

**MINUTES OF THE 106<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE STATE  
ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA)  
KERALA, HELD ON 19<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> & 21<sup>st</sup> January 2021 THROUGH VIDEO  
CONFERENCING.**

**Present:**

- 1. Dr.H.Nagesh Prabhu IFS (Retd), Chairman, SEIAA, Kerala**
- 2. Dr.Usha Titus I.A.S, Principal Secretary, Higher Education & Member Secretary, SEIAA**
- 3. Dr.Jayachandran.K, Member, SEIAA**

**PARIVESH FILES**

**CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSALS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE**

- 1) Application for Environmental Clearance for Ordinary Earth Quarry of Shri.K. H. Shajahan Rawather' over an extent of 2.4517 Ha. Block No. 2, Sy. Nos. 394/1, 1-2, 1-3, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 15-2, 397/1, 3, 4, 14, , 15, 16, 19, 20, 398/5 & 398/9 in Kidangannur Village, Kozhenchery Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala(SIA/KL/MIN/125574/2019, 1584/EC4/2019/SEIAA)**

Authority noticed that Project Proponent had earlier obtained an EC in 2016 for mining 50000 m<sup>3</sup> of ordinary earth from the same locality. It may be ascertained from the proponent whether he has carried out mine closure activities as per the approved mining plan if not the reasons thereby. He should also produce a certificate from the District Geologist to the effect that proponent has not violated EC condition and mined earth has been used for the purposes for which EC was issued.

Project Proponent may be asked to produce a letter from the user agency mentioning the quantity of ordinary earth required for their purpose and the project Proponent shall file an affidavit to the effect that he would not use the excavated earth for the purposes other than what is mentioned in the letter issued by user agency.

- 2) **Application for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Laterite (Building Stone) Quarry in Re-Survey Nos. 56/5-4, 3 of Sreekrishnapuram - II Village, Ottapalam Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala for an extent of 0.0972 Ha by Shri. Sreenath K (SIA/KL/MIN/132613/2019, 1683/EC1/2020/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 114<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> October 2020. The committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of the EC subject to the general conditions.

**The Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to issue EC for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan for a period of one year from the date of issue of permit from Department of Mining and Geology with following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.**

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16<sup>th</sup> January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honorable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*
- 3. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30<sup>th</sup> September 2020, the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal, covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be not less than 1-2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals.*

- 3) **Application for Environmental Clearance for the Granite Building Stone Quarry project in Survey No 326/2-9 in Eramalloor Village, Kothamangalam Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala for an extent of 0.5522 Ha of land by Sri. P.M. Moitheen (Proposal No: SIA/KL/MIN/145890/2020, File No: 1430/EC3/2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup>& 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2020. The Committee directed the proponent to revise and resubmit the application for EC with all necessary documents. The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, November 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation along certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The Committee decided to reject the proposal since area is part of an almost mined out old quarry and the entire material is mined out.

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

- 4) **Application for Environmental Clearance for mining of Ordinary Earth in Re Sy No 294/1 of Elankur Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 0.3844 Ha by Sri. Abdul Samad. (Proposal No. SIA/KL/MIN/146392/2020, File No. 1594/EC4/2020/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03<sup>rd</sup> to 05<sup>th</sup> November 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation along with certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup>, 03<sup>rd</sup>& 07<sup>th</sup> December 2020. The Committee deferred the proposal. The proposal was again placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> December 2020. The Committee decided to reject the proposal for the following reasons:

1. *The purpose of excavation of soil is not satisfactory.*
2. *There is a potential threat to the students and school which is situated very adjacent to the proposed mine area.*

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

- 5) Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Laterite (Building Stone) Quarry in Re-Survey Nos. 550/10-3, 550/10-2 of Ezhukone Village, Kottarakkara Taluk, Kollam District, Kerala for an extent of 0.0809Ha of Shri. Sudarshanan (Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN/148210/ 2020, File No.1694/EC2/2020/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 114<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 6-8 October, 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC, held on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>& 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The Committee decided to reject the proposal for the following reasons:

- 1) Nearest house is 4 m west of the proposed quarry as per survey map certified by the Village Officer, Neduvathoor Village. There is another house 6 m south of project boundary*
- 2) Quarrying activity within close proximity to houses will cause lot of difficulties to the residents.*

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

- 6) Application for Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Re-Survey Nos. 898, 899/1, 899/2, 896/1, 896/2, 897/1 at Kodassery Village, Chalakudy Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri.Arun Baby, M/s Mattthil Mines and Developers (P) Ltd. (Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN/41465/2019, File No.1464/EC2/2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 13<sup>th</sup>& 14<sup>th</sup> January 2020. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain documents/details and the proponent submitted the same. The proposal was placed in the 111<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup> to 04<sup>th</sup> June 2020. The Committee invited the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 112<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 07<sup>th</sup> to 09<sup>th</sup> July 2020. The Committee decided to direct the

proponent to submit certain documents/details. A field inspection was also carried out on 11.10.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain field observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03<sup>rd</sup> to 05<sup>th</sup> November 2020. The Committee discussed the field inspection report and decided to reject the proposal because there is house at 48m from the proposed quarry.

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

- 7) Application for Environmental Clearance for the Granite Building Stone Quarry of M/s. K L Granites”, over an extent of 1.2537 Ha. Re-Survey Block. No:35, Re-Survey. Nos. 368/3, 368/4, 374/3 (Patta Land) & 369/1 (Government land), Pulimath Village, Chirayinkeezhu Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala (SIA/KL/MIN/43756/2019 , 1454/EC1/2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 and 109<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> January & 1<sup>st</sup> February, 2020 for Appraisal..The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain documents/details and the Proponent submitted the same.

The proposal was placed in the 111<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2 - 4, June, 2020. The proponent was invited for a presentation. The proposal was placed in the 112<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> August 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit the certain additional documents/details and the Proponent submitted the same. A field inspection was also carried out on 22.11.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain field observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2nd, 3rd and 7th December, 2020. The Committee decided to reject the proposal since there is no proper access road to the proposed site and found that the narrow access road is passing through

residential areas and having curves making it difficult for material conveyance through the road.

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

- 8) **Environment Clearance for mining lease of "Granite Building Stone Quarry of Shri. P.S. Sebastian' over an extent of 3.3580 Ha. (8.2976 Acres) at Re-Survey Block No. 65, Re-Survey Nos. 170/3, 175/1, 175/1-1, 175/1-2, 175/1-3, 175/2, 173/1, 170/1, 170/2, & 170/4, Teekoy Village, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala State (Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN/44633/2019 File No. 1530/EC2/2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108th Meeting of SEAC, held on 13th& 14th January, 2020. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain documents/details and the proponent submitted the same. The proposal was placed in the 111th meeting of SEAC, held on 2 - 4, June, 2020. The proponent was invited for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 112<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> August 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. A field inspection was also carried out on 15.10.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team. The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, held on 3 – 5, November 2020. The Committee decided to reject the proposal for the following reasons:

- i) Entire project area is in medium hazard zone and only 226 m away from high hazard zone
- ii) The area is susceptible for land slides
- iii) The mining activity will adversely affect natural drainage system of the area

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

**9) Application for Environmental Clearance for Laterite Building Stone Quarry of Mr. Narayanan, over an extent of 0.4532 Ha in Survey No-1/PT2, in Chiranellur Village, Kunnamkulam Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala (Proposal No. SIA/KL/MIN/45742/2019, File No.1510/EC4 /2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 13<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> January 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation with all documents including photographs of the proposed site. The proposal was placed in the 110<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 11<sup>th</sup>& 12<sup>th</sup> February 2020. The Committee directed the proponent to submit consent letter from the house owner adjacent to the proposed quarry. The proposal was placed in the 111<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup> to 04<sup>th</sup> June 2020. A field inspection was also carried out on 24.09.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain field observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03<sup>rd</sup> to 05<sup>th</sup> November 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup>, 03<sup>rd</sup>& 07<sup>th</sup> December 2020. The Committee deferred the proposal. The proposal was again placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> December 2020. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents/details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to reject the proposal for the reason that the nearest house is situated within 48.8m.from the quarrying site.

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

**10) Environmental Clearance for the proposed expansion of Government General Hospital, Kozhikode in survey Nos. TS 4-4127/2, 127/3,131, 133, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 144, 145, 146/2, 147/1, 148, 142, Ward-IV, Kasaba Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District (File No: 1623 /EC4/2019/SEIAA) (SIA/KL/MIN/137528/2020)**

Dr. V. Ummer Farook, Superintendent, Govt. General Hospital, Red Cross Road, Vellayil, Kozhikode vide application dated 14/1/2020 has sought environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of Government General Hospital Kozhikode in survey Nos. TS 4-

4127/2, 127/3, 131, 133, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 144, 145, 146/2, 147/1, 148, 142, Ward-IV, Kasaba Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District. The proposed expansion includes the construction of 7 buildings in the existing campus of Government General Hospital, Kozhikode with total additional built-up area of 26735.13 m<sup>2</sup>. Total project cost is 177.45 crores and expected duration of the project is 36 months.

The proposal was placed in the 111<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup>, 03<sup>rd</sup>& 04<sup>th</sup> June 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 112<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 12<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>& 14<sup>th</sup> August 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. A field inspection was also carried out on 27.9.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain field observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03<sup>rd</sup>, 04<sup>th</sup>& 05<sup>th</sup> November 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents online on 21.12.2020. The proposal was placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup>& 30<sup>th</sup> December 2020 and the Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC subject to certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

**Authority decided to issue EC for 5 years for the proposed expansion which includes the construction of 7 buildings in the existing campus of Government General Hospital, Kozhikode with total additional built-up area of 26735.13 m<sup>2</sup> subject to following specific conditions and general conditions.**

- 1. The Environmental Management Plan and cost required for its implementation during the operation phase shall be revised to the satisfaction of SEAC by re-addressing the following aspects. The progress of implementation of EMP shall be mentioned in the half yearly report.***
  - i. Adequate space allocated for storage of biodegradable and non-biodegradable Waste and the number of composting bins during the operation phase***
  - ii Adequate space allocated for the safe storage of biomedical waste***
  - iii Sequential plan for managing the demolition waste and excavated soil and its utilization.***



- iv      *Substantiated plan for the use of the excess excavated soil of about 6430 m<sup>3</sup> for internal road laying, backfilling and landscaping and handing over of the excess quantity for the nearest public works.*
  - v        *Explore the possibilities of tree planting preferably with suitable medicinal plant tree species like Saracaasoca etc*
  - vi      *Substantiated plan for the parking arrangement without compromising the required open spaces near the hospital buildings.*
  - vii     *Ensure that the depth of the percolation pit (proposed as 3.6 m) is such way that it allows adequate infiltration..*
  - viii    *Design the storm water drain considering the carrying capacity as the quantity of flow increases gradually.*
  - ix      *Adopt Climate responsive design as per Green Building Guidelines in practice .*
  - x        *Exposed roof area and covered parking should be covered with material having high solar reflective index.*
2.    *Building design should cater to the differently-abled citizens*
  3.    *Water efficient plumbing should be adopted*
  4.    *Design of the building should be in compliance to Energy Building Code as applicable.*
  5.    *Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER): As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30<sup>th</sup> September2020, the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal, covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be not less than 1-2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of the activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals.*
  6.    *The proponent shall make all the arrangement for the proper segregation and treatment of biomedical waste by installing suitable on site biomedical waste treatment plants.*
  7.    *Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the*

*form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project  
(Circular No.J-11013/41/2006-IA.II (I) of GoI, MoEF dt.22.09.2008 and Regulation  
of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996)*

## **CONSIDERATION OF TOR PROPOSALS**

- 1) **Terms of Reference for Granite (Building Stone) Quarry with permit of existing quarry extent of 0.8939Ha at survey No. 1065 at Melmuri Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District., Kerala by Mr.Kunhi Muhammed [SIA/KL/MIN/48913/ 2019, 1820/EC6/2020/SEIAA ]**

**Authority noted the ToR approval by SEAC as a part of its appraisal.**

- 2) **Application for Environmental Clearance for Granite building stone quarry project situated in Re. Survey. No.181/1, 181/2, 180/3, 287/1 of Morayur Village Kondotty Taluk Malappuram District, Kerala over an area of 0.9539 Ha by Sri. E.K. Abdurahiman, Managing partner, E.K sands & Granites (Proposal No. SIA/KL/MIN/35093/2019, File No. 1344/EC2/2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 13<sup>th</sup>& 14<sup>th</sup> January 2020. The Committee directed the proponent to furnish certain documents/details.

The proposal was placed in the 111<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup> to 04<sup>th</sup> June 2020. The proponent is invited for presentation. The proponent was directed to apply for a fresh EC along with all the documents submitted earlier (for TOR) including the additional documents sought by SEAC. Accordingly the Proponent applied for EC in PARIVESH vide Proposal No. SIA/KL/MIN/158630/2020 on 19.06.2020.

The proposal was placed in the 112<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> August 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. A field inspection was also carried out on 26.09.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain field observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 115<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 03<sup>rd</sup> to 05<sup>th</sup> November 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 02<sup>nd</sup>, 03<sup>rd</sup>& 07<sup>th</sup> December 2020. The Committee deferred the proposal. The proposal was again placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> December

2020. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC subject to the General Conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent as the part of the appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report and SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER): As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30<sup>th</sup> September 2020, the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal, covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be not less than 1-2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed under CER. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals.*
- 2. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 3. In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
- 4. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16<sup>th</sup> January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The*

*compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

**3) Application for ToR for the Proposed Hospital Project, 'Ahalia Hospital' at Vadakarapathy Village, Chittur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala SIA/KL/NCP/57523/2020, 1827/EC1/2020/SEIAA**

M. R. Dinil, Trustee, Ahalia International Foundation Elippara, Kozhippara P.O Palakkad- 678557, submitted an application for ToR via PARIVESH on 16.10.2020 for the Proposed Hospital Project, 'Ahalia Hospital' in Re-Sy.Nos. 108/1, 110/1, 110/2, 111/1 in Vadakarapathy Village, Chittur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala.

The proposed project site falls within Latitude 10° 47' 49.23" N to Longitude 76° 49' 41.42" E. Total plot area is 52900.507 m<sup>2</sup> (13.072 Acres) and the total construction built-up area is 31722.40 m<sup>2</sup>. Height of the building is 16.875 m. No. of Floors is B+G+3. The cost of the Project is INR 6514.43 Lakhs.

The construction activities of the hospital started in 2009 and were completed and commissioned in 2018. The EIA Notification 2006 was violated in the year 2017 when the threshold of 20000 m<sup>2</sup> was crossed. The built up area constructed in excess of 20000 m<sup>2</sup> is 11722.4 m<sup>2</sup>.

The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2nd, 3rd and 7th December, 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for hearing. The proposal was placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The proponent and consultant were present. The Committee heard the proponent. The Committee expressed the inability to take up the proposal since the date of application is after the window period and hence proposals involving violation cases cannot be considered at the State level.

**Authority decided to reject the proposal as recommended by SEAC and inform the same to the Project Proponent quoting the reason given by SEAC for rejection and Notifications relevant for Violation proceedings.**

**4) Building Stone Mine (Quarry, Minor Mineral Mining) project of M/s Sri Krishnagiri Rock Products Pvt. Ltd. situated at Block No. 39, Re Survey Nos. 283/8, 283/9, 283/10 at Kizhakkenchery 2 Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad**

**District, Kerala for area of 15.9522 hectares. [SIA/KL/MIN/42344/2019 1437/EC1/2019/SEIAA ]**

**Authority noted the ToR approval by SEAC as a part of its appraisal.**

- 5) Application for fresh quarrying permit for operating Granite Building Stone over an extent of 0.9460Ha at Re Sy No 202/2 in Elankur Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala. By Sri.Sainudheen C. K(Designated Partner) YESCO GRANITES LLP [SIA/KL/MIN/46586/2019, 1573/EC3/2019/SEIAA ]**

**Authority noted the ToR approval by SEAC as a part of its appraisal.**

- 6) Proposed Expansion of Hospital Project, “Al-Azhar Medical College and Super Speciality Hospital” at Kumaramangalam Village, Thodupuzha Taluk, Idukki, Kerala (Proposal No: SIA/KL/NCP/55155/2020, File No: 1780/EC3/2020/SEIAA)**

Al-Azhar Medical College, CEO has submitted an application for expansion of Al-Azhar Medical College and Super Specialty Hospital. The project involves increasing bed strength from 650 to 1200 and includes the vertical expansion of 5 existing buildings, construction of a new building for Nurses Hostel and an MLCP and other amenities. Vertical expansion is proposed for Hospital Block, College Block, Boys Hostel, Girls Hostel and Staff Quarters. The amenity proposed includes Mortuary, Canteen, STP, Sump and Pump Room. Total built up area requiring EC for the proposed expansion 85090.77 m<sup>2</sup>

. The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for hearing. The proposal was placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The Committee heard the proponent. The Committee expressed the inability to take up the proposal since the date of application is after the window period and hence proposals involving violation cases cannot be considered at the State level.

**Authority decided to reject the proposal as recommended by SEAC and inform the same to the Project Proponent quoting the reason given by SEAC for rejection and Notifications relevant for Violation proceedings.**

- 7) **Quarry in Survey No. 1019/3, 1019/4 1019/5, 1019/6, 1019/7, 1021/6, 1021/8, 1021/9, 1027P , 1028P, 1029P & 1030P of Panimkulam Village, Thalappily Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala State and extends over an area of 7.8000 Ha Mr. Prince Abraham is the authorized signatory, Southern Rock and Aggregate Mining Company[SIA/KL/MIN/52608/2020, 1764/EC6/2020/SEIAA ]**

Authority noted the ToR approval by SEAC as a part of its appraisal.

- 8) **The proposed building stone quarry project situated at SurveyNo. 91/1-7, 91/1-7-5, 91/1-7- 3, 91/1-2-5, 91/1-4-4, 91/1-3, 91/1-2-2 and 91/1-3-3 of EnanallorvillagMuvattupuzhaTaluk, Eranakulam Dist. Kerala with an extent area of 6.4496 hectares. M/s Mudakkalil Granites (P) Ltd (SIA/KL/MIN/44943/2019, 1485/EC3/2019/SEIAA ]**

Authority noted the ToR approval by SEAC as a part of its appraisal.

- 9) **Application for ToR for the Proposed Building Stone Quarry of Mr.Nizamudheen.S, ReSurvey No:- 83/12,96/1,96/12-1,96/13-1, in Nellanad Village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala (SIA/KL/MIN/45589/2019, 1497/EC1/2019/SEIAA)**

The proposal was placed in the 108<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup>& 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2020. The Committee directed the proponent to furnish certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 111<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2 - 4, June, 2020.The proponent was invited for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 112<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> August 2020.The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. A field inspection was also carried out on 18.10.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 116<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 2nd, 3rd and 7th December, 2020. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report. The area is prone to rock falls and the side slopes are very steep in nature. There are big boulders located in different sy. no. owned by different individuals. It will be very difficult to safely manage the boulders in this area. Hence, the Committee decided to reject the proposal.

**Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal for Environmental Clearance and communicate the same to Project Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.**

### **EXTENSION/AMENDMENT/CORRIGENDUM FOR TOR PROPOSALS**

- 1) Application for extension of validity of ToR for the Proposed EIA study for Outer Area Growth Corridor highway project through villages Mangalapuram, Andorrkonam, Pothencode, Karakulam, Aruvikkara, Poovachal, Vilappil, Kattakada, Maranallur, Malayinkezhu, Pallichal, Balaramapuram, Venganur SIA/KL/NCP/ 185952/ 2020, 1143/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017**

Govt. of Kerala through the Capital Region Development Project-II (CRDP) proposes to develop an Outer Area Growth Corridor around Thiruvananthapuram city. Accordingly, the ToR for carrying out the EIA study was issued vide letter no. 1143/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017, dated 04.01.20148.

Now Sr.T.Balakrishnan, Convenor, Capital Region Development Project-II (CRDP) had applied for the extension of validity of ToR for the Proposed EIA study for Outer Area Growth Corridor highway project vide PARIVESH on 07/12/2020.

During course of the EIA study assessments and various stakeholder consultations the need to slightly amend the alignment was identified to meet stakeholder concerns as well as to address certain engineering issues/constraints. Accordingly the analysis for a modified alignment was carried out and went through several stages of confirmation at the state government level. Unfortunately by the time the alignment was finalized, the Covid-19 pandemic broke out. Due to this, further necessary site assessments/stakeholder consultations, etc. could not be carried out. Although the pandemic is still prevailing, they were in the process of carrying out the studies needed for completion of the EIA study. However, the



current ToR validity is only until January 2021 by which time they are not in a position to complete the EIA study. Hence they seek extension of ToR validity.

The proposal was placed in the 117<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2020. The Committee decided to recommend the extension of validity of the ToR for one more year.

**Authority decided to extend the validity of ToR for one more year as recommended by SEAC.**

**Sd/-**

**Dr.H.NageshPrabhu IFS (Retd)  
Chairman, SEIAA**

**Sd/-**

**Dr.Usha Titus I.A.S.  
Principal Secretary,  
Higher Education Dept.  
& Member Secretary, SEIAA**

**Sd/-**

**Dr.Jayachandran.K  
Member, SEIAA**