

## **Minutes of 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 Meeting Dated 27/05/2024**

The 856<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC-1 was held in the Directorate of Environment, U.P. through dual-mode (physically/virtually) at 10:00 AM on 27/05/2024. Following members participated in the meeting:

- |    |                            |                          |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Shri Rajive Kumar,         | Chairman, SEAC           |
| 2. | Dr. Ajai Mishra,           | Member, SEAC-1 (V.C.)    |
| 3. | Dr. Ratan Kar,             | Member, SEAC-1 (V.C.)    |
| 4. | Dr. Brij Bihari Awasthi,   | Member, SEAC-1           |
| 5. | Shri Umesh Chandra Sharma, | Member, SEAC-1(V.C.)     |
| 6. | Shri Ashish Tiwari,        | Member-Secretary, SEAC-1 |

The Chairman welcomed the members to the 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting which was conducted via dual-mode (virtually/physically). Nodal Officer, SEAC-1 informed the committee that the agenda has been approved by the Member Secretary, SEAC-1/Director, Directorate of Environment.

- 1. Residential and Non-Residential Buildings in Reserve Police Line, at Gata No. -463, 464, 477, 478, 483, 451, 481, 480, 462, 460, 463, 464, 461, Village – Sheyrapur Saraiyya, Tehsil – Auraiya, District – Auraiya, Uttar Pradesh, 8375/SIA/UP/INFRA2/449435/2023.**

### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 01**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

- 2. Revision & Expansion of Group Housing Project “Pratiksha” at Plot No. GH-16A, Sector-1, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Morpheus Developers Private Limited, 8327/7977/SIA/UP/INFRA2/441444/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 806<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 03/11/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. Certified compliance report for the existing environmental clearance from IRO, MoEF&CC, Lucknow.
2. Revised CER as suggested by the SEAC.
3. Structural stability certificate.
4. Affidavit regarding no litigation against the project proposal.
5. NOC from Fire Department.
6. NOC from Airport Authority of India.

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Ambiental Global Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

**Minutes of 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 Meeting Dated 27/05/2024**

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Revision & Expansion of Group Housing Project “Pratiksha” at Plot No. GH-16A, Sector-1, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Morpheus Developers Private Limited.
2. Environmental clearance for the existing project was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 1883/Parya/SEAC/1760/1760/2013/OSD(T), dated 12/10/2013.
3. Salient Features details:

S. No.	Description	Post Revision & Expansion
1	Plot Area	42420.170 m <sup>2</sup> .
2	Built-up Area	235543.971 m <sup>2</sup>
3	Green Area	31479.385 m <sup>2</sup>
4	Water Requirement Operational Phase	755.64 KLD
5	Fresh Water Requirement	458.19 KLD (From Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority)
6	Wastewater Generation	Approx 664 KLD
7	Capacity of STP	800 KLD
8	Solid Waste Generation	3599.42 Kg/day
9	Parking Facilities Required Total parking required Total parking proposed	1949 ECS 2301 ECS
10	Power Demand & Source	4296 KVA & Greater Noida Power Company)
11	Back up	5 Nos. of DG sets of total capacity 4440 kVA (4x1010 kVA + 1x400) will be provided at the project site for power back up
12	RWH Pits	11 pits
13	Project Cost	700 Crores
14	CER Cost	3.5 Crores

4. Population details:

Category	Post Revision & Expansion		
	Units/Area	Person per unit	Population
<b>A. Residential Population</b>			
General Dwelling Units	1480	4.5	6660
Maintenance Staff	5% of Total residential population		333
Visitors/Floating	10% Total of residential population		666
<b>B. Commercial</b>	Commercial area/3	3 sqm/person	536
Staff (10%)			48
Visitor (90%)			488
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>8195</b>

5. Water requirement details:

Total Water Requirement	755.64	KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	458.19	KLD
Flushing Water Requirement	297.45	KLD

6. Waste water details:

Particulars	KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	458.19
Flushing Water Requirement	297.45
Waste water Generated	664
STP capacity	800
Treated Recycled Water from STP	597.60

7. Solid waste details:

S. No.	Category	Occupancy	kg per capita per day	Waste generated (kg/day)
1	Residents	6660	@ 0.5 kg/day	3330

2	Staff (Maintenance + Commercial)	385	@ 0.25 kg/day	96.25
3	Visitors	1154	@ 0.15 kg/day	173.1
3	Landscape waste	0.36	@ 0.2 kg/acre/day	0.072
	Total Solid Waste Generated			3599.42 kg/day

8. 11 nos. of rain water harvesting pits are proposed.
9. Power requirement details:
  - Power requirement for the project is 4296 KVA
  - Source of power will be Greater Noida Power Company
  - 5 Nos. of DG sets of total capacity 4440 kVA (4x1010 kVA + 1x400) will be provided at the project site for power back up
10. The project proposal falls under category-8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 30/10/2023 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Tarun Saharan, S/o Shri Ranveer Saharan is EIA Coordinator of M/s Ambiental Global Pvt. Ltd.
2. I, have prepared the Form-1, 1A and Conceptual Plan for the Revision & Expansion of Group Housing Project “Pratiksha” at Plot No. GH-16A, Sector-1, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Morpheus Developers Private Limited with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certified that construction activity has been undertaken on site for the present proposal as per existing EC letter no. 1883/Parya/SEAC/1760/1760/2013/OSD(T), dated 12/10/2013.
4. I, am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with EC application are true and correct.
5. I, certify that this project has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal for Environmental Clearance.
6. I, certify that there is no mismatch between information/data provided on online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. The EC application for the proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 02**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance for the proposal as above alongwith standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI and following specific conditions:**

#### **Specific Conditions:**

1. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
2. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of “Single use of Plastic” (SuP).
3. In compliance to Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.

4. The project proponent will comply the use of fuel for backup power as per guidelines issued by CPCB from time to time.
5. The project proponent will ensure that there is no mismatch/deviation between the project proposal submitted to SEIAA for environmental clearance and maps/drawings were approved by concerned development authority. In case of any mismatch/deviation, amended environmental clearance will be obtained by project proponent. In case of failure, the granted environmental clearance shall automatically deem to be cancelled.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that the project site does not attract/infringe any buffer zone, wetland zone etc. of no activity identified/declared under law.
7. Criteria/ norms provided by competent Authority regarding the seismic zone are followed for construction work. Provision of alarm system, to timely notify the residents, in case of occurrence of earthquake/other natural disasters/fire should be provided. A well defined evacuation plan should also be prepared and regular mock drills should be arranged for the residents. Rise of stairs should be constructed in a way, so that it should provide smooth movement.
8. The project proponent should develop green belt in the said project as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.
9. The proponent should provide electric vehicle charging facility as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
10. Project proponent should invest the CER amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
11. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same. STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the permission of the concerned authority.
12. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water.
13. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
14. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.
15. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 05 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.
16. The project proponent shall plan for storm water management drained with appropriate slope and length so that the flood water could get a passage to release in a short span of time.

**3. Expansion of the Group Housing Project “Future Estate” at Plot No.: GH-16E, Sector-01, Greater Noida, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Future World Green Homes Pvt. Ltd., 7901/7609/SIA/UP/INFRA2/429511/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 03**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

**4. Revision & Expansion of Commercial Project “Spectrum@Metro” at Plot No. C & D, Eco City, Sector 75, Noida, District-Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P. by M/s Aims Max Gardenia Developers Pvt. Ltd., 7815/7628/SIA/UP/INFRA2/427398/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 773<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 11/08/2023 wherein:

*“A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Ambiental Global Pvt. Ltd. During the presentation the committee noted that the environmental clearance for the existing project were issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 128/Parya/SEAC/4066/2018, dated 11/06/2018 for the plot area 25,471.00 sqm and built up area 1,40,360.19 sqm respectively. The committee also noted that the certified compliance report for the existing environmental clearance has not been submitted by the project proponent to SEIAA. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to submit certified compliance report from IRO, MoEFCC, Lucknow for the existing environment clearance issued by SEIAA, U.P. for further consideration of the matter.*

*The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests along with a certified compliance report on prescribed online portal.”*

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Ambiental Global Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Revision & Expansion of Commercial Project “Spectrum@Metro” at Plot No. C & D, Eco City, Sector 75, Noida, District-Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P. by M/s Aims Max Gardenia Developers Pvt. Ltd.
2. Environmental clearance for the existing project was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 128/Parya/SEAC/4066/4066/2018, dated 11/06/2018.
3. The standard terms of reference for the project proposal was issued through online Parivesh Portal on 15/02/2023 and EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 28/04/2023.
4. Salient Features details:

S. No.	Description	Post Revision & Expansion
1	Plot Area	25471 m2.
2	Built-up Area	159153.814 m2
3	Green Area	7682.76 m2 (30.16 % of the total plot area)

4	Water Requirement Operational Phase	620.19 KLD
5	Fresh Water Requirement	98.39 KLD (From Noida Development Authority)
6	Wastewater Generation	Approx 242.05 KLD
7	Capacity of STP	300 KLD
8	Solid Waste Generation	2345 Kg/day
9	Parking Facilities Required Total parking required Total parking proposed	1528 ECS 1783 ECS
10	Power Demand & Source	9000 KW & Noida Power Company Limited (NPCL)
11	Back up	Total 7 DG set of combined capacity 10520 kVA (2 × 2000 kVA + 3 × 1500 Kva+2× 1010kVA)for power back up)
12	RWH Pits	7 pits
13	Project Cost	815.92 Crores
14	CER Cost	8.15 Crores

5. Population details:

S. No.	Category	Occupancy
1.	Staff	1115
2.	Visitors	14104
Total Population		15219

6. Water requirement details:

Total Water Requirement	620.19	KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	98.39	KLD
Flushing Water Requirement	163.34	KLD

7. Waste water details:

Particulars	KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	98.39
Flushing Water Requirement	163.34
Waste water Generated	242.05
STP capacity	300
Treated Recycled Water from STP	217.84

8. Solid waste details:

S. No.	Category	Occupancy	kg per capita per day	Waste generated (kg/day)
1.	Staff	1115	@ 0.25 kg/day	228.75
2.	Visitors	14104	@ 0.15 kg/day	2115.6
3.	Landscape waste	1.89 Acre	@ 0.2 kg/acre/day	0.37
Total Solid Waste Generated				2344.72 kg/day say 2345 kg/day

9. 07 nos. of rain water harvesting pits are proposed.

10. Power requirement details:

- Power requirement for the project is 9000 KW
- Source of power will be Noida Power Company Limited (NPCL).
- Total 7 DG set of combined capacity 10520 kVA (2 × 2000 kVA + 3 × 1500 Kva+2× 1010kVA) for power back up.

11. The project proposal falls under category–8(b) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 30/10/2023 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Tarun Saharan, S/o Shri Ranveer Saharan is EIA Coordinator of M/s Ambiantal Global Pvt. Ltd.

2. I, have prepared the Form-1, 1A and Conceptual Plan for the Revision & Expansion of Commercial Project “Spectrum@Metro” at Plot No. C & D, Eco City, Sector 75, Noida, District-Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P. by M/s Aims Max Gardenia Developers Pvt. Ltd. with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certified that construction activity has been undertaken on site for the present proposal as per existing EC letter no. 128/Parya/SEAC/4066/4066/2018, dated 11/06/2018.
4. I, am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with EC application are true and correct.
5. I, certify that this project has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal for Environmental Clearance.
6. I, certify that there is no mismatch between information/data provided on online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. The EC application for the proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 04**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance for the proposal as above alongwith standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI and following specific conditions:**

#### **Specific Conditions:**

1. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
2. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of “Single use of Plastic” (SuP).
3. In compliance to Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.
4. The project proponent will comply the use of fuel for backup power as per guidelines issued by CPCB from time to time.
5. The project proponent will ensure that there is no mismatch/deviation between the project proposal submitted to SEIAA for environmental clearance and maps/drawings were approved by concerned development authority. In case of any mismatch/deviation, amended environmental clearance will be obtained by project proponent. In case of failure, the granted environmental clearance shall automatically deem to be cancelled.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that the project site does not attract/infringe any buffer zone, wetland zone etc. of no activity identified/declared under law.
7. Criteria/ norms provided by competent Authority regarding the seismic zone are followed for construction work. Provision of alarm system, to timely notify the residents, in case of occurrence of earthquake/other natural disasters/fire should be provided. A well defined evacuation plan should also be prepared and regular mock drills should be arranged for the residents. Rise of stairs should be constructed in a way, so that it should provide smooth movement.
8. The project proponent should develop green belt in the said project as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.

9. The proponent should provide electric vehicle charging facility as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
10. Project proponent should invest the CER amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
11. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same. STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the permission of the concerned authority.
12. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water.
13. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
14. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.
15. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 05 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.
16. The project proponent shall plan for storm water management drained with appropriate slope and length so that the flood water could get a passage to release in a short span of time.

**5. Building stone Sand Stone Mine at Gata No.- 732, Sl. No.- 43, Village Bhagautidei, Tehsil-Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, Area- 0.8090 ha., 8451/SIA/UP/MIN/451426/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 05**

In the light of decision of Hon'ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

**6. Commercial building “Sunshine Trade Tower “at plot no. 5A sector-94, Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh, 8495/SIA/UP/INFRA2/452644/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 819<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 20/12/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. Project Proponent submit the certified compliance report from IRO, Lucknow, MoEF&CC, Govt. of India for the existing environmental clearance issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide its letter no. 266/Parya/SEAC/1149/2012/TA(M), dated 06/05/2013.
2. Structural stability certificate issued by reputed institution.

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s P and M Solution. Based on the documents



submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Proposed Commercial building “Sunshine Trade Tower” at plot no. 5A sector-94, Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh, by M/s Sunshine Trade Tower Pvt. Ltd.
2. Environmental clearance for the existing proposal was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide its letter no. 266/Parya/SEAC/1149/2012/TA(M), dated 06/05/2013.
3. The total plot area of the project is 10210 sq.m and built-up area of the project will be 74758.85 sqm.
4. Salient features of the project:

Plot Area	10210 sq. m.
Built-up Area	74758.85 sq. m.
Green Area (@ 15 % of plot area)	1576.016 sq m
Population	3980
Water Requirement	199 KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	100 KLD
Wastewater Generation	149 KLD
STP Capacity	223 KLD
Power Requirement	6000 kW
DG Sets	4*1500 KVA
Parking Details	1722 nos.
Height of the building	125 m
Solid waste generation	878 kg/day
No of RWH Pits	4
Project Cost	INR 325 Crores

5. Detailed Area Statement :-

S. NO.	DESCRIPTION	AREA (SQ M)
A.	Total plot area	10210
B.	Permissible Ground Coverage (@40% of plot area)	4084.00
C.	Proposed Ground Coverage (@39.9% of plot area)	4083.30
D.	Proposed FAR (@ 7.32)	40815.11
E.	Non FAR Area (Services area, Balcony, Basement)	33943.74
F.	Built-up Area (D+E)	74758.85
G.	Green Area (@ 15 % of plot area)	1576.016
H.	Road/Paved/Open area(@ 44 % of plot area)	4534.684
I.	Parking area	16
J.	Building Height	125 m

6. Water Requirement Details:-

Category	Population/ Area (sq m)/Capacity	Standard (LPCD)	Water Requirement (KLD)	Fresh Water Requirement (KLD)	Recycled Water requirement (KLD)
Domestic					
Staff	2168	45	98	54	44
Visitors	1668	15	25	9	16
Hotel's Visitor	144	320	46	37	9
Total Domestic Water Demand			169	100	69
Landscape	2120	3 ltr/sq.m	7	-	7
Fire Fighting	-	-	1	-	1
DG cooling	6000 KVA (4*1500 KVA)	0.9l/KVA/hr	22	-	22
Total			199	100	99

7. Waste Water Details:-

Category	Total Quantity (KLD)
Domestic water Req. (Fresh)	100
Flushing water Req.	69
Sewage generation (@80% of the fresh + 100%flushing water requirement)	149
Capacity of STP	223
Recovered water from STP (90% of Waste water)	134
Flushing	69
Landscaping	7
Fire Fighting	1
DG Cooling	22
Sewer	35

8. Solid Waste Generation Details:-

S. No	Description	Occupancy/Area	kg/capita/day	Total Solid Waste Generationn (kg/day)	Recyclable (kg/day)	Non-Recyclable (kg/day)
1.	Staff	2168	0.25	542	434	108
2.	Visitors	1668	0.15	250	200	50
3.	Hotel's Occupants	144	0.5	72	58	14
4.	Landscape waste	0.38 acres	1 kg/acres	0.38	0.38	-
5.	STP sludge	223 KLD	--	13	13	-
Total Waste Generation				878	706	172

9. The project proposal falls under category–8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 18/12/2023 mentioning is as follows:

1. I Rahul Kumar S/o Vijay Kumar Sharma is EIA Coordinator of P&M Solution, C-88, Sector 65, Noida, and Uttar Pradesh-201301.
2. I have prepared the EIA/EMP report for the Proposal (EC) in Name of Commercial building “Sunshine Trade Tower” at plot no. 5A sec-94; Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Sunshine Trade Tower Pvt. Ltd.
3. I have personally visited the site and it’s in the construction phase. The Environment Clearance (EC) of the project was obtained on 27th June 2012 via EC letter no 266/Parya/SEAC/1149/2012/TAM. The project started the construction and approx. 10% of the construction has been done the PP could not complete the construction within the validity period EC. Therefore we are going for the application for fresh Environment Clearance.
4. I am satisfied that all the necessary data/ information submitted along with Application /EIA/EMP are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal. In case the project is being uploaded for the 2nd time that is only after the withdrawal of previous project has been accepted by SEIAA, UP on Parivesh Portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.

7. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 06**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance for the proposal as above alongwith standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI and following specific conditions:**

**Specific Conditions:**

1. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
2. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of “Single use of Plastic” (SuP).
3. In compliance to Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.
4. The project proponent will comply the use of fuel for backup power as per guidelines issued by CPCB from time to time.
5. The project proponent will ensure that there is no mismatch/deviation between the project proposal submitted to SEIAA for environmental clearance and maps/drawings were approved by concerned development authority. In case of any mismatch/deviation, amended environmental clearance will be obtained by project proponent. In case of failure, the granted environmental clearance shall automatically deem to be cancelled.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that the project site does not attract/infringe any buffer zone, wetland zone etc. of no activity identified/declared under law.
7. Criteria/ norms provided by competent Authority regarding the seismic zone are followed for construction work. Provision of alarm system, to timely notify the residents, in case of occurrence of earthquake/other natural disasters/fire should be provided. A well defined evacuation plan should also be prepared and regular mock drills should be arranged for the residents. Rise of stairs should be constructed in a way, so that it should provide smooth movement.
8. The project proponent should develop green belt in the said project as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.
9. The proponent should provide electric vehicle charging facility as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
10. Project proponent should invest the CER amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
11. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same. STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the permission of the concerned authority.
12. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water.

13. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
14. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.
15. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 05 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.
16. The project proponent shall plan for storm water management drained with appropriate slope and length so that the flood water could get a passage to release in a short span of time.

**7. Revision of Group Housing Project at Plot no. -SC01/A1 - Alpha, Sector - 79, Noida, District - Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P. by M/s Sequel Buildcon Pvt. Ltd., 8269/SIA/UP/INFRA2/444717/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 819<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 20/12/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. Certified compliance report from IRO, Lucknow, MoEF&CC, Govt. of India for the existing environmental clearance issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide its letter no. 1893/Parya/SEAC/1770/2013/OSD(T), dated 12/10/2013.

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s P and M Solution. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Revision of Group Housing Project at Plot no. SC01/A1 - Alpha, Sector - 79, Noida, District - Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P. by M/s Sequel Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.
2. Earlier, the project was awarded Environment Clearance by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 1893/Parya/SEAC/1770/2013/OSD(T) dated 12/10/2013 for built-up area 2,37,526.5 sq.m and plot area 50,000 sq.m.
3. The total plot area of the project is 30000 sq.m and built-up area of the project will be 142465.482 sqm.
4. Salient features of the project:

Parameters	Description
Plot Area	30000 sq. m.
Built-up Area	142465.482 sq. m.
Green Area (@ 38.15 % of plot area)	11445.129 sq m
Population	3089
Water Requirement	429 KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	202 KLD
Wastewater Generation	251 KLD
STP Capacity	300 KLD
Power Requirement	4167 kW
DG Sets	5540KVA (4*1010+2*750 KVA)

Parking Details	1222 nos.
Height of the building	110 m
Solid waste generation	1502 kg/day
No of RWH Pits	12
Project Cost	INR 70 Cores

5. Detailed Area Statement :-

S. NO.	DESCRIPTION	AREA (SQ M)
1	Total plot area	30000.00
2	Proposed Ground Coverage (@ 26.13 % of plot area)	7839.928
3	Proposed FAR	86055.402
4	Non FAR Area	56410.08
	Stilt Area	2320.940
	Upper Basement	22455.465
	Lower Basement	19349.431
	Service Areas	12284.244
	Built-up Area (3+4)	142465.482
6	Green Area (@38.15% of plot area)	11445.129
7	Open/Road/Paved area(@35.71% of plot area)	10714.95
8	Height (m)	110 m
9	No of Dwelling Units	636 (Including Villas)

6. Water Requirement Details:-

Category	Population/Area (sq m)/Capacity	Standard (LPCD)	Water Requirement (KLD)	Fresh Water Requirement (KLD)	Recycled Water requirement (KLD)
Domestic					
Residents	2863	100	286	200	86
Staff	65	45	3	1	2
Visitors	143	15	2	1.4	0.6
Total Domestic Water Demand			291	202	89
Landscape	11445.129sqm	6 ltr/sqm	68	-	68
Fire Fighting			1	-	1
DG cooling	5540 KVA(4*1010-+2*750)	0.9 l/kVA/hr	40	-	40
Swimming Pool			30	30	-
Total			429	232	198

7. Waste Water Details:-

Category	Total Quantity (KLD)
Domestic(fresh) water Req.	202
Flushing water Req.	89
Sewage generation (@80% of the Domestic + 100%flushing water requirement)	251
Capacity of STP	300
Recovered water from STP (90% of Waste water)	226
Flushing	89
Landscaping	68
Fire Fighting	1
DG cooling	40
Sewer	28

8. Solid Waste Generation Details:-

S. No	Description	Occupancy/Area	kg/capita/day	Total Solid Waste Generationn (kg/day)	Recyclable (kg/day)	Non-Recyclable (kg/day)
1.	Residents	2863	0.5	1432	1146	286
2.	Staff	65	0.25	17	14	3
3.	Visitors	143	0.15	22	18	4
4.	Landscape waste	2.82 acres	2 kg/acres	6	6	-
5.	STP sludge	300 KLD	-	25	25	-
Total Waste Generation				1502	1209	293

9. The project proposal falls under category-8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 18/12/2023 mentioning is as follows:

1. I Rahul Kumar S/o Vijay Kumar Sharma is EIA Coordinator of P&M Solution, C-88, Sector 65, Noida, and Uttar Pradesh-201301.
2. I have prepared the EIA/EMP report for the Proposal (EC) in Name of Group Housing Project located at Plot no. SC-01/A1 - Alpha, Sector - 79, Noida, District - Gautam Buddh Nagar, U.P by M/s Sequel Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.
3. I have personally visited the site and it's in the construction phase. Approx. 80% of the project has been constructed and currently the construction is stopped. Earlier, the project was awarded Environment Clearance by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 1893/Parya/SEAC/1770/2013/OSD(T) dated 12/10/2013 for built-up area 2,37,526.5 sq.m and plot area 50,000 sq.m. After revision of the project, the built-up area of the Project will be 1, 42,465.482 sq.m whereas the plot area of the Project will also decrease to 30,000.00 sq.m (3 ha/7.413 acres).
4. I am satisfied that all the necessary data/ information submitted along with Application /EIA/EMP are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal. In case the project is being uploaded for the 2nd time that is only after the withdrawal of previous project has been accepted by SEIAA, UP on Parivesh Portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 07**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance for the proposal as above alongwith standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI and following specific conditions:**

**Specific Conditions:**

1. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
2. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of "Single use of Plastic" (SuP).

3. In compliance to Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.
4. The project proponent will comply the use of fuel for backup power as per guidelines issued by CPCB from time to time.
5. The project proponent will ensure that there is no mismatch/deviation between the project proposal submitted to SEIAA for environmental clearance and maps/drawings were approved by concerned development authority. In case of any mismatch/deviation, amended environmental clearance will be obtained by project proponent. In case of failure, the granted environmental clearance shall automatically deem to be cancelled.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that the project site does not attract/infringe any buffer zone, wetland zone etc. of no activity identified/declared under law.
7. Criteria/ norms provided by competent Authority regarding the seismic zone are followed for construction work. Provision of alarm system, to timely notify the residents, in case of occurrence of earthquake/other natural disasters/fire should be provided. A well defined evacuation plan should also be prepared and regular mock drills should be arranged for the residents. Rise of stairs should be constructed in a way, so that it should provide smooth movement.
8. The project proponent should develop green belt in the said project as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.
9. The proponent should provide electric vehicle charging facility as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
10. Project proponent should invest the CER amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
11. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same. STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the permission of the concerned authority.
12. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water.
13. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
14. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.
15. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 05 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.
16. The project proponent shall plan for storm water management drained with appropriate slope and length so that the flood water could get a passage to release in a short span of time.

**8. “Sand/Morrum Mining” on the riverbed of Betwa River at Gata No.1191/2 Khand No. 03, Village- Sikarivyas, Tehsil- Orai, District- Jalaun, Uttar Pradesh, M/s Gauri Traders , Area 20.242 ha., 8239/7667/SIA/UP/MIN/443838/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 799<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 16/10/2023 wherein:

*“The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd. During the presentation the committee noted that Hon’ble NGT has passed an order dated 27/04/2023 in appeal no. 07/2022 Ghanai Vs. SEIAA, U.P. & Ors. regarding crocodile habitate in District-Jalaun. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to submit NOC from Forest Department/competent authority regarding the crocodile habitate. The SEIAA may also send a letter to DGM, U.P. for information and necessary action.”*

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for “Sand/Morrum Mining” on the riverbed of Betwa River at Gata No.1191/2 Khand No. 03, Village- Sikarivyas, Tehsil- Orai, District- Jalaun, Uttar Pradesh, (Leased Area 20.242 ha.),M/s Gauri Traders.
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 105/Parya/SEIAA/7667/2023 Dated 09/06/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 29/08/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 11/09/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	The environmental clearance is sought for Sand/Morrum Mining at Gata No. 1191/2 Khand No. 03, Village- Sikarivyas, Tehsil- Orai, District- Jalaun, U.P., M/s Gauri Traders, Prop. Shri Sudhir Kumar, (Leased Area 20.242 ha).
2.	The terms of reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P. Ref No: 105/Parya/SEIAA/7667/2023 Dated 09/06/2023
3.	The public hearing was organized on 29.8.2023
4.	Final EIA report submitted on EIA will be submitted after the grant of ToR
5.	On-line proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/443838/2023
6.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP 8239-7667
7.	Name of Proponent M/s Gauri Traders Prop. Shri Sudhir Kumar
8.	Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile No. <b>Registered Address:</b> 561 Gopalganj, Tehsil- Orai, District- Jalaun (U.P.)
	<b>Mobile No-</b>
	<b>Email-</b>
9.	Name of Project Proposed riverbed Sand/Morrum mining project from Betwa River
10.	Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.) Gata No. 1191/2 Khand No. 03
11.	Name of River Betwa
12.	Name of Village Sikarivyas
13.	Tehsil Orai
14.	District Jalaun



15. Name of Minor Mineral	Sand/Morrum		
16. Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	20.242		
17. Max & Min mRL within lease area	Max- 117 mRL and Min- 116 mRL		
18. Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	<b>Pillar No.</b>	<b>Latitude</b>	<b>Longitude</b>
	<b>A</b>	25°46'17.56"N	79°19'50.11"E
	<b>B</b>	25°46'12.34"N	79°19'44.43"E
	<b>C</b>	25°46'8.29"N	79°19'33.70"E
	<b>D</b>	25°46'8.43"N	79°19'27.32"E
	<b>E</b>	25°46'9.63"N	79°19'15.52"E
	<b>F</b>	25°46'3.24"N	79°19'14.24"E
	<b>G</b>	25°46'2.55"N	79°19'29.38"E
	<b>H</b>	25°46'5.16"N	79°19'42.37"E
<b>I</b>	25°46'12.21"N	79°19'55.69"E	
19. Total Geological Reserves	3,48,798 cum		
20. Total Mineable Reserves in LOI	1,01,210 cum in 6 months		
21. Total Proposed Production	1,01,210 cum in 6 months		
22. Proposed Production/year	1,01,210 cum in 6 months		
23. Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	6 months		
24. Production of mine/day	562.28		
25. Method of Mining	Open Cast Semi-mechanized Method		
26. No. of working days	180 days		
27. Working hours/day	8 hrs		
28. No. of workers	41		
29.No. of vehicles movement/day	43		
30. Type of Land	Government waste land		
31. Ultimate Depth of Mining	0.57		
32. Nearest metalled road from site	1.50 km		
33. Water Requirement	PURPOSE		REQUIREMENT (KLD)
	Drinking		0.41
	Suppression of dust		6.71
	Plantation		20.24
	Others (if any)		0.41
	Total		27.71
34. Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Cognizance Research India Pvt Ltd. 1922, validity= 09-09-2026		
35. Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No		
36. Details of 500 m Cluster Map & certificate issued by Mining Officer	Yes given by Mining officer vide letter no 837/khanij-MMC-30/2022 Dated 1-12-22		
37. Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Yes, at Page No. 53 Sl. No. 17		
38. Proposed CER cost	Rs 91,000 /-		
39. Proposed EMP cost	Rs 3,35,000 /-		
40. Length and breadth of Haul Road	Length: 0.559 km, width: 6 m		
41. No. of Trees to be Planted	20,242 plants		

5. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
6. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
7. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
8. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
9. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 29/09/2023 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Ankur Sharma, S/o Lalit Mohan Sharma is EIA Coordinator of Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.
2. I have prepared EIA/EMP project for the proposal(SIA/UP/MIN/443838/2023), File No. 8239-7667 of “Sand/Morrum Mining” on the riverbed of Betwa River at Gata No.1191/2 Khand No. 03, Village- Sikarivyas, Tehsil- Orai, District- Jalaun, Uttar Pradesh, (Leased Area 20.242 ha.),M/s Gauri Traders with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for EIA/EMP project preparation are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project has been uploaded for first time on Parivesh portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 08**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) and approved by SEIAA, U.P. Hence, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. The quantity mentioned in LoI or quantity mentioned in replenishment study, whichever is less, would be maximum quantity which project proponent may extract. It will be ensured by District Administration and Geology and Mining Department. Directorate of Geology and Mining will ensure conduct of replenishment study from reputed institution for subsequent years in compliance of Hon'ble NGT orders.
3. NOC from Irrigation Department/ Concerning Authority regarding river bed mining to be obtained before start of mining activity.
4. No mining activity should be carried out in-stream channel as per SSMMG, 2016.
5. The mining work will be open-cast and manual/semi mechanized (subject to orders). Heavy machine such as excavator, scooper etc. should not be employed for mining purpose. No drilling/blasting should be involved at any stage.
6. An Environmental Audit should be annually carried out during the operational phase and submitted to the SEIAA.
7. Project Proponent should submit working plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with

- provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
8. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
  9. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
  10. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer Expert Agency in this field
  11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
  12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
  13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting in the premises of project area
  14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
  15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
  16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the concerning department. Selection of plants for green belt should be on the basis of pollution removal index. Project proponent should ensure survival of tree saplings. Mortality should be replaced from time to time.
  17. Water requirement details along with source of water and the permission/ agreement with the concerning authority/ water supplying agencies to be submitted.
  18. Submit the Hydrological study report of lease area that the quantity given in LoI will be mined without affecting the geo-hydrology of the River.
  19. Environment management in according to environmental status and impact of the project.
  20. During the school opening and closing time transportation of minerals will be restricted.
  21. Pakkamotorable haul road to be maintained by the project proponent.
  22. A separate Environmental Management Cell with suitable qualified personnel shall be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive, who will report directly to the Head of the Organization.
  23. The capacity of trucks/tractor for loading purpose will be in tonnes as per Transport Department applicable norms and standard fixed by the Government.
  24. Provision for two toilets and hand pumps should be made at mining site.
  25. Mining should be done by Bar scalping methods extraction (typically 0.3 -0.6 m or 1 - 2 ft) as per sustainable sand mining management guidelines 2016.
  26. If in future this lease area becomes part of cluster of equal to or more than 05 ha. then additional conditions based on the EIA shall be imposed. The lease holder shall mandatorily follow cluster conditions otherwise it will amount to violation of E.C. conditions. If the certificate related to cluster provided by the competent authority is found false or incorrect then punitive actions as per law shall be initiated against the authority issuing the cluster certificate.
  27. In case it has been found that the E.C. obtained by providing incorrect information, submitting that the distance between the two adjoining mines is greater than 500mt. and area is less than 05 ha, but factually the distance is less than 500 mt and the mine is located in cluster of area equal or more than 05 ha, the E.C issued will stand revoked.
  28. The MoEF&CC/SEIAA or any other competent authority may alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
  29. A width of not less than 50 meter or 10% width of river can be restricted for mining activities from river bank. A condition can be imposed that mining will be done from river activities from river bank.
  30. Any appeal against this environmental clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 11 of the National Environment Appellate Authority Act, 1997.

- 9. Building Stone or Granite Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitty) Mine in Gata no.- 339 (Khand No. 38) Village- Daharra, Tehsil- Sadar& District- Mahoba, State- Uttar Pradesh, M/s V.R. Infrabuild India Pvt. Ltd. (Total Area- 1.619 Ha), 8189/SIA/UP/MIN/440122/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 09**

In the light of decision of Hon'ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

- 10. Building Stone (Sand stone) mine, at Gata No./Araji No. /Plot no. – 01, Area 0.809 ha. (2.0 Acres) at Village – Ahirapur, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh of M/s Om Sai Construction, 5013/SIA/UP/MIN/40208/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 10**

In the light of decision of Hon'ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

- 11. River Bed Sand/ Morrum Mining from Betwa River at Araji No.- 01, Village: Luhargaon, Tehsil: Tahrauli, District: Jhansi, State: Uttar Pradesh, Area: 12.140 Ha, 8499/SIA/UP/MIN/452893/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 11**

In the light of decision of Hon'ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

- 12. Sand/Morrum Mining from Riverbed of Betwa River, Yillage- Behtar, Gata/Khand No"- 522 Gha, Tehsil- Garautha, District- Jhansi, Shri Karicharan, M/s K C Construction, Area-14.164 ha. 8525/7752/SIA/UP/MIN/453325/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 12**

In the light of decision of Hon'ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

**13. Jaypee Greens, Sports City East Part I & II, Sector- 19 & 22 of Yamuna Expressway Industrial De, District- Gautam Buddha Nagar, M/s Jaypee Infratech Limited, 5887/5528/SIA/UP/MIS/57019/2020.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 13**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

**14. Jaypee Greens, Sports City East Part III, Sector- 19 , District-Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P., 5835/5529/SIA/UP/MIS/56579/2020.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 14**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

**15. Expansion of Integrated Paint Plant at Plot No.-B4 & B5 Sandila Industrial Area, District-Hardoi, U.P., M/s Berger Paints India Ltd., 7415/6477/SIA/UP/IND3/408462/2022.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 23/02/2024 wherein:

*“...The project proponent informed the committee that:*

- 1. The project proponent submit the online application dated 01/12/2022 (Proposal no. SIA/UP/IND3/408462/20212) for grant of terms of reference (TOR) for the project proposal Expansion of Integrated Paints plant at Plot No. B4 & B5 Sandila Industrial Area, District Hardoi, Shri Dipankar Nag, M/s Berger Paints India Ltd.*
- 2. The standard terms of reference in the matter were issued through online Parivesh Portal on 11/08/2021.*
- 3. EIA report submitted by the project proponent through online Parivesh Portal on 01/12/2022.*
- 4. The matter was listed in 715<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 06/01/2023 for EIA presentation and recommended to grant the environmental clearance along with general and specific conditions.*
- 5. Subsequently, the matter was taken by SEIAA in its 710<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 25/03/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit information regarding the project.*
- 6. The matter was again listed in 761<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 30/09/2023 and observed as follows:*

*“SEIAA gone through MoEFCC letter no VII/Env/SCL-UP/2474/198 dated 26.07.2023 regarding the above subject and the reply of the project proponent dated 31.07.2023. SEIAA opined to refer the matter to SEAC for review/comments.”*

7. As per the decision of SEIAA and the matter was listed in 819<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 20/12/2023 wherein:

*“The committee has gone through the MoEF&CC letter dated 26/07/2023 and reply submitted by the project proponent vide letter dated 31/07/2023 and opined that the reply submitted by PP is not satisfactory. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to obtain closure report from MoEF&CC and submit to SEIAA for further consideration of the matter.”*

*The committee discussed the matter and observed that the closure report is still pending, hence, the committee advised the project proponent to submit closure report from IRO, MoEF&CC, Lucknow.”*

The project proponent along with their consultant M/s Kadam Environmental Consultants present during the meeting and present the documents/reply of queries raise in earlier meetings.

**The committee has gone through the file and documents, replies submitted by project proponent and presentation made before SEAC and opined that the reply submitted by the project proponent seems to be satisfactory. Hence, the committee again recommended to grant the environmental clearance for the proposal alongwith environmental clearance conditions as earlier stipulated in 715<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 06/01/2023.**

**16. Establish an Integrated Industrial Township “Trans Ganga City” located at Sankarpur Sarai, Kanhwapur, Manbhauna Villages, District- Unnao Uttar Pradesh State, M/s Uttar Pradesh State Industrial Development Authority, 7533/7122/SIA/UP/INFRA2/414041/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 733<sup>rd</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 03/03/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. Certified compliance report from IRO, Lucknow, MoEFCC, Govt. of India for the existing environment clearance issued by SEIAA, U.P.
2. Plan for green buffer zone between industrial and other development area.
3. NOC from Irrigation Department regarding the industries proposed in the project.

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Hubert Enviro Care Systems (P) Ltd., Chennai.

The Committee Member noted that after one year the project proponent has not been submitted the satisfactorily reply of queries related to the proposed project. PP/consultant has assured that they will submit the documents/information as per committee instructions. The committee directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. The project proponent should submit NOC from Irrigation Department for integrated township.
2. Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) to be submitted as per the MoEF&CC guidelines.

3. Project proponent should present latest drone videography (not older than 07 days) of the project site mentioning the date, time and geo coordinates at the time of EIA presentation.

The matter will be discussed after submission of above information on prescribed portal.

**17. Common Biomedical Waste Management treatment storage and disposal facility at plot no. C-60 UPSIDA Industrial Area, Orai II, District- Jalaun (U.P.), by M/s Restorehealth Medicare Private Limited, 7677/SIA/UP/INFRA2/420628/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 831<sup>st</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 08/02/2024 and directed is as follows:

*“The committee noted that the project proponent/consultant has not circulated the project report to the SEAC Members till date. Therefore, consideration of the proposal was deferred.*

*The committee also noted that a complaint letter of Secretary, Paryavaran Siksha Vikas Samiti, 124, Mohalla Sarfaraj Khan Kheda, Pilibhit, Uttar Pradesh dated 22/062023 have been received through email. The committee decided to share the aforesaid complaint letter with the Project Proponent/ Consultant with the direction to submit para wise reply on complaint letter. The matter will be discussed after receipt of above information on prescribed portal.”*

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Prakriti Consultants Services.

The Committee Member raises the queries related to the proposed project. PP/Consultant could not reply satisfactorily. PP/consultant has assured that they will submit the documents/information as per committee instructions. The committee directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. Gap analysis report from UPPCB, Lucknow as per revised CBWTF guidelines along with recommendation should be submitted.
2. Total area of the proposed CBWTF is 3200 m<sup>2</sup> which is less than 01 acre (4047 m<sup>2</sup>) as per provision of Revised CPCB Guidelines 2020. PP should submit the relaxation of land area requirement for the establishment of CBWTF from CPCB as per provision of Revised CPCB Guidelines 2020.
3. A complaint letter of Mr. Ashutosh Tripathi, Swaraj Colony, Gali No. 3, District-Banda, Uttar Pradesh-210001 dated 29/04/2024 during the preparation of minutes of meeting has been received through email and decided to share the aforesaid complaint letter with the Project Proponent/ Consultant with the direction to submit para wise reply on complaint letter.

The matter will be discussed after receipt of above information on prescribed portal.

**18.IT SEZ Project at Plot No.- TZ-6, Greater Noida, District- Gautam Budha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Ansal IT City and Parks Ltd., 8211/8071/SIA/UP/INFRA2/442944/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 818<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 19/12/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit following information:

1. No construction activity has been undertaken by project proponent after expiry of the environmental clearance.
2. There is no violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended thereof).

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Ind Tech House Consult. The project proponent informed the committee is as follows:

- Earlier, we have submitted our proposal for our 4 plots namely Plot-IT-1A, IT-1B, IT-3 and Commercial-C1 separately to EAC- MoEF&CC vide proposal no IA/UP/INFRA2/437203/2023, IA/UP/INFRA2/437288/2023, IA/UP/INFRA2/436973/2023, IA/UP/INFRA2/437288/2023 dated 18/07/2023 & 19/07/2023 as each building is separate and Service of the project is also divided.
- EAC states vide 107<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee (Infra-2) held on 25-26 July, 2023 MOM that “The EAC opined that the PP may submit the above-mentioned four projects as a single integrated project for the purpose of EC. Accordingly, the proposal was returned in its present form”.
- As per direction of EAC we have applied combined application for all four same plots (Plot-IT-1A, IT-1B, IT-3 and Commercial-C1) for Environment Clearance having total plot area measuring 84392.86 m<sup>2</sup> and built-up area 257190.53 m<sup>2</sup>.

Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for IT SEZ Project at Plot No. TZ-6, Greater Noida, District- Gautam Budha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Ansal IT City and Parks Ltd.
2. Environmental clearance for the existing project was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 1883/Parya/SEAC/1760/1760/2013/OSD(T), dated 12/10/2013.
3. Salient Features details:

1.	Plot Area	84392.86 m <sup>2</sup>
2.	Built-up Area	257190.53 m <sup>2</sup>
3.	Green Area	29835.258 m <sup>2</sup> (35% of total plot area)
3	Geographical Co-ordinates	Latitude: 28°24'4.90"N Longitude: 77°31'15.01"E
4.	Estimated Water Requirement with source Construction Phase Operational Phase	Construction Phase: 161.87 KL near by CSTP Operation Phase: Total Water requirement:- 1118.21 KLD Freshwater=371.74 KLD through Municipal Supply Treated water = 746.47 KLD (In-House STP)
5.	Estimated wastewater generation and treatment	649.56 KLD (STP with capacity of 780 KLD based on MBBR)
6.	Power Demand and Source	Total Power Requirement : 10000 kw



	Power Back-up	8 no. of DG set of total capacity 10000 kVA (3 x 2500 + 5 x 500 kVA)
7.	Solid Waste Generation	Total Solid Waste Generation-4631.82kg/day
8.	Parking Facilities	Total Required E.C.S. - 3263 Total Proposed E.C.S.- 3454
9.	RWH Pits	20 pits
10.	Project Cost	458.34 Cr

4. Water requirement details:

S. No.	Description	Occupancy	Rate of water demand (lpcd)	Total Water Requirement(KLD)	
A.	DOMESTIC WATER			Fresh	Flushing
(a)	Staff	13044	@ 45	326.1	260.88
(b)	Visitor	9129	@ 15	45.64	91.29
	Total			371.74	352.17
B.	Horticulture	29835.258 m <sup>2</sup>	3 lt/sqm/day	89.50	
C.	HVAC Cooling (12 Hours)	3200 TR	7 lt/Tr/hr	268.8	
D.	DG Cooling	10000 KVA	0.9 ltr/KVA/hr	36.0	
GRAND TOTAL (A+B+C+D)				1118.21 KLD	

5. Waste water details:

Fresh Water Requirement	371.74 KLD
Flushing Water Requirement	352.17 KLD
Wastewater Generated (@ 80% fresh domestic water + 100% flushing)	649.56 KLD
Capacity of Proposed STP	780 KLD

6. Solid waste details:

S. No.	Category	Kg per capita per day	Waste generated (kg/day)
1.	Domestic Waste		
(a)	Staffs	13044 @ 0.25 kg/day	3261
(b)	Visitors	9129 @ 0.15 kg/day	1369.35
2.	Landscape waste	7.35@ 0.2 kg/acre/day	1.47
	TOTAL SOLID WASTE GENERATED		4631.82 kg/day

7. 20 nos. of rain water harvesting pits are proposed.

8. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

S.No	Activities suggested by the communities during need assessment survey, for implementation	Estimated expenditure (In Crores)	No. of Year required for implementation of CER Activities
1.	Providing the Computer, stationary, food and School rooms facility for the weaker Section near by the village (Sikanderpur, Motipur, Afzalpur, Surajpur etc.)	3.0	These CER activities will be implemented within first 3 years (2023-2026)
2.	Providing Sanitary facility in local area by developing toilets.	2.0	
3.	Providing the medical facility (Clinic/Camps) in the local area (Sikanderpur, Motipur, Afzalpur, Surajpur etc.)	3.16	
4.	Plantations in nearby Panchayat land/Govt Primary School, Dadupur, Gunapura Gurjar etc.)	0.25	
5.	Solar Lamps in village streets	0.25	These CER activities will be implemented within last 2 years (2026-2028)
6.	Rain Water Harvesting (Govt. School, Dadupur, Gunapura Gurjar etc.)	0.50	
Total		9.16	

9. The project proposal falls under category-8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 18**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance for the proposal as above alongwith standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI and following specific conditions:**

**Specific Conditions:**

1. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
2. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of “Single use of Plastic” (SuP).
3. In compliance to Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.
4. The project proponent will comply the use of fuel for backup power as per guidelines issued by CPCB from time to time.
5. The project proponent will ensure that there is no mismatch/deviation between the project proposal submitted to SEIAA for environmental clearance and maps/drawings were approved by concerned development authority. In case of any mismatch/deviation, amended environmental clearance will be obtained by project proponent. In case of failure, the granted environmental clearance shall automatically deem to be cancelled.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that the project site does not attract/infringe any buffer zone, wetland zone etc. of no activity identified/declared under law.
7. Criteria/ norms provided by competent Authority regarding the seismic zone are followed for construction work. Provision of alarm system, to timely notify the residents, in case of occurrence of earthquake/other natural disasters/fire should be provided. A well defined evacuation plan should also be prepared and regular mock drills should be arranged for the residents. Rise of stairs should be constructed in a way, so that it should provide smooth movement.
8. The project proponent should develop green belt in the said project as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.
9. The proponent should provide electric vehicle charging facility as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
10. Project proponent should invest the CER amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
11. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same. STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the permission of the concerned authority.
12. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water.
13. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
14. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.

15. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 05 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.
16. The project proponent shall plan for storm water management drained with appropriate slope and length so that the flood water could get a passage to release in a short span of time.

**19. Sand/Morrum Mining Project at Gata No.- 531 Anga, Khand- 04, Village- Sasnai, Tehsil- Obrha, District- Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh, M/s Rai Bahadur Singh Contractor, Area - 12.146 Ha., 8163/6668/SIAUP/MIN/441530/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 19**

In the light of decision of Hon'ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

**20. "Ordinary Soil Excavation" Project at Gata No.- 934, Village – SirasKheda, Tehsil & District- Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, Area: 2.719 Ha., 8235/SIA/UP/MIN/442447/2023.**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier discussed in 817<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting dated 18/12/2023 and decided is as follows:

*"The Secretariat informed the committee that a compliant letter dated 14/12/2023 of Shri Ramswarup, S/o Shri Thaan Singh, Village-Sirsakheda, Tehsil & District-Moradabad has been received through email on 19/12/2023 and the complaint letter put up before SEAC in its 817<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 18/12/2023.*

*The committee has gone through the complaint letter dated 14/12/2023 of Shri Ramswarup and decided to share the aforesaid complaint letter with the Project Proponent/Consultant to submit points-wise reply and the complaint letter also send to District Magistrate, Moradabad to provide factual report regarding the complaint letter."*

The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 856<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 27/05/2024. The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Ind Tech House Consult. The project proponent submitted the letter dated 10/04/2024 issued by Mining Officer, Moradabad regarding the complain letter and mentioned is as follows:

“कृपया अपने पत्र संख्या 943/पर्या0/8235/2023 दिनांक 28.02.2024 का संदर्भ ग्रहण करने की जिसमें उक्त प्रस्ताव पर राज्य स्तरीय विशेषज्ञ मूल्यांकन समिति की बैठक दिनांक 18.12.2023 में विचार कर शिकायत पत्र दिनांक 14.12.2023 के संबंध में factual report मांगी गई है।

उक्त के संबंध में अवगत कराना है कि शिकायतकर्ता ठाकुर मनोज सिंह से पत्र प्राप्त हुआ जिसमें बताया गया है कि प्रार्थी का लैटर पेड किसी व्यक्ति ने चुराकर प्रार्थी के नाम से शिकायती प्रार्थना पत्र दिनांक 14.12.2023 को प्रेषित किया गया जोकि बिल्कुल गलत एवं फर्जी है। प्रार्थी ने कोई शिकायत मिट्टी खनन परियोजना के संबंध में नहीं की है। साथ ही शिकायतकर्ता रामस्वरूप के निवासी होने के संबंध में ग्राम प्रधान द्वारा प्रमाण पत्र भी दिया गया है कि यह वर्तमान में स्थायी निवासी नहीं है।

अतः अनुरोध है कि शिकायत पत्र को निराधार मानकर प्रस्तुत प्रस्ताव पर अग्रिम कार्यवाही करने का कष्ट करें।”

Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for “Ordinary Soil Excavation” Project at Gata No.– 934, Village – SirasKheda, Tehsil & District-Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, (Leased Area: 2.719 Ha.).
2. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1	On Line Proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/442447/2023		
2	File No. allotted by SEIAA,UP	8235		
3	Name of Proponent	Mohd. Rafi S/o Shri Shamuddi		
4	Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile no.	R/o Village – Milak Boojpur Asha, PO-Veerpur Than, Tehsil & District- Moradabad, U.P.		
5	Name of Project	“Ordinary Soil Excavation” project at Gata No.- 934 in Village- Siras Kheda, Tehsil & District- Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh Area: 2.719 Ha; Mohd. Rafi		
6	Project Location(Plot.Khsra/Gata/Araji No.)	Gata No.- 934		
7	Name of Village	Siras Kheda		
8	Tehsil	Moradabad		
9	District	Moradabad		
10	Name of Minor Mineral	Ordinary Soil		
11	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	Area- 2.719 Ha.		
12	Max. & Min mRL within lease area	202 mRL & 200 mRL		
13	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Point	GATA NO-934	
			Latitude	Latitude
		A	28°50'16.83"N	78°55'50.32"E
		B	28°50'15.36"N	78°55'54.49"E
		C	28°50'8.90"N	78°55'48.79"E
		D	28°50'8.44"N	78°55'46.77"E
		E	28°50'7.80"N	78°55'45.54"E
		F	28°50'8.34"N	78°55'44.93"E
		G	28°50'11.88"N	78°55'48.55"E
		H	28°50'13.16"N	78°55'46.97"E
14	Total Geological Reserves	57,099 m <sup>3</sup>		
15	Total Mineable Reserves	18,000 m <sup>3</sup>		
16	Total Proposed Production	18,000 m <sup>3</sup> /3 months		
17	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	3 months		
18	Production of mine/day	200 m <sup>3</sup>		
19	Method of Mining	Open cast Manual/ Semi Mechanized Mining		
20	No. of working days	90 Days		
21	Working hours/day	8 Hours		
22	No. of worker	15 Workers		
23	No. of vehicles movement/day	22 Trucks / Day (Depending on market demand)		
24	Type of Land	Private land (Uneven Agricultural Land)		
25	Ultimate of Depth of Mining	2.1 m		
26	Nearest metalled road from site	1.00 Km		
27	Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)	
		Drinking	0.15 KLD	
		Suppression of dust	3.36 KLD	

		Plantation	0.05 KLD
		Others (if any)	
		Total	3.56 KLD (Approx. 4.0 KLD)
28	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Ind Tech House Consult Certificate No- NABET/EIA/23-26/RA 0309 , Period of Validity- 29.04.2026	
29	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No	
30	Details of 500 m Cluster Map & Certificate verified by Mining Officer	Letter No- 445/Khani/2023 dated 26.8.2023	
31	Proposed CER cost	Rs. 23,300	
32	Proposed EMP cost	Rs 2.08 Lakhs	
33	Proposed Total Project cost	Rs. 11.66 Lakhs	
34	Length and breadth of Haul Road	560 m Length & 6m width haulage road	
35	No. of Trees to be Planted	25 Trees	

3. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
4. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
5. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
6. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
7. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 27/05/2024 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Manish Kumar Shukla, S/o Shri. S.K Shukla am EIA Coordinator of Ind Tech House Consult.
2. I have prepared EMP report for the Proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/442447/2023), Project Name- Mohd. Rafi "Ordinary Soil Excavation" at Gata No.- 934 in the Village- Siras Kheda, Tehsil & District- Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh. Area: 2.719 Ha with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required submitted along with Application/EMP are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project has been uploaded for this first time on Parivesh portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. The EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/ NABET.

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 20**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal along with general and specific conditions as annexed at Annexure-1 to these minutes.**

**21. “Sand Stone (Gitti- Patthar, Boulder)” Project at Gata No.- 03 (Khand No.- 09) , Village Garha, Tehsil- Bara, District- Prayagraj, Area : 3.64 ha., 8593/SIA/UP/MIN/446097/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 21**

In the light of decision of Hon’ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

**22. “Building stone (Sandstone)” Project at Arazi No.-21/2, Village- Latifpur, Tehsil-Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh By Shri Dildar, Area – 0.809 ha., 8621/7276/SIA/UP/MIN/456501/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 22**

In the light of decision of Hon’ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

**23. “Building Stone Sand Stone (Khanda, Gitti, Boulder ) Mining Project” at Bhukhand No.: 2062, Khand No.: 65 A, Village: Bhatauti , Tehsil: Meja, District: Prayagraj, State: Uttar Pradesh, Area: 2.020 Ha., 8273/SIA/UP/MIN/444788/2023.**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 23**

In the light of decision of Hon’ble NGT order dated 09/05/2024 in Original Application No. 747/2023 (IA No. 877/2023, IA No. 876/2023, IA No. 119/2024 & IA No. 115/2024), Raj Kumar Vs Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change & Ors., the committee withholds its recommendation. SEIAA may like to take response from DGM, UP on this issue.

(Dr. Brij Bihari Awasthi)  
Member

(Dr. Ratan Kar)  
Member

(Umesh Chand Sharma)  
Member

(Dr. Ajai Mishra)  
Member

(Ashish Tiwari)  
Member-Secretary, SEAC

(Rajive Kumar)  
Chairman

**Nodal, SEAC-1**

MoM prepared by Secretariat in consultation with Chairman & Members on the basis of decisions taken by SEAC-1 during the meeting.

Annexure-1

General and Specific Conditions for Soil Earth Mining Projects:-

General condition:

1. This environmental clearance does not create or verify any claim of applicant on the proposed site/activity.
2. Any mining activity shall be undertaken only after valid permission from Mining Department/District Administration and written agreement with land owner from where earth excavation is proposed.
3. No change in mining technology and scope of working shall be made without approval of Authority.
4. Personnel working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices and they shall also be imparted adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
5. The Authority reserves the right to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated are not implemented. The Authority will also be entitled to impose additional environmental conditions or modify the existing ones, if necessary.
6. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposed from those submitted to this Authority for clearance, a fresh reference should be made to the Authority to assess the adequacy of the condition(s) imposed and to add additional environmental protection Measures required, if any.
7. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

Specific Conditions:

1. The Environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the agreement/mining plan whichever is earlier.
2. Environment management should be in accordance with the present environment status of the project.
3. Approach kaccha road should be made motorable and maintained periodically.
4. Transportation of soil should be undertaken in covered containers.
5. Rehabilitation plan with planting of trees to be submitted along with the closure plan.
6. Land to be leveled and handed over to the owners after completion of excavation work.
7. The mining operations shall be strictly limited to the proposed mining sites and proposed purpose.
8. Top soil should be adequately preserved and should be used for landscaping.
9. Excavated soil should be properly stored in a manner not to increase surrounding air pollution level.
10. Water sprinkling should be exercised during excavation and storage of soil for suppression of fugitive dust.
11. Excavated area should be properly reclaimed and ensured that no open bore hole is left.
12. Safety measures for the people working at the site shall be duly taken care of as per law.
13. The excavation work shall be done in day time only.
14. The project boundary shall be properly covered to restrict dust dispersion.
15. Precautionary measures during soil excavation for conservation and protection of rare and endangered flora and fauna found in the study area.
16. Noise level shall be maintained as per standards for both day and night.
17. The route map for soil transportation from excavation plots to work site should be firmed up and necessary permissions shall be sought from District Administration.

18. Vehicles hired for the transportation should be in good condition and should have Pollution Check Certificate and should conform to applicable air and noise emission standards.
19. Personnel exposure monitoring for respirable mineral dust shall be carried out for the workers and records maintained including health records of the workers. Awareness program for workers on impact of mining on their health and precautionary measures like use of personal protective equipments etc. shall be carried out periodically. First aid facilities and adequate sanitary facility in the form of temporary toilets/septic tanks.
20. Solid waste material viz gutkha rappers, plastic bags, glasses etc. to be generated during project activity will be separately stored in bins and managed as per Solid Waste Management Rules.
21. Project proponent should maintain daily register for information of (a) collection of soil/clay, (b) manpower & (c) transportation purpose.
22. Soil mining shall strictly be undertaken as per rules and regulations/permissions obtained from District Administration/Mining Department.
23. Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) shall be prepared by the project proponent and the details of the various heads of expenditure to be submitted as per the guidelines provided in the recent CER notification No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated 01/05/2018. A copy of resolution as above shall be submitted to the authority along with list of beneficiaries with their mobile nos./address.
24. The borrowing/excavation activity shall be restricted to a maximum depth of 2 m. below general ground level at the site.
25. The borrowing/excavation activity shall be restricted to 2 m. above the ground water table at the site.
26. The borrowing/excavation activity shall not alter the natural drainage pattern of the area.
27. The borrowed/excavated pit shall be restored by the project proponent for useful purpose(s).
28. Appropriate fencing all around the borrowed/excavated pit shall be made to prevent any mishap.
29. Measures shall be taken to prevent dust emission by covering of borrowed/excavated earth during transportation.
30. Safeguards shall be adopted against health risks on account of breeding of vectors in the water bodies created due to borrowing/excavation of earth.
31. Workers/labourers shall be provided with facilities for drinking water and sanitation.
32. A berm shall be left from the boundary of adjoining field having a width equal to at least half the depth of proposed excavation.
33. A minimum distance of 15 m from any civil structure shall be kept from the periphery of any excavation area.