

State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, SEIAA, Rajasthan
10, Bhawani Singh Lane, Sahkar Marg, Jaipur-302001.

E-mail:- seiaams2021@gmail.com

No. F.8(5)/EIA/Env./2006-V.

Jaipur, Dated:

30 APR 2024

MEETING MINUTES

5.120th Meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was held on **30th April, 2024 at 11.30 A.M.** in the Chamber of Chairman, SEIAA under the chairmanship of Sh. Rajeeva Swarup (IAS. Retd.) to examine and decide upon the recommendations made by SEAC and observations/decisions of SEIAA, Rajasthan seeking further information in its various meetings, in respect of following applications listed below:-

S. No.	File No.	Name of the (1) Project, (2) Proponent, (3) Consultant & (4) Recommendation of SEAC.
(1)	<u>File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/Cat.8(a) (24201) 2023-24</u>	Project Name- EC for Expansion of Rukmani Birla Hospital & Research Institute, Total Land area- (213 Bigha 14 Biswa), Hospital Plot area- 101168 Sq.m, Gross built up area- 32357.92 Sq.m, Khasra No. 331, 333 to 343 & 348, Located Near Gopalpura Bypass Road, Gopalpura, Jaipur, Rajasthan. (Proposal No-454815) Project Proponent Name- M/S. National Engineering Industries Ltd., Address 9/1, R.N. Mukherjee Road, Kolkata-700001 (W.B.) Email-Hanuman. Sharma@ Ckbirlahospitals.Com Consultant Name- Excel Enviro Tech, Add.- Tf-2, Sun House, Nr. Old High Court, Off. Ashram Road, Ahmedabad -380009, Email- Excelenviro@Yahoo.Co.In Grant of EC.
(2)	<u>File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/Cat. 8a(24389)/2021-22</u>	Project Name- EC for Proposed Commercial Complex project, Total Plot area- 16111.98 sq.m, Gross built up area- 108677.39 sq.m, Khasra no. 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 532, 533, 534, Located at Village: - Sukhpura, Tehsil – Sanganer Jaipur Rajasthan. (Proposal No-454287). Name of Proponent: M/s Ajanta Build State LLP, Partner:- Mr. Gaurav Bardiya, address : 306, Gaurav Tower-I, Malviya

		<p>Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan, Email-projects@bardiyagroup.com, ajantabuildestatellp@gmail.com</p> <p>Name of Consultant:-Gaurang Environmental Solutions Pvt. Ltd. Add.- 102, SNG, Shri Ratna Apartment, Near Tambi Petrol Pump, Peetal Factory, Jhotwara Road, Jaipur, (Rajasthan) Email. – gaurangenviro@gmail.com</p> <p>Grant of EC</p>
(3)	<u>File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC-Raj/ Sectt/Project/Cat.B1(16827)/2021 - 22</u>	<p>Project Name: EC for Proposed expansion of“ Granite, Masonry Stone and Patti Katla Mine”(M.L.No.-03/99, Area 60.8105ha.), expansion of mining project having Granite, Masonry Stone and Patti Katla production capacity of 8,18,220 TPA (ROM), at ML No. 3/1999, having lease area of 60.8105 ha. located at Khasra No. 3, 372, 721, 499 & 502 at Gudhagodji, Tehsil–Udaipurwati, District–Jhunjhunu (Raj.) (Proposal No. 38602)</p> <p>Proponent Name:-Shri Suryakant Gadia, Add.-C-96, Ambabari, Jaipur Distt. Jaipur (Raj.) Email–suryakant gadia@yahoo.com</p> <p>Name of Consultant:-ENKAY ENVIRO SERVICES PRIVATE LTD. Add.-92 HeeraNagar, A, Near Shalimar Bagh, Ajmer Road, Jaipur (Raj.), Email–info@enkayenviro.com</p> <p>Grant of EC.</p>
(4)	<u>File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC-Raj/ Sectt/Project/Cat.8(a)(23390)/023 - 24</u>	<p>Project Name- “EC for "Shubh Manglam" Commercial Project”, Plot no- 25, Plot Area: 8,943.23 Sq.m., Gross Built Up Area: 58,726.77 Sq.m. Located at Village: Madrampura, Tehsil: Jaipur, District: Jaipur, State : Rajasthan (Proposal No- 438289).</p> <p>Name of Proponent:- Rebecca Expo Investment Company Pvt Ltd, Authorized Signatory- Sh. N.K. Gupta, Address-B-7, 1st Floor, S.K. Plaza, PUR Road, Bhilwara 311001, Rajasthan, Email-ispoonia@ manglamgroup.com.</p> <p>Name of Consultant:- M/s-Macrocosm Environmental Solutions; Address- Plot no AB 456, Nirman Nagar, Near Chabra Restaurant, Jaipur, Rajasthan; E-mail: macrocosmenviro@gmail.com.</p> <p>Grant of EC</p>
(5)	<u>File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA /SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/Cat.B1(23690)/ 2023-24</u>	<p>Project Name- Application for approval of EIA/EMP and EC for “Granite Mining Project, M.L.No.-131/2021”, Mine Lease Area: 9.5667 hectare, Production Capacity: 11,08,818 TPA</p>

	<p>(ROM), Khasra No.- 124,125, Located at Village Anoppura, Tehsil- Deogarh, District-Rajsamand (Proposal No- 444969)</p> <p>Project Proponent Name- M/s Rajlaxmi Granito Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>Patners 1.Sh. Madanlal Teli S/o Sh. Amra Teli 2. Smt. Pushpa Devi Teli W/o Sh. Lahri Lal Teli 3.Sh. Janak Singh Rathore S/o Sh. Harisingh Rathore 4.Sh. Parmeshwar Nakum S/o Sh. Sohan lal Nakum, Add.- R/o: Kailash Nagar Kelwa, Tehsil and District Rajsamand (Raj.), Email- rajlaxmigranitold@gmail.com</p> <p>Consultant Name- M/s-Fulgro Environmental & Engineering Services India Pvt. Ltd., Add-27, Ashok Vatika, Khatipura Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur, (Raj.), Email:- info@fulgro.in.</p> <p>Close & Delist.</p>
--	--

The Authority after detailed discussion and deliberation decided the following:

1. Serial No. 1: The proposal was deferred in the 5.114th meeting of the Authority held on 15.03.2024 and PP was called for a personal hearing to provide a detailed presentation on parking provisions and Solid Waste Management of the proposed project.

In this meeting, PP and his consultant were heard. PP has explained that the project area has more than adequate space and open areas with respect to the requirement of parking. They have also submitted an affidavit stating no spillage of vehicles will be done outside the project premises. The explanation of PP in regard to the query of the Authority on Solid Waste Management, was also found satisfactory. Hence, the Authority accepted the recommendations of SEAC and decided to **grant EC** to this proposal.

2. Serial No. 2: The proposal was deferred in the 5.118th meeting of the Authority held on 16.04.2024 for a detailed presentation. In particular, PP was directed to explain the parking provisions provided along with the peak population expected as well as delineate parking places for residents, shop owners, staff and visitors. PP was also directed to indicate whether they have applied for NOC from CGWA. In regard to the construction done on site, PP was asked to submit photos of the site at the time of presentation.

PP and Consultant were heard during the meeting. The details provided by PP and the consultant on parking provision of the proposed building were found adequate. They have made a submission that in case of requirement to abstract ground water during construction and operational phase, an application will be made for obtaining NOC from CGWA. PP also

provided actual site photographs during the course of discussion. Hence, the Authority accepted the recommendations of SEAC and decided to **grant EC** to this proposal.

3. Serial No. 3: The proposal was deferred in the 5.117th meeting of the Authority held on 02.04.2024, in light of OM. No. IA3/3/4/2024-IA. III [E 230791] 08.01.2024 issued by MOEF&CC regarding stay imposed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in W.P.(C) No. 1394/2023 titled *Vanshakti vs. Union of India*. PP vide his letter dated 05.04.2024 requested for Personal Hearing along with the copies of TOR Compliance, CTO Letter, a letter of Department of Mines & Geology, Jhunjhunu with respect to the penalty imposed, E- Challan for deposited amount and an NOC for amount deposited issued from Department of Mines & Geology, Jhunjhunu.

PP along with Consultant ^{were} ~~was~~ heard. The arguments made by PP pertain to an important legal issue at stake. Accordingly, PP **was directed** to submit the same arguments in writing for the purpose of record and evaluation along with the copies of all relevant records on which such argument is based. Hence, the Authority decided to **defer** this proposal for submission of documents relevant to the arguments made by PP and Consultant in the meeting, within 45 days.

4. Serial No. 4: The proposal was deferred in the 5.119th meeting of the Authority held on 26.04.2024. PP was called for a presentation to explain the projected population and parking provisions.


PP along with Consultant was heard in the meeting and the arguments made by PP and his Consultant with respect to parking provisions were found inadequate. Hence, the Authority decided to **defer** this proposal for submission of parking provisions of 675 ECUs as decided during the course of discussion. In addition, PP was directed to submit an affidavit of Consultant clarifying whether the proposed project is one of violation or not and an affidavit of PP regarding no spillover of vehicles of the proposed commercial complex, within 45 days.

5. Serial No. 5: The proposal was deferred in the 5.119th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.04.2024 and PP was accorded an opportunity to explain why the Authority should not accept the recommendations of SEAC.


PP and Consultant were heard in the meeting. The arguments made by the Consultant with supporting documents were found satisfactory. While SEAC has based its comments primarily on the Boundary Pillar nomenclature as mentioned in the Mining Plan, it has overlooked the more relevant fact which the PP has incorporated in the EMP at Sr. No. 3 that they shall construct a retaining wall on both the sides of the Nallah passing through the mining lease and have also made a financial provision for the same. The WRD Department, is the nodal department for

overseeing that there is no obstruction to the natural flow of water, has also given NOC to the proposal subject to the condition of construction of the masonry face wall on both sides of the nallah, which has been incorporated by the PP. In view of these facts, the proposal is **referred back** to SEAC for reconsidering its recommendations.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.


(Khyati Mathur)
Member Secretary, SEIAA


(Sh. Rajeeva Swarup)
Chairman, SEIAA


(Dr. Suresh Chandra)
Member, SEIAA