TABLE-I

DECISION ON MINOR MINERAL PROJECTS WITH LEASE AREA LESS THAN 25 HA. ON 26.12.2016

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1. | 11534/ 1666- NCM/05 -2016 | Sri Badri Narayan Mishra, S/o Late Prabhakar Mishra, At- Industrial Estate, Post – Ankuli, Ps- B.N.Pur, Berhampur. | Ganjam | Stone | Baghala Stone Quarry Over lease area of 20.755 acres/8.3994 ha located at Village- Baghala, Tahasil- Purushottamp ur, District – Ganjam. | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Authorized Officer, Deputy Director, Geology, Office of the Joint Director, Geology, South Zone, Berhampur - 10. 4.No forest land | No | 1794 | The SEAC recommende d to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period with a condition that planting on safety zone, as proposed to be completed should be completed within the 1st year of operation. |

| SI. SEIAA No File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------|--------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2. | 59830/ 1725- NCM/10 -2016 | Tahasildar Jujomura, At/Po- Jujomara, Ps-Jujomara, Dist – Sambalpur – 768105. | Sambal | Sand | Nua Mahulpalli Sand Bed Over An Area Of 15.70 Acre Or 6.3534 Ha At Village- Nua Mahulpalli, Jujomura Tahasil of Sambalpur District | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | Nua Mahulpalli Sand Bed – 5.058 ha. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Deputy Director, Mines (I/C), Sambalpur Circle, Sambalpur Circle, Sambalpur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, | No | 788 | The SEAC observed the following: i. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summatio n of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriat e for the cluster. ii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map showing individual mines, dump |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | position, drainage, haulage road, distance from population etc. iii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. iv. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population , domestic animals grazing and appropriat |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | e mitigative measures. v. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with proper study. The study shall be carried out taking the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. |
| 3. | 17667/ 1728- NCM/10 | Sri Sushil Kumar Agrawal, | Jharsug uda | Sand | Guchhapali Sand Bed Over An Area | FY 2016- 17 to | Kulihamal Sand Bed – 5.05 ha. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility | No | 10200 | The SEAC observed the following: |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---|----------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | -2016 | Director, M/s Shree Balaji Engicons Pvt. Ltd., At/Po- Belpahar, Tahasil – Lakhanpur, Dist – Jharsuguda. | | | Of 12.50 Acres Or 5.06 Ha At Village -Guchhapali, Tahasil- Kolabira, District- Jharsuguda. | | | report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Geologist and Authorized Officer, Office of the Joint Director, Geology (Zonal Survey), Sambalpur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, | | | i. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summatio n of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriat e for the cluster. ii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map showing individual mines, dump position, drainage, haulage |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7.There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | road, distance from population etc. iii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. iv. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population , domestic animals grazing and appropriat e mitigative measures. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | v. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with proper study. The study shall be carried out taking the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. |
| 4. | 60132/ 1730- NCM/11 -2016 | Sri Kalu Sahu, S/o – Baji Sahu, Vill – Kaniari, Ps- Polasara, Dist – | Ganjam | Stone | Bhatakholi Stone Quarry Over An Area 17.375 Acres Or 7.013 Ha At Village- Bhatakholi, | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. | No | 1440 | The SEAC recommende d to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | Ganjam, Odisha. | | | Tahasil- Polsara, District- Ganjam. | | | 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. | | | period. |
| | | | | | | | | 3. Mining plan approved by the Authorized Officer, Deputy Director, Geology, Office of the Joint Director, Geology (S.Z), Berhampur - 10. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 4.No forest land involved in lease area | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 6. EMP submitted. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | |
| 5. | 60207/ 1732- NCM/11 -2016 | Sri Sovan Nanda, M/s Springsloft Infratech Pvt. Ltd., Sabitri Sadan, Rath Road, Mausima Chhak, Bhubaneswa r – 751002. | Cuttack | Sand | Kalikaprasad River Sand Quarry Over An Area 15.00 Acres/ 6.070 ha., At Mahanadi Village Under Damapada Tahasil Of Cuttack District, Odisha. | FY 2016- 17 to 2020 - 21 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | Dampada Sanctuary is wthin 5 KM but there is no other mines within 500m 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the | No | 66600 | The SEAC has decided to seek information (i). Clarification from the DFO regarding the distance from ECO sensitive zone from the lease boundary. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | mine has been furnished. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 3. Mining Plan approved by the Geologist, Directorate of Geology, Odisha, Bhubaneswar | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 4.No forest land involved in lease area | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 5.The project is located about 2.05 km from the boundary of Eco-Sensitive Zone of Chandaka-Damapada Wildlife Sanctuary. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 6. EMP submitted. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 7. There is no court case / litigation | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 6. | 59902/ 1733- NCM/11 -2016 | Tahasildar Jujomura, At/Po- Jujomara, Ps-Jujomara, Dist – Sambalpur - 768105 | Sambal pur | Sand | Nua Mahulpalli Sand Bed Over An Area Of 12.50 Acre Or 5.058 Ha In Village Nuamahulpalli , Tahasil Jujomura, Dist- Sambalpur Odisha. | 2019 - | Nua Mahulpalli Sand Bed – 15.70 acre/ 6.3534 Ha. | pending. 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Deputy Director Mines, Sambalpur Circle, Sambalpur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, | No | 525 | The SEAC observed the following: i. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summatio n of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriat e for the cluster. ii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map showing individual |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | mines, dump position, drainage, haulage road, distance from population etc. iii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. iv. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population , domestic animals grazing |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | and appropriat e mitigative measures. v. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with proper study. The study shall be carried out taking the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 7. | 4978/ 1649- NCM/05 -2016 | M/s Premex, At – Plot No. 652, Ekamra Villa, IRC Village, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswa r - 751015. | Ganjam | Stone | Arjunapalli Road Metal Quarry Over lease area of 13.75 acres/5.564 ha located at Village- Arjunapalli, Tahasil- Kabisuryanag ar, District- Ganjam. | 16 to | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Authorized Officer, Deputy Director, Geology, Office of the Joint Director, Geology (S.Z), Berhampur - 10. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, | No | 1530 | The SEAC recommende d to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period with a condition that planting on safety zone as proposed should be completed within the1st year of operation. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP | | | |
| | | | | | | | | submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | |
| 8. | 4983/ 1660- NCM/05 -2016 | M/s Premex, At – Plot No. 652, Ekamra Villa, IRC Village, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswa r - 751015. | Ganjam | Stone | Inamtaliamap alli Road Metal Quarry Over lease area of 13.282 acres/5.3752 ha located at | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating | No | 1740 | The SEAC recommende d to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period with a |

| Inamtaliamap alli Village, Kabisuryanag ar Tahasil of Ganjam district Inamtaliamap alli Village, Kabisuryanag ar Tahasil of Ganjam district Inamtaliamap alli Village, Kabisuryanag ar Tahasil of Ganjam approved by the Authorized Officer, Deputy Director, Geology, Office of the Joint Director, Geology (S.Z), Berhampur - 10. Inamtaliamap alli Village, mine has been furnished. Inamtaliamap alli Village mine has been furnished. Inamtaliamap approved by the Authorized Officer, Deputy Director, Geology, Office of the Joint Director, Geology | SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|--|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| critically polluted area as | | | | | | alli Village, Kabisuryanag ar Tahasil of Ganjam | | | mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Authorized Officer, Deputy Director, Geology, Office of the Joint Director, Geology (S.Z), Berhampur - 10. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted | | | planting on safety zone as proposed should be completed within the1st year of |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 9. | 17668/ 1735- NCM/11 -2016 | Sri K.C Bohidar, At/Po- Kolabira, Dist – Jharsuguda. | Jharsug uda | Sand | Sodamal Sand Bed-B (12.50 Acres Or 5.05 Ha) At Village- Sodamal,Tah asil-Kolabira, Dist- Jharsuguda | FY 2016- 17 to 2020 - 21 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Geologist and Authorized Officer, Office of the Joint Director, Geology, Zonal Survey, | No | 10323 | The SEAC has rejected the proposal for the following reasons I. The checklist submitted by the proponent that the mine boundary is a distance of 4.5/5.5 Km from NH & the bridge but the environment al management plan suggest |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | Sambalpur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | that the NH & bridge is at a distance of 70 meters. II. The toposheet and the cadastral map clearly confirms the distance to be 70 meters over and above the misleading facts in the EMP that the buffer/safety zone of 100 meters is proposed is less than the stipulated safety distance of 200 meters. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 10. | 17729/ 1747- NCM/11 -2016 | Sri Chitrasen Samarth At/Po- Kolabira, Dist - Jharsuguda, Odisha. | Jharsug uda | Sand | Sodamal Sand Bed-C (12.50 Acres Or 5.05 Ha) At Village- Sodamal, Tah asil- Kolabira, Dist- Jharsuguda | FY 2016- 17 to 2020 - 21 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Geologist and Authorized Officer, Office of the Joint Director, Geology, Zonal Survey, Sambalpur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, | No | 10576 | The SEAC recommende d to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Mineral | Name of the project | Mining lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommend ation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. | | | |

Chairman, SEAC

TABLE-II

DECISION ON MINOR MINERAL PROJECTS WITH LEASE AREA LESS THAN 25 HA. ON 26.12.2016

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|---|--|----------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 9. | SEIAA/5 853/101 6- NCM/12 -2015 | Sri Sushil Kumar Agrawal, Director, M/s Shree Balaji Engicon Pvt. Ltd. At/Po- Belpahar, Tahasil – Lakhanpur, Dist – Jharsuguda. | Jharsug uda | Sand | Kulihamal Sand Quarry over an area 5.05 Ha at village- Kulihamal, Tahasil- Kolabira,Dist- Jharsuguda | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | Guchhapali Sand Bed – 5.06 ha. | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. Mining plan approved by the Geologist, O/o Joint Director, Geology (ZS), Sambalpur. No forest land involved in lease area There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory | No | 3540 | The SEAC observed the following: vi. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summation of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriate for the cluster. vii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map showing |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. | | | individual mines, dump position, drainage, haulage road, distance from population etc. |
| | | | | | | | | 6. EMP submitted. 7.There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. Recommended for EC for five years (up to 31.03.2020). However, EC is to be issued after receipt of a certificate from concerned Tahasildar that there is no other mine within 500m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area. Now the Tahasildar | | | viii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. ix. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population, domestic animals grazing and appropriate mitigative measures. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | has submitted the same. PRESENT STATUS: The proposal was placed in the 150 th meeting of SEIAA and it was decided that since, there is another sand quarry in immediate vicinity, EIA/EMP report resubmitted by treating this as a cluster. Now the Tahasildar has intimated that there is another mines within 500m in the revised checklist. | | | x. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with proper study. The study shall be carried out taking the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. |
| 10. | 9436/12 37- NCM/01 -2016 | Sri Saroj Prasad Nayak, S/o Padmanav Nayak, Bank Colony, | Kalahan di | Stone | Proposal for Subendangar Stone Quarry-I over an area 12.62 acres at village | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | i)Subendanga r - II - 5.172 ha. ii)Subendang ar - III - | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. Topo sheet | No | 1500 | The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---|----------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | Lane-2, Godampada, Bhawanipatn a, Kalahandi – 766001. | | | Subendangar, Tahasil Kesinga in the district of Kalahandi of Sri Saroj Prasad Nayak. | | 5.131 ha. | indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Mining Officer, Kalahandi Circle, Bhawanipatna. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7.There is no court case / litigation | | | period. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | pending. 8. The case was earlier placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 26.08.16 and the SEAC observed the following: xi. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summation of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriate for the cluster. xii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map showing individual mines, dump position, | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | drainage, haulage road, distance from population etc. iii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. iv. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population, domestic animals grazing and appropriate mitigative measures. cv. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with proper study. The study shall be carried out taking | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. After detailed discussion the SEAC decided to consider the case after the proponent submit a modified EMP with cluster approach prepared with proper study and revised checklist dully filled in. Now the Tahasildar has submitted the revised EMP and revised checklist. | | | |
| 11. | 9437/12 38- NCM/01 -2016 | Sri Beda Prakash Das, S/o | Kalahan di | Stone | Subendangar Stone Quarry- III over an area of 5.131 Ha at | 20 years | i)Subendanga r – I – 5.107 ha. | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by | No | 1500 | The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|--|----------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | | Debadutta Das, At- Paramanand apur Chowk, Near TVS Showroom Kesinga Road, Po/Ps - Bhawanipatn a, Kalahandi - 766001. | | | village Subendangar, Tahasil Kesinga, Dist- Kalahandi | | ii)Subendang ar – II – 5.172 ha. | Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3.Mining plan approved by the Mining Officer, Kalahandi Circle, Bhawanipatna. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. | | | EC accorded upto the lease period. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. Earlier the case was placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 26.08.16 and the SEAC observed the following: i. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summation of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriate for the cluster. ii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map showing | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | individual mines, dump position, drainage, haulage road, distance from population etc. iii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. iv. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population, domestic animals grazing and appropriate mitigative measures. v. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with proper study. The | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | study shall be carried out taking the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | After detailed discussion the SEAC decided to consider the case after the proponent submit a modified EMP with cluster approach prepared with proper study and revised checklist dully filled | | | |
| | | | | | | | | in. PRESENT STATUS: Now the Tahasildar has submitted the | | | |
| 12. | 9549/12 | Sri Gyanajit | Kolohon | Stone | Subendangar | FY | The following | revised EMP and revised checklist. | NO | 1500 | The SEAC |
| 12. | 3073/12 | On Oyanajit | italallall | JULIE | Caberidariyar | ' ' | i ne renewing | 1 Furnished filled in | 110 | 1000 | I THE SEAS |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendation of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| | 52- NCM/01 -2016 | nayak, At/Po- Mukhiguda, Dist - Kalahandi | di | | Stone Quarry-II- 5.172 Ha. Subenda Nagar, Tahasil – Kesinga, Dist – Kalahandi. | 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | mines are located within 500 meters from the periphery of the mines: i. Subendan agar-I ii. Subendan agar-III | Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Deputy Director, Geology, Berhampur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of | | | recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. The case was placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 26.08.16 and the SEAC observed the following: i. The EMP submitted for the cluster is observed to be a summation of the individual facts lacking the wholistic approach appropriate for the cluster. ii. EMP prepared for cluster does not provide a map | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendation of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | showing individual mines, dump position, drainage, haulage road, distance from population etc. iii. EMP does not provide location of the points where monitoring will be taken up. iv. EMP has not estimated the difficulties caused to population, domestic animals grazing and appropriate mitigative measures. v. The EMP with cluster approach needs to be prepared with | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | proper study. The study shall be carried out taking the combined impact of all the mines in cluster with adequate mitigative measure. After detailed discussion the SEAC decided to consider the case after the proponent submit a modified EMP with cluster approach prepared with proper study and revised checklist dully filled in. PRESENT STATUS: Now the Tahasildar has submitted revised EMP and revised checklist. | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|----------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 13. | 11216/ 1626- NCM/04 -2016 | Sri Kalu Charan Behera, At/Po- Moulabhanja , Via- Digapahandi, Dist – Ganjam. | Ganjam | Sand | Bajraguma River Sand Bed Over an area of 27.749 Acres or 11.229 Ha located at village- Bajraguma, Tahasil- Digapahandi, Dist-Ganjam | FY 2015- 16 to 2019 - 20 | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Authorized Officer, Geology, O/o Joint Director, Geology (S.Z), Berhampur - 10. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted | No | 2800 | The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period. |

| SI. SEIAA No File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. The proposal was placed in the 162 nd meeting of SEIAA and it was decided that the Tahasildar may clarify about the distance of nearest Sanctuary because Taptapani is not a Sanctuary as written by him. PRESENT STATUS Now the Tahasildar has submitted the revised checklist and intimated that there | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|----------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | exists in their Tahasil jurisdiction. | | | |
| 14. | 11099/ 1601- NCM/04 -2016 | Sri Udit Prasad Babu, New Colony, Sambalpur. | Sambal | Stone | Sudunga Stone Quarry Over An Area Of 22.56 Acres Or 9.129 Ha At Village- Sudunga, Tahasil- Maneswar, District- Sambalpur | 16 to | No other mines within 500 meter from the lease area. | 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Deputy Director, Mines, Sambalpur Circle, Sambalpur Circle, Sambalpur. 4. No forest land involved in lease area 5. There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary | No | 10080 | The SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. Earlier the case was placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 26.08.16 and the SEAC observed the following: i. Revised checklist is not furnished. ii. Toposheet has not been furnished. Cluster within 500 m is not mentioned. Wagon drill use is not necessary. The SEAC decided to | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | consider the proposal after receipt of the above. Now the Tahasildar has submitted revised EMP with | | | |
| 15. | 9999/16 22- NCM/04 -2016 | Sri Sushanta Kumar Sahoo, M/s S.T. Minerals Pvt. Ltd., 403, Acrux Gokul Plaza, Cuttack Road, Bhubaneswa r. | Keonjha r | Sand | Proposal for Musala River Sand Bed over an area of 15.35 acres / 6.210 ha. at village Kanto, Tahasil Anandpur, in the district of Keonjhar of M/s S.T Mineral. | FY 2015- 16 to 2019- 20 | There is no other mines within 500m of the proposed mine | checklist. 1. Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Dy. Director, Geology, Directorate of Geology, Odisha, Bhubaneswar. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected | No | 13000 | The SEAC has observed the following: (i) The sand bed is just below the bridge connecting the state highway as evident from the topo-sheet. The tahasildar has to clarify the exact distance of the project site from the bridge. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. Earlier the case was placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 5.11.16 and the SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period. | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|----------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | Now SEIAA forwarded to reveiw the recommendation as there is huge gap between mineable reserve and production capacity. Mineable reserve – 25220 m³ and production is – 13000 m³. The production is below mineable reserve and it is approved in mining plan. | | | |
| 16. | 17228/ 1707- NCM/09 -2016 | Sri Rama Chandra Dash, S/o Ganapati Dash, At/Po- Ghodapalan a, Ps- Polasara, Dist – | Ganjam | Sand | Ghodapalana Sand Quarry over Lease Area Of 18.687 Acres or 7.562 Ha Located In Village Ghodapalana Under Polasara Tahasil Of | 17 to 2020- | There is no other mines within 500m of the proposed mine | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. Mining plan | No | 1600 | The SEAC opined that in case of river, the deposit of sand is replenishable due to flood during monsoon every year and hence, it is annual reserve and mineable. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | Ganjam. | | | Ganjam District. | | | approved by the Dy. Director, Geology, Directorate of Geology, Odisha, Bhubaneswar. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. Earlier the case was placed before the SEAC in its | | | Hence, previous recommendation of the SEAC is reiterated. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|----------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | meeting held on 5.11.16 and the SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the lease period. Now SEIAA forwarded to reveiw the recommendation and there is huge gap between mineable reserve and production capacity. Mineable reserve – 2200 m³ and production is – 1100 m³. The production is below mineable reserve and it is approved in mining plan. | | | |
| 17. | 16973/ 1706- NCM/09 | Smt. Reeta Mohanty, At – Amundi, | Ganjam | Sand | Nandigam River Sand Bed Over Lease | FY 2015- 16 to | There is no other mines within 500m | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list | No | 5800 | The SEAC decided that clarification to be |

| SK | | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|----|-------|---|----------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | -2016 | Post – Maisanapur, Ps – K. Nuagaon, Dist – Ganjam. | | | Area Of 13.782 Acres/5.577 Ha Located At Village- Nandigam, Tahasil- Patrapur, District- Ganjam. | | of the proposed mine | forwarded by Tahasildar. 2. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. 3. Mining plan approved by the Mining Officer, Ganjam Circle, Berhampur. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.There is no protected areas i.e. National Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. | | | sought from the Tahasildar on the following: i) The name of the river as mentioned in the checklist and form-I is Nandigam river. The Tahasildar has to clarify if there is any such river exists. ii) In one of the topographical map there is mentioned that the project is road metal quarry. It is to be clarified and rectified. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. Earlier the case was placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 5.11.16 and the SEAC observed the following. i) The name of the river as mentioned in the checklist and form-I is Nandigam river. The proponent has to clarify if there is any such river exists. ii) In one of the topographical map there is mentioned that the project is road metal quarry. It is to be clarified and rectified. | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | Now SEIAA requested that information may be sought from Tahasildar not from the proponent. | | | |
| 18. | 42374/1 300- NCM/01 -2016 | Smt. Tararani Behera, W/o – Mahendra Behera, Village – Benamunda, Post – Purujoda, Tahasil – Telkoi, Dist - Keonjhar | Keonjha r | Sand | Purujoda,Bena munda,Bramha nikansa Sand Quarry Over Lease Area Of 14.640 Acres/5.925 Ha Located At Village- Purujoda,Bena munda & Bramhanikansa , Tahasil- Telkoi, District- Keonjhar | FY 2015- 16 to 2019- 20 | No mines exist within 500 mt. and other mines exist at 30 km | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. Mining plan approved by Deputy Director, Geology, Keonjhar. No forest land involved in lease area There is no protected areas i.e. National | No | 4250 | The SEAC opined that in case of river, the deposit of sand is replenishable due to flood during monsoon every year and hence, it is annual reserve and mineable. Hence, previous recommendation of the SEAC is reiterated. |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | Park, Sanctuary, Habitat for Migratory Birds, Tiger Reserve, Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation pending. 8. The case was earlier placed before the SEAC in its meeting held on 5.11.16 and the SEAC recommended to grant EC valid from the date of EC accorded upto the | | | |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | Now SEIAA forwarded to reveiw the recommendation and there is huge gap between mineable reserve and production capacity. Mineable reserve is 4800 m³ and production is 4250 m³. The production is below mineable reserve and it is approved in mining plan. | | | |
| 19. | 2285/SE IAA | Sri N. Ravi, Director, M/s Macons Infratech Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 1116, 2 nd Floor, 23 rd Cross, Main Sector HSR | Keonjha r | Stone | Proposal for Kantipal Stone Quarry over an area of 13.00 acres or 5.261 ha. located At-Village – Kantipal, Tahasil – Anandapur, | FY 2015- 16 to 2019- 20 | No mines exist within 500 mt. and other mines exist at 30 km | Furnished filled in Form-I, pre-feasibility report and check list forwarded by Tahasildar. Topo sheet indicating location of the mine has been furnished. Mining plan | No | 9200 | The SEAC recommended to reject EC for the proposal as the mine is located within Eco- Sensitive Zone of Hadagarh Wildlife sanctuary (defalult – 10 |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | Lay Out, Bangalor. | | | Dist - Keonjhar | | | approved by Deputy Director, Geology, Directorate of Geology, Odisha, Bhubaneswar. 4.No forest land involved in lease area 5.The Hadagarh wild life sanctuary is located at a distance of 1.5Km away. 6. There is no Protected Monuments, Inter-State boundary and critically polluted area as identified by CPCB etc. located within 5 km radius of the mine lease area. 6. EMP submitted. 7. There is no court case / litigation ending. 8. The SEAC earlier in its meeting held on 18.07.2016 had recommended for grant of EC. | | | km). |

| SI. No | SEIAA File No. | Name & Address of the proponent | District | Type of Miner al | Name of the project | Minin g lease period | Details of other mines including lease area located within 500 m from the periphery of the proposed mine lease area | Observation of SEAC based on form-I, prefeasibility report, approved mining plan and checklist | Whet her gener al condit ion apply | Maximu m annual producti on capacity (in m³) | Recommendatio n of SEAC |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | 9. The proposal was discussed in 165 th SEIAA meeting held on 11.07.2016 and it was decided that the SEIAA may kindly re-examine the proposal as the mine is located within Eco-Sensitive Zone of Hadagarh Wildlife sanctuary. 10. The SEAC observed that the mine is located 1.5 km from Hadagarh Wildlife sanctuary as per check list and hence, located within Eco-Sensitive Zone of Hadagarh Wildlife sanctuary (defalult – 10 km). | | | |

Chairman, SEAC