## Minutes of the

## 221<sup>st</sup> MEETING

## STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY

Date: 19.06.2017

Time: 11.00 AM



## MINUTES OF THE 221<sup>st</sup> MEETING OF THE STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY HELD ON 19.06.2017

| Agenda<br>No. | Description   | File No. | Minutes   |
|---------------|---|----------|---|
| a)            | Confirmation of the minutes of the 220 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Authority held on 16.06.2017  |          | The minutes of the 220 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of the<br>Authority held on 16.06.2017 was<br>confirmed  |
| b)            | The Action taken on the decisions of the 220 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Authority held on 16.06.2017  |          | The Member Secretary tabled the action taken report on the decisions of the 220 <sup>th</sup> meeting and discussed.  |
| 1.            | To consider the extension of validity of the Environmental Clearance and amendment to M/s. TALCO – Dindigul Tanners Enviro Control Systems Pvt. Ltd at S.F.No. 628/1A, 1B. Etc of Pallapatti Village, Dindigul Taluk, Dindigul District.  | 99       | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to issue amendment along with EC extension for 3 years.  |
| 2,,,          | To consider the proposal the grant of modified Environmental Clearance for the proposed Construction of Multi-storied Residential Complex entitled "TVH ENTELECHY" at Survey Numbers 7/80, 7/81 and 8/14 of Adyar Village, Kotturpuram, Mambalam - Guindy Taluk, Chennai District by M/s. True Value Homes India Private Limited. | 6398     | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project subject to the following conditions.  1. D.G. sets should be located away from the boundary of the site so that noise generated during the operation phase shall not result to complaints from the surrounding habitation.  2. The project Proponent has to put up rain water harvesting storage facility of 600 cu.m for harvest and reuse the entire rain water during normal rains. Only when rains are resulting to flood, the excess rain water recovered shall be disposed to recharge pits/wells and further access shall alone be discharged into road side stump.  3. Out of 486 car parking not less than 10 car parks shall be reserved to visitors. |

MEMBER SECRETARY

MEMBER

|    |   |      | <ul> <li>4. Project Proponent shall also support afforestation to the CRZ area atleast to the tune of Rs. 20 Lakhs for the planting activities, through the forest department.</li> <li>5. Storied trees in layers to be planted in groups or clusters within the layoyut.</li> </ul> |
|----|---|------|---|
| 3. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone, Jelly & Gravel Quarrying at S.F.No. 729 (Part-1), Ariyanayagipuram Village, Sankarankovil Taluk, Tirunelveli District by Thiru. S. Arunachalam.        | 5792 | The authority decided to defer the project to the next meeting.   |
| 4. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone, Jelly & Gravel Quarrying at S.F.No. 729 (Part-2), Ariyanayagipuram Village, Sankarankovil Taluk, Tirunelveli District by Thiru. S. Arunachalam.        | 5793 | The authority decided to defer the project to the next meeting.   |
| 5. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone, Jelly & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 301/1A3 (Part) of Mannarkovil Village, Ambasamudram Taluk, Tirunelvlei District by Thiru. S. Sundaramahalingam. | 5794 | The authority decided to defer the project to the next meeting.   |
| 6. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 394/1F, 394/2B & 394/2C of Pinayur Village, Uthiramerur Taluk, Kancheepuram District by Thiru. S. Vinothkumar.         | 4132 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project for the issue of Environmental Clearance.   |
| 7. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 291/1A of Pachapalayam Village, Sulur Taluk, Coimbatore  | 5797 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project for the issue of Environmental Clearance.   |

MEMBER

|     | District by Thiru. R. Palaniappan.  |      |   |
|-----|---|------|---|
| 8.  | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 417 of Pinayur Village, Uthiramerur Taluk, Kancheepuram District by Thiru. S. Ravisundar.  | 4133 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project for the issue of Environmental Clearance.   |
| 9.  | To record the application submitted for the quarrying of Rough Stone in at S.F No. 27/1 of Pangarishikuppam Village, Gudiyatham Taluk, Vellore District by Thiru K. Janarthanan.  | 2670 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to record the application.   |
| 10. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone Quarrying in at S.F No. 58/2 (P) (East) of T.C. Kandigai Village, Tiruttani Taluk, Tiruvallur District by Thiru. S. Sriram.   | 4130 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project for the issue of Environmental Clearance.   |
| 11. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 383/A3A1, 383/A3A3 & 383/A3B of Keeranur Village, Kangeyam Taluk, Tiruppur District by Thiru. P. Velusamy & Thiru. S. N. Samiyappan. | 5617 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to defer the project.  |
| 12. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone Blue Metal & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 210/2, 3, 210/4(P) of Kattukuthakai Karisalkulam Village, Kariyapatti Taluk, Virudhunagar District by Thiru G.P. Panneer. | 2498 | The authority decided to defer the project to the next meeting.   |
| 13. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 242/1E2B of Kurungulam West  | 6233 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to return the application to revise the depth of mining to 2m since the project proponent has proposed 3m depth of mining which is against the |

MEMBER

|     |   |      | Idelines of MacEE Q COulds ONA detect.  |
|-----|---|------|---|
|     | Village, Thanjavur Taluk, Thanjavur District by Thiru. G. Balachandar.  |      | guidelines of MoEF & CC vide OM dated: 24.06.2013 where the depth shall be restricted to 2m.  |
| 14. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 8/2, 8/3, 8/4etc of Prambur Village, Viralimalai Taluk, Pudukottai District by Thiru. U.Murugesan.                 | 5998 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to return the application to revise the depth of mining to 2m since the project proponent has proposed 3m depth of mining which is against the guidelines of MoEF & CC vide OM dated: 24.06.2013 where the depth shall be restricted to 2m.  |
| 15. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 1 PWD Tank of Red Hills Village, Madhavaram Taluk, Tiruvallur District by Thiru. S. Santhosh Kumar.                | 6117 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project for the issue of EC with imposing the following condition.  1. The project proponent shall not carry out excavation during the period where there is standing water in the tank.  2. The mining and relative activity shall not result to any damage to the structure of the water body.  3. No plants/trees shall be removed either from inside or periphery of the water body.  4. Nesting sites of the birds shall not be disturbed during excavation. |
| 16. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone & Gravel Quarrying in at S.F No. 291/1B1B (Part) of Pachapalayam Village, Sulur Taluk, Coimbatore District by Thiru. K. Balakrishnan. | 5542 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to recommend the project for the issue of EC with imposing the following condition.  1. The Project Proponent shall plant not less than 500 trees along the public road/school premises in consultation with the local body.   |
| 17. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone Quarrying in at S.F No. 12/4, 12/5A of Thollamur Village, Vannur Taluk, Villuppuram District by Thiru K. Gnanasekaran.                |      | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to issue Environment Clearance with imposing the following condition.  1. The Project Proponent has to ascertain the Ground water through Scientific method and submit the same to the District Collector before the mining lease is executed.   |

MEMBER

| 18. | To consider the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance for Rough Stone Quarrying in at S.F No. 288 (Patta Land) of Karudayampalayam Village, Aravakurichi Taluk, Karur District by Thiru. P. Kandasamy  | 5578 | Discussed in detail, the Authority decided to defer the project for the want of following particular.  1. The Project Proponent has to provide details on active quarries within 500m radius to ascertain whether the project comes under B1 or B2. |
|-----|--|------|---|
| 19. | To consider the issue of letter to the proponents (whose applications seeking Environmental Clearance (EC) after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization and change in product-mix without prior Environmental Clearance) for whom the EC pending following the MOEF & CC, OM dated:12.12.12, informing about the notification of MOEF & CC dated:14.03.2017             | ***  | The subject was placed before the Authority and decided to take action as per MoEF guidelines.  |
| 20. | To consider the issue of letter to the proponents (whose applications seeking Environmental Clearance (EC) after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization and change in product-mix without prior Environmental Clearance) for whom the EC was already granted following the MOEF & CC , OM dated:12.12.12, informing about the notification of MOEF& CC dated:14.03.2017 | -    | The subject was placed before the Authority and decided to take action as per MoEF guidelines.  |

MEMBER

