#### Proceedings of the 314th SEAC Meeting held on 18th & 19th July - 2024

1.	Shri Mahesh A.N.	Chairman
2.	Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav,	Member
3.	Dr. Balakrishna S,	Member
4.	Shri Shivappa Naik,	Member
5.	Shri K H Nagaraj,	Member
6.	Shri Sadiq Ahmed,	Member
7.	Dr. Sangamesh Kolliyavar,	Member
8.	Shri Dhruva Kumara B Y,	Member
<u>9.</u>	Shri. R Gokul, IFS	Member Secretary

#### Members present in the meeting held on 18th July - 2024

#### Officials Present

	I Subac H S	
	1 Dullas II S	i Nummarting Staff
L	·····	
		••••

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion.

The proceedings of the 313<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> & 26<sup>th</sup> June –2024 was read and in agenda number 313.1.2 of Ramghad Manganese & Iron Ores Mine (Mining Lease No.2679) falling in Swamimalai and Ramanamalai forest blocks, the last para was re-deliberated and the following needs to be incorporated.

- "...Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1.0663 MT of Manganese ore & 1.9036 MT of Iron ore as per the approved Mining plan, the Committee estimated the life of the mine to be 22 years for Manganese ore and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for annual production of 0.216 MTPA of Iron ore and 0.032MTPA of Manganese ore and handling of 0.327 MT of already stocked incidental iron ore within first two years, with following considerations,
  - 1. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by MoEF&CC
  - 2. To comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.
  - 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
  - 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the mining area.
  - 5. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity."

and no change in decision and all other deliberation to remain unchanged and the Committee confirmed the minutes.

### 314.1.1 EIA- Limestone & Dolomite Mine Project at Sy. Nos. 13 & 139, 140 & 141 of Halki Village & Ningapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District (4.856 Ha) (ML.No.2474) by M/s. Navodaya Minerals – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/467404/2024 (SEIAA 361 MIN 2022)

The Proponent remained absent without intimation and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the Project.

### Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to putup before SEAC in upcoming meeting.

bust

314.1.2 Development of Row Houses and Club House Project at Addevishwanathapura village, Hesarghatta Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Surya Developers – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/482327/2024 (SEIAA 70 CON 2024)

About		t the project:	T.C
Sl.No. Particulars		Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Surya Developers No. 684, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, HIG 'A' Sector, Above State Bank of Hyderabad, Opp. Sheshadripuram College, Yelahanka New Town, Bengaluru- 560064
2		Name & Location of the Project	Development of Row Houses and Club House Project at Sy.No's 96/4, 98/11, 98/12, 98/13, 98/14, 200/ 7, 200/9, 200/10, 200/11, 201/1, 201/2, 201/7, 201/8, 201/9, 201/10 of Addevishwanathapura village, Hesarghatta Hobli, Yelahanka Taluk, Bangalore Urban District
3		Type of Development	
a		Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Proposed Development of Row Houses and Club House Project, 8 (a)
	b.	Pasidential Township/ Area	NA
		Development Projects	
	c.	Zoning Classification	Residential
4	4 New/Expansion/Modification/Renewal		New
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	<ul> <li>K.G. Kuntanahalli Lake located at the distance of 3.50 (NW) from the Project boundary.</li> <li>Marasandra Lake located at the distance of 2.85</li> <li>Km (N) from the Project boundary.</li> <li>Hesaraghatta Lake located at the distance of 5.25</li> <li>Km (SW) from the Project boundary.</li> <li>Chikkajala Lake located at the distance of 8.90</li> <li>Km (SE) from the Project boundary.</li> <li>Gantiganahalli Lake located at the distance of 7.00 Km (SW) from the Project boundary.</li> <li>Yealahanka kere located at the distance of 9.70</li> <li>Km (SE) from the Project boundary.</li> </ul>
		Plot Area (Sum)	35.781.15 Sqm
		Built Un area (Som)	36.601.97 Sqm
-	<u> </u>	EAD	
	8 Permissible		1.50
		Proposed	0.92

About the project:

J

2

[		Building Configuration Number of	The proposed projects is a construction of
.		Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	Residential Row Houses having huilding
1 9	,	Numbers of Basements and Upper	configuration of G+2UF and G+3 UF with 122
		Floors]	Row Houses and club house
		Number of units/plots in case of	122 Row Houses
1	0	Construction/Residential Township	G+2UF and G+3 UF
	٠	Area Development Projects	
1	1	Height Clearance	13 80 meter
	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs 60.00
			Excepted earth of 3579 11Cum
			The earth executed concreted from the second
1	3	Quantity excavated earth & its	The early excavated generated from the project
	5	management	for book filling containing the project premises
			for back filling, gardening road and walkway
		Details of Lond Lise (Sem)	and construction of compound wall.
	<b>*</b>	Crowned Converses A	
	ä. 1.	Ground Coverage Area	9,326.48 Sqm
	D.	Kharab Land	-
	<u>с.</u>	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	14,670.27 Sqm
	_d.	Internal Roads	
	е.	Paved area	11,784.40 Sqm
	_ <b>f</b> .	Others Specify	-
		Parks and Open space in case of	•
	g.	Residential Township/ Area	
		Development Projects	
	h.	Total	35,781.15 Sqm
15	5	WATER	
	I,	Construction Phase	
	a.	Source of water	Treated Water Tankers
		Quantity of water for Construction	10 KLD
	υ.	in KLD	
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic	2.7 KLD
		Purpose in KLD	
	_d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2.16 KLD
		Transformer C. 111.	The total domestic waste water generated during
	e.	reatment facility proposed and	construction phase will be treated in mobile STP
		scheme of disposal of treated water	and the treated water will be used for periphery
┝	TT	Operational Phase	landscaping developing the area.
┝			Net Contraction
		]	requirement
			Recycled water for 28 KID
	а.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	flushing
			Total Water 112 VI D
			requirement
Γ	h	Source of water	Borewell after obtaining NoC from KGWA &
	<u>.</u>		Rainwater harvesting
		Au	Bauolo.
		¥	

× \_ \_ \_ \_

	c,	Wastewater generation in KLD	90 KLD
	d.	STP capacity and Area required	100 KLD
[	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR)
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	The sewage generated during the operation phase will be treated in Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) of capacity 100 KLD. The entire (95%) treated sewage from STP, 38 KLD will be recycled/ reused for toilet flushing, 7 KLD for Avenue plantation, 5 KLD for Dust suppression, and 36 KLD park and open space within the project site.
16	5	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	
		Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof	Provided roof rainwater sump capacity is 560
	a.	& Hardscape/soft scape run off	Cum
	Ь.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	53 Nos. of recharge pits are proposed to harvest paved area runoff of 1.2 m Dia& 1.8 m Depth. 65 Nos. of recharge pits are proposed to harvest runoff from landscape of 1.2 m Dia& 1.8 m Depth.
11	,	Storm water management plan	Carrying capacity of internal drain = 1.39 m <sup>3</sup> /sec. So carrying capacity of internal garland drain is adequate i.e., greater than 0.31 m <sup>3</sup> /sec so design is safe
18	18 WASTE MANAGEMENT		
	I.	. Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management	Demolition Waste:- NA Construction Waste:916 MT Sand Gravels of 367 MT, Brick with Masonry- 320 MT, Concrete- 184 MT has been utilized in the formation of Pavement/ walking path area and Landscape area. The metal and wood scrap of 45 MT utilized for the formation of landscape area.
	Ь.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	6 Kg/day Handed over to authorized vendors.
	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity: 234.4 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Composting by using organic waste Converter (OWC) converted as manure & used for landscaping within the project site Capacity of facility: 250 kg/day Area required: 20 Sqm
	h	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	Quantity: 153.8 kg/day
	1	1 X	

By ...

Greather

- -

4

		waste generation and mode of	Mode of Disposal: Hand over to Authorized
		Disposal as per norms	Recyclers for further process
			Area required: 8 Sqm
			Quantity: 0.1KLPA
			Mode of Disposal: Disposed as per the
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Hazardous & other waste (Management &
	c.	generation and mode of Disposal as	Transboundary) movement rules 2016. Hand
		per norms	over to KSPCB Authorized Hazardous waste
			Recyclers for further process
			Area required: 6 Sqm
			Quantity: 0.05 MTPA
	1	Quantity of E waste generation and	Mode of Disposal: Hand over to KSPCB
	a.	mode of Disposal as per norms	Authorized e waste recycler for further process
			Area required: 5 Sqm
1	9	POWER	
	a	Total Power Requirement -	Transformer capacity 1500 KVA
		Operational Phase	
	Ъ.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	500 KVA X 1No
		KVA for Standby Power Supply	
	с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
1		Energy conservation plan and	Energy conservation using solar water heater,
	d.	Percentage of savings including plan	VFD for pump and STP, VFD for lifts, solar
		for utilization of solar energy as per	external lighting and LED lights
		ECBC 2007	Savings of : 13.47 %
20	0	PARKING	
	a.	(ECS)	160 ECS
	_	Level of Service (LOS) of the	В
	b.	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	
		Study Report	
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8 m
			Carrying avenue plantation across the service
			road within the period of 18 months
			Providing RO facility for safe Drinking water to
			the Government School Students of
			from the project site within 12 months $M(E)$
21		CED Activities	Providing Sanitation facility to the Government
		CER Activities	Primary School Sreeramanahalli which is
			located 0.75 Km(E) from the project site- within
			18 months
			Initiating Hepatitis B Vaccination to the Students
			of Government Primary School, Sreeramanahalli
			which is located 0.75 Km(E) from the project site within 12 months
	,		Construction phase Galvanized iron barricade
22		EMP (Details and capital cost &	sheet all-round the site-5.94 lakhe Purchase of
L	1		
		<b>A</b>	

F

÷

YANNIK

tanker water for Construction-5.12 lakhs
Plantations of conlings ground the periphasy and
Fiantations of saprings around the periphery and
maintenance-0.86lakhs, Environmental
Monitoring – Air, Water, Noise-4.53 lakhs, EMP
Cell-7.20 lakhs, Waste water treatment during
construction phase-12 lakhs, Waste Management
-3.15 lakhs, Total 38.81 Lakhs
Operation phase :
Capital investment
Sewage Treatment Plant – 50 Lakhs, Rainwater
harvesting facilities-12.50 Lakhs, Landscape
development-9.00 Lakhs Acoustic & Stacks for
DG sets-5.50 Lakhs, Organic Waste Converter -
16.50 Lakhs, Total 93.50 Lakhs
Recurring cost
STP Maintenance- 8lakhs, Landscape
Maintenance- 4.50 lakhs, Organic waste
Maintenance-2.50 lakhs, EMP Cell-3 lakhs,
Environmental Monitoring-Air, Water, Noise 5
lakhs/ annum, Total 23.00 Lakhs

The proposal is for construction of a residential apartment project in an area earmarked for agriculture use as per BIAAPA, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that they have obtained conversion of land to residential use.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding source of water for the proposed project during operation, cart track as per village map and rainwater harvesting provisions proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that initially they had obtained NoC from Gramapanchayath. However subsequently they have obtained hydrology study report from CGWA accredited consultant for justifying the availability of 74 KLD ground water in for the proposed project area and informed the Committee that they will obtain NoC from KGWA for digging and extraction of ground water and have sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize complete rainfall within the site area. Regarding cart track road, Proponent informed the Committee that it is the existing public road, which is also the approach road to the project area. For harvesting rainwater, Proponent informed that they have proposed a storage tank of 560 cum capacity for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 118 recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 450 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

6

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following consideration,

1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.

2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.

3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.

- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 560 Cum & 118 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 450 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.

6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.

7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.

- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.3 Mixed-Use Development of "Residential Apartment and Hotel Building" Project Plot No. R-16, Sy.No. 6-P, Hi-tech Defence & Aerospace Park (IT Sector) Singahalli Village, Jala Hobli, Bengaluru North Yelahanka Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Fairlark GRC Gokul Enterprises LLP – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/482515/2024 (SEIAA 65 CON 2024)

The Proponent had requested to attend the next upcoming meeting and remained absent and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the Project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in upcoming meetings.

#### 314.1.4 Residential Apartment with club house project at Varthur Village, Varthur Hobli, Ward No.149, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. YUVA INFINITY DEVELOPERS – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/472016/2024 (SEIAA 69 CON 2024)

SI.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
		M/s. YUVA INFINITY DEVELOPERS,
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	30, Resident of: No.92, Muninanjappa Garden,
		Kodigehalli Main Road, K.R.Puram,
		Bengaluru East Taluk, Bangalore- 560036.
		Residential Apartment and Club House Project at
	Name & Location of the Project	Sy.Nos.12/9, 12/13, 12/14, 12/15, 12/3, 12/10 &
2		12/11 of Katha No.4044, Varthur Village,
		Varthur Hobli, Ward No.149, Bangalore East
		Taluk, Bangalore.
3	Type of Development	
	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row	Residential Apartment with Club House
a,	Houses/ Vertical Development/	Category 8(a)

7

		Office /IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/				
Hospital /other						
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA		·	
	c.	Zoning Classification	As per Resider Resider been	CDP -2015 project sintial zone. The land has be ntial purposes. DC conve	te comes æn convert ersion orde	under ted for er has
2	<b>446</b>	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New The proposed project site includes Phase 1 of (S. F+G+3UF) which is under Construction and CFE clearance is taken since, it is only 15,372.63 Sqm and 120 Units Consent Order No: CTE-334109 by KSPCB, Plan Sanction from BBMP is also obtained for 15,044.34 Sqm Ref no. BBMP/Ad.Com./MDP/0411/22-23. As per the CDP project site is designated as residential zone; and the land has been converted for Residential purposes.		of (S. J CFE 3 Sqm 09 by also no. er the zone; lential	
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA			
6	5	Plot Area (Sgm)	17.249	.58 Sam		
1	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	43,796	.58 Sgm		
8	3	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	1.75			
	,	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	of Residential Apartments h Phase -01: S. F+G+3UF er Phase -02: B+G+3UF			
1	0	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	304 No	os. of units		
1	1	Height Clearance	Height CCZM	of the proposed project of Bangalore	t is withi	n the
1	2	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 80.	0 Cr		
			Sl.No.	Description	Quantity	Unit
13			A	Earth Work Excavation	30,000	Cum
			a	For Backfilling	12,000	Cum
	3	Quantity excavated earth & its management	Ь	Top soil requirement for landscape development on natural earth and podium	8,000	Cum
				Earth used for formation of internal roads	10,000	Cum



•

8

	14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)		
	<b>a</b> .	Ground Coverage Area	8,555.66 SQMT	
	b.	Kharab Land	NA	
	C.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	4,312.39 SQMT	
	d.	Internal Roads	4,381.39 SOMT	
	e.	Paved area	-	
	f.	Others Specify		· · · · · ·
		Parks and Open space in case of		
	g.	Residential Township/ Area		
		Development Projects	-	
	h.	Total	17,249.58 Sqmt	
	15	WATER		·····
	I.	Construction Phase		
	a.	Source of water	<b>BWSSB</b> treated	water/our own STP treated water
	h	Quantity of water for Construction in	25 KLD	
	0.	KLD		
	_	Quantity of water for Domestic	5 KLD	···· ··· ···
	С.	Purpose in KLD	2	
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD	
	•	Treatment facility proposed and	Mobile Sewage	Treatment Plant
	С.	scheme of disposal of treated water		
	II.	Operational Phase		
			Fresh	140
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	90
	L	Courses of custom	Total	230
	0,	Westewater conception in KLD	BW35B	
	<u> </u>	wastewater generation in KLD	207	
	d.	STP capacity and Area required	STP capacity	210 KLD
	_		Area required	210 Sqmt
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technolog	y
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	Excess 82 KLL	will be used for Floor washing
μ		water if any	and given to nea	rby Construction Project
Ļ,	10	Infrastructure for Rain water narvesting	g	
	a. ]	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof	180 cum & 290 c	cum of collection sump is provided
	1	& Hardscape/sont scape run off	Area required for	r Rain water tank is 470 Sqmt
	D.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10 Nos.	
			We have provid	ied 180 cum & 290 cum of roof
	17	Starman and a	water collection	n sump. The quantity of storm
	"	Storm water management plan	water produced	within the site will be directed to
			recharge pits of 10 Nos. provided around the	
<u> </u>	10	WASTE MANIA OF TENT	periphery of the site	
┝╌	10 T	Construction Phase		
$\vdash$	1. g	Quantity of Construction R	Demolition Was	te Construction Wasts
	a.	Zummery of Construction &	Demontion was	ie, construction waste

for an

\*

fautr.

		Demolition waster and its management	As of now, approximately around 1000 cum of C & D waste is generated; it is stored in the project
		maneBerneite	site and we will use it for internal road formation
			within the project site
	b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than	Quantity of solid waste generation during construction other than C&D0.5kg/day
		C&D.	Mode of Disposal: Given to BBMP authorities
	II.	Operational Phase	-
		•	Ouantity 410 kg/day
		Ouantity of Biodegradable waste	Mode of Biodegradable waste will be
	a	generation and mode of Disposal as	Disposal processed in organic waste
	a.	per norms	Canacity of 410 kg/day of canacity
		(Capacity of OWC & Area required)	facility
			Area required 20 Sqmt
		Quantity of Non- Biodegradable	Quantity 274 kg/day
	b.	waste generation and mode of	Mode of Non-Biodegradable waste will be
		Disposal as per norms	Disposal given to authorized vendors
			Quantity 50-80 lts
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Mode of Will be given to PCB authorized
	с.	generation and mode of Disposal as	Disposal recycler
		per norms	Area required 5 Sqmt
			Quantity 150 kg/year
	4	Quantity of E waste generation and	Mode of Will be given to PCB authorized
	u.	mode of Disposal as per norms	Disposal recycler
			Area required 15 Sqmt
	19	POWER	·
-	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1216 KW
	۴.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	250 KVA X 2 Nos.
	D.	KVA for Standby Power Supply	
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric diesel
		Energy conservation plan and	23.75%
	ا ہر	Percentage of savings including plan	
	u.	for utilization of solar energy as per	
		ECBC 2007	
	20	PARKING	
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	339 ECS
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads
	b.	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	as per the Traffic Study Report on SH-35is B
		Study Report	
	¢.	Internal Road width (RoW)	
Ĺ	21	CER Activities	school & hospital
1	22	EMP (Details and capital cost &	Construction phase Rs. 97.0 lakhs
		recurring cost)	Operation phase   Ks. 1070.0 lakhs

ky.

for the

The proposal is for expansion of residential apartment project. Proponent informed that the proposal is for expansion in BUA from 15,044.34 Sqm to 43,796.58 Sqm in plot area of 6,428.04 Sqm to 14,249.58 Sqm. For the existing construction they had obtained plan approval from BBMP on 05.11.2022 and CFE from KSPCB on 29.10.2022 and as per architect certificate dated 03.06.2024, presently BUA of 11,374 Sqm has been constructed. Further, the Proponent informed the Committee that they are using ready mix concrete in the construction, which generates less waste compared to bricks and the construction waste is handled as per C & D Rules 2016 and presently about 1,000 Cum of construction waste has been stored in site for formation of internal paved roads.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding water body, drain as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions made for rainwater harvesting in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that the water body in western side is at a distance of 43 mtrs to the site boundary and is away from the buffer zone and the tertiary drain in eastern side is out side the buffer limits of 15 mtrs to the project boundary and the drain in western side as seen in google earth is a man made drain during 2020 for desilting of varthur lake which attracts no buffer. For sensitive zone they have obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on 16.10.2023. For harvesting rainwater, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of 180 cum for runoff from rooftop and another tank of 270 cum for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 10 recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 220 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.

- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 180 cum & 270 cum and 10 recharge pits.

5. To grow 220 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.

6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.

7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.

8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.

9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.

10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

·Jaustle

314.1.5 Residential Apartment Building project at BBMP Khatha No.5, Bagalakunte Village, Ward No. 14, Yeshwanthapura Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. 5 Elements Homes – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/478305/2024 (SEIAA 68 CON 2024)

About	the	project:
-------	-----	----------

SI.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Sri Kashinath. B. M/s. 5 ELEMENTS HOMES Unit No. 101, First Floor, Brigade Corner, No. 73/1-1, S. Kariyappa Road, Yediyur Circle, 7 <sup>th</sup> Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore - 560 082.
2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment Building at BBMP Khatha No.5, Sy.Nos. 90/1, 90/2, 90/3 & 90/4, Bagalakunte Village, Ward No. 14, Yeshwanthapura Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Bangalore – 560 073.
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses /Vertical Development / Office / IT/ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Building Category 8(a)
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Residential Township
<b>c</b> .	Zoning Classification	Residential
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Bahalagunte Lake – 0.67 (NE) Lake buffer 30 m provided
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	19,626.81 Sq. m
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	65,534.19 Sq. m
8	FAR <ul> <li>Permissible</li> </ul>	FAR • 2.25
	Proposed	• 2.249
9	Building Configuration [ Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	2 Blocks with Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	365 Flats & a Club House
11	Height Clearance	Permissible: 15.00 m     Proposed: 14.99 m
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	149 Crores
13	Quantityexcavated earth&its management	32,634 m3 of earth is excavated. Soil excavated for laying the foundation is reused for filling and landscaping.
14	4 Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	Ground Coverage Area Permissible: 9,813.41 Achieved: 9,786.86
b.	Kharab Land	None



paro

	C.	Total Green Belt on Mother Earth	3,925 sq m			
ļ	d.	Internal Roads	5,914.995 Sqm			
	e.	Paved area	· •			
	f.	Others Specify				
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	9,786.86 Sqm			
	h.	Total	19.626.81 Som			
	15	WATER	l naf i A			
	I.	Construction Phase				
	a.	Source of water	Construction pu STP Domestic p	arpose: Tank purpose: BW	cer/Treated water from SSB	
	ь.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	30 KLD	-		
	с.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	4.5 KLD			
	<u>d</u> .	Waste water generation in KLD	3.6 KLD			
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Modular STP			
	II.	Operational Phase				
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	271 KLD		· ·	
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB			
	c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	217 KLD			
	d.	STP capacity and Area required	230 KLD			
1	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR			
[	£	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	Used for Flush	ing, Gardeni	ing and Driveway and	
	1.	water if any	pathway maintenance			
]]	16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting	ig			
	a.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run off	25 KLD × 2No <sup>3</sup>	s		
	b. 1	No's of Ground water recharge pits	5 No's		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	17	Storm water management plan	25 KLD stor rainwater. Wate used for fire fig treatment.	age tank i er stored in ghting and d	s provided to store a storage tank will be omestic purposes after	
	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT				
	I.	Construction Phase				
			Demolition Was	ste:135.487	Tonnes	
			Wa	Quantity		
			Cond	crete	33.872	
			Wo	od	59.614	
			Met	4.065		
		Quantity of Construction &	Dry	well	2.710	
	<b>a</b> . 1	Demolition waster and its	Oth	ner	35.227	
	ĺ	management	To	tal	135.487	
			Construction W	aste: 23.595	.11 Tonnes	
			Composition of C & D Waste	Quantity of waste	Management	
		1 م	3	0	1 -	

ĝ.

/

hard

		<u> </u>	Soil cond &	6706 62	Llead as filling			
			oravel	0790.02	material on roads			
			graver	11010 10	material on roads			
			Bricks &	11719.46	Segregated and			
			Masonry		stored separately on-			
					site and disposed to			
					authorised vendors			
					as per Construction			
					and Demolition			
			Cananata	704.00	waste 2010			
			Concrete	790.92				
			Dimmon	2929.80				
			Bitumen	/0				
			Wood	100				
			Others	385.39				
			Total	23,595.17				
	b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and	None					
		mode of Disposal other than C&D.						
	<u> </u>	Operational Phase						
		Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quantity: 600 K	.g/day				
	а.	generation and mode of Disposal as	Mode of Dispos	al:Organicv	vasteConverter			
		per norms (Capacity of OwC & Area	Capacity of facility: 250 Kg/day					
		required)	Quantity: 400 Kg/day					
	L	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	Quantity: 400 Kg/day					
	D.	Disposal as per party	Mode of Disposal: Disposed to authorized vendors.					
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Area required: 20 Sqm					
			no 2 of filters Mode of Disposal: KSPCB					
	c.	generation and mode of Disposal as	authorized recycler					
		per norms	A rea required 15 Sam					
			Quantity: NA					
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and	Mode of Disposal:					
		mode of Disposal as per norms	Area required:					
	19	POWER	P					
		Total Power Requirement -	2000 KVA					
	а.	Operational Phase						
	h	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	500 KVA x3 no					
	υ.	KVA for Standby Power Supply						
	с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel					
		Energy conservation plan and	Percentage of	savings:	18.01 % Energy			
		Percentage of savings including nlan	conservation wi	II be achiev	ed by Power Saving In			
	d.	for utilization of solar energy as per	the Solar System	m, Solar Ho	t Water, Power Saving			
		ECBC 2007	In Water Pum	ping, and	Power Saving In the			
		DADKDIC	Common Facili	ιy				
	<u>20</u>	PAKNINU Darking Requirement as per parms	151 ECS	<u></u> . <u></u>				
	a.	Farking requirement as per norms	IJI EVO					
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	Δ					
	h	connecting Roads as per the Traffic	<b>1 1</b>					
		Study Report						
	<u> </u>	Internal Road width (RoW)	Proposed 18 m	wide CDP n				
	L							

by.

<u> </u>								
21		Construction of Rainwater recharge pond in						
	CER Activities		SUIIE	er area, Koad	repair ir	ា ហ ៤០២០	e nearc	by village,
		r   e	nan. Mar	ng of trees in panels in sch	ols in nev		vears	
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	•	Constructio	n phase.	<u></u> .,	<u>y</u> çan 3.	
			-		n phuse.	F	_ Inancial I	rovisions
			SL	Desert	. the second		(Rsin l	aklıs)
			No	), Descin	ALVI	С	apital	Recurbig
				Constantion		_ (	Cost	cost
			1	Treatment Play	or Sewage at		100	-
			2	Operation of Treatment Plan	f Sewage at /annum		-	2
			3	Rain Water	Harvesting			2
			4	DG Sets (Sta	tacilities		110 90	05
			5	Landscaping		·	75	2
			6	Solid Waste N	lanagement		45	2.5
			7	Firefighting			90	2
			8	Environment Plan (Air No	Mouitoring			, (
				Soil & Solid v	vaste)		-	-
				Total			510	10
				Operation p	hase			<u> </u>
		[	<u>S.No</u>	Composent	Particulars		Estimated	Recurring
							Costin	Cost in <u>Lakhs</u>
	EMP (Details and capital cost &		1.	Occupational	Safety Helmet		4 hithe	2.5 laktas
	recurring cost)			Health-Personal	Safety Shoes,		- 3	
				Protective	Reflective Vest	, 		
				Equipment.	Ear Muff. Safet	¥ Annār		
					Goggles, Hand			
					gloves, Full Boo	dy End		
					aid room, RO w	, mai ater		
				<u> </u>	etc.,			
			2.	Water Pollution	Modular STP		3 laktus	1 lakhs
			3.	Air Pollution	DG sets -stack,		2 lakhs	1.5 lakha
				COMPLEX	sprinkling	a'		]
			4.	Noise Pollution	Acoustic Enclose for D.O. acts	sure	1 lakbs	0.50 lakha
			5.	Energy conservation	Instaliation of us street lights, LE	clar D	2 lakhs	1 <b>laktus</b>
			6.	Environmental	Ambient Air, N	oise,	2 Jakha	0.50 Jakhe
				Monitoring	Soil, Treated & untreated water.			
			7.	Waste Management	Disposal of Spectra to authorized	nt oil	1.0 lakhs	1.0 latte
			]	T	recycler.		157-44-	8 f abba

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that there is an old shed and waste of 135.48 ton to be used within the site area. The Committee noted the clarification.

qu,

Jack.

The proposal is for construction of a residential apartment project in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding rain water harvesting proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rain water, they have proposed storage tank of capacities 2x25 cum for runoff from rooftop and 5 recharge pits within the site area for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 250 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 2x50 cum and 5 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 250 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 7. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve of water.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.6 Expansion & Modification of Residential Apartment project at Chikkavaderapura Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Nexplace Infrastructure – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/472818/2024 (SEIAA 67 CON 2024)

SI.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Nexplace Infrastructure No. 393, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, 15 <sup>th</sup> Cross, 5 <sup>th</sup> Main Road, Sector-6, HSR Layout, Bangalore- 560102

		Modification in Residential Apartment From				
2	Name & Location of the Project	450 Nos. To 399 Nos. by Sy.Nos.24/2, 24/6,				
		24/8 & 24/11 of Chikkavaderapura village,				
		Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore				
3	Type of Development					
	Residential Apartment/ Villas /Row	Residential Apartment with Club House				
<b>a</b> .	Houses/ Vertical Development/Office/	Category 8(a)				
	IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other					
	Residential Township/ Area	NA				
	Development Projects					
		As per CDP - 2015 project site comes under				
c.	Zoning Classification	Agriculture zone. The land has been				
		converted for Residential purposes.				
_		Expansion & Modification of Residential				
		Apartment project				
		Earlier we have obtained Environmental				
		clearance for BUA of 89,803.40 Sqmof				
	New(Tenner in (MadiGastin (Desca)	application No. SEIAA 44 CON 2023 dated:				
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Kenewai	23/03/2023				
		BUA of expansion & modification is from				
		89.803.40 Sqm to 81.372.63 Sqmt and number				
		of units from 450 Nos. to 399 Nos.				
	4	2B+G+24UF+ TF to 2B+G+26UF+ TF				
	We do De disc / States in the initial of	As per Village map 30m buffer has been				
5	water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of	provided for the Kunte which is adjacent to				
	project site	the project site on the eastern side.				
		The plot - 19,601.60 Sqmt,				
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	Road widening area - 1646.97 Sqmt.				
		Net site area - 17,954.63 Sqmt				
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	81,372.63 Sqmt				
	FAR					
8	Permissible	3.00				
	Proposed	2.99				
	Building Configuration [Number of	Residential building – WING A, B & C:				
9	Blocks/Towers/Wings etc., with Numbers	2B+G+26UF				
	of Basements and Upper Floors]					
	Number of units/plots in case of	399 Nos. of units				
10	Construction /Residential Township/ Area	a				
	Development Projects					
11	Height Clearance	Height of the proposed project is within the				
12	Project Cost (Ro. In Connec)	CCZM of Bangalore				
12	riojou Cosi (KS. 11 Crores)					
12	Quantity excavated earth & its	SLNO. Description Quantity Unit				
1.2	management	A Earth Work 80.000 Cum				
		Excavation				

Ŧ

17

		·		Г	а	For	Backfilling	25.000	Cum	
				F		Tor	soil requirement	,		
						for	landscape			
					Ь	dev	velopment on	20,000	Cum	
						nat	ural earth and			
				L		poc	lium			
						Ear	th used for			
					С	fon	mation of internal	35,000	Cum	
						roa	ds			
	4	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	<u>a 150 00 0</u>			5.0		<b></b>		
╞	a.	Ground Coverage Area	3,153.03 8	q	<u>m (</u> 1	.30%	/0)			
╞	D.	Kharab Land	NA	_						
		Total Green belt on Mother	10,666.42	S	qm (:	58.18	3%)			
	с.	Farth	(on ground	1.	- 5,20	56.42	2 Sqmt, 28.72%+ on	podium -	-	
			5,400.0 Sq	Iu	n <b>t, 2</b> 9	.45%	á)			
	d.	Internal Roads	4,135.18 S	'n	mt (2	3.03	9%)			
	_e.	Paved area								
	_ <b>f</b> .	Others Specify	Road wide	n	ing a	rea i	s 1646.97 Sqmt		-	
		Parks and Open space in case	case -							
ľ	g.	of Residential Township/	esidential Township/							
		Area Development Projects								
	h.	Total	19,601.60 Sqmt							
1	5	WATER						<b>.</b>		
	I.	Construction Phase								
	a.	Source of water	BWSSB treated water/our own STP treated water							
	L	Quantity of water for	25 KLD							
	0.	Construction in KLD								
	_	Quantity of water for	5 KLD							
	c.	Domestic Purpose in KLD								
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	4 KLD							
		Treatment facility proposed	Mobile Se	Sewage Treatment Plant						
	e.	and scheme of disposal of			-					
		treated water								
	ÏI.	Operational Phase	I							
ŀ		Total Deminent of Weter	Fresh	_			180			
	a.	i vi p	Recycled				90			
		in KLD	Total 270							
	b.	. Source of water BWSSB					·, ····			
	c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	243							
	_	STP capacity and Area	STP capac	it	y	2	250KLD			
	a.	required	Area requi	ire	ed	2	250 Sqmt			
		Technology employed for	SBR Tech	n	ology			i <b></b> _i		
	e.	Treatment								
		Scheme of disposal of excess	Excess84	84 KLD will be used for Floor washing and given						
	f.	treated water if any to near		to nearby Construction Project						
	f.	treated water if any	to nearby	к С	onstru	uctio	e used for Floor was n Project	sning and	given	

fr.

Green /

<u>16</u>	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting			
a.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof	f 150m3 of colle	ect	ion sump is provided
	& Hardscape/soft scape run off	Area required for Rain water tank is 150Sqmt		
<u>b.</u>	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10 Nos.		
		We have provid	dec	1 150m3 of roof water collection
17	Storm water management plan	sump. The quan	ntit	y of storm water produced within
·	Storm water management plan	the site will be	di	rected to recharge pits of 10 Nos
		provided around	d tł	ne periphery of the site.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT			
I.	Construction Phase			
		Demolition Was	ste	, Construction Waste
		We are not usin	ıg a	any solid bricks in construction o
		Residential apa	ırtn	nent; In the site temporary she
		are there, we w	vill	dismantle the sheds, roof sheets
	Quantity of Construction &	windows and d	loo	rs; and we will use them for ou
a.	Demolition waster and its	security rooms.		
	management	The demolition	w	aste of the quantity around 2 to 2
		tons we be use	d	in our property only for interna
		road formation. We will not dispose any demolition		
		waste outside		
	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Quantity of	90	lid waste generation during
Ь	and mode of Disposal other than	construction of	JOL	then $C \otimes D = 0.5 ka/day$
0.		Mada of Dispos	nut nut	Civen to DDMD authorities
 	Corporational Phase	Mode of Dispos	sar	Given to BBWF authornies
	Operational Phase	Outerstitus		2601-24
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quantity	.f	360 kg/day
	generation and mode of Disposal	Dienoeal	מי	processed in organic wast
a.	as per norms	Disposa		converter
	(Capacity of OWC & Area	Capacity o	of	360 kg/day of capacity
	required)	facility		
		Area required		20 Sqmt
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	Quantity		538 kg/day
b.	waste generation and mode of	Mode o	of	Non- Biodegradable waste wil
	Disposal as per norms	Disposal		be given to authorized vendors
		Area required		20 Sqmt
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Quantity	_	150-180 lts
c.	generation and mode of Disposal	Mode C	of I	Will be given to PCB authorized
	as per norms			recycler
		Arca required		15 Symu 1 SOka/vear
	Quantity of E waste generation	Mode of	γf	Will be given to PCR authorized
d.	and mode of Disposal as per	Disposal	<b>J</b> 1	recycler
	norms	Area required		15 Sqmt
19	POWER	• <b>•</b> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	Total Power Requirement -	1596 KW		
1 0	1 · · ·			
[ a.				

Gardy

		Numbers of DG set and capacity	y   500 KVA X 2 No	DS.
	b.	in KVA for Standby Powe	ε	
		Supply		
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Low Sulphuric di	esel
•		Energy conservation plan and	d 21.0%	
		Percentage of savings including	g	
	a.	plan for utilization of solar energy	y	
		as per ECBC 2007		
	20	PARKING		
		Parking Requirement as per	470	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ય.	norms (ECS)		
		Level of Service (LOS) of the	Level of Service (L	OS) of the connecting Roads as per
	b.	connecting Roads as per the	the Traffic Study R	eport on SH-35 / NH-207 (2+2
		Traffic Study Report	lanes divided) towa	rds - Varthur is D - Sarjapura is E
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0	
			Infrastructure deve	lopment of nearby government
	41	CER Aduvides	school & hospital	
	22	EMP (Details and capital cost &	Construction phase	Rs. 45.0 lakhs
		recurring cost)	Operation phase	Rs. 178.0 lakhs

The proposal is for modification of residential apartment project, for which SEIAA had issued EC on 19.05.2023 for BUA 89,803.4 Sqm in plot area of 18,337.14 Sqm and it is presently proposed a decrease in BUA to 81,372.63 Sqm in plot area of 19,601.6 Sqm. Proponent had obtained plan approval from BDA on 10.10.2023 and CFE from KSPCB on 09.02.2023 and has submitted CCR from MoEF&CC dated 14.02.2024 informing that construction has just started.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding water body, drain as per village map and provisions made for rainwater harvesting in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the water boudy in South Eastern side, buffer of 30 mtr from edge has been proposed and the valley portion in North Western side is left as it is with no development proposed. For harvesting rainwater, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of capacities 150 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 10 recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 250 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

20

JAUSI

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.

- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 150 cum and 10 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 250 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.7 Modification and Expansion of Residential Apartment Project at Doddabidarukallu Village, Ward No.40, Yeshwanthpur Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Subram Builders ~ Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/466506/2024 (SEIAA 198 CON 2023)

Sl. No.		Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Subram Anyam Balaji (Subram Builders) No. 328/1, Subram Arcade, Flat No. 401, 5 <sup>th</sup> Main, Malleshpalya, CV Raman Nagar, Bangalore-75
2		Name & Location of the Project	Municipal Khatha No. 06/165, Doddabidarakallu Village, Ward No. 40, Yeshwanthapura Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk
3	}	Type of Development	
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Residential Apartment Project Category 8(a)
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	
	C.	Zoning Classification	
	1	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Doddabidrukallu – 86.6 M towards North East
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	12,240.77 Sqm
,	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	36,836.25 Sqm
1	8	FAR <ul> <li>Permissible</li> <li>Proposed</li> </ul>	1.75 1.75



9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	3 Towers with 2 Basements + Ground + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	175 Units
11	Height Clearance	14.95 M
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	100.33 Crores
13	Quantityexcavated earth&its management	<ol> <li>Backfilling in between foundation - 28475 cum</li> <li>Backfilling for low level area filling - 16000 cum</li> <li>Top soil to be used for landscaping - 14000 cum</li> <li>Total - 58.475 Cum</li> </ol>
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
<u>a</u> .	Ground Coverage Area	4,504.72 Sgm
b.	Kharab Land	
с.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	3,628.35 Sgm
<u>d.</u>	Internal Roads	3,960.95 Sqm
e.	Paved area	
<b>f</b> .	Others Specify	
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	
<b>h</b> .	Total	12,094.02 Sam
15	WATER	
_I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Source of water	Domestic Purpose: Tankers / BWSSB Construction Purpose: Treated water from STP
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	10 KLD
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	13.5 KLD
<u>d.</u>	Waste water generation in KLD	10.8 KLD
e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Generated sewage will be treated in DEWATS and the treated water will be used for dust suppression
П.	Operational Phase	
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Fresh Water     79 KLD       Recycled Water     39 KLD       Total     Water       118 KLD       Requirement
b.	Source of water	BWSSB
с.	Wastewater generation in KLD	95 KLD
_ d.	STP capacity and Area required	100 KLD
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequencing Batch Reactor
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Flushing, Gardening, Driveway & Pathway

fr.

. Bard ົ

	treated water if any	maintenance
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting
a.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/soft scaperun off	175 Cum
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	7 Nos.
17	Storm water management plan	Rooftop water will be directed to common Rain Water collection Tank of capacity 175 Curn. Surface run off will be directed to a storage tank of capacity 25 curn
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
<u> </u>	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Construction &Demolition waster and its management.	Demolition Waste: NA as there is no existing building Construction Waste: 2210.18 T - Soil Sand and gravel – 795.55 T - Bricks & Masonry – 685.15 - Concrete – 508.34 - Metals – 110.51 - Bitumen – 44.20 - Wood – 44.20 - Others – 22.10
b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	None
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity:262.5 Kgs / day Mode of Disposal:Organic Waste Convertor Capacity of facility:250 Kgs / day Area required:50 Sqm
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 175 Kgs / day Mode of Disposal: Recyclers Capacity of facility: Area required:
с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 0.5 KL per annum of used oil and 8 Nos. of Filters Mode of Disposal:KSPCB Authorized Recycler Area required:15 Sqm
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: NA Mode of Disposal: Area required:
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	525 KVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	500 KVA x 2 Nos.
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
d. 1	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy	4.77%
	Au.	23 Jacob L

gu.

	as per ECBC 2007			
20	PARKING			
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	252 E	CS	
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	"B" Very Good		
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8 M		
21	CER Activities	2	Activities Health care, Janapriya Health Centre, development facilities such as beds, wheel chairs, stretchers, sanitizers, gloves, masks, etc., Govt. school, Shree Vijaya Public School, Led lights/Solar Panel installation & RO drinking water facility, landscape development and rain water harvesting	
22	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	•	Construction phase: 16.75 Lakhs Operation phase: 183.5 Lakhs	

The proposal is for expansion of residential apartment project. Proponent informed that the proposal is for expansion in BUA from 19,292.30 Sqm to 36,836.25 Sqm in plot area of 12,241.74 Sqm. For existing construction, they had obtained plan approval from BBMP on 03.06.2016 for BUA of 19,292.3 Sqm and CFE from KSPCB on 17.07.2021. Further, the Proponent informed the Committee that the generated construction waste has been stored in site area and would be handled as per C&D Rules 2016 and is presently stored inside the site for internal use. The Proponent informed the Committee that presently they have constructed BUA of 6,766.07 Sqm as per the approved plan and has justified the constructed BUA with a recent architect certificate.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding water body, drain as per village map and provisions made for rainwater harvesting in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that for the water body in the North Eastern side, buffer of 30 mtr has been proposed and informed that there is no drain in western side and accordingly had obtained plan sanction from BBMP. For harvesting rainwater, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of 175 cum & 25 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas along with 7 recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 155 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 175 cum & 25 cum and 7 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 155 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.1.8 Residential Development Project at Industrial Suburb, 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage Yeshwanthpura, Bangalore – Tumkur Road, Bangalore by M/s. Godrej Properties Limited – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/480246/2024 (SEIAA 66 CON 2024)

<b>SI.</b> 1	No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent		
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. S. SeshagiriBabu Godrej Properties Ltd, Next to Museum, Bengaluru 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor, PID No.72-121-3/33, Prestige Obelisk, Kasturba Road, (Bangalore) Urban, Karnataka, 560001		
2		Name & Location of the Project	Residential Development Project by M/s.Godrej Properties Ltd. located at Plot Nos.4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 12 Industrial Suburb, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Stage Yeshwanthpura, Bangalore – Tumkur Road, Bangalore – 560022.		
3	3	Type of Development			
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	"Proposed Construction of Residential Development" Category 8(a)		
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Residential Development		
	c.	Zoning Classification	As per CDP map, the proposed area is categorised under Mutation Corridor and hence Residential development is allowed		
	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New		
5		Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	<ul> <li>Mathikere Lake-1.34 Km, NE</li> <li>Sankey Tank Lake-4.38 Km, E</li> <li>Ulsoor Lake-9.97 Km, E</li> </ul>		
(	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	19,965 Sg. m		
	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,07,357.84 Sq. m		

		FAR		25			
	8	Permissible		3.5			
		Proposed		5.49			
		Building Configuration Number of	3 1	Blocks	of 3B+GF+30UF	+Club house	
	0	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	building				
	9	Numbers of Basements and Upper		-			
		Floors]					
		Number of units/plots in case of	BUA - 1,07,357.84 Sq. m Number of Units – 344 Nos				
	10	Construction/Residential Township					
		/Area Development Projects					
			Airport Authority of India NOC has been				
	11	Height Classence	obtained on 29.02.2024 and permissible				
	11		Elev	ation in	1076.29 mtrs Abo	ve Mean Sea	
			Leve	1			
	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. I	00 Crore	\$		
			The	quantity	of excavated eart	h material as	
			estin	nated ar	ound 2,07,575.37	Cum will be	
Ì			reuse	d for ba	ck filling for three b	asement / sub	
			base	work fo	or roads & pavemei	nts within the	
			proje	project site.			
		Our set it and a set a set	SL			Quantity	
	13	management	No	No Preservation in the second se	(Cum)		
		management		The t	otal estimated earth	2, 07,	
				_	work quantity	575.37	
					Back filling	93408.92	
			2	Ro	ads & walkway	62272.611	
			3		Site formation	20757.53	
			4		Landscape	31136.30	
	14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)				•	
	<u>a.</u>	Ground Coverage Area	3,517	Sq.m		·	
	b.	Kharab Land	-NA-	_			
	с.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	6,590	Sq.m			
i	<u>d.</u>	Internal Roads	9858	Sam			
	<u>e.</u>	Paved area					
	<u>⊢</u> 1.	Uners Specify	<u>-NA-</u>				
	_	Parks and Open space in case of					
	8.	Nesidenniai I Ownship/ Area	ι -NA-				
		Total	1004	100/20			
	15	WATER	1990;	<u>5 əq.m</u>			
	Ĩ.	Construction Phase			· · ·		
	a.	Source of water	Sourc	e BWS	SB Treated water fro	m Tankers	
	,	Quantity of water for Construction in	ooure	<u></u>	D Treated water int		
	D.	KLD	10 KI	D			
		Quantity of water for Domestic	9 KL	D	·····		
	U.	Purpose in KLD	Sourc	e: BWSS	SB		
	<u>d.</u>	Waste water generation in KLD	7.651	KLD			
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and	Mohi	e STP o	f 10 KI D		
		scheme of disposal of treated water					
	<u>II.</u>	Operational Phase		·			
		26					

f.

flact

26

				Total	water	
		SI.		requir	rement	Total
		No		Fluchi	Domest	(A+R)
		110.				(ק, ש
		1	Water	124	211	335
			Demand			
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD		Water			
		2	Demand for	3	4	7
			club house			
		3	Total Water	127	215	342
			requirement	127		
		4	Total wate	r genera	ation	273
			STP C	Capacity	, ,	325 KLD
<u>b.</u>	Source of water	BWS	SB			
<u>c.</u>	Wastewater generation in KLD	273 K	<u>KLD</u>			
d.	STP capacity and Area required	STP (	Capacity – 325 I	KLD		
		Area	<u> 383 Sq.m</u>			
е.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR	Technology		17 1	1
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	No ex	cess treated wa	ter will t	be dischar	rged
16	Water II any	outsic	16			
10	Infrastructure for Kain water narvesting	1000	NVI /A / 19/our			
a.	Hardscape/soft scape run off	10000	J KL/A / 104Cu	111		
	No's of Ground water recharge nits	OA NI	umber of Peche	rao Dite		
U.	No s of Cround water recharge pits	The c	storm water of	allection	evetem	will be
17	Storm water management plan	design from ( is use 04 N	ned in such a m garden, parking d for recharging os. of Rain w	anner so area, ro g of grou vater ha	that sto adways a und wate rvesting d in Proje	rm water nd lawns r through pits and
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	lucian	cu calculation is	provide		
	Construction Phase					
	Quantity of Construction & Demolition	Demo	lition Waste 12	54.37 M	Т	
a.	waster and its management.	Cons	truction Waste:	622.11	MT	
		The g	generated solid	waste of	30 Kgs /	day from
b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and	labou	rs will be ha	inded o	ver to i	nunicipal
	mode of Disposal other than C&D.	autho	rities after segre	egation.		
II.	Operational Phase					
	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quan	tity:0.418 MT/d	lay		
	generation and mode of Disposal as	Mode	e of Disposal:	Freated	in organ	nic waste
a.	per norms	conve	erter			
	(Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Capa	city of facility:5	00Kg/da	1	
<b></b>	(contraction of the second sec	Area	required:70 Sq.	m	· · ·	
	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste		nty:0.28 MT/da	ly Tom dand	++	
b.	generation and mode of Disposal as		COLUSPOSAL:	Handed	over to	approved
	per norms	KSPCB authorized agency.				
<b> </b>		Quan	tity 0 75KT /A	E1.		
	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Mode	ary.v./JRL/A e of Dienocal: S	tored at	an identit	fied place
с.	generation and mode of Disposal as	insl	eak proof conta	iners &	will he di	sposed to
	per norms	Treat	ment, Storage	and	Disposal	(TSD)
			, +····B			
	Reen .			lun	NO1	

Gun.

hard

		Eacilities
		A see required 10 Se m
	• <u> ·</u>	Area required: 10 Sq.m
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity:0.24TPA Mode of Disposal:Will be stored in separate room and handed over to KSPCB Authorized re-processors/recyclers. Area required:15 Sq.m
19	POWER	
a.	Total Power Requirement -Operational Phase	4237 KVA Source: Transformer of capacity 8 X 500 KVA will be met from Bangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited.
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	4 Nos x 1010 KVA + 1No x 250 KVA DG sets
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD for DG sets with low sulphur content 0.05%.
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total Energy savings from implementation of solar appliances will be 14.59%
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	838 Nos
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	<ul> <li>The present level of service of Tumkur Road and LoS is D</li> <li>The present level of service of 2nd Main Road and LoS is B</li> </ul>
с.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8 m
21	CER Activities	• Funds to Kali Tiger Foundation Karnataka Forest Department
22	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	<ul> <li>Construction phase: 19 Lakhs (Capital)</li> <li>Operation phase: 71 Lakhs (Capital)&amp; 22 Lakhs (Recurring)</li> </ul>

The Committee initially sought clarification regarding the complaint received from M/s. Rock Crystals regarding C&D waste management dated 09.07.2024, submitted to SEIAA by Proponent. The Committee had served a copy of complaint to Proponent. The Proponent in reply to the complaint submitted an undertaking informing that they have MoU with M/s. Beehive Brands Private Limited under Bengaluru Solid Waste Management Limited and the total estimated construction debris of 1,622.11 MT throughout the construction phase and demolition waste of existing building was 1,254.37 MT and the complete demolition waste has been handed over to Chikkjala BBMP approved C&D waste management unit and submitted the manifests and MoU of the same. Further it was informed that due to typographical error they had combined it with the estimated construction debris of 1,622.11 MT and had mentioned as 2,876.48 MT of demolition waste handed over to Chikjala BBMPC&D waste management unit instead of 1,254.37 MT and informed the Committee that there is no violation in handling of waste as per C&D Waste Management Rules 2016. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for construction of a residential apartment project in an area earmarked for industial use as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that residential use is permitted in mutation corridor as per zonal regulations.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding rainwater harvesting proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rainwater, they have proposed storage tank of 184 cum for runoff from rooftop and 4 recharge pits within the site area for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 250 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 184 cum and 4 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 250 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 7. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve of water.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.9 Residential Apartment with Club House and Retail Shop Project at Avalahalli Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. Concorde Housing Corporation Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/480907/2024 (SEIAA 71 CON 2024)

Sl. No			Particu	lars			Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name Propone	& nt	Address	of	the	Project	Mr. R. G. Anil, Director 40/1, Concorde, Vittal Mallya Road, Shantala Nagar, Bangalore, Karnataka 560001

(JALON'

2	Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartmen Retail Shop at Sy.N Village, Bidarahalli H Bangalore District,	nt with Club Nos.1 & 2 o obli, Bangalore	House and f Avalahalli e East Taluk,
3	Type of Development			
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses/Vertical Development / Office /IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	"Construction of Re Club House and Ret: Category 8(a)	sidential Apar ail Shop"	rtment with
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not Applicable		
<b>c</b> .	Zoning classification	Proposed project site zone as per Bangalore of 3.12 Avalahalli a obtained for residentia	comes under Revised Master and conversion l purpose on 2	Commercial er Plan 2015 n has been 1.09.2023.
4	New/Expansion/Modification/Renewal	New		
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Not applicable		
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	8,760.68 Sqm (2A 6.6	2G)	
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	43,803.017 Sqm		
8	FAR	3.25		
Ì	Permissible	3.25		
	Proposed      Duilding Conference Directory			
3	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Retail Building: 2B+G	2B+GF+38UF 3F+2UF – 13.0	– 126.45 m 5 m
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	148 No's		
11	Height Clearance	<ul> <li>Project site elev</li> <li>Building Heigh</li> <li>Maximum build</li> <li>CCZM Height: Top elev</li> </ul>	vation – 878.95 at – 126.45 m ding height: 1,6 vation 1035 m	005.4 m AMSL or
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	108.7Crores.		<b>_</b>
13	Quantity excavated earth & its management	Quantity of excavated is shown below:	earth and its r	nanagement
		Description	Quantity in m <sup>3</sup>	% usage
		Total Excavated earth	30,324	100
		Management		
		Backfilling in foundation	6,975	23
		For landscaping	8,491	28
		Roads & walkways	11,523	38
		Site formation	3,335	11
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)			╘╼┄╾┈╶╸┖



Gady

- · ·					
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	1,852.90 Sq	<u>m</u>	
ļ	b.	Kharab Land	•	·	
	с.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for	1,847.46 Sq	m	
		projects under 8(a) of the schedules			
		of the EIA notification, 2006			
ĺ	<u>d</u> .	Internal roads	4.508.32 Sa	m	
	e.	Paved area			
	<u>f.</u>	Others Specify	Road widen	ing area –552.0 Sqm	
	g.	Parks and Open space in case of	-		
		Residential Township/ Area			
	1	Development Projects	9 760 698-		
	<u>n.</u>		8,700.0854		
	15	WATEK			
	1.	Construction Phase	CTD traate	water for construction purpose &	
	а.	Source of water	Tonker wet	a for domestic nurnose	
	h	Quantity of water for Construction in	10 KID	er tor domesticpurpose.	
	0.	KID	IV KLU		
		Quantity of water for Domestic	SKLD		
	υ.	Purpose in KID			
	4	Wastewater generation in KLD	4 KLD		
	<u>и</u> . Р	Treatment facility proposed and	d Will be treated in Mobile STP		
	Ŭ.	scheme of disposal of treated water			
	Π	Operational Phase		· _ ·	
	<u>л.</u> я	Total Requirement of Water in KLD		93 KLD (Residential: 90 KLD,	
			Fresh	Retail: 3 KLD)	
			<u> </u>	49.5 KLD (Residential: 45 KLD,	
			Recycled	Retail: 4.5 KLD)	
			Total	142.5 KLD (Residential: 135 KLD,	
			Total	Retail: 7.5 KLD)	
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB		
	C.	Wastewater generation in KLD	135 KLD (	Residential: 128 KLD, Retail: 7 KLD)	
	<b>d</b> .	STP capacity	130 KLD (1	Residential) and 10 KLD (Retail)	
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	Sequencing	Batch Reactor (SBR) Technology	
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	Available to	reated water - 128 KLD (95% of	
		water if any	wastewater	)	
			For flushin	g – 49.5 KLD	
			For Landsc	ape – 11 KLD	
			For car was	sning- 10 KLD	
	1		For other c	onstruction purpose/avenue plantation	
⊢		Information for Defension to the set	<u>  37.3 KLL</u>	,	
⊢	10	Intrastructure for Kainwater narvesting	1 x 120 C-		
	a.	capacity of sump tank to store Roof	2 X 150 CU	111	
		Nos of Cound water reshares nits	6No's		
-	17	Storm water management plan	al and in	cently cloning terrain and cloning	
1	17	Storm water management plan	towarde N	South waste direction	
1			Senarate	and independent rainwater drainage	
			system w	ill be provided for collecting rainwater	
			from terrs	ace and paved area. lawn & roads.	
$\vdash$	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		Lite pur de along intra de Louise	
L		3	1	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

A.

Carthe

	I.	Construction Phase				
	a.	Quantity of Construction &	• Cor	struction V	Vaste: Con	struction debris &
		Demolition waster and its	was	te at constr	uction site	will not cause any
		management	hea	lth hazard. (	Construction	n waste is generally
			bul	ky and hear	vy and mo	ostly unsuitable for
			disj	in by in	cineration	or composting and
			hen	ce reused a	s filling m	aterials for internal
			roa	as and paven	nents.	· . · · · ·
				nontion was	ie: I here is	an existing building
			site	n ount-up an and it w	ill be clev	ared by taking all
			nec	essarv preca	utionary me	easures so as not to
			cau	se any harn	n to nearb	v environment and
			hab	itat.		•
			The a	pproximate	quality of d	emolition waste and
			its ma	magement is	shown belo	w,
			SI.No	Materials	Quantity	Management
			1.	Bricks	150 Sqm	Used for
						foundation
			2.	Concrete	13 Cum	Reused for road
				debris		formation
			3.	Roof tiles	5 000 Sam	Recycled and sold
						Recycled and solu
			4.	Steel	1,023 Kg	Sold
	D.	Quantity of Solid waste generation	Quanti	ity – 10 kg/da	ay	
		and mode of Disposal other than	5011 <b>0</b> 1	vaste will be	generated a	ind collected
			nroces	ny ang nang sing		ical body for further
[	П.	Operational Phase		<u> </u>		
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quant	ity: 286 kg/d	ay	
		generation and mode of Disposal as	Mode	of disposal:	Organic wa	ste converter
		per norms	Capac	ity of facility	/: 300 kg/da	y
-	<b>L</b>	Ourselfer of New Distance	Area I	equired: 45 S	<u>Sqm</u>	
	υ.	Waste generation and made of	Quant Mode	ity – 430kg/c	Jay Roomalati	weate will be at
		Disposal as per norms	to the	waste colle	ctors for #	waste will be given
		······································	proces	sing.		by ching for further
			Area 1	equired:50 S	qm	
ĺ	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Quant	ity:0.45 TPA		
ł		generation and mode of Disposal as	Mode	of Disposal:	Authorized	waste oil recyclers
┝		per norms	Area r	equired: 20 S	<u>Sqm</u>	
	u.	mode of Disposal as ner normal	Quant Mode	ity: 5.84 TPA	1 Author!	Desculars
			Area r	equired:30 S	am	Recyclers
_1	9	POWER	1	-1	<u></u>	
	<b>a</b> .	Total Power Requirement -	BESC	OM - 1,000	kVA	
	ĻЦ	Operational Phase	_			
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	1 x 62	5 kVA and 1	x 160 kVA	
		KVA for Standby Power Supply				

	<b>c</b> .	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Diesel
	đ.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per	Energy conservation devices such as Solar energy, Copper wound transformer are proposed in the project -17 %.
		PARKING	
	, a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	305 no's
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Towards Bengaluru Towards Hoskote
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 m
2	1	CER Activities	<ul> <li>Providing the following necessary requirements to the Chinnagenahalli Govt Primary School, Bidare Agrahara, Doddabanahalli, Bengaluru – 974 m (SE).</li> </ul>
		EMB (Details and conital cost %	<ul> <li>Location: (Contact person: Umesh - 9802275906) <ol> <li>Provision of smart class</li> <li>Drinking water facility</li> <li>Rainwater harvesting system</li> <li>Gents and Ladies Toilets</li> <li>Printer and Cycle stands</li> <li>Tables and Desks -25</li> <li>Study materials and equipments for Library</li> <li>Carpet for auditorium., etc</li> </ol> </li> <li>Providing RO unit, Construction of compound wall &amp; children park for Anganwadi Kendra, Avalahalli, Bengaluru – 87 m (SW). (Contact person: Shakeen - 9972256234</li> </ul>
2	2	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	<ul> <li>Construction phase - 23.5 Lakhs Capital cost: 21 Lakhs Recurring cost: 2.5 Lakhs</li> <li>Operational Phase - 172.75 lakhs Capital cost: 159.15 Lakhs Recurring cost: 13.6 Lakhs</li> </ul>

The proposal is for construction of a residential apartment project in an area earmarked for industial use as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority, for which the Proponent informed the Committee that residential use is permitted in area demarcated for industrial as per zonal regulations.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding rainwater harvesting proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that for harvesting rainwater, they have proposed storage tank of capacities 2x130cum for runoff from rooftop and 6 recharge pits within the site area for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

(AR)

The Proponent agreed to grow 340 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the wastewater to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 2x130cum and 6 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 340 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 7. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.
- 12. To take additional precautionary measures in construction & operation phases towards Yelemallappashetty lake.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.1.10 Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Palathi Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (8-30 Acres) (3.411 Hectares) by Sri Durgadevi Minerals – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/480704/2024 (SEIAA 89 MIN 2024)

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PROPONENT
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri Durgadevi Minerals
	Proponent	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Sy.Nos.29/1,
		29/2 & 30/2 of Palathi Village, Ilkal Taluk,
		Bagalkot District (8-30 Acres) (3.411 Hectares)
		N 16° 05' 49.85460" E 76° 11' 28.15310"
		N 16° 05' 47.95460" E 76° 11' 30.05280"
		N 16" 05' 46.95036" E 76" 11' 32.74145"
		N 16° 05' 46.83641" E 76° 11' 30.14064"
		N 16° 05' 43.43414" E 76° 11' 24.55656"
		N 16" 05' 42.22175" E 76" 11' 23.31529"
		N 16° 05'46.35517" E 76° 11' 20.75072"
		N 16 05' 47.94527" E 76 11' 22.61522"
		N 16° 05' 48.43968" E 76° 11' 23.71192"
3	Type Of Mineral	Ordinary Sand Quarry

(Jacol)

34

4	New/Ex	pansion/Modificati	on/Renewal	New
5	Туре о	f Land [Forest,	Government	Patta
	Revenu	e, Gomal, Private/P	atta, Other]	
6	Area in Acres			8-30 Acres (3.411 Hectares)
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum)			50,000 tons/annum(including waste)
1	Per Anr	num		
8	Project	Cost (Rs. In Crores	)	Rs. 1.67 Crores (Rs. 167 Lakhs)
9	Proved	Quantity of mi	ne/ Quarry-	1,50,000 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m /	Ton		
10	Permitte	ed Quantity Per Ar	nnum - Cu.m	50,000 tons/annum (including waste)
	/ Ton			
11	CER A	ctivities:		
	Year	Corporate Environme	ntal Responsibilit	7((03))
	Year 15t	Corporate Environme Providing solar power	ntal Responsibilit panels to commo	y (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village.
	Year tst 2nd	Corporate Environma Providing solar power Rain water harvesting	panels to commo pits to the GHPS	y (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village.
	Year tst 2nd 3rd	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propo	ntal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r	y (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village. Inrsery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach
-	Year 1st 2nd 3rd	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propo- road	ntal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r	y (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village. Inursery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach
	Year 1st 2nd 3rd 4th	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elt	ntal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r her side of the ap	y (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village. Inursery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages
	Year 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propo- road Avenue plantation elt Health camp to the G	ntal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute a her side of the ap 1PS school at Pala	y (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village. nursery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages thi Village
12	Year 1st 2nd 9rd 4th 5th	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elti Health camp to the Gi Budget	ntal Responsibility panels to common pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r her side of the ap HPS school at Pala Rs, 17.18 Lakh	ry (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. Increasely plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages with Village s (Capital Cost) & Rs,11.42 Jakhs (Recurring cost)
12 13	Year st and grd 4th sth EMP E Forest	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elth Health camp to the Ga Budget	ntal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r her side of the ap HPS school at Paia Rs. 17. 18 Lakh 08.09.2023	r (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village. Inursery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages othi Village s (Capital Cost) & Rs.11.42 lakhs (Recurring cost)
12 13 14	Year 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th EMP E Forest Cluster	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elt Health camp to the Gi Budget NOC r certificate	ntal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute of her side of the app HPS school at Pais Rs, 17.18 Lakh 08.09.2023 29.05.2024	ry (CER) an public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. school at Palathi Village. nursery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages with Village s (Capital Cost) & Rs,11.42 lakhs (Recurring cost)
12 13 14 15	Year 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th EMP E Forest Cluster Reven	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elit Health camp to the GA Budget NOC r certificate ue NOC	ntal Responsibility panels to common pits to the GHPS see to distribute a her side of the ap HPS school at Pala Rs. 17.18 Lakh 08.09.2023 29.05.2024 06.09.2023	ry (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. Increased at Palathi Village. Increased plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages with Village s (Capital Cost) & Rs.11.42 lakhs (Recurring cost)
12 13 14 15 16	Year 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th EMP E Forest Cluster Reveni DTF	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elti Health camp to the Ga Budget NOC r certificate ue NOC	ncal Responsibility panels to commo pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r her side of the ap HPS school at Pais Rs. 17.18 Lakh 08.09.2023 29.05.2024 06.09.2023 09.02.2024	ry (CER) Im public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. Increasery plants at Palathi Village. Increasery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages with Village (Capital Cost) & Rs.11.42 lakhs (Recurring cost)
12 13 14 15 16 17	Year 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th EMP E Forest Cluster Reveni DTF Approv	Corporate Environme Providing solar power Rain water harvesting The proponent propor road Avenue plantation elt Health camp to the Gi Budget NOC r certificate ue NOC	ncal Responsibility panels to common pits to the GHPS ses to distribute r her side of the ap HPS school at Paiz Rs, 17.18 Lakh 08.09.2023 29.05.2024 06.09.2023 09.02.2024 28.05.2024	ry (CER) In public places to the GHPS school at Palathi Village. Increasery plants at Palathi Village & Strengthening of approach proach road near Quarry site & Repair of road With drainages with Village Is (Capital Cost) & Rs,11.42 lakhs (Recurring cost)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 8-30 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent informed that as per DMG letter dated 11.06.2024, there is no river sand mining in a radius of 5 km from the proposed site area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 90 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,50,000 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 3 years.

Varil

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 50,000 tons/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 5. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 6. To use top soil for back filling for mine closure.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

 314.1.11 Building Stone Quarry project at Madahalli Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (2-17 Acres) by Sri Ameenuddin S H N - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/481533/2024 (SEIAA 85 MIN 2024) About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Ameenuddin S H N	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry project at Sy.Nos.371/2 & 386/2 of Madahalli Village, Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagar District (2-17 Acres)	
		N ti* 48'27.7262"	E 76"18' 52,3435"
		N 11 48'26.26.9174"	E 76*38' 55-9743"
		N tt* 48' 25.4861"	E 76"38' 55.8001"
		N 11" 48' 24.4465"	E 76"38' 55 5302"
		N 11" 48' 24.8854"	E 76°38' 52.7244"
		N 11" 48' 25.1902"	E 76"38' 50.6453"
		N 11 <sup>4</sup> 48' 26.1333"	E 76°38' 50.8495"
		N tt" 48" 25.9632"	E 76°38'52.1364"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta	
6	Area in Acres	2-17 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	42,105 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1.27 Crores (Rs. 127 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	11,45,516 Tones (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	40,000 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)	

36
11	CER Activities:	
	Year Cor	porate Environmental Responsibility (CER)
	1st Pro	viding solar power panels to GHPS at Madahalli village
	2nd Rai	n water harvesting pits to the GHPS in Madahalli village.
	3rd Cor	nducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Madahalli village
	4th Scie	entific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of p and fodder
	5th Hea	alth camp in the GHPS in Madahalli village.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 31.42 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.83 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	17.10.2023
14	Quarry plan	13.03.2024
15	Cluster certificate	13.05.2024
16	Notification	01.03.2024
17	Revenue	22.09.2023

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent and informed that project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are three leases in a radius of 500 mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 8-16 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 425 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 11,45,516 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 28 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 42,105 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
  - Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Lach

## 314.1.12 Building Stone Quarry Project at Vajara Bandi village in Yelburga Taluk, Koppala District (9-25 Acres) by Sri Arvind S Patil – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/468247/2024 (SEIAA 88 MIN 2024)

About the project:

SI.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROV	IDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		Sri Arvind S Patil	· - ·
2	Name & Location of t	he Project	Building Stone Quarry I	Project at Sy.No.44 of
			Vajara Bandi village in Y	elburga Taluk, Koppala
			District (9-25 Acres)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
			15° 40' 21.01224" N	76° 06' 46 98469"E
			15° 40' 20.31546" N	76° 06' 55,40586*E
			15° 40' 18.39338" N	76° 06' 56.18551"E
			15° 40' 13.19670" N	76° 06' 53.80781"E
			15° 40' 12.79778" N	76° 06' 50.31618°E
1			15° 40' 17.98201" N	76° 06' 51.19408"E
			15° 40' 18.20322" N	76° 06' 47,38156"E
			15° 40° 20.61534* N	76° 06' 47.28470"E
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modi	fication/ Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government		Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres		9-25 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		1,53,492 Tones/ Annum (	including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 1.74 Crores (Rs.1.741	Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		26,74,834.93 Tones (inclu	iding waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Pe / Ton	er Annum - Cu.m	1,50,423 Tones / Annum	(excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: 1. Ra	ainwater harvesting	g pits of High School at V	Vairabandi Village and
	Kalakbandi will be ca	rried out. 2. Provis	sion of Solar Power Panels	in Government higher
	primay school at Vaj	rabandi of (Kalakl	oandi, Ninganabandi, Kons	sagar and mandalmari)
	Villages.			
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 32.7 lakhs (Ca	apital Cost) & Rs. 18.6 laki	is (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	21.09.2020		
14	Quarry plan	21.12.2023	<b>-</b>	
15	Cluster certificate	04.01.2024	•• ,	
16	Revenue	24.09.2020	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17	DTF	25.08.2022	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is a Government land and local villagers have used for bonfied purpose and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

Tard

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and is exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the area of the applied lease is 9-25 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1,000 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 26,74,834.93 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 18 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,53,492 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

## 314.1.13 Building Stone Quarry Project at Belluru village in Kolar Taluk & District (0-24 Acres) (Q.L.No.365) by Sri B. M. Rajanna – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/478572/2024 (SEIAA 87 MIN 2024)

SLN0	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects	Sri B. M. Rajanna	
	Proponent		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.20 of	
		Belluru village in Kolar Taluk & District (0-24	
		Acres)	
		N 13" 57 57.6562" E 77" 58' 51.8214"	
		N 13' 57' 58.8557" E 77' 58' 48.0783"	
		N 13' 57' 59.4877" E 77' 58' 48.3888"	
		N 13' 57' 58.3445" E 77' 58' 51.8579"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal	Renewal	

paron.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
5	Type of Land [For	est, Government	Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres		0-24 Acres	
7	Annual Production (M	fetric Ton / Cum)	4,734 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
	Per Annum	-		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.12 Crores (Rs.12 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	48,665Tones (including waste)	
	Cu.m / Ton	•		
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	er Annum - Cu.m	4,261 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)	
	/ Ton			
11	CER Activities: Prop	ose take up 100 N	o. of additional plantation on either side of the	
	approach road from qu	oad from quarry location to Belluru Village Road.		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 6.40 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 2.00 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	29.01.2024	<u> </u>	
14	Quarry plan	29.04.2024 (Manual)		
15	Cluster certificate	22.04.2024		
16	Audit Report	02.04.2024		

The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is for renewal of a lease which was granted earlier on 23.07.2002 with QL No. 365 which has been non-operational since 2007-08 till date and justified the same as per the audit report issued by DMG dated 02.04.2024.

For the existing leases, based on the applicability of cut off dates as per clause 3 of 233<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 18.04.2023, Proponent informed that they had not carried out any mining activity after 2007-08 till date and no environmental damage has been caused and requested the Committee not to consider the proposal under violation category.

The Committee after discussion, decided to consider the proposal based on the DMG audit report, informing that no mining activity had been carried out since 2007-08 till date, implying that there was no environmental damage/pollution and opined that as an environmental Committee, violation should be ascertained based on the damage caused to the environment and not on the procedural lapses and decided to request SEIAA to consider the deliberations of the Committee in this proposal, while handling violation cases in respect of existing lease as there is no requirement for Damage Assessment, Remedial Plan and Community Augumentation Plan.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 560 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 48,665 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 11 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 4,734 Tons / Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.14 Laterite Stone Quarry Project at Puttige Village, Mudabidri Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District (5.00 Acres) by Sri Prakash Sapaliga – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/451466/2023 (SEIAA 86 MIN 2024)

SI.No	PARTICULARS		<b>INFORMATION PRO</b>	VIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address	of the Projects	Sri Prakash Sapaliga	
ļ	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of the Project		Laterite Stone Quarry Pro	oject at Sy.Nos.579/2 &
1			607/2 of Puttige Village,	Mudabidri Taluk,
			Dakshina Kannada Distri	ct (5.00 Acres)
			N13° 05' 57,8999"	F74° 57' 31.1001*
			N13" 05 51,4741"	E74° 57 33.3219*
			N13" 05' 52.0770"	E74° 57° 32,7059°
			N13° 05' 51.9479"	E74* 57" 30.9420"
			N13° 05' 54,1032"	E74* 57 30.5829*
			N13° 05' 52.9921"	874° 57' 25.7192"
			N13° 05' 54.6600"	E74° 57' 25.4521."
3	Type Of Mineral		Laterite Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modit	fication/ Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government		Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Priv	ate / Patta, Other]		
6	Area in Acres		5-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		3,37,500 Tones/ Annum	(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Co	ores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs.40 L	akhs)
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	22,83,854Tones (includir	ig waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum - Cu.m	2,70,000 Tones / Annum	(excluding waste)
	/ Ton			
11	CER Activities: Prop	ose take up 500 h	No. of additional plantation	on on either side of the
	approach road from qu	arry location to Pu	ttige Village Road.	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 14.85 lakhs (	Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.57 la	khs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NUC	03.06.2023		
14	Quarty plan	04.11.2023		
15	Cluster certificate	04.11.2023		
16	Notification	31.10.2023		
17	Revenue	02.12.2021		
18	DTF	04.10.2023		

Vard

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent and the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is one lease in a radius of 500 mtrs from the applied lease, and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 9-89 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRCnorms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 22,83,854 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,37,500 Tones/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per standard norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.1.15 Building Stone Quarry project at Halasagara Village, Kudligi Taluk, Vijayanagara District (10-00 Acres) by Sri Sudakara B – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/478521/2024 (SEIAA 524 MIN 2022)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Sudakara B
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry project at Sy.No.86 of Halasagara Village, Kudligi Taluk, Vijayanagara District (10-00 Acres)

( scort

	1			
			N 14°49′51.83″	E 76*43*23.12"
			N 14*49'50.01"	E 76*43'30.82"
			N 14°89'44.80"	E 76*43'32.65"
			N 14*49'46.37"	E 76'13'23.24"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modif	ication/Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Fore	st, Government	Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Priva	tte/Patta, Other]		
6	Area in Acres		10-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production	(Metric Ton /	3,33,547 Tones/ Annum (including waste)	
	Cum) Per Annum			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 0.80 Crores (Rs. 80 L	akhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-		51,09,165 Tones (includin	ng waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			·
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum - Cu.m	3,00,192 Tones / Annum	(excluding waste)
	/ Ton		<u> </u>	
11	CER Activities:Prop	ose take up 1000	No. of additional plantation	ion on either side of the
L	approach road from qu	arry location to H	Ialasagara Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 26.50 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.06	Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	19.08.2021		
14	Quarry plan	Quarry plan 03.11.2022		
15	Cluster certificate	01.07.2024		
16	Revenue NOC	08.08.2020		
17	Notification	09.11.2022		
18	DTF	30.05.2022		
19	PCCF distance letter	02.02.2024		

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is a Government land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and informed that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are three leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and all the three leases are only notified and the area of the applied lease is 10-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 430meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 51,09,165 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 16 years.

JAWN

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 3,33,547 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.16 Building Stone (M-Sand) Quarry Project at Makarahalli Village, Malur Taluk, Kolar District (5-00 Acres) by M/s. Y. S. R. Enterprises Prop: Sri. R. Sathish – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/481054/2024 (SEIAA 93 MIN 2024)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROV	TDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects		M/s. Y. S. R. Enterprises F	rop: Sri. R. Sathish
L	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of	the Project	Building Stone (M-Sand)	Quarry Project at
			Sy.No.185 of Makarahalli	Village, Malur Taluk,
			Kolar District (5-00 Acres)	)
			N 12* 58' 54.02*	E 78* 06' 10.60"
			N 12* 58' 46.76*	E 78* 06' 09.62"
			N 12° 58' 47.33"	E 78° 06' 05.70"
			N 12* 58' 48.21*	E 78° 06' 05.76"
			N 12° 58' 48.36"	E 78° 06' 07.75"
			N 12° 58′ 52,95″	E 78° 06' 07.92"
1			N 12º 58' 52.05"	E 78° 06' 05.11"
			N 12* 58' 53.38"	E 78* 06' 06.16"
			N 12° 58' 54.44"	E 78° 06' 07.74"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modin	fication/ Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [For	est, Government	Gomal	
]	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,			
1	Other]			
6	Area in Acres		5-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production	(Metric Ton /	5,23,895 Tones/ Annum (	including waste)
	Cum) Per Annum	<b>`</b>		. ,
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	crores)	Rs. 0.40 Crores (Rs.40 La	khs)
9	Proved Ouantity of	mine/ Ouarry-	25.81.608 Tones (includin	g waste)
	Cu.m / Ton			<b>G</b> ,
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m		5,13,418 Tones / Annum (	excluding waste)
	/ Ton			
11	CER Activities: Propose take up 500 1		No. of additional plantation	n on either side of the
	approach road from quarry location to M		fakarahalli Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.10 lakhs (	Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.22 lal	ths (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	20.08.2018		
14	Quarry plan	19.12.2019		
-				

Jacil

15	Cluster certificate	29.05.2024
16	Notification	05.05.2018
17	Revenue	01.03.2019

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is a Govt. land and no mining has sincebeen carried out by Proponent till date and the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are four leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and three leases are exempted from cluster as the leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 9-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 900 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 25,81,608 Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,23,895 Tones/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.17 Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Tarivala Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (7-33 Acres) (3.165 Hectares) by Sri Bhojappa Rathod, S/o Seetappa – Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/482855/2024 (SEIAA 92 MIN 2024)

### About the project:

St.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PROPONENT
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Bhojappa Rathod, S/o Seetappa
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Sy.Nos.93/1 & 93/2 of Tarivala Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (7-33 Acres) (3.165 Hectares)

NAUGY

45

				N 16" 02' 29.9"	E 76° 09' 18.3"	
				N 16° 02' 33.2"	E 76° 09' 17.7"	
				N 16" 02' 33.8"	E 76° 09′ 19.2″	
				N 16° 02' 33.8"	E 7 <b>6°</b> 09' 27.4"	
				N 16° 02' 30.3"	E 76° 09' 27.1"	
3	Type Of M	fineral		Ordinary Sand Quarry		
4	New/Expa	nsion/Modificat	ion/Renewal	New		
5	Type of	Land [Forest,	Government	Patta		
	Revenue,	Gomal, Private /	Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Ac	res		7-33 Acres (3.165 Hectares)	)	
7	Annual Pr	oduction (Metri	c Ton / Cum)	63,000 tons for 1st year, 40	0,000 tons for 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	
	Per Annun	n		& 30,386 tons for 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (	including waste)	
8	Project Co	st (Rs. In Crores	5)	Rs. 1.29 Crores (Rs. 129 La	Rs. 1.29 Crores (Rs. 129 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Qu	antity of mine/	Quarry- Cu.m	1,33,386 Tones (including v	vaste)	
	/ Ton	-	•			
10	Permitted Ouantity Per Annum - Cu.m /		63,000 tons for 1 <sup>st</sup> year, 40,000 tons for 2 <sup>nd</sup> year			
	Ton	- •		& 30,386 tons for 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (	including waste)	
11	CER Activ	vities:				
	Year	Corporate E	nvironmenta	i Responsibility (CER)		
	1#	Providing so	lar power pa	inels to common public j	places to the GHPS	
		school at Tar	ivala Village.			
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Rain water h	arvesting pit	s to the GHPS school at T	arivala Village.	
	3rd	1	-		-	
12	EMP Budget R		Rs. 43.48 Lak	ths (Capital Cost) & Rs. 9.59	lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC		19.12.2022	[9,12,2022		
14	Cluster certificate		10.10.2023		- <u>-</u>	
15	Revenue NOC		24.11.2022			
16	DTF		11.09.2023			
17	Approved by Ouarry Plan		12.10.2023			
18	JIR		09.12.2022			
			· · · · · <b>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · </b>			

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 7-33 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. Proponent informed that as per DMG report dated 09.12.2022, there is no river sand mining in a radius of 5 km from the proposed site area.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 982 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

Dausn'

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 1,33,386Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 3 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 63,000 tons for 1<sup>st</sup> year, 40,000 tons for 2<sup>nd</sup> year & 30,386 tons for 3<sup>nd</sup> year (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.

3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.

4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.

- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.1.18 Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy.No.2/1+2/A of Gudur Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkot District (4-30 Acres) by Sri Vijayanand S. Kashappanavar – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/482914/2024 (SEIAA 668 MIN 2021)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that earlier quarrying was carried out prior to 2012 and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for pink granite quarry for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 10.02.2022 and public hearing was conducted on 02.12.2023, where opinion/request of five people had been recorded in public hearing report.

The Committee during appraisal noted that the discrepancy in the KML polygon and proposed area in quarry plan. Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal and informed the Proponent to obtain the revised quarry plan as per the approved coordinates with details of old workings in the surface plan and details of present site condition from DMG.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in up coming meeting.

## 314.1.19 Extraction of Building Stone Project at Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (Q.L. No. 296) (1-20 Acres) by Smt. M. Jyothi Shet – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/480376/2024 (SEIAA 91 MIN 2024)

About the project:

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. M. Jyothi Shet
2	Name & Location of the Project	Extraction of Building Stone Project at Sy.No.43 of Chikkanagavalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura District (Q.L. No. 296) (1-20 Acres)

47

				N 13° 36' 17.3996"	E 77° 45' 59.8002"			
				N 13° 36′ 17.2003*	E 77° 46' 00.9004"			
				N 13° 36' 16.5994"	E 77° 46' 00.8005"			
				N 13" 36' 16.2004"	E 77° 46' 02.4002"			
				N 13° 36' 15.9004"	E 77° 46' 05.1003"			
	-			N 13 <sup>*</sup> 36' 14.7993"	E 77 46' 04.8003"			
				N 13° 36' 15-6998"	E 77" 46' 59.4002"			
3	Type Of M	ineral		Building Stone Quarry				
4	New/Expan	nsion/Modi	fication/ Renewal	Expansion				
5	Type of	Land [For	est, Government	Government				
	Revenue, C	Gom <mark>al, Priv</mark>	ate / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Ac	res		1-20 Acres				
7	Annual Pro	oduction (M	letric Ton / Cum)	2,10,526 Tones/ Annum (including waste)				
	Per Annum	1						
8	Project Cos	st (Rs. In C	rores)	Rs. 1.38 Crores (Rs.138 La	khs)			
9	Proved Q	uantity of	mine/ Quarry-	10,52,632Tones (including waste)				
	Cu.m / Tor	<u>)</u>						
10	Permitted (	Quantity Pe	er Annum - Cu.m	2,00,000 Tones / Annum (e	excluding waste)			
	/ Ton	4.4						
	CER Activ	ities:						
	Үеаг	Corpor	ate Environmenta	i Responsibility (CER)				
	1 <b>st</b>	Providi	ng solar power pa	nels to GLPS school at Chik	kanagavalli Village			
	2nd	Rain w	ater harvesting ph	ts to GLPS at Chikkanagavai	li Village			
	3rd	Scienti	fic support and a	wareness to local farmers	to increase yield of			
		croo ar	nd fodder					
	ath	Avenue	niantation eithe	r side of the approach roa	d near Quarry site &			
	1	Read of read billing designer						
				ngsa ngsa	· · · · ·			
	501	псэко		UUR AL UIRAKARIA BAYARI YIRAB	<b>F</b>			
12	EMP Budg	get	Ks. 16.83 lakhs (	Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.86 lak	hs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NO	0	14.09.2015					
14	Quarry pla	n 	03.11.2023	<u> </u>				
15	Cluster cer	tificate	31.05.2024					

The proposal is for expansion for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 31.05.2023 and lease was in granted on 31.05.2023 with QL No. 296 The Proponent informed the Committee that after the grant of lease they have not carried out quarrying activities till date and informed that as per DMG audit report dated 31.05.2024, quarry is not operational after the grant of lease till date and justified for not having submitted CCR.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 160 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

Jarty

48

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 10,52,632 tonns (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 10 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 2,10,526 tonns/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.1.20 Grey Granite Quarrying Project at Idalahonda Village, Khanapur Taluk, Belagavi District (4-00 Acres) by M/s. N. K. Enterprises, Managing Partner: Shri Vidyadhar V – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/481996/2024 (SEIAA 90 MIN 2024)

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PROPONENT				
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. N. K. Enterprises				
	Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the Project	Grey Granite Quarrying Project at Sy.No.89/*/2				
		of Idalahonda Village, Khanapur Taluk, Belagavi				
		District (4-00 Acres)				
		N15" 42' 27.9214" E74" 30' 55.2139"				
	-	N15' 42' 28.0542' E74' 30' 55.9597"				
		N15* 42* 28.0662* E74* 30* 57.8055*				
		N15' 42' 35.8029' E74' 30' 53.5524'				
		N15" 42" 34.4331" E74" 30" 52.5153"				
		N15* 62* 33.9020* E74* 30* 52.0292*				
3	Type Of Mineral	Grey Granite Quarry Project				
4	New/Expansion/Modification/ Renewal	New				
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Patta				
	Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres	4-00 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum)	8,571 Cum/annum (including waste)				

AUT

	Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs.45 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of m	ine/ Quarry-Cu.m/	3,00,294Cum (including waste)
	Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum-Cu.m/Ton	3,000Cum /annum(Recovery)
11	CER Activities: Prop	ose take up 400 N	o. of additional plantation on either side of the
	approach road from qu	arry location to Idal	ahonda Village Road.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 15.50 lakhs	(Capital Cost) & Rs. 5.18 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	14.06.2024	
14	Cluster certificate	14.06.2024	
15	Forest NoC	05.09.2024	
16	Revenue NOC	28.02.2024	
17	Notification	28.05.2024	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is fresh land and no mining has been carried out by Proponent. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1400 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 3,00,294cum(including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be cotermius with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production 8,571 Cum/annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 7. To handle waste by obtaining necessary permission.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

(Jacon)

314.1.21 Upgradation & Beautification of Brindavan Garden at Krishna Raja Sagara Reservoir located at Hongahalli Village, Belagola Hobli, Srirangapatna Taluk and Chikkayarahalli Village, Chinakurali Hobli, Pandavapura Taluk of Mandya District by Assistant Executive Engineer, Cauvery Neeravari Nigam Limited – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/465856/2024 (SEIAA 202 CON 2023)

S	.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent		
	1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Mr. Farooq Ahmed Abu, Assistant Executive Engineer, CNNL, No. 01 (M) Sub Division, Krishnarajasagara, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District- 571607		
	2	Name & Location of the Project	Upgradation & Beautification of Brindavan Garden at Krishna Raja Sagara Reservoir located at Sy. Nos. 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 254, 255, 256, 258, 259, 260, 291 of Hongahalli Village, Belagola Hobli, Srirangapatna Taluk and Sy. Nos. 98, 99, 100, 103, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148 of Chikkayarahalli Village, Chinakurali Hobli, Pandavapura Taluk of Mandya District		
	3	Type of Development	Built-up Area of the project is 5,91,180.02 Sq. m. which is >1,50,000 Sq. mt. or covers an area of >50 Ha; hence, the proposed activity falls under schedule 8(b) Townships and Area Development Project and categorized as B1 and requires environmental clearance from SEIAA, Karnataka		
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Other.Upgradation & Beautification of existing Brindavan Garden to a world class tourism development by conserving the Heritage of Mysore and KRS Dam.		
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	8(b) - Townships and Area Development Project		
	c.	Zoning Classification	Not applicable		
	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New		
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Cauvery River within project site Lake near Chikkayarahalli - 0.63 Km, NE		
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	198 Acres (8,01,279.9715 Sq. mt.)		
	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	5,91,180.02 Sq. m		
	8	FAR Permissible Proposed	Not applicable.		

part

51

	9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Not applicable					
		Number of units/plots in case of	Area devel	opment proj	ect, l	Jpgrada	tion &	
	10	Construction/Residential Township	Beautification	n of Brindavan	Garder	n at Kris	hna Raja	
		/Area Development Projects	Sagara Reservoir					
	11	Height Clearance	Not applicabl	le				
	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 1650 cros	res				
	13	Quantity excavated earth & its management	The quantity of excavated earth material (2,47,275 Cum) as estimated will be reused / recycled for back filling / sub base work for roads & pavements and landscape & parks development within the project site					
	14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)						
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	Not applicabl	le.				
	b.	Kharab Land	-					
	c.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	Particular	Sa mt	Acr	Gunt	0/4	
	d.	Internal Roads	s		es	as		
	e.	Paved area	Landscape	2 07 411 22	72.0			
	f.	Others Specify	(green	17	15.0	19.60	37.12	
		Parks and Open space in case of	area)					
	g.	Residential Township/ Area	Hardscape					
		Development Projects	area &	1,20,570.53	29.0	31.60	15.05	
			pavement	18	0	21.00		
			Water					
			body	15,978.522	3.00	38.00	1.99	
			Rister area	2,62,920.80	64.0	38.80	37.81	
				17	0	30.00	52.01	
	h	Total	Road area	73,132.9173	18.0	2.80	9.13	
	11.	Total	Building					
			area	21 265 077	7.00	20.20	2.00	
			(Ground	51,205.977	1.00	29.20	5.50	
			coverage)	9 01 370 07	104	160.0	<b></b> ]	
				8,01,2/9.9/	194.	100.0	100	
			Sav 8.	01,279.97 Sq. 1	mt. or 1	198 Асго	es	
F	15	WATER						
	I.	Construction Phase						
	a.	Source of water	Cauvery Rive	2				
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	2960 KLD					
	с.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	27 KLD					
		Buy	52	CARINT	V			

for

•

gauth

d.	Waste water generation in KLD	24 KLD				
· · · · ·	Treatment facility proposed and	Mahila OTD				
e.	scheme of disposal of treated	Mobile STP				
	water					
II.	Operational Phase					
		Fresh	5488 KLD			
		Recycled	261 KLD			
		Total	5749 KLD			
Ь.	Source of water	Cauvery River				
<u>с.</u>	Wastewater generation in KLD	290 KLD				
d.	STP capacity and Area required	4x80 KLD (320	KLD)			
e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR				
f	Scheme of disposal of excess	Domestic (flush	ing), Water fountain and Landscape			
1.	treated water if any	purposes.				
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harves	ting				
	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof					
а.	& Hardscape/soft scape run off	-				
		Project is covered with the sufficient natural				
	No's of Ground water recharge	drainage system, streams, river, canals which				
b.		naturally improves the ground water quality.				
	pits	Therefore, rainwater harvesting system is not				
		required.				
		The storm water from garden, parking area,				
		roadways and lawns are used for recharging of				
17	Storm water management plan	groundwater. Existing Storm water network will be				
		utilized and upgraded as per requirement. Provision				
		of sediment trap	s and silt traps will be made.			
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT					
I.	Construction Phase					
		The existing	few structures (Department of			
		fisheries, Depar	tment of Horticulture, Office of the			
		Chief Research Officer, Karnataka Engineering				
	Ouantity of Construction &	Research Station	n) will be shifted and hence, these			
a.	Demolition waster and its	structures will	be demolished for the upgradation			
	management	and beautific	ation of Brindavan Garden.			
		Construction and	d Demolition waste (1,18,810 Cum)			
		will be generat	ed and the same will be utilized			
		within the project site for back filling / sub base				
_		work for roads &	t pavements within project site.			
	Quantity of Solid waste	Organic waste -	- 162 kg/day and inorganic waste –			
b.	generation and mode of Disposal	108 kg/day from labour camps from workers rest				
	other than C&D.	area will be handed over to City Municipality for				
		further treatment	t on daily basis.			
П.	Operational Phase					

**ل**که

53

Π		Quantity of Biodegradable waste	1					
		generation and mode of Disposal		Type of waste	Source	Waste generated	Mode of disposa	
	a.	as per norms					Tradal in America S	lecte
		(Capacity of OWC & Area						T SAK
		required)		Amaria urada		1023 01 L./Lan	Convertor within P	njed
		Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	L V	VILLEN WARE	Staff and	10JZ.01 19189	site and used as m	
	b.	waste generation and mode of			TIL SUU		future and	
		Disposal as per norms			VISITOR A		IN BURCAPUR	
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	B	norganic waste	project site	916.40 kg day		
	c.	generation and mode of Disposal	C	Notice space	. /	305 18 beiden		
		as per norms						
			101	indicated waste		A ignoriti	Handed over to Ki	YU
			E (	ARR C		800 kg/A	authorized recyclers	
		Quantity of E waste generation	I.I	Battery waste	project site	Шęл		
	d.	and mode of Disposal as per	G	lezandous waste		0.72 Kg/A		
		norms			STP within		lled as manue	for
			H	STP sludge		16 Kg day		394
		:			noleg are			
	19	POWER			-			
		Total Power Requirement -	Ροι	ver requirem	ent during	g operation p	phase:	
	a.	Operational Phase	<del>99</del>	KVA transfo	ormers (3)	Nos. x 33 K	VA)	
	h	Numbers of DG set and capacity in	4 N	los. x 200 K	VA			
	υ.	KVA for Standby Power Supply						
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	Hig Die	gh Speed Die sel Generato	esel (HSD) ors	0.05 % wi	ll be used fo	r
		Energy conservation plan and	Usi	ing solar (	energy i	n garden,	solar ene	rgy
İ	A	Percentage of savings including	sig	nificantly r	educes t	he carbon	footprint	of
	u.	plan for utilization of solar energy	bot	anical garde	ens, as it	produces	zero emissi	ons
		as per ECBC 2007	dur	ing energy g	eneration.	•		
	20	PARKING						
			476	533.19 Sq. m	. has been	allotted as	parking spa	ces
			and details of parking spaces are as follows;					
		Parking Requirement as per			Nos			
	a.	norms (ECS)	2-wheeler			r	2300	
				4-wheeler		ľ	2590	
				Brovisio	Buses	0.00000	/3	
		Level of Service (LOS) of the		FIOVISIO	n or parki	ing spaces	4703	
	<b>h</b>	connecting Roads as per the						
	0.	Traffic Study Decort						
		Папис зницу кероп						



Jawth

				Road Tor		Existing traffic <b> cens</b> rio		Read Towards Existing traffic		Towards person for mest two years		cted ular h for ) years	Modified V/C and <u>LoS</u> by adding generated traffic		Changed Scenario -1 (Road Widening)	
						V/C	Los	V/C	Los	V/C	Los	VC	Los			
				<u>Belagols - Sri</u> Roa	rangapatna 1	0.58	с	0.62	D	0.72	D	No C	hange			
	Ì			Illayaha -My	ore Road	0.60	CD	0.64	D	0.76	D	N₀ C	hange			
				KRS	Science préna / Mysore Road	0.67	D	0.72	D	0.93	E	No C	haage			
				<u>Pendavpura</u> Road	Brinder an Geröce Rander Pin	0.76	D	0.82	E	0.82	E	No C	hange			
				Pandaypur	a Road	0.47	c	0.50	C	0.64	D	No C	hange			
				KRS Do Bridg	<b>Anstrea</b> m șe	0. <b>64</b>	D	0.69	D	0.89	E	0.45	c			
				Brindman Ge	rden Roed	0.78	D	0.84	E	1.19	ľ	0.59	C			
	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	13	8m, 4m 8	<b>է 12m</b>							-				
	21	CER Activities	Sin, 4m & 12mtotal CER cost of the project is 8.25 Crores @ 0.5% of the total investment of the project (1650Crores).CER activities and the details are as follows;RO Water purification unitsSchool Infrastructure developmentsSchool Education SupportsConstruction of Farm Ponds to support farmersConstruction/development of drainage in villagesConstruction of Concrete internal roads insurrounding villagesProvision of street lights in villagesConstruction of Bus stop in the villagesProviding facility for person with disability in													
	22	EMP (Details and capital cost &	(	Construct	ion ph	ase C	apita	l cost	t – 7	84 La	ikhs					
		recurring cost)		Operation	i phase	Capi	tal c	ost —	494	9 Lak	hs					
L			1	peration	i pnase	Keci	urring	g cost	: <b>-</b> 1	830 L	akh	S				

The proposal was considered in the 312<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of clarification/reply from the Proponent for the observation made.

(Jaus)

55

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted point wise clarification for the observation,

Observation: I. Miss match in Built-up area in pg 25 and pg 30, size and magnitude.

- Reply: The Proponent informed that the plot area of the project is 8,01,279.9715 Sq. mt. and the BUA of the project is 5,91,180.02 Sq. m. Table 2.4 of Section 2.3.4 in Page 25 & 26 of the EIA report shows that the BUA of the project site is 5,91,180.02 Sq. m. and Section 2.4 of the EIA report in Page 30 also states that the BUA is 5,91,180.02 Sq. m.
- Observation- 2.STP treated water, discharge not specified. STP locations on map not provided, ASP-STP technology proposed and treatment which is outdated.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that 290 KLD of wastewater generated is treated in STP of capacity 4 x 80 KLD and the treated water 261 KLD is utilized for the Flushing (203 KLD) and Water fountains (58 KLD). The treatment methodology adopted is Sequential Batch Reactor (SBR) technology and submitted the layout plan showing 4 Nos STP location is enclosed.
- Observation: 3.C&D waste disposal: disposal of wood/glass/ fibre & C&D not evaluated.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that the existing structures namely; Department of fisheries, Naguvana-Department of Horticulture and plantation, Office of the Chief Research Officer, Karnataka Engineering Research Station (KERS) will be demolished. The generated construction debris of 1,18,810 Cum will be utilized within the project site for back filling / sub base work for roads & pavements and aesthetic formations at tree trail park, rock gardens within project site.

The wood from existing structures will be extracted separately and utilized for formation of trail paths/ bridgesat tree trail park within project site and the Glass/fibre from existing structures will be extracted separately and utilized for glass castle. Therefore, all the C&D waste generated will be utilized at the project site.

- Observation: 4. Robust mechanism for handling solid waste: i.e, segregation, storage & treatment disposal mechanism. Plastic handling system (PET water bottles), dedicated building for segregation & bailing etc. not evident.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that, Solid waste will be collected and segregated as organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste will be treated in Organic waste convertors (5 Nos. with total capacity of 1900 kgs in an area of 100 sq m.) on daily basis and used as manure for gardening and inorganic waste will be handed over to KSPCB Authorised recyclers.

Further, dedicated places at 5 locations within project site with an area of 500 Sq. m. has been identified for collection, segregation and storage of solid waste including organic inorganic, biomedical, e-waste, battery waste and STP sludge.

Jacon

The project site will ensure the concept of plastic free zone thereby avoiding Single-use plastic products such as plastic and polystyrene food and beverage containers, bottles, straws, cups, cutlery, disposable plastic bags, etc will not be supplied, commercialized or used. It will be ensured that no plastic items or outside packed foods will be allowed within the project site and the requisite guidelines will be imposed and signage boards indicating same will be installed at the entrance and within the project site.

Further, the Proponent agreed to provide a centralized mechanism for handling solid waste.

Observation: 5.Pg 38, stack for which purpose?

- Reply: The Proponent informed that during operation phase, 4Nos of 200 KVA capacity DG setswill be used as backup with Acoustic enclosures and minimum stack height of 10 m will be provided to DGs. Therefore, stack monitoring for DG Sets will be carried out during operation phase and the details are provided in Pg 38 of EIA report.
- Observation :6.Traffic study: capacity considered as 2200 PCU for all roads to be clarified, Existing LoS already in Condition 'D", projected LoS E&F, plan for ease out of traffic and their plan.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that, as per Table 2 in Page 11of IRC guidelines: 106-1990, the design service volume for two lane arterial road is 2400. Therefore, capacity of 2200 has been considered for all the assessed 2 lane roads. In order to ease out the traffic congestion, development of the existing roads connecting the Brindavan Garden will be ensured along with requisite traffic management measures.Request letter submitted for developing existing roads.
- Observation: 7. Public hearing also raised the same issue of traffic and noise pollution by the local villagers, the control methods adopted to mitigate the same, not evident.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed thatthere will be considerable increase in the traffic after implementation of the project which would have an impact on the current infrastructure and connectivity to the project site. Therefore, as per the suggestions of the Public Private Partnership Appraisal Committee (PPPAC), Government of Karnataka, a request was submitted to the Secretary to the Government, Water Resources Department, Government of Karnataka for development of the existing roads connecting the Brindavan Garden. In addition to this, traffic management measures will be adopted and implemented to minimize the impact.

Jacn,

The source of noise pollution upon implementation of the project is through vehicular movement. As per the modelling results, the noise level due to vehicular movement is 87 dB(A). However, the noise levels towards the Ranganathittu Bird Sanctuary would be within the CPCB norms as the noise levels reduces over a distance.

Further, necessary parking facilities (4965 Nos) for 2-wheelers, 4wheelers and buses has been made along with requisite traffic control measures as stated above to mitigate impact due to noise pollution.

Further, it is submitted that the a total of 10,000 vehicles are estimated visiting the renowned Tirumala Tirupati Temple located within Sri Venkateshwara National Park and Sanctuary and the Andhra Pradesh Pollution Control Board (APPCB) has recorded noise levels of 76 dB(A) during day and 72 dB(A) during night.(Source: https://pcb.ap.gov.in/UI/a real time noise monitoring stations.aspx).

- Observation: 8. Water quality monitoring during operations phase: Upstream water and downstream water to be analyzed to know the contamination from the leisure activity & their impact on the water quality.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that water quality monitoring in the upstream of River Cauvery, Downstream of River Cauvery and KRS dam will be carried out during operations phase. The details are presented in the Table 6.2 of Section 6.1 in Chapter 6 Environmental Monitoring Program of EIA report.

Contamination from the leisure water activities will be avoided by adopting environment friendly Ozonation treatment technology wherein weaker disinfectants, such as chlorine, chlorine dioxide, and monochloramine will be removed.

- Observation:9. ESZ & Water bodies are in and around the Project Site. specific conservation plan on the Bird sanctuary and aquatic life to be evaluated in scientific manner through a carrying capacity study.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that as per the Distance Certificate issued Deputy Conservator of Forests Mysore Wildlife Division, Mysore on 30.05.2024, Ranganatitu Bird Sanctuary is located at a distance of 1.19 Km from the project boundary and the Eco-sensitive Zone boundary is located at a distance of 2.58 m from the project boundary.

Water bodies in and around the project site includes River Cauvery located within project site, KRS Dam located adjacent to project site and Chikkayarahallilake is located at a distance of 0.63 Km from the project site.



Gatol

Wildlife Conservation plan for schedule I species at Ranganathittu Bird Sanctuary was submitted to the DCF, Mysore Wildlife Division on 18.06.2024 and the proposal has been forwarded to Conservator of Forests, Mysore Circle, Mysore on 21.06.2024.

During the study period, Fish species of the Cauvery River, in and around the project site were studies and a total of 11species of phytoplanktons, 9 species of zooplanktons, 53 species of fishes, 7 species of insects, 1 species of Crustacea were recorded.

The Existing Brindavan Garden records a footfall of 12900 visitors/day in 2023 and the project upon implementation anticipates a carrying capacity of 20,000 visitors/day which can be sustained by the project site. Further, detailed breakup of the carrying capacity of various activities proposed within the project site is enclosed

Further, that footfall per day for the several renowned tourist places are follows;

- Tirumala Tirupati Temple, Andhra Pradesh 1.0-3.0 Lakh visitors/day
- Statue of Unity, Gujarat 15000 visitors/day
- Taj Mahal, Uttar Pradesh 15000 visitors/day
- Sabarmati Riverfront, Gujarat 12,000 visitors/day
- Dharmasthala, Karnataka -10,000 visitors/day
- Madhurai Meenakshi Temple, Tamil Nadu 20,000 visitors/day
- Kukke Subramanya temple, Karnataka 20,000 to 50,000 visitors/day
- Ram Mandir, Uttar Pradesh 1.5 Lakhs visitors/day
- Kedarnath Dham, Uttarkhand 70,000 visitors/day
- Sri Ramanathaswamy temple, Tamil Nadu -20,000 visitors/day
- Kashi Vishwanath Dham, Uttar Pradesh 20,000 visitors/day
- Darbār Sahib, Punjab -1.50.000 visitors/day

Therefore, it is hereby submitted that there are several other tourist places wherein the carrying capacity of the location is very well sustained and that the carrying capacity of the proposed project do not pose any such impacts on environment as all the mitigation measures will be ensured during operation phase of the project.

### Observation: 10. Pg 101: 2910 trees proposed for removal for Park upgradation. Removal of trees to be explained and except for coconut trees, all other matured forest species shall be retained to the maximum extent.

Reply: The Proponent informed that a total of 3779 trees were recorded within the project site which has been planted by the Horticulture Department. Out of which, 869 trees will be retained and 2910 trees will be removed. Out of 2910 removable trees, 2343 horticulture trees (80%) and 567 multipurpose trees are recorded. Out of 2343 horticulture trees, 1593 trees belongs to Cocos nucifera and 750 trees belongs to other species. Further, about 120 horticulture trees will be transplanted within project site.

Gault

As part of greenbelt development a total of 9016 trees will be planted within project site to support local biodiversity of the region.

- Observation: 11. Evaluation of impact by tourist vehicles not accounted in air modelling study.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that impact of tourist vehicles based on air modelling using AERMOD cloud software is studied and incorporated in section 4.2.2.2 of Chapter 4 in EIA report.
- Observation: 12. Pg 126, 382.22 µg/m3 at an elevation of 200 m, how such a value has arrived in the mathematical modelling to be specified, what are the dust sources & their masses considered for calculation, viz, Point source, area source, line source and their quantification with atmospheric data of mixing height, wind velocity, wind turbulence, building downwash etc.,
- Reply: The Proponent informed that the elevation is 0 which is clearly mentioned at the colour legend showing concentrations in isopleth drawn. Elevation is 0 as we have not considered topography and to be read as 'at a distance of 200 m in S direction'. Primary meteorological data from excel sheet is provided to AERMET which is a pre-processor of AERMOD which performs all the calculations to derive the secondary parameters required by AERMOD which includes mixing height calculation. Since there is only construction activities on ground building downwash is not considered.
- Observation: 13. In pg 130 Maximum concentration predicted is 2.90 mg/m3, should be clarified.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that detailed calculation is provided in section 4.2.2.2 of Chapter 4 in EIA report.
- Observation: 14. Evaluation of impact on Ecology and Biodiversity, Solid waste not carried out in pg 122-125
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that Evaluation of impact on Ecology and Biodiversity, Solid waste has been carried out and presented in page no. 138 and 141 of EIA Report
- Observation: 15. Renewable energy wind or solar installations in the project roof top of the buildings are not evident.

60

Reply: The Proponent informed that the proposed project does not involve any buildings with roof structures; however, roof top solar panels will be installed over parking area and toll gate in an area of 43,925 sq. m. wherein renewable energy of 4,72,805 MW will be generated through solar panels.

In addition to this, the entire project area being a tourist spot aims at adopting solar lighting systems and 1056 solar street lights along internal roads within project site.

(ARCON)

- Observation: 16. Eco tourism, sustainable development, GHG emission reduction not provided explicitly.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that the sustainable ecotourism at the project site helps in community development by providing the alternate source of livelihood to local community which is more sustainable.

Its aim is to conserve resources by adopting renewable energy for lightings, utilizing excavated soil for gardening, utilizing C&D waste for creating aesthetic structures, educating the tourists about the significance of the monuments of India, highlighting biological diversity through developing the Gardens, aroma garden, tree trail park, orchid valley thereby providing habitat to local biodiversity.

These provide ecological experience to tourists by highlighting the tradition, culture, sustainability and significance of conserving the environment and archaeological monuments, etc. thereby gaining economic benefit.

The major source of GHG emissions in and around the project site is vehicular movements and the impacts of GHG emissions will be minimized through plantation of 9016 trees with a carbon sequestration capacity of 353.048 tones and existing 869 trees with a carbon sequestration capacity of 2378.8 tones.

In similar incidences, Sabarmati Riverfront Development being developed across River Sabarmati in Gujarat is a Riverfront Development with the primary objectives of creating well accessible public realm along river, protect against floods, enhance green cover along the riverbank & strengthen city's transport network. This project provides modular facilities such as playgrounds, splash pools, gym, indoor games, parks, nursery, interactive art and sculptors, seating, shopping, eating facilities, vending carts, micro markets, etc. Sabarmati Riverfront Development is being developed by adopting sustainable waste and wastewater management measures and promoting ecotourism.

Further, submitted that the proposed up gradation & Beautification of Brindavan Garden is also a project of similar nature to that of Sabarmati River front development and it will be assured that CNNL will adopt all sustainable measures to make the garden a world class ecotourism and recreational place.

Observation: 17. Storm water management, Contaminated Water wash off to the river or ESZ not assessed.

March

Reply: The Proponent informed that as per Hydrology studies, the project site is covered with the sufficient natural drainage system including streams, river, canals which naturally improves the ground water quality of the region. However, Existing storm water network will be utilized and upgraded as per requirement and submittedStorm water network plan.

290 KLD of wastewater generated is treated in STP of capacity 4 x 80 KLD and the treated water 261 KLD is utilized for the Flushing (203 KLD) and Water fountains (58 KLD). In addition to this, eco-friendly Ozonation treatment technology will be adopted for activities involving water games. Hence, no contaminated water will enter the river or ESZ.

# Observation :18. Socio economic benefits of the project need to be elaborated in detail.

Reply: The Proponent informed that the project being a renowned tourist attraction spot shall lead to overall growth in Economy of the region and shall become the harbinger of good fortune for the people. Project like these have a positive impact on the locals socio-economic factors and also benefit to the state and country as a whole.

> The proposed theme-based development highlights the traditional, social and cultural heritage of Karnataka. The project highlights the significances of the environment and forest ecology through places involving North Garden, South Garden, Orchid Valley, Aroma Garden, tree trail park, etc by giving the visitors an educational retreat of the environmental benefits thereby promoting ecotourism.

> Aesthetic, Recreation and beautification projects can improve the quality of life for residents by creating spaces that are welcoming and enjoyable to spend time. This project will no longer just offer both short term period and long-term employment and will also promote tourism in the area thereby enhancing the economic benefits of the State and local people. Several other petty shops and other shops will further be developed outside the project site which enhances indirect employment opportunities.

Observation: 19. To provide detailed cost benefit analysis.

Reply:The Proponent submitted the detailed economic Cost Benefit Analysis (Source: Supplementary report dt: 02.01.2007 issued by Central Empowered Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court Order).

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for upgradation and beautification of Brindavan Garden by constructing BUA of 5,91,180.02 Sqm in plot area of 8,01,279.97 Sqm, for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 05.10.2023.



The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To provide a centralized mechanism for handling soild wastes generated in the area.
- 3. To lasers used should not impact near by flora/fauna.
- 4. To provide suitable mechanism for preventing contamination of water, soil and air.
- 5. To provide proper sound insulation towards ESZ.
- 6. To make necessary arrangements for free flow of traffic before completion of project.
- 7. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.22 Dharma Iron Ore Mine Project at (ML No. 0013) Village-Ramgad, Taluk- Sandur, District-Ballari (43.58 Ha) by M/s. JSW Steel Limited – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/466066/2024 (SEIAA 307 MIN 2023)

S No.	Particulars	Information Provided by PP		
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. JSW STEEL LIMITED Vijayanagar Works Mines Division PO- Vidyanagar, Ballari- 583275		
2	Name & Location of the Project	DHARMA IRON ORE MINE (ML. NO. 0013) Village Ramgad, Taluka Sandur, District Ballari,		
3		Longitude: 15° 08' 21.88953" - 15° 08'		
	Co-ordinates	35.53454"		
		Latitude: 76° 27' 12.47119" - 76° 27' 31.93889"		
4	Type of Mineral	Iron Ore		
5	New/expansion/modification /renewal	Expansion - 0.49 MTPA		
6	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other]	Forest Land		
7	Area in Ha	43.58 Ha.		
8	Annual production (metric ton /Cum) per annum	0.18MillionTonnesPerAnnum(Current/Present)(Proposed is 0.49 MTPA Expansion capacity)		

63

(Jacon)

9	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 15.98 Crores			
10	Proved quantity of mine/quarry-	10.419 Million Metric Tonnes (Mineable			
	Cu.m/Tons	Reserves)			
11	Permitted quantity per annum-	0.18 MTPA to 0.49 MTPA (Expansion			
	Cu.m/Ton	capacity)			
12	Approach Road	5kms from mine to connecting main road (SH-			
		49).			
13		Area 22.46 Ha (Area Under Mining)			
	Five years plan period	Top RL- 884 mRL			
		Bottom RL - 831mRL			
14		Area -28.51Ha (Area Under Mining)			
	Conceptual stage	Top RL-961 mRL			
		Bottom RL- 747mRL			
15	CER Activities:				
	Landscaping activity & solar	electrification at Ramgad Temple			
	Solar electrification of village	;			
	• Plantation activity at the villa	ge area			
	<ul> <li>Distribution of tree guards</li> </ul>				
16	EMP Budget is Rs.54.4 Lakhs				
18	CCR date	24.05.2023			
19	Earlier E.Cby SEIAA/MoEF date	Granted on 26.08.2022 for 0.18 MTPA			
20	CFO	Issued on 01.09.2022			
21	Farret Charges Data	03.06.2022 (Transfer of FC as per amended			
	Porest Clearance Date	vesting order)			
22	IBM Approval Date	Approved on 30.06.2023 for 0.49 MTPA			
23	R&R Plan Date	Issued on 28.05.2018			
24	Public hearing	Conducted on 10.11.2023.			

The proposal was considered in the 312<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of clarification/reply from the Proponent for the observation made.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted point wise clarification for the observation, Observation: 1. In Annexure-4 of EDS base line report, Values of station A1 & A2 for all parameters are found to be the same.

Reply: The Proponent informed that the typo error and error in compilation of the reports, correction done in the Baseline reports wrt. AAQM station A1 and A2.

Observation: 2. Clarify regarding PM sampled for 24 hrs continuously at mine site.

- Reply:The Proponent informed as per the CPCB/MoEF&CC guidelines and NAAQ standards, one station in Core zone and 6 stations in buffer zone (selected as per the topography and met data) are being monitored.
  - The fugitive samples are also monitored as per the MoEF&CC notification, GSR 809 (E), dated 04/10/2010 for 4 stations and the reports are monitored Separately for fugitive dust.

- Observation: 3. Signature & Unit of measurement not mentioned in all reports of environmental monitoring.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that the baseline reports are mentioned with unit for the respective parameters and are with signatures.

Observation: 4. Details of sustainable ore transportation mechanism not evident in any of the chapters, which is crucial for this project.

- Reply: The Proponent informedthat the Dharma Mine falls under the cluster of Mines. CEC has defined the production capacity for each mine falling in the cluster considering the carrying capacity of the Road,
  - The vehicles, trucks will be regularly checked for PUC certificate
  - Iron ore will be transported through trucks which are fully covered by tarpaulin sheets
  - The regular maintenance of the road and regular dust suppression will be carried out.
  - All the major transportation roads used for ore evacuation are black topped & Cement concreted.
  - The carriage capacity of trucks has increased with increase in axle load weight which in turn has reduced the vehicular traffic.

The same has been explained in detail in Chapter 4, Para No. 4.2.2.4 of Final EIA report.

Observation: 5. Public hearing Comment 2: CER provisions mentioned at 4 locations as budget of 21,000 lakhs is not clear.

- Reply: The Proponent informed that around Rs. 21,000 lakhs (210 Cr.) budget has been proposed towards the construction of Rama Mine DHPC (Adjacent Mine to Dharma Iron Ore Mine owned by JSW).
- Observation: 6. CER implementation is generic, No time line for implementation in physical terms with Budgetary provisions.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that around Rs. 21,000 lakhs (210 Cr.) budget has been proposed towards the construction of Rama Mine DHPC (Adjacent Mine to Dharma Iron Ore Mine owned by JSW).
    - The CER Report with budget : -
      - Solar Electrification : Rs. 38,68,400
        - Tree Guards : Rs. 15,00,000

The Total Budget for the CER is Rs. 53,68,400

Observation:7. EIA: Page 615, The Wildlife Management Plan prepared by ZSI mentioned as "has to be formulated by the Office of the Deputy Conservator Forest (DCF), Ballari and will be submitted to the Chief Conservator of Forests for his comments and onward submission to the office of Chief Wildlife Warden, Karnataka to be submitted.&

65

- Observation:8. ToR Compliance point no.18: duly authenticated WLCP, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished. Such report Not evident.
  - Reply: The Proponent informed that The Wildlife Management Plan (WMP) prepared by ZSI (GoI) has been submitted to PCCF (Wildlife) & Chief Wildlife Warden Karnataka for its authentication.
    - The said Wildlife Management Plan was prepared in compliance with the Stage I clearance, as per the condition stipulated therein, as per the condition,
      - i. WMP for the area shall be prepared by State Government and the same shall be implemented by the Forest Department.
      - ii. The cost of implementation and preparation of plan shall be borne by the Lessee
      - iii. The WMP shall be submitted to MoEF&CC further and the cost of the Plan shall be deposited in CAMPA fund prior to the final Approval.
    - As per the above terms, the conservation budget of Rs. 75 lakhs has been proposed and will be deposited on its authentication.

Observation: 9. Page 124, Schedule of species as per WLPA -2022 not evaluated.

Reply: The Proponent informed that Schedule of species as per WLPA 2022 is updated.

Observation: 10. Point no. 25: Water is withdrawn as per the Karnataka Ground Water Authority (KGWA) approved NOC. No NOC Attached.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- There are no Bore wells inside the mine lease area and water will be withdrawn from the Dharma Mine Pit for Dust Suppression.
- We have a common facility close by to the Mine for the withdrawal of water for which <u>NOC was granted by KGWA</u>, dated 12/01/2022.
- An application for the renewal of the said NOC is submitted on 10/06/2024 which is under process.
- Observation: 11. Since, it is running plant, environmental monitoring report, environmental compliances for CFO/EC, Form-V etc., not evident.
  - Reply: -The Proponent informed that as per the EC conditions Half yearly EC compliance is regularly submitted to the Authority. Also all the approvals and permissions under different statues are obtained. The latest approvals and compliances are detailed herein below,

(aug)

Sr.No	Statutory Approval/ Returns/ Compliance	Details
1	Certified Compliance Report	18.06.2024
2	Half Yearly EC compliance submitted-Latest	<u>31.05.2024</u>
3	CFO compliance submitted-latest	<u>31.05.2024</u>
4	Environmental Monitoring Report-latest	10.07.2024
5	Environmental Statement in form V	<u>17.07.2023</u>

Observation: 12. Sustainability compliance, Net Zero goals, GHG reporting not spoken.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The Sustainability Assurance Report /Compliance, Net Zero goals and GHG reporting has been done.
- The Net Zero Goals is expected to be reached by 2050.

Observation: 13. Page 24: All proved reserves are mentioned as 10.42 MTPA for both proved & mineable (what about blocked 211 shown in geological sections)

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The Mineral Reserve/Mineable Reserve consists of 2 categories:
  - i. Proved Mineral Reserve (111)
  - ii. Probable Mineral Reserve (121 & 122).
- In case of Dharma Mine, since the entire area has been brought under G1 level of exploration, hence, the Mineral Reserve or Mineable Reserve is actually Proved Mineral Reserve (111) which is calculated as 10.42 MMT.
- However, the Blocked Resources which is given as Feasibility Mineral Resource (211) will come under the category of Remaining Resources and that cannot be considered as Proved or Mineable reserves. The Blocked Resources are calculated as 2.17 MMT.
  - At page No. 24, under the Table No. 1.2 Salient Features of the Project, only the Proved and Mineable Reserves are mentioned. The details on Mineral Reserves and Resources are given again in Chapter 2, Para No. 2.8.4.1, and Table No. 2.6 wherein Geological Reserves and Resources are explained in detail.

Observation: 14. Inconsistency Data : ELA Page No.37, (72) ESZ IS within 10 km radius, Page 33 mentioned 18.5km, Page 42 mentioned 10.93 km, to be clarified.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The mining lease area is beyond the eco-sensitive zone (ESZ) of Daroji Sloth Bear Sanctuary (DSBS). The aerial distance of nearest mine boundary to the DSBS & ESZ are given below:
  - 1. Daroji Sloth Bear Sanctuary- 10.93 km
  - 2. Eco sensitive zone of Daroji Bear Sanctuary- 9.42 km 67

Observation: 15. Page 43, mentioned 2.75 Lakh m3 rain water will be harvested, Engineering plan & structures not evident.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The runoffs are diverted to the open pit. The dimensions of the pit are 150m\*50m\*16m (L\*W\*D) which accommodates approximately 1,20,000m<sup>3</sup> of water, which is further used for Dust Suppression purposes.
- The quantity of 2.75 lakh m3 may be neglected, as it is typo error. Apologies for the typo error.

Observation: 16. Page 60, SILT CONTROL MEASURES: drawings & plans to be submitted.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The Reclamation & Rehabilitation (R & R) plan was prepared by ICFRE (Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education, Dehradun) and the same has been approved by CEC.
- The R & R structures formulated/proposed by ICFRE and completed on field includes Toe Wall & Garland Drain (4 No's) and Silt Settling Tank (1 No.) are inside the Mine Lease Area.
- These structures are sufficient to sustain the rainfall in the area.

Observation: 17. Page 146: conceptual plan, afforestation 1.72 ha, Page 154: existing green belt: 3.08 Ha, proposed 5.18 Ha, clarification required to the green belt at conceptual stage.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- After the commencement of operations, 3.08 Ha has been brought under plantation as on 31.03.2023 and in the Approved Mining Plan Modification an aggregate of 5.18 Ha area has been proposed for plantation for remaining 2 FY's of the Plan Period.
- However, in the Conceptual Plan, the proposal of Afforestation has been given for a total area of 42.04 Ha, of which 28.51 Ha comes under mining, 11.81 Ha comes under dumps and 1.72 Ha comes under the 7.5 m safety zone from the ML Boundary which is also termed Green Belt Area.

Observation: 18. Functional Area Expert details to be submitted.

Reply: The Proponent informed that Details of Functional Area Expert is submitted and attached as part of EIA EMP.

68

Observation: 19. Page 163: Max value of PM10 in Mine office shows, 79, whereas in base line data it is (Annexure V of ADS) less than 64 Clarify.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- 1. The Baseline reports are verified, there was mistake in compilation which is been corrected.
- 2. Max Value of PM10 is 79.32, refer Baseline reports

(Jato)

# Observation: 20. Page 164: Max GLC in table and in isopleth has no correlation and there is data mismatch.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- As per the CPCB norms/MoEF&CC guidelines, one location in the core zone and 6 locations are selected in the buffer zone for AAQM monitoring.
- The parameters are monitored and analyzed as per the NAAQ standards.
- The location within Mining lease was selected at Mines office.
- The fugitive sampling is also carried out for SPM covering different mining operations, including excavation, loading, unloading, haulage road, etc.
- But these fugitive samples are not considered for AAQM modelling.
- The buffer zone is cluster mining zone as well. The locations have been selected considering the met data as well.
- Being the buffer area, in the cluster area and downhill the Mining areas, the GLC are found comparatively more at these locations.

The Model was run again, considered exploration, drilling and transportation, the results are tabulated as below,s

PM10 μg/m3					
Name of Location	Max Conc.	Predicted Incremental Concentration	Post Project Concentration		
Mines office (Within Mining Lease)	79.32	2.79	82.11		
Ramgad village	59.60	0.92	60.52		
Ramgad railway station	60.79	1.43	62.22		
Sushilanagar village	59.76	0	59.76		
Siddapura village	58.19	0.71	58.9		
Gunda village	60.13	0.3	60.43		
Gunda road railway station	54.15	0.52	54.67		
Near petrol pump(daulatpur)	55.6	0.0	55.6		

- Prediction of fugitive dust level in the surrounding is carried out with the help of ISCST (Industrial Source Complex-Short Term ISC-3).
- As per the predictions made using ISCST3 Modelling the total incremental increase is within the permissible limits of CPCB.

Name of Location	Max	Predicted Incremental	Post Project
	Conc.	Concentration	Concentration
Mines office (Within Mining Lease)	46.60	0.45	48.05
Ramgad village	33.39	0.33	33.72
Ramgad railway station	33.89	0.27	34.16
Sushilanagar village	34.28	0.1	34.29
	69	0	<u>^ /</u>

Siddapura village	35.17	0.0	35.17
Gunda village	34.96	0.2	34.98
Gunda road railway station	30.76	0.35	31.11
Near petrol pump(daulatpur)	28.98	0.0	28.98

- Prediction of fugitive dust level in the surrounding is carried out with the help of ISCST (Industrial Source Complex-Short Term ISC-3).
- As per the predictions made using ISCST3 Modellingthe total incremental increase is within the permissible limits of CPCB.

Observation:21. Traffic study not conducted as per IRC guidelines.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- Mine is connected to SH-49 in eastern and Northern direction through a mine road which is shared by one mine of M/s VESCO, one Mine of M/s. JSW Steel Ltd., and 2 mines of M/s. ZTC. (Total Distance-2.5Km). The Road is two way, and Kutccha road.
- From Trijunction Point to Hosahalli Transfer Point -Total Distance 9.5Km, Road is two way and Tar Road (Public Transport also exists in addition to Mineral Transportation)
- From Hosahalli Transfer Point to JSW steel Plant (distance 10.7Km, Road two way and Tar road)

### • TRAFFIC DENSITY

- I. With the present production capacity: of 0.18MTPA
- II. The no of trips per day :- 30 (18 Tonner Volume Capacity),
- III. Total:- 60 trips (considering the two way trip)
- IV. With the increase in production capacity-0.18MTPA to 0.49MTPA
- V. The no of trucks per day:-80 (18 Tonner Volume Capacity
- VI. Total:-160 trips (considering the two ways trip)
- No of trucks from neighboring Mines:- 300,
- Total 600 trips (Considering the two ways trips)

Name of the Mine	Max No of trip per day
Dharma Iron Ore Mine	• 160
From Cluster Mines	• 600
Public Transport	• 300
• Total	• 1060

harolly

- Level of Service:-
  - I. As per the Indian Road Congress guidelines for 'Traffic Predictions on Rural Areas' (IRC:64-1990), the capacity of the road, considering the hilly terrain is 7000 PCU/day.
  - II. Truck Transport Load 1060 trips per day of (truck or bus), PCU factor is 3 (PCU :-Passenger Car Unit)=1060 \*3 = 3180 PCU/day.
  - III. Road Capacity is 7000 PCU/day if added 300PCU/day for (cars and Motor Bikes) to PCU/day the total is 3480 PCU/day.
  - IV. Thus, the utilization of the Road with the added proposed Mine transport load is <60 % of the Road capacity.</li>

Considering the ratio of existing volume of PCU on roads (V) and its Capacity (C) with corresponding level of services (LOS) and its performance is of B category as per the IRC 64:1990 guidelines. The existing Road is capable of handling the incremental load of mineral transportation due to the proposed expansion in mining project.

Observation: 22. Pg 174: proposed impact on environmental has said NIL in all aspects.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The impacts discussed at page no. 174-175 are about the 'Impacts on Socioeconomic Aspects'.
- In the present section, potential impacts on the socioeconomic aspects that are likely to arise, as a result of the proposed expansion operations of Dharma Iron Ore Mine by JSW, are discussed under different headings, viz, generation of employment opportunities, cultural aspects, Education., Aesthetics, Medical facilities, Agriculture, Industry and Women.
- The present Mine is already working Mine.
- The Lessee has undertaken CSR activities that are beneficial for the people living in and around the Mine.
- The Lessee intend to upgrade the CSR activities, with budgetary provision of Rs 105.58 Lakhs per annum, all these will certainly lead to positive impact on the social aspects of the area.

Observation: 23. Socio economic benefits of the project needs to be elaborated in detail.

*Reply:* The Proponent informed that the proposed expansion of the mine in terms of increase in production will have the following benefits to the following stakeholders till the Life of the Mine:

- Direct employment of nearly 90 and indirect 1000.
- The proposed expansion of the mine in terms of increase in production will have the following benefits to the following stakeholders till the Life of the Mine such as State & Central Government, Social Benefits & Implementing Agency.
- Nearly, for 21 years Premium amount of 4200 Cr., Royalty of 672 Cr., DMF of 67.41 Cr., NMET of 13.44 Cr.

71

Gary

#### Observation: 24. To provide detailed cost benefit analysis.

Reply: The Proponent informed that,

- The product/mineral produced will be in two forms, i.e., lumps and fines.
- The cost of production per Tones of the mineral is Rs. 4408/- and the Market Price of the Mineral, as on date is Rs. 4421, thus the Profit arrived per Tones of the Mineral is Rs. 13.00/-
- Since the present Mine is already existing working mine, the expansion in production is economically viable.

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion of EC for mining in Iron Ore Mine of JSW from 0.18MTPA to 0.49 MTPA, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 26.08.2022 and ToR was issued by SEIAA on 13.07.2023. The Proponent informed the Committee that lease was granted with ML No. 0013. The Proponent informed that they will obtain transfer of FC as per the vesting order dated 03.06.2022. The Proponent has submitted certified compliance to the earlier E.C. conditions from Regional Office, MoEF&CCdated 24.05.2023.

Public hearing was conducted on 10.11.2023 and the opinions/request of 34 people have been recorded in PH minutes. The Committee reviewed the statements recorded by the people who attended the public hearing, for which the Proponent made a presentation submitting point wise compliance to all these issues/requirements raised by the public during public hearing. The proponent informed that they would strengthen the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & also to grow trees all along the approach road by obtaining necessary permission. The Proponent informed to adhere to approved Reclamation and Rehabilitation (R&R) Plan.

The Proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all parameters are found to be within permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken up to ensure that the parameters are maintained within permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 10.419 MT as per the approved Mining plan, the Committee estimated the life of the mine to be 22years and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for annual production of 0.49 MTPA, with following consideration,

1. Proponent agreed to comply with the request of public, expressed during public hearing.

2. To comply with the observations in CCR issued by MoEF&CC

3. Proponent agreed to carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.

4. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

(Jaus))
314.1.23 Brigade Commercial Development Project at Municipal No. 20B, (Old Municipal No. 8/1), Sankey Road, Ward No.99 - Aramane Nagar, Bengaluru by M/s. Brigade Enterprises Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/466909/2024 (SEIAA 34 CON 2024)

SI. No PARTICULARS		PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP				
1	L	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Brigade Enterprises Limited, 29 <sup>th</sup> & 30 <sup>th</sup> Floor, World Trade Center, Brigade Gateway Campus, 26/1, Dr Rajkumar Road, Malleswaram - Rajajinagar, Bengaluru				
2		Name & Location of the Project	Brigade Commercial Development Municipal No. 20B, (Old Municipal No.8/1), Sankey Road, Ward No. 99 - Aramane Nagar, Bengaluru				
	3	Type of Development					
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Commercial, Offices, Food Court, Small Retail Category 8(a)				
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects					
	c	Zoning Classification	The Land Use as per BDA RMP 2015 is Commercial (Business)				
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New				
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	The Project site is within the Core Area of Bengaluru City. The reference to the Village Maps for the Core area of Bengaluru is irrelevant as the Topography has been altered several times since 1902, when the present day Village Maps were drawn for the purpose of Revenue Collection through various Taxes. The project site is part of Vyalikaval Village and the part of the land earmarked as Shiti Land is the proposed project site (No Survey number is given to the land). The project site is near Rajmahal Village boundary, where a Nala is seen near the borders of the villages. On analysis of both the Village Maps it is found that Sankey Tank which was constructed in 1882 as a reservoir to supply water was linked to the Miller's tank and Dharmambudhi tank (Both Tanks are Non Existent today) passed near the project site (to Miller's Tank). Over the Years Miller's Tank near Cantonment Railway Station was intentionally built upon so was				

73

About the project:

Jæð

		Dharmambudi Tank. The drains connecting
		these lakes have also been removed and many
		structures are built over them. There is a Sri
		Mahalakshmi Taavi Gudi / Temple followed by
		Ist Main Road at the Nala area indicated in the
		Raimahal Village Man near the project site
		Today Sankey Tank is known as the Origin
		point of Vrishokhovathi Diver There is such as
		Nole towards the South of the Dail of City
		Ivala lowards the South of the Project Site seen
		in the vyalikaval village Map, this Nala is at a
		distance of about 25m. The Bengaluru
		Development Authority Revised Master Plan
		2015 does not indicate any Nala near the project
		site. Moreover, the adjoining buildings to the
		project site have existed for over 5 Decades
		now. Nata buffer is not considered for the
		project due to above facts.
	Piot Area (Sqm)	4,240.00 Sq.m
<u>⊢-                                    </u>	Built Up area (Sqm)	44,584.00 Sq.m
0	FAR	4.8
Ō	• Permissible	4.79
	Proposed	
	Building Configuration [Number	
9	of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc.,	One Building -5 Basements + Ground Floor +
	with Numbers of Basements and	32 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor.
	Upper Floors]	
	Number of units/plots in case of	
10	Construction/Residential Township	
	/Area Development Projects	
	Height Clearance	108m
	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	60 Cores
		It is estimated that about 40,950 cum of earth
		shall be excavated using latest hi-tech earth
		moving machinery. Top earth of about 3,000
		cum shall be stored and used for landscaping.
13		About 6,300 cum of excavated soil will be used
	Quantity excavated earth & its	for Roads and walkways. About 10,250 cum
	management	will be used for backfilling and remaining
		21,400 cum shall be used for manufacturing soil
		stabilized cement blocks which will be used
		within the project for construction of non-load
		bearing walls, compound walls, curbstone,
		pavers, etc. Excess Excavated Earth will be
		transported to our other projects with available



Jours My

74

		<b>—</b> ••••	storage area for	manufacturing of soil stabilized				
			cement blocks. 1	The excavated earth and the soil				
			stabilized ceme	nt blocks will be transported				
			during non-peak	hours of the day.				
	14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	·					
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	1,133.56Sq.m					
	b.	Kharab Land						
		Total Green belt on Mother Earth						
	~	for projects under 8(a) of the	450So m					
	*-	schedule of the EIA notification,	+JVOQ.III					
		2006						
	d.	Internal Roads	2 614 8Sa m					
	e.	Paved area						
	f.	Others Specify – Road Widening	41.64Sq.m					
		Parks and Open space in case of						
	g.	Residential Township/ Area						
		Development Projects						
	h.	1. Total 4,240.00Sq.m						
	15	WATER	• ••••					
	I.	Construction Phase						
	а.	Source of water	Treated water fro	om STP set-up for Labour camp				
		Quantity of water for Construction						
	b.	in KLD	IUKLD					
		Quantity of water for Domestic	20//1 D					
	С.	Purpose in KLD	ZUKLD					
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	17KLD					
	•	Treatment facility proposed and	JAVI D STD					
	С.	scheme of disposal of treated water						
	II.	Operational Phase						
		Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	59				
	а.	KID	Recycled	45				
			Total	104				
	h	Source of water	Bengaluru Water Supply and Sewage Board					
			(BWSSB), Rooftop Rainwater & Treated Water					
	c.	Waste water generation in KLD	83KLD					
	d.	STP capacity& Area required	95KLD STP					
	e.	Technology employed for	Sequencing Bate	h Reactor Technology				
		Treatment						
		Scheme of disposal of excess	Treated water wi	ll be used for toilet flushing,				
	t.	treated water if any	landscaping, mal	ce-up water for Cooling Towers				
<u> </u>	16		etc.					
	10	Intrastructure for Rain water harvest	ing					
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store	110cum					

Pur.

(Jacob)

.

75

	Roof run off	]
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	10
17	Storm Water monogement plan	Garland drains with 8 recharge pits are
17	Storm water management pran	proposed.
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
<u> </u>	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management.	Demolition Waste:Exiting Cinema Building will be demolished. The Cinema building comprises of Ground Floor + 2 Upper Floors structure. The Built-up area of the Cinema building is 22,730Sq.ft (2,112Sq.m). The reusable materials viz., Glass, Metal, Seats, Wood, etc., will be dismantled carefully and sold to local recyclers. The building structure is made up of Brick Masonry, RCC Walls, Beams and Lintels. The Brick Masonry will be carefully demolished and reused for construction of temporary Labour Camp. The steel from the RCC walls, Beams and Lintels shall be removed and sold to recyclers. About 6,336 Metric Tonnes of Demolition waste is expected to be generated. The debris will be handled as per Construction and Demolition Waste (Management) Rules 2016 and will be disposed to Authorized Sites with prior permissions from the concerned authorities. Construction Waste:40kg/m2 of constriction waste is expected to be generated, quantifying the total construction activity relates to excessive cement mix or concrete left after work is over, rejection caused due to change in design or wrong workmanship etc, concrete appears in two forms in the waste. Structural elements of building have reinforced concrete, while foundations have mass non-reinforced concrete. The construction waste shall be segregated at the project site into recyclable waste shall be sold to local recyclers and the non- recyclable waste shall be disposed to authorized disposal sites identified as per the Construction and Demolition Waste Management Rules 2016. Other miscellaneous material during
	Ann 71	5 (JACOVI)



haven

			construction that arise as waste includes, glass,				
			plastic material, general refuse, scrap metal,				
			cardboard, plastics etc. will be segregated and				
			disposed to authorized recyclers.				
		Quantity of Solid waste generation	20kg/day of solid yests shall be disposed				
	b.	and mode of Disposal other than	20kg/day of solid waste shall be disposed				
		C&D.	inrough MCC waste management contractors				
	II.	Operational Phase					
		Quantity of Biodegradable waste	Quantity:151kg/day				
		generation and mode of Disposal	Mode of Disposal:Composed within the project				
	a,	as per porms(Capacity of OWC &	campus				
		Area required)	Capacity of facility: 200kg/day				
			Area required: 35Sq.m				
			Quantity: 174kg/day				
		Quantity of Non-Biodegradable	Mode of Disposal: segregated and sold to Local				
	ь.	waste generation and mode of	Authorized Recyclers				
		Disposal as per norms	Capacity of facility: 200kg/day				
			Area required: 20Sq.m				
		Quantity of Hazardous Waste	Quantity:500 kg/annum				
	с.	generation and mode of Disposal	Mode of Disposal: Will be handed over to				
		as per norms	KSPCB Authorized Agencies				
			Area required:58q.m				
			Quantity: 50 kg/annum				
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and	Mode of Disposal: will be handed over to				
		mode of Disposal as per norms	A see service du SS a se				
	10	POWER	Area required: 55q.m				
		Total Power Requirement -					
	а.	Operational Phase	2,000KVA				
		Numbers of DG set and capacity in					
	b.	KVA for Standby Power Supply	1000KVA X 2Nos.				
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	High Speed Diesel (HSD)				
			a.Timer based External Lights				
			b.BEE Star rated electromechanical systems				
			shall be used in the development.				
		Energy conservation plan and	c.Solar Water Heating systems for top 3 floor				
Ì	d.	Percentage of savings including	dwelling units				
		plan for utilization of solar energy	d.Use of HF ballast for lighting				
		as per ECBC 2007	e.Use of LED light fittings				
			f.Building Orientation; Cross Ventilation.				
			Total Savings - 21.76%				
	20	PARKING					
ļ	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	410 Nos.				
i	b	Level of Service (LOS) of the	Towards Mekari Circle & Sankey Tank - D				
		fam. 77	Gruth				



Gaudh

[	[	connecting Roads as per the	Towards ITC Windsor - D				
		Traffic Study Report					
	C.	Internal Road width (RoW)	бт				
21		CED Activities	Implementation of EMP				
		CER Activities	Redevelopment of Venkatappa Art Gallery,				
		<u> </u>	Karturba Road, Bengaluru				
			Construction Phase:				
			Capital Investment – 31.35 Lakhs				
	22	EMP (Details and capital cost &	Recurring Cost – 4.05 Lakhs/ Annum				
	<i>LL</i>	recurring cost)	Operation Phase:				
			Capital Investment – 93.20 Lakhs				
		<u> </u>	Recurring Cost – 20.65 Lakhs/ Annum				

The proposal was considered during 311<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project for want of clarification/reply from the Proponent for the observation made.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted point wise clarification for the observation,

Observation: 1.To carry out due diligence study for the proposed project considering the project location.

Reply: Proponent informed that the project site was a Theatre for Movie Screening and did not carry any industrial activity at the project site. Further, like to inform that the project is a Joint Development with the landowners, who have owned the land parcel for over 6 decades now. We have collected required details from them as part of the Due Diligence exercise and did not find any risk of contamination of Soil, Groundwater or any other Environmental indicators. We have also obtained required information on the existing Water and Power Lines which may cause any risk to the Manpower involved in the dismantling of the Theatre structure. We have further disconnected the water, sanitary and power supply lines to ensure that there are no risk of any kind during dismantling. The waste generated from the dismantling process will be handled as per C & D Waste Rules 2016.

Further, we have collected and analysed Soil and Ground Water Samples and have found that there is no contamination due to the operation of the Theatre. The copies of the test reports are enclosed for your kind perusal.

We have also carried out detailed Geotechnical Investigation for the project site to zero down to the foundation depth and other required structural details required for Design. The copy of the Geotechnical Investigation report is enclosed for your reference and kind perusal.

Observation: 2. Details of hydrogeological studies and drainage pattern of the proposed area.



(Jacon)

Reply: Proponent informed that the Cauvery Theatre premises gently sloped by 1.0m in West – East direction over 115m towards Bellary Road. Further, Cauvery Theatre premises was completely impervious with the theatre Building, concrete driveways and Parking and did not have any rainwater harvesting structures viz., Recharge Pits and Rooftop Rainwater Sumps. 100% of the rainwater from the Cauvery Theatre premises was discharge into the municipal Storm Water Drain of 1.8m x 0.6m size with estimated carrying capacity of 2.7cum/secrunning along Bellary Road abutting the project site.

The storm water runoff from the present premises is estimated to about 80cum (which is 0.022cum/sec) at average rainfall of 21mm/hr and co-efficient of runoff as 0.9.

After development of the proposed project comprising of a similar coverage will also generate about 80cum of runoff which will harvested through Rooftop Rainwater Harvesting sump of 110cum and 8 Recharge Pits. The total rainwater harvesting capacity for the proposed project is 137cum. Thus, reducing the overall runoff into the municipal storm water drain. The project with its Rainwater Harvesting structures will have positive affect to the roadside storm water drainage system.

Catchment Area of the Storm Water Drain along the Bellary Road abutting the project site (Cauvery Theatre).

The size of the box drain is  $1.8m \ge 0.6m$  with carrying capacity of 2.7cum/sec. The catchment area of this drain starts from the Magic Box Bridge is about 87,383Sq.m. (0.087Sq.km).

Catchment of Nala (Consider 10 Year Return	n Peric	od and (	One Hour	Peak)
Maximum rainfall intensity	Ι	=	65 mn	a / hr
Runoff coefficient	С	=	0.6	
Total Area of catchment		Α	=	87,383Sq.m
(0.087 Sq. km)				
Maximum flood,	Q	=	CAI =	= 0.946 m <sup>3</sup> /sec

Fig: Hydrogeological Flow Analysis Map showing Election of Natural Flow of Storm Water

Determining Capacity of channel (inter	nal flow)	)	
Velocity of water flowing	v	=	2.5 m/s
Cross Sectional area	Α	=	Width x Height
	=	1.8 2	$(0.6 = 1.08 \text{ m}^2)$
Discharge,	Q	=	A X V= 1.08 x 2.5
$= 2.7 m^{3} sec > 0.946 m^{3} sec$			

Thus, concluding that there is positive effect on the runoff from the project site and the Carrying capacity of the municipal storm water drain is adequate.

Observation: 3. To explore the possibilities for alternative site for the proposed project and relook into the feasibility study of proposed project location.

Jardy

Reply:Proponent informed that the The project site is in the core zone of Bengaluru City and is earmarked for Commercial Development by the Bengaluru Development Authority. The project site is existing Cauvery Theatre and it is proposed to dismantle the existing structure and construct new building on similar ground coverage and footprint. The only difference is that we are planning to achieve the maximum permissible FAR for the project site by going Tall and proposing to construct Ground Floor + 32 Upper Floors which is the norm for metro cities worldwide and in India. The project after completion of construction will improve the aesthetics of the surrounding and provide Job Opportunity for over 2,000 People. Best construction practices are proposed for the project including obtaining Gold Green Building Certification from Indian Green Building Council (IGBC) for the project. Use of Construction Materials with recycled content of 20% and more, Rainwater Harvesting Systems, Sewage Treatment and Recycling, Mitigation of Pollution during Construction, Use of Energy Efficient systems viz., LED Lights, High COP Chillers, Double Gazed Unit Glass to optimise Daylighting, Water Conservation low flow water fixtures, Waterless urinals, etc. Apart from above, the project site has excellent connectivity including Public Transport. The Bus Stop is just 200m from the project site and several buses stop for various destinations of Bengaluru City and Suburban Areas. Further, the upcoming metro line to Bengaluru International Airport through Hebbal will reduce vehicular traffic flow and improve the connectivity.

#### Observation: 4. Details of soil test report.

Reply:Proponent submitted the Copy of the soil test report.

#### Observation: 5. KGWA approvals for ground water.

Reply:Proponent informed that the source of water is from BWSSB. They do not intent to use Borewell water in the project and thus KGWA NOC is not applicable.

#### Observation: 6. SOP for handling excavated earth and its management plan.

Reply:Proponent informed that the excavation will be for providing basement, footings, sump tanks etc. and excavated earth will be used for backfilling, leveling of earth, internal roads, etc.

After scientific assessment, it is estimated that about 40,950 cum of earth shall be excavated using latest hi-tech earth moving machinery. Top earth of about 3,000 cum shall be stored and used for landscaping. About 6,300 cum of excavated soil will be used for Roads and walkways. About 10,250cum will be used for backfilling and remaining 21,400cum shall be used for manufacturing soil stabilized cement blocks which will used within the project for construction of non-load bearing walls, compound walls, curbstone, pavers, etc. Excess Excavated Earth will be transported to our other projects with available storage area for manufacturing of soil stabilized cement blocks. The excavated earth and the soil stabilized cement blocks will be transported during non-peak hours of the day.

Further, Diaphragm wall construction technology is adopted for excavation and construction of Basement retaining Walls from top of ground level to the foundation level which is about 18m - 20m below.

Javan

Diaphragm wall is a reinforced concrete structure constructed in-situ panel by panel. The wall is usually designed to reach very great depth, sometimes up to 50m, mechanical excavating method is thus employed. Typical sequence of work includes:

- 1. Construct the guide wall
- 2. Excavation to form the diaphragm wall trench
- 3. Support the trench cutting using bentonite / engineered slurry
- 4. Inert reinforcement and placing of concrete to form the wall panel
- 5. Guide wall guide wall is two parallel concrete beams constructed along the side of the wall as a guide to the clamshell which is used for the excavation of the diaphragm wall trenches.

Guide wall are constructed in-suit typically as lightly reinforced concrete element. Guide wall maintain the horizontal alignment and wall continuity of diaphragm wall while providing support for the upper soil depth during panel excavation.

- 6. Trench excavation In normal soil condition excavation is done using aclamshell or grab suspended by cablesto a crane. The grab can easily cut through soft ground. In case of encountering boulders, a gravity hammer (chisel) will be used to break the rock and then take the spoil out using the grab.
- 7. Excavation support the sides inside the trench cut can collapse easily. Bentonite slurry issued to protect the sides of soil. Bontonite is a specially selected fine clay, when added to water, forms an impervious cakelike slurry with very large viscosity. The slurry will produce a great lateral pressure sufficient enough to retain the vertical soil.
- 8. Reinforcement reinforcement is inserted in the form of a steel cage, but may be required to lap a few sections in order to reach the required length.
- Concreting placing of concrete is done using tremie pipes to avoid the segregation of concrete. As Concrete being poured down, bontonite will be displaced due to its lower density than concrete. Bontonite is then collected and reused.
- 10. Joining for the diaphragm wall panel Diaphragm wall cannot be constructed continually for a very long section due to limitation and size of the mechanical plant. The wall is usually constructed in alternative section. Two stop end tubes will be placed at the ends of the excavated trench before concreting. The tubes are withdrawn at the same time of concreting so that a semi-circular end section is formed. Wall sections are formed alternatively leaving an intermediate section in between. The in-between sections are built similarly afterward but without the end tube. At the end a continual diaphragm wall is constructed with the panel sections tightly joined by the semi-circular groove.

## Advantages of Diaphragm Wall Construction

- 1. The process of constructing the Diaphragm Wall is relatively quiet and has little vibration
- 2. The Diaphragm Wall can be constructed to great depths (in excess of 80m)
- 3. The Diaphragm Wall can be constructed on various soil types and rocks

81

- 4. The Diaphragm Wall is watertight; no dewatering is required and hence has little effects on adjacent structures.
- 5. The Diaphragm Wall serves both as an external wall for the basement and foundation for the superstructure.

yaan,

6. Minimal Ground Settlement: They help control ground settlement during excavation, reducing the impact on adjacent structures and the surrounding environment.

Observation: 7. Details of C&D waste and plan of handling.

Reply:Proponent informed that the C & D waste generated from the project will be disposed through authorized agencies identified and notified by BBMP and KSPCB. Approval from Bengaluru Solid Waste Management Limited, (A Government of Karnataka Undertaking, A Joint SPV of BBMP and Government for Solid Waste Management) is obtained for disposal of C & D waste at Sy. No. 50, of Kannur Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru or Chikkajala, Yelahanka, Bengaluru. Copy of the approval is enclosed for you kind perusal.

Observation:8. To increase solar energy harvesting capacity.

- Reply:Proponent informed that an attempt has been made to maximise the use of Solar P V Panels for generation of Energy. It is proposed to install 50KWH Capacity of Solar PV Panels. Also, it is proposed to avail Green Power through Power Wheeling.
- Observation: 9. To revise entry/exit approach for smooth traffic handling considering the congestion prevailing at present.
  - Reply: Proponent informed that the entire frontage of about 19m will be used for Entry and Exit of Vehicles along with provisions for Security Room and Pedestrian Pathway. Bell mouth entry and exit is proposed along with Hi-Tech Boom Barriers with sensors. RFID cards will be used to all employees for smooth entry and exit without any security checks.Under Vehicle Surveillance System are proposed to avoid stoppage of vehicles near the entry gate. Dropoff points for taxies are proposed near the portico for quick entry and exit. Trained Security Personal will be appointed to manage the traffic efficiently. Carpooling by employees will be encouraged and suitably rewarded. Awareness on availability of public transport will also be created by listing of the Bus Numbers and their Routes. Flexi working hours will be allowed. Food courts and canteen facilities will be provided to employees to avoid traveling out of office for Food and beverages. Lobbing with concerned Government Agencies for widening of the Bellary Road will be taken up through our Public Relationship Officers.

Observation: 10.CER in specific terms.

Reply: The Proponent submitted that they will carry on the restoration of Venkatappa Art Gallery Bengaluru. The restoration work will include repairing the museum's infrastructure, including civil repairs, public amenities, and enhancing gallery displays as per required standards to help preserve artworks. It will also include improving external landscaping. All this will be done while retaining the museum's original character. The restoration work is expected to be completed in 10 months' time.

The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

per My

The proposal is for construction of a commercial building project in an area earmarked for commercial use as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority.

Further, the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install aerators for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 55 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 110 cum & 27cum and 10 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 55 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 7. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project with the security and drop off point need the portico and to shift Boom Barrier inside the premises to avoid the traffic congestion on the main road.
- 8. To provide necessary arrangements for vehicle wheel wash and covering the loaded vehicles with tarpaulin sheet before going out of the site.
- 9. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 10. To install aerators to conserve water.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.1.24 Residential Apartment (with Club House) / Commercial Building Project at Sy.No.52/2 of Doddabettanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by Sri Abdul Azeem – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/469402/2024 (SEIAA 29 CON 2024)

This project was considered during 311<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting as the Proponent remained absent the Committee had defer the appraisal of the Project.

In the Present meeting the Proponent informed that due to changes in BUA, configuration they have submitted revised proposal and requested to withdraw the current proposal.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for rejection.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for necessary action.



83

# 314.1.25 White Quartz, Building Stone & Murram Project at Sy.Nos. 81/1, 81/2, 85/1 Part II, 85/2a, 85/2b, 85/3 & 85/4 of Appalapura Village, Sandur Taluk, Ballari District (6-10 Acres) by Sri R. Balaramudu – Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/469698/2024 (SEIAA 31 MIN 2024)

This project was considered during 311<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting as the Proponent remained absent and the Committee haddefer the appraisal of the Project.

In the present meeting again the Proponent remained absent. Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the project and decided to give one more opportunity to the Proponent.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC in upcoming meeting.

# 314.1.26 ToR: Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.204/2 of Thirthkunde Village, Khanapur Tq & Belagavi Dist. (6-00 Acres) (2.43 Ha) by M/s. Popular Crushers Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/472426/2024 (SEIAA 62 MIN 2024)

The proposal was earlier considered during 312<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal for want of clarification from DMG for present site condition.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted clarification from DMG vide letter dated 28.06.2024, informing that the pit caused while blasting in adjacent lease area with QL 1639 and mineral of about 5000 MT is stocked within the site area and Proponent has agreed to pay royality before dispatching the mineral. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 6-00 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 29.08.2018 and approved mining plan on 19.04.2024.

The Proponent requested the Committee to consider the following proposals 1. SEIAA 62 MIN 2024, 2. SEIAA 430 MIN 2023 & 3. SEIAA 16 MIN 2024 to issue combined ToR as the lease areas are within the same cluster.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard combined ToR for 1. SEIAA 62 MIN 2024, 2. SEIAA 430 MIN 2023 & 3. SEIAA 16 MIN 2024 along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.

- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 4. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 5. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 6. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 7. Waste handling details.
- 8. Details of drain and its mitigation measures.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



# 314.1.27 Proposed Modification & Expansion of API's and Intermediates Project at Plot Nos.130, 131, KIADB Raichur Growth centre, Chicksugur, Raichur Taluk, Raichur District by M/s. Red Labs Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND3/247607/2021 (SEIAA 68 IND 2021)

The Proponent remained absent without intimation and hence the Committee after discussion decided to defer the appraisal of the Project.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to putup before SEAC in upcoming meeting.

# 314.1.28 ToR: Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Sy.No. 200/3 of Thirthakunde Village, Khanapura Taluk, Belagavi District (5-00 Acres) by Sri Aditya Savant SIA/KA/MIN/443989/2023 (SEIAA 430 MIN 2023)

The proposal was earlier considered during 304<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and as the Proponent remained absent, the Committee had deferred the project.

In the present meeting the, the Committee noted that the proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 5-03 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 13.09.2022 and approved mining plan on 14.08.2023.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The Proponent requested the Committee to consider the following proposals 1. SEIAA 62 MIN 2024, 2. SEIAA 430 MIN 2023 & 3.SEIAA 16 MIN 2024 to issue combined ToR as the lease areas are within the same cluster.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard combined ToR for 1. SEIAA 62 MIN 2024, 2. SEIAA 430 MIN 2023 & 3. SEIAA 16 MIN 2024 along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 4. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 5. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 6. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 7. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 8. Waste handling details.
- 9. Details of drain and its mitigation measures.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

# 314.1.29 ToR: Building Stone (M-sand) Quarry Project at Sy.No.122/2, 3 & 4 (P) of Ranakunde Village, Belagavi Taluk & District (9-00 Acres) by Sri Anant K Savant SIA/KA/MIN/444039/2023 (SEIAA 431 MIN 2023)

The proposal was earlier considered during 304<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and as the Proponent remained absent, the Committee had deferred the project.

Yan

In the present meeting the, the Committee noted that the proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 9-00 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 22.03.2022 and approved mining plan on 14.08.2023.

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR for along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 4. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 5. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 6. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 7. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 8. Waste handling details.
- 9. Details of drain and its mitigation measures.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

#### <u>DEFERRED EIA</u>

# 314.1.30 Amendment in Environmental Clearance to include Red Category Industries at Dobaspet 4<sup>th</sup> Phase Industrial Area in the Villages of Yedehalli, Chandana Hosahalli, Honnenahalli, Kengal Kempohalli, Avverahalli, K.G.Srinivasapura, Billanakote, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by KIADB-DABASPET – NELAMANGALA (SEIAA 20 IND 2017)

The proposal for appraisal of B1 category project for modification of EC issued by SEIAA on 27.08.2015 for inclusion of red category industries in the KIADB area, for which ToR was issued by SEIAA on 03.04.2018 and PH was conducted on 26.09.2018 and EIA report was submitted on 25.03.2019.

The following are the earlier deliberation by SEAC/SEIAA,

• The project was earlier considered during 252<sup>nd</sup> SEAC Meeting and the Committee had deliberated the following,

'The Proponent has obtained Environmental Clearance for the above said proposal on 27-08-2015, and is seeking amendment to EC to include Red category industries at Dobaspet 4<sup>th</sup> phase Industrial Area. As per the TGR Notification, Dated: 18-11-2003, the entire area of Dobaspet 4<sup>th</sup> phase falls under Zone-I.

In this regard, the Proponent has applied for EC amendment. The proponent and Environmental consultant attended the meeting of SEAC to provide required clarification/additional information.



While appraising the proposal the proponent has stated that the lands for this project have been acquired beyond 100 meters from the habitat as per the guidelines of C&I Department. The proponent has also stated that in case of water bodies the lands have been acquired up to the edge of water bodies and while preparing the development plan, he has left buffer as per NGT order of dated: 4<sup>th</sup> May 2016. The committee deliberated on the siting guidelines for setting up of Red category industries and found that the proponent has failed to furnish the required information.

The proponent also submitted that while allotting land to the industries they will impose conditions to compulsorily install effluent treatment plant with zero liquid discharge, to maintain the air emission within the prescribed standards of the Central Pollution Control Board and to dispose the hazardous waste such as ETP sludge etc., to the authorized processing agencies.

In the light of the above observations, the committee decided to recall the proponent after submission of the following information.

- 1). To furnish the information to meet the siting guidelines for setting up of Red category industries as stipulated by MoEF & CC/CPCB.
- 2). The actual distance between the habitat (minimum/maximum distance) and the acquired lands is to be assessed properly and submitted.
- 3). If any expansion of the village beyond the gramathana limits has taken place, the same has tobe reported citing maximum and minimum distances from the expanded portion.
- 4). The list and nature of industries for which the land has been allotted with the pollution potential is to be submitted.
- 5). Baseline studies should be made afresh and tobe submitted.
- 6). Submit the compliance to the earlier EC issued.

The proponent has submitted the replies vide letter dated: 5-3-2018

The subject is placed before the committee for appraisal.

The Proponent and NABET Accredited Consultant M/s. ABC Techno Labs India Pvt. Ltd., Chennai (represented by Sri. Rajendran) attended the meeting to provide clarification/additional information.

The committee perused the reply submitted by the proponent and observed the following:

- 1). The proponent has failed to furnish the information regarding meeting the siting guidelines for establishing Red category industries as stipulated by MoEF & CC /CPCB.
- 2). Non compliance of the earlier E.C conditions dated 27-8-2015, particularly the establishment of CETP.

The Committee after discussion decided to appraise the proposal as B1 and had decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue Standard ToR for conducting EIA study in accordance with EIA Notification 2006 along with relevant guidelines. The committee also decided to prescribe the following additional ToRs:

Vaci

- 1) The types of red category industries, area earmarked for red category industries with due justification, their pollution potential such as water intensive, air polluting, engineering industries, different infrastructure provided, pollution control/mitigation measures proposed including green belt development.
- 2) Measures proposed to ensure the water flow to the T.G. Halli reservoir remains unaltered qualitatively and quantitatively.
- 3) Details of the area covered under T.G. Halli Notification duly marking it on the proposed layout plan.
- 4) Impact of the proposed activity on the farming community.
- 5) Full compliance to earlier E.C conditions along with certified report of the status of compliance of the condition stipulated in the EC from the Regional officer, MoEF, GOI.

Accordingly ToRs were issued on 3-4-2018. The proponent has submitted the EIA report on 5-4-2019.

The EIA report was placed before the committee for Appraisal. The proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 222<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 10-5-2019 for EIA appraisal.

As seen from the documents submitted, the concerns expressed by the public during public hearing have not been addressed properly that too the concerns pertaining to environmental concerns are to be reasoned out properly for this the proponent has agreed to come back after addressing these concerns effectively. Hence the committee decided to defer.

The proponent has submitted the replies vide letter dated:11-6-2019. The proponent was invited for the 228<sup>th</sup> meeting to provide additional clarification. The proponent has requested for more time to make a presentation.

Hence the committee decided to defer the subject.

The proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 229<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> August 2019 to provide required clarification. The committee noted that as far as the foul smell emanating in the surroundings as expressed by the public, the KIADB has said that this foul smell emanation is from M/s. Ramky Hazardous Waste processing unit which is located in other phase of Dabaspet and not connected to this layout and KIADB has given an undertaking that they will initiate suitable action if they found any lapses in the operation of the unit.

The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

List the status of fauna and flora found within 10 KMs aerial distance/15 KMs study area as per IUCN and wildlife (protection) Act 1972 and if there are any schedule-I and RET species prepare Biodiversity protection and conservation action plan in consultation with Forest Authorities and submit along with budget and to implement in a time bound.

The replies submitted by the proponent were placed before the  $252^{nd}$  SEAC meeting held on 27.08.2020 for reconsideration.

The replies submitted by the proponent were perused. After discussion and deliberation committee accepted the replies submitted by the proponent and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental clearance."



Jawk.

The Authority during its 191<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting had referred back the proposal informing,

"Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board have applied for amendment in Environmental Clearance issued for establishment of Dobaspet 4<sup>th</sup> Phase Industrial Area, in the villages of Yedehalli, Chan dana Hosahalli, Honnenahalli, Kengal Kempohalli, Avverahalli, K G Srinivasapura, Billanakote, Nelamangala Taluk, Bengaluru Rural District including Red Category Industries also.

The Proponent had obtained Environmental Clearance for the above said proposal vide letter No.SEIAA 1 IND 2013 dated 27-08-2015 for establishment of Orange and green category industries on a total plot are of 891.26 Acres (360.68 Ha).

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25.11.2017. While appraising the proposal the proponent has stated that the lands for this project have been acquired beyond 100 meters from the habitat as per the guidelines of C&I Department. The proponent has also stated that in case of water bodies the lands have been acquired up to the edge of water bodies and while preparing the development plan, he has left buffer as per NGT order of dated: 4<sup>th</sup> May 2016. The committee deliberated on the siting guidelines for setting up of Red category industries and found that the proponent has failed to furnish the required information. The proponent also submitted that while allotting land to the industries they will impose conditions to compulsorily install effluent treatment plant with zero liquid discharge, to maintain the air emission within the prescribed standards of the Central Pollution Control Board and to dispose the hazardous waste such as ETP sludge etc., to the authorized processing agencies. The committee decided to recall the proponent after submission of the following information.

- 1) To furnish the information to meet the siting guidelines for setting up of Red category industries as stipulated by MoEF & CC/CPCB.
- 2) The actual distance between the habitat (minimum/maximum distance) and the acquired lands is to be assessed properly and submitted.
- 3) If any expansion of the village beyond the gramathana limits has taken place, the same has tobe reported citing maximum and minimum distances from the expanded portion.
- 4) The list and nature of industries for which the land has been allotted with the pollution potential is to be submitted.
- 5) Baseline studies should be made afresh and to be submitted.
- 6) Submit the compliance to the earlier EC issued.

The committee in the meeting held on 15.03.2018 perused the reply submitted by the proponent vide letter dated: 5-3-2018 and observed the following:

- 1) The proponent has failed to furnish the information regarding meeting the siting guidelines for establishing Red category industries as stipulated by MoEF & CC /CPCB.
- 2) Non compliance of the earlier E.C conditions dated 27-8-2015, particularly the establishment of CETP.

The Committee after discussion decided to appraise the proposal as B1 and had decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue Standard ToR with additional ToR for conducting EIA study in accordance with EIA Notification 2006 along with relevant guidelines. The Authority during the meeting held on 24.03.2018 decided to issue ToR as recommended by SEAC for conducting the Environment Impact Assessment study in accordance with EIA Notification, 2006.

Accordingly, ToRs were issued vide letter dated 3-4-2018. The proponent has submitted the EIA report on 5-4-2019.



89

The EIA report was placed before the committee for Appraisal in the meeting held on 10-5-2019.As seen from the documents submitted, the concerns expressed by the public during public hearing have not been addressed properly that too the concerns pertaining to environmental concerns are to be reasoned out properly for this the proponent has agreed to come back after addressing these concerns effectively. Hence the committee decided to defer.

The proponent has submitted the replies vide letter dated:11-6-2019. The proponent was invited for the SEAC meeting held on 7.8.2019 to provide additional clarification. The proponent has requested for more time to make a presentation. Hence the committee decided to defer the subject.

The proponent and Environment Consultant attended the 229<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> August 2019 to provide required clarification. The committee noted that as far as the foul smell emanating in the surroundings as expressed by the public, the KLADB has said that this foul smell emanation is from M/s. Ramky Hazardous Waste processing unit which is located in other phase of Dabaspet and not connected to this layout and KLADB has given an undertaking that they will initiate suitable action if they found any lapses in the operation of the unit. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to reconsider after submission of the following information.

List the status of fauna and flora found within 10 KMs aerial distance/15 KMs study area as per IUCN and wildlife (protection) Act 1972 and if there are any schedule-I and RET species prepare Biodiversity protection and conservation action plan in consultation with Forest Authorities and submit along with budget and to implement in a time bound.

The replies submitted by the proponent were placed before the 252<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 27.08.2020 for reconsideration. The Committee perused the replies submitted by the proponent. After discussion and deliberation committee accepted the replies submitted by the proponent and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental clearance.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority noted that the concerns expressed by the public at large and the institutions located in the area are not properly addressed. Given the location sensitivity and keeping the sanctity and importance of protecting Tippagondanahalli Reservoir which is a drinking water source for Bangalore City from any possibility of pollution, the Authority opined that the proponent can think of alternative site for red category activity reserving the industrial area in question only for green and orange category is suggestive.

The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC to appraise the proposal considering the above observation and send recommendation deemed fit from the point of view of environmental sustainability."

• The Committee in its 269<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting had deferred the proposal informing,

"This proposal was appraised during 252<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting and decided to recommend the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance.

The Authority during perused the proposal during 191<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority noted that the concerns expressed by the public at large and the institutions located in the area are not properly addressed. Given the sensitivity of the location and keeping the sanctity and importance of protecting Tippagondanahalli Reservoir which is a drinking water source for Bangalore City from any possibility of pollution, the Authority opined that the proponent can think of alternative site for red category activity reserving the industrial area in question only for green and orange category which is suggestive.

lar

The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC to appraise the proposal considering the above observation and send recommendation deemed fit from the point of view of environmental sustainability.

During appraisal the committee suggested the proponent to submit the following information to continue with the appraisal and decided to defer the appraisal of the project proposal.

- 1) Compliance to the concerns expressed during public hearing.
- 2) List of existing industries located within the industrial area.
- 3) Commitment towards establishment of CETP.
- 4) Explore the possibility of Alternative site for red category industries
- 5) Hydrological study by considering the Arkavathi River and TGR catchment area."

In the present meeting the Proponent informed that they had submitted information regarding the earlier clarification sought by the Committee.

The Committee initially sought clarification regarding the existing industries located within the industrial area. The Proponent informed that presently orange, green and few red category industries are existing in the industrial area. The Committee noted the clarification and after detailed discussion decided to categorise the proposal as violation of EC, as the Proponent had allowed red category industries against the EC condition and decided to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

# 314th SEAC Meeting 2nd Day Proceedings

1.	Shri Mahesh A.N.	Chairman
2.	Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav,	Member
3.	Dr. Balakrishna S,	Member
4.	Shri Shivappa Naik,	Member
5.	Shri K H Nagaraj,	Member
6.	Shri Sadiq Ahmed,	Member
7.	Shri Dhruva Kumara B Y,	Member
8.	Shri. R Gokul, IFS	Member Secretary

# Members present in the meeting held on 19th July - 2024

# 314.2.1 Development of Integrated Solid Waste & Disposal Facility Project at Sy.Nos.75, 77/1 and 77/2, Kutanooru Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagara District by GUNDLUPET TMC – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/436968/2023 (SEIAA 41 IND 2021)

The proposal is for establishment of CMSWMF with capacity of 45TPD in an area of 12-28 Acres, for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 15.11.2021 and PH was conducted on 06.09.2022.

The Committee during appraisal observed following shortcoming in EIA report submitted by Proponent,

- 1. Air modelling not evident, the PP has to run the air & noise modelling and incorporate in the EIA report.
- 2. Land fill design in presentation and EIA is different.
- 3. Detail of year wise planning for the next five years.
- 4. Improvement to approach road by asphalting or concreting.
- 5. Correction of units in various reports.
- 6. As per village map, 1 primary & 1 secondary nallah started from that area: need a stringent Storm water management plan.
- 7. For Noise & Odour control through a bio Enzyme based treatment to be adopted.
- 8. Detailed plan of Maintenance & sustenance plan to be elaborated
- 9. Ground water quality monitoring for toxicity test to be incorporated.
- 10. Non-biodegradable MSW planned to send to Power plant, more details of the nearby power plant
- 11. Compliance to DMG letter conditions to be complied.
- 12. Most of the report at advisory in nature, not evident as study report.

Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal and informed the Proponent to submit the above details.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to put up before SEAC after submission of clarification sought.

314.2.2 "Expansion of manufacturing of MS Billets from 7,000 TPM (84,000 TPA) to 14,000 TPM (1,68,000 TPA) by increasing induction furnace capacity from 25 Tonnes to 30 Tonnes and establishment of Rolling Mill with production capacity of 15,000 TPM (1,80,000 TPA)" within the existing industry premises at the above-mentioned location at Survey Numbers 84/1A, 84/1B, 84/1C, 84/2, 84/3, 84/4, 84/5, 84/6, 84/7, 83/5A, 83/5B, 83/5C, 83/3, 83/4, 82/1A, 82/1B, 82/1C and 82/2 of Kallanayakanahalli Village, Hutridurga Hobli, Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District by M/s. M. S. Metals & Steels Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND1/468454/2024 (SEIAA 25 IND 2023)

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Sri Sachin B Rathod, Director 401, Navkar Commercial Complex, Opp. Andheri Court, Court Lane, Andheri East, Mumbai, Mumbai City, Maharashtra, India, 400069
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of MS Billets production from 7,000 TPM (84,000 TPA) to 14,000 TPM (1,68,000 TPA) by increasing the induction furnace capacity from 25 Tonnes to 30 Tonnes & proposing for establishment of Rolling Mill facility with production capacity of 15,000 TPM (1,80,000 TPA). Sy. Nos. 84/1A, 84/1B, 84/1C, 84/2, 84/3, 84/4, 84/5, 84/6, 84/7, 83/5A, 83/5B, 83/5C, 83/3, 83/4, 82/1A, 82/1B, 82/1C and 82/2 of Kallanayakanahalli Village, Hutridurga Hobli, Kunigal Taluk & Tumkur District
3	Environmental Sensitivity	
	a Distance From nearest . Lake/ River/ Nala	Nala - adjacent to the project boundary (W & S) Lake in NW direction - 60 m Lake in NE direction - 20 m Anchepalya Lake - 0.8 km (NW) Yaliur Lake - 1.1 km (S) Talekere Lake - 2.3 km (NE) Kunigal Lake - 3.5 km (NW)
	b Distance from Protected area notified under wildlife protection act	No Protected area notified under wildlife protection act within 10 km radius from the project site
	c Distance from the interstate . boundary	68.22 km (Karnataka – Tamil Nadu Boundary (SE))
	d whether located in critically / severally polluted area as per the CPCB norms	No
5	Type of Development as per schedule of EIA Notification, 2006 with relevant serial number	Category B [3(a)] as per EIA Notification 2006
6	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	Expansion
7	Plot Area (Sqm)	64,749.8
8	Built Up area (Sqm)	Existing - 10,521.8 Proposed 11,857.3 Total - 22,379.1

About the Project:

120110

Sl.No	L	PARTICULARS			INI	FOR	MA	TION	Pro	video	l by PP	
9	C	omponent of developments	M (8 E T	IS 34,0 sta PN	Billetspr 000 TPA blishmer 1 (1,80,0	roduc .) to 1 nt of 00 TI	tior 4,0 Rol PA)	n – Exp 00 TPN Iling M	oand 4 (1, fill (	ing 1 ,6 <b>8</b> ,0 TM1	from 7,0 00 TPA) [ Bars) -	00 TPM - 15,000
10	Pr	oject cost (Rs. In crores)	6	9.5	0							
11	D	etails of Land Use (Sqm)		•	·							
	<b>a</b> .	Ground Coverage Area		S	Land		A	rea (Sa	m)			
	b.	Kharab Land		l	Descri	ptio					1	in
	c.	Internal Roads	]]]]	N	n		E	cistin	Рго а	pose	Total	%
	<u>d</u> .	Paved area	╎┝	0	Ground		<u>8</u> 10	521	u 11	957		╀┥│
	e.	Parking		1	Coverage	e	8	,521.	3	1,057	<b>22,379</b> .:	IB4.6
	<u>1</u> .	Green belt	┨┝╴		Nala Bu	ffer	•					
				2	area (Greenb	elt)	12	2,000			12,000	35.0
					Greenbe	elt	10 5	,662.			10,662.:	5
	g.	Others Specify		3	Road, Parking Open A	& rea	19 2	,708.			19,708.2	230.4
				4	Vacant Area		11 3	,857.	- 11,8	157.3	0	0
					Total		64 8	,749.	0		64,749.8	3 <sup>100</sup>
	n.	10081							0		(3.477.)	
	Products and By- Products with		DL.		Product		Existi		עע	Pro	V Total	
12	au	antity (enclose as Annexure	1		MS Billets		ets 84.00		0 84,000		.000	1.68.000
	if necessary )		2	2 Ro (T		ling Mill AT Bars)		-		1,8	0,000	1,80,000
						Raw	J	Qua	ntit	у (М	TA)	
			SI. No		Jnits Mat rial		Mate Exis rial ng		Pro pose d		Tota l	Source
						Spong e Iron Pig iron		ng 48,30		8,3	96,6	Local
								0		0	00	Market
	Ra	aw material with quantity and	1		nducti n			1,932	2	,93	3,80 4	Market Local
13	th A	their source (enclose as Annexure if necessary)			urnace	Scra	ф	46,36 8	4	6,3 8	92,7 36	Market/ Mill/Cru sher
			2 F N		Rolling Aill	Billets						Captive producti
								-	1   4	,89, 74	1,89, 474	billet with
				9	4							TPA and

Ą.

SI.No PARTICULARS INFORMATION Provided by PP			
			addition al 21,474 TPA from external sources
14	M m	ode of transportation of Raw aterial and storage facility	Mode of transportation: Road Storage facility: Raw material storage shed of area 990 Sqm within the project site
15	Tr fa ca	ransportation and storage cility for coal / Bio-fuel in use of thermal power plant	No thermal power plant proposed
16	Fl di us	y ash production, storage and sposal details whereas coal is ed as fuel	Induction furnaces are used for producing billets. No use of coal for the production of billets.
17	W	ATER	
	<u>µ.</u>	Construction Phase	Deristing have an II
	ä.	Oughtity of water for	Existing dore well
	b.	Construction in KLD	5
		Quantity of water for	2.25
	c.	Domestic Purpose in KLD	
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2.0
	e.	Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water	Septic tank followed by Soak pit
	Π	Operational Phase	
	a.	Source of water	Bore Weil
	b.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	285 (Existing - 110, Proposed - 175)
	c.	Requirement of water for industrial purpose / production in KLD	240 (Existing – 86, Proposed - 154)
	d.	Requirement of water for domestic purpose in KLD	20 (Existing – 14, Proposed - 6)
	e.	Waste water generation in KLD	16 (Existing – 11.2, Proposed – 4.8)
	<u>t</u> .	ETP/ STP capacity	STP of Capacity 25 KLD
	g.	Treatment	Sequential Batch Reactor Technology
	h.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Treated water from STP will be used for gardening.
18	In: ha	frastructure for Rain water rvesting	Rain water tanks of 2X80 KL will be provided with 2 days storage. Storm water collection pond of capacity 400 cum
19	Ste	orm water management plan	Runoff from roads, open area and landscape area will be channelized to storm water collection pond of



95

SI.No		PARTICULARS		INFORMATION Provided by PP							
			capa	acity 400 d	cum (	200 sc	<b>m x 2</b> :	m dept	h)		
20	Ai	r Pollution									
			SL no.	Stack attached	to	Fuel used Ex	Fuel Consu ption	m O sta	unbe <del>r</del> f icks	Stack height	
			1	DG Set		uen	120 T /	hr 1		19 m	
			_	1X600 K	VA		12012		·	AGL	
			2	furnace 2	5 T	-	-	1		22 m	
			3	Induction furnace 2	1 15 T	-	-	1		AGL	
				Konana ob	<u> </u>	Pro	posed	. <b>I</b> .			
	a	Sources of Air pollution	4	Induction furnace 3 (Replaced existing induction	ioT iothe	4	-	1		36 m AGL	
			5	Induction furnace 3 (Stand by	1 60 T 7)		-	1			
			6	Reheating Furnace 25 T	ß	Pulver zed Coal	30 TPI	> 1		30 m AGL	
			SI. no.	Stack att	acheo	l to	Con	nstitue trolled	nts t	o be	
			Exi	sting			—				
			1	DG Set	VA		SO <sub>2</sub>	, NOx,	, PM		
			5	Induction	firms	nce 25	<u>т</u> —				
			3	Induction (Stand by	furna	ice 25	T PN	Л			
	b	Composition of Emissions	Pro	nosed	/						
	·	-		Induction	furna	nce 30	Т				
			4	(Replaced induction	l the furn:	existi ace)	ing PM				
			5	Induction (Stand by	furna )	ace 30	T				
			6	Reheating 25 T	g Furr	nace	SO2 PM	, NOx , CO	, 2	60 m AGL	
			SI.	Stack	Fuel	Fuel	Air	_	Con	stituents	
			no.	attache	used	Con	su Pol	lution	to be	;	
		Air pollution control		d		m	Cor	itrol	cont	rolied	
	C	measures proposed and		to		ptio	n jun	IT	1		
	[·	technology employed			<u> </u>		-  A	moti	T		
	technology employed	1	Set	HS D	124. L/h		Jacob	SO <sub>2</sub> , PM	NOx,		
	1	l	11	11.000	1		μenç	JOSU	1		



haven

.

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP							
			KVA			re			
		2	Inductio n furnace 25 T	-	-	Dust			
		3	Inductio n furnace 25 T (Stand		-	Collect or/ Scrubb er	РМ		
			by)						
		Pro	posed						
		4	Inductio n furnace 30 T (Replac ed the existing inducti on furnace )			Dust Collector/ Scrubber	РМ		
		5	Inductio n furnace 30 T (Stand by)	-	-				
		6	Reheati ng Furnace 25 T	Pulver i- zed Coal	30 TPD	Dust Collector/ Scrubber	SO <sub>2</sub> , NOx, PM, CO		
21	Noise Pollution								
	<ul> <li>a. Sources of Noise pollution</li> <li>b Expected levels of Noise</li> <li>. pollution in dB</li> </ul>	DG Bele	Sets, Stee ow 75 dB	l Melti (A)	ng Sho	o, Rolling N	Aill, Vehicles		
	c. Noise pollution control measures proposed	Acc Gre Pers earp Reg	enstic enclosed enbelt dev sonal pro plugs and e ular maint	osures elopm tective earmuf tenance	are prov ent in ar equip fs to all e of veh	vided with 1 and around the ment's (P workers icles will be	DG sets. he plant area PEs) such as e ensured		
22	WASTE MANAGEMENT						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	I. Operational Phase a. Quantity of Solid waste generated per day and their disposal	SI N 0	Solid waste g	uantit xistin	y Propo sed	Total (	Mode of lisposal		
ŀ- <b>_</b> ľ	· //		7	invust.					

J.

\_\_\_

Jaw

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP						
	Quantity of Hazardous b Waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	1	Slag	12,600	12,600	25,200	Sold to authorized vendors/ceme nt block producers/filli ng Low laying areas	
		2	Mill Scale	-	1,895	1,895	Sold to authorized vendors	
		3	Scrap	_	4,737	4,737	Used as raw material in Induction Furnace	
		Sol	id Wast	e Domest	tic		<b>_</b>	
	c. Quantity of E waste generation with source and mode of Disposal as per norms	4	Dome stic	20	25	45	The organic waste will be managed at the site. The inorganic solid waste is handed over to authorized recyclers.	
		Ha	zardous	waste	<u> </u>			
		6	Used Spent O	oil 0.1 ML/A m	nnu	Shall be leak pro and dispo authorize	collected in oof containers osed to KSPCB d re-processors	
		7	Waste residues containi g oil	6 0.1 M	fT/A	Shall be leak pro and dispo authorize	e collected in oof containers osed to KSPCB d re-processors	
23	Risk Assessment and disaster management	Ris ber is dir wc the and	sk Asses en prepa establish ected an orkers and industry 1 followe	sment an red. An I ed from d coordin d all eme y. An ons ed by the	d disast Emergen which e nated. T rgency a ite emer industry	er manag cy Contr mergenc raining v ids were gency pl	gement plan has ol Room (ECR) y operations are was given to all provided within an also prepared	
24	POWER							
	a. the Operational Phase with source	Pov 12. Sou	ver requi 5 MW Pr urce: So Supply (	irement: 2 oposed) olar Pov Company	23 MW ver Pla (BESCC	(10.5 M ant/Banga DM)	1W Existing and alore Electricity	
	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1 x	600 kV	A 1 X 600 I		IŜD		
	c. purpose such as boilers, DG,	Re	J. set – ( heating	Furnace	(25	<u>T) -P</u>	ulverized Coal	

f.

.

Jacom Coal

SI.No		PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
	<u> </u>	Furnace, TFH, Incinerator Set etc	(Proposed)
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Not applicable
25	P/	ARKING	
	a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Parking requirement –23 Parking Provided - 28
	b.	Internal Road width (RoW)	Entrance – 12 m Internal roads - 8m
26	A to	ny other information specific the project (Specify)	
27	С	ER Activities	<ul> <li>Construction of Groundwater Recharge pits at nearby villages at Kallanayakanahalli, Hosahalli</li> <li>Providing rainwater harvesting system and toilet facility to Government Primary School, A.Hosahalli</li> <li>Nala buffer greenbelt development for a length of 600 m outside the project site boundary by providing 3 m spacing between individual trees – 400 nos. of trees in 2 rows</li> </ul>
28	E	MP	Capital cost - 530 Lakhs Recurring cost - 44 Lakhs/year

The proposal is for expansion of manufacturing of MS Billets from 7000 TPM to 14,000 TPM and installing of rolling mill of 15,000 TPM capacity, for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 31.05.2023 and PH was conducted on 02.12.2023, wherein opinion/requests of twenty-five people were recorded in minutes. Proponent informed that the existing industry was exempted from obtaing EC as it was established prior to EIA Notification 2006 and presently they are functioning on valid CFO issued by KSPCB dated 18.08.2021 for production of MS Billets of 7,000 TPM and slag crushing of 2,500 TPM.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding cumulative impact and mitigative measures during operation phase of the industry and details regarding stack emission and its incremental concentration. The Proponent informed the Committee that the major anticipated impact is on the air from stack emission, fugitive emission and process emissions and as a mitigation measure, they will provide covered shed for storage of raw mater, regular maintenance of exhaust/chimney/other equipmets, emissions from the Induction furnace and re-heating furnaces to be discharged into the atmosphere through stack of 36 m and 30 m height respectively. The existing stack will be replaced, continuous monitoring of work zone & surrounding would be done to check the ambient air levels for the contaminants, providing PPE's for workers, continuous dust suppress the dust pollution and for fugitive emission from material unloading operations, material transfer points controlled completely with total enclosure. For stack emission from existing and proposed, the Proponent submitted the following details,

(Jach)

81. No.	Btack attacked	Emission of PM <sub>10</sub> in gm/sec	Emission of PM <sub>2.8</sub> in gm/sec	Emission of 802 in gm/sec	Emission of NOx in gm/sec	Emission of CO in Em/sec	Stack dia. in m	Fine Gas Temp. in .C	Stack Height in (m) (AGL)	Plue gas Velocity In m/acc	
L	EXISTING										
1	Induction fu	mace 25 T	0.005								
2	Induction furnace	25 T (Standby)	0.085	0.045	-	-	-	1.7	41	33	6.2
				PROPO	SED			<b>.</b>	<u></u>		<u> </u>
3	Induction fur (Existing will )	mace 30 T	0.17	0.09		_		1 5	100	76	9 5
4	Induction furnace	30 T (Standby)		0.09	_	-	-	1.0	100	30	0,2
5	Reheating Fu	mace 25 T	0.23	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.0063	1.0	80	30	6.5
		STAC	<u>k emis</u> s	sion de	TAILS F	OR DG	SET		<b>.</b>	'* <u></u>	••••••
	Capacity in KVA	Emission of PEIO in gm/sec	PM2.5 in gm/sec	Emission of 302 in 20/ sec	Emission of NOz in	Stack dia.	Flue Ges Temp. In 'C		Stack Height in (m)	Flue gas	Velocity in m/sec
	OUU KYA	0.008	0.004	0.15	0.40	0.2	5   107	-   P	9 m AGL	5	.7

and the predicted maximum incremental concentration based on air quality modelling,

		<u> </u>	P	10, mg/	<b>B</b> <sup>1</sup>	P	L. 14	ш <sup>3</sup>		io, pr/s	<b>a</b> 1	3	iOz, µg/	m <sup>3</sup>	CO, µg/m <sup>3</sup>		
Location	Direction	Dist. Km	Base Mae	Project predictions	Rowkaat	Bees line	Project predictions	Remitant	Been Hat	Project predictions	Resultant	Base line	Project yesdictions	Remitant	Base line	Project predictions	Resultant
<u>A1</u>	<u> </u>	-	64.00	0.100	64.10	25.40	0.060	25.46	15.60	0.050	15.65	19.70	0.020	19.72	190	0.0020	190. 002
A 2	SW	0.16	51.80	0.080	51.88	18.30	0.050	18.35	10.70	0.030	10.73	14.00	0.020	14.02	270	0.0010	270. 001
<u>A 3</u>	8B	1.63	51.70	0.010	51.71	21.20	0.005	21.21	11.60	0.005	11.61	14.30	0.002	14.30	140	0.0002	140. 000
<u></u>	NE	2.13	52.60	0.005	52.61	19.50	0.004	19.50	11.90	0.003	11.90	16.00	0.002	16.00	330	0.0001	330, 000
A 5	NE	1.37	53.00	0.008	53.01	19.50	0.005	19.51	11.90	0.010	11.91	14.20	0.002	14.20	120	0.0004	120. 000
	NW	1.93	52.70	0.010	52,71	19.50	0.005	19.51	11.90	0.005	11.91	13.90	0.004	13.90	120	0.0002	120.
A7	NW	0.52	52.20	0.080	52.28	18.70	0.050	18.75	11.90	0.010	11.91	14.80	0.020	14.82	130	0.0010	130.
A 8	W	S.0	52.70	0.010	52.71	18.70	0.005	18.71	11,70	0.005	11.71	14.50	0.004	14.60	160	0.0002	160. 000
5	tenderd			100			60			80			80			4000	

Further, the Committee informed the Proponent to install continuous air quality monitoring system within the project site, to install separate power meter for scrubber, to install spark arrestor, to concrete internal roads, to provide local employment, to provide additional plantation, to harvest solar energy. The Proponent agreed for all and informed that for solar, there are sourcing 23 MW from offsite solar power plant. For mill scale cleaning, Proponent informed that the billet temperature to be reduced from 1615 Deg Celcius to 900 Deg Celicus during cooling process the mill scale will be removed from the surface of metal and to be sold to the authorized vendors.



Varoll.

Further, the Proponent informed the Committee that for the water body &drains they had maintained a suitable buffer and only green belt to be developed in the buffer zone and all round the plant area.

The proponent had collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the statutory guidelines for the proposed construction/operation and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks. The committee noted that the baseline parameters and are found to be within permissible limits.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. Approach roads & inter roads to be concreted along with the expansion.
- 2. A thick 5 row green belt all along the boundary to be developed.
- 3. No pulverising & coal storage unit shall be allowed in the plant. The ground PCI coal in a jumbo bag shall be used in a closed circuit and required anti-static bag filters to be provided.
- 4. Get the approval for Onsite emergency plan from concerned authority.
- 5. Metal scrap contaminated with oil & grease shall be avoided. If required to be used after degreasing.
- 6. To develop road network, drains, recharge pits, guard ponds, silt ponds in the premises with suitable quantity.
- 7. Stability certificate to be obtained from competent structural engineer for existing buildings.
- 8. Air & Noise Pollution prevention measures to be adopted so that, no complaint from nearby habitat and school.
- 9. To conserve water high Cycle of concentration (COC) to be maintained.
- 10. To install flow meter for all bore wells and each process consumer point.
- 11. Hazardous waste quantity proposed is not justifiable, all categories to be identified.
- 12. First priority to be given to local employment and local community and comply to Sarojini Mahishi report.
- 13. To provide water jacketed duct or flash arrestors for IF chimney to prevent fire from flue gas.
- 14. To provide both top & side suction ducts followed by bag filter or scrubbers to ensure no emission during charging and melting.
- 15.To install Continuous emission monitoring to the chimneys and record the data in realtime.
- 16.ZLD to be adopted.
- 17.Porous fencing of 10m height at all around the boundary to be constructed to prevent dust emanating from the premises.
- 18. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

10 miles

part

314.2.3 Expand the existing facility to manufacture the following Products and also expansion of existing product capacity is located at Plot No.192-C, Industrial Area Baikampady, Mangalore by M/s. Akolite Synthetic Resins – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND3/472417/2024 (SEIAA 30 IND 2023)

SLNo	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP							
1	Name of the project proponent:	Mohammed Rafiq, General Manager							
		M/s .AKOLITE SYNTHETIC RESINS							
		Plot No. 192-C, Industrial Area Baikampady,							
		Mangalore, Karnataka State, PIN- 575011.							
2	Name & Location of the project:	Formu	lation of Amino Res	in, Phenol	Formaldehyde				
		Resin,	and Starch Glue Ma	nufacturin	g Industryat				
		Plot N	o. 192-C, Industrial	Area Baika	impady,				
		Manga	lore - 575011.						
3	New /expansion/modification /	Expan	sion						
	product mix change:								
4	Plot Area	2024 S	q.mt						
3	Built Up Area	426.04	sqm.						
0	Project Cost	3.75 C	rore (existing : 3.50	Cr + Prope	osed: 0.25 Cr)				
0	Component of development:	Synthe	tic Resins Manufact	uring Indu	stry				
0	Source of water -operational phase:	Kamata	ika Industrial Areas	Developm	ent Board				
	Total Water Denvisorent (Denvis		B)						
,	focal water Requirement (Domestic	1 otal:	JUKLD						
10	Fresh Water in KLD		stic: 1.2 KLD + Indu	istrial: 28.8	SKLD)				
10	Recycled water in KLD	27.0 K							
11	Total waste water generation in KLD	2.4 KI	<u></u>						
12	Total effluents generation in KLD	Inducto	ini offluente 2.4 KLT						
13	Scheme of disposal of avoacs	Effluor	t will be treated by I	770 0					
1.5	treated water	Water i	n will be treated by I	antia tank	estic waste				
14	FTP Canacity	SKID	s being disposed to s	epuc tank	and soak pit.				
15	STP Canacity	Septic	tank and sook pite						
	Waste Generation & its Disposal	Beptie	ank and soak pits.		·				
16	Solid Waste/other waste	S No	XX/o ato	0					
		5.10	vvaste	Quantit	Disposal				
		<b>—</b> —	Decking March 1	Annum					
			Packing Materials		Sale to				
		1	(Paper, Plastic &	1.0	Authorized				
ļ			wood etc.) and		Party				
		stationary waste							
			Insulation		Sale to				
		2	Material	0.5	Authorized				
		Party							
					Sale to				
		3 Metallic Scrap 1.5 Authoriz							
					Party				
			Non metallic		Sale to				
		4	Scrap	0.5	Authorized				
I		1	-		rarty				

About the project:

102

SL.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATI	I <mark>ÖN</mark> I	Prov	ided l	by PP
		5	Fly ash		200	1	Boiler ash is collected and disposed to brick manufacturers.
17	Hazardous Waste	Waste categor y	Hazardous waste generated	Quai y TPA	ntit	Meth	od of handling
		5.1	Used Oil	5.0		Collea and us premi lubric regist	ction, storage sed within ses as a ant / sold to ered recycler.
		33.3	Discarded containers	100 1	Nos	Colle sell to vendo	ction, storage & authorized or.
		23.1	Waste & residues	0.25		Colle dispo Incine facilit	ction, storage & sal at common eration ty/AFR
		34.3	ETP sludge	1.0		Colle dispo Incine facilit	ction, storage & sal at common eration ty/AFR
		35.1	DG filters	0.1		Colle dispo Incine facili	ction, storage & sal at common eration ty/AFR
		33.2	Cotton rags/gloves/a pron	0.1		Colle dispo Incin facili	ction, storage & sal at common eration ty/AFR
18	Green Belt Coverage - % of total	33% of	the total site ar	ea as	gree	nbelt :	area, which
19	area EMP	Capita	Cost: 48 Lakh	s science		ioual S	ne al ca.
		Recurr	ing Cost: 12.5	Lakh	<u>s</u>		
20	CER Activities Proposed	<ul> <li>The proping for the proping in E</li> <li>Totain mer bud</li> </ul>	budget for C posed to be spe aikampady IA al CER fund ationed followi get allocations.	ER is ent or and n will ng ac	s Rs n the learb ll b tivit	s. 8 L e follo by villa be spe ies wi	akhs which is owing activities age. ent to below ith yearly wise
		S.No		A	ctiv	ities	
		1	Govt. Primary of school with panels etc.,	schoo RO d	ol, M rinki	langal ing wa	ore development ater facility, sola
		2	Skill develop	ment	ргод	ram ir	n Baikampady



103

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP					
		3	Plantation activity in Baikampady IA and nearby village				

The proposal is for manufacturing for 1,625 TPM amino resin, 250TPM phenol formaldehyde resin and 6,25 TPM of starch glue, for which SEIAA had issued ToR on 02.06.2023. Proponent informed that the existing industry was established prior to EIA Notification 2006 and for the existing industry they have CFO issued by KSPCB dated 31.12.2018 for manufacturing of synthetic resins and as the proposed area is located in notified KIADB industrial area, PH is exempted as per EIA Notification.

The Proponent informed the Committee about the product and its capacity as below,

SI. No	Name of	the product	Existing –TPM as per CFO dated 31.12.2018	Proposed -TPM	Total After Expansion -TPM	Total After Expansion -TPA	Rem arks
1.	Synthetic resins		50	-	-	-	-
2.	Amino Melamine resins formaldehyde Resins Urea Formaldehyde Resins		-	1,625	1,625	19500	prop osed prop osed
3.	Phenol F Resin	ormaldehyde	-	250	250	3000	prop osed
4.	4. Starch Glue		-	625	625	7500	prop osed
Tota	l Quantit	<u>Y</u>	-	2,500	2500	30,000	

# Table 1: Details of Products

# Details of Pollution Load from the process

Propos	ed Water	Requirement	and Wastewater	Generation with	Segregation	
	Inpu	t (KLD)	Output			
Description	Water in KLD KLD		Evaporation / Loss/with product in KLD	Total wastewater in KLD	Final disposal of treated effluent	
Process	15 0.5		14.2	1.2		
Washing	0.8 0.8			1.3	Treated by ETP,	
Boiler Feed	6.0	0.6	5.4	0.6	treated water will be	
Cooling tower	5.0	0.5	4.5	0.5	used for utilities.	

(JAM)

Domestic	1.2		0.2	1.0	Treated in the septic tank and disposed of through a soak pit.
Green belt development	2.0	-	-	-	Treated effluent will be used no fresh water will be drawn
Total	30	2.4	· –	3.4	

## DETAILS OF AIR EMISSION FROM SOURCE AND CONTROL MEASURES

SI No	Chimney Attached	Capacity	Fuel	Quantity	Chimney Height	Air Pollution Control Unit	Paramet ers
1	D.G. Sets	125 kVA	HSD	60 l/h	12 m AGL	Acoustic enclosure	SO <sub>2</sub> , No CO, PM
2	Boiler	1 TPH	Firewood.	200 kg/h	30m AGL-	Dust	PM, CO,
3	Baby boiler (Standby)	0.5 TPH	Firewood	100 kg/h	Combined stack	collector	NOx, SO <sub>2</sub>

The proponent had collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the statutory guidelines for the proposed construction/operation and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks. The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide green belt all along the boundary to be developed.
- 2. To get approval for Onsite emergency plan form concerned authority.
- 3. ZLD to be adopted.
- 4. Proponent agreed to carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 5. To install continuous VOC monitoring equipment.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Jarol

314.2.4 Proposed In-House Resin Manufacturing for Plywood Industry Project at Plot No.2 Part 1B, Thimmanahalli Industrial Area, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District by M/s. Bharath Plywood Industries-- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND3/481843/2024 (SEIAA 09 IND 2024)

-

About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP						
1	Name of the project proponent:	M/s. Bharath Plywood Industries						
[	•	No.2 Part 1B, Thimmanahalli Industrial Are					rea,	
		Hass	an-District, k	Karnataka.				
2	Name & Location of the project:	Hou	se Resin Mar	ufacturin	g for t	he Plyw	ood	
		Indu	stry at No.2 F	Part 1B, T	himma	anaballi		
		Indu	strial Area, H	assan-Dis	strict			
3	New/expansion/modification/ product	Expa	nsion					
	mix change:	Cate	gory 5(f)					
4	Plot Area	10,522 Sq.mt						
5	Built Up Area	4,32	1.82sqm.				•	
6	Project Cost	4.920	Crore					
7	Component of development:	In Ho	ouse Resin M	lanufactur	ing fo	r Plywoo	d	
		Indus	stry					
8	Source of water -operational phase:	Karn	ataka Industr	ial Areas	Devel	opment E	Board	
		(KIA	.DB)					
9	Total Water Requirement (Domestic +	Total	: 4.3KLD					
	Industrial) in KLD	(Дол	nestic: 2.5 KI	<u>D + Indu</u>	strial:	<u>1.8KLD</u>	)	
10	Fresh Water in KLD	4.3 KLD						
	Recycled water in KLD	0.32	3 KLD					
11	Total waste water generation in KLD	Dom	estic Wastew	ater: 2.0 I	(LD			
12	Total effluents generation in KLD	Indus	strial effluent	: 0.34 KL	D			
13	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	Effluent will be treated by ETP. Domestic waste						
	water	water is being disposed to septic tank and soak						
		pit.						
14	ETP Capacity	1 KLD						
15	STP Capacity	Septic tank and soak pits.						
16	Waste Generation & its Disposal							
16	Solid Waste/other waste	SIN	Type of	Quantit	y   M	ethod of		
		0	waste	(MTA)	A) bandling			
			<b> </b>	<b></b>	/di	isposal		
		1	Wooden	1220	Us	ed as fuel	in	
			Chips	 	TF	<u>H</u>		
		2	TFH Ash	208	Use	ed for far	ming	
			Organic	2.78	Dri	ed and us	sed	
			waste		as i	as manure for		
				ga	gardening after composting			
				co				
			In organic	1.85	Dis	posed to		
		waste authori		thorized	ed			
				l <u>.                                    </u>	ven	vendors		
17	Hazardous Waste	SI	Type	Cate	Out	Unite	Metho	
			~J P~	gorv	ant	Units	dof	
		1			eend L			

Jacob

SLNo	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP						
					ity		Dispos	
							al	
		1	Empty	33.1	10	No./A	Dispos	
			barrels/cont				ed to	
			ainers/liners				authori	
		i I	contaminate				zed	
			d with				vendor	
			hazardous				s for	
			chemicals			]	recycli	
			/Wastes				ng	
		2	Contaminat	33.2	0.1	MT/A	Dispos	
			ed cotton				ed to	
			rags or				authori	
			cleaning				zed	
			materials				vendor	
							s for	
							inciner	
							ation	
		3	Used spent	5.1	0.5	KL/A	Dispos	
			oil				ed to	
							authori	
ļ			1				zed	
		[					vendor	
							s for	
							inciner	
							ation	
		4	Oil Filter	5.2	2	No./A	Dispos	
							ed to	
							authori	
				ł			zed	
i				1			vendor	
							s for	
		11					recycli	
18	Green Belt Coverage - % of total area	34% of the total site area as greenbelt area, which						
10		amounts to about 3671sqm of total site area.						
19	EMP	Dan	ITAL Cost: 5.01	.akns ≤⊺abba				
20	CER Activities Proposed	Reci	urring Cost: 0.	.J Lakins	, 			
20	CER Activities Proposed	Sl.no. Activity						
		1. Implementation of solar pannel in						
		government lower primary school						
		2. Rain water harvesting pond in the nearby						
		Thimmanahalli village						
		3. Tree plantation in the Thimmanahalli				lli		
		village						



JACON

The proposal is for inhouse manufacturing of resins at 4 TPD capacity with maximum of 1,000 TPA for captive use in plywood manufacture. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is applied under B2 as per the provision in MoEF&CC letter dated 10.04.2019, for production of resin not exceeding 1000 tons per annum (4 tons per day) by captive manufacturing and utilization in plywood. For the existing industry they have CFO issued by KSPCB dated 19.02.2024 for manufacture of plywood. The proposed project is located in notified KIADB industrial area.

The Proponent informed the Committee about the proposed products and its capacity,

# **Table 1: Details of Products**

SL No	Name of the product	Quantity	Quantity
1	Phenol Formaldehyde Resin		
2	Melamine Formaldehyde Resins	4 TPD	1000 TPA
3	Melamine Urea Formaldehyde (MUF) resin		
4	Manufacture of Commercial decorative plywood and	500 numbers	180,000 numbers
	block board	per day	per annum

# **Details of Pollution Load**

Sl no.	Purpose	As per CFO dated 19.02.2024		Proposed		Total		Mode of disposal
		Water consump tion KLD	Waste water generation KLD	Water consumpti on KLD	Waste water generation KLD	Water consumptio n KLD	Waste water generation KLD	
Α	Domestic	2	1.6	+0.5	+0.4	2.5	2	Septic tank and soak pit
В	Industrial							
	Colling tower			+1.5	0	1.5	0	Completel y recirculate d Treated in ETP of 1 KLD and
	Process	-		+0.142	+0.04	0.142	0.04	
	washing	0.2	0.2	0	0	0.2	0.2	
	Scrubber			+0.1	+0.1	0.1	0.1	reused in the process
B Total						1.8	0.3	
	Total (A+B)					4.3	2.34	



March
SL No	Existing pollution sources as per CFO dated 19.02.2024	Proposed pollution sources	Final pollution sources	Type of fuel used	Chimney height	APC system
01	DG set 250 KVA 1 no		DG set 250 KVA 1 no	HSD	Chimney of 5 mtrs AGL connected	Acoustic enclosure and catalytic converter
02	Fuel Heater (Thermic) 10 million calories of heat		Fuel Heater (Thermic) 10 million calories of heat	Wood	chimney of 30 mtrs AGL	Bag Filter
03	DD saw		DD saw	-	chimney of 9 mtrs AGL	Dust collectors
04	Sanding machine closed type		Sanding machine closed type	-		
05	NIL	Chemical storage and process area	Chemical storage and process area		Chimney of 6m AGL	Scrubber

#### **DETAILS OF AIR EMISSION FROM SOURCE AND CONTROL MEASURES**

The proponent had collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the statutory guidelines for the proposed construction/operation and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks. The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide green belt all along the boundary to be developed.
- 2. Zero Liquid Discharge to be adopted.
- 3. Proponent agreed to carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital
- 4. To install continuous Volatile Organic Compounds monitoring equipment.
- 5. To take precautionary measures towards river.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.



Jack

## 314.2.5 Proposed Residential Development Plan Project at Hulimangala Village, Jigani Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Mr. M Satish Reddy, Shri Ananda Kumar and Shri L Bharath Kumar – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/476200/2024 (SEIAA 73 CON 2024)

.

S	I.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
			Mr. M Satish Reddy, Shri. Ananda Kumar
	t	Name & Address of the Project	& Shri. L Bharath Kumar
	•	Proponent	No. 123, Begur Main Road, Near Anjaneya Temple,
			Hongasandra, Bangalore South, Bangalore -560068
			Residential Development Plan by Mr. M. Satish
			Reddy, Shri Ananda Kumar and Shri L Bharath
	2	Name & Location of the Project	Kumar at Sy.Nos.159/1, $159/2$ , $161$ , $162$ , $163/1$ , $162/0.178/1$ , $178/2$ , $8$ , $178/4$ , of Unlimon colored
			Village Jigani Hobli Anekal Taluk Bangalore
			Urhan District
	3	Type of Development	
Γ		Residential Apartment / Villas / Row	Proposed Residential Development Plan
	-	Houses / Vertical Development /	Category 8(a)
	а.	Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/	
		Hospital /other	
	h	Residential Township/ Area	No
		Development Projects	
	c.	Zoning Classification	Agricultural Land
	4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/	New
		Renewal	
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of	15.0 m buffer left from the Tertiary Nala.
		project site	The total site area is 41 100 31sq m
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	The Net Site area is 40.308.31so.m.
┢	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	1,21,350.00 sq.m.
$\square$		FAR	
1	8	Permissible	1.86
		<ul> <li>Proposed</li> </ul>	2.00
			Residential Development Plan project comprising of
ĺ			5 Blocks and 1 EWS Block, 5 Block shaving 2
		Building Configuration [Number of	Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 7 Upper Floors +
	9	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	Terrace Floor and I EWS Block having Basement
ļ	-	Numbers of Basements and Upper	Floor + Ground Floor + 7 Upper Floors +1errace
		Floofs	Floor with total 616 units. The total site area is
			41,100.31 sq.m. The Net Site area is $40,506.51$ sq.m. The Cross BUA is 1.21.350.00 sq.m.
		Number of units/plots in case of	616 units
	10	Construction/Residential Township	
	10	/Area Development Projects	
$\vdash$			As per CCZM, Site Elevation in AMSL: 920.6
	11	Height Clearance	Permissible top elevation in AMSL: 1035
	11		Difference in meters : 114.4
			Height proposed : 23.95 m
	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	128.0 Crores



Daviel

			Details	Quantity in m3		
			Quantity of excavated soil 1.99.152.87			
			Excavated earth disposal details			
ŀ			Back filling for footings	99.576.44		
	13	Quantity excavated earth & its	Site filling required	23 770 78		
		management	Back filling for retaining a	vall 50 841 35		
			Ton soil for Landscaning	7 072 31		
			Filling for internal roads	9.042.00		
			Total	1.00.152.97		
	14	Details of Land Lice (Sam)		1,77,132.07		
$\square$	14 8	Ground Coverage Area	10 323 69m2			
	h.	Kharah Land	10,525.09112			
	с.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	7.686.78 m2			
	d.	Internal Roads	16.084.00 m2			
	e.	Park and open Space area	4,153.84 m2			
	f.	Civic Amenity	2,060.00 m2			
		Parks and Open space in case of	NA			
	g.	Residential Township/ Area				
		Development Projects				
Ш	<u>h.</u>	Total	40,308.31 sq.m.			
L	15	WATER				
	_1.	Construction Phase				
	<u>a</u> .	Source of water	From Nearby treated water	uppliers		
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD			
	c.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	3 10 KLD			
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD			
		Treatment facility proposed and	The sewage generated du	iring the construction		
	e.	scheme of disposal of treated water	phase will be treated in the I	Mobile STP		
	<b>II</b> .	Operational Phase				
			Fresh 291	.06		
	a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled 138	.60		
			Total 429	. <u>66 KLD</u>		
			Submitted hydrological a	ssessment report for		
	b.	Source of water	ground water from NABL	accrediated consultant		
			informing about the availa	bility of ground water		
╞		Wastewater generation in KLD	And ram water narvesting			
	<u>d</u>	STP canacity and Area required	410 KI D and 200 Sam			
	<del></del>	Technology employed for Treatment	SRR Technology			
		termorogy employee for freement	No Disposal. The treated w	ater will be reused for		
	_	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	toilet flushing, landscaping	in the project site.		
	Ι.	water if any	avenue plantation and Reuse after treating			
$\square$		- 	ultrafiltration and reverse os	mosis		
	16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting		· · · ·		
ΙŢ	a	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof	3217.0 Cu.m.			
		& Hardscape/soft scape run off	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	96.0 Nos.			
		Q 111	$\rho_{-}$ $\lambda$	n /		

fr.

YREAL

	17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected by rainwater harvesting system and will be used for recharging the ground water
5	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
	Ĭ.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management	Demolition Waste: Floor area: 920 sq.m. Width of the shed : 0.5m Height of the shed: 2 m Volume of demolition waste: 950 x 0.5 + 2 *0.5*5m*5sides = 475 +20 +495 cu.m. Construction Waste:Nil
	b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.
ſ	II.	Operational Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity: 492.80 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor Capacity of facility: 5 tons Area required: 150 sq.m.
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 739.20 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Non- Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers Area required:220 sq.m
	c.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste generation will be very less
	19	POWER	
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	3000kVA
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 X 1500 kVA
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul> <li>Energy saved by using Solar water Heater : 50,000 kWH/ Year(a)</li> <li>Solar Power Generation:</li> <li>In non-monsoon season 400 kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 96,000kWH</li> <li>In monsoon season 300 kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 36,000 kWH</li> <li>Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 1.32 L kWH / Annum(b)</li> <li>Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)= 0.5+ 1.32 L KWH = 1.64 L / Annum</li> </ul>
		112 112	(section)

(Jacot)/s

	1	(c)			
		• Total energy savings = 20.77%			
<b>—</b> ——					
20	PARKING				
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	640 ECS			
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Electronic City Phase 1 to Hulimangala Road			
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 m			
21		Year Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)			
		1st Books, Shoes & Benches, Rainwater Harvesting in GHPS at CD Sattigala Village, Sakalavara, Bangalore Urban District			
:	CER Activities	2nd Providing solar power panels to GHPS at CD Sattigala Village, Sakalavara, Bangalore Urban District.			
		3rd Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the Hulimangala Village			
		4th Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder			
		5th Health camp in GHPS at CD Sattigala Village, Sakalavara, Bangalore Urban District			
22	EMP (Details and capital cost & recurring cost)	Operation PhaseConstruction PhaseRecurringCostPerAnnum = 74.49lakhsAnnum = 18.14 lakhsCapital Cost = 716.08Capital Cost = 58.88lakhslakhs			

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the area left out in center as per the conceptual plan, for which the Proponent informed that the area left out is sy no. 160 and does not pertain to the project and has separate free access. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for construction of a residential apartment project in an area earmarked for agriculture use as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority, for which Proponent informed that they have obtained conversion of land to residential from DC.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding source of water for the proposed project during operation, drain as per village map and rain water harvesting provisions proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that they have submitted hydrological assessment report for ground water from accrediated consultant informing about the availability of ground water of 291.06 KLD for the proposed project and informed the Committee that they will obtain NoC from KGWA for extraction of ground water and have sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize complete rainfall within the site area. Regarding cart track road, Proponent informed the Committee that it is the existing public road which is also the approach road to the project area. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of 560 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 118 recharge pits within the site area.

Jack !

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 505 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 3217 cum and 96 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 505 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.6 Proposed Construction of Data Centre Building Project at Katamanallur Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. NXTRA Data Limited – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/473990/2024 (SEIAA 72 CON 2024)

Sl.No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent	
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Nxtra Data Limited, 111 & 112, EPIP Industrial Area, Whitefield, Bengaluru, Karnataka State – 560 066.	
2	Name & Location of the Project	"Proposed Construction of Data Centre Building -Total built-up area: 53411.07 Sq.m" located at Survey No: 71 & 72 of Katamanallur Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.	
3	Type of Development		
a. Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Other – Data Centre Buildi		Other – Data Centre Building	

About the project:-

part

	Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/					
-	Hospital /other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
t	Development Projects	Schedule 8(a): Building and	Constru	ction p	rojects	
C	. Zoning Classification	Commercial		••• ••		
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New				
		Environmental Sensitive area	Dist (~km)	Dire	ect	
		Water bo	dies			
		Katamnallur Lake	0.03		Е	
	-	Konadaspur Lake	0.27		W	
		Nimbekaypur Lake	0.6	W	NW	
		Hoskote Kere	1.16		NE	
		Dakshina Pina Kini R	1.52		E	
		Yellamallappachetty Kere	3.5	W	/SW	
		Chikka Amani Kere	4.21	N	INE	
		Kolatur Kere	6.42	E	ENE	
		Kodihalli Kere	6.64	I	ESE	
		Ramapura Kere	7.21		w	
		Makanahalli Kere	8.19	I	ESE	
		Hullur Kere	8.67		NE	
		Naravala Halla	8.86	5	SE	
	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of	Kalkeri Kere	9.42		W	
5	project site	Budigere Amani Kere	9.74	-	N	
		Vartur Kere	10.3	1	S	
		Jadigenhalli Kere	10.37		E	
		Gopalapura Kere	12.08	1	W	
		Bellandur Canal	12.34	s	SW	
		Nidigatte Kere	13.12	E	ENE	
		Nallurhalli Kere	13.15	E	ENE .	
		Begur Kere	r Kere 13.7		NE	
		Bagalur Kere	14.12	1	W	
		Bellandur Tank	14.48		sw	
		Reserve F	orest			
		Manduru RF		4.48	NW	
		Marasandra RF		5.95	NW	
		Jadigenhalli State Plantation	RF	6.97	E	
		Nallal State Plantation RF		8.65	ENE	
		Gollahalli RF		9.07	NE	
		Jadigenhalli RF		9.68	E	
	. 115		$\mathcal{N}$			

fren -

Grad

		Tindlu State Plantation RF 11.21 I			ESE	
		Mutsandra RF			11.36	NNE
		Be	Bettakote RF			N
		Ra	Ramachandrapura State			F
		Pla	antation RF		14,40	Е
		M	arasandra State Plant	ation RF	14.84	ESE
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	209	42.48			
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	534	11.07			
	FAR		_			
8	Permissible	3.00				
	Proposed	1.99	)			
	Building Configuration [Number of	Nu	nber of Blocks:			-
9	Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with	1.	G+9 floor (terrace a	nd Super 1	errace)	– Two
-	Numbers of Basements and Upper	2	DC Buildings (DC2 a	and DC3)	DC D	d:
	f'IOOTS]	Z, T1.1	UT4 Ploor ( With 1 er	ace) - ror		
10	Number of units/piots in case of Construction/Peridential	1.01   buil	s is Data Center Bul dinge are to be cor	uing. DU2	, DUS Al vith a h	nilt.es
1 10	Townshin/Area Development Devicete		angs are to be con of \$3411 07 \$2 m	isu ucicu, V		unt-up
11	Height Clearance	68	n (un to lighting arre	stor)		
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crosse)	220	ii (up to ngitting are	5101)		
12	Quantity excepted earth & its	220		- <u></u> .		
13	management	157	36.35 m <sup>3</sup>			
14	Details of Land Use (Sam)	<b>I</b>				
<u> </u>	Snace		Area (Sa.m)	%		
	Total Ground coverage		5245.45	25.05		
	Desking Area		3243.43	11.75		
	Likilition		1752.00	9.27	<u>,                                    </u>	
	Loading and unloading platform		119.22	0.57		
	Crean holt		2585.60	12.24		
	Internal Driverson		2363.00	41.03	, ,	
]	mternal Driveway		8/19.80	41.92		
	Total	T co e	20942.48	100		
<u>a.</u>	Ground Coverage Area	524	5.45 Sq.m			
b.	Knarab Land	0	5 60 80 -	<u>.                                    </u>		
	Internal Roads	238	o.ov oy.m		·	
	Paved area	877	9.86 Sq.m			
		Loz	ding &unloading pla	tform -118.	.23Sq.m	
<b>  ť</b> .	Others Specify	No	1 FAR area-1752.098	q.m		
	Parks and Open space in case of	f				
g.	Residential Township/ Area	ea 2461.25 Sq.m				
	Development Projects					
<u>  h.</u>	Total	209	42.48 Sq.m			
	WATER					
.	Construction Phase	<b>T</b>	anad mata-			
<b>a</b> .	Source of water			· -		
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	200				

A.

had

с.	Quantity of water for Purpose in KLD	Domestic	Phase	Popul ation	Total Fresh Water (KLD)	Sewag e (KLD)	Treatm ent method
d.	Waste water generation in K	LD	Constructi on	750	33.75	30.34	Package STP (40 KLD)
e.	Treatment facility properties of disposal of treated	osed and d water	Sewage will Sewage Trea Treated sewa Greenbelt	be treat atment P age from	ed up to th lant 15KLD S	ne tertiary	y level in be used fo
II.	Operational Phase				_		
			Demai	ıds	Fresh	Recycle	Total
			Domes	stic	7.2	0	7.2
			Flushi	ng	4.8	0	4.8
a.	Total Requirement of Water	in KLD	Greent	elt	0	10.56	10.56
			Fire wa make	ater up	5.0	0	5.0
			Total (K	LD)	17.00	10.56	27.56
b.	Source of water		Submitted ground wate informing al and from rai	hydrolog r from 1 bout the n water 1	gical asse NABL acc availabili harvesting	ssment crediated ty of gro	report for consultation
c.	Wastewater generation in K	LD	10.56				
<u>d</u> .	STP capacity and Area requi	ired	Capacity: 15 KLD				
e.	Technology employed for T	reatment	MBR (Membrane Bio Reactor) Technology				
f.	Scheme of disposal of exc	ess treated	Excess treated water will be stored in the storag				
16	Infrastructure for Rain water	harvesting	tank and it will be reused.				
a.	Capacity of sump/tank to sto Hardscape/soft scaperup off	pre Roof &	10cum			<u> </u>	
b.	No's of Ground water rechar	ge pits	4 nos				
17	Storm water management pl	an					
S. No.	Land Allocation Breakup	Area in (Sq.m)	Run of Coefficient	f Inter rainf (m/d	isity of all-I ay)	Total Discha Qp (m	rge- <sup>3</sup> /day)
1	Plinth Area	5245.45	0.09	0.143	8	67.89	·
2	Parking Area	2461.25	0.045	0.143	8	15.93	
3	Green belt	2585.6	0.045	0.143	8	16.73	
4	Koad and pathway	8779.86	0.045	0.143	8	56.81	
	10tal Area	19072.2	•	1-		157.36	) .
Dischar Where, Q= Disc C=Coet I= Inter Bengah	ua: ge, Q= CIA (m <sup>3</sup> /hr) charge (in m <sup>3</sup> /day) fficient of Runoff asity of rainfall (in mm/hr) (N aru HAL)	Aax Flood in	n 21.09.2017-	143.8 m	um/day as	per IMD	station
				0	1.0 1		

117

Am

Jauth

A= Area (in Sq.m)

**Runoff calculation:** 

**Total runoff Load for one hour = 156.56/24 = 6.52 \text{ m}^3** 

Recharge pit details:

- Size of tank: diameter -1.2m (dia) x 3.0 m (depth)
- □ Holding capacity of tank (volume): 3.39 m3.
- □ Tank required for storage of rainwater is 2 Nos.

But around 4 No's Rainwater Harvesting tanks and one storage tanks are proposed along the storm water drain channel to recharge ground water table. ----

	19	8 WASTE MANAGEMENT			
	I.	Construction Phase			
	a.	Quantity of Construction &Demolition wasteand its management.	Construction Waste: 300 kg/day Management method: Disposed through k vendors and as per the prescribed C&D Rules.		
	b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	Municipal solid waste: 187.5 kg/day and dispose by Local contactor		
	II.	Operational Phase			
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity: 72 kg/day Mode of Disposal: OWC Capacity of facility: 100 kg/da Area required:10*10 Sq. feet (	ay (say 10 Sq.m)	
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 48 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Authorised Area required: 10*10 Sq.feet	i vendors (say 10 Sq.m)	·
	с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<ol> <li>Quantity: 22 KL/Annum (Used oil)</li> <li>Mode of Disposal: KSPCB authorised Vendors</li> <li>Area required:10*10 Sq.feet (say 10 Sq.m)</li> <li>Quantity: 3.3 T/Annum (Residue containing oi</li> <li>Mode of Disposal: KSPCB authorised Vendors</li> <li>Area required: 10*10 Sq.feet (say 10 Sq.m)</li> </ol>		
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 1 T/Annum Mode of Disposal: KSPCB authorised Vendors Area required: 10*10 Sq. feet (say 10 Sq.m)		
	19	POWER			
	a,	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	45 MVA		
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	36*2250 kVA		
İ	C.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD		
	đ.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul> <li>ad Suitable energy conservation measures such as</li> <li>an BMS, SCADA and Solar panel will be provided.</li> <li>er Approximate % of energy saving will be around 5- 10%.</li> </ul>		
So So na	o <mark>lar P</mark> olar li itural i	ower Calculation: ghting for street lighting and other usage resources and prevent any unnecessary us	e will be used with an intentio sage or wastage of raw material	n to utilize avails s.	ible
	list of	building considered for solar panel		Area (Sq.m)	
	)G bu	ilding –Terrace (Appr. 50% of roof area)		379.63	
	Car Parking-Terrace (Appr. 100% of roof area) 2461.25			2461.25	

Car Parking-Terrace (Appr. 100% of roof area)

(Jæb)

118

Π	Sub sta	ation building - terrace (Appr. 50% of roc	of area)			168.	27	
	Total					3009	.15	
	Total roof area (Sq.m)				3009.	15	]	
		Sq.m/1KW				12		]
		KW			2	250.76	525	
	_	Sun hour/day				5	<u>.</u>	
		Average units/day				1253.8	13	4
μ	20	Units/month (30 days)				37614	.38	
┝	20	PARKING Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS	32 EC9	2				
	a.	Taking Requirement as per norms (EC-3	SH 35	(Sidiaghai	ta-Hoskot	te-An	ekal) in from	t.
			of the	site				••
ĺ				- dition	Level of	V/		
		Level of Service (LOS) of the			Service	С		
	b.	connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	E	xisting	A	<0. 35	Free flow Traffic	; 
:			After			<0.	Free flow	,
ļ			Implei	mentation	A	35	Traffic	
	c	Internal Road width (RoW)	8m	v projeci			1	
-	21	CER Activities						
┢╴		Toptotics						1
╎┝	To pro	vide infrastructure facility to Dr. App.	ER ACUV	Stata Sac	ut and C	uida		
	Campi	ng Centre, Doddaballapura Taluk, Bangal	ore Rural	District	iut anu O	uidę .	iraming and	"
┢┶	22		onstructi	on Phase:	Capital co	st: IN	R.12.00 Lak	hs.
		EMP (Details and capital cost & R	ecurring o	ost: INR 3	6.00 Lakhs	s		
		recurring cost)	peration	Phase: Ca	pital cost:	INR 4	119.84 Lakhs	5
	Enviro	nmental Budget-Construction phase:	ecurring c	XISU: INK 3	7.7 Lakns			
Ī	() N			Proposed	Canital e	ost R	ecurring Co	st
	SI.No	Description		(INR i	in Lakhs)		NR in Lakh	s)
	1.	40 KLD package STP for labour		`.	0	_ <u>_</u> _	25.00	
	2	Provision of the PPE kit for the workers	s such as					
	2.	safety harness, safety goggles, safety	helmets,		U		5.0	
		Water sprinkling through sprinkler for	the dust			-		
	3.	suppression during the construction activ	vities and		0		6.0	
		supply of water for labour						
╞	. 4	Barricades all round the site			<u>2.00</u>		0	_
	Envino	10(#1		LL				
		intental budget- Operation phase:		Proposed	Canital	Decu	rring Cost	٦
	SI.No	Description		cost (I	NR in	IXÇÇ U	in ting Cost	
	1.	DG Stacks and acousticenclosures		25	10		15.0	
╎┠	<u>2.</u>	Sewage Treatment unit		22.	<u>34</u>		2.0	-
╎┠	<u> </u>	Storm water drain & RWH tanks		/.	<u>,</u>		5.0	-
	5.	Green Belt development		1	<u> </u>		2.0	1
		119	<u>-</u>	0	1.0			-

f.

Jaco Ma

I	6	Environmental Monitoring	0	5
	7	Solar panel	80	8.0
ſ		Total Cost	419.84	37.7

The proposal is for construction of a data center building in an area earmarked for industrial mutation corridor as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority, for which Proponent informed that they have obtained conversion of land to commercial use from DC.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding source of water for the proposed project during operation, water body & drain as per village map and rainwater harvesting provisions proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that they had obtained hydrology study report NABET accredited consultant and informed about the availability 17 KLD of ground water in the proposed project area and informed the Committee that they will obtain NoC from KGWA for digging and extraction of ground water and have sufficient rainwater harvesting structures to utilize complete rainfall within the site area. For water body & drain in east & South Western sides respectively, Proponent informed that the water body & drain are outside the buffer zone of the project area. For harvesting rainwater, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of capacity 10 cum for runoff from rooftop, hardscape and landscape areas in addition to 04 recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install aerators for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 265 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.

- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 10 cum and 4recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 265 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To explore alternative technology for cooling.
- 12. To install aerators to conserve water.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

120

(Jewil/

314.2.7 Proposed Residential Apartment Building with Club House/Commercial Space Building Project at Sy.No.52/2 of Doddabettanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by Sri Abdul Azeem – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/484943/2024 (SEIAA 75 CON 2024)

S N	I. ío.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1		Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Sri. Abdul AzeemS/o Abdul Rasheed #3, 2 <sup>nd</sup> F Main Road, 60 Feet Road, Bhoopasandra Exten, Sanjay Nagar, Bangalore North, R.M.V. Extension II Stage, Bangalore- 560094.
		Name & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment Building with Club House / Commercial Space Building by Sri. Abdul Azeem at Sy.No. 52/2 of Doddabettanahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.
	3	Type of Development	
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	ProposedResidential Apartment Building Category 8(a)
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	No
<b>C</b> .		Zoning Classification	Residential Land
4		New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	Expansion of EC (SEIAA 235 CON 2023 dated 30.11.2023)
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	Nala 30.0 mts away from the project site.
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	The total site area is 7,284.28sq.m.
	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	The Gross BUA is 34,054.042sq.m.
	8	FAR <ul> <li>Permissible</li> <li>Proposed</li> </ul>	Net FAR = 21,694.562Sq.m Achieved FAR: 2.978 Permissible FAR : 3.00
	9	Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	2 Blocks, Block 1 is Residential Apartment Building with Club House Building having 2 Basement Floors + Ground Floor + 14 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor and Block 2 is Commercial Building having 2 Basement Floor + Ground Floor + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace Floor
	10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township /Area Development Projects	136 units
	11	Height Clearance	As per CCZM, Site Elevation in AMSL : 913 Permissible top elevation in AMSL : 960 Difference in meters : 47.0 Height proposed : 44.95 m
}	12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	68.0 Crores



(Jack)

121

		Details	Quantity in m <sup>3</sup>		
		Quantity of excavated soil	45,560.95		
		Excavated earth disposal details			
		Back filling for footings 22.780 47			
13	Quantity excavated earth & its	Site filling required	5 003 29		
	management	Back filling for retaining wal	1 13 012 51		
		Ton soil for Landscaping	1 976 04		
		Filling for internal reads	1,070.94		
		Thing for internal roads	1,987.74		
			45,560.95		
	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	2 280 00-2			
	Kharsh Land	2,280.99m2			
	Total Green helt on Mother Farth	 1 027 81 m2			
	1. Pathway & Driveway Area	3 975 48 m <sup>2</sup>			
	e. Paved area				
	f. Others Specify		·		
	Parks and Open space in case of	of NA			
§	g. Residential Township/ Area				
	Development Projects				
<u>    ł</u>	n. Total	7,284.28sq.m.			
15	WATER				
	Construction Phase				
<u> </u>	L. Source of water	From Nearby treated water sup	pliers		
t	. Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	50 KLD			
	Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD	10 KLD			
Ċ	I. Waste water generation in KLD	8 KLD			
	Treatment facility proposed and	The sewage generated during the construction			
	scheme of disposal of treated water	phase will be treated in the Mobile STP			
I	I. Operational Phase	Operational Phase			
		Fresh 69.52			
a	. Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled 37.83			
│		Total 107.35	KLD		
	b. Source of water	BWSSB			
	STR connective and Arrangements	91.24 KLD			
	Technology employed for Treatment	SPR Technology			
	- reament	No Dispessed The treated system			
	Scheme of disposal of excess treated	No Disposal. The treated water will be reused for toilet flushing landscening in the project site			
f	water if any	avenue plantation and Reuse after treating with			
		ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis			
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting				
9	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof 513.0 cu.m.				
	* & Hardscape/soft scape run off				
<u> </u> b	. No's of Ground water recharge pits	13.0 Nos.			
17	Storm water management plan	The storm water from the site will be collected by rainwater harvesting system and will be used for			

Garoll.

			recharging the ground water	
	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	L	
	I.	Construction Phase		
	8.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management.	Demolition Waste:Nil Construction Waste:Nil	
	b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins will be used for organic and inorganic waste. Organic waste will be converted in organic convertor. Inorganic solid waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers.	
	II.	Operational Phase		
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity: 119.32 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Biodegradable waste will be converted in organic convertor Capacity of facility: 5 tons Area required: 65sq m	
	b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 178.98 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Non- Biodegradable waste will be handed over to authorized recyclers Area required:90sq.m	
	с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Nil	
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	E-waste generation will be very less	
1	19	POWER		
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1000kVA	
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	1 X 1000 kVA	
	c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD	
	d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	<ul> <li>Energy saved by using Solar water Heater : 50,000kWH/ Year(a)</li> <li>Solar Power Generation :</li> <li>In non-monsoon season 100kWH x 30 x 8 Months = 24,000 kWH</li> <li>In monsoon season 50kWH x 30 x 4 Months = 6,000 kWH</li> <li>Total SPV Power Generation in a year = 0.30 L kWH / Annum(b)</li> <li>Total Solar Energy utilization (Energy saving using solar heater and solar PV) in a year = (a)+(b)= 0.50+0.30 L KWH = 0.8 L / Annum(c)</li> <li>Total energy savings = 27.39%</li> </ul>	
2	20	PARKING		
	a	Parking Requirement as per norms	274 ECS	
		0 12	13 $0$ $100/$	

		(ECS)			
	b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	Major Sandeep Unnikrishnan Road		
	¢.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8.0 m		
	21		Year Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)		
	CER Act		lst	Providing Painting panels to GHPS S Village, Bangalo 560097.	g work & Solar power School at Lakshmipura re Urban District –
		CER Activities	2nd	d Rain Water Harvesting in GHPS School at Lakshmipura Village, Bangalore Urban District – 560097.	
			3rd 4th	Scientific suppor local farmers to and fodder	t and awareness to increase yield of crop
			5th	Health camp in Lakshmipura Vill District – 560097.	n GHPS School at age, Bangalore Urban
	22		EMP (Construction & Operation)		
		EMP (Details and capital cost &	Opera	ation Phase	Construction Phase
		recurring cost)	Annu	ring Cost Per $m = 27.0755$ lakhs	Annum = 16 69 akhs
			Capita	al Cost = $184.83$	Capital Cost = $41.36$
					lakhs

The proposal is for modification of EC. While the earlier EC was issued by SEIAA on 30.11.2023 for BUA of 38,565.35 Sqm in plot area of 7,251 Sqm now it is proposed for BUA of 34,054.042 Sqm in plot area of 7,284.28 Sqm. The Proponent informed that have not started any construction activity in site and submitted the latest site photographs with date and as no construction activity has been started, Proponent justified for not submitting CCR for the earlier EC.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding cart track in east as per village map and provisions for rain water harvesting in the project proposed. The Proponent informed the Committee that the cart track is an existing public road which is also the approach road to the project area. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of 795 cum for runoff from rooftop and another tank of 513 cum for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas along with 13 recharge pits within the site area.

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 95 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

124

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.

- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 2x130cum and 6 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 95 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 7. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.2.8 Building Stone Quarry Project at Madahalli village in Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagara District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Nagappa – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/485154/2024 (SEIAA 102 MIN 2024)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Nagappa
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at
		Sy.No.375 of Madahalli village in
		Gundlupete Taluk, Chamarajanagara
		District (2-00 Acres)
		N 11° 48' 26.6105" E 76° 39' 21.1100"
		N 11° 48' 26.3387" E 76° 39' 23.3152"
		N 11° 48' 26.1001" E 76° 39' 23.2200"
		N 11° 48' 23.7654" E 76° 39' 22.7655"
		N 11° 45′ 23.8050″ E 76° 39′ 18.6632″
		N 11° 48′ 25.3221″ E 76° 39′ 18.8754″
		N 11° 48' 25.3052" E 76° 39' 21.1101"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New/Expansion/Modification/Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue,	Patta
	Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	
6	Area in Acres	2-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton/Cum) Per	51,020 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
	Annum	·
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)
	125	R 1.0 (

9	Proved Quantity of mi	ne/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	8,99,839Tones (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Pe	r Annum - Cu.m / Ton	50,000 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Proj	pose take up 200 No. of arry location to Madaball	additional plantation on either side of the Village Road
	upprouch road from qu	arry location to madaman	T Thage Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 10.95 lakhs (Capital	Cost) & Rs. 3.43 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	04.09.2023	
14	Quarry plan	14.03.2024	
15	Cluster certificate	19.03.2024	
16	Notification	01.03.2024	
17	Revenue	12.09.2023	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 7 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease out of which 3 leases are exempted from cluster as leases were granted prior to 09.09.2013 and total area of the remaning leases including the applied lease is 10-22 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1200 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 8,99,839 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 18 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 51,020 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.

3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.

4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.

5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.

6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

(Jacol)

### 314.2.9 Building Stone Quarrying Project at Parthanahalli Village, Athani Taluk, Belagavi District (7-20 Acres) by M/s. Bhagyashri Stone Crusher – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/471692/2024 (SEIAA 103 MIN 2024)

#### About the project:

SI.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PRO	<b>VIDED BY PP</b>
1	Name & Address Proponent	of the Projects	M/s. Bhagyashri Stone	Crusher
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building Stone Quarryin No.153/4 of Parthanaha Taluk, Belagavi District	ng Project at Sy. Ili Village, Athani t (7-20 Acres)
			Lattitude N 16°46'27.4233" N 16°46'31.1935" N 16°46'28.8097" N 16°46'25.8225"	Longitude E75*06'24.2335" E75*06'24.0347" E75*06'33.9676" E75*06'34.1996"
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Modif	ication/Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Patta	
6	Area in Acres		7-20 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		53,158 Tones/ Annum (	(including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Cr	ores)	Rs. 1.75 Crores (Rs. 17	5 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mi Ton	ine/ Quarry- Cu.m /	15,94,737 Tones (inclue	ding waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Ton	er Annum - Cu.m /	50,500 Tones / Annum	(excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Prop approach road from qu	pose take up 250 No. of additional plantation on either side of the parry location to Parthanahalli Village Road		
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 31.00 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 14.15 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	23.11.2023		
14	Quarry plan	10.06.2024		
15	Cluster certificate	10.06.2024		
16	Notification	15.04.2024		
17	Revenue	15.11.2023		

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG letter dated 08.05.2024, soil cover is removed for agriculture purpose and trial pit was done to check the availability of mineral and the excavated mineral is stored within the site area and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no lease in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease and the total area of the present lease is 7-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

127

Jaw

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 450 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 15,94,737 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 30 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 53,158 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.2.10 Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Ivagilu village in Ramanagara Taluk & District (QL No. 1127) (3-00 Acres) by M/s. G. H. N. Stone Crusher – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/485437/2024 (SEIAA 104 MIN 2024)

About	the	proj	ject:	

SLNo	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects	M/s. G. H. N. Stone Crusher
	Proponent	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at
		Sy.No.13 of Ivagilu village in Ramanagara
		Taluk & District (QL No. 1127) (3-00 Acres)
1		N12° 45′ 25.1045″ E 77° 22′ 00.4030″
		N12" 45' 22.6947" E 77" 22' 00.8960"
		N12° 45' 22.0811" E 77° 21' 55.9584"
		N12" 45' 24.6950" E 77" 21' 55.6453"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New/Expansion/Modification/Renewal	Expansion
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Government
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta,	
	Other]	
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum)	2,04,750 Tones/ Annum (including waste)

128

	Per Annum		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In	Crores)	Rs.0.30 Crores (Rs.30 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity	of mine/ Quarry-	11,67,712 Tones (including waste)
	Cu.m / Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum - Cu.m	2,00,655 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)
	/ Ton		
11	CER Activities:Pro	pose take up 300 N	No. of additional plantation on either side of the
	approach road from	quarry location to Iv	agilu Village Road and Govt. School.
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 13.45 lakhs (Ca	pital Cost) & Rs. 4.85 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	02.03.2024	
14	Quarry plan	09.05.2024	
15	Cluster certificate	22.05.2024	
16	CCR from	19.06.2024	
	MoEF&CC		
17	Audit Report	23.04.2024	

The proposal is for expansion of building stone quarry, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 14.06.2019and lease was in effect from 26.10.2004 with QL 1127. The Proponent submitted an audit report till 2023-24 certified by DMG dated 23.04.2024 and CCR from MoEF&CC dated 19.06.2024 informing that quarry was not in operation.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1000 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms and to grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 11,67,712Tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 6 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,04,750 Tones/Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

(JAUM)

314.2.11 Building Stone Quarry Project at Kattige Village, Honnali Taluk & Davanagere District (6-00 Acre) (2.429 Ha) by M/s. Revana Siddeshwara Stone Crusher - Sri C Dhananjaya S/o Ramanagowda - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/470820/2024 (SEIAA 101 MIN 2024) About the project:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		<b>INFORMATION PRO</b>	OVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent		M/s. Revana Siddeshwa	ara Stone Crusher - Sri
			C Dhananjaya S/o Ramanagowda	
2	Name & Location of	the Project	Building Stone Quarry	Project at Sy.Nos.243,
			244, 245/1 &2 45/2 of I	Kattige Village,
			Honnali Taluk & Davar	nagere District (6-00
			Acre) (2.429 Ha)	-
			N14º14'24.0412"	E75*31'49.5383"
			N14914'24.3243"	E75*31*52.3142*
			N14914" 20.6055"	E75*31*52.8034"
			N14º14'18.2056"	E75*31*53.0034*
			N1491417.7315*	E75'31'48.3863"
			N14'14'22.4467"	£75°31'47.8249'
			N14º14'22.6163"	E75*31*49.6587*
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / M	odification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue Gomal Private / Patta Other]		Patta	
6	Area in Acres	····· <b>·</b> ··· <b>·</b>	6-00 Acre (2.429 Ha)	
7	Annual Production (N Annum	Metric Ton / Cum) Per	1,77,647 Tones/ Annun	n (including waste)
8	Project Cost (Rs. In C	(rores)	Rs. 1.00 Crores (Rs. 10	0 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of a Ton	mine/ Quarry- Cu.m /	20,58,824Tones (includ	ing waste)
10	Permitted Quantity I Ton	Per Annum - Cu.m /	1,51,000 Tones / Annur	n (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Pro approach road from q	pose take up 1,000 No uarry location to Kattig	o. of additional plantation e Village Road	n on either side of the
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 24.40 lakhs (Capi	tal Cost) & Rs. 14.80 lak	hs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	13.02.2024		
14	Quarry plan	18.05.2024		
15	Cluster certificate	05.06.2024		
16	Notification	22.04.2024		
17	Revenue	05.02.2024		

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that earlier old material of adjacent area was stacked in the proposed area and presently it has been removed and no mining has been carried out by Proponent and informed that project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are three leases in a radius of 500 mtrs from the applied lease and the total area of the leases including the applied lease is 10-35 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Jach .

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 700 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The Committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC norms and to grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan with proved mineable reserve of 20,58,824 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry to be 12 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,77,647 Tons/year (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6.To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.12 Building Stone Quarry Project at Itnal village, Savadatti Taluk, Belagavi District (3-00 Acres) by Smt. Hema Ningappa Udapudi – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/481628/2024 (SEIAA 96 MIN 2024)

SLNo	PARTICULARS	<b>INFORMATION PROVIDED BY</b>	PP	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Smt. Hema Ningappa Udapudi		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.		
		Nos.338/2 & 338/3 of Itnal village, S	avadatti	
		Taluk, Belagavi District (3-00 Acres)	ì	
		Latitude Longitu	de	
		N 16'04'59.9713" E 75'05'01.4	1359"	
		N 16'05'00.7978" E 75'01'55.	)968*	
		N 16'04'58.9600" E 75'04'54	5902 <sup>-</sup>	
		N 16'04'58.4501" E 75'04'57.	3910"	
		N 16*04*57.6771" E 75*05*01.	1315″	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government	Patta		
	Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres	3-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per	51,417 Tones/ Annum (including wa	ste)	
	Annum			
	131	$\rho \rightarrow 1 $		

(JAG)

8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of n	nine/ Quarry- Cu.m /	8,77,105 Tones (including waste)
	Ton		
10	Permitted Quantity Per	Annum - Cu.m / Ton	50,389 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
11	CER Activities: Prop	ose take up 400 No.	of additional plantation on either side of the
	approach road from qu	arry location to Itnal V	illage Road
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 13.35 lakhs (Capi	tal Cost) & Rs. 4.55 lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	20.06.2022	
14	Quarry plan	18.05.2024	
15	Cluster certificate	21.05.2024	
16	Notification	12.02.2024	
17	Revenue	08.06.2022	

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG mahajar report dated 28.12.2023, while digging well, soil is removed and top soil rich in iron has been removed and used for agriculture purpose by local farmers and informed that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are another 5 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease, out of which 4 leases are exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining lease including the applied lease is 5-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 340 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 8,77,105 ton (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 18 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 51,417 ton/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

132

314.2.13 Expansion of Building Stone Quarry Project at Naganala Village, Kolar Taluk, Kolar District (Q.L.No.1042) (6-00 Acres) by Sri R Prabhakar – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/484487/2024 (SEIAA 106 MIN 2024)

About the	e project:
-----------	------------

SI. No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROVID	ED BY PP
1	Name & Address Proponent	of the Projects	Sri R Prabhakar	
2	Name & Location of the Project		Expansion of Building St	one Quarry Project at
:		-	Sy.No.42(P) of Naganala Vil	lage, Kolar Taluk, Kolar
			District (Q.L.No.1042) (6-00	Acres)
			N 13" 11" 9.8163"	E 78" 3' 32.1252"
			N 13" 11' 9.6874"	E 78" 3' 35-9642"
	,		N 13" 11' 8.8120"	£ 78° 3' 36.0520"
			N 13" 11' 8.5954"	E 78" 3' 38.6836"
			N 13° 11' 6.8380"	E 78° 3' 36.8596"
			N 13" 11' 5.8763"	E 78" 3' 40.4125"
			N 13" 11" 3.1702"	E 78" 3' 45.0871"
			N 13" 11' 2.8185"	<u>Ε 78° 3' 45-2225°</u>
			N 13" 11' 6.8990"	E 78" 3' 32.5450*
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry	
4	New/Expansion/Mod	ification/ Renewal	Expansion	
5	Type of Land [For	rest, Government	Government	
	Revenue, Gomal, Pri	vate/Patta, Other]		
6	Area in Acres		6-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		6,31,579 Tones/ Annum (inc	luding waste)
	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs 2.01 Crores (Rs 201 La)	(hs)
0	Project Cost (Rs. in Crores)		A1 51 941 Tones (including	waste)
9	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	6,00,000 Tones/ Annum (ex.	cluding waste)
l	Cu.m / Ton			
11	CER Activities:			
1	Year Corr	orate Environment	al Responsibility (CER)	
	1 <sup>st</sup> The	proponent propos	es to distribute nursery plants	, at Naganala vilage and
	Stre	ngthening of appro-	ach Road	
	2 <sup>44</sup> Rain	water harvesting p	to GHPS at Naganata Vilage	nol at Naganala village
	31* 5018	ne plantation eithe	r side of the approach road De	ar Quarry site & Repair of
1	road With drainages			
	5 <sup>th</sup> Hea	th camp in nearby o	community places	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 69.64 lakhs (C	Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.86 lakhs	(Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	20.06.2024		
14	Cluster certificate	21.06.2024		
15	Audit Report 03.07.2024			

The proposal is for expansion, for which EC was issued earlier by SEIAA on 27.06.2023 and lease was granted on 31.03.2023 with QL no. 1042. The Proponent informed the Committee that no quarrying has been carried out since the grant of lease and submitted audit report certified by DMG dated 03.07.2024, showing no production from 2022-23 till date. Hence the Proponent justified for not submitting audit report and CCR.

133

(Jaus))/

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 890 meters connecting lease area to the allweather black topped road. The Committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that all are within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 31,42,444 tons (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 7 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 6,31,579 tonn/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.

- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.2.14 Building Stone Quarry Project at Shivapura Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Prasanna Shetty – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/469996/2024 (SEIAA 95 MIN 2024)

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PP
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Prasanna Shetty
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No.176/P1 of Shivapura Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (3-00 Acres)
		N 13° 24' 10.9" E 74° 58' 05.0"
		N 13° 24' 11.3" E 74° 58' 06.9"
		N 13 <sup>°</sup> 24' 07.1" E 74° 58' 09.5"
		N 13° 24' 06.2" E 74° 58' 06.1"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government

#### About the project:

134

VaQI

Area in Acres			3-00 Acres
Annual	Production (M	letric Ton / Cum)	52,632 Tones/ Annum (including waste)
Per Ann	um		
Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		rores)	Rs. 1.28 Crores (Rs. 128 Lakhs)
Proved	Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	9,67,265 Tones (including waste)
Cu.m / 7	ſon		
Permitte	d Quantity Pe	r Annum - Cu.m	50,000 Tones / Annum (excluding waste)
/ Ton			
CER Ac	tivities:		
Year	Corporate Erm	rironmentai Respon	sibility (CER)
ist	<b>Providing so</b>	iar power panels :	to GHPS at Shivapura village.
2nd	Rain water h	arvesting pits to t	the GHPS in Shivapura village.
3rd	Conducting	E-waste drive carr	paigns in the Shivapura village
4th	Scientific su	pport and aware	ness to local farmers to increase yield of crop
	and fodder		
Sth	Health camp	in the GHPS at Sl	hivapura village.
EMP Bu	udget	Rs. 30.38 lakhs (	Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.88 lakhs (Recurring cost)
Forest NOC		29.12.2023	
Quarry plan		26.03.2024	
Cluster certificate		02.04.2024	
Notification		16.02.2024	
Revenue		02.02.2024	
	Area in Annual Per Ann Project ( Proved Cu.m / 7 Permitte / Ton CER Ac Year yst and 3rd 4th EMP Bu Forest N Quarry 1 Cluster c Notifica Revenue	Area in Acres Annual Production (M Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Ci Proved Quantity of Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Pe / Ton CER Activities: Year Corporate Em ist Providing so 2nd Rain water h 3rd Conducting I 4th Scientific sur and fodder 5th Health camp EMP Budget Forest NOC Quarry plan Cluster certificate Notification Revenue	Area in AcresAnnual Production (Metric Ton / Cum)Per AnnumProject Cost (Rs. In Crores)Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / TonPermitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / TonCER Activities:YearYearCorporate Environmental ResponsionistProviding solar power panelsandRain water harvesting pits to3rdConducting E-waste drive carr4thScientific support and aware and foddersthHealth camp in the GHPS at SIEMP BudgetForest NOC29.12.2023Quarry plan26.03.2024Cluster certificate02.02.2024Notification16.02.2024

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that the proposed area is government land and locals have mined for bonafide use and no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are another 5 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease, out of which 3 leases are exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining lease including the applied lease is 9.35 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 400 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road connecting the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 9,67,265 ton (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 19 years.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,632tonn/ Annum (including waste), with following consideration,

135

1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.

Jeren

- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the guarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

### Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.15 Black Granite Quarry Project at Rechamballi Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District (3-30 Acres) by Sri Sangameshwara Babu - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/483455/2024 (SEIAA 94 MIN 2024)

About the project:

\$1.No.	PARTICULARS		INFORMATION PROVID	DED BY PROPONENT
1	Name & Address	of the Projects	Sri Sangameshwara Babu	
	Proponent			
2	Name & Location of	f the Project	Black Granite Quarry Pro	oject at Sy.Nos.56/7A1,
			56/7A2, 56/7A3, 56/7A5 8	2 56/7B1 of Rechamballi
			Village, Chamarajanagar	Taluk & District (3-30
			Acres)	
			Latitude	Longitude
			N 11°58'14.5001"	E 77" 01' 59.9053"
			N 11"58'13.8992"	E 77° 01' 03.8038"
			N 11º58'10.2011"	E 77°01' 03.6042*
 			N 11°58'09.6998"	E 77° 01′ 59.6051″
3	Type Of Mineral		Black Granite Quarry Proje	xt
4	New/Expansion/Mod	ification/ Renewa	New	
5	Type of Land [For	est, Government	Patta	
	Revenue, Gomal,	Private / Patta,	I	
	Other]			
6	Area in Acres		3-30 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton /		10,020 Cum /annum(includ	ling waste)
	Cum) Per Annum			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In (	Crores)	Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs.45 Lak	hs)
9	Proved Quantity of	mine/ Quarry-	5,08,700Cum (including wa	aste)
	Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity	Per Annum -	2,505Cum/annum (recovery	y)
11	Cu.m / Ton			
11	CER Activities: Pro	pose take up 350	No. of additional plantation	on on either side of the
10	approach road from o	juarry location to	Rechamballi Village Road	
12	EMP Budget	Ks. 14.15 lakhs (	Capital Cost) & Rs.4.55 lak	ns (Recurring cost)
13	Quarry plan	24.01.2024		
14	Forest NoU	28.12.2020		
15	Cluster certificate	25.01.2024		
16	Kevenue NOC	12.08.2021		
17	Notification	08.12.2023		

136

YREARY

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per google time line images, workings are prior to 2011 and no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are another 3 leases in a radius of 500 mtr from the said lease, out of which 2 leases are exempted from cluster as EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining lease including the applied lease is 7-19 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 320 meters connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road and the Committee informed that the quarrying operation needs to be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road in first year of operation, for which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent has collected baseline data and informed that are all within the permissible limits. The Proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and agreed with the approved quarry plan, with proved mineable reserve of 5,08,700 cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be coterminous with lease period.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 10,020 Cum /annum (including waste), with following consideration,

- 1. To asphalt the approach road to the quarry as per IRC norms.
- 2. To grow trees all along the approach road& buffer zone during the first year of operation.
- 3. To carry out regular health checkup for the workers in the nearby Hospital.
- 4. To provide metal sheet fencing around the working area.
- 5. To take necessary measures to arrest noise and vibration from the quarry area.
- 6. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.16 Residential Apartment Building Project at Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Krishnaiah Projects Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/474717/2024 (SEIAA 48 CON 2024)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Information Provided by Proponent
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Krishnaiah Projects Pvt. Ltd. No.23, Sankey Square, Sankey Road, Sadashivanagar, Lower Palace Orchards Bangalore -560003

(Jach)

137

2	Na	me & Location of the Project	Residential Apartment at Sy. Nos. 19/5, 19/6, 19/7, 19/8 of Kogilu Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North (Yelahanka) Taluk, Bangalore- 560064	
3	<u>  Ty</u>	pe of Development		
	a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other	Proposed Residential Apartment project , Category 8 (a)	
	b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA	
I	c.	Zoning Classification	Residential	
4	Ne Re	w/ Expansion/ Modification/ newal	New	
5	5 Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site		Kogilu Lake located at the distance of 1.0Km (NE) from the Project boundary. Palanahalli Lake located at the distance of 1.65Km(N) from the Project boundary. Jakkur Lake located at the distance of 0.7Km (SW) from the Project boundary. Thirumenahalli Lake located at the distance of 1.65Km (SE) from the Project boundary. Agrahara Lake located at the distance of 1.50Km (SE) from the Project boundary. Yealahanka kere located at the distance of 1.75Km (W) from the Project boundary.	
6	Ple	ot Area (Sqm)	10623.0 Sqm	
7	Bu	ilt Up area (Sqm)	51627.74 Sqm	
8	FA	<ul> <li>R</li> <li>Permissible</li> <li>Proposed</li> </ul>	3.15 3.14	
9	Building Configuration [ Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]		2 Towers each having building configuration of 2B+G+16 UF and 2B+G+22 UF with 98 flats and club house.	
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects		98 flats	
11	He	eight Clearance	74.7 m	
12	Pr	oject Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 58,65,90,000.00	
13	3 Quantity excavated earth & its management		Excavated earth of 45158.94 cum The earth excavated generated from the project site will be utilized within the project premises for back filling, gardening road and walk way and construction of compound wall.	
14	De	tails of Land Use (Sqm)		
	a.	Ground Coverage Area	2220.92 Sqm	
	b.	Kharab Land	-	
	C.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	h   4791.79 <u>Sqm</u>	
	lun 138 Plant			

Au.

(Jacob)

	d.	Internal Roads	3610.29 Sqm	
	e.	Paved area		
	f.	Others Specify	-	
		Parks and Open space in case of	-	
	g.	Residential Township/ Area		
	Ũ	Development Projects	-	
	h.	Total	10623.0 Sqm	
15	WA	TER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	I.	Construction Phase		
	a.	Source of water	Treated water tankers	
1 1	1.	Quantity of water for	16 KLD	
	D.	Construction in KLD		
		Quantity of water for Domestic	2.7 KLD	
	C.	Purpose in KLD		
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD	2.16 KLD	
		Treatment facility areasond and	The total domestic wastewate	er generated during
		achieves of disposed and	construction phase will be tre	ated in mobile STP
	С.	water	and the treated water will be	used for periphery
		water	landscaping developing the ar	ea.
	II.	Operational Phase		
		Total Requirement of Water in	Net fresh water requirement	60 KLD
	a.	KID	Recycled water for flushing	31 KLD
		RED	Total water requirement	91 KLD
	b.	Source of water	BWSSB and Rainwater harve	esting
	¢.	Wastewater generation in KLD	73 KLD	
	d.	STP capacity and Area required	80 KLD	
	•	Technology employed for	Sequencing Batch Reactor (SI	BR)
	υ.	Treatment		
			The sewage generated during	the operation phase
			will be treated in Sewage Tre	eatment Plant (STP)
			of capacity 80KLD. The en	ntire (95%) treated
	_	Scheme of disposal of excess	sewage from STP, 31 KLD	) will be recycled/
	f.	treated water if any	reused for toilet flushing, 4	• KLD for internal
			driveway and Pavement main	tenance, 9 KLD for
			Common & floor area maint	enance, 3 KLD for
			car washing and 23 KLD lan	dscaping within the
	1		project site.	
16	Infr	astructure for Rain water harvesting		
		Capacity of sump/tank to store	Provided roof rainwater sur	np capacity is 140
	а.	Roof & Hardscape/soft scape run	Cum	
	off			
			Io Nos. of recharge pits are	proposed to harvest
	<b>1</b> .	No's of Ground water recharge	paved area runoff of 1.2 m Di	a& 1.8 m Depth.
	D.	pits	22 Nos. of recharge pits are	proposed to harvest
			runon from landscape of 1	.2 m Dia& 1.8 m
		$\frac{1}{10} = 100 = 31$		
			Carrying capacity of internal dr	$am = 1.39 \text{ m}^2/\text{sec.}$
17	Sto	rm water management plan	of carrying capacity of intern adequate i.e. greater than 0.1.	ai gariand drain 18
	1		adoquate n.e., greater than 0.1 ] safe	morsee so design is
19	WA	STE MANAGEMENT	2410	
10	10 WASTEWANAUEWENT			

(jært)

<b>I</b> .	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waster and its management.	Demolition Waste:- NA Construction Waste:1291 MT Sand Gravels of 516 MT, Brick with Masonry- 452 MT, Concrete- 258 MT has been utilized in the formation of Pavement/ walking path area and Landscape area. The metal and wood scrap of 65 MT utilized for the formation of landscape area.
b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	6 Kg/day Handed over to authorized vendors.
II.	Operational Phase	de
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity: 189.8 kg/day Mode of Disposal:Composting by using organic waste Converter (OWC) converted as manure & used for landscaping within the project site Capacity of facility: 250 kg/day Area required: 20 Sqm
b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity:124.3 kg/day Mode of Disposal: Hand over to Authorized Recyclers for further process Area required: 8 Som
с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 0.1KLPA Mode of Disposal: Disposed as per the Hazardous & other waste (Management & Transboundary) movement rules 2016. Hand over to KSPCB Authorized Hazardous waste Recyclers for further process Area required: 6 Sqm
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity: 0.05MTPA Mode of Disposal: Hand over to KSPCB Authorized e waste recycler for further process Area required: 5 Sqm
19 PO	WER	
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	Transformer capacity 720KVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	320KVA X 2Nos
c.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Energy conservation using solar water heater, VFD for pump and STP, VFD for lifts, solar external lighting and LED lights. Percentage of savings : 27%
<u>20   PA</u>	KKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms (ECS)	293 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	В

(surth)

•

140

	c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8 m
21	CE	R Activities	<ul> <li>Carrying avenue plantation across the service road within the period 18 months</li> <li>Providing RO facility for safe Drinking water to the Government School Students of Kogilu which is located 0.8 Km(NE) from the project site within 12 months</li> <li>Providing Sanitation facility to the Government Primary School Kogilu which is located 0.8 Km(NE) from the project site 8 within 18 months</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Construction phase: Galvanized iron barricade sheet all-round the site-5.94 lakhs, Purchase of tanker water for Construction-5.12 lakhs, Plantations of saplings around the periphery and maintenance-0.86lakhs, Environmental Monitoring – Air, Water, Noise-4.53 lakhs, EMP Cell-7.20 lakhs, Waste water treatment during construction phase-12 lakhs, Waste Management -3.15 lakhs, Total 38.81 Lakhs</li> </ul>
22	EM	P (Details and capital cost & arring cost)	<ul> <li>Operation phase : Capital investment</li> <li>Sewage Treatment Plant – 45Lakhs, Rainwater harvesting facilities-10.50 Lakhs, Landscape development-7.50 Lakhs, Acoustic &amp; Stacks for DG sets-5.75 Lakhs, Organic Waste Converter – 15.25Lakhs, Total 84 Lakhs</li> <li>Recurring cost</li> <li>STP Maintenance-6 lakhs, Landscape</li> <li>Maintenance- 2.30 lakhs, Organic waste</li> <li>Maintenance-1.25 lakhs, EMP Cell-3 lakhs, Environmental Monitoring-Air, Water, Noise 5 lakhs/ annum, Total 17.55 Lakhs</li> </ul>

The proposal was considered in 313<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the proposal as the Proponent informed the Committee that the area demarcated as per KML was wrongly marked and uploaded, as the proposed area was adjacent to the area demarcated in KML. In the present meeting the Proponent had submitted revised KML polygon of the proposed area and informed that no construction activities has been started and there is a temporary shed which will be removed and recycled within the site area. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for construction of a residential apartment project in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of Bangalore Development Authority.

The Committee during appraisal sought details regarding road as per zoning map and rain water harvesting provisions proposed in the project. The Proponent informed the Committee that the road in north west as per RMP of BDA is left as it is with no development proposed. For harvesting rain water, Proponent informed that they have proposed storage tank of 140 cum for runoff from rooftop and 16 recharge pits within the site area for runoff from hardscape and landscape areas.

(aud)/

141

Further the Committee informed the Proponent to incorporate tertiary treatment facility to treat waste water to potable standards, to install smart water meters with aerators for individual units for conservation of water, to utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation, to use sustainable building materials in the proposed project and to harvest excess rainwater in the project site, to which the Proponent agreed.

The Proponent agreed to grow 135 trees in the project site area. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and informed that all were within the permissible limits. The Proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The Committee noted that the baseline parameters were found to be within permissible limits and informed the Proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after appraisal decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with following considerations,

- 1. To provide tertiary treatment to the waste water to bring it to potable standards.
- 2. To utilize minimum of 50% of roof area for solar power generation.
- 3. To provide minimum 10% of total parking with e-vehicle charging facility.
- 4. To provide recharge tank of capacity 140 cum and 16 recharge pits.
- 5. To grow 85 trees in the early stage before taking up of construction.
- 6. To provide bellmouth entry and exit in the proposed project.
- 7. To source external water from KGWA approved water tankers.
- 8. To carry out community recharge of bore wells in the vicinity of the site.
- 9. To construct lead of drains till the natural drains/water body for handling excess water.
- 10. To consider the CER activity submitted by proponent with a recommendation to write to the concerned recipient about the CER activity.
- 11. To install smart water meters with aerators for individual units to conserve water.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

314.2.17 Proposed Construction of Residential Apartment Building - "Rohan Tower" Project at R.Sy. Nos.88/5, 88/6, 88/7p and 107/1p of Alape Village, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District by M/s. Global Star Property Pvt. Ltd. – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/471544/2024 (SEIAA 45 CON 2024)

The proposal was earlier considered in 313<sup>th</sup> SEAC and the Committee had deferred the proposal the Proponent to submit tree cutting permission copy obtained from Forest Department for removal of trees and present site condition details with GPS photographs and date.

In the present meeting, the Proponent informed that the site had coconut and local fruit trees like mango, sapota, gooseberry, guava etc. and as per the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 those trees do not require prior permission from forest department. Further, the Proponent informed that as there are highrise buildings in northern and southern side, considering the possibility of landslide duing heavy rains, retention compound wall has been constructed for protection of land and adjacent buildings and submitted the GPS photographs with date.

Jacolly

142

The Committee noted the clarification and considering the site condition as per google timeline images informed the Proponent to submit justification so as to why the proposal should to be considered under violation for the works carried out in site prior to EC.

Hence, the Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal for want of above information.

# Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to putup before SEAC after submission of clarification sought.

314.2.18 Proposed mixed development project (Residential, Commercial and MLCP) - "Rohan Heights" Project at Derebail Village, Mangalore Taluk, District Dakshina Kannada District by M/s. Rohan Ranch Pvt. Ltd.- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/471761/2024 (SEIAA 46 CON 2024)

SI.	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
			Name: Mr. Rohan Monteiro (Director of M/s. Rohan Ranch Pvt. Ltd.)
		Name & Address of the	Address:
	1	Project Proponent	M/s. Rohan Ranch Pvt. Ltd. (GPA Holder)
		Tioper Tiopenene	3-T-19/6 (1), Rohan Corporation
			Near Capitanio, Pumpwell, Kankanady
			Mangalore TalukDakshin Kannada District - 575002
			Name:
			Mixed development project (Residential, Commercial and
	2	Name & Location of the	MLCP) - "Rohan Heights"
		Project	Location: At R. Sy. No. $114/1A$ , $114/2B$ , $114/1C$ , $71/2$ ,
			138/4APS of Yeyyadi, Derebail Village, Mangalore Taluk
	-		Daksnina Kannada District - 575000
	3	Type of Development	New project of Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per ELA Netification 2006
┝╴		Peridential Anartment /	Mived
		Villas / Row Houses /	a Single Tower with Residential Commercial and MI CP
	a	Vertical Development /	h 22 No of Villas
	"	Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/	0. 22 110. 01 vinus.
		Hotel/ Hospital /other	
		Residential Township/	Not applicable
	<b>b.</b>	Area Development Projects	
	c.	Zoning Classification	Residential and Commercial Zone
	4	New/ Expansion/	New
	4	Modification/ Renewal	
	5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the	No
	5	vicinity of project site	
	6	Plot Area (Sqm)	21,430.37
7	Built Un area (Som)	92,503.0 (Including Built up area of 9646 sq.m of Commercial	
		Danie Ob area (Odin)	space)
		FAR	
8	<ul> <li>Permissible</li> </ul>	3.5	
	<ul> <li>Proposed</li> </ul>	2.8	

Jack

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP
	Building Configuration	1. High rise Tower: Basement + Ground Floor with
	[Number of Blocks /	Mezzanine + 1st Floor with Mezzanine + 37 Floors +
9	Towers / Wings etc., with	Тегтасе
	Numbers of Basements	2. Villas 22 No.: Ground + 1 Floor + Terrace
	and Upper Floors	
	Number of units/plots in	Not applicable
10	case of Construction	
	/Kesidential Township	
	Area Development Projects	Parmingible: 150m
11	Height Clearance	Proposed: 196 m (Applied for Height Clearance NOC to $\Delta \Delta I$ )
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs 190 Cr
12	r tojeti ebsi (its: in etotes)	Excavation of soil will be carried out for foundation work. Ton
13	Quantityexcavated earth&	soil will be reused at site landscaping and rest of the soil will
	its management	be used for refilling and site levelling.
14	Details of Land Use (Som)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	8,550.72
b.	Kharab Land	NA
	Total Green belt on	4,776.92
с.	Mother Earth	
d.	Internal Roads	7 963 41
e.	Paved area	7,002.41
f.	Others Specify	240.32 – Area left for road widening
	Parks and Open space in	
σ	case of Residential	NA
5.	Township/ Area	
	Development Projects	
<u>h.</u>	Total	21,430.37 sq.m
15	WATER	
1.	Source of water	Existing 2 Open wells at the site
	Quantity of water for	existing 2 Open wens at the site
b.	Construction in KLD	<b>3</b> 5
	Quantity of water for	4.5
C.	Domestic Purnoses in KLD	
	Wastewater generation in	3.6
d.	KLD	
	Treatment facility	Temporary sanitary facilities for construction labours are
e.	proposed and scheme of	provided and disposed off in UGD line of MCC.
	disposal of treated water	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
II.	Operational Phase	
	Tatal Denuisare - C	Fresh 124
a.	Voter in KLD	Recycled 166
		Total 290
b.	Source of water	Mangalore Municipal Corporation (MCC)
<u>_</u>	Wastewater generation in	171
	KLD	
d.	STP capacity and area required	Total 205 kld (150 kld + 30 kld + 25 kld)
		Area required For, 150 kld STP: 182 sq.m
	<u> </u>	
	0	144 $V_{\perp} \sim \sqrt{\lambda} / \sqrt{\lambda}$

AT-

yany
	Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP	
			25 kld STP: 20 sq.m	
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technology	
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	Zero Liquid Discharge from Project site	
i	<u>    16                                </u>	Infrastructure for Rain water	r harvesting	
·	а.	Capacity of sump/tank to store Roof & Hardscape/ soft scape run off	1 Tank of 400cu.m capacity	
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	34 RWH Structures (33RWH recharge pits+ 1 Sump of 400 cu.m)	
	17	Storm water management plan	To avoid the loss of soil during monsoon, major construction activities will be avoided during rainy season. Water accumulated on the soil dump will be locally drained in the perimeter drain using small capacity pumps after particulate settlement. All potential contaminants such as lime, paints, whitewashes, shuttering lining, grease, oil, solvents, etc. will be decanted/ handled on the impervious PCC floor of the construction the warehouse. The warehouse will be closed type with no chance of rainwater meeting the material.	
•	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT		
	<u>I.</u>	Construction Phase		
	a.	Quantity of Construction & Demolition waste and its management.	Demolition waste: NIL Construction waste (Approx. 215 cu.m/day) - Shall be segregated and reused within the Project site (Proper facility for storage of construction wastes will be made at Project site)	
	b.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal other than C&D.	Domestic Waste (10 kg/day) – Biodegradable waste will be composted and rest shall be sent to MSW site. Plastic waste – to be sold to recyclers.	
			Opentity 4801 - (1	
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms (Capacity of OWC & Area required)	Quantity480 kg/dayMode ofshall be composted in an Organic WasteDisposalConvertors (OWCs) depending up on the requirement for horticulture and will be sent to Common MSW Management FacilityCapacity of1 x 500 kg/8 hr capacity of OWCfacility1 x 200 kg/8 hr capacity of OWCAreaFor, 500 kg of OWC: 16 sq.mrequiredFor, 200 kg of OWC: 15 sq.m	
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Quantity490 kg/day including Non-biodegradable wasteMode of DisposalRecyclable waste shall be sold to recyclers. Non-biodegradable (100 kg/day) will be sent to Common Solid Waste Management Facility.Area required20 sq.m	

Jacob

SI	.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP				
			Ouanti	ity 🛛 🕅	Negligible		
		Quantity of Hazardous	Mode	of l	Jsed oil from the DG sumps	(occasional)	
	c.	waste generation and	Dispos	sal s	hall be sold to registered wa	ste oil	
		mode of Disposal as per		r	ecyclers.		
		noms	Area n	equired 4	sq.m		
			Quanti	ity N	Vegligible		
		Quantity of E waste	Mode	of E	E waste will be stored at a de	signated place	
	d.	generation and mode of	Dispos	sal a	nd disposed through register	red recyclers.	
		Disposal as per norms	Arean	equired 6	sa.m		
!	19	POWER	10001				
		Total Power Requirement	4,000 k	VA from M	ESCOM		
	a.	-Operational Phase	.,				
			Total 4	DG sets of	3020 kVA		
		Numbers of DG set and	Resider	tial: 1 DG s	set of 500 kVA		
	b.	capacity in KVA for	in KVA for Commercial and Parking area (including common areas): 2				
		Standby Power Supply	DG sets of 1,010 kVA each				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	For Villas: 1 DG set of 500 kVA				
	c	Details of Fuel used for	HSD – 604 l/hr				
	<b></b>	DG Set					
			<ul> <li>Solar panels on the roof tops (Approx. 80 Solar panels)</li> </ul>				
ļ			generate approx. 160 kW power).				
		Energy conservation plan	<ul> <li>Sound design of tower for maximum natural ventilation</li> </ul>				
		and Percentage of savings	and illumination. Decion of building shell to reflect most of the solar				
	a.	including plan for	<ul> <li>Design of building shell to reflect most of the solar insulation.</li> <li>Lighting controllers like dimmer and occupancy sensors.</li> </ul>				
		as per ECBC 2007					
		as per ECBC 2007	<ul> <li>Lignung controners like diminer and occupancy</li> <li>Energy efficient motors and transformers LED</li> </ul>				
			• 22.5	5% of Energ	zv savings		
⊢	20	PARKING		<b>L</b>	<u> </u>		
⊢		Parking Requirement as	Require	ed - 519 EC	s		
1	a.	per norms (ECS)	Provide	d - 525 E <u>C</u>	S + 132 Two Wheelers		
		Level of Service (LOS) of	C				
	Ь	the connecting Roads as					
	0.	per the Traffic Study					
	L	Report					
	<b>c</b> .	Internal Road width (RoW)	6 m &	8 m			
	21	CER Activities Proposed	Sr.No.	Year	CER Activit	y	
			1	2025 -	To provide infrastructure	e facilities to	
				2028	Science Gallery, Dr.	VS Acharya	
					Conten Degrathi negan IId	Inde Training	
			ļ <b>i</b>	Ļ	Center, Pragatin nagar, Ud		
	22	EMP ((Details and capital	Constr	uction Pha	se		
		cost & recurring cost))	Sr.			Approx. Cost	
			No.		EMP Aspect	(Kupees In	
		Construction phase	╎┝╌╌┦	Dominada	dust horrison all round the		
			•	Barricades/	dust partiers an-round the	4.5	
			- <del>3</del> -	Sprinkling	of water (non-rainy	2.0	
			-	season)	or many (non runny		
L		L			P 1.01	<b></b>	
		Byren -		146	$(\Lambda \Omega \cdot \eta \Lambda / \Lambda / \Lambda)$		
		NX.			yer in		
					1 1		



Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION Provided by PP				
		3. Labour Management - first aid centre, safety measures, sanitation, amenities (through Construction Contractors)			6.2	
		4.	Environmental Monitoring -	Air, Ó	3.0	
		Water, Noise				
		Total 15.7				
		Operation Phase				
	Operation Phase	Sr. No.	EMP Aspect	Approx Budgeted Capital cost (Rupees in Lakhs	Approx. Budgeted Operating Cost (Rupees ) in Lakhs)	
		1.	STP and Grey Water Recycling	60	8.0	
		2.	Greenbelt and other landscape development	7	5	
		3.	Storm water drain and Rainwater Harvesting System	5.2	2.0	
		4.	Environmental Monitoring	-	3.5	
		5.	EHS Management Cell	_	15	
		6.	Solid Waste Management	6.0	3.0	
		7.	Energy conservation	12.0	4.0	
		8.	CER Activity	77.91	41.95	
			Total	168.11	82.45	

The proposal was earlier considered in 313<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the proposal for want of tree cutting permission copy obtained from Forest Department for removal of trees and clarification from DMG for present site condition.

In the present meeting, the Proponent has informed that there were only four trees at the site when they had purchased the land and as per google images it is evident that no trees were cut except grass/bushes/weed. Further, it was informed that initially they had planned for plotted development for villas which was not attracting EC under EIA Notification, 2006 and accordingly had started site leveling after obtaining permission to cut laterite from DMG vide letter dated 23.04.2024 and due to market demand the plan has been changed for commercial and residential development and had stopped the site leveling activity. The Committee noted the clarification and informed Proponent to submit justification with approved layout plan informing that they had earlier planned for plotted development.

The Committee after discussion decided to defer the proposal for want of clarification.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to putup up before SEAC after submission of clarification sought.

### 314.2.19 Proposed Residential Development Project at Sy. Nos.85, 86, 87/1, 87/2, 88, 91, 92 of Bellahalli Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Bhartiya Urban Private Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/474284/2024 (SEIAA 245 CON 2023)

The proposal is for amendment of ToR issued by SEIAA dated 18.11.2023, for the following changes,

SL No.	Description	Details as per the ToR Obtained dt: 18.11.2023	Revised details
1	Project Type	Proposed Residential Development	Proposed Residential Development
2	Entity Name	M/s. Bhartiya Urban Private Limited	M/s. Bhartiya Urban Privat <del>e</del> Limited
3	Project Location	Sy. Nos. 85, 86, 87/1, 87/2, 88, 91 & 92, Bellahalii Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.	Sy. Nos. 85, 86, 87/1, 87/2, 88, 91 & 92, Bellahalii Village, Yelahanka Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District.
4	Total Site area	48,561.87 Sqmt (12 Acres)	48,561.87 Sqmt (12 Acres)
5	Kharab Area	505.85 Sqmt	505.85 Sqmt
6	Net Site area for development	48,056.02 Sqmt	48,056.02 Sqmt
7	Ground Coverage Area	7,686.16 Sqmt (15.99%)	14,021.0 Sqmt (29.18%)

	dans a lade formand a			
8	Area left for road widening	77.18 Sqmt (0.16%)	77.18 Sqmt (0.16%)	
9	Civic amenities	2,402.80 Sqmt (5.00%)	2,402.80 Sqmt (5.00%)	
10	Landscape area	15,863.65 Sqmt (33.01%)	15,863.5 Sqmt (33.01%)	
11	Driveway Ramp	10,752.62 Sqmt (22.38%)	9,615.0 Sqmt (20.01%)	
12	Service area	584.78 Sqmt (1.22%)	600.01 Sqmt (1.25%)	
13	Surface Parking area	2,402.80 Sqmt (5.00%)	2,229.01 Sqmt (4.64%)	
14	Open area	8,286.03 Sqmt (17.24%)	3,247.37 Sqmt (6.76%)	
15	Total Built-up Area	2,42,601.87 Sqmt	2,14,082 Sqmt	
16	No. of units	1,396 Nos. & a Clubhouses.	1406 Nos. & a Clubhouses	
17	Configuration	Units in 8 Towers - 3B+G+20UF Clubhouse - G+1UF	Block-1 & 3 (Wing 1,2,3,4,5&6) - 3B+G+24UF Block-2 (Amenity Block): 3B+G+4UF Block 4,5,6&7: 1B+G+4UF	
17 18	Configuration Maximum Height of the building	Units in 8 Towers - 3B+G+20UF Clubhouse - G+1UF 73.5 m	Block-1 & 3 (Wing 1,2,3,4,5&6) - 3B+G+24UF Block-2 (Amenity Block): 3B+G+4UF Block 4,5,6&7: 1B+G+4UF 79.5 m	
17 18 19	Configuration Maximum Height of the building FAR Achieved	Units in 8 Towers - 3B+G+20UF Clubhouse - G+1UF 73.5 m 2.98 (1,36,960.92 Sqmt)	Block-1 & 3 (Wing 1,2,3,4,5&6) - 3B+G+24UF Block-2 (Amenity Block): 3B+G+4UF Block 4,5,6&7: 1B+G+4UF 79.5 m 2.997 (1,36,822.70 Sqmt)	

bacon

L	•	t.	
21	Total Parking provided	1,680 Nos.	1,622 Nos.
22	Water Requirement	1,045 KLD	1,104 KLD
23	Waste water Generation	836 KLD	883 KLD
24	STP Capacity	460 & 490 KLD	505 KLD X 2 nos.
25	Total Solid waste generated	4.7 MT/day	2.7 MT/day
26	Total Organic Waste generated	1.9 MT/day	1.1 MT/day
27	Total Inorganic Waste generated	2.8 MT/day	1.6 MT/day
28	Energy Requirement	2, <b>500 kV</b> A	2,170 KVA
29	DG	500 kVA X 5 Nos. & 320 kVA X 2 Nos.	500 kVA X 5 Nos. & 320 kVA X 1
30	Transformers	750 KVA X 4 Nos.	630 kVA X 4 Nos.
31	Roof Water Collection Sump	399 Cum (204 Cum & 195 Cum)	841 Cum (281 Cum & 560 Cum)
32	No. of recharge pits 23 Nos.		32 Nos.
33	Project cost	Rs. 399.5 Crores	Rs. 360 Crores

The Committee noted the changes and after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for amendment to ToR, with following additional ToR,

- 1. Proponent to comeup with plan for utilizing excess treated water within the site area
- 2. Provisions for tertiary treatment of water with 100% recycling of sewage water.
- 3. To have 100% battery backup instead of DG sets or to incorporate catalytic converter for DG sets.
- 4. To provide STP with anoxic tank.
- 5. To verify whether the source of water is from BWSSB for the proposed project.
- All other conditions remains same and unchanged for the ToR issued by SEIAA on 18.11.2023.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Jacolly

### 314.2.20 ToR: Proposed Construction of Residential Development Project at Sy.Nos. 37/17, 37/23, 37/24, 37/26, 37/28, 37/29, 37/30, 37/31 of Akkalenahalli Mallenahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District by M/s. M.S Ramaiah Ventures LLP- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/INFRA2/483903/2024 (SEIAA 74 CON 2024)

The proposal is for issuing ToR for the proposed construction of residential development project with BUA of 8,99,259 Sqm in a plot area of 2,51,981.77 Sqm.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard TOR along with the following additional ToR.

- 1. NoC from KGWA for the source of water during construction and during operation should be submitted.
- 2. NoC from BMRCL for the proposed project.
- 3. Details of noise proofing for the proposed hospital facility.
- 4. To provide STP for labour colony.
- 5. Provisions for tertiary treatment of water with 100% recycling of sewage water.
- 6. Height clearance from Airports authority.
- 7. Details of drains, water bodies, kharab details and its position on the combined village survey map with reference to project area
- 8. Detailed conceptual plan and landscape plan, clearly indicating existing buildings / proposed buildings, approach road and details of Kharab areas with buffers as per bylaws.
- 9. Complete land documents and conversion documents for applied Survey. Nos. and extent
- 10. Surface hydrological study of surrounding area to be carried out and the carrying capacity of the natural drains to be worked out in order to ascertain the adequacy in the carrying capacity of the drains and with details of strengthening of drains.
- 11. Details of quantity and kinds of wastes (e-wastes, hazardous wastes and bio-medical wastes) generated and handling the same.
- 12. Detailed risk and disaster management during and after construction.
- 13. Quality of nearby lake water and its rejuvenation plan to be detailed.
- 14. Implementation of Green building concept, provisions for smart metering concept for individual apartments for water consumption details, utilization of the entire terrace for solar power generation and other methods of power savings, provision for electric vehicle charging facility in the proposed project should be detailed
- 15. Compliance to ECBC guidelines and incorporation of NCB for proposed project should be detailed.
- 16. Provisions for utilizing 50% of roof area for solar energy.
- 17. Details regarding processing organic waste in bio-digester and scheme for waste to energy plant to process the entire organic waste generated within the project site and also to process the inorganic waste within the project site
- 18. Detailed FAR calculations for earlier construction and proposed construction and detailed parking provisions for all kind of vehicles including charging facility for e-vehicles with reference to local zoning authorities should be defined.
- 19. Detailed Traffic study with respect to proposed expansion and methods of improvising.
- 20. Ground water potential and level in the study area.
- 21. Detailed rain water harvesting with respect to annual rainfall and provisions for tanks/sumps/ponds for roof top and along with management of excess storm water.
- 22. Sampling locations shall be as per standard norms.

ARENY

- 23. Activities such as provisions for rejuvenation for water bodies/drains in the vicinity of the project, Public Health Care unit, etc., to be taken up under CSR & CER should be detailed out in physical terms and included as part of EMP.
  - Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.21 ToR: Extraction of Shahabad Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.110/\*/2 of Miriyan Village, Chincholi Taluk, Kalaburagi District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Kistappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/479645/2024 (SEIAA 99 MIN 2024)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The Proponent requested the Committee to use the existing baseline data collected of the lease falling in the same cluster for which ToR was issued on 21.10.2023 with file number SEIAA 309 MIN 2023.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 3-00 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 03.06.2022 and approved mining plan on 11.08.2022.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 4. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 5. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 6. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 7. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 8. Waste handling details.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.22 ToR: Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 432/4, 432/7 & 432/8 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (7.23 Acres) by Sri Anjaneya Stone Crusher, Sri Shivanpala Bhimappa- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/484528/2024 (SEIAA 98 MIN 2024)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that there was a temporary shed and it has already been shifted and no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification. Further, the Committee sought clarification for drain as per village map, for which Proponent informed that as per Tashildar letter dated 17.08.2023, there is no drain and there is no foot kharab and the one seen in KML is not for public purpose and is used only as approach road to crusher.

151

(1800)K

The Proponent requested the Committee to use the existing baseline data collected of the lease falling in the same cluster for which ToR was issued on 27.03.2024 with file number SEIAA 18 MIN 2024.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 7-23 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 26.09.2022 and approved mining plan on 31.10.2023.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 4. Revenue NoC
- 5. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 6. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 7. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 8. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 9. Waste handling details.
- 10. Details of drain and its mitigation measures.

Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.23 Extracting of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.413/B7 of Ucchangidurga Village, Harapanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (4.60 Acres) by Sri Anjaneya Stone Crusher, Sri Shivanpala Bhimappa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/484621/2024 (SEIAA 97 MIN 2024)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification. Further, the Committee sought clarification for drain as per village map, for which Proponent informed that as per Tashildar letter dated 17.08.2023, there is no drain and foot kharab is not for public purpose used only as approach road to crusher.

The Proponent requested the Committee to use the existing baseline data collected of the lease falling in the same cluster for which ToR was issued on 23.07.2024 with file number SEIAA 18 MIN 2024.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 4.60 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 14.10.2022 and approved mining plan on 31.10.2023.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 4. Revenue NoC

152

Jacily

- 5. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 6. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 7. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 8. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 9. Waste handling details.
- 10. Details of drain and its mitigation measures.

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

# 314.2.24 Extraction of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.130/1, 130/2, 130/3, 131/1, 131/2, 131/3, 131/4, 131/5 of Doddamavathuru Village, Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District (4-00 Acres) by M/s. SDM Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/484861/2024 (SEIAA 105 MIN 2024)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and only sheet rock is exposed and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 4.60 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 05.09.2023 and approved mining plan on 18.06.2024.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 4. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 5. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 6. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 7. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 8. Waste handling details.
- 9. Details of drain and its mitigation measures.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.25 ToR: Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.177/3 & 177/4 of Thirthakunde Village, Khanapura Taluk, Belagavi District (3-00 Acres) (1.21 Ha) by Sri Anant K Savant – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/444082/2023 (SEIAA 16 MIN 2024)

The proposal was earlier considered in 312<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and as per the request of the Proponent, the Committee deferred the proposal to grant combined ToR with another two proposals.

In the present meeting, the Proponent informed the Committee that the proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 3-00 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 29.12.2018 and approved mining plan on 15.10.2020 and notification on 11.08.2020.

Jaw /

153

The Committee sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The Proponent requested the Committee to consider the following proposals 1.SEIAA 62 MIN 2024, 2.SEIAA 430 MIN 2023 & 3.SEIAA 16 MIN 2024 to issue combined ToR as the lease areas are within the same cluster.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard combined ToR for 1. SEIAA 62 MIN 2024, 2. SEIAA 430 MIN 2023 & 3. SEIAA 16 MIN 2024 along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 4. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 5. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 6. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 7. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 8. Waste handling details.

### Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

#### 314.2.26 ToR: Building Stone (M-Sand) Quarrying Project at Sy. Nos.72/2, 72/3 of Hulikatti Village, Belagavi Taluk, Belagavi District (8-18 Acres) by M/s. Aaditya Resources - Sri Shegar Raghavan - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/476419/2024 (SEIAA 65 MIN 2024)

The proposal was earlier considered in 313<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had deferred the project to get clarification from DMG regarding the present site condition.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted clarification from DMG dated 29.06.2024, informing that no illegal mining carried out in the proposed area. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 8-18 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained Forest NoC on 15.04.2023 and approved mining plan on 19.04.2024.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Clarification from DMG regarding present site condition.
- 4. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 5. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 6. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 7. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 8. Waste handling details.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

154

(Jacon)

### 314.2.27 Extraction of Pink Granite Quarry Project at Sy Nos. 2/3B & 2/3A of Hanamanal ST Village, Ilkal Taluk, Bagalkote District (5-01 Acres) by Sri Yamanappa S Hoolageri – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/479620/2024 (SEIAA 100 MIN 2024)

The Committee initially sought clarification with respect to the present site condition based on the KML submitted by Proponent. The Proponent informed the Committee that as per DMG letter dated 14.06.2024, the working is prior to 27.02.2012 and had paid the fine of 40.25 Lakhs and no mining has been carried out by Proponent till date and hence justified that the proposed project does not attract violation. The Committee noted the clarification.

The Proponent requested the Committee to use the existing baseline data collected of the lease falling in the same cluster for which ToR was issued on 10.02.2022 with file number SEIAA 668 MIN 2021.

The proposal is for building stone quarry in lease area of 5-01 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained approved mining plan on 28.05.2024.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

- 1. Cumulative pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.
- 2. Traffic studies.
- 3. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 4. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 5. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 6. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 7. Waste handling details.
- Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

### 314.2.28 ToR: Extraction of quartzite mineral part of Sy. No. 332/1 of Hulikatti Village, Savadatti Taluk Belagavi District (129-28 Acres) by M/s. Jimmy Sales & Research – Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/472150/2024 (SEIAA 48 MIN 2024)

The proposal was earlier considered in 313<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the Committee had noted that in the revised topo sheet with boundary markings of proposed area was not approved by DMG and has also informed the Proponent to get clarification from DMG for crusher proposed inside the surface plan and had deferred the proposal.

In the present meeting the Proponent submitted revised topo sheet approved by DMG and also informed the Committee that the crusher area is not considered while notifying the proposed area. The Committee noted the clarification.

The proposal is for quartzite mineral miningin lease area of 129-28 Acres. As the area considered for cluster is more than the threshold limit of 5 Ha, the project is categorized as B1. The Proponent had obtained approved mining plan on 04.05.2024 and forest noc on 23.08.2023.

The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of standard ToR along with the following additional ToR to conduct EIA studies along with Public Hearing.

1. Detailed pollution load taking into account of cluster with wind rose diagram and isopleth map and should be submitted in detail.

Jacoth

- 2. To submit wild life conservation plan approved from DCF.
- 3. Clarification for submitting two different toposheet.
- 4. Details of buffer from the crusher area.
- 5. Traffic studies.
- 6. Dust mitigation methods considering nearby habitation
- 7. Detailed study on impact of mining on ground water and methods of rejuvenation of the same.
- 8. Improvements to the approach road as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms.
- 9. Site specific CER and afforestation details (compensatory plantation).
- 10. Waste handling details.
- 11. Mitigative measures considering drain

## Action: Member Secretary, SEAC to forward the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Meeting Concluded with vote of thanks to all.

. SEAC Member Se Karbataka

Chait Kamataka