

STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE – TAMIL NADU

Minutes of the Meeting of 180th State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) held on 08th October 2020 (Thursday) for Appraisal of Building and Construction Projects, Synthetic organic chemical Industry & Mining Projects conducted through video conference

Agenda No. 180 -01

(File.No.7624/2020)

Proposed expansion of API unit within the existing plant premises of Bulk Drug and API Manufacturing Unit by M/s. Global Calcium Private Limited at Plots 124, 125, 126 & CP173, SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Hosur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu– For Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/IND2/154928/2020, dated: 10.02.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC meeting on 08.10.2020 and the details furnished by the project proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The project proponent, M/s. Global Calcium Private Limited at plot 124, 125, 126 & CP173, SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Hosur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu applied for Environment Clearance for the proposed expansion of API unit within the existing plant premises of Bulk Drug and API Manufacturing Unit.
2. The project/activity is comes under Item 5(f) "Synthetic organic chemicals industry (dyes & dye intermediates; bulk drugs and intermediates excluding drug formulations; synthetic rubbers; basic organic chemicals, other synthetic organic chemicals and chemical intermediates)" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
3. The project attracts general conditions in which Karnataka interstate boundary located at 2.5 km from the site and the unit is located inside industrial estate.
4. The Project proponent submitted the application as per the Office Memorandum issued by MoEF & CC vides F.No.F.No.22-25/2020-IA.II dated: 13.04.2020 and as per S.O. 1223(E) dated: 27.03.2020 for the projects or

Member Secretary
SEAC


Chairman
SEAC

activities in respect of 5 (f) Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API) to appraise as Category 'B2' Projects. Further, MoEF&CC issued a clarification vide F.No.22-25/2020-IA.III dated 15.05.2020 that

“ the all proposals for projects or activities in respect of Active Pharmaceuticals ingrates (API) received up to the 30th September 2020, shall be appraised , as category 'B2" which includes API proposals having concern over various ailments not limited to ailment of Novel Corona Virus (COVID -19) alone. However, the violation proposals shall not be considered under this Notification.”

On initial verification of the online application, it is noticed that the O/o SEIAA sought essential details from the project proponent on 16.07.2020. However, project proponent not resubmitted the online application along with essential details sought to SEIAA-TN till the time of appraisal.

Hence, SEAC requested SEIAA, to clarify the following:

1. MoEF&CC issued a clarification vide F.No.22-25/2020-IA.III dated 15.05.2020 mentioning

“ the all proposals for projects or activities in respect of Active Pharmaceuticals ingrates (API) received up to the 30th September 2020, shall be appraised , as category 'B2" which includes API proposals having concern over various ailments not limited to ailment of Novel Corona Virus (COVID -19) alone. However, the violation proposals shall not be considered under this Notification. “

In the view of the above, it is requested to clarify whether any other direction issued with respect to the above by MoEF&CC after 30.09.2020.

2. Project proponent in the application requested Environmental Clearance for increase in the API Production from 60 TPA to 360 TPA along with existing mineral salts quantity of 6871 TPA. Minerals salts come under Synthetic organic chemicals industry and API Production from 60 TPA to 360

Member Secretary
SEAC



Chairman
SEAC

TPA comes under bulk drugs and intermediates excluding drug formulations. Hence, necessary clarification shall be furnished by SEIAA with respect to which category the proposal shall be appraised.

3. The project proponent shall resubmit the details requested online along with relevant details requested by O/o SEIAA to the SEIAA. The resubmitted details should be thoroughly checked by SEIAA before placing the subject to SEAC.

After receipt of the above clarifications from SEIAA, project proposal may be placed before SEAC for further consideration.

Agenda No: 180-02

File No: 7298/2019

Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel lease over an extent of 4.36.5 ha in S.F.Nos.241/1B2B3B1A, Thirakoil Village, Vandavasi Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. J. Santhanam – for Environment Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/42934/2019, dated: 18.09.2019)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC meeting on 08.10.2020 and details furnished by the project proponent are listed in parivesh.nic.in website.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The proponent, Thiru. J. Santhanam applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough Stone and Gravel lease over an extent of 4.36.5ha in S.F.Nos. 241/1B2B3B1A, Thirakoil Village, Vandavasi Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of item 1(a) "Mining of Minerals Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC directed to furnish the following details:

1. SEAC noted that the project proponent submitted the letter from Assistant Director, Geology and Mines, Tiruvannamalai District vide Letter No. RC.No. 27/ Kanimam /2018 dated. 30.7.2019 for quarries within 500m

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

radius of the proposed quarry are more than 1 year 3 months. Hence, the project proponent shall furnish the details of present status of quarries within 500m radius of the proposed quarry from the Assistant Director, Geology and Mines, Tiruvanamalai District.

2. The details of open and pump wells (Location, groundwater level) around 500 m from the proposed quarry lease area to be furnished.
3. The village map and "A" register along with photographs showing the evidence of trees planted for the earlier mines to be furnished.
4. On verification of the online application, the company name mentioned as GEO EXPLORATION MINING. Instead of Thiru. J. Santhanam, needs to be rectified.

On receipt of the aforesaid details, SEAC shall further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

Agenda No: 180-03

File No: 7349/2019

Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 1.32.5 ha in S.F.No. 381/B, Keeranur Village, Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu by Tmt. S. Pushpalatha – For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/45762/2019, dated: 02.11.2019)

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020 and details furnished by the project proponent are listed in parivesh.nic.in website.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Tmt. S. Pushpalatha applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 1.32.5 ha in S.F.Nos. 381/B, Keeranur Village, Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B" of item 1(a) "Mining of Minerals Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

3. SEAC noted, project proposal was uploaded on 10.11.2019, and placed to SEAC for appraisal on 08.10.2020 and such delay shall be avoided in future.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC noticed the letter from Assistant Director, Geology and Mines, Tiruppur District vide Letter No. RC.No. 232/Mines/2019 Dt. 17.10.2019 for quarries within 500m radius of the proposed quarry is more than one year. Hence, the project proponent shall furnish the details of present status of quarries within 500m radius of the proposed quarry from the Assistant Director, Geology and Mines, Tiruvanamalai District.

On receipt of the aforesaid details, SEAC shall further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

Agenda No: 180-04

File No: 7438/2020

Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.45.0 ha in S.F.No. 246/5, 247/1 and 247/2, Samuthiram Village, Manapparai Taluk, Trichy District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru.K.Balamurugan– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/141727/2020, dated: 08.02.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details of the project furnished by the project proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Project Proponent, Thiru.K.Balamurugan applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.45.0Ha in S.F.Nos. 246/5, 247/1 and 247/2, Samuthiram Village, Manapparai Taluk, Trichy District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of item 1(a) "Mining of Minerals Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

On initial discussions, concern engineer informed that the Assistant Director of Geology and Mining, Trichy District in his letter vide letter No 512/2018/Mines dated 10.08.2020, gave permit for 195 m³ of Rough stone for the earlier the quarry during the lease period of 05.03.2012 to 04.03.2015 and the existing dimension of the two mined pit are: 1.) 34 m (L) x 18m (W) x 12m (D) and 2.) ii) 39m (L) x 17m (W) x 30m (D) and hence, the project proposal comes under violation category.

Hence, SEIAA shall clarify whether the proposal shall come under violation category or not as informed by the concern engineer.

On receipt of the aforesaid clarification, SEAC shall further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

Agenda No. 180-05

(File No. 7528/2020)

Proposed Rough Stone, Jelly & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 0.72.0 ha at 44/10, 44/11, 44/12 & 44/13 Vadikottai Village, Sankarakovil Taluk Tirunelveli District by Thiru.D.Arunachalasamy –For Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/MIN/148456/2020, dated: 17.03.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent Thiru.D.Arunachalasamy applied for Environment Clearance for the proposed Rough Stone, Jelly & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 0.72.0 ha at 44/10, 44/11, 44/12 & 44/13 Vadikottai Village Sankarakovil Taluk, Tirunelveli District.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

On initial verifications, the concern engineer informed that total extent of the quarries lease periods was not mentioned for the existing quarries in the AD Lr. No. RC.No.M1/5315/2015 Dt. 08.11.2019.

On receipt of the aforesaid details, SEAC shall further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

Agenda No. 180-06

(File No.7650/2020)

Proposed Construction of Warehouse/Logistics/Storage Building Project M/s. SHIRINA Industrial & Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., SECURA Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., ERPL Industrial & Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., in Survey No: 98/2, 100, 101, 102/1, 102/2, 102/3, 103/1A, 103/1B, 103/2, 106, 107/3, 107/4, 107/5, 108/2, 117/1A, 117/1B1, 117/1B2, 155/1A, 155/2, 155/3, 156/1, 160/1, 160/2, 161/1, 161/2, 161/3A, 161/3B, 162, 163/1A, 163/1B, 164/1, 164/2, 165/1, 165/2, 166/1, 166/2, 166/3, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 277/1, 277/2, 278/1C, 278/2, 278/1A, 278/1B, 279/1, 279/2, 279/3, 280, 281, 282, 284/3, 285/1A, 285/1B, 285/1C, 285/1D, 285/1E, 285/1F, 285/2, 285/3, 286/1A, 286/1B, 286/2, 286/3A, 286/3B, 286/4A, 286/4B, Vishnuvakkam village & Karikalavakkam village , Tiruvallur Taluk, Tiruvallur District–For Environmental Clearance (SIA/TN/MIS/143622/2020, dated: 19.02.2020)

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent M/s. SHIRINA Industrial & Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., SECURA Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., ERPL Industrial & Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., applied for the Environmental Clearance for the proposed Construction of Warehouse/Logistics/Storage Building Project in Survey No: 98/2, 100, 101, 102/1, 102/2, 102/3, 103/1A, 103/1B, 103/2, 106, 107/3, 107/4, 107/5, 108/2, 117/1A, 117/1B1, 117/1B2, 155/1A, 155/2, 155/3, 156/1, 160/1, 160/2, 161/1, 161/2, 161/3A, 161/3B, 162, 163/1A, 163/1B, 164/1, 164/2, 165/1, 165/2, 166/1, 166/2,

**Member Secretary
SEAC**



**Chairman
SEAC**

166/3, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 277/1, 277/2, 278/1C, 278/2, 278/1A, 278/1B, 279/1, 279/2, 279/3, 280, 281, 282, 284/3, 285/1A, 285/1B, 285/1C, 285/1D, 285/1E, 285/1F, 285/2, 285/3, 286/1A, 286/1B, 286/2, 286/3A, 286/3B, 286/4A, 286/4B, Vishnuvakkam village & Karikalavakkam village, Tiruvallur Taluk, Tiruvallur District

2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 8(a) “Building and Constructions Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC instructed the project proponent to furnish the following details:

1. It is found that a canal is located adjacent to the boundary of the proposed site. Hence, the project proponent shall furnish the village map, FMB sketch and “A” register so as to confirm that the proposed project area and compound wall not intersecting the canal.
2. The Project Proponent instructed to submit the project proposal in one name instead of applying in three names. During the presentation, the project proponent accepted and requested to issue the Environmental Clearance in the name of M/s. SHIRINA Industrial & Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd., Accordingly, the project proponent shall submit the revised form I in one name.
3. The layout plan should be furnished for the greenbelt area earmarked with GPS coordinates by the project proponent on the periphery of the site and the same shall be submitted for CMDA/DTCP for approval. The greenbelt width shall be of minimum of 3m width along the boundaries of the project site and 33% of the total land area shall be maintained as Green belt.
4. The Project Proponent shall clearly indicate the type of activity proposed along with percentage or quantity of each activity in-specific.
5. The project Proponent shall furnish the detailed report on the Environmental Impact due to the proposed activity.
6. The project Proponent shall furnish the study on the Carbon Emissions and the Carbon Footprint due to diesel consumption and emissions from the proposed activity.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**



**Chairman
SEAC**

7. The two numbers of proposed sewage treatment plant shall be provided in two different locations considering the gradients.
8. The project Proponent shall revise the STP design and grey water treatment plant based on the characteristics study of Grey & Sewage water so as to utilize the treated water for recycling and flushing.
9. The project Proponent shall furnish the detailed storage plan along with type of activity involved in each proposed building.
10. The proposed OSR area should not be included in the activity area.
11. The OSR area should not be taken into account for green belt area.
12. The Proponent shall furnish the land use classification certificate obtained from the competent Authority for the Industrial activity.

On receipt of the aforesaid clarification, SEAC shall further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

Agenda No: 180– 07

File No.7602/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.85.0 ha in S.F.Nos. 27/6, 27/7, 27/8 & 29/1 of Nalmukkal Village, Marakkanam Taluk, Viluppuram District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. D.Durai– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/157621/2020, dated: 14.06.2020)

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Thiru. D.Durai, applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.85.0 ha in S.F.Nos. 27/6, 27/7, 27/8 & 29/1 of Nalmukkal Village, Marakkanam Taluk, Viluppuram District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

SEAC noted as informed by the project proponent vide MoEF& CC Notification S.O 2269(E) dated 01.07.2016 in the Paragraph (b) (i) (6)

"A cluster shall be formed when the distance between the peripheries of one lease is less than 500 meters from the periphery of other lease in a homogenous mineral area which shall be applicable to the mine lease or quarry licenses granted on and after 9 th September 2013"

"The leases not operative for three years or more and leases which have got environmental clearance as on 15th January 2016 shall not be counted for calculating the area of cluster, but shall be included in the Environment Management Plan and the Regional Environment Management Plan."

In the letter by Deputy Director, Department of Geology & mining, Villuppuram vide RC No.B/G&M/527/2018 dated 30.07.2020 stating as follows.

- i) No existing quarry.
- ii) Proposed quarries - (1.85 ha) – 1 Nos ; (1.35 ha) – 1 Nos
- iii) Abandoned quarries - (0.98.0 ha) - from 05.06.2014 to 24.05.2019 (Lease Period); - (1.64 ha) - from 24.08.2009 to 23.08.2019 (Lease Period); - (0.37.5 ha) - from 23.09.2014 to 22.09.2019 (Lease Period)

Considering the lease period of 3 abundant quarries and the proposed quarry, SEAC directed the project proponent to apply for TOR first before EC. Accordingly, the project proponent advised to revise their form 1 and other documents, necessary.

Agenda No: 180– 08

File No.7572/2020

Proposed Construction of multistoried commercial complex at S.F.No. 44/2, 44/3, 45/1 & 46/2(Old survey no. 2Pt, 3Pt, 4pt) in Kakapallam Village, Ambattur Taluk, Tiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. KVPS Property Developers Private Limited- For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIS/150663/2020, dated: 05.06.2020)

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

The proposal was placed in 170th SEAC Meeting held on 13.08.2020. The projectproponent notappeared for the appraisal meeting. Hence, SEAC decided to defer the proposal. Further, project proponent shall furnish the valid reason for not attending the meeting to SEIAA.

The project proponent submitted letter dated 22.08.2020, stating that Thiru. Sadayandi Ramesh, Managing Director of M/s. KVPS Property Developers Private Limited was unable to attend the SEAC meeting as he was quarantined due to COVID, and now, hehas recovered and will be able to attend the SEAC Meeting.

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. Theproject Proponent, M/s. KVPS Property Developers Private Limited,applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Construction of multistoried commercial complex at S.F.No. 44/2, 44/3, 45/1 & 46/2(Old survey no. 2 pt, 3 pt, 4pt) in Kakapallam Village, AmbatturTaluk, Tiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 8(a) “Building and Construction projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnishedby theprojectproponent SEACnotedthe following:

1. Disinfection for the treated Sewage and grey water not proposedfor both in STP and Grey water Treatment plant. Hence, the project proponent shall provide the disinfection for both STP and GWTsystemandaccordingly furnishthe reviseddesign details for both STP and GWTsystem.
2. Water balance shall be revisedas per the MoEF&CC guidelinesand all the calculation shall be furnished.
3. A clear plan of action for the re-plantation of existing326 trees in the project site (includingproposalto plant, etc.) shall be furnishedand accordingly, project proponentshall revise the EMP.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

4. OSR land shall be demarcated in the layout plan and entry and exit provision shall be provided for the public access.
5. In the presentation the consultant informed that the proposal consists of office building also. But, the project proponent informed that no office building was proposed. Hence, the consultant shall clearly furnish the proposal in consultation with the project proponent about the above ambiguity. Further, the SEAC felt that the consultant has furnished mislead information.
6. Bio- degradable waste generation will be 1224 kg/day. But during presentation the consultant has informed that the Bio- degradable waste generation is 816 kg/day. SEAC felt that the consultant has furnished mislead information. The project proponent shall explore the possibility of providing Bio-Methanation plant for the treatment and disposal of 1224 kg/ day Bio-degradable waste as per the Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016.
7. The project proponent shall furnish detailed baseline monitoring data along with prediction exercise for modeling the impact of emissions due to air, Noise and Traffic sources etc.
8. The project proponent shall earmark the greenbelt area with dimension and GPS coordinates for the green belt area along the boundary of the project site (minimum 3 m width) and the same shall be included in the layout plan.

After the receipt of the above details, the project proponent shall re-present their case before the SEAC.

Agenda No: 180– 09

File No.7659/2020

Proposed Rough stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.0 ha in S.F.No. 80(Part) of Kadayampatti North Village, Kadayampatti Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. S.G.Thangavel– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/162540/2020, dated: 08.07.2020)

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>. SEAC noted the following:

1. The project Proponent, Thiru. S.G.Thangavel, applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.0 ha in S.F.No. 80(Part) of Kadayampatti North Village, Kadayampatti Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B" of Item 1(a) "Mining of Minerals Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

SEAC noted as informed by the project proponent vide MoEF & CC Notification S.O 2269(E) dated 01.07.2016 in the Paragraph (b) (i) (6)

"A cluster shall be formed when the distance between the peripheries of one lease is less than 500 meters from the periphery of other lease in a homogenous mineral area which shall be applicable to the mine lease or quarry licenses granted on and after 9th September 2013"

"The leases not operative for three years or more and leases which have got environmental clearance as on 15th January 2016 shall not be counted for calculating the area of cluster, but shall be included in the Environment Management Plan and the Regional Environment Management Plan."

In the letter by Assistant Director, Department of Geology and Mining, Salem vide RoC No.194/2020/Mines-A dated 17.06.2020 stating as follows.

- i) Existing quarry - Nil
- ii) Abandoned quarries - (1.00.0 ha) – (From 02.08.2014 to 01.08.2019)
- iii) Lease period expired quarries - (2.00.0 ha)– (From 02.08.2009 to 12.07.2019)
- iv) Proposed quarries – (2.00.0 ha)

Member Secretary
SEAC



Chairman
SEAC

Considering the lease period of 3 abundant quarries and the proposed quarry, SEAC directed the project proponent to apply for TOR first before EC. Accordingly, the project proponent advised to revise their form 1 and other documents, necessary.

Agenda No: 180– 10

File No.7674/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.77.5 ha in S.F.No. 124/1 & 124/2 of Kodangipalaym Village, Palladam Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. R.Gopalakrishnan– For Terms of Reference.

(SIA/TN/MIN/ 57219 /2020, dated: 11.07.2020)

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The proposal was placed in 170th SEAC Meeting held on 13.08.2020. The projectproponent not appeared for the appraisal meeting. Hence, SEAC decided to defer the proposal. Further, project proponent shall furnish the valid reason for not attending the meeting to SEIAA.

Agenda No. 180-11

File No.7700/2020

Proposed Rough stone quarry lease over an extent of 2.00.0 ha at S.F.Nos. 51 (Part) of Allapanur Village, Thandrapattu Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District by Thiru.G.Dakshinamoorthy – for Environment Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/165727/2020, dated: 29.07.2020)

The proposal was placed in 180th meeting of SEAC held on 08.10.2020. When the project proposal came for appraisal the concern officer was unavailable to present the details about the project proposal to SEAC. Hence, it was decided to take the project proposal at the end of the meeting. At the end of the meeting concern officer was present the details about the project proposal. But, the Project proponent & Consultant not appeared for the meeting. Hence, SEAC decided to take this case in the future meeting.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

Agenda No: 180-12

File No: 7764/2020

Proposed Rough stone and Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 0.64.5 ha in S.F.Nos.99/4, 99/5A & 99/5BatKotanatham Village, Virudhunagar Taluk and District Tamil Nadu by TmtS.Shanmugammal– for Environment Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/168592/2020, dated: 18.08.2020)

The project proposal was placed once again in 180thSEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. Theproject proponent, TmtS.Shanmugammal Rough stone and Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 0.64.5 ha in S.F.Nos. 99/4, 99/5A & 99/5B atKotanatham Village, Virudhunagar Taluk, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of item 1(a) "Mining of Minerals Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation madeand documents furnished by the Project proponent, SEAC directed, project proponent to furnish the following details:

1. Several wells(around 108 wells)were present around 500 m surrounding the proposed quarry. Hence, a detail study on the hydro geological shall be carried out to know the impact on the surrounding wells by a reputed/ Government institution and the sameshall be furnished.
2. The photograph evidence for the fencing carried out for the already mined quarry should be produced.
3. The photograph evidenceof the Trees planted available at proposed quarry site to be produced.

On receipt of the aforesaid details, SEAC would further deliberate on this project and decide the further course of action.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

Agenda No: 180– 13

File No.7706/2020

Proposed Quartzite quarry over an extent of 2.91.5 ha in S.F.No. 312/1(P), 312/2 & 312/3 of Panchalakurichi Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District, Tamil Nadu by Tmt.M.Kanagalakshmi– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/166042/2020, dated: 31.07.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Tmt.M.Kanagalakshmi, applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Quartzite quarry over an extent of 2.91.5 ha in S.F.No. 312/1(P), 312/2 & 312/3 of Panchalakurichi Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the Project proponent, SEAC decided to recommend the project proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the following conditions, in addition to normal conditions:

1. After mining is completed, proper leveling should be done by the Project proponent and the Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
2. The project proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
3. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to arrest all fly material (debris) by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and adopting suitable working methodology by considering site

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

specific micro-meteorological conditions.

4. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining and other areas disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to condition fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc
5. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities and water bodies near the project site
6. The transportation of the minedout product should not disturb the tank bund structures and channel course
7. The project proponent shall undertake plantation/afforestation work by planting the native species on all sides of the tank bunds
8. Floor of excavated pit should be levelled and sides should be benched as per the mine closure plan
9. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
10. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.
11. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Mining plan is quarried well before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.
12. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016, M.A.No.920/2016, M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No. 981 /2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
13. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
14. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

15. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable should be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
16. The amount of Rs. 3 lakhs shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the development of the Sanitation Facilities & Drinking Water Facilities for Governagiri Village Middle school as committed by the project proponent before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
17. All the conditions imposed in the precise area communication by Industries (MMC.1) Department vide letter No.9149/MMC.1/2018-1, dated 30.08.2018 should be strictly followed.
18. All the conditions imposed in the mining plan approval by Department of Geology and Mining, Chennai vide letter No.1279/MM10/2018/Qtz/MP/Tut dated 05.09.2018 should be strictly followed.
19. Chalikulam Reserve forest is located at 60 meter from the mining area. Hence, the project proponent shall obtain necessary permission from the DFO, Thoothukudi District before commencement of the mining operation. This should be ensured by the District Collector before executing the mining lease.

Agenda No. 180 -14

(File No.7717/2020)

Proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 3.06.0 ha at S.F.No. 188/1, 188/2, 188/3, 188/4, 188/5, 188/6, 188/7, 188/8, 188/9, 189/4C (P), 189/4D, 189/4E, 191/1A, 191/1B, 191/1C, 191/3A (P), 191/3B, 191/3C, 191/3D, 193/4 (P), 193/5 (P), 193/5 (P), & 193/ 7(P) Alagupatti Village, Dindugal West Taluk, Dindigul District by Thiru.S.Manikannan–For Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/MIN/167195/2020, dated: 10.08.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details of the project are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent Thiru. S. Manikannan applied for Environment Clearance

**Member Secretary
SEAC**



**Chairman
SEAC**

for the proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 3.06.0 ha at S.F.No. 188/1, 188/2,188/3, 188/4,188/5, 188/6,188/7,188/8,188/9,189/4C (P),189/4D, 189/4E,191/1A, 191/1B,191/1C, 191/3A(P),191/3B,191/3C,191/3D,193/4(P), 193/5 (P),193/5 (P), & 193/ 7(P) Alagupatti Village, Dindugal West Taluk, Dindigul District.

2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

On initial verification, the concern engineer informed, lease area was quarried earlier (Existing Quarry) and pit dimensions of the mined area of 125x69x30m BGL as specified in the mining plan

For the earlier quarrying operation, EC granted for 24m depth BGL, however the actual mined depth at the site was 30m BGL

Now, the project proponent applied for Environment Clearance for proposed depth of 48 m BGL.

The first Precise area communication was granted vide District collector Na.Ka.No.157412007 kanimam dated 17.04.2008 for the period 19.02.2009 to 18.02.2004.

Further, 2nd Precise area granted vide Na.Ka.No.3512014 kanimam dated 08.04.2014 for the period 5 years for 2.23.0 ha. EC was issued for the same vide LT.No.SEIAA_TN/F.No.2698/EC/1a/1725/2014 dated 13.03.2015 for depth of 24 m. Lease was executed from 28.09.2015 to 27.09.2020. The same was cancelled vide District collector Na.Ka.No.80312019 Kanimam dated 22.11.2019. (Quantity excavated 42285m³)

The 3rd precise area communication granted vide Na.Ka.No 847/2019 kanimam dated 07.01.2020 includes of part of the additional survey numbers over an extent of 3.06.0 ha and mined pit dimension of 220mx170mx30m (including patta & Poramboke lands)

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

The project proponent exceeded the depth of mining granted as per EC issued earlier and violated EC norms:-

Hence, SEIAA shall clarify from Department of Geology & Mining for action taken for exceeding of the depth of mining as against Environmental Clearance issued.

On receipt of the above clarification from SEIAA, the subject may be placed before SEAC for consideration.

Agenda No. 180-15

File No.7720/2020

Proposed Rough stone quarry lease over an extent of 3.70.5 ha at S.F.Nos. 3/1 & 3/2 of Dasirihalli Village, Harur Taluk, Dharmapuri District by Thiru. M.G.Sekhar – for Environment Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/167064/2020, dated: 07.08.2020)

The project proposal was placed 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

- 1 The Proponent, Thiru. M.G.Sekhar applied for Environment Clearance for the proposed Rough stone quarry lease over an extent of 3.70.5 ha at S.F.Nos. 3/1 & 3/2 of Dasirihalli Village, Harur Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Tamil Nadu.
- 2 The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the proponent, after detailed deliberation, SEAC decided to recommend the project proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA for restricting the ultimate depth to 40 m (15 m Above the ground level + 25 m Below the ground level) and mined quantity of 5,95,376 m³ of rough stone, 30,520 m³ of gravel and 16,940 m³ of Top soil for five years with a bench height of 5 m as per approved mining plan, considering the hydro geological regime of the site subject to the following conditions in addition to normal

**Member Secretary
SEAC**

**Chairman
SEAC**

conditions:

1. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in the surrounding wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB.
2. After mining is completed, proper leveling should be done by the Project proponent and the Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
3. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
4. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to arrest all fly material (debris) by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and adopting suitable working methodology by considering site specific micro-meteorological conditions.
5. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining and other areas disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to condition fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc
6. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.
7. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
8. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.
9. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agriculture university.
10. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Mining plan is quarried well before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

11. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016, M.A.No.920/2016, M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No.981 /2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
12. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable shall be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
13. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
14. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.
15. The amount of Rs. 3.27 Lakhs shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the work for providing the smart class (LED projector with computer facilities), Sanitation facilities & drinking water facilities for Puliur Village Government School committed by the project proponent before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

Agenda No: 180– 16

File No.7739/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.22.0 ha in S.F.No. 155/2(Part) of Morattupalayam Village, Uthukuli Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. T.N.Thangaraj– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/165630/2020, dated: 29.07.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Thiru. T.N.Thangaraj, applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.22.0 ha in S.F.No. 155/2(Part) of Morattupalayam Village, UthukuliTaluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the proponent, SEAC noted the following:

- i) Earlier Environment Clearance obtained by ThiruT.N.Thangaraj for the quarrying of Rough Stone & Gravel quarry at S.F.No.155/2, Morattupalayam Village, AvinashiTaluk, Tiruppur District for a mine lease area of 1.62.5 ha to a depth of 13 m for a production of 45385 Cu.m of Rough Stone & 4005 Cu.m of Gravel over a period of 5 years vide SEIAA Lr.No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.1241/EC/1(a)/1588/2013 dated 11.02.2015. Now the project proponent applied for Environment Clearance for Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.22.0 ha in S.F.No. 155/2(Part) of Morattupalayam Village, UthukuliTaluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. T.N.Thangaraj.
- ii) In the patta copy submitted by the project proponent, SEACnoticedthat S.F.No.155/2 is for an area of 1.62.5 ha. The Project proponent not submitted sub-division document for the Environment Clearancefor the applied mining area 1.22.0 ha in S.F.No. 155/2(Part) of Morattupalayam Village, UthukuliTaluk, Tiruppur District.

Under the above circumstances, as per the 500 m radius furnished vide R.c.No.396/2020/Mines dated 22.07.2020and considering the present project proposalfor the mining lease area of 1.62.5 ha, the total area exceeds 5 ha.

Member Secretary
SEAC



Chairman
SEAC

Hence, SEIAA shall verify the document with respect to the above before placing the subject to SEAC.

Agenda No. 180 -17

(File No.7742/2020)

Proposed Rough Stone quarry lease over an extent of 0.48.0 ha at 14/2A (P) & 14/2B (P) at Chickkarthanahalli Village, PalacodeTaluk, Dharmapuri District by Thiru.P.Muniyappan –For Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/MIN/166168/2020, dated: 01.08.2020)

The project proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following: The SEAC noted the following:

1. The project Proponent Thiru.P.Muniyappan applied for Environment Clearance for the proposed Rough Stone quarry lease over an extent of 0.48.0 ha at 14/2A (P) & 14/2B (P) Chickkarthanahalli Village, PalacodeTaluk, Dharmapuri District.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category "B2" of Item 1(a) "Mining Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and documents furnished by the Project proponent, SEAC decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions:

1. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in the surrounding wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB.
2. After mining is completed, proper leveling should be done by the Project proponent and the Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
3. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed

**Member Secretary
SEAC**



**Chairman
SEAC**

area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

4. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to arrest all fly material (debris) by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and adopting suitable working methodology by considering site specific micro-meteorological conditions.
5. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining and other areas disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to condition fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc.
6. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the projectsite.
7. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Villageroad.
8. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where everapplicable.
9. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agricultureuniversity.
10. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Environmental clearance is quarriedwell-before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the DistrictAuthorities.
11. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016,M.A.No.920/2016,M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016

**Member Secretary
SEAC**



**Chairman
SEAC**

(M.A.No.981/2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).

12. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable shall be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
13. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
14. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponent shall be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.
15. The amount of Rs. 50,000/- shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the work for providing the Smart class facilities & sanitation facilities for Chickkarthanahalli Village Government School as committed by the project proponent before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

Agenda No: 180– 18

File No.7756/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.22.0 ha in S.F.No. 83/3(P) & 83/4(P) of Kannamoochi Village, Mettur Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. G.Nandhivarman– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/168755/2020, dated: 19.08.2020)

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Thiru. G.Nandhivarman, applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.22.0 ha in S.F.No. 83/3(P) & 83/4(P) of Kannamoochi Village, Mettur Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the project proponent SEAC, decided to recommend the proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA for restricting the ultimate depth of 26 m (16 m Above the ground level + 10 m Below the ground level) and quantity of 96,901m³ of rough stone and 8211 m³ of gravel for five years with a bench height of 5 m as per the approved mining plan considering the hydro geological regime of the site, subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions;

1. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in the surrounding wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB
2. After mining is completed, proper leveling should be done by the Project proponent and the Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
3. The proponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
4. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining and other areas disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to condition fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc
5. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to arrest all fly material (debris) by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and adopting suitable working methodology by considering site specific micro-meteorological conditions.
6. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.
7. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
8. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

9. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agriculture university.
10. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Mining plan is quarried wellbefore the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.
11. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016,M.A.No.920/2016,M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No. 981 /2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).
12. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable shouldbe obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.
13. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.
14. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponentshould be strictly followed after the lapse of the mining activity.
15. The amount of Rs. 68,000/- shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the development of the Toilet Facilities & Drinking Water Facilities for Chettiyr Government School as committed by the project proponentbefore obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
16. All the conditions imposed in the precise area communication issued by District collector, Salem vide letter dated 06.11.2019 should be strictly followed.
17. All the conditions imposed in the mining plan approval by Department of Geology and Mining, Salem vide RC No.860/2019/Mines-A dated 07.12.2019 should be strictly followed.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

18. All the conditions imposed in the DFO, Salem vide Letter dated 09.03.2020 should be strictly followed.

Agenda No: 180– 19

File No.7829/2020

Proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 2.66.0 ha in S.F.No. 354/1(P), 357/1A, 358 & 359 of Padiyur Village, Vendasandur Taluk, Dindigul District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. S.Ramesh– For Environmental Clearance.

(SIA/TN/MIN/171971/2020, dated: 08.09.2020)

The proposal was placed in 180th SEAC Meeting held on 08.10.2020. The details furnished by the project Proponent are given in the website of <http://parivesh.nic.in>.

SEAC noted the following:

1. The Proponent, Thiru. S.Ramesh, applied for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Rough stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 2.66.0 ha in S.F.No. 354/1(P), 357/1A, 358 & 359 of Padiyur Village, Vendasandur Taluk, Dindigul District, Tamil Nadu.
2. The project/activity is covered under Category “B” of Item 1(a) “Mining of Minerals Projects” of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

Based on the presentation made and the documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC decided to recommend the project proposal for grant of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA for restricting the ultimate depth of 40 m below the ground level and quantity of 2,71,142 m³ of rough stone and 35,418 m³ of gravel for five years with a bench height of 5 m as per the approved mining plan considering the hydro geological regime of the site, subject to the following conditions in addition to normal conditions:

1. Groundwater level and quality should be monitored once in six months in the surrounding wells around the quarry and the record should be maintained and annual report should be submitted to the TNPCB

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

2. After mining is completed, proper levelling should be done by the Project proponent and the Environmental Management Plan furnished by the Proponent should be strictly followed.
3. The projectproponent should erect fencing all around the boundary of the proposed area with gates for entry/exit as per the conditions and shall furnish the photographs/map showing the same before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.
4. The Project proponent shall, after ceasing mining operations, undertake re-grassing the mining and other areas disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to condition fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc
5. Proper barrier to reduce noise level, dust pollution and to arrest all fly material (debris) by providing green belt and/or metal sheets along the boundary of the quarrying site and adopting suitable working methodology by considering site specific micro-meteorological condition direction.
6. The operation of the quarry should not affect the agriculture activities & water bodies near the project site.
7. Transportation of the quarried materials shall not cause any hindrance to the Village people/Existing Village road.
8. The Project Proponent shall comply with the mining and other relevant rules and regulations where ever applicable.
9. The proponent shall develop adequate green belt with native species on the periphery of the mine lease area before commencement of the mining activity, in consultation with DFO of the concern district/agriculture university.
10. The quarrying activity shall be stopped if the entire quantity indicated in the Mining plan is quarriedwell before the expiry of the quarry lease period and the same shall be monitored by the District Authorities.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**

11. The recommendation for the issue of environmental clearance is subject to the outcome of the Hon'ble NGT, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A No.186 of 2016 (M.A.No.350/2016) and O.A. No.200/2016 and O.A.No.580/2016 (M.A.No.1182/2016) and O.A.No.102/2017 and O.A.No.404/2016 (M.A.No. 758/2016,M.A.No.920/2016,M.A.No.1122/2016, M.A.No.12/2017 & M.A. No. 843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No. 981 /2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017).

12. Prior clearance from Forestry & Wild Life including clearance from committee of the National Board for Wild life as applicable should be obtained before starting the quarrying operation, if the project site attracts the NBWL clearance.

13. To ensure safety measures along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards to be posted during the entire period of mining operation.

14. The mine closure plan submitted by the project proponents should be strictly followed after the lapse of the mine.

15. The amount of Rs. 1,61,000/- shall be utilized as CER activities to carry out the development of the Toilet Facilities & Drinking Water Facilities for Padiyur Government School as committed by the project proponent before obtaining the CTO from TNPCB.

16. All the conditions imposed in the precise area communication issued by District collector, Dindigul vide Proc dated 05.06.2012 should be strictly followed.

18. All the conditions imposed in the mining plan approval by Department of Geology and Mining, Dindigul vide RC No.100/2020 (mines) dated 25.06.2020 should be strictly followed.

Finally the meeting ended at 8.45 P.M.

**Member Secretary
SEAC**


**Chairman
SEAC**