

STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE – TAMIL NADU

Minutes of the 182nd Meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) held on 17th October 2020 (Saturday) for Appraisal of Building and Construction Projects, Townships and Area Development projects, Synthetic Organic Chemicals Industry & Mining projects conducted through video conference.

Agenda No: 182-01

(File No: 7770/2020)

Proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 2.00.0 Ha at S.F.No. 1(Part -2) Mekalanayakanahalli Village, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Golden Blue Metals –For Environmental Clearance
(SIA/TN/MIN/166853/2020, dated: 06.08.2020)

The proposal was placed in this 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The details furnished by the Proponent are given in the Parivesh website. (parivesh.nic.in)

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The project Proponent M/s. Golden Blue Metals has applied for Environment Clearance for the proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 2.00.0 Ha at S.F No.1 (Part -2) Mekalanayakanahalli Village, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the committee decided to recommend the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA with restricted to a ultimate depth of mining of 57.5 m from the above ground level and leaving the minerals which are available below ground level, the same was accepted by the project proponent and accordingly the production quantity

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

is restricted to 1,07,564cu.m of Rough stone and 27,810cu.m of topsoil subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions:

1. The proponent shall submit the copy of the NOC obtained from DFO before placing the subject to SEIAA-TN, since Kavaramalai Reserve forest located at 240m from the boundary of the proposed site.
2. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
3. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to hold down any possible fly material (debris) should be established by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and suitable working methodology to be adopted by considering the wind direction.
4. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc.
5. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.
6. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
7. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.
8. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agriculture university.
9. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Environmental clearance is quarried even before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

10. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016,M.A.No.920/2016,M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No.981/2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
11. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable shall be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
12. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards are to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
13. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.
14. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in few wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB.
15. After mining is completed, proper levelling should be done by the Project proponent & Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
16. The amount of Rs. 2.268/- Lakhs shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the work for providing the Smart class facilities, sanitation facilities & developing the Garden Maintenance in Ramiyanhalli Govt School as committed before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

Agenda No: 182-02

File No.7765/2019

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 2.26.80Ha in S.F.No. 107/7, 108/1A, 108/1B, 108/1C2 & 108/1D2 of Kattudevathur Village, Maduranthagam Taluk, Chengalpattu District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Vela Vendhan Agencies– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/169041/2020, dated: 23.08.2020)

The proposal was placed in the 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The project proponent, M/s. Vela Vendhan Agencies, has applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 2.26.80Ha in S.F.No. 107/7, 108/1A, 108/1B, 108/1C2 & 108/1D2 of Kattudevathur Village, Maduranthagam Taluk, Chengalpattu District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the committee decided to recommend the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA with restricted to a ultimate depth of mining of 35 m from the ground level leaving the last bench of 5m depth as accepted by the proponent and accordingly the production quantity is restricted to 326220cu.m of Rough stone & 34926cu.m of Gravel, subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions:

1. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
2. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to hold down any possible fly material (debris) should be established by providing green belt

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and suitable working methodology to be adopted by considering the wind direction.

3. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc.
4. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.
5. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
6. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.
7. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agriculture university.
8. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Environmental clearance is quarried even before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.
9. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No.758/2016, M.A.No.920/2016, M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No.843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No.981/2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
10. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable shall be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
11. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards are to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

12. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.
13. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in few wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB.
14. After mining is completed, proper levelling should be done by the Project proponent & Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
15. The amount of Rs. 1.78/-Lakhs shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the work for providing the Smart class facilities, sanitation facilities& developing the Garden Maintenance in Govt School at Kattudevathur Village, Chengalpattu District as committed before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

Agenda No: 182– 03

File No.7771/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.84.5Ha in S.F.No. 232 & 234/2 of Kandiankovil Village, Tiruppur South Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. P.Arjunkumar– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/169139/2020, dated: 21.08.2020)

The proposal was placed in the 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The project proponent, Thiru. P.Arjunkumar, has applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.84.5Ha in S.F.No. 232 & 234/2 of Kandiankovil Village, Tiruppur South Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
3. The project proponent earlier EC obtained from SEIAA-TN vide Lr. No.SEIAA-

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

TN/F.No. 2078/EC/1(a)/ 1180 /2013 dated: 09.04.2014 for the period of 5 Years

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the committee decided to recommend the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA with restricted to a ultimate depth of mining of 32 m from the ground level leaving the last bench of 5m depth as accepted by the proponent and accordingly the production quantity is restricted to 118830cu.m of Rough stone& 1960cu.m of Gravel subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions:

1. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
2. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to hold down any possible fly material (debris) should be established by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and suitable working methodology to be adopted by considering the wind direction.
3. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc.
4. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.
5. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
6. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.
7. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agriculture university.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

8. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Environmental clearance is quarried even before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.
9. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016, M.A.No.920/2016, M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No.981/2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
10. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable shall be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
11. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards are to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
12. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.
13. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in few wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB.
14. After mining is completed, proper levelling should be done by the Project proponent & Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
15. The amount of Rs. 0.70/-Lakhs shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the work for providing the infrastructure facilities, drinking water facilities & sanitation facilities in Govt School at Kandiankovil Village, Tiruppur District as committed before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
16. The project proponent shall submit the following details from AD/DD mines, Tiruppur District before placing the subject to SEIAA-TN,

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

- i. Depth of mining was already carried out by the project proponent in this project site.
- ii. Quantity of minerals mined out by the project proponent
- iii. Last transport permit issued to this project site.

Agenda No: 182- 04

File No: 6866/2017

The Existing unit is manufacturing 36 API products with capacity of 8.05 TPM and after Change in product mix, 40 Products with same capacity and R& D products of 0.5 TPM will be manufactured by M/s. Par Active Technologies Private Limited, Plot nos:16,17, 31 & 32, SIDDCO Pharmaceutical Complex, Alathur Village, Thiruporur Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu-for Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/IND2/50915/2014, dated: 10.02.2020)

The proposal was placed in 174th SEAC Meeting held on 12.09.2020. The project proponent made detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the project proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

- 1.The project proponent, M/s. Par Active Technologies Private Limited applied for Environmental clearance for the Existing unit which is manufacturing 36 API products with capacity of 8.05 TPM. After Change in the product mix, 40 Products with same capacity and R& D products of 0.5 TPM shall be manufactured by M/s. Par Active Technologies Private Limited, Plot nos:16,17, 31 & 32, SIDDCO Pharmaceutical Complex, Alathur village, Thiruporur Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu.
- 2.The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 5(f) “Synthetic Organic Chemicals Industry” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
3. The earlier obtained EC from SEIAA Vide Lr.No. SEIAA/TN/EC/5(f)/004/F-133/2008, Dated: 05.01.2009, for 36 Nos of

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

Products with capacity of 8.05 TPM by M/s. Actavis Pharma Manufacturing Private Ltd. Initially. It was later transferred to Nuray Chemicals Pvt. Ltd vide SEIAATN/F.No.133/TVLR/5(f)/EC-Tnsfr/2009 dated 10.03.2015. M/s. Nuray chemicals, transferred EC to M/s. Par Active Technologies Pvt. Ltd. and obtained consent order dated: 25/02/2016. The unit obtained CTO renewal for the period up to 2020-2021.

1. The ToR was issued to M/s. Par Active Technologies Pvt. Ltd by SEIAA-TN vide Lr No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.7404/SEAC/8(b)/ToR-700/2020 dated 19.05.2020

Based on the presentation made and documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC directed the project proponent to submit the following details:

1. Since, the green belt details submitted by the project proponent is not up to the minimum requirement, the project proponent should develop the greenbelt area at least 33% of in the total land area and earmark the greenbelt area with dimension and GPS coordinates all along the boundary of the project site.
2. The proponent shall submit the proposal for CER activity to be carried out as per the office memorandum of MoEF& CC dated 01.05.2018.
3. Detailed proposal for solvent recovery shall be furnished by the project proponent.
4. The project proponent shall submit the proposal for VOC monitoring and revise accordingly their EMP for submission.
5. The project proponent shall submit the revised water balance sheet as per MoEF&CC

On receipt of the above details, the SEAC decided to take for the further course of action on the proposal.

The Project proponent furnished details to SEIAA-TN on 05.10.2020.

The Proposal was placed again in this 182nd SEAC held on 17.10.2020. After detailed deliberations, SEAC decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

Environmental Clearance subject to the following conditions in addition to standard conditions stipulated by the MoEF&CC:

1. The project proponent shall maintain the Green belt area not less than 33% of the land area all along the periphery of the unit and maximum green belt shall be maintained in the down wind direction as reported. Selection of plant species shall be as per the CPCB guidelines in consultation with the State Forest Department.
2. The project proponent shall operate and maintain the Sewage treatment Plant and Effluent treatment plant effectively to meet out the standards prescribed by the CPCB.
3. It is necessary to be carried out safety audit in the different operating zones of the plant at least once in a year and the same shall be considered as base for reviewing the unsafe conditions during the plant safety meeting.
4. The proponent shall strictly follow the norms and guidelines mentioned in the Hazardous waste (Management) Rules, 2016 for the handling and disposal of Hazardous waste to be generated.
5. As the plant operation involves the sensitive processing, the medical officer and the supporting staff involved in the health centre activities shall be trained in occupational health surveillance (oHS) aspects through the outsourced training from the experts available in the field of oHS for ensuring the health standard of persons employed.
6. Necessary permission letter for the supply of water shall be obtained from the before obtaining consent from TNPCB.
7. The effluent generated from the process should be treated through the ETP to achieve the treated effluent standards prescribed by the CPCB.
8. The proponent shall ensure the zero-liquid discharge.
9. The project proponent shall obtain clearance from the National Board for Wildlife, if applicable.
10. The proponent shall continuously operate and maintain the Air-pollution control measures.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

11. The proponent should continuously monitor the VOC and ensure that VOC levels are within permissible limits.
12. The project proponent shall ensure the proper implementation of the Solvent Recovery as committed.
13. The CER amount of Rs.1 lakh shall be spent for CER activities to be carried out for improving the sanitary facilities and proving smart screen for the Alathur Village Government School.

Agenda No. 182-05

(File No.7613/2020)

Proposed construction of additional building for the development at S.F.No. 8/3B, 7/4A, 7/4B, 7/5, 12/3A, 12/3B, 12/4A, 12/4B1, 8/3A, 6/1, 8/1A, 8/1B, 7/1A, 7/1B, 7/1C, 7/3, 12/2, 7/2, 12/1, 47/2, 46/4B, 12/5 & 8/2 in Thenmathur and Su.Kilnatchipattu Village, Tiruvannamalai Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District by M/s. Arunai Medical College and Hospital – For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIS/159945/2020, dated: 31.08.2020)

The proposal was placed in the 179th SEAC Meeting held on 03.10.2020. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

Based on the initial discussion with the Project Proponent during presentation, the SEAC unanimously decided to direct the proponent to furnish the details of the closure of existing M/s. Arunai College of Engineering and the No objection certificate from AICTE/Anna University.

On receipt of the above said detail from the project proponent. SEAC would further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

The project proponent has given reply for above to SEIAA. The proposal was again placed in this 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, after detailed deliberations, the SEAC decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

Environmental Clearance subject to the following conditions in addition to standard conditions stipulated by the MoEF& CC:

1. Necessary permission shall be obtained from the competent authority for the outsourcing of fresh water before obtaining consent from TNPCB.
2. The Project Proponent has to obtain necessary permission for utilizing the treated waste water of 26 KLD for avenue plantation and 28 KLD for green belt development nearby the institution before obtaining consent from TNPCB as reported.
3. The Proponent shall provide the dispenser for the disposal of Sanitary Napkins.
4. The layout out plan furnished for the green belt development shall be submitted for CMDA approval with dimension and CPS coordinates for the green belt area all along the boundary of the project site are submitted to SEIAA.
5. All the mitigation measures committed by the proponent for the flood management, to avoid pollution in Air, Noise, Solid waste disposal, Sewage treatment & disposal etc., shall be followed strictly.
6. Solar energy should be at least 10% of total energy utilization. Application of solar energy should be utilized maximum for illumination of common areas, street lighting etc.
7. The height of the stack of DC sets shall be provided as per the CPCB norms.
8. The project proponent shall continuously operate and maintain the Sewage treatment plant & Effluent Treatment Plant to achieve the standards prescribed by the TNPCB/CPCB.
9. The Project proponent shall submit the detail of the closure of existing M/s. Arunai College of Engineering and the No objection certificate from AICTE/Anna University before obtaining Consent to Establishment from TNPCB.
10. Project Proponent shall construct the compound wall all along the boundary of the project site for the Environment Clearance of the applied

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

area.

11. The project proponent has to provide separate standby D.G set for the STP & ETP proposed for the continuous operation of the STP & ETP in case of power failure respectively.
12. No waste of any type to be disposed off in any other way other than the approved one.
13. The proponent shall not use the laundry for discarded linen, mattresses, beddings Contaminated with blood fluid and soiled waste. Materials other than the materials listed above can be washed and cleaned in the laundry attached to the hospital.
14. The project proponent shall obtain the necessary authorization from TNPCB and strictly follow the E-Waste Management Rules 2016, as amended for the generation of E-waste within the premises.
15. The project proponent shall obtain the necessary authorization from TNPCB and strictly follow the Bio-medical Waste Management Rules, 2016, as amended for the generation of Bio medical waste within the premises.
16. The project proponent shall obtain the necessary authorization from TNPCB and strictly follow the Hazardous & Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2015, as amended for the generation of Hazardous waste within the premises.
17. The project proponent shall submit the CER proposal as per the MoEF& CC guidelines before placing the subject to SEIAA.

Agenda No: 182-06

File No: 7627/2020

**Proposed construction of mixed development at S. No. 2, Block No. 4 of Arumbakkam Village, Aminjikkarai Taluk (Previously Egmore – Nungambakkam Taluk), Chennai District by M/s. Tamil Nadu Housing Board – Anna Nagar Division-
For Environmental Clearance**

(SIA/TN/MIS/161937/2020, dated: 11.10.2020)

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

The proposal was placed in this 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are available in the website (parivesh.nic.in). The SEAC noted the following:

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, M/s. Tamil Nadu Housing Board has applied for Environmental Clearance for the Construction project in Proposed construction of mixed development at S. No. 2, Block No. 4 of Arumbakkam Village, Aminjikkarai Taluk (Previously Egmore – Nungambakkam Taluk), Chennai District.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B" of Item 8(a) "Building and Construction projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
3. The total land area is 33,157 Sq.m, the total built up area of 1, 35,031.13 Sq.m. The project will comprise of Commercial Block (B+G+19 Floors), 2 Blocks of HIG (B+S+19 Floors – each 152 units) with a total dwelling unit of 304 units

Based on the documents furnished and the presentation made by the proponent, the SEAC decided to direct the project proponent to furnish the following details;

1. The proponent shall submit the compliance/action taken for all the conditions stipulated in the PWD Inundation certificate Letter No.DB/T5 (3)/F-NOC-Arumbakkam/2020 dated 03.10.2020 with necessary documents/photographs.
2. The proponent shall submit the Village Map, 'A' Register & FMB sketch for the proposed project site.
3. The project site is abutting to River Cooum, hence the project proponent shall submit the detailed disaster management plan.
4. The project proponent shall furnish the land use classification obtained from CMDA.
5. The project proponent shall revise the water balance as per the MoEF& CC guidelines for the building project.
6. The project proponent shall submit the details of STP & GWTP system and the



Member Secretary
SEAC-TN

Chairman
SEAC-TN

design details based on the characteristics of the sewage & grey water.

7. The project proponent shall furnish the necessary permission from the CMWSSB for supply of fresh water.
8. The project proponent shall furnish the necessary permission from the competent authority for the disposal of excess treated sewage for avenue plantation along with the revised EMP.
9. The proponent shall submit the revised solid waste management plan as per the National Building Code (NBC) Guidelines for the residential buildings.
10. The proponent planned the children's park near to the RiverCooum and OSR in two different places. Hence the proponent shall furnish the revised lay out providing the Children's park in other side of the project site and OSR in one compact place with entry/exit. The revised layout shall be submitted accordingly.
11. The proponent shall revise proposal of rain water harvesting system by providing a greater number of rainwater collection sump instead of more numbers of rain water collection pits.
12. The contour levels of the proposed site shall be marked in the layout. Based on contour map, a detailed storm water drainage plan shall be prepared considering the flood occurred in the year 2015 and also considering the surrounding developments.
13. The proponent shall calculate & furnish the height of the stack to be provided for the proposed DG set as per the MoEF& CC norms.

On receipt of the above details, the SEAC decided to take further course of action on the proposal.

Agenda No: 182– 07

File No.7744/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.00.0Ha in S.F.No. 27/1A (Block-5) of Elambalur Village, Perambalur Taluk, Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. M.Kumar– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/168000/2020, dated: 17.08.2020)

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

The proposal was placed in the 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Thiru. M. Kumar, has applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.00.0Ha in S.F.No. 27/1A (Block-5) of Elambalur Village, Perambalur Taluk, Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the committee decided to recommend the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA with restricted to a ultimate depth of mining of 40m from the above ground level and leaving the minerals which are available below ground level, the same was accepted by the project proponent and accordingly the production quantity of rough stone is restricted to 139500Cu.m rough stone subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions:

1. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
2. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to hold down any possible fly material (debris) should be established by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and suitable working methodology to be adopted by considering the wind direction.
3. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc.
4. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**

**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

5. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Villageroad.
6. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where everapplicable.
7. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agricultureuniversity.
8. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Environmental clearance is quarried even before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the DistrictAuthorities.
9. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016,M.A.No.920/2016,M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No.981/2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
10. PriorclearancefromForestry&WildLifeincludingclearancefromcommitteeof the National Board for Wild life as applicable shall be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWLclearance.
11. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards are to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
12. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.
13. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in few wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB.
14. After mining is completed, proper levelling should be done by the Project proponent & Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

15. The amount of Rs. 1.63/-Lakhs shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the work for providing the infrastructure facilities, drinking water facilities & sanitation facilities in Govt School at Elambalur Village, Perambalur District as committed before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

Agenda No: 182-08

File No: 7592/2020

Proposed construction of Vels Medical College and Hospital at S.F.No. 117/1D,117/2,117/3,117/4A,117/4B,117/1C, 117/5, 118/1A, 118/1B, 118/2, 118/3, 118/4, 118/5A, 118/5B,118/6,118/7, 118/8, 118/9 at Natham Village, Ponneri Taluk, S.F.No 12/1,2,3,4,5&6,13,14,17,142/1B,142/2,143,144/1A2, 144/1B3B, 144/2,144/3, 144/4, 144/5, 144/6A, 151/1A, 151/1B1, 151/1B2, 151/2B, 151/3, 152, 153/1, 153/2, 154/1, 154/2, 158/2B,158/3A2 at Manjakaranai Village, Uthukottai Taluk, S.No 327/1A, 327/1B, 327/2B at Bandikavnur Village, Ponneri Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS) - For Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/MIS/154023/2020, dated 29.09.2020)

The proposal was placed in this 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, M/s. Vels Institute Of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies has applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Construction of Vels Medical College and Hospital at S.F.No.117/1D, 117/2, 117/3, 117/4A, 117/4B, 117/1C, 117/5, 118/1A, 118/1B, 118/2, 118/3, 118/4, 118/5A, 118/5B, 118/6, 118/7, 118/8, 118/9 at Natham Village, Ponneri Taluk, S.F.No 12/1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6, 13, 14, 17, 142/1B, 142/2, 143, 144/1A2, 144/1B3B, 144/2, 144/3, 144/4, 144/5, 144/6A, 151/1A, 151/1B1, 151/1B2, 151/2B, 151/3, 152, 153/1, 153/2, 154/1, 154/2, 158/2B, 158/3A2 at Manjakaranai Village, Uthukottai Taluk, S.No 327/1A, 327/1B, 327/2B at Bandikavnur Village, Ponneri Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu.

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 8(a) "Building and Construction projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
3. The Project proposal consists of a 700 - bed hospital, Medical College, Nursing College, hostels and staff quarters in 21 blocks. Maximum floor height of 20.18m

Based on the documents furnished and the presentation made by the proponent, the SEAC decided to direct the project proponent to furnish the following details;

1. There are two lakes (Eri) flowing very close to the proposed project site and the site is nearby the agricultural area. Hence impact due to the proposed construction area on the inflow and outflow from the lakes needs to be studied and reported.
2. The Land use reclassification permission should be obtained from the District Collector and shall furnish the NOC/Permission obtained from the competent authority for Wet land.
3. The HT line is passing through site. Hence the project proponent shall providesafety distance on either side of the HT line without any activity as per the TNEB guidelines. Hence the proponent is directed to earmark the HT line and safety distance to be provided for the HT Line in the layout.
4. The planning permission obtained from DTCP vide letter dated 19.05.2020 for the built-up area of 54360 Sq.m. Hence, the proponent shall submit the revised application to DTCP for the proposed built-up area mentioned in this application for Environmental Clearance.
5. The Proponent proposed to pump out the 430 kLD of the water from Bore well. Whereas there are Agricultural activity nearby the proposed site. Hence impact in the Agricultural area/ tank/Eri needs to be studied and report shall be submitted.
6. Proponent shall submit the design details of STP & ETP.
7. The proponent shall submit the proposal for the Bio methanation plant for the disposal of Biodegradable waste to be generated.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

8. The height of the stacks of DG sets shall be calculated and provided as per the CPCB norms.
9. Rainwater harvesting proposed is not in order and revise the same as per the guidelines.
10. Design of the Storm water drainage need to be revised in such way to drain the water during the heavy rain.
11. The project proponent shall submit the analysis of the AAQ/ANL survey conducted at the site
12. The proponent shall furnish the detailed proposal for CER as per the office memorandum of MoEF&CC dated 01.05.2018.

On receipt of the above details, the SEAC decided to take further course of action on the proposal.

Agenda No: 182-09

File No: 6771/2019

Proposed Residential Development with Allied Amenities by M/s. Voora property Developers Private Limited at R.S.No.4061/4 of Block No. 78 old S.Nos. 3761, 3762 & 3763 of Tondiarpet Village, Tondiarpet Taluk, Chennai District, Tamil Nadu –For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIS/101147/2019, dated: 05.04.2019)

The proposal was placed in the 129th SEAC Meeting held on 17.05.2019. The Project proponent gave detailed presentation. The salient features of the project and the environmental impact assessment as presented by the proponent are as follows:

1. The project site attracts the provisions of CRZ-II as per the CRZ Notification 2019. Accordingly, the project was appraised in TNSCZMA and CRZ clearance has been obtained vide TNCZMA Proc. No. PI/211/2019 dated 08.03.2019.
2. Proposed Residential Development with Allied Amenities in a Plot Area of 7, 097.09 Sq.m. Total Built up area of 25,774.28 Sq.m. with configuration Common Basement +common Ground Floor + common First floor and Two Towers of 25 Floors each (12-27 floors).

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

3. The project estimates 1025 total population inclusive of the residential units and supporting staff & visitors.
4. The freshwater requirement will be 70 kLD for domestic purposes. The Total water requirement during operation phase shall be met through CMWSSB supply as the project falls within CMWSSB Zone- IV. The sewage generated will be 97kLD. The STP designed for capacity of 100 kLD. 92kLD Treated sewage will be subjected to tertiary treatment. 45kLD will be reused within the project for flushing 41KLD and gardening 4kLD and excess treated sewage of 47kLD will be disposed through existing sewer after tertiary treatment.
5. Solid waste generation is projected as 359 Kg/day of Bio Degradable waste, which shall be treated through Bio-Methanation plant adopting BARC – Nisargruna Technology, 246 Kg/day of non-Bio degradable waste which will be disposed through Authorized recyclers and STP Sludge of 5kg/day will be used for Manure for in house gardening?
6. The area for vehicle car parking [including basement area] is 5446.53 Sq.m; and green belt area will be 1077.345q.m which is 15.18% of the total plot area.
7. The power requirement 2MW shall be sourced through TANGEDCO with a 100 % power back up through 2 Nos. of 500 KVA DG sets. DG Exhausts will be routed through a common scrubber and will be vented at 2 mts above the building height of 84.35 mts to ensure that emission complies with TNPCB norms.
8. Roof top runoff directed to 3 no. of rainwater sump of capacity 65 KL thus keeping a storage capacity for three days of fresh water requirement. Surface runoff is diverted to percolation trench with 1000 mm wide 1000 mm deep all along the site boundary.

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

9. Rs.117 Lakhs are allocated for environmental management plan during construction phase and Rs.190 Lakhs capital cost towards EMP for operational phase and Rs.46 Lakhs per annum being the cost of operation.
10. The project cost as reported is INR 40 Crores.

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, M/s.Voora Property Developers Private Limited has applied for EC to SEIAA-TN for the proposed Residential Development with Allied Amenities at R.S.No. 4061/4 of Block No. 78 Old 5.Nos. 3761, 3762 &, 3763 of Tondiarpet Village, Tondiarpet Taluk. Chennai District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B" of Item 8(a) "Building and Construction projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

The proposal was placed in the meeting. The SEAC has requested the following details stating that on receipt of the above details, the proponent has to present again before the SEAC.

1. The SEAC decided that the presentation made by the project proponent was not clear in respect of water balances and solid waste disposal. Further, the proponent has failed to produce the photograph of the proposed site and its surrounding features.
2. Hence, it is requested that the project proponent may revise the water balance and solid waste calculation as per the MoEF&CC guidelines for building and construction projects and also request to produce the photograph of the project site.

On receipt of the above details, the proposal was again placed in the 130th SEAC Meeting held on 10.06.2019. The project proponent has revised the water balance and solid waste calculation as per the MoEF&CC guidelines for building and construction projects and also presented the photographs of the project site before the committee.

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the committee instructed the project proponent to furnish the following details:

1. The project site lies very close to the Buckingham canal (at 2 km), & Bay of Bengal (at 80 m). There is a great possibility of the project site getting flooded during heavy monsoons. The proponent should take all structural measures to ensure the safety of the building and safe living for the residents. The proponent should obtain flood and inundation certificate with recommendation of PWD considering the 2015 flood.
2. The structural stability analysis for the proposed project may be carried out from the reputed Government institutions like Anna University, IIT, NIT. Etc shall be furnished.
3. Detail of Evacuation plan during emergency shall be submitted.
4. Copy of the village map. FMB sketch and "A" register shall be furnished.
5. The Proponent has to earmark the greenbelt area with dimension and GPS coordinates.
6. The project consists of Common Basement +common 6round Floor + common First floor and Two Towers of 25 Floors each [3-27 floors]. The project Proponent is requested to submit the activity of the building floor wise for Common Basement + common Ground Floor + common First floor. No clear details about these facilities is provided.
7. Proposal for CER shall be furnished as per MOEF & CC OM dated: 01.05.2018.

Based on the details submitted by the proponent for S.No. 1 to 7 stated above, the SEAC would further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

The project proponent has submitted the above detail on 17.07.2019. A petition received from Thiru. M.R. Thiyagarajan, President Meenava Thanthai K.R. Selvarajkumar Meenavar Nala Sangam vide in his letter dated 09.09.2019 received by

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

SEIAA on 14.09.2019 informing that the builder has started the work without submitting the above details as sought for by the SEAC and initiation of work without obtaining mandatory Environment Clearance under the EIA notification is illegal and as against the Provisions of law'

The above details were placed in the 136th SEAC meeting held on 20.09.2019 After detail deliberation, the SEAC decided to make an on the spot inspection to assess the present status of the project by the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC to learnt the actual status of the Project.

In the meantime the petitioners, Thiru.M.R.Thiyagarajan, President of Meenavar Thanthai, Thiru K.R. Selvaraj Kumar, Meenava Nala Sangam, filed a petition before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, Southern Zone, Chennai stating that the proponent of M/s. Voora Property Developers Pvt Ltd., is proceeding with the construction without getting Environmental Clearance and requested the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal Southern Zone, Chennai to initiate appropriate action against the proponent.

The Hon'ble National Green Tribunal (SZ), Chennai vide order dated 16/01/2020 in original Application No. 49 of 2019 (SZ) in the matter of Meenava Thanthai, K.R.Selvaraj Kumar, Meenavar Nala Sangam Rep. by its president, M.R. Thiyagarajan versus four respondents including The chairman, Tamil Nadu state Expert Appraisal committee was placed in the 143rd SEAC Meeting held on 03.02.2020

The Hon'ble NGT(SZ) order dated: 16.01.2020 has order the following,

“----under such circumstances, we feel it appropriate to dispose of the matter by issuing the following directions:

1. The fourth respondent is restrained from proceeding with further construction in the disputed land where the project is being to be implemented by the fourth respondent without getting Environmental clearance and other required conditions.

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

2. The respective regulatory authorities are directed to initiate action against the project proponent, the fourth respondent for the violation in accordance with law after giving them reasonable opportunity to meet their case.
3. The committee is directed to assess the environmental compensation for the violation committed by the fourth respondent in the coastal Zone Regulation area at the construction is being done without getting prior Environmental Clearance, though it appears from the report that CRZ clearance has been obtained for that purpose after giving them opportunity to meet their case and take steps to realize the environmental compensation so imposed from the fourth respondent in accordance with law.
4. The committee shall complete this exercise within a period of two months and submit the compliance report as directed by this Tribunal in this order regarding further action taken.
5. With the above directions and observations, the application is disposed of. For consideration of compliance of the report alone post the matter on 07.04.2020”

On perusal of the said order, the SEAC decided to obtain the following from the SEIAA-TN since the subject matter is under sub-judice,

1. Status of credible action against the project proponent under the provision of Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.
2. Assessment report on the environmental compensation for the violation committed by the fourth respondent in the Coastal Zone Regulation area as the construction is being done without Setting Prior Environmental Clearance.

On receipt of the aforesaid details from SEIAA-TN, SEAC would further deliberate on this project.

The subject was placed in the 395th SEIAA meeting held on 11.09.2020. The minutes of the meeting of SEIAA stated as “After detailed discussion the Authority decided to

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

forward this proposal to SEAC with a request to furnish its recommendation taking into account of details in the SEIAA Lr. No. SEIAA-TN/15087-2/2020 dated: 05.09.2020 already sent to Chairman SEAC”.

Based on the minutes of the above said minutes of the SEIAA meeting, the proposal was placed in 177th SEAC held on 26.09.2020. After the detailed discussion the SEAC decided to inform that the followings to SEIAA,

- i. The reply for the letter from Member secretary of SEAA vides SEIAA Lr. No. SEIAA-TN/15087-2/2020 dated: 05.09.2020 has already communicated from SEAC to Member secretary, SEIAA-TN vide letter dated 17.09.2020.
- ii. The court case against this project for violation is pending at the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal (SZ), Chennai in original Application No. 49 of 2019 (SZ) in the matter of Meenava Thanthai, K.R.Selvaraj Kumar, Meenavar Nala Sangam Rep. by its president, M.R. Thiyagarajan versus four respondents .
- iii. As per the records submitted to the SEAC, it is noted that the proposal is not filed under violation window period or prior for apprise the proposal under violation as per MoEF&CC Notification.
- iv. The minutes of the meeting of 395th SEIAA meeting communicated to the SEAC is not giving clear instructions/directions to the SEAC since the subject matter is under sub- judice.

In the view of above, the SEAC is requested the SEIAA to look into the above points and give the clear instructions/clarifications to the SEAC, after considering all the MoEF&CC guidelines and other legal issues.

On receipt of the above clarification from SEIAA, the SEAC shall take further course of action in this proposal.

The proposal was placed in the 404th Authority meeting held on 14.10.2020. After detailed discussion, the Authority decided to inform the SEAC as follows.

1. The orders dated 16.01.2020, 22.07.2020, 22.09.2020 & 06.10.2020 regarding the court case against this project in the Hon'ble National Green

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

Tribunal (SZ), Chennai in original Application No. 49 of 2019 (SZ) and may be pursued which is self-explanatory for processing of application.

2. The Authority also unanimously decided to inform SEAC that there are no provisions in the EIA Notification 2006 as amended for giving clear instructions/directions to SEAC for processing of application. SEAC being a Technical Committee can process application as per the provisions of EIA Notification 2006 as amended and Office Memorandums issued by MoEF&CC as is being done for all other applications. The proposal of Proposed Residential Development with Allied Amenities by M/s. Voora Property Developers Private Limited at R.5.No. 4061/4 of Block No. 78 Old S.Nos. 3761,3762 & 3763 of Tondiarpet Village, Tondiarpet Taluk, Chennai District may be appraised in accordance with the MoEF&CC Notification 2006 & subsequent amendments, Office memorandum and circulars.

In view of the above, the Authority decided to refer back the proposal to SEAC with a request to appraise the proposal in accordance with the MoEF&CC Notification 2006 & subsequent amendments, Office memorandum and circulars & to furnish the recommendations to SEIAA.

Based on the minutes of the above said minutes of the SEIAA meeting, the proposal was placed in 182nd SEAC held on 17.10.2020.

The SEAC appraised the proposal and based on the committee report in original Application No. 49 of 2019 (SZ), the SEAC are given to understand that the proponent has paid the compensation amount for the constructed activity as per the Hon'ble NGT (SZ) order dated 06.10.2020 in original Application No. 49 of 2019 (SZ).

Hence, SEAC decided to technically recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the following conditions in addition to standard conditions stipulated by the MoEF&CC:

1. The remittance of the Environmental Compensation paid to TNPCB shall be

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

verified before placing the subject to SEIAA.

2. The project proponent shall furnish the details of STP & GWTP along with component details before placing the subject to SEIAA
3. The project proponent shall provide Sewage treatment plant & Grey water treatment system as reported and continuously operate and maintain Sewage treatment plant & Grey water treatment system to achieve the standards prescribed by the TNPCB/CPCB.
4. The project proponent shall obtain necessary permission from the competent authority for disposal of the treated sewage waste water before obtaining consent from TNPCB.
5. The proponent shall treat the Bio-degradable waste through Bio Methanation plant & Non-Biodegradable waste to be disposed to Authorized Recyclers. Hence, the project proponent shall submit the proposal for the Solid waste management before placing the subject to SEIAA
6. The Proponent shall provide the dispenser for the disposal of Sanitary Napkins.
7. All the mitigation measures committed by the proponent for the flood management, Evacuation plan, Solid waste disposal, Sewage treatment & disposal etc., shall be followed strictly.
8. Solar energy should be at least 10% of total energy utilization. Application of solar energy should be utilized maximum for illumination of common areas, street lighting etc.
9. The project proponent shall provide entry and exit points for the OSR area, Community Hall, Sports facility as per the norms for the public usage.
10. The height of the stacks of DG sets shall be provided as per the CPCB norms.
11. The proponent shall develop the greenbelt as per the layout submitted to the SEIAA -TN with the details of earmarked greenbelt area with dimension and GPS coordinate for the green belt area all along the boundary of the project site and the same shall be included in the layout out plan to be submitted for

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

CMDA/DTCP approval as agreed.

12. No waste of any type to be disposed off in any other way other than the approved one.
13. The project proponent shall submit the CER proposal as per the office memorandum of MoEF&CC dated 01.05.2018 before placing the subject to SEIAA.
14. The Project Proponent shall comply the conditions stipulated in the CRZ clearance obtained vide TNCZMA Proc.No. P1/211/2019 dated 08.03.2019
15. The Project Proponent shall adhere to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT (SZ) order in original Application No. 49 of 2019 (SZ).
16. Any violation and suitable action for the same may be decided by SEIAA, as deemed appropriate.

Agenda No. 182-10

(File No.7079/2019)

The Construction of a medical college and research institution buildings at S.F.Nos. 502/1, 2, 503, 504, 506/1, 2, 524/1,2, 525, 526/1, 2, 527/1, 2, 528/1, 2, 529/2, 3, 4, 530/1, 2, 531/1, 2, 532/2, 3, 533/1, 2, 534, 535/2, 536/1,2, 537, 538 , 543 of Chennathur Village and S.F.Nos. 4/1, 5/2B, 278, 279, 280, 281, 295/3A1, 3A2, 3A3, 3B1, 3B2, 3B3, 302/3 of Mornaplli Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District Tamil Nadu by M/s. St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research Development- For Environmental Clearance – Under Violation

(SIA/TN/MIS/56964/2017) dated 24.09.2020

The proposal was placed in this 179th SEAC Meeting held on 03.10.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**

**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

1. The Proponent, M/s. St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research Development have applied for Environmental Clearance for the Construction of a medical college and research institution buildings at S.F.Nos. 502/1, 2, 503, 504, 506/1, 2, 524/1,2, 525, 526/1, 2, 527/1, 2, 528/1, 2, 529/2, 3, 4, 530/1, 2, 531/1, 2, 532/2, 3, 533/1, 2, 534, 535/2, 536/1,2, 537, 538 , 543 of Chennathur Village and S.F.Nos. 4/1, 5/2B, 278, 279, 280, 281, 295/3A1, 3A2, 3A3, 3B1, 3B2, 3B3, 302/3 of Mornaplli Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District Tamil Nadu with total built up area 3,38,145.16 Sq.m.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 8 (b) Townships and area development projects of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
3. The project proponent online application submitted for Terms of Reference to MoEF& CC dated on 13.09.2017.
4. The application transferred to SEIAA-TN online portal on 28.03.2018 and submitted hardcopy application to SEIAA-TN for Terms of Reference on 29.08.2019.
5. The subject was placed in the 167th SEAC meeting held on 04.08.2020. The SEAC decided to recommend the Terms of Reference in 3 Parts for the project Ecological Damage, Remediation Plan and Natural& Community resources augmentation plan.
6. The proposal was placed in the 387th SEIAA meeting held on 06.08.2020. The authority issued Terms of Reference vide Lr.No.SEIAATN/ F.No.7079/ Violation/ ToR-737/ 2020 dated: 06.08.2020.
7. The proponent submitted EIA application to SEIAA-TN on 29.09.2020.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided to make an on - the - spot inspection to assess the present status of the site by the subcommittee constituted by the SEAC since the project falls under the violation category. Based on the inspection report, SEAC would further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

In the 152nd SEAC meeting held on 23.05.2020, it was discussed in detail about the clearance of pending proposals by the SEAC at this pandemic situation (COVID -19),

After analysing difference options, the SEAC taken a unanimous decision that the Concern District Environmental Engineer (DEE) of Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board (TNPCB) shall be requested to carry out the site inspection and furnish the inspection report about queries raised and the present status of the project, since the TNPCB is the monitoring agency for the category “B” Projects. This arrangement is only for this critical pandemic situation (COVID-19) period and this practice is not applicable for the violation cases, as per rules.

In this connection, a letter has been addressed to the TNPCB vide Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No. SEAC/2020/dated 05.10.2020 with a request that the necessary orders may be issued to the concern DEE of TNPCB to carry out the inspection procedure instead of Sub- Committee of the SEAC.

Based on the above, DEE Hosur has inspected the site on 12.10.2020 and submitted the report vide IR.No.F.NA.155/HSR/DEE/TNPCB/HSR/RL/2020 dated 12.10.2020 and reported as follows;

- i. The unit has only started the Hospital activities provided with 383 Nos of beds to the inpatients and the Hospital presently providing medical services to the Out Patients in the average range between 450 Nos., to 750 Nos., per day.
- ii. The unit has provided the required equipment/facilities for medical services to the patients.
- iii. The unit authority has stated that medical service activities was carried out to the “In Patients and Out Patients” for the past One Year.
- iv. The construction details for the Medical College, Hospital, Female & Male Residents Quarters, Teaching & Non – Teaching Quarters, Nurse Quarters, Boys & Girls Hostel, Oxygen Plant, Mortuary and Autopsy Hall, Laundry

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

Block, Power House, Work Shop and Security Room details as reported by the Hospital authority are given below.

S.No	Block Name	Group & Type of occupancy	Proposed Height (Meter)	Proposed No of Floors	Total Proposed Built up Area (Sq.m)	Total Floors Completed as on date	Total Completed Build up Area as on date (Sq.m)
1	MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL	C: Institutional	29.25 m	B+G+7	56869.48	B+G+2	26814.67
2	RESIDENTS QUARTERS BLOCK FEMALE	A: Residential	15.45 m	G+3	2987.63	G+1	1457.14
3	TEACHING STAFF QUARTERS	A: Residential	33.95 m	G+9	6010.64	G+3	2378.28
4	NON TEACHING STAFF QUARTERS	A: Residential	33.95 m	G+9	4710.56	G+3	1862.64
5	NURSE QUARTERS BLOCK-A	A: Residential	33.80 m	G+9	5171.82	G+3	2049
6	NURSE QUARTERS BLOCK-B	A: Residential	33.80 m	G+9	5171.82	G+3	2049
7	MEDICAL ACADEMIC BLOCK	A: Educational	23.70 m	G+4	28816.23	G+1	11708.85
8	MEDICAL BOYS HOSTEL BLOCK	A: Residential	23.15 m	G+5	8399.7	G+2	4207.23
9	MEDICAL GIRLS HOSTEL BLOCK	A: Residential	23.15 m	G+5	8399.7	G+1	2845.29

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

10	OXYGEN PLANT	G: Industrial	5.03 m	G	98.69	G	98.69
11	MORTUARY AND AUTOPSY HALL	A: Educational	5.09 m	G	420.29	G	420.29
12	LAUNDRY BLOCK	A: Commercial	5.03 m	G	420.29	G	420.29
13	POWER HOUSE	G: Industrial	4.26 m	G	146.59	G	146.59
14	WORK SHOPS	G: Industrial	5.03 m	G	452.45	G	452.45
15	SECURITY ROOM	G: Industrial	5.03 m	G	92.48	G	92.48
TOTAL AREA:					128168.37 Sq.Mt		57002.89 Sq. Mt

- v. The unit has provided four major operation theatres and two minor operation theatres.
- vi. The unit has provided three Bore Wells for withdrawal of ground water available within the campus for total consumption of 587 KLD.
- vii. The unit has now generated the Sewage of about 200 KLD from the HOSPITAL and treating the Sewage with Septic Tank and Soak Pit arrangement.
- viii. The unit has also provided Collection Cum Disinfection Tank for the treatment of trade effluent generated from the Hospital and disposed into the Septic Tank.
- ix. The unit has not provided the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) and Effluent Treatment Plant (ETP), however it was observed that the earth work is completed for the installation of STP and ETP.
- x. The unit has provided three nos. of D.G Sets such as 250 KVA, 125 KVA & 62.5 KVA.
- xi. The unit has developed the Green Belt and Planted 4000 Trees within the premises.
- xii. Management of Medical College has stated that the admission of students and medical college activities to be initiated after two years of medical services as

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

per the instruction of medical council. At present the Hospital only is providing medical services to the public.

- xiii. The unit has executed the agreement made with the M/s. Ramkey Energy and Environment Ltd., Thangaiyur, Edapadi Taluk, Salem District on 01.09.2020 for the disposal of Bio Medical Waste generated from the Hospital having Bed capacity of 350 Nos. From the log sheet furnished by the Hospital authority for the period from 03.09.2020 to 30.09.2020 it is observed that an average quantity of 15 Kg of Bio Medical Wastes was disposed to CBMWTF.

The inspection report was placed in 182nd SEAC held on 17.10.2020. Based on the inspection report and the violation notifications issued by the MoEF&CC dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018, SEAC classified the level of damages caused by the Project Proponent on the environment based on the following criteria:

1. Low level Ecological damage:

- a. Only procedural violations (started the construction at the site without obtaining EC)

2. Medium level Ecological damage:

- a. Procedural violations (started the construction at the site without obtaining EC)
b. Infrastructural violation such as deviation from CMDA/local body approval.
c. Non operation of the project (not occupied).

3. High level Ecological damage:

- a. Procedural violations (started the construction at site without obtaining EC)
b. Infrastructural violation such as deviation from CMDA/local body approval.
c. Under Operation (occupied).

As per the OM of MoEF& CC dated: 01.05.2018, SEAC deliberated the fund allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility shall be to a maximum of 2% of the project cost.

In view of the above and based on the inspection report & the Ecological damage, remediation plan and natural & community resource augmentation plan furnished by

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

the projectproponent, the SEAC decided the fund allocation for Ecological remediation, natural resource augmentation & community resource augmentation and penalty by following the below mentioned criteria, as per category 3, stated above.

Level of damages	Ecological remediation cost (% of project cost)	natural resource augmentati on cost (% of project cost)	community resource augmentati on cost (% of project cost)	CER (% of project cost)	Total (% of project cost)
Low level Ecological damage	0.25	0.10	0.15	0.25	0.75
Medium level Ecological damage	0.35	0.15	0.25	0.5	1.25
High level Ecological damage	0.50	0.20	0.30	1.00	2.00

The project cost of this proposal submitted in the EIA report under violation is Rs.69447.78 lakhs.

The Committee observed that the project ofConstruction of a medical college and research institution buildings at S.F.Nos. 502/1, 2, 503, 504, 506/1, 2, 524/1,2, 525, 526/1, 2, 527/1, 2, 528/1, 2, 529/2, 3, 4, 530/1, 2, 531/1, 2, 532/2, 3, 533/1, 2, 534, 535/2, 536/1,2, 537, 538 , 543 of Chennathur Village and S.F.Nos. 4/1, 5/2B, 278, 279, 280, 281, 295/3A1, 3A2, 3A3, 3B1, 3B2, 3B3, 302/3 of Mornaplli Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District Tamil Nadu by M/s. St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research Development for Environmental Clearance under violation comes

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**

**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

under the “High level Ecological damage category”. The Committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of EC subject to the following conditions in addition to the normal conditions:

1. The amount prescribed for Ecological remediation (Rs. 347.24 lakhs), natural resource augmentation (Rs. 138.90 lakhs) & community resource augmentation (Rs. 208.34 lakhs), totaling Rs. 694.48 lakhs. Hence the SEAC decided to direct the project proponent to remit the amount of Rs. 694.48 Lakhs in the form of bank guarantee to Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board and submit the acknowledgement of the same to SEIAA-TN. The funds shall be utilized for the remediation plan, Natural resource augmentation plan & Community resource augmentation plan as indicated in the EIA/EMP report.
2. The project proponent shall carry out the works assigned under ecological damage, natural resource augmentation and community resource augmentation within a period of six months. If not, the bank guarantee will be forfeited to TNPCB without further notice.
3. The amount committed by the Project proponent for CER (Rs. 694.48 Lakhs) shall be remitted in the form of DD to the beneficiary for the activities committed by the proponent. A copy of receipt from the beneficiary shall be submitted to SEIAA-TN.
4. The Project proponent shall operate the STP effectively and continuously so as to achieve standards prescribed by the TNPCB for treated sewage.
5. The Project proponent shall operate the ETP for the treatment and disposal of effluent effectively and continuously so as to achieve standards prescribed by the TNPCB for treated effluent.
6. The Project proponent shall utilize the treated sewage for the development of green belt and toilet flushing after achieving the standards prescribed by the TNPCB.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

7. The project Proponent shall operate Bio Methanation plant efficiently and continuously for the disposal of the Organic waste generated from the campus and collecting non-bio degradable waste regularly and disposal through TNPCB authorized recycler
8. The Projectproponent shall continuously collect the Biomedical waste andthesame should be disposed through the Common TSDF for Biomedical waste disposal as per the Bio Medical waste management Rules 2016.
9. The Projectproponent shall collect &dispose the hazardous waste through TNPCBAuthorized vendors/recyclers as per the Hazardous and other wastes (Movement and Transboundary Movement), Rules 2016.
10. The Projectproponent shall collect and dispose the E-Waste through TNPCB Authorized vendors/recycler as per the E-Waste Management Rules 2016.
11. Necessary permission shall be obtained from the competent authority for the drawl/outsourcing of fresh water before obtaining consent from TNPCB.
12. All the mitigation measures committed by the project proponent for the flood management, Solid waste disposal, Sewage treatment & disposal etc., shall be followed strictly.
13. Tapping of solar energy should be at least 10% of total energy consumption Solar energy usage mainly for the illumination of common areas, street lighting etc.,
14. The project proponent shall provide separate standby D.G set for the STP proposed for the continuous operation of the STP in case of power failure.
15. Waste of any type not to be disposed of in any water bodies including drains, canals and the surrounding environment.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

16. The project proponent shall submit the proof for the action taken by the state Government/TNPCB against project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 as per the EIA Notification dated: 14.03.2017 and amended 08.03.2018.

As per the MoEF& CC Notification, S.O.1030 (E) dated:08.03.2018, "The project proponent shall submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan with the State Pollution Control Board and the quantification will be recommended by the Expert Appraisal Committee for category A projects or by the State or Union territory level Expert Appraisal Committee for category B projects, as the case may be, and finalized by the concerned Regulatory Authority, and the bank guarantee shall be deposited.

Any violations and subsequent suitable action may be decided by SEIA, as deemed appropriate, if arise.

Agenda No. 182-11

(File No. 7653/2020)

Proposed Sand quarry lease over an extent of 4.90.0 ha in S.F.Nos 294(P) of Nanjai Edayar Village, Mohanur Taluk, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. The Executive Engineer, PWD/WRD, Mining and Monitoring Division- For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIN/154781/2020, dated: 28.05.2020)

The proposal was placed in this 172nd SEAC Meeting held on 05.09.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are available in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, M/s. The Executive Engineer, PWD/WRD, Mining and Monitoring Division has applied for Environmental Clearance for the Sand quarry lease over an extent of 4.90.0 ha in S.F.Nos 294/(P) of Nanjai Edayar Village, Mohanur Taluk, Namakkal District, TamilNadu.

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining of Mineral Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided to make an on - the - spot inspection to assess the present status of the site by the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC.

In the 152nd SEAC meeting held on 23.05.2020, it was discussed in detail about the clearance of pending proposals by the SEAC at this pandemic situation (COVID -19), After analyzing different options, the SEAC taken a unanimous decision that the Concern District Environmental Engineer (DEE) of Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board (TNPCB) shall be requested to carry out the site inspection and furnish the inspection report about queries raised and the present status of the project, since the TNPCB is the monitoring agency for the category "B" Projects. This arrangement is only for this critical pandemic situation (COVID-19) period and this practice is not applicable for the violation cases, as per rules.

In this connection, a letter has been addressed to the TNPCB vide Lr.No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.SEAC/2020/dated 15.09.2020 with a request that the necessary orders may be issued to the concern DEE of TNPCB to carry out the inspection procedure instead of Sub- Committee of the SEAC.

Based on the above, DEE Namakkal has inspected the site on 01.10.2020 and submitted the report vide Lr.No.T2/TNPCB/F.19414/NML/Inspection report/2020 dated 15.10.2020 and reported as follows;

The Inspection report was placed in 182nd SEAC held on 17.10.2020. After detailed deliberations, the committee decided to recommend the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions;

1. The distance of the sand mining should commence from at a distance of 500 meter from the solid apron with proposed area mining. The proponent shall fix flag posts at boundaries for the proposed mining area covering an extent of 4.90.0 Ha. There should be no deviation/ violation with respect to the area

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

demarcated for quarrying.

2. The proposed area of sand mining should start from 500m downstream of the irrigation structure.
3. The river bund needs to be protected during mining and transportation activities.
4. The dust emanating during transportation activity need to be controlled due to unpaved road conditions as a result of re-suspension of dust arising in and around the site.
5. Necessary caution needs to be taken regarding environment and ecological damage and protection as per the norms.
6. The depth of sand quarrying shall be restricted to 1m from the theoretical bed level.
7. To prevent dust pollution, suitable working methodology needs to be adopted taking wind direction into consideration.
8. At no cost the impact of sand mining should interfere with the habitation and cultivation in the nearby area along the river bed.
9. The mining area must be demarcated leaving atleast 50m from the river embankment on either side.
10. Contouring of the river bed has to be taken to ascertain the relative levels of sand in the river and also to suggest the depth of sand mining.
11. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards are to be engaged during the entire period of mining operation.
12. Wherever irrigation channels take off from the river within the boundary of the mining project, the mining operation should not affect the flow of water in the irrigation channels.
13. The entire sand mining operation should be as per the guidelines for sustainable sand mining issued in 2016 by the MoEF& CC, GOI, New Delhi.
14. The entire sand mining operation should be as per the guidelines for Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining issued in 2020 by the MoEF& CC, GOI, New Delhi.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

15. Around all the sand mining projects agricultural activities are seen. In addition, the surroundings present thick greeneries. The mining operation should not affect the greeneries and the agricultural activities.
16. The approach road and loading of the sand in the vehicles, movement of the vehicle should be planned and implemented in such a way that there is no noise and dust pollution in the nearby habitation. We recommend that PWD should maintain at least a safe distance of 300m from the habitations while planning the approach road and the loading operation. Wherever necessary and near the habitation in particular dust suppression measures to be adopted. While the loaded vehicle moves on the road that should be fully covered with tarpaulin.
17. The mining operation should be above the ground water table.
18. The conditions stipulated above need to be monitored and reviewed on fortnightly basis by the Taluk Level Task Force headed by Tahsildhar. At least two representatives from reputed research organizations like NIT, Trichy, Anna University department, Trichy, Annamalai University and Bharathidasan University should be included in the task force.
19. Adequate statutory manpower to be deployed for complying with the provisions as per Mines Safety Regulations (MCDR, 2017 & MMR, 1961).
20. All the condition imposed by the Assistant Director, Geology of Mining, Namakkal & District Collector, Namakkal in the precise area communication vide Rc.No.834/Mines/2019 dated 21.11.2019 should be strictly followed.
21. As informed by the proponent only manual mining operation along with transportation by bullock carts for Sand mining shall be carried out.
22. The project proponent should fulfil the conditions imposed by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, in the order in W.P.(MD) Nos. 4251, 7960, 14577, 15121, 8655, 13836, 16150, 15343, 11376, 17143 and 17531 of 2017 and connected Miscellaneous Petitions, dated:16.02.2018.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

23. During the sand mining work, appropriate progressive mine closure activities must be implemented to restore the river bed to its original status for ensuring the free flow.
24. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016, M.A.No.920/2016, M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No. 981 /2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
25. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc.
26. The project proponent shall submit the CER proposal as per the MoEF&CC guidelines before placing the subject to SEIAA.

Agenda No. 182-12

(File No. 7654/2020)

Proposed Sand quarry lease over an extent of 4.90.0 ha in S.F.No.380/1(P) of Kumarapalayam Village, Mohanur Taluk, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. The Executive Engineer, PWD/WRD, Mining and Monitoring Division - For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/154774/2020, dated: 28.05.2020)

The proposal was placed in this 172nd SEAC Meeting held on 05.09.2020. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are available in the website (parivesh.nic.in). The SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, M/s. The Executive Engineer, PWD/WRD, Mining and Monitoring Division, has applied for Environmental Clearance for the Sand

**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**



**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

quarry lease over an extent of 4.90.0 ha in S.F.No.380/1(P) of Kumarapalayam Village, Mohanur Taluk, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu.

2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining of Mineral Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided to make an on - the - spot inspection to assess the present status of the site by the sub-committee constituted by the SEAC.

In the 152nd SEAC meeting held on 23.05.2020, it was discussed in detail about the clearance of pending proposals by the SEAC at this pandemic situation (COVID -19), After analysing difference options, the SEAC taken a unanimous decision that the Concern District Environmental Engineer (DEE) of Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board (TNPCB) shall be requested to carry out the site inspection and furnish the inspection report about queries raised and the present status of the project, since the TNPCB is the monitoring agency for the category "B" Projects. This arrangement is only for this critical pandemic situation (COVID-19) period and this practice is not applicable for the violation cases, as per rules.

In this connection, a letter has been addressed to the TNPCB vide Lr.No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.SEAC/2020/dated 15.09.2020 with a request that the necessary orders may be issued to the concern DEE of TNPCB to carry out the inspection procedure instead of Sub- Committee of the SEAC.

Based on the above, DEE Namakkal has inspected the site on 01.10.2020 and submitted the report vide Lr.No. T2/TNPCB/F.19414/NML/Inspection report/2020 dated 15.10.2020 and reported as follows;

The Inspection report was placed in 182nd SEAC held on 17.10.2020. After detailed deliberations, the SEAC decided to request the TNPCB to send the details of the frequent complaints which are received from the local public against quarry by the TNPCB as mentioned in the inspection report.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN

On receipt of the above details, the SEAC decided to take further course of action on the proposal.

Table Agenda: 182-TA-01

(File No: 7316/2019)

Proposed establishment of Industrial Park-II at VallamVadagalin an area of 131.73Ha (325.36Acres) by M/s. State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu Limited at S.F.Nos. 237pt, 238, 239pt/1-133, 241pt, 242pt, 243, 247 Pt, 265, 266, 267, 268pt, 269 pt, 270 of Vallam 'A' village & 271, 272 Pt, 275Pt, 276pt, 277pt, 278, 279Pt, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302 in Vallam 'B' Village, Sriperumbudur Taluk, Kancheepuram District- for Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/MIS/55445/2019) dated 10.08.2020

The proposal was placed in the 182nd SEAC Meeting held on 17.10.2020. The project proponent gave a detailed presentation. The details of the project are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Project Proponent, M/s. State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu Limited applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed establishment of VallamVadagal Industrial Park-II an area of 131.73Ha(325.36Acres) at S.F.Nos. Survey No: 237pt, 238, 239pt/1-133, 241pt, 242pt, 243, 247 Pt, 265, 266, 267, 268pt, 269 pt, 270 of Vallam 'A' village & 271, 272 Pt, 275Pt, 276pt, 277pt, 278, 279Pt, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302 in Vallam 'B' Village, Sriperumbudur Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B" of Item 8(b) "Township and area development projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 (Housing Industries not attracting the EIA Notification).
3. The Terms of Reference issued by SEIAA-TN vide Lr.No.SEIAA-



**Member Secretary
SEAC-TN**

**Chairman
SEAC-TN**

TN/F.No.7316/SEAC/8(b)/ToR-688/2020 dated: 10.02.2020.

4. The project proponent submitted EIA report to SEIAA-TN on 08.10.2020.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the following condition in addition to the normal conditions:

1. The project proponent shall furnish the total lay out plan for the green belt area at 33% of the total area of the project site earmark with dimension and GPS coordinates all along the boundary of the project site before placing the subject to SEIAA.
2. The Proponent shall provide the proposal for the Common STP & ETP along with Zero Liquid discharge management plan and total Solid waste Management before placing the subject to SEIAA.
3. The proposal for CER shall be furnished as per the office memorandum of MoEF& CC dated 01.05.2018 before placing the subject to SEIAA.
4. SIPCOT shall mandate that all the member units shall be commissioned only after securing water supply connection from the competent Authority by SIPCOT and shall be met from TTRO through CMWSSB as committed by SIPCOT.
5. The project proponent shall ensure that the individual member units will not be allowed to carry out manufacturing of products stipulated in G.O. (Ms) No. 84 dated 25/06/2018 on banning of one time use on and throwaway plastics.
6. The proponent should strictly comply with, Tamil Nadu Government Order (Ms) No.84 Environment and forests (EC.2) Department dated 25.06.2018 regarding ban on one time use and throwaway plastics irrespective of thickness with effect from 01.01.2019 under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
7. The project proponent must submit the permissible land use classification certificate obtained from competent authority for the proposed project before obtain the CTE from TNPCCB.
8. The proponent shall obtain the necessary permission/ NOC of water supply from the CMWSSB/competent Authority.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN

Chairman
SEAC-TN

9. The allotted industrial units shall obtain consent from the TNPCB separately for their establishment & operation in this industrial estate.
10. The proponent shall provide Rain Water Harvesting pits so as to recharge the ground water table.
11. Discharge of treated sewage shall conform to the norms & standards prescribed by the Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board.
12. It is the sole responsibility of the proponent that the treated sewage disposed for green belt development/ avenue plantation should not pollute the soil/ ground water/ adjacent canals/ lakes/ ponds, etc.
13. The Developer has to ensure that all the member industries within the Industrial Park shall make their own arrangements to achieve zero discharge of the trade effluents,
14. The project proponent shall ensure that all the member units should treat and dispose solid waste, E-waste & Hazardous waste as per the Solid Waste Management Rule 2016 as amended, E-Waste Management Rules, 2016 & Hazardous and Other waste (Management & Transboundary) Movement Rules, 2016 as amended.
15. There shall be no discharge of effluent outside the Industrial Park at any time.
16. The Developer shall mandate the member units of the Industrial Park to install adequate APC measures/Acoustic to achieve air emissions standards within permissible limits prescribed by the CPCB.
17. The Project Proponent shall adhere to the outcome of the Hon'ble High Court Cases in W.P.No.23008/2010, W.P.No.14253/2012, W.A.No.1739/2017, W.P.15424/2012, W.P.No.17534/2012, W.P.No.17535/2012, W.P.No.37138/2016, W.A.No.1891/2019 & W.P.No.25924/2013.
18. Any violations and subsequent suitable action may be decided by SEIAA, as deemed appropriate, if arise.

The meeting ended up at 800 pm thanking the Chair.

Member Secretary
SEAC-TN



Chairman
SEAC-TN