

Minutes of the 597th Meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, UP (SEIAA) held on 05.05.2022

The meeting of 597th State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, UP (SEIAA) was held on-line on 05.05.2022 at the Directorate of Environment. The following were present in the meeting:-

1. Dr. Rajiv Kumar Garg
2. Shri Paras Nath
3. Shri Ajay Kumar Sharma

Chairman, SEIAA, U.P
Member, SEIAA, U.P
Member Secretary, SEIAA, U.P

SEAC-1 meeting no. 645 dated 20.04.2022

General discussion –

SEIAA gone through the minutes of 645th SEAC-1 meeting dated 20.04.2022 which states that –

The committee noticed that the SEIAA is referring back few cases with the following remarks –

"SEIAA opined that it is not evident from the MoM of SEAC whether entire ToR has been addressed while preparing EIA/EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC and details in tabular form should be submitted. Further, it is not evident from MoM of SEAC whether issues raised during public hearing have been addressed while preparing final EIA-EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC and details in tabular form should be submitted. Hence SEIAA opined to refer back the project to SEAC for review/deliberations."

Regarding putting in tabular form of the compliance/action taken on TOR/Public Hearing in the meeting of minutes of SEAC, the committee opines that all projects have been recommended after due examination of relevant aspects. Thus, SEIAA may take up the applications for their timely disposal.

SEAC-2 meeting no. 647 dated 26.04.2022

SEIAA gone through the minutes of 647th SEAC-2 meeting dated 26.04.2022 which states that –

The committee noticed that the SEIAA is referring back few cases with the following remarks:-



"SEIAA opined that it is not evident from the MoM of SEAC whether entire ToR has been addressed while preparing EIA/EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC and details in tabular form should be submitted. Further, it is not evident from MoM of SEAC whether issues raised during public hearing have been addressed while preparing final EIA-EMP report or not, this should be deliberated upon by SEAC and details in tabular form should be submitted. Hence SEIAA opined to refer back the project to SEAC for review/deliberations."

Regarding putting in tabular form of the compliance/action taken on TOR/Public Hearing in the meeting of minutes of SEAC, the committee opines that all projects have been recommended after due examination of relevant aspects. Thus, SEIAA may take up the applications for their timely disposal.

SEIAA took note of above comments recorded by SEAC-1 & SEAC-2, which are "copy and paste of one another" and opined as follows

1. EIA Notification, 2006 issued by MoEFCC vide S.O. 1533(E) dated 14.09.2006 has laid down the procedure for appraisal of projects seeking prior EC as follows –

II. Stage (2) - Scoping:

(i) "Scoping": refers to the process by which the Expert Appraisal Committee in the case of Category 'A' projects or activities, and State level Expert Appraisal Committee in the case of Category 'B1' projects or activities, including applications for expansion and/or modernization and/or change in product mix of existing projects or activities, determine detailed and comprehensive Terms Of Reference (TOR) addressing all relevant environmental concerns for the preparation of an Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Report in respect of the project or activity for which prior environmental clearance is sought. The Expert Appraisal Committee or State level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned shall determine the Terms of Reference on the basis of the information furnished in the prescribed application Form1/Form 1A including Terms of Reference proposed by the applicant, a site visit by a sub-group of Expert Appraisal Committee or State level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned only if considered necessary by the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned, Terms of Reference suggested by the applicant if furnished and other information that may be available with the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned. All projects and activities listed as Category 'B' in Item 8 of the Schedule (Construction/Township/Commercial Complexes /Housing) shall not require Scoping and will be appraised on the basis of Form 1/ Form 1A and the conceptual plan.

III. Stage (3) - Public Consultation:

(i) "Public Consultation" refers to the process by which the concerns of local affected persons and others who have plausible stake in the environmental impacts of the project or activity are ascertained with a view to taking into account all the material concerns in the project or activity design as appropriate. All Category 'A' and Category B1 projects or activities shall undertake Public Consultation, except the following.....



(vii) After completion of the public consultation, the applicant shall address all the material environmental concerns expressed during this process, and make appropriate changes in the draft EIA and EMP. The final EIA report, so prepared, shall be submitted by the applicant to the concerned regulatory authority for appraisal. The applicant may alternatively submit a supplementary report to draft EIA and EMP addressing all the concerns expressed during the public consultation.

IV. Stage (4) - Appraisal:

(i) Appraisal means the detailed scrutiny by the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee of the application and other documents like the Final EIA report, outcome of the public consultations including public hearing proceedings, submitted by the applicant to the regulatory authority concerned for grant of environmental clearance. This appraisal shall be made by Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned in a transparent manner in a proceeding to which the applicant shall be invited for furnishing necessary clarifications in person or through an authorized representative. On conclusion of this proceeding, the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned shall make categorical recommendations to the regulatory authority concerned either for grant of prior environmental clearance on stipulated terms and conditions, or rejection of the application for prior environmental clearance, together with reasons for the same.

2. SEAC-1 and SEAC-2 have been recommending proposals for grant of EC without recording detailed appraisal of final EIA-EMP report either in the file of concerned project or in their MoM.
3. SEAC-1 and SEAC-2, both were asked to record their appraisal on which they are anchoring their recommendations. Instead of doing so, by carrying out a general discussion in their meeting, SEAC-1 and SEAC-2 have simply said ***"all projects have been recommended after due examination of relevant aspects. Thus, SEIAA may take up the applications for their timely disposal."***
4. On examination of files, this also came to light that SEAC-1 and SEAC-2 have started asking PP / consultant to submit an affidavit regarding complete compliance of ToR and issues raised in public hearing. An affidavit submitted by PP / consultant is not a substitute for documenting appraisal done by SEAC-1 / SEAC-2
5. SEAC-1 and SEAC-2 have been recommending projects for grant of EC without recording findings of their appraisal either in the file of concerned project or in their MoM. In case, in future any issue related to non-addressing of ToR in totality or / and non-addressing issues raised during public hearing come to light, recommending committee (either SEAC-1 or SEAC-2) will be collectively responsible on following two accounts –
 - a) First, lack of transparency in not documenting appraisal on the points of ToR and issues raised in public hearing
 - b) Second, even when the attention was drawn by SEIAA to this issue there is complete denial for doing so



Copy of this MoM be sent to SEAC-1 & SEAC-2 with the direction that this be discussed in their SFACs and brought to the notice of all the members

Agenda:A:- Minutes of 645th SEAC-1 Meeting Dated 20/04/2022

1. **(2) Stone (Khanda, Boulder, Gitti) Mining at Khasra No./Plot No./Gata No.- 3114,3115, Khand No.-18,Village- Koshnakalan, Tehsil- Meja, Prayagraj., Shri Durgesh Pratap Singh., M/s Maa Savitri Stones, area-2.024 ha. File No. 6352/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/215315/2021**

SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to grant the prior Environmental Clearance to the proposed project along with all the general and specific conditions as suggested by the SEAC-1 but the SEIAA replaces the specific condition regarding cluster certificate as "If in future during the progressive mining this lease area becomes part of cluster i.e. area equal to or more than 5 ha., limited to B-1 category, then additional conditions based on the EIA conducted by the concerned lease holders shall be imposed and joint EMP shall be implemented. The lease holder shall mandatorily follow all the imposed conditions otherwise it will amount to violation of E.C. conditions. If the certificate related to cluster provided by the competent authority is found false or incorrect then punitive actions as per the law shall be initiated against the authority issuing the cluster certificate" and adding following conditions:-

1. Validity period of this EC 5 years from the date of issue as the Lol has been issued for a period of 5 years or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan or current lease period whichever is earlier. After this period the EC will become null and void.
2. A certificate from Forest Department shall be obtained that no forest land is involved in mining or as a route and if forest land is involved the project proponent shall obtain forest clearance and permission of Central and State Government as per the provisions of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 and submit before the start of work.
3. The mining lease holders shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora fauna etc.
4. Three tier green shelter belt of 7.5m width should be developed on the periphery of mine lease area. Local and native species should be planted in consultation with Forest/Horticulture Department/Agriculture University.
5. Plan for using the mine void for productive use in consultation with local administration and gram-panchayat.
6. If the proposed project is situated in notified area of ground water extraction, where creation of new wells for ground water extraction is not allowed, requirement of fresh water shall be met from alternate water sources other than ground water or legally valid source and permission from the competent authority shall be obtained to use it.
7. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by



Forest Department or Horticulture Department, for planting at least 3,000 plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provision for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.

8. In consultation with District Environment Authority or an Authority nominated by concerned DM, project proponent will prepared a conservation and management plan for rejuvenation and management of water bodies having total surface area of more than 15 ha. Funds for the same will be kept in a separate bank account and six monthly compliance status will be presented by project proponent before the nominated authority in the District.
9. Department of Geology and Mines, Government of Uttar Pradesh and / or concerned district administration, before releasing the security deposit to Project Proponent will ensure that Project Proponent has fully complied with the EC conditions. Non-compliance, if any, should be reported to UPSPCB for appropriate legal action and recovery of compensation.
10. Any application for transfer of this EC, during its validity period unless it is cancelled by a competent authority, has to be necessarily accompanied with status of compliance of EC conditions duly certified by IRO, MoEFCC, GoI, Lucknow.

2. (3) Stone (Khanda, Boulder & Gitti) Mining at Gata No. 244, Khand No. 01, Village- Musawali, Tehsil-Moth, Jhansi., Smt. Khushbu Yadav., M/s Brij Construction Company, area-1.821 ha. File No. 6451/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/220273/2021

SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to grant the prior Environmental Clearance to the proposed project along with all the general and specific conditions as suggested by the SEAC-1 but the SEIAA replaces the specific condition regarding cluster certificate as "If in future during the progressive mining this lease area becomes part of cluster i.e. area equal to or more than 5 ha., limited to B-1 category, then additional conditions based on the EIA conducted by the concerned lease holders shall be imposed and joint EMP shall be implemented. The lease holder shall mandatorily follow all the imposed conditions otherwise it will amount to violation of E.C. conditions. If the certificate related to cluster provided by the competent authority is found false or incorrect then punitive actions as per the law shall be initiated against the authority issuing the cluster certificate" and adding following conditions:-

1. Validity period of this EC 5 years from the date of issue as the Lol has been issued for a period of 5 years or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan or current lease period whichever is earlier. After this period the EC will become null and void.
2. A certificate from Forest Department shall be obtained that no forest land is involved in mining or as a route and if forest land is involved the project proponent shall obtain forest clearance and permission of Central and State Government as per the provisions of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 and submit before the start of work.



3. The mining lease holders shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora fauna etc.
 4. Three tier green shelter belt of 7.5m width should be developed on the periphery of mine lease area. Local and native species should be planted in consultation with Forest/Horticulture Department/Agriculture University.
 5. Plan for using the mine void for productive use in consultation with local administration and gram-panchayat.
 6. If the proposed project is situated in notified area of ground water extraction, where creation of new wells for ground water extraction is not allowed, requirement of fresh water shall be met from alternate water sources other than ground water or legally valid source and permission from the competent authority shall be obtained to use it.
 7. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or Horticulture Department, for planting at least 2,000 plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provision for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
 8. In consultation with District Environment Authority or an Authority nominated by concerned DM, project proponent will prepare a conservation and management plan for rejuvenation and management of water bodies having total surface area of more than 10 ha. Funds for the same will be kept in a separate bank account and six monthly compliance status will be presented by project proponent before the nominated authority in the District.
 9. Department of Geology and Mines, Government of Uttar Pradesh and / or concerned district administration, before releasing the security deposit to Project Proponent will ensure that Project Proponent has fully complied with the EC conditions. Non-compliance, if any, should be reported to UPSPCB for appropriate legal action and recovery of compensation.
 10. Any application for transfer of this EC, during its validity period unless it is cancelled by a competent authority, has to be necessarily accompanied with status of compliance of EC conditions duly certified by IRO, MoEFCC, Gol, Lucknow.
3. (4) Khanda-Boulder/Gitti-Ballast Mining at Gata No-98, (Khand 03), Village-Karanpura, Tehsil-Garautha, Jhansi., Shri Bharat Premani, Area 0.8090 ha. File No. 6712/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/241319/2021

SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to close/ delist the file as the project proponent did not appear and open only after submission of online request on prescribed online portal. A letter shall be sent to DM, Jhansi to ensure that no mining activity is started until valid EC is obtained and in case mining has been carried

out without valid EC then work should be stopped and legal action should be initiated against the PP.

4. (5) Stone, Khanda, Boulder, Gitti Mining at Aaraji No: 989 (Khand No. 13), Village: Makarbai, Sadar, Mahoba, Smt. Sheel Singh Chandel, Area: 1.214 ha. File No. 6377/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/64051/2021

SEAC-1 has deferred the project as the PP / consultant was not well versed with the details of the project.

5. (6) Stone Khandas, Gitti, Boulder & Red Morrum Mining at Khand No. 01, Gata No. 387, Village: Looka, Tehsil: Rath, District: Hamirpur, Smt. Dolly Rajput, Area: 2.02 Ha. File No. 6230/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/61177/2021

SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to close/ delist the file as the project proponent did not appear and open only after submission of online request on prescribed online portal. A letter shall be send to DM, Jhansi to ensure that no mining activity is started until valid EC is obtained and in case mining has been carried out without valid EC then work should be stopped and legal action should be initiated against the PP.

6. (7) Stone (Sand stone) Mining at Gata No. -188/1, Village- Lahaura, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur. Shri Shyam Bahadur Singh, Area- 1.616 Ha. File No. 6426/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/60055/2021

SEIAA noted that proposal with no. SIA/UP/MIN/60055/2021, SIA/UP/MIN/72667/2021 and SIA/UP/MIN/72679/2021 have same gata no. hence District Administration and Mining Department shall ensure that mining areas are clearly identifiable on ground and there is no overlapping of lease areas. SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to grant the prior Environmental Clearance to the proposed project for along with all the general and specific conditions as suggested by the SEAC-1 adding following specific condition as follows:-

1. Validity period of this EC is 4 years from the date of issue as the Lol has been issued for a period of 4 years or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan or current lease period whichever is earlier. After this period the EC will become null and void.
2. Directions/suggestions given during public hearing and commitment made by the project proponent should be strictly complied.
3. A certificate from Forest Department shall be obtained that no forest land is involved in mining or as a route and if forest land is involved the project proponent shall obtain forest clearance and permission of Central and State Government as per the provisions of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 and submit before the start of work.



4. The mining lease holders shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora fauna etc.
5. Three tier green shelter belt of 7.5m width should be developed on the periphery of mine lease area. Local and native species should be planted in consultation with Forest/Horticulture Department/Agriculture University.
6. Plan for using the mine void for productive use in consultation with local administration and gram-panchayat.
7. If the proposed project is situated in notified area of ground water extraction, where creation of new wells for ground water extraction is not allowed, requirement of fresh water shall be met from alternate water sources other than ground water or legally valid source and permission from the competent authority shall be obtained to use it.
8. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or Horticulture Department, for planting at least 2,000 plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provision for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
9. In consultation with District Environment Authority or an Authority nominated by concerned DM, project proponent will prepared a conservation and management plan for rejuvenation and management of water bodies having total surface area of more than 10 ha. Funds for the same will be kept in a separate bank account and six monthly compliance status will be presented by project proponent before the nominated authority in the District.
10. Number of mining projects are coming up in district. Department of Geology & Mines, GoUP to carry out regional EIA-EMP report including carrying capacity of environmental components to assess the capacity to further bear the pollution load for such areas within a period of 1 year and submit the same to SEIAA, UP for evaluation.
11. Department of Geology & Mines, GoUP in consultation with UPSPCB will establish required number of CAAQMS in district within a period of one year and submit geo-referenced map of these stations along with data. Details of existing CAAQMS, if any, be submitted within a period of three months.
12. Department of Geology and Mines, Government of Uttar Pradesh and / or concerned district administration, before releasing the security deposit to Project Proponent will ensure that Project Proponent has fully complied with the EC conditions. Non-compliance, if any, should be reported to UPSPCB for appropriate legal action and recovery of compensation.



13. Any application for transfer of this EC, during its validity period unless it is cancelled by a competent authority, has to be necessarily accompanied with status of compliance of EC conditions duly certified by IRO, MoEFCC, Gol, Lucknow.

7. (8) Stone (Sand stone) Mining at Gata No.- 188/1, Village- Lahaura, Tehsil- Chunar, Mirzapur, Smt. Anju Singh, Area-1.01 Ha. File No. 6954/6345/Proposal No. IA/UP/MIN/72667/2021

SEIAA noted that proposal with no. SIA/UP/MIN/60055/2021, SIA/UP/MIN/72667/2021 and SIA/UP/MIN/72679/2021 have same gata no. hence District Administration and Mining Department shall ensure that mining areas are clearly identifiable on ground and there is no overlapping of lease areas. SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to grant the prior Environmental Clearance to the proposed project for along with all the general and specific conditions as suggested by the SEAC-1 adding following specific condition as follows:-

1. Validity period of this EC is 4 years from the date of issue as the Lol has been issued for a period of 4 years or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan or current lease period whichever is earlier. After this period the EC will become null and void.
2. Directions/suggestions given during public hearing and commitment made by the project proponent should be strictly complied.
3. A certificate from Forest Department shall be obtained that no forest land is involved in mining or as a route and if forest land is involved the project proponent shall obtain forest clearance and permission of Central and State Government as per the provisions of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 and submit before the start of work.
4. The mining lease holders shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora fauna etc.
5. Three tier green shelter belt of 7.5m width should be developed on the periphery of mine lease area. Local and native species should be planted in consultation with Forest/Horticulture Department/Agriculture University.
6. Plan for using the mine void for productive use in consultation with local administration and gram-panchayat.
7. If the proposed project is situated in notified area of ground water extraction, where creation of new wells for ground water extraction is not allowed, requirement of fresh water shall be met from alternate water sources other than ground water or legally valid source and permission from the competent authority shall be obtained to use it.
8. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or Horticulture Department, for planting at least 2,000 plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery

AE

of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provision for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise It will be treated as violation of EC condition.

9. In consultation with District Environment Authority or an Authority nominated by concerned DM, project proponent will prepared a conservation and management plan for rejuvenation and management of water bodies having total surface area of more than 10 ha. Funds for the same will be kept in a separate bank account and six monthly compliance status will be presented by project proponent before the nominated authority in the District.
10. Number of mining projects are coming up in district. Department of Geology & Mines, GoUP to carry out regional EIA-EMP report including carrying capacity of environmental components to assess the capacity to further bear the pollution load for such areas within a period of 1 year and submit the same to SEIAA, UP for evaluation.
11. Department of Geology & Mines, GoUP in consultation with UPSPCB will establish required number of CAAQMS in district within a period of one year and submit geo-referenced map of these stations along with data. Details of existing CAAQMS, if any, be submitted within a period of three months.
12. Department of Geology and Mines, Government of Uttar Pradesh and / or concerned district administration, before releasing the security deposit to Project Proponent will ensure that Project Proponent has fully complied with the EC conditions. Non-compliance, if any, should be reported to UPSPCB for appropriate legal action and recovery of compensation.
13. Any application for transfer of this EC, during its validity period unless it is cancelled by a competent authority, has to be necessarily accompanied with status of compliance of EC conditions duly certified by IRO, MoEFCC, Gol, Lucknow.

8. (9) Stone (Sand stone) Mining at Gata No. -188/1, Village- Lahaura, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Shri Shyam Bahadur Singh, Area- 1.616 Ha. File No. 6955/6346/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72679/2021

SEIAA noted that proposal with no. SIA/UP/MIN/60055/2021, SIA/UP/MIN/72667/2021 and SIA/UP/MIN/72679/2021 have same gata no. hence District Administration and Mining Department shall ensure that mining areas are clearly identifiable on ground and there is no overlapping of lease areas. SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC-1 to grant the prior Environmental Clearance to the proposed project for along with all the general and specific conditions as suggested by the SEAC-1 adding following specific condition as follows:-

1. Validity period of this EC is 5 years from the date of issue as the Lol has been issued for a period of 5 years or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan or current lease period whichever is earlier. After this period the EC will become null and void.



2. Directions/suggestions given during public hearing and commitment made by the project proponent should be strictly complied.
3. A certificate from Forest Department shall be obtained that no forest land is involved in mining or as a route and if forest land is involved the project proponent shall obtain forest clearance and permission of Central and State Government as per the provisions of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 and submit before the start of work.
4. The mining lease holders shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora fauna etc.
5. Three tier green shelter belt of 7.5m width should be developed on the periphery of mine lease area. Local and native species should be planted in consultation with Forest/Horticulture Department/Agriculture University.
6. Plan for using the mine void for productive use in consultation with local administration and gram-panchayat.
7. If the proposed project is situated in notified area of ground water extraction, where creation of new wells for ground water extraction is not allowed, requirement of fresh water shall be met from alternate water sources other than ground water or legally valid source and permission from the competent authority shall be obtained to use it.
8. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or Horticulture Department, for planting at least 2,000 plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provision for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
9. In consultation with District Environment Authority or an Authority nominated by concerned DM, project proponent will prepared a conservation and management plan for rejuvenation and management of water bodies having total surface area of more than 10 ha. Funds for the same will be kept in a separate bank account and six monthly compliance status will be presented by project proponent before the nominated authority in the District.
10. Number of mining projects are coming up in district. Department of Geology & Mines, GoUP to carry out regional EIA-EMP report including carrying capacity of environmental components to assess the capacity to further bear the pollution load for such areas within a period of 1 year and submit the same to SEIAA, UP for evaluation.
11. Department of Geology & Mines, GoUP in consultation with UPSPCB will establish required number of CAAQMS in district within a period of one year and submit geo-referenced map of these stations along with data. Details of existing CAAQMS, if any, be submitted within a period of three months.



Minutes of the 597th Meeting of the SEIAA held on 05.05.2022

12. Department of Geology and Mines, Government of Uttar Pradesh and / or concerned district administration, before releasing the security deposit to Project Proponent will ensure that Project Proponent has fully complied with the EC conditions. Non-compliance, if any, should be reported to UPSPCB for appropriate legal action and recovery of compensation.
 13. Any application for transfer of this EC, during its validity period unless it is cancelled by a competent authority, has to be necessarily accompanied with status of compliance of EC conditions duly certified by IRO, MoEFCC, GoI, Lucknow.
9. **(10) Stone (Khanda, Boulder, Bailast & Gitti) Mining at Gata No. 989, KhandNo.- 09, at Village- Makarbal , Tehsil-Sadar, Mahoba., Smt. Usha Valpayee., area- 0.809 ha. File No. 6961/6379/Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/72481/2021**

SEAC 1 has deferred the project as the PP / consultant was not well versed with the details of the project.

**Assistant Nodal/Nodal Officer
SEIAA, UP**

MoM prepared by Secretariat in consultation with
Chairman & Members on the basis of decisions
taken by SEIAA during the meeting.



**(Ajay Kumar Sharma)
Member-Secretary
SEIAA**

**(Paras Nath)
Member
SEIAA**

**(Dr. Rajiv Kumar Garg)
Chairman
SEIAA**