


Minutes of the 922th Meeting held on 02th November 2022

The 922th meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) was held under the Chairmanship of Shri H. K. Dash and attended by Prof.(Dr.) V. K. Srivastava, Member (through VC) and Shri Nischal Joshi, Member Secretary (In-charge) of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) at Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 10 A Gandhinagar.


The following cases were taken up during the meeting:-

1.	ACG Cellulose Private Limited Plot No. D2/CH/149/3, Vil. GIDC Dahej, Phase II, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project - 5(f) Application Date: 23/03/2022	EC	Referred Back
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
The proposal of SEAC dated 16.07.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 23.08.2022			
After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is refer back for following reasons:			
i. Despite of clear query, PP has not included bleed liquer into hazardous waste management matrix in revised submission.			
ii. PP has not replied query no. 4 "Waste Water Generation seems to be inadequate 4000 M/T production plan, looking to the increase in volume of production whether, the generation of 1563 KLD waste water is it ok?"			
iii. SEAC has submitted recommendation without having got complied on the above two queries and without giving comments on the information submitted by consultant where they have complied.			
2.	Ashu Organics India Pvt Ltd PLOT NO. D-3/ 130 + 131+ 133 + 134, Dahej-3 GIDC Estate, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project:5(f) Date of Application: 05/03/2022	EC	Referred Back
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
The proposal of SEAC dated 01.08.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 01.09.2022			
After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is refer back for following reasons:			
i. Project proponent has not replied the query no. 3 "Segregation of high COD and low COD stream is not given".			
ii. In waste water generation table D-3 process waste water generation is mentioned 359 KLD out of that 247 KLD is high concentration stream and 112 KLD dilute stream is mentioned but how the method of segregation worked out from source of generation.			
3.	Hindprakash Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. T - 39 to T-43, GIDC Estate Saykha, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project: 5(f) Date of Application: 06/05/2022	EC	Deferred




(Handwritten signatures)

<p>The proposal of SEAC dated 04.08.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 05.09.2022</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is refer back for following reasons:</p> <p>i. Proponent has mentioned that existing unit product is non EC i.e that the earlier existing products are not covered under EC but the increase to 14,650 metric/ton production has been taken into consideration or not for working out the pollution load and budgetary outlay is not clarified by proponent or by SEAC.</p>		
4.	<p>Naveen pharma chem Plot No. DP/18/15, Saykha Industrial Estate, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project:5(f) Date of Application: 05/03/2022</p>	<p>EC</p> <p>Granted</p>
<p align="center">Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA</p> <p>The proposal of SEAC dated 01.08.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 01.09.2022</p> <p>Proponent has resubmitted the details along with replies with supporting documents with clarification. SEAC considered the resubmission/clarification made by the proponent. PP has clarified regarding separation of high COD and low COD stream. PP has submitted revised details of hazardous processes and safety measures including amination process and water balance diagram which was not included in earlier recommendation letter.</p> <p>After having re-examined and reconsidered, SEAC has accepted the re-submission and have recommended for grant of EC by SEIAA on 17.10.2022.</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is Granted incorporating all the conditions stipulated by SEAC, including the additional conditions prescribed in the minutes of 665th SEIAA meeting dated 06th December 2021.</p>		
5.	<p>One Life Care Pvt. Ltd Plot No. C/281 to C/283/3, Saykha Industrial Estate, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project:5(f) Date of Application: 05/03/2022</p>	<p>EC</p> <p>Referred Back</p>
<p align="center">Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA</p> <p>The proposal of SEAC dated 01.08.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 01.09.2022</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is refer back for following reasons:</p> <p>i. Project proponent has not replied the query no. 4 "Membership details for TSDF/CHWIF". SEAC has also did not gave their comments regarding the said query.</p> <p>ii. PP has not submitted the method of separation/segregation of high COD and low COD stream at the source of generation. Opinion of SEAC should also be there.</p>		



Handwritten signatures and initials: A.K. Singh, J. Jay, H. H.

6.	Om Lifecare Industries Plot No. DP/12/1, GIDC Industrial Estate, Saykha, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project:5(f) Date of Application: 05/03/2022	EC	Deferred
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
<p>The proposal of SEAC dated 01.08.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 01.09.2022</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is refer back for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> PP has not submitted the method of separation/segregation of high COD and low COD stream at the source of generation. It appears 17 KLD of process effluent where solvent use is there would be considered as concentrated stream and the rest would be dilute stream, but proponent has not made any clarification on the process of segregation or confirmed the above. Opinion of SEAC should also be there. 			
7.	Limbros Ventures Plot No.D-2/CH/151/6, Dahej GIDC, Ta. Vagra, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project - 5(f) Application Date: 05/04/2022	EC	Granted
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
<p>The proposal of SEAC dated 04.07.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 02.08.2022</p> <p>Proponent has resubmitted the details along with replies with supporting documents with clarification. SEAC considered the resubmission/clarification made by the proponent. PP has submitted revised details of characteristics of each hazardous chemicals along with safety measures, revised details of hazardous processes and its safety measures including sulphonation, CETP membership details and revised EMP along with AWH monitoring components</p> <p>After having re-examined and reconsidered, SEAC has accepted the re-submission and have recommended for grant of EC by SEIAA on 17.10.2022.</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is Granted incorporating all the conditions stipulated by SEAC, including the additional conditions prescribed in the minutes of 665th SEIAA meeting dated 06th December 2021.</p>			
8.	Setting up of RoRo/RoPax Facility at, Muldwarka Port, Gujarat Survey No. 74/3 for Onshore area -6 Ha, Vil. Muldwarka, Ta. Kodinar, Dist. Gir Somnath. Ports and Harbour - 7(e) Application Date: 27/05/2022	EC	Deferred
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
<p>The proposal of SEAC dated 19.07.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 23.08.2022</p> <p>Proponent has resubmitted the details along with replies with supporting documents</p>			




Gay

[Signature]

[Signature]

	<p>with clarification. SEAC considered the resubmission/clarification made by the proponent. PP has clarified regarding oil spill contingency plan, disaster management plan and wildlife trespass and protection measures as conservation plan has been prepared and submitted to DFO.</p> <p>After having re-examined and reconsidered, SEAC has accepted reply with reference to 2, 3 and 4 and commented that PP has submitted application to GCZMA and recommendation of GCZMA is awaited and have recommended for grant of EC by SEIAA on 17.10.2022.</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is kept abeyance till recommendation of GCZMA is received. SEAC may give its opinion if CRZ clearance is applicable or not.</p>		
9.	<p>Shivam Chem Industries Survey No. 1342, Vil. Tarabh, Ta. Visnagar, Dist. Mehsana. Chemical Project - 5(f) Application Date: 19/04/2022</p>	EC	Granted
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
<p>The proposal of SEAC dated 07.07.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 10.08.2022</p> <p>Proponent has resubmitted the details along with replies with supporting documents with clarification. SEAC considered the resubmission/clarification made by the proponent. PP has submitted revised details of characteristics of each hazardous chemicals along with safety measures, revised details of hazardous processes and its safety measures including sulphonation, and revised hazardous waste management matrix including details of generation and disposal of bleed liquor.</p> <p>After having re-examined and reconsidered, SEAC has accepted the re-submission and have recommended for grant of EC by SEIAA on 17.10.2022.</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is Granted incorporating all the conditions stipulated by SEAC, including the additional conditions prescribed in the minutes of 665th SEIAA meeting dated 06th December 2021.</p>			
10.	<p>Subhasri Pigments Pvt Ltd Plot No. DP-48, Vil. Saykha, GIDC Industrial Estate, Ta. Bharuch, Dist. Bharuch. Chemical Project - 5(f) Application Date: 15/04/2022</p>	EC	Referred Back
Deliberation & Decision of SEIAA			
<p>The proposal of SEAC dated 16.07.2022 was referred back for the reasons mentioned in the minutes of the meeting of SEIAA dated 23.08.2022</p> <p>After detailed deliberation, the Environment Clearance is refer back for following reasons:</p> <p>i. Reply/Clarification submitted by Project Proponent with reference to query no. 2 & 3 is not acceptable as they replied that segregate the effluent into two streams based on TDS but how the segregation would be done in the process and methodology worked out? From same source of generation. Detail</p>			





[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

	justification is not given.
ii.	In waste water generation table D-3 process waste water generation is mentioned 2115 KLD out of that 480 KLD sent to RO and 705 KLD sent to ETP, hence how to separate both streams from same source of generation (process waste water) practically?

Note:

- SEAC shall check and verify the reply given by the Project Proponent with reference to defer/refer back cases.
- Queries raised by the SEIAA should be replied point wise properly.
- Only relevant details with reference to query should include into recommendation letter.
- In most of industries cases it is mentioned regarding segregation of streams i.e. High COD(Concentrated stream) and Low COD (Dilute stream), but details regarding separation of streams from same source of generation particularly, of Process waste water is not given.
- Hence, now onwards in every industries cases details/clarification/justification shall mention regarding separation of streams.

Sr. No.	NAME	Designation	
1.	Shri H. K. Dash	Chairman	
2.	Prof. (Dr.) V. K. Srivastava (through VC)	Member	
3.	Shri Nischal Joshi	Member Secretary (In-charge)	