State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Rajasthan 10 Bhawani Singh Lane, Near Sahkar Marg, Jaipur -302001 Email: seiaams2021@gmail.com

No. F.8(5)/EIA/Env./2006-VI.

Dated: 0 9 MAY 2025

MEETING MINUTES

6.45th Meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was held on O8th May, 2025 at 03:00 P.M. under the chairmanship of Sh. Munish Kumar Garg to examine and decide upon the recommendations made by SEACs in respect of the following proposals as listed below: –

| S.No. | File no. | Name of the (1) Project, (2) Proponent, (3) Consultar | ıt & |
|-------|---------------------------|---|----------|
| | | (4) Recommendation of SEAC. | |
| 1. | File no. RJ/25/SEAC3/MIN/ | 1. Project Name:- Application for an Environme | ntal |
| | EC/0039/Cat.B1/2025-26 | Clearance of Proposed River Sand (Bajri) Min | ning |
| | | Project (Plot No. 01, Lease area-100 ha.), Prope | osed |
| | × × | Production Capacity 5,06,250 TPA (Re | OM) |
| | 100 | [Recoverable Bajri 60% i.e 303750 Tonnes],atKh | asra |
| | | No. 544 & 16, [Part of River Sukri&Lilri, Govt. I | and |
| | | (GairMumkinNadi)], Near village- Mesiya, Tel | ısil- |
| | | Raipur, District-Beawar (Rajasthan). (Prop | osal |
| | V N | No:530459) | |
| | li iii | 2. Name of Proponent:- M/s Dhaka Construction | |
| | | Company.R/o – Plot No.01, Gangasra, Anchalpur, | |
| | a a | Jalor, (Raj.) 343041 | |
| | A | 3. Name of Consultant: Mantras Innovation and | |
| | | Solutions Private Limited. | |
| | | 4. Grant of EC. | |
| 2. | File no. RJ/25/SEAC3/MIN/ | 1. Project Name Application for an Environmental | |
| | EC/0042/Cat.B1/2025-26 | Clearance of Proposed River Sand (Bajri) Mining | |
| | * | Project (Plot No. BJ-07/2023, Lease area-66.4808 | |
| | | ha.), Proposed Production Capacity 643201.74 TF | 'A |
| E 6 | | (ROM) 385921.04 TPA (Mineable Reserve as per | |
| | | DSR) + 257280.7 TPA (Bajri not permissible for | |
| | | mining & Dispatch), at Khasra No. 99/361 & 1/14 | 11, |
| | | Near village- Jamtha & Kotrakheda, Tehsil- Reoda | |
| | 3. | District- Sirohi (Rajasthan). (Proposal No:532886 | , |
| | | 2. Name of Proponent M/S Limetone Construction | |
| | | Ltd., R/o – Plot No.– House no. – 26, First Floor, M. | U. |

my my

| | File no. RJ/25/SEAC3/MIN/ | 3. 4. | Block, Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 Name of Consultant:- Vitasta Enviro Services Pvt. Ltd., |
|----|--|----------|---|
| | File no. RJ/25/SEAC3/MIN/ | | |
| | File no. RJ/25/SEAC3/MIN/ | 4. | 0 ((100 |
| | File no. RJ/25/SEAC3/MIN/ | 5000 | Grant of EC. |
| | Mary pro-construct Street country and comprehensive section of the control of the | 1. | Project Name: - Application for an Environmental |
| | EC/0041 /Cat.B1/2025-26 | | Clearance of Proposed River Sand (Bajri) Mining |
| | | | Project (Plot No. BJ-04/2023, Lease area-71.1355 ha.), |
| | ¥ | | Proposed Production Capacity 688235.96TPA (ROM) |
| ı | т т = П | | 412941.57 TPA (Mineable Reserve as per DSR) + |
| | 88 2 | | 275294.39 TPA (Bajri not permissible for mining & |
| | 8 | | Dispatch), at Khasra No. 9,10,10/1094 & 282, Near |
| | 2 h | | village- Marol & Awada, Tehsil- Reodar, District- |
| | 2 0 | | Sirohi (Rajasthan). (Proposal No:532879) |
| | | 2. | Name of Proponent: - M/S Limetone Construction |
| | e × U | | Pvt.Ltd., R/o – Plot No. – House no. – 26, First Floor, |
| | | | M.U. Block, Pitampura, New Delhi-110034 |
| | | 3. | Name of Consultant: - Vitasta Enviro Services Pvt. Ltd. |
| | 6 1° , ° 1 | 4. | Grant of EC. |
| 4. | File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC- | 1. | Project Name- ToR for under violation for Jewel of |
| | Raj/ Sectt/Project/Cat. B1 | | India, from Expansion Built up Area 279927.99 Sq. m |
| | (23966)/ 2024 | | to 306166.39 Sq.m. located at Khasra Nos. 180, 181, |
| | | | 184, & 186, JhalanaDungar Tehsil Sanganer, District |
| | V = 0 1 | | Jaipur.(Proposal No- 451697) |
| | | 2. | Project Proponent Name- Shri Manoj Narania, |
| | | | Add.GF-10, Vasant Square Plot-A, Sector-B, Pocket -V, |
| | | | Community Cente, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi. E-mail: |
| | * | | manojnarania@suncityprojects.com |
| | ie s a s | 3. | ConsultantName-M/s-EnkayEnviro Services Pvt. Ltd., |
| | | | Add 92, Heera Nagar-A, Near Shalimar Bagh, Ajmer |
| | | | Road, Jaipur (Raj.) Email- info@enkayenviro.com |
| | | 4. | Recommend to SEIAA for prescribing the ToR. |

The Authority after detailed discussion and deliberation decided the following:

- 1. Serial No. 1. The authority decided to refer back the proposal to SEAC :
 - a. To Examine in the light of the complaint letter dated 04.03.2025 and ADM letter dated 06.03.2025 and re-send the recommendations to SEIAA accordingly.
 - b. To seek and adjudge the adequacy of additional documents viz. valid Cluster Ceritifcate, original copy of Wildlife Conservation Plan for Schedule-I

MY MY

species, NABL Certificate of testing laboratory and NOC from the concerned land holder for plantation outside the mining lease area.

- 2. Serial No. 2: The authority decided to refer back to SEAC :
 - a. To seek and adjudge the adequacy of additional documents viz. signed final EIA/EMP report, valid Cluster Ceritifcate, approved Cluster Mining Plan, Replenishment Study, approved Wildlife Conservation Plan for Schedule I species and NOC from the concerned land holder for plantation outside the mining lease area.
 - b. To seek clarifications regarding following:
 - i. Contradiction observed in details of water requirement as per Approved Mining Plan and Final EIA/EMP Report.
 - ii. Proposal is for opencast semi-mechanized mining without drilling and blasting as per approved Mining Plan. However, point no. 11.1, 14.1 of Compliance of TOR and point no. 2.6 of Chapter 2 of final EIA/EMP report mentions carrying out of drilling and blasting.
 - iii. Proposed total area of plantation along the banks of the river in final EIA/EMP report is 20.00 ha without any details of certified length of cluster boundary path to verify the same.
 - iv. The date mentioned in GPS coordinated ambient air monitoring photos do not match with the monitoring reports.
 - v. Fauna Species found in study area differ in Point No. 3.7.3 of Final EIA/EMP Report and in Wildlife Conservation Plan submitted.
 - vi. Three Schedule-I species (as per Wildlife Protection Amendment Act, 2022) were found wrongly classified as Schedule III and IV in Point No. 3.7.3 of Final EIA/EMP Report.
 - vii. Conservation Plan made for two species namely Panther & Peacock. However, this differs from the faunal diversity details of study area as per Final EIA/EMP Report.
 - viii. Budget is allocated only for one Schedule -I species as per submitted Conservation Plan.
- 3. Serial No. 3: The authority decided to refer back to SEAC:
 - a. To seek and adjudge the adequacy of additional documents viz. signed final EIA/EMP report, valid Cluster Ceritifcate, approved Cluster Mining Plan, Replenishment Study, approved Wildlife Conservation Plan for Schedule I species and NOC from the concerned land holder for plantation outside the mining lease area.

my my

- b. To seek clarifications regarding following:
 - i. Contradiction observed in details of water requirement as per Approved Mining Plan and Final EIA/EMP Report.
 - ii. Proposal is for opencast semi-mechanized mining without drilling and blasting as per approved Mining Plan. However, point no. 11.1, 14.1 of Compliance of TOR and point no. 2.6 of Chapter 2 of final EIA/EMP report mentions carrying out of drilling and blasting.
 - iii. Proposed total area of plantation along the banks of the river in final EIA/EMP report is 40.00 ha without any details of length of cluster boundary path to verify the same.
 - iv. Sukli Nadi is mentioned to be at a distance of 2.15 km from the lease area but was observed to be a tributary of West Banas River merging into the river very nearby to lease area as per the submitted KML file of lease boundary.
 - v. The date mentioned in GPS coordinated ambient air monitoring photos do not match with the monitoring reports.
 - vi. Fauna Species found in study area differ in Point No. 3.7.3 of Final EIA/EMP Report and in Wildlife Conservation Plan submitted.
 - vii. Three Schedule-I species species (as per Wildlife Protection Amendment Act, 2022) were found wrongly classified as Schedule III and IV in Point No. 3.7.3 of Final EIA/EMP Report.
- viii. Conservation Plan made for two species namely Panther & Peacock. However, this differs from the faunal diversity details of study area as per Final EIA/EMP Report.
 - ix. Budget is allocated only for one Schedule -I species as per submitted Conservation Plan.
- 4. Serial No. 4: The authority decided to refer back to SEAC with directions to reexamination the proposal in light of latest Supreme Court order dated 28.03.2025.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Rajiv Chaturvedi)

Member Secretary, SEIAA

(Sh. Manphool Singh)

Member, SEIAA

(Sh. Munish Kumar Garg)

Chairman, SEIAA