

Minutes of 131st Meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bays No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula from 1st December to 3rd December, 2021 under the Chairmanship of Sh. Sameer Pal Srow, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.

All the items of 130th meeting are confirmed and the Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda were discussed and following decisions were taken:-

Dated: 01.12.2021

With the onset of meeting it was brought to the notice of Hon'ble Chairman, SEIAA that a number of applications pertaining to violation cases are pending in the Authority.

After deliberating on the issue, the Authority decided to take following steps in such cases;

1. Methodology for calculation of Damage Assessment on the basis of Guidelines & the formula devised issued by CPCB (<https://cpcb.nic.in/uploads/report-15.07.2019.pdf>)
2. File of the case will be placed before the authority only after verifying the current status of the project and the magnitude of the violation by a Joint committee comprising of concerned Regional Officer, HSPCB and the Member Nominated by SEIAA through spot inspection.
3. The Matter of M/s G.P Realtors; where the damage assessment has been carried out shall be sent to Legal Remembrance Department, Government of Haryana to obtain advice to proceed further in the current matter as well as in the similar cases.

Item No.[1] Violation ToR for project Expansion of Corporate Office Complex located at Plot No.13, Sector-32, Urban Estate, Gurugram-II, Haryana by M/s Padmini Technologies Ltd.

The proposal is for "Approval of **ToR** under *Violation category*".

- Initially the case was considered in 215th meeting of SEAC held on 17.06.2021 and recommended this case to SEIAA for approval of ToR under Violation Category.
- The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 08.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to find out that:
 - a) Whether the case has been applied during the stipulated time period for applying the cases under the "Violation category" as per the notification 14/03/2017 & subsequent notification 08/03/2018 or not ?
 - b) The proof of credible action taken under the EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

1. PP submitted the proposal to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/154294/2020.08.2020.
2. PP has submitted a request letter dated 02.02.2018 submitted on 05.02.2018 to SEIAA

Haryana asking the clarity of applicability/ requirement of Environmental Clearance on our project.

3. The State Environment Impact assessment Authority, Haryana has written to the Chairman, HSPCB vide a letter no. SEIAA/HR//478 dated 12.05.2021 for initiating credible action against M/s Padmini Technologies Ltd, 101-,1st floor, kundan Niwas, Hari Nagar Ashram, New Delhi-110014 under section 15 of the Environment (protection) Act, 1986 for commencing construction of “Corporate office complex” located at plot no.13, Sector 32, Urban Estate Gurugram-II Haryana without obtaining Environment clearance under EIA notification 14.09.2006.

The request letter dated 02.02.18 submitted on 05.02.18 by PP was also placed before the Committee.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[2] EC for Expansion of Ware House at Village Behrampur, Gurguram, Haryana by M/s P.D.Enterprises.

The case pertains to Violation of EIA notification & submitted for approval of “**Terms of Reference**” under violation category.

The project proponent has submitted the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.06.2018 with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E) dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No. S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

The case was taken up in **129thSEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 and Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC** to verify & clearly state whether the case has been submitted during the “Time Window” for “Violation Cases” and the credible action taken report.

Authority further asked SEAC to look into the following too;

- i) Damage assessment to be done as per the guidelines of NGT /guidelines of CPCB issued in this regard
- ii) Budget for Remediation & Augmentation Plan to be calculated as per the norms of NGT/CPCB (Guidelines issued)
- iii) Green area shown is 819.219 sq. mt. out of the Plot area 14,973.36 Sq.mt., approx.. 5.47% which is very less considering the norms & type of Project.This aspect to be considered while assessing the “Environmental Damage”.
- iv) If unit is in operation, submitted data should be verified
- v) Public consultation required

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[3] Environment Clearance for Expansion of Warehouse (For Storage of Commercial Goods) planned at Village Binola, & Bhora Kalan, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Skymettle Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.

The project was earlier submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 27.07.2018 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The present case is for approval of “ToR under Violation category”

The case was taken up in 219th SEAC meeting & the committee forwarded the following recommendations to SEIAA for approval.

- a) For clubbing the 2 files submitted vide letter dated 27.07.2018 and 30.07.2021 respectively
- b) For Grant of Terms of Reference subject to outcome of Hon’ble Madras High Court Case along with public consultation and additional terms of reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP)

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 13.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, decided to refer the case back to SEAC with the following directives:

- Damage assessment & the budget for remediation plan to be carried out as per Hon’ble NGT/CPCB guidelines issued in this regard
- The timeline must be factored in since when the Damage to environment has been done with the quantum to damage.
- Remediation & Resource Augmentation plan to be verifiable, sustainable and should additional to what PP is supposed to do under the conditions of CTO/EC.
- Budget for Remediation & Resource augmentation plan to be checked & verified by, after taking all the details needed from the record/PP/Consultant.
- To check whether the case has been applied during the “Time Window” provided for such cases
- Credible action report as per EPA, 1986

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[4]Amendment in EC of Group Housing Project “Ibiza Town” at Village Lakkarpur, Surajkund, Faridabad Haryana by M/s Krrish Shalimar Projects Pvt. Ltd.

The case has been recommended to SEIAA for “Extension of Validity of EC” & “Amendment in Accorded EC”. Earlier EC has been granted to the project vide letter no. 381 dated 15.11.2012. The Occupation certificate has been granted to the project on dated 14.01.2020. PP has applied for “extension” of already accorded but “Expired EC” and further needs the amendments due to some revision in plans in the earlier granted “EC”.

The case was taken up in **131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021**; the Authority considered the recommendations of SEAC. The authority observed that the case pertains to “Amendment” & “Extension of Validity”. As far as “Amendment” in granted “EC” is concerned “Authority” stated that the decision of Authority taken & stated in this regard in 129th meeting held on 13.10.2021 should be referred.

If there is no increase in “Pollution Load”, PP must get a certificate of “No Increase in pollution Load” from “HSPCB”. The validity of already expired “EC” cannot be extended.

After detailed deliberations&in view of the above stated facts; the Authority decided to decline the recommendations for “Extension of Validity” & “Amendment in EC”.

Item No.[5] Correction in the EC letter issued for Warehouse/Logistic/Industrial storage project located in the Revenue Estate of the Village Sehswala, Taluka, Tauru, District Mewat (Nuh), Haryana by M/s ERPL Warehouse Park Pvt. Ltd.

The project has already been accorded the Environment Clearance No. SEIAA(125)/HR/2020/510 dated 02.11.2020. The case has been taken up in 129th SEIAA meeting dated 13.10.2021; Authority forwarded the request letter to SEAC for further examination and to make the appropriate recommendations in this regard.

The case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of raised observations.

Sr.No	Query	Reply
1.	Submit a Self-Contained note regarding the change proposed in FAR and Non FAR values.	<p>During the SEAC meeting of project, clarification over the FAR and Non- FAR correction was given.</p> <p>Environment Clearance was granted to the project dated 02.11.2020.</p> <p>By mistake, the Mezzanine area of 25,500 sq.m. was proposed within the Non FAR area. However, the Mezzanine area must be a part of FAR as per the Haryana Building Code 2017 and amended thereof.</p> <p>Therefore, we have made a plea to consider the mezzanine area of 25,500 sq.m. in the FAR; the</p>

		<p>Builtup area remains the same.</p> <p>FAR is also within the permissible limit of 75% of the total plot area.</p> <p>There is no change in the population, water requirement, electricity demand and other environmental parameters as approved in the Environment Clearance since the project requirements were already taken into the consideration in the application forms submission.</p> <p>Thus, the correction is only being sought for the mistake in FAR and Non- FAR figures only.</p>
--	--	--

SEAC considered the following corrections in the EC letter dated 02.11.2020.

Table1: Proposed correction in EC letter dated 02.11.2020				
Sr. No.	Particulars	As per EC letter 02.11.2020	Correction	Final Corrected value
1	Total proposed FAR including Mezzanine area	101275.82	25500	126772.85
2	Total proposed Non FAR	33958.17	-25500	8458.17
3	Total Built up Area	135233.99	0	135,233.99

The committee has recommended to SEIAA for above correction in Built-up area (FAR & Non-FAR) in EC letter dated 2/11/20 with certain additional conditions whereas other stipulations will remain the same as mentioned in “EC” letter dated 02.11.2020.

Additional Stipulations:

1. Consent to establish/operate for the project shall be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board as required under the Air (Prevention and Control of pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1974 for amended/corrected EC dated 02.11.2020.
2. Any change in stipulations of EC will lead to Environment Clearance void-ab-initio and PP will have to seek fresh Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 131st meeting of SEIAA held on 01.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC.

Item No.[6] EC for Proposed Integrated Residential Colony Plotted and Group Housing Sushant City Royale at Sector 35/36 Karnal Haryana by M/s Ansal Landmark (Karnal).

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/56727/2017. The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 10.10.2016. The

project proponent submitted the case the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC. The case was taken up for approval of Terms of Reference in the 143rd meeting of the SEAC held on 27.10.2016. The Project proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to issue 30 days' notice to the PP. Accordingly the notice will be issued by the Secretary, SEAC to the Project Proponent. The observations of 143rd meeting were conveyed to the PP vide letter No. 1582 dated 08.11.2016. The PP submitted the request on dated 27.06.2017.

The case was again taken up in the 219th meeting held on dated 13.08.2021 and PP presented the case before the committee after detailed deliberations, SEAC again recommended the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance under violation category subject to the following specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects:

1. SEAC recommended for an amount of ₹ 23,88,300/- towards Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan to be spend within a span of three years.
2. Total budgetary provision with respect to Remediation plan and Natural & Community Resource Augmentation plan is rupees 23,88,300/-Therefore, project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee of an amount of Rupees ₹23,88,300/- towards Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan with the Haryana State Pollution Control Board prior to the grant of EC.
3. Remediation plan shall be completed in 3 years whereas bank guarantee shall be for 5 years. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority/SEIAA.
4. Approval/permission of the CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained, if applicable before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.
5. The PP should submit the 6 monthly action taken report on the compliance of environmental conditions to the Regional Officer, MoEF&CC, Haryana State Pollution Control Board and Chairman, SEIAA.
6. The PP shall bear the cost of remedial plan and will be responsible to maintain and manage the same.
7. The PP shall also submit the details of status of development of Green plan, species planted, and survival status along with existing trees species wise and also maintain the record date wise along with digital mapping.
8. The PP shall also maintain the record of trees/plants to be planted as per the Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan along with digital mapping, latitude, longitude details.
9. The PP shall submit the prosecution details filled by HSPCB in environment court Kurukshetra under EP act, 1986 before the meeting of SEIAA as prosecution has been sanctioned by Chairman, HSPCM vide letter dated 11.08.2021.
10. The PP shall not start construction and development works without getting EC under violation Act/provisions of notification.

The case taken up **129thmeeting dated 13.10.2021** decided to refer back it to SEAC with the following directives:

- Damage assessment & the budget for remediation plan to be carried out as per Hon'ble NGT/CPCB guidelines issued in this regard
- The timeline must be factored in since when the Damage to environment has been done with the quantum to damage.
- Remediation & Resource Augmentation plan to be verifiable, sustainable and should additional to what PP is supposed to do under the conditions of CTO/EC.
- Budget for Remediation & Resource augmentation plan to be checked & verified thoroughly, after taking all the details needed from the record/PP/Consultant.
- To check whether the case has been applied during the "Time Window" provided for such cases
- Credible action report as per EPA,1986

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225thmeeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021.The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129thmeeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[7] Environment Clearance for Proposed Development of Integrated Township in the name of Ardee City (133.40 acres already developed + 71.458 acres proposed) at Sector 52 and Sector 57, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Ardee Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in **219thmeeting of SEAC held on 13.08.2021** and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. The recommendation of SEAC consider in **129th meeting of SEIAA dated 14.10.2021**, Authority observed that:

- a) No. & volume of RWH pits mentioned in MoM of SEAC is 71 & 30 cm³ respectively, probably seems to be an error, even in the original documents no. is mentioned but size & volume of RWH pit is not mentioned
- b) Total waste water generation mentioned is 1106 KLD & proposed capacity of STP is 925 KLD, much lesser than the required capacity.

Authority decided **to refer back the case to SEAC** to look into the above written aspects and recommend accordingly.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021.The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

S. No.	Query	Reply
1.	No. & volume of RWH pits mentioned in MoM of SEAC is 71 & 30 cm ³ respectively,	Rain water Harvesting pits proposed in Group housing, U.D. & School are 46 nos.

	probably seems to be an error, even in the original documents no. is mentioned but size & volume of RWH pit is not mentioned	and RWH pits proposed in plotted colony are 25 nos. So, total RWH pits proposed are 71 nos. and volume of each pit will be 30 m ³ (Radius =2m and depth 2.4 m). In documents submitted it was wrongly mention as 30 cm ³ instead on 30 m ³ .
2.	Total waste water generation mentioned is 1106 KLD & proposed capacity of STP is 925 KLD, much lesser than the required capacity.	739 KLD of waste water will be generated from the group housing project out of 1106 KLD which will be treated in onsite STP of 925 KLD capacity. Rest, 367 KLD of waste water will be generated from the plotted colony which will be discharged in public sewer for which the permission has already been granted. Since it is a old developed sector way before EIA notification, HUDA has already considered its infrastructure including sewer and water.

The committee discussed the reply of PP, RWH number, wrongly mention of pit size and the size of pit is 30m³ instead of 30cm³ and SEAC decided again to recommended the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance along with correction in size of RWH pit as 30m³ whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 219th meeting held on dated 13.08.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted & recommendations of SEAC.

After extended deliberations Authority showed its concern that no untreated water can be discharged in the public sewer or anywhere else. Authority asked the PP to increase the capacity of STP or install another STP to further the capacity equivalent (1106*1.25~ 1385 KLD) to 1.25 times of “Waste Water Generated (1106 KLD)”.

Authority decided to agree with the other recommendations of SEAC & decided to grant “EC” to the said project with the condition that no untreated water would be discharged in public sewer and anywhere else and PP would install STP of requisite capacity (not less than 1385 KLD) to treat all the wastewater being generated in the project. Authority further asked the proponent to submit the schematic plan of the STP with said capacity. The Project proponent and his Consultant has submitted desired Affidavits vide letter dated 30.11.2021 received on 01.12.2021.

Item No.[8]Environment Clearance for FWS Logistic Park project at Village: Khurampur, Tehsil: Farrukhnagar, Distt: Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s S Y Logistic Park LLP.

The case was considered in 217th & 219th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021 & 14.08.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 14.10.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority observed that:

- Design calculations of RWH pits seem to be bit unusual & could not be understood. Why the “Intake capacity of Bore” to be included in volume of pit, secondly, 4 bores taken in single pit, doesn't seem to be feasible?

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to re-examine the calculations carried out to determine the no. of proposed RWH pits in the usual manner, SEAC should thoroughly check & if need be then the new design be endorsed by some expert of this field.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

Query 1	Submit the self-contained note stating supporting facts and documents for considering the intake capacity of bore into the volume of the rainwater harvesting pit.
Reply	<p>For calculation of capacity of Artificial Recharge pits three components are required to be taken.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Free Board Area (without filter media) where only water is available, this is considered to be above the filter media and below the invert level of the Recharge Pit. 2. Filter media: As we fill the boulders and gravels as a filter media there are some spaces/voids left which are filled up by water and for this porosity of this material is considered i.e. 50% of media volume. 3. Intake capacity of the bore: Intake capacity depends upon the Recharge Test in that particular region, i.e. with what capacity the water can be absorbed by the land. As per CGWB Chandigarh, the intake capacity in Haryana Region varies from 5 LPS (Liter per second) to 10 LPS as maximum region is made up of old alluvium. <p>As recommended by CGWB, this needs to be taken into consideration as the water enters into the pit, filtration process starts and water starts flowing in the aquifer through this shaft. Recharge is done artificially and it continues till the water is not emptied completely into the aquifer. As this is a continuous flow, so this Intake capacity is also considered while designing.</p>
Query 2	Submit the certified copy of the Rain Water Harvesting design from the MEP.
Reply	The rain water harvesting design is certified from the MEP. The copy of the same is Placed on record

Query 3	Submit the clarification for the surface run-off from roof top.
Reply	The rooftop design of the proposed project is slanted, hence, the run-off coefficient considered for the rain water harvesting calculation is taken as 0.9

The SEAC has again recommended the case to SEIAA for grant of “EC” to the project.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 131st meeting of SEIAA held on 01.12.2021 and Authority discussed the design, number & dimensions of “RWH pits”. Authority further observed that there is some discrepancy in dimension (breadth) of pit as stated in MoM of SEAC & the annexure XIV submitted by PP as reply to the queries.

Authority, further, directed the proponent to construct 13 no. of RWH pits (against 11no. proposed) with the stated dimensions (as submitted in reply) i.e. L=6 m B=4.5 m & D= 4 m and having 4 Bore per RWH pit respectively. The Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant “EC” to the said project. The Project proponent and his Consultant has submitted desired Affidavits vide letter dated 30.11.2021 received on 01.12.2021.

Item No.[9] EC of the Affordable Group Housing Colony at Revenue Estate Village Chandawali, Sector 64, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Adore Buildtech LLP.

The case was considered in 219th meeting of SEAC held on 14.08.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

Authority considered the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC in its 129th SEIAA dated 14.10.2021 Authority observed that:

- Design calculations of RWH pits seem to be unusual & could not be understood. Why the “Intake capacity of Bore” to be included in volume of pit, secondly, 2 bores taken in single pit, doesn’t seem to be feasible?

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to re-examine the calculations carried out to determine the no. of proposed RWH pits in the usual manner, SEAC should thoroughly check & if need be then the new design be endorsed by some expert of this field.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

Query 1	Submit the self-contained note stating supporting facts and documents for considering the intake capacity of bore into the volume of the rainwater harvesting pit.
----------------	---

Reply	<p>For calculation of capacity of Artificial Recharge pits three components are required to be taken.</p> <p>4. Free Board Area (without filter media) where only water is available, this is considered to be above the filter media and below the invert level of the Recharge Pit.</p> <p>5. Filter media: As we fill the boulders and gravels as a filter media there are some spaces/voids left which are filled up by water and for this porosity of this material is considered i.e. 50% of media volume.</p> <p>6. Intake capacity of the bore: Intake capacity depends upon the Recharge Test in that particular region, i.e. with what capacity the water can be absorbed by the land. As per CGWB Chandigarh, the intake capacity in Haryana Region varies from 5 LPS (Litre per second) to 10 LPS as maximum region is made up of old alluvium.</p> <p>As recommended by CGWB, this needs to be taken into consideration as the water enters into the pit, filtration process starts and water starts flowing in the aquifer through this shaft. Recharge is done artificially and it continues till the water is not emptied completely into the aquifer. As this is a continuous flow, so this Intake capacity is also considered while designing.</p>
Query 2	Submit the certified copy of the Rain Water Harvesting design from the MEP.
Reply	The rain water harvesting design is certified from the MEP. The copy of the same is attached as Annexure -I.
Query 3	Submit the clarification for the surface run-off from roof top.
Reply	The rooftop design of the proposed project is flat, hence, the run-off coefficient considered for the rain water harvesting calculation is taken as 0.8

Authority, further, directed the proponent to construct 7 no. of RWH pits (against 6 no. proposed) with the stated dimensions (as submitted in reply) i.e. L=4.5 m, B=3.5 m & D=4 m and having dual Bore per RWH pits respectively. The Authority decided to agree with the recommendations & appraisal of SEAC to grant "EC" to the said project. The project proponent and his consultant has submitted desired Affidavits vide letter dated 30.11.2021 received on 01.12.2021.

Item No.[10] ToR for Existing Project for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 90 M.T per day at Plot No. 54, HSIIDC, Manakpur Chhachhrauli Road, Jagadhri District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana -135003 by M/s Synochem Organics Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA on 13/07/2021. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if

required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent, unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualifies and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF&CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF & CC.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After lots of discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF & CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF & CC, GOI.

Item No.[11] ToR for Capacity Expansion of Formaldehyde Manufacturing Unit in existing facility from 100 TPD to 120 TPD at Plot No.M-28 & E-57, Industrial area Yamunanagar, Haryana by M/s Globe Panel Industries India Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA dated 13/07/21. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent, unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualify and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA,1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF&CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF&CC.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF&CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 1st December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After lots of discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF & CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF & CC, GOI.

Dated: 02.12.2021

Item No.[12] ToR for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 80 TPD at Plot No. 83, Sec-1, Phase-I, Growth Centre Saha (Approved Industrial Area), Ambala, Haryana by M/s Gayatri Industries.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA dated 13/07/21. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualify and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF&CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF&CC.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF&CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself

requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After lots of discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF & CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF & CC, GOI.

Item No.[13]ToR for Capacity Expansion of Formaldehyde Manufacturing Unit in Existing Facility from 80 TPD to 250 TPD at Plot No.211, HSIIDC Industrial Estate, Manakpur, Jagadhri, Distt. Yamuna Nagar (H.R) by M/s Sanwaria Polymers Industries Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA dated 13/07/21. The auto ToR was generated for expansion on 15.06.21 for preparation of EIA report.

PP requested to consider the proposal for approval of Terms of Reference under Violation in reference to OM dated 07.07.2021 and case was forwarded to SEAC for appraisal and additional ToR if required under the mandate of 07.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 20.07.2021. The Project proponent, unanimously decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference and additional terms of reference (under violation) for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.10.2021; after detailed discussion the authority intended to know whether the unit qualifies and has applied in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March, 2017 and Authority asked SEAC to verify the credible action taken under EPA, 1986.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

PP has submitted the following:

1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 14.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF&CC.

One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF&CC

4. Minutes of Meeting of 129thSEIAA meeting held on 11.10.2021 states “SEAC recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF&CC with the additional Terms of Reference along with Public hearing.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12thNov, 2020. Being “B” category project (as the plant is located within the industrial area), Public Hearing is not required for the project.

SEAC again decided to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of TOR under violation category whereas specific TOR in addition to all standard TOR applicable for such projects, auto TOR will remain same as recommended vide 217thmeeting held on dated 20.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2ndDecember, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After lots of discussion, the Authority decided to seek clarifications from MoEF & CC, GoI in regards to the said project and deferred this case till the clarification is received from MoEF & CC, GOI.

Item No.[14] Environment Clearance for Warehouse Project at Village Binola, Manesar, Gurugram Haryana by India Land and Space Logistics Pvt. ltd.

The project pertains to the development of “Ware-house” in Manesar, Gurugram. The project has been started & completed without seeking the prior “Environmental Clearance” as per EIA, 2006.

The case has been submitted to SEIAA with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

Total Plot area of the project is 50408.23 Sq. Meters and net plot area is 32412.52 Sq. Meters. Total built-up area is 28666.79 Sq. Meters. The ToR was granted to the project on 07.08.2018.

Finally, the case was taken up in 216th meeting held 30.06.2021. PP submitted that prosecution has been filed in special Environment court Faridabad vide case no. 33/2019. The committee has decided that an amount of ₹5836000/- towards Remediation Management Plan, Community & Natural Resources Augmentation Plan to be spent within a span of 3 years. Based on the information furnished by the project proponent, the SEAC recommended the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance under violation category subject to certain specific conditions.

The case was considered in 129th SEIAA meeting held on 09/10/2021, Authority observed the following:

- As per the submission of PP in front of SEAC “the construction work started without obtaining the Environment Clearance due to unawareness of EIA Notification, 2006, after its building plans

were approved by DTCP Haryana in 2012, therefore “Environmental damage” started with the start of construction. Volume & Quantum of construction/damage to environment should be assessed as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT.

- Recalculate the “Budget for Remedial & Augmentation Plan” considering time line/volume of construction/Location of project etc. as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT. PP & consultant to submit the various input factors needed for calculations under the oath of its authenticity.
- Submitted is 60 mt. wide “Green Belt” as part of total “Green area”, is this “Peripheral or inside”, if peripheral; is it part of total land mass meant for project?
- Plot area mentioned 50,408.23 sqm, net plot area 32412.52sqm & total no. of “RWH Pits” 4 pits (Diameter: 3.5 m and Depth: 4m), mentioned in MoM. Even considering the net plot area the no. & volume of RWH pits seems to be lesser.

Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC with the direction to look into the proof of credible action taken as per EPA, 1986 and whether the proponent applied during the window period as per Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 and SEAC decided again to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance along with **9 no. of RWH pits instead of 4 RWH Pits** whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 216th meeting held on 30.06.2021 with additional stipulation.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[15] EC for Residential Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna (10.30 Acres), Village Wazirpur, &Meoka, Sector 92, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Infrabuild Private Limited.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- Total Green Area 5299.7sqm (@12.71% of the net plot area)? PP proposed to make it to 15% with Vertical Green
- On page no 22 of Form-1A, PP has mentioned “Proposed Landscape Area (@20.65% of the net plot area) 7,947.26 mt²”, why it is being reduced to 12.71%? Even mentioned 7,947.26 mt² under pt. 1.3 too.

After detailed deliberations; **the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC** for clarification that in the previous EC of Residential Plotted Colony Projects under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna was sanctioned minimum 20% Green Area but in the present case, green area is recommended less than 20% ; why?

Thereafter, the case was taken up in **217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021** but the PP requested vide letter dated 08.07.2021 for withdrawal of their case as their management have revised their decision and decided to apply fresh for additional area being expansion hence, they would like to withdraw the proposed EC application as the EC has not yet been granted and submitted affidavit that no construction has been carried on the plot. The request of PP and consultant was considered and acceded and decided to send the case to SEIAA for withdrawal in view of request of PP.

Earlier, the Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/218872/2021 on dated 03.8.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up **129th meeting of SEIAA held On 14.10.2021**, Authority observed that the same case has been recommended for withdrawal on the pretext of certain changes in plan in 217th meeting of SEAC and Authority agreed in 129th SEIAA meeting held on date-10/10/2021.

Authority after deliberations **decided to refer back the case to SEAC** with the directions to clearly mention the reasons for earlier withdrawal & subsequent changes in project.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

The Committee deliberated that PP requested for withdrawal of prior application SIA/HR/MIS/191905/2021 vide letter dated 08.07.2021 to apply new application SIA/HR/MIS/218872/2021 for EC and PP was asked to submit the affidavit that no construction has been carried out at the project site.

Thereafter, the PP submitted the affidavit attested by the Notary dated 10.11.2021 stating that the company has not commenced any construction work at the project site and shall commence the work after obtaining EC.

- The PP also submitted the comparison table depicting the changes carried out due to the change in planning and subsequently applying for fresh case for EC. The details are given below:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	Earlier Application i.e withdrawn	Revised Application
1.	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/MIS/191905/ 2021	SIA/HR/MIS/218872/2021
2.	Plot Area	41,682.555 m ²	41,682.555 m ²
3.	Proposed Ground Coverage	25,922.272 m ²	25,922.272 m ²

4.	Proposed FAR	50,608.293m ²	50,645.497 m ²
5.	Non FAR Area	23,766.857 m ²	66,563.77 m ²
6.	Total Built Up area	74,375.15 m ²	1,17,209.267 m ²
7.	Total Green Area with %	5,299.7 m ²	5,299.7 m ² (@12.71% of the plot area)
8.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits (with size)	11 Pits (Dia.-3 m & Depth-2.5 m)	11 Pits (Dia.-3 m & Depth-3m)
9.	STP Capacity	340 KL	350 KL
10.	Total Parking	The project is a Plotted Residential Colony. For plotted development the parking will be within the plots by the individual plot owners.	The project is a Plotted Residential Colony. For plotted development the parking will be within the plots by the individual plot owners.
11.	Power Requirement	2,900 kVA	2,900 kVA
12.	Power Backup	4 nos. of DG sets of total 2,500 KVA capacity (2x750 + 2x500)	4 nos. of DG sets of total 2,500 KVA capacity (2x750 + 2x500)
13.	Total Water Requirement	337 KLD	340 KLD
14.	Domestic Water Requirement	313 KLD	324 KLD
15.	Fresh Water Requirement	232 KLD	236 KLD
16.	Treated Water	81 KLD	249 KLD
17.	Waste Water Generated	267 KLD	277 KLD
18.	Solid Waste Generated	1,878 KLD	1,988 kg/day
19.	Project Cost	358.99 Crores	373.49 Crores

After detail deliberation, SEAC **decided again to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance** whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions

applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021.

The Project Proponent and his consultant had submitted the desired Affidavit vide letter dated 03.12.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st meeting of SEIAA held on 02/12/2021; Authority observed that project pertains to “Residential plotted Colony” under DDJAY. The project proponent has proposed to have 5299.7 mt2 (12.71% of plot area) as Green Area.

Authority, further, observed that the project is located in Gurugram, comes under NCR, keeping in view the deteriorating AQI due to Air pollution, therefore, Authority deemed it appropriate to advice PP to increase the Green Area/Coverage to the maximum as possible. PP may increase the Green area/Green Coverage to at least 20% of the plot area by having “Vertical Green”.

Authority agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to grant “EC” to the said project.

Item No.[16] Environment Clearance of project Institutional Office Building located at plot No. 6, Sector 32, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Focus Energy Ltd.

The case pertains to grant of “EC” under “Violation Category”. The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 23.04.2018 received in the SEAC on 27.04.2018.

The project pertains to development of Institutional Office building at Gurugram. The Project is under violation, as the Project Proponent has constructed built up area 72871.16 m2 till date without obtaining Environmental Clearance. Occupation certificate was granted by the Estate Officer, HUDA Gurgaon vide memo no. Z0002/E0018/UE029/OCCER/00000002591219, for 1st to 15th floors June, 2013. The project was declared under violation as per EP Act 1986 dated 10.05.2019.

The case has been taken up in “Violation category”, the committee in its 215th meeting assessed & recommended an amount of Rs. 8,148,000/- towards Remediation Management Plan, Community & Natural Resources Augmentation Plan to be spent within a span of 5 years. SEAC has further recommended the case to SEIAA for grant of “EC” under violation category.

The case was taken up in **129th SEIAA meeting held on 08/10/2021**, Authority after due deliberations **decided to refer back the case** with the following observations:

The amount for Remediation & Augmentation plan to be calculated as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT issued in this regard. The input data required to be submitted by consultant & PP.

- Remediation & Augmentation plans to be sustainable, verifiable & in addition to what is as such mandatory in compliance of “environmental Laws & Conditions”.

- Proof of credible action taken by State/HSPCB under the provision of section-19, of EPA, 1986 to MoEF&CC prior to grant of “EC”.

Thereafter, **the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021.** The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

<p>1.</p>	<p>The amount for Remediation & Augmentation plan to be calculated as per the guidelines of CPCB/Hon’ble NGT issued in this regard. The input data required to be submitted by consultant and PP.</p>	<p>CPCB / Hon'ble NGT guideline is regarding Environmental Compensation may be levied under Section 25 -Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and under Section 21 of the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 This is under polluter pay principle which means it is applicable to the projects which are already under operation and when it is proven that physically damage to environment done like disposal of untreated water, emissions in air with pollution control devices and solid waste disposal in open.</p> <p>CPCB/Hon’ble NGT has issued an report for assessing environment compensation and action plan to utilize funds (and not regarding Remediation & Augmentation Plan) whereby it consists of 4 chapters namely;</p> <p>1. Chapter I: Environment compensation to be levied on industrial units- The said project is a commercial complex and hence it is not applicable.</p> <p>2. Chapter II: Environmental Compensation to be levied on all violation of Graded Response Action Plan (GRAP) in Delhi-NCR- Not applicable</p> <p>3. Chapter III- Environmental Compensation to be levied in case of failure of preventing the pollutants being discharged in water bodies and failure to implement waste management rules- wastewater from the project will be treated in the in-house STP and solid waste-biodegradable waste will be treated in the in-house Organic Waste Converter and non-biodegradable will be given to approved recycler. Hence no failure in waste management will be there.</p> <p>Chapter IV- Environmental Compensation in case of illegal extraction of Groundwater- No extraction of Groundwater is envisaged in the project. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change vide Notification number</p>
-----------	---	--

		<p>S.O.804(E), dated the 14th March, 2017 has notified the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006]</p> <p>As per Notification The collection and analysis of data for assessment of ecological damage, preparation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan shall be Prepared in all aspects</p> <p>CPCB guideline as placed in record.</p>
--	--	---

SEAC has again sent the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 215th meeting held on dated 18.06.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[17] EC for Residential Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna (Site-I) at Village Dhunela, Sector 36, Sohna, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Signature Global Homes Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in 209th & 210th meeting of SEAC held on 29.01.2021 & 18.02.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- Total Green Area proposed is 2065.222 mt² (@10.21 % of the total plot area) mentioned in MoM.
- PP has mentioned "Proposed Landscape Area (@20.5 % of the total plot area) to be 4148.027 mt² on Page No. 23 of Form-1A, whether its 10.21% or 20.5%, PP should clarify.
- Area statement of the project and why is the Green area less?

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for clarification that in the previous EC of Residential Plotted Colony Projects under Deen Dayal Jan Awas

Yojna was sanctioned minimum 20% Green Area but in the present case, green area is recommended less than 20% ; why?

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 08.07.2021 for withdrawal of their case as their management have revised their decision and decided to apply fresh for additional area being expansion hence, they would like to withdraw the proposed EC application as the EC has not yet been granted and submitted affidavit that no construction has been carried on the plot. The request of PP and consultant was considered and acceded and decided to send the case to SEIAA for withdrawal in view of request of PP.

The case was taken up 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 14.10.2021, Authority observed that the same case has been recommended for withdrawal on the pretext of certain changes in plan in 217th meeting of SEAC and Authority agreed in 129th SEIAA meeting held on date 10/10/2021.

Authority after deliberations decided to **refer back the case to SEAC** with the directions to clearly mention the reasons for earlier withdrawal & subsequent changes in project.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021.

The Committee discussed the reply of PP, changes in plan, withdrawal reason, changes proposed in revised application, two applications for one project etc. The committee deliberated that PP requested for withdrawal of prior application SIA/HR/MIS/192630/2020 vide letter dated 08.07.2021 to apply new application SIA/HR/MIS/218857/2021 for EC and PP was asked to submit the affidavit that no construction has been carried out at the project site.

- Thereafter, the PP submitted the affidavit attested by the Notary dated 10.11.2021 stating that the company has not commenced any construction work at the project site and shall commence the work after obtaining EC.
- The PP also submitted the comparison table depicting the changes carried out due to the change in planning and subsequently applying for fresh case for EC. the details are given below:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	Earlier Application i.e withdrawn	Revised Application
1.	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/MIS/192630/ 2021	SIA/HR/MIS/218857/2021
2.	Plot Area	20,234.28m ²	20,234.28 m ²
3.	Proposed Ground Coverage	6,177.418 m ²	14,975.773 m ²
4.	Proposed FAR	22,566.803m ²	22,252.327 m ²
5.	Non FAR Area	8,640.869m ²	20,285.673 m ²
6.	Total Built Up area	31,207.672m ²	42,538 m ²

7.	Total Green Area with %	2,065.222 m ²	2,065.222 m ² (@10.21% of the plot area)
8.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits (with size)	5 pits (Dia. 4.5m and Depth 5m)	5 pits (Dia. 4.5m and Depth 5m)
9.	STP Capacity	120 KLD	125 KLD
10.	Total Parking	For plotted development the parking shall be within the plots by the individual plot owners.	For plotted development the parking shall be within the plots by the individual plot owners.
11	Power Requirement	4,800 kVA	4,800 kVA
12.	Power Backup	3 DG sets of total capacity 2500 kVA (1*1500 kVA & 2*500 kVA)	3 DG sets of total capacity 2500 kVA (1*1500 kVA & 2*500 kVA)
13.	Total Water Requirement	122 KLD	121 KLD
14.	Domestic Water Requirement	110 KLD	115 KLD
15.	Fresh Water Requirement	82 KLD	83 KLD
16.	Treated Water	85 KLD	88 KLD
17.	Waste Water Generated	94 KLD	98 KLD
18.	Solid Waste Generated	659 kg/day	718 kg/day
19.	Project Cost	154.213 Crores	160.713 Crores

SEAC decided again to send the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance whereas specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects will remain same as recommended vide 217th meeting of SEAC held on 20.07.2021.

The Project Proponent and his consultant had submitted the desired Affidavit vide letter dated 03.12.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st meeting of SEIAA held on 02/12/2021; Authority observed that project pertains to “Residential plotted Colony” under DDJAY. The project proponent has proposed to have 2,065.222 m² (10.21% of the plot area) as Green Area.

Authority, further, observed that the project is located in Gurugram, comes under NCR, therefore, Authority deemed it appropriate to advice PP, keeping in view the deteriorating AQI due to Air pollution, that PP should increase the Green Area/Coverage to the maximum possible. PP may increase the Green area/Green Coverage to at least 20% of the plot area by having “Vertical Green”.

Authority agreed with the recommendations of SEAC to grant “EC” to the said project.

Item No.[18] EC for Revision and Modification of Warehouse (Non-Agricultural Produce) over an area measuring 97123.75 sqm at Revenue estate of village Pathredi, Tehsil Manesar, District Gurugram by M/s Embassy Industrial Parks Private Limited.

The case was considered in **216th meeting of SEAC held on 29.06.2021** and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance for Revision and Modification.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the **129th meeting of SEIAA held on 09.10.2021**; after due deliberations Authority observed that there is definite increase in pollution load. Authority further stated that even as per notification of MoEF& CC dtd. 02/03/2021, Project Proponent can apply under Amendment, if there is no “Increase in Pollution Load” and in the present case there is definite increase in “Pollution Load”, therefore, the case should be applied under the category of “Expansion” and PP has to resubmit all the relevant data & studies as the usual case under “Expansion Category” Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in **225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021**. The PP requested for withdrawal of the said case as they have applied for separate application for the same project in view of some changing in the planning and the request was placed before the committee and committee acceded the request and decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal of said application in view of the request of PP submitted to SEIAA and SEAC.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 131st meeting of SEIAA held on 02.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to allow the PP to withdraw his application.

Item No.[19] EC for Expansion of Warehouse (Non Agricultural Produce) over an area measuring 97123.75 Sqm at Revenue estate of village Pathredi, Tehsil Manesar, Distt. Gurugram by M/s Embassy Industrial Parks Private Limited.

The Proposed Project is for EC for Expansion of Warehouse (Non Agricultural Produce) over an area measuring 97123.75 sqm. Earlier EC has been granted vide letter dated 13.02.2018. Certified Compliance report has been received from RO, MoEF&CC, GOI vide letter dated 06.10.2021.

The case was taken up in 225th meeting of SEAC held on 10.11.2021 and recommended to SEIAA with “Gold Ratings”.

The Project Proponent and his consultant had submitted the desired affidavit vide letter dated 03.12.2021.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 131st meeting of SEIAA held on 02.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the

recommendations of SEAC and grant EC to the project with the condition that PP will install capacity of STP (290 KLD) not less than 1.25 times of the quantity of waste water generated (227 KLD). Authority further asked the proponent to submit the scheme & plan of STP with enhanced capacity within one month.

Item No.[20] EC for Expansion of Residential Project “Lavanya Apartment” located at Sector-81, Village Nawada Fatehpur, Gurugram by M/s Graphic Research Consultants (India) Private Limited.

Lastly, the case was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021 and the Authority observed that the PP has submitted proof of “Bank Guarantee” for a sum of Rs. 38.70 Lakhs; further the Authority directed the PP to explain & submit the following documents:

- a) Latest Status Report of the Project duly verified by the RO, MoEF& CC/ RO, HSPCB;
- b) Confirmation of the land use as per the ‘Master-Plan’ of the area from DTCP.

Accordingly, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed that the Project Proponent has not submitted the reply of the above raised observations.

The Authority considered the case in **129th SEIAA meeting dated 14.10.2021** and Authority **decided to refer back this case to SEAC** for further verification of documents submitted by Project proponent.

The case was again taken up in **226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021** and the committee discussed the reply of PP.

1. Along with copy of latest status report of RO Gurugram indicating that case has been filed against the unit in Faridabad Environment Court
2. Copy of license granted by DTCP and final development plan of Gurugram-Manesar Urban Complex 2031AD for confirmation of the land use.

The Committee has again sent the case to SEIAA for EC under Violation Category as recommended vide minutes of 199th meeting of SEAC held on 22.06.2020.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[21] Extension of ToR Validity for one year of project Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony” at Sector 81, 82, 82A, 83, 84 & 85 at Village Sihi, Sikhopur, Badha, Sikandarpur Badha & Kherki Daula, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Vatika Limited.

The file pertains to validity of ToR and the TOR was issued by SEIAA vide letter dated 28.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021 & was seen in light of “MoEF&CC Notification dated 18.01.2021 i.e. in view of outbreak of COVID-19, 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021 period shall not be considered for the purpose of calculations of period of validity of TOR granted” and MoEF notification dated 12.01.2017 as given below:-

“This period of validity could be extended by the Regulatory Authority concerned by a maximum period of one year provided an application is made by the application to the Regulatory Authority before the expiry of validity period, together with an updated Form-I, based on proper justification and also recommendation of the EAC/SEAC”.

The committee discussed that the PP has not submitted the updated form-I in reference of notification dated 12.01.2017 and after deliberation in view of the above SEAC decided to recommend to SEIAA for extension in validity of TOR for further 1 year in reference to 18.01.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[22] EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Residential Colony Project “Vipul Gardens” located in Sector-1, village Dharuhera (NH-8), District-Rewari, Haryana by M/s Mudra Finance Ltd.

Earlier the committee recommended budget for “Remediation & Augmentation Plan” of Rs.60,00,000 /-(Rupees Sixty Lakhs Only).

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- The PP should recalculate the budget as per the guidelines of CPCB.
- Remediation & Augmentation plan should be sustainable, verifiable and apart from what PP is supposed to do under its “Environment management plan”, should be community based.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

The case was again taken up in 129th SEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021, Authority deliberated on the observations raised in 128th SEIAA meeting and **decided to refer back the case to**

SEAC to take cognizance of raised observations & SEAC and to find out that:

- a) Whether the case has been applied during the stipulated time period for applying the cases under the “Violation category” as per Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.
- b) The proof of credible action taken under the EPA, 1986.

Then, the case was taken up in **226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021**. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021

1. The TOR has been issued by SEIAA vide TOR letter dated 07.08.2018 under violation category
2. The PP submitted the copy of letter issued by HSPCB dated 25.08.2020 stating that credible action has been initiated by HSPCB in Environment Court Faridabad.

SEAC has discussed & noted that the application received in SEIAA on 17.04.2018, Tor issued dated 07.08.2018 applied under violation category, prosecution filed in Environment Court Faridabad and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for EC under Violation Category as recommended vide minutes of 210th meeting of SEAC held on 18.02.2021.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No.[23] EC for Expansion of Commercial Project “AIPL Joy Street” at Sector- 66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Landmark Apartments Pvt. Ltd.

The case has been considered during the **129th SEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021** and Authority observed that the case pertains to “Violation”, **Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC** to check the validity of application to apply during the stipulated “Time Window” as per the Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018 and the proof of credible action under section-15 of EP Act, 1986.

Then the case was taken up in **226th meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021**. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

- Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017.
- Further the proposal was submitted on 17.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
- There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF&CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF&CC as per record for ready reference.
- Minutes of Meeting of 129th SEIAA meeting held on 14.10.2021 states “Committee recommended to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference under violation along with public consultant.” We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12th Nov, 2020, as

per record. As per EIA Notification, 2006 our project falls under item 8(a) for which public consultation is exempted.

SEAC deliberated on the reply of PP, credible action, window period of violation cases, the receipt of violation application on 17.05.2021, no credible action initiated against the unit and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for approval of ToR under Violation Category.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 2nd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Dated 03.12.2021

Item No. [24] Extension of validity of Environmental Clearance for proposed construction of Township Project “Parsvnath City, Dharuhera” at Sector 1, 1 B & 2B, near village Kharkhera, Distt. Rewari, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

Lastly the case was considered in 130th meeting of SEIAA and it was decided to obtain Site Visit Report from the Regional Officer, HSPCB. The Report of the Regional Office, HSPCB has been received with conclusion:

- 1. At site it has been observed that the project proponent has partially constructed the project, common facilities have already been developed. On site it has been observed that project proponent has developed 10 Nos houses (Villa), 08 Nos houses has been construction by individual plots allottee, 14 Nos builder Floors (G+2) has been developed and handover by the project proponent, 04 Nos of individual houses found under construction. Project proponent has provided common facilities like roads, street light and sewer connection to all the allottee including individual houses as well as builders floor. About 50 Nos of families (Approx) are residing in the project. The project proponent has installed STP of 25 KLD at site but due to insufficient load of effluent the STP found non-operational unit has provided energy meter (5176.9 KWH) but flow meter has not been installed at inlet and outlet of the STP, during inspection there was no effluent flow in STP hence, effluent sampling could not be done.**
- 2. The project proponent has also submitted a written statement through email regarding the status of construction at site, stating that “The Township, development work is almost completed and out of the 2 GH we have surrender 1 GH and 1 GH is with us. The construction of GH is stopped from last so many years earlier because of financial issue and then thereafter 2 years for covid scenario so could not be completed and requested for extended of EC for further 2 years till 2023, so that the project could be completed.”**

The case was taken up in the 131st Meeting of SEIAA held on 03.12.2021 and after perusal of the record placed before the Authority, it is gathered that PP has not responded to the any queries made to him with regard to pursue & revalidate the case, for all-practical purposes EC granted to PP has expired. After due deliberation it is deemed appropriate, under the given circumstances, that

proceedings for de-listing of the case being further taken up, for this RO, HSPCB, Dharuhera & Mr. A.K. Mehta, Member, SEAC will visit the spot to put up the current status report within next 3 weeks.

Authority further deliberated that Final Notice of delisting be issued to the PP.

And with regard to violation, case is referred to SEAC to examine the nature & quantum of violation and SEAC for clear recommendations in the case accordingly.

Item No. [25] EC of Proposed Development of Sector 37 at Karnal, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC Karnal.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 129th meeting of SEIAA held on 13.10.2021; after due deliberations; the Authority decided that:

- a) Regional Officer, HSPCB of concerned region to visit the site and submit the report with pictures & other evidences.
- b) Compliant no. 624 dated 16/09/2021 received by the office of SEIAA to be sent to MD.HSIIDC for comments.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 3rdDecember, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No. [26] Hotel Restaurant & Banquet Recreational Park & Health Club” Noor Mahal locatedat Village Phusgarh District-Karnal, Haryana by M/s Jewel Classic Hotels Pvt. Ltd utilization of the budget for Remediation and natural & Community Resource Augmentation Plan.

Environment Clearance to the project has been granted to the project vide letter No. SEIAA (128) HR/ 2021/ 870 dated 12.08.2021.

Now, the Project Proponent has submitted a request letter to give consent to utilize the amount of Rs. 8,09,000/- for providing additional Green Belt on the Central verge of Sector-32 peripheral Road.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021; after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the proposal to carry out the work as proposed & approved under “Environment Remediation & Augmentation Plan”. The work to be carried out under the supervision of District level Committee & RO, HSPCB of concerned area under the intimation to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana.

Item No. [27] Extension in validity of Environment Clearance (EC) for setting up Commercial Complex (13.95 Acres) at Sector-58 Village Ghata, Tehsil Sohna, Gurgaon by M/s Ireo Hospitality Company Pvt. Ltd.

Earlier Environment Clearance dated 04.09.2013 was granted in the Name of M/s SU Estate Pvt. Ltd. Thereafter Environment Clearance letter was transferred in the name of M/s Ireo Hospitality Company vide corrigendum letter dated 04.08.2016.

Now, the PP has requested to treat the validity of EC till 03.09.2022 in view of MoEF& CC, GoI, Notification No S.O. 221 (E) dated 18.01.2021 vide which it has been intimated that due to Covid-19 and subsequent lockdowns period from 01stApril, 2020 to 31st March, 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of EC.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021; after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the request of PP in view of MoEF& CC, GoI, Notification No S.O. 221 (E) dated 18.01.2021.

Item No. [28] Environment Clearance for “Expansion of IT Office Complex” Project located at 12/6, Sarai Khwaja, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s SFG Exports (India) Pvt. Ltd, utilization of the budget for remediation and Natural & Community Resource Augmentation Plan Request Regarding by M/s SFG Exports (India) Pvt. Ltd.

Earlier, EC was granted to the project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2019/341 dated 19.09.2019 (**under Violation Category**) along with **total budget of Rs. 1,06,11,500/-** for Remediation and Natural & Community Resource Augmentation.

Now, the Project Proponent has requested Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad with a copy to Member Secretary, SEIAA **to give consent to utilize the amount of Rs 15,75,000/- for the activities in and nearby area of Gurukul Indraprastha School, Sector-37, Sarai Khwaja, Faridabad.**

The case was taken up in 131stSEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021; after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to seek report from Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad in view of request submitted by PP.

Item No. [29] Intimation regarding the validity of EC letter of residential Group Housing Project located at Sector-27, Ahmedpur, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Jai Krishna Artec. J. V, (Formerly Parker VRC infrastructure Pvt. Ltd).

The Environment Clearance was granted to the project on 17.10.2014 which was valid till 16.10.2021. Now, the PP has requested to exclude one year from the validity of EC in view of MoEF& CC, GoI, Notification No S.O. 221 (E) dated 18.01.2021 vide which is has been intimated that due to Covid-19 and subsequent lockdowns period from 01stApril, 2020 to 31st March, 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of EC.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to approve the request of PP in view of MoEF& CC, GoI, Notification No S.O. 221 (E) dated 18.01.2021.

Item No. [30] Request regarding withdrawal of the Environment Clearance letter bearing No. SEIAA/HR/2014/732 dated 29.05.2014 issued to M/s Leo Agro Pvt Ltd for developing a Group Housing Colony in the revenue estate of village Gopalpur, Sector99A, Gurgaon by M/s Leo AgroPvt. Ltd C/o Satya Townships Private Limited.

EC was granted to the project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2014/732 dated 29.05.2014 to M/s LeoAgro Pvt. Ltd.Now, the PP has requested to withdraw his EC letter as he has already applied for affordable Residential Plotted Colony.

The case was lastly considered in 129thmeeting of SEIAA and decided to grant EC to the project under affordable Residential Plotted Colony with the direction of withdraw his earlier EC letter dated 29.05.2014.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021; after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to allow the withdrawal of the Environment Clearance letter bearing No. SEIAA/HR/2014/732 dated 29.05.2014 issued to M/s Leo Agro Pvt Ltd.

Item No. [31] Environment Clearance for the modernization of the Common Bio-medical Waste Treatment Facility located at Khasra No. 43/114-15/672 at village Hetampura, District-Bhiwani by M/s Maruti Bio-Medical Waste Plant.

The ToR was approved by SEIAA in 109th meeting held on 22.12.2017 along with Public Hearing.

The Project Proponent requested to exempt Public Hearing being an existing project. Further, it was decided to get the CTE and CTO from the project proponent. PP has submitted his reply vide email dated 08.01.2020.**The validity of the Terms of Reference has also been expired.**

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021 and Authority decided to ask RO, HSPCB, Bhiwani along with Mr. A. K. Mehta & Dr. S. N. Mishra to carry out the spot inspection to get the current status of project & submit the report within 3 weeks period and the case is deferred till the report is submitted.

Item No. [32] EC for proposed expansion of isolated Storage at IOCL Panipat Marketing Complex, Village Baholi, P.O. Panipat Refinery, Tehsil Panipat by M/s Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.

The Project was submitted on 20.07.2018 and forwarded to SEIAA to transfer the same to MoEF& CC on 27.08.2018 as the term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021 and decided to defer this case with the decision that RO, HSPCB, Sh. V.K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC and Sh. Mehar Chand, Member SEAC shall visit the site for current status of Project and shall submit the report within 3 weeks period. Further, the Authority decided to refer this case to SEAC for due consideration.

Item No. [33] EC for construction of Group Housing Colony in the revenue estate of village Kadarapur & Maidawas, District Gurgaon Sector-63 A Gurgaon by M/s Mahamaya Exports Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was applied on 26.09.2014 and considered by SEAC in its 117th meeting held on 19.02.2015 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of EC.

The recommendation of SEAC was not considered by SEIAA as the term of the Authority was lapsed 22.03.2015 & thereafter the case was transferred to MoEF& CC, GoI. After that the project proponent nor attended the meeting neither submitted any documents.

After expiring of 06 months the project was recommended for de-listing in compliance of MoEF& CC, GoI OM dated 30.10.2012 after issuing final show-cause Notice.

Lastly the case was recommended by SEAC in its 171st meeting on 18.06.2018 and the same was considered by SEIAA in its 115th meeting held on 25.07.2018 and it was decided that get the latest status in case No SLP No. 32798 of 2015.

Lastly the case was considered in 117th meeting of SEIAA and it was decided that Chairman, SEIAA will take decision. Thereafter, the matter was taken up with the Hon'ble Chairman, SEIAA and it was directed to ask the project proponent to submit latest judgment of the case in SLP No. 32798 of 2015.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021 and decided to defer this case with the decision that RO, HSPCB, Sh. V.K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC and Dr. S. N. Mishra, Member SEAC shall visit the site for current status of Project and shall submit the report within 3 weeks period. Further, the Authority decided to issue Final Show-Cause Notice to PP stating that why the case should not be de-listed as he is not responding to the communications since long.

Item No. [34] Environment Clearance for Expansion of “KLJ Group Housing Colony” located at Village Neemka, Sector77, Faridabad by M/s KLJ Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted on 30.04.2018 and the same was considered in 168th meeting of SEAC held on 11.05.2018, but the PP has not attended the meeting and the case was sent back to SEIAA on 06.09.2018 as the term of the Authority was lapsed on 20.08.2018. A Show-Cause Notice was issued to PP vide letter dated 10.05.2019 by SEAC. The PP has submitted his reply by stating that he has carried out construction as per EC granted and decided not to go for expansion. Accordingly, **the case was sent back to SEIAA for withdrawal.**

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021 and decided to defer this case with the decision that RO, HSPCB, Sh. V.K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC and Sh. S.N.Mishra, Member SEAC shall visit the site for current status of Project and shall submit the report within 3 weeks period.

Item No. [35] Submission of EIA report of the proposed Commercial Complex “JMD The Regend” the Village, Sector-62, Gurgaon by M/s JMD Limited.

The application was submitted on 13.02.2015 and the case was considered by SEAC in its 129th meeting held on 15.03.2016 wherein it was observed that PP has already started construction work which amounts to violation of EIA Notification and sent back to SEIAA for taking legal Action.

The file was transferred to MoEF& CC, GoI in compliance of MoEF& CC, GoI Notification dated 14.03.2017. The Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change returned back all the cases pertains to violation category to SEIAA Haryana in view of MoEF& CC, GoI Notification dated 08.03.2018.

Thereafter, the case was considered by SEAC in its 169th meeting held on 18.05.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 and recommended to SEIAA for Approval of Terms of Reference.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.07.2018 and decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC and approved the ToR and communicated to the PP vide letter dated 09.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 3rd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No. [36] Environment Clearance for Group Housing Colony located at Village Badshahpur, Sector-68 Gurgaon by M/s Golden Glow Estate.

The case was considered by SEAC in its 169th meeting held on 18.05.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 and recommended to SEIAA for Approval of Terms of Reference.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 25.07.2018 and decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC and approved the ToR and communicated to the PP vide letter dated 07.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 3rd December, 2021 & Authority deliberated on the reply submitted by PP & recommendations of SEAC.

After deliberations, Authority decided to defer this case till the legal opinion from Ld. LR, Haryana is received.

Item No. [37] Environment Clearance for warehouse project in the revenue estate of village Pathrari, Gurugram by M/s Sunsat infotech Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted on 30.08.2013 and considered in 92nd meeting of SEAC held on 03.09.2013 and observations were communicated to the PP vide letter dated 14.10.2013.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up by SEAC in its 112nd meeting held on 19.09.2014 and recommended to SEIAA for de-listing as the project proponent failed to comply with the observation within a period of six months. SEIAA has referred back this case to SEAC to check the status of Construction in any and submit their report along with recommendations.

At that time case could not be considered as the term of SEIAA/SEAC has lapsed. Thereafter, the case was considered in 119th meeting of SEAC held on 20.10.2015 and constituted a committee. The committee visited the site and submitted his report. On the basis of report, the case was sent to SEIAA for taking legal Action.

The Conclusion of Sub-Committee Report is as under:-

- 1. SEIAA be informed of the matter.**
- 2. Information regarding validity of CLU, approval of building plans & Construction activity, if any, be obtained from DTCP, Haryana by SEIAA.**
- 3. HSPCB may be advised not to grant CTE & CTO of the said project till such time the case is decided by SEIAA.**

Lastly, the case was considered by SEAC in its 178th meeting held on 11.04.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation in reference to Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018. The Project Proponent has intimated that another case is pending with SEIAA, hence the case was refer back to SEIAA for taking necessary action.

The case was taken up in 131st SEIAA meeting held on 03.12.2021; after going through the report of the sub-committee the Authority decided to defer this case for the next meeting and before that a self- contained note mentioning all the facts of the case will be submitted in the next meeting.

The Meeting ended with a vote of Thanks.
