

SEIAA/39147/1103-NCM/01-2016	Since in the meantime the checklist format has been revised, the SEAC decided to take decision after receipt of filled-in checklist in modified format duly signed by concerned Tahasildar.
50814/1545-NCM/02-2016	Since in the meantime the checklist format has been revised, the SEAC decided to take decision after receipt of filled-in checklist in modified format duly signed by concerned Tahasildar.
58683/ 1712-NCM/09-2016	The SEAC decided to call the proponent for a detailed presentation.
10961/1595-NCM/04-2016	The SEAC observed from the toposheet that a road passing the lease area and decided decision on the proposal after receipt of the clarification from the concerned Tahasildar the presence of any bridge near the lease area and exact distance of the bridge from the area.
10351/1623-NCM/04-2016	The SEAC observed that the khata no. and plot no. differ in Tahasildar letter and checked decided to take decision on the proposal after receipt of clarification from the concerned Tahasildar.
14526/ 1686-NCM/08-2016	The SEAC observed the following:The revised EMP does not contain any base line data such the impact study presented is highly subjective. Therefore the EMP needs to be resubmitted for consideration with base line data.In the enclosed approved environment (with the mining plan), the proposed plantation has been shown in the adjacent quarry area and beyond. This aspect needs to be checked and actual picture is to be presented revised environment plan is to be submitted.It appears from the toposheet that a road is through the lease area i.e. the lease area is right below the bridge and this position is not the information provided in the check list signed by the Tahasildar. This point requires clarification.After detailed discussion, the SEAC decided to consider the proposal after receipt of above information/clarification.
15355/ 1687-NCM/08-2016	The SEAC observed the following:The revised EMP does not contain any base line data such the impact study presented is highly subjective. Therefore the EMP needs to be resubmitted for consideration with base line data.In the enclosed approved environment (with the mining plan), the proposed plantation has been shown in the adjacent quarry area and beyond. This aspect needs to be checked and actual picture is to be presented revised environment plan is to be submitted.From the toposheet it reveals that road bridge boundary of the lease area. The Tahasildar certificate is needed to clarify the distance between bridge and lease area.After detailed discussion, the SEAC decided to consider the proposal after receipt of above information/clarification.
10279/1563-NCM/03-2016	The SEAC observed the following:Considering the lease area of the mining plan approved explanation/ view of the Tahasildar is not convincing, not acceptable and needs verification.
17010/ 1694-NCM/08-2016	The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period.
16999/ 1709-NCM/09-2016	The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period.
50812/ 1647-NCM/05-2016	The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period.