and ICT enabled services, mobile SMS App etc. to account for weight of mineral being taken out of the
30	The Geology & Mining Department should use technology like Bar Coding,
20	issued by the Govt.
	from that area are tracked and accounted for in accordance with standing guidelines
29	The access to mining site should be controlled in a way that vehicles carrying mineral
	inspection.
	vehicle and mine plan. This should be produced before officers concerned for
	from the mine, mode of transport, registration number of vehicle, person in-charge of
28	The EC holder shall keep a correct account of quantity of mineral mined out, dispatched
	extracted.
27	There should be no change in the method of excavation and quantity of minerals to be
	shall be maintained in perfect order throughout the period of lease/mining.
	authorities in case of violation of the EC conditions. The display board with details
	prominently on a large size durable display board for enabling the public to inform the
	of EC conditions/district administration/Police at four corners of the mining block
	and contact details of concerned authorities responsible for monitoring of compliance
	be marked with concrete pillars of size 0.5mtx0.5mt x2.5mts (2.5 mts. is the depth below the NSL). The demarcation pillars site should mention the specific EC conditions

 ${}^{\sf Page}67$

43	There should be controlled clearance of overground vegetation to be undertaken.
44	Transport of mineral will not be done through villages / habitations and any private
	productive or waste land.
45	Deep drilled handpump with sufficient funding provision should be earmarked for
	providing drinking facility to the labour force.
46	Recommendations of National Institute for Labour for ensuring good occupational
	environment for mine workers would also be adopted.
47	The PP shall be responsible for enforcement of COVID-19 standard operating
	procedures and guidelines with respect to safety of workers involved in the mining
	activity.
48	Project Proponent shall ensure that the road may not be damaged due to transportation
	of the mineral and transport of minerals will be as per IRC relevant guidelines if any in
40	this regard.
49	Health and safety of workers should be taken care of. They should also be provided
50	training in safety and health care aspects. Junction at takeoff point of approach road with main road be properly developed with
50	proper width and geometry required for safe movement of traffic by concession holder
	at his own cost.
51	No stacking shall be allowed on road side along National Highway or any public road.
52	Concealing of any information/data of submission of wrong/fabricated information by
	the Project Proponent and failure to comply with any of the above conditions may lead
	to withdrawal of the EC and attract action under the provisions of EP Act, 1986.
53	Mining shall be done manually minimally supported by semi-mechanized methods.
	Heavy machinery like JCBs, Excavators/L&T hydraulic excavators etc. should not be
	allowed. Emphasis should be given to employment of locally available labour force to
	address the socio-economic concerns of the locals.
54	The progressive mine closure shall be as per Rules in vogue. All actions relating to
	progressive mine closure shall be taken well in advance during the final year of mining
	so that the site is rehabilitated ecologically.
55	The reasonable concerns expressed by the local population during public hearing or
	otherwise shall be addressed by the Project Proponent. No blasting operations shall be
	allowed.
56	No mining activity shall be carried out in flowing water channel area within the mining
	block and adequate measures shall be taken to safeguard water quality and aquatic life
57	including fisheries if available in the same.
57	The PP shall earmark funds strictly as per the agreed Environmental Management Plan to be spent on environmental monitoring, dust sprinkling, green belt development,
	rehabilitation of mined out area and landscaping/regressing and haulage route
	maintenance. The detailed updated plan shall be submitted to JKEIAA as per above
	deliberations before grant of formal EC, if desired by the JKEAC. Further, the CSR
	funds shall be earmarked and utilized as per CSR Rules.
58	The hydraulic structures/embankments /protection bunds shall not be disturbed
	/damaged during mining operations.
59	The alignment of the bank of the river and water shall not be changed in any case.
60	The water way of the Nalla shall not be restricted.
61	The applicant is bound to abide by the J&K Water Resources (Regulation and
	Management) Act and Rules of 2011 and J&K Fisheries Act, 2016 and all other
	relevant Acts and Rules thereof.

 $\mathsf{Page}68$

62	The EC is subject to condition that the mining block is located on state land exclusively in river bed without any encumbrances of any sort.
63	Under CSR, within 2 km radial distance, activities like regular health check-up of local villagers once a week shall be undertaken with free medicines. Safe drinking water facility by way of renovation of existing tanks/wells, digging of new tube wells and installation of water filters shall be undertaken. Skill development trainings shall be organized for unemployed local youth. Further, construction of toilets in schools, solar street lighting, free distribution of books, note books, N95 masks, hand sanitizers and school bags among students within 2 km radius from the mine area shall be undertaken. Under EMP, with 2 kms radial distance from mine area; air, water, soil, noise pollution monitoring on half yearly basis, black topping of roads passing through villages and maintenance of haulage /extraction routes, water sprinkling, PPE to mine workers, bearing of all costs on aliments/healthcare of mine workers, creation of green belt by way of raising and maintenance of 2500 trees per hectare on land identified by DFO Social Forestry concerned in consultation with village panchayat during the period of mining, shall be undertaken.
64	The JKEIAA reserves the right to impose any other condition in the EC at any time during the period of mining lease. The JKEIAA reserves the right to revoke the EC in case any of the environmental clearance conditions are violated during the mining activity. Mere grant of Environmental Clearance does not entitle the Project Proponent for grant of mining lease unless he obtains all other clearances as required under other provisions of law.
65	The EC is subject to condition that the Project proponent shall not change the water course of the river and no mining activity shall be carried out in active channel area, if any in the mining block.
66	Wherever the PP has to transport the mined out material across shallow water creeks found inside the mining block, he shall lay Hume pipes of appropriate sizes for the purpose so as not to disturb the natural flow of water.
67	On the request of project proponent, the competent authority shall issue necessary corrigendum to the approved mining plan w.r.t mining depth and area excluding the active water channel/green patch/ flood control structures/maintenance of safe distance to bridges/hydraulic structures and quantification of the targeted mineral, if and whereever, recommended by the Expert Appraisal Committee.
68	The project proponent shall undertake an environmental audit at least once a year by a reputed third-party entity and report of such audit shall be placed in public domain.
69	To ensure that irrigation inlets are not left high and dry due to mining and resultant degradation of bed, the Project Proponent also must construct well-designed cut-off walls, stretching bank to bank, having depth 1.5 times the maximum scour depth below the bed level, both upstream and downstream, maintaining prescribed distance from irrigation inlet/s as per guidelines.
70	The District Mineral Officer shall monitor the replenishment of the mined-out areas, traffic management, levels of production, river bank erosion, exit point of the site and instruct the Project proponent for initiating measures for mitigating environmental concerns.
71	The PP shall not sub-let the mining block to any third party without written approval from the JKEIAA

Page 69

72	After ceasing the mining operations, the project proponent shall undertake re-grassing of the mining lease area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to mining activities and restore the area to a condition fit for growth of flora, fauna and fodder as per rules in vogue.
73	The Project Proponent shall strictly adhere to the Sand Mining Guidelines of 2016 and Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines of 2020 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, GoI
74	An appeal against the EC shall lie with the Hon'ble NGT, if preferred within 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the NGT Act, 2010.
75	In the event any conflict in interpretation of Standard /General Conditions vis-a vis Specific Conditions, the Specific Conditions shall prevail.

 $_{\text{Page}}70$