

Minutes of 128th Meeting of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Haryana held in the office of SEIAA, Haryana, Bay's No. 55-58, Sector-2, Panchkula on 26th May, 2021 through Video Conference under the Chairmanship of Shri Bharat Bhushan IAS (Retd.), Chairman, SEIAA, Haryana.

The Project Proposals as recommended by SEAC for Environmental Clearance or otherwise and listed in the Agenda were discussed and following decisions were taken:-

Item No.[1] O.A. No. 976 of 2019 titled as Gurinder Singh & Ors V/s Union of India against M/s G.P.Realtors for their Expansion Project of IT/ITES SEZ Project at Village Behrampur, Bandhwari & Balola, Tehsil Sohna, District-Gurugram by M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

The case was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and it was observed that in pursuance of NGT order a Committee was constituted for assessing damages caused by M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd. The Committee assesses the damages to Rupees of 707.17 Lakhs. Accordingly, a notice was issued to the M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd. After going through the report of Committee, the reply of M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd. The Authority decided to impose the penalty as recommended by the Committee. In the meanwhile M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd. has given the request that in that the M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd. maybe three monthly to deposit the penalty amount in these installment due to:

1. 1st Installment - 250 lakhs with one month
2. 2nd Installment – 250 lakhs with two month
3. 3rd Installment – 207.17 lakh with three month

Accordingly, M/s G. P. Realtors Pvt. Ltd are directed to deposit amount in the CM Relief Fund.

Item No.[2] Environment Clearance for proposed “Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) at Plot No. 66, Roz Ka Meo Industrial Area, Sohna, Mewat, Haryana by M/s Synergy Waste Management (P) Ltd.

Lastly, the case was taken up in **127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021** and the Authority observed that the PP has not submitted the desired “Gap Analysis Report” conducted through HSPCB; hence, **the Authority decided to defer this case again till next meeting for want of “Gap Analysis Report” duly conducted by HSPCB.**

The case was again considered in **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021** and the Authority observed that the Project Proponent submitted through an application dated 19-05-21 received vide diary no. 287 dated 19-05-21 that the meeting be postponed due to Covid-19 and has again failed to submit “**Gap Analysis Report**” conducted through HSPCB.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case for the next meeting and directed the PP to submit the report .

Item No. [3] Environment Clearance for Expansion of Residential Project “Lavanya Apartment” located at Sector-81, Village Nawada Fatehpur, Gurgaon by M/s Graphic Research Consultants (India) Private Limited.

Lastly, the case was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021 and the Authority observed that the PP has submitted proof of “Bank Guarantee” for a sum of Rs. 38.70 Lakhs; further the Authority directed the PP to explain & submit the following documents:

- a) Latest Status Report of the Project duly verified by the RO, MoEF & CC/ RO, HSPCB;
- b) Confirmation of the land use as per the ‘Master-Plan’ of the area from DTCP.

Accordingly, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021** and the Authority observed that the Project Proponent has not submitted the reply of the above raised observations.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case.

Item No. [4] Extension of validity of Environmental Clearance for proposed construction of Township Project “Parsvnath City, Dharuhera” at Sector 1, 1 B & 2B, near village Kharkhera, Distt. Rewari, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

The case was lastly taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020 and *the Committee is of the unanimous view in its meeting dated 16.10.2020 that as the PP is not interested in appraisal of the Project; the case be again referred to SEIAA for taking legal action under the relevant provisions of the Act for violation of EIA Notification. It is further recommended that SEIAA may inform the concerned authorities for taking appropriate action as per law.*

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

The Project Proponent has submitted his reply on 21.01.2021 which was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to constitute a sub-committee comprising of Dr. S.N. Mishra & Dr. Mehar Chand, Member SEAC for Spot Inspection & submit their report on current status of the Project. Further, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed that site visit report has not been received.

After due deliberations, the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

Item No. [5] Environment Clearance for Expansion of Ware House at Village Behrampur, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s P. D. Enterprises.

The case was lastly taken up in **203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020** and decided to forward this case to SEIAA for taking Legal action under the provisions of **EP Act, 1986** being a Violation Case for construction of Warehouse without taking the prior Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification 2006.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

The case was taken up in the **127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021** and it was observed by the Authority that the PP has failed to submit his reply to the Show-Cause Notice; after detailed deliberations, *the Authority decided to issue final Show-Cause Notice to the Project Proponent as why not electricity & water supply be stopped of the Project and further action for demolition of building be initiated?*

The case was again considered in the **128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021** and the PP vide letter dated **29.04.2021** requested to return the case to SEAC, Haryana to process the case under Violation Category.

After due deliberations, the Authority accede the request of PP and decided to refer back this case to SEAC for further consideration under Violation Category.

Item No [6] TOR for carrying out EIA studies of the Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at Khevat No. 128, Khatoni No. 150, Rakba 69 canal, 19 Rale, Village Kandela, Tehsil & District Jind, Haryana by M/s Divya Waste Management Company.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No [7] Environment Clearance for Expansion of Proposed Plotted Residential colony, Revenue Estate, Village Rohtak Sector-37, Rohtak, Haryana by M/s One Point Realty Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No [8] Environment Clearance Regarding Terms of Reference (ToR) for carrying out EIA studies of the Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility (CBWTF) located at 160/5410 part –B, Rakba 270, Canal 10 Marle, Khevat No. 741, Khata No. 886, Saalam Kite 46, Village Sisana, Tehsil Kharkhoda, District Sonapat (Haryana) by M/s Haryana Waste Management Company.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No [9] Environment Clearance for Expansion of Group Housing Project “Preston” at Village Shahpur Turk, Sector- 9 & 18, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No [10] Environment Clearance for Affordable Group Housing Project Village Khera&Bhatauli, Sector 20, Yamunanagar- Jagadhri, District Yamunanagar, Haryana by M/s Pandit Land & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No [11] Environment Clearance for the Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facility at Village Karawara, Manakpur, Tehsil Rewari, District Rewari, Haryana by M/s Upkar Waste Solutions.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No [12] Environment Clearance for construction of “Group Housing Colony” at Sector-63, Kundli, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Regards Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020; the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC. Accordingly, a letter was written to Regional Office, MoEF & CC, GoI, Chandigarh to conduct site visit of the Project but no response has been received so far.

The matter was taken up in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after deliberations the Authority decided that reminder letter should be written to Regional Office, MOEF & CC, GOI, Chandigarh by Member Secretary, SEIAA on behalf of Authority for expediting the Report.

The case was again considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [13] Environment Clearance for Expansion for proposed Warehouse (for storage of commercial goods) on area measuring 86109.916 sqmt at Village Binola, Tehsil-Manesar, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Integra Urban Infrastructure Private limited.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; after due deliberations, the Authority decided to defer this case till next meeting in order to have deeper look at the facts of the Case.

The recommendation of SEAC was again considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

Item No. [14] Environment Clearance for construction of commercial colony project located at Sector 6 & 11 in Revenue Estate of Ratgal, District Kurukshetra, Haryana by M/s Divine Vision Infraestate Pvt. Ltd.

Lastly, the case was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended for a sum of Rs.43,28,850/- towards “Remediation & Augmentation Plan Budget”; whereas in the 124th meeting of SEIAA the Project Proponent was directed to recalculate the amount for Remediation & Augmentation plan considering 1000 number of days and the amount comes out to be **Rs.62,50,000/-** for which the PP has agreed and also submitted the Bank Guarantee to Haryana State Pollution Control Board & the same was confirmed vide File No. HSPCB-080002/71/2020-coordination cell-HSPCB-I/6063/2020 dated 27.10.2020 duly digitally signed by Sanjeev Kumar, Senior ENV. Engineer, (HQ HSPCB). Further, the Authority directed the PP to explain & submit the following documents:

- a) *Latest Status Report of the Project duly verified by the RO, MoEF & CC/RO, HSPCB;*
- b) *Confirmation of the land use as per the ‘Master-Plan’ of the area from DTCP.*

Accordingly, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed that the Project Proponent vide letter dated 05.05.2021 has submitted the reply of the above raised observations. After due deliberations; **the Authority decided to grant “EC” to the Project under Violation Category.**

Item No. [15] Environment Clearance for Hotel, Restaurant & Banquet Hall, Recreational Park and Health Club “Noor Mahal” at Village Phusgarh, Distt. Karnal, Haryana by M/s Jewel Classic Hotels Pvt. Ltd.

Lastly, the case was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; the Authority directed the PP to explain & submit the following documents:

- a) Latest Status Report of the Project duly verified by the RO, MoEF & CC/RO, HSPCB;*
- b) Confirmation of the land use as per the ‘Master-Plan’ of the area from DTCP;*
- c) Registration of Unit under which Scale of your Enterprises was registered at the time of inception of project?*

Accordingly, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021** and the Authority observed that the PP should submit the complete sheet of detailed calculation to determine the budget for Remediation & Augmentation Plan; on the basis of CPCB guidelines (<https://hspcb.gov.in/content/index/envcomp.pdf>) and also the Project Proponent should submit affidavit regarding authenticity of the input parameters used to calculate budget amount Remediation & Augmentation Plan.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case on till the submission of aforesaid information along with related details as well as documents.

Item No. [16] Environment Clearance for proposed 03 MLD Common Effluent Treatment Plan (CETP) HSIIDC, Industrial Estate, Village Kutana, Tehsil Rohtak, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC CETP Kutana.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/57776/2021 on dated 28.10.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR has been granted to the project on 08.11.2017. The PP submitted the EIA report on dated 14.12.2020.

The case was taken up in **209th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.01.2021**. The PP presented the case before the committee.

- The proposed project is for EC for proposed 03 MLD Common Effluent Treatment Plan (CETP) HSIIDC, Industrial Estate, Village Kutana, Tehsil Rohtak, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC CETP Kutana.
- The TOR has been granted to the project on dated 08.11.2017 and PP submitted the EIA report on 14.12.2020.

The discussion was held on the status of the project, No. of industrial units in the industrial area, the type of industries, waste water generated, in-flow and outflow of the CETP and the standards of the outflow to be maintained and during discussion it was revealed that the CETP has already been constructed without taking the prior EC. The Committee decided that as the PP has already constructed the CETP without taking prior EC from SEIAA so it is a clear case of violation and decided to recommend to SEIAA for taking credible action under section 15 of the EP Act 1986 as PP has already constructed CETP.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered **in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021; after due deliberations, the Authority decided to defer this case till next meeting in order to have deeper look at the facts of the Case.**

Item No. [17] Environment Clearance for Manufacturing of Formldehyde 250 M.T. per day at Plot No. 132, Sector-1, Phase-I, Growth Centre Saha (Approved Industrial Area), Ambala, Haryana by M/s Shyam Industries.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND2/59655/2005 dated 12.01.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The ToR were Auto generated on 03.12.2019. The PP submitted the EIA/EMP report vide letter dated 11.01.2021.

The case was considered in **210th meeting of SEAC** held on **18.02.2021** and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority directed the Project Proponent to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) Zero Liquid Discharge to be achieved;
- (b) Fuel for boiler/DG sets and type of DG sets to be as per GRAP/NCAP.

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC Manufacturing of Formldehyde” to the Project.**

Item No. [18] Environment Clearance for Residential Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna (10.30 acres), Village Wazirpur & Meoka, Sector 92, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Infra build Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/191905/2021 on dated 12.01.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **209th & 210th meeting of SEAC** held on 30.01.2021 & 18.02.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- Total Green Area 5299.7sqm (@12.71% of the net plot area)? PP proposed to make it to 15% with Vertical Green.
- On page no 22 of Form-1A, PP has mentioned “Proposed Landscape Area (@20.65% of the net plot area) 7,947.26 mt²”, why it is being reduced to 12.71%? Even mentioned 7,947.26 mt² under pt. 1.3 too.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for clarification that in the previous EC of Residential Plotted Colony Projects under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna was sanctioned minimum 20% Green Area but in the present case, green area is recommended less than 20% ; why?

Item No. [19] Environment Clearance for Non Agro Warehouse project located at village Sanpka, P.O. Janola, Tehsil Pataudi, Gurugram, Haryana by Sh.Ram Singh S/o Sh. Tula ram, S/o Sh. Dharambir Singh-Rajesh-Sunil Kumar S/o Ram Singh.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/160096/2020 on dated 07.07.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The case was taken up in 200th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 13.07.2020 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 13.07.2020 for the deferment of the case in view of COVID 19 which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC held on 18.02.2021 and the PP requested for withdrawal of the said case as they have applied for separate application for the same project in view of some changing in the planning and the committee acceded the request and **decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal** of said application in view of the request of PP.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021;** after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to withdraw the Project.**

Item No. [20] Environment Clearance for Group Housing Project at Khasra No. 361/1/1, 355/1, 363, 362/2 and 319/2, Islampur, Gurugram, Sector-33, GurugramManesar Urban Complex, Haryana by Kanwar Sain in collaboration M/s Primoris Realtors LLP.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/150751/2020 dated 05.10.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **204th & 210th meeting of SEAC** held on 30.08.2020 & 18.02.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Group Housing Project”**.

Item No. [21] Environment Clearance for the Residential cum Commercial Complex located in Sector-79, District-Faridabad, Haryana by Adesh Realcon Pvt. Ltd. in collaboration with M/s Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted online to SEIAA on 23.04.2018 vide file no. SEIAA/HR/VIO/18/22 with reference to the Notification No.S.O.804(E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No. S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance or changed the product mix without obtaining prior environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006;

The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in the Notification dated 08.03.2018 inter alia, directed vide sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 13, that in case the projects or activities requiring prior environmental clearance under Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 from the concerned Regulatory Authority, are brought for environmental clearance after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization, and change in product mix without prior environmental clearance, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted Environmental Clearance by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 shall be appraised for grant of environmental clearance only by the State Expert Appraisal Committee and Environmental Clearance will be granted at the State level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. The project Proponent submitted the hard copy to the SEIAA, Haryana on 17.05.2019 along with Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan

Thereafter the proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 180th meeting held on 30.05.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively as the Unit applied for EC during window period under the Violation Notification.

The Committee was informed by PP that the project is a Expansion of residential cum Commercial complex located in sector-79, District Faridabad, Haryana by M/S Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. Further, in the meeting it was revealed that the Project was granted Environment Clearance vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2016/904 dated 26.10.2016 for residential cum commercial complex sec-79 Faridabad for built up area of 95202.63sqm on the plot area of 43133.351 sqm (10.65acres).

The PP submitted that they had constructed additional area as given below, in violation of EIA Notification, 2006;

- | | |
|--|-------------------|
| 1. The total built-up area constructed at site in Phase-2 | : 12030.49 Sq.mts |
| 2. Any other structure (raft, water tanks, etc.) constructed at site | : 15910.49 Sq.mts |
| 3. The excavated area at site | : 3461.72 Sq.mts |

The project proponent placed on record a letter dated 30.05.2019 requesting for using a Baseline data generated for December 2018 and committee accepted the request of PP for the preparation of EIA/EMP report based on the Data for december2018. After detailed deliberations, the committee decided that the following recommendation shall be forwarded to SEIAA for approval:

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no Consent to Operate or Occupancy Certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. Public hearing to be conducted for the project and the issues raised by the public should be addressed in the Environmental Management Plan.
3. The Project Proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
4. The PP should submit compliance report of existing building.
5. Committee also decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference along with public consultation and additional terms of reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

The PP submitted the EIA/EMP report and thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 18.02.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee.

- M/s Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. Is the developer of Residential cum Commercial Complex which is located at Sector 79, Omaxe City Centre, District Faridabad, Haryana.
- The project was earlier granted Environment Clearance by SEIAA, Haryana vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2016/904 dated 26.10.2016 for plot area = 43,133.351 sqm and Build-up area = 95,202.63 sqm.
- The Project Proponent has been granted License No. 51 of 2017 dated 22/07/2017 for Plot Area = 46,513.55 sqm (11.49375 acre) and Additional License No. 52 of 2017 dated 22/07/2017 for Plot Area = 582.74 sqm (0.144 acre). In view of the above-said new and additional licenses, the plot area has increased from 43,133.351 sqm to 90,229.64 sqm.
- The PP submitted the prosecution details vide the case institution no. 02/21 dated 27.01.2021 as proof of legal action under violation. The PP will submit the copy of details at the time of SEIAA meeting.
- The PP not submitted the compliance report and informed that the same at the time of meeting of SEIAA.
- The project Proponent has constructed 1,26,605.33 sqm built-up area at site against the permitted 95,202.63 sqm built-up area as per earlier Environment Clearance letter no. SEIAA/HR/2016/904 dated 26.10.2016.
- The additional built-up area Constructed at site i.e. 31,403 sqm, without prior Environment Clearance attracts MoEF&CC Violation Notification dated 14th March, 17. Break-up of the additional built-up area (area under violation) is as:
 - Built-up area constructed at site under Phase-2 = 12,030.49 sqm
 - Other structures (raft, water tanks, etc.) constructed at site under phase-2 = 15,910.49sqm
 - Excavated area at site under Phase-2 = 3461.72 sqm
- Total additional built-up area constructed at site which falls under violation = 31.403 sqm
- Project has been granted violation ToR by SEIAA, Haryana vide letter no. SEIAA/HE/2019/337 dated 11.09.2019.
- No Objection Certificate for Building Height from Airport Authority of India vide letter no. AAI/RHQ/NR/ATM/NOC/2014/475/9085-88 dated 18.12.2014.
- NOC for non-involvement of Forest land from Deputy Conservator of Forest, Faridabad vide letter no. 243 dated 15.05.2015.
- Building Plans were approved by Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana vide Memo no: ZP-842/AD(NK)/2018/430 dated 07.01.2019.

The details of the project, as per the documents submitted by the project proponent, and also as informed during the presentation in the meeting are as under:-

Table 1:

Name of the Project: Residential cum Commercial complex by Adesh Realcon Pvt. Ltd. in collaboration with M/s Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.				
Sr. No.	Particulars	Existing (as per earlier EC) m²	Additional (Violation part) m²	Total (Earlier EC + Additional part) (m²)
	Online Project Proposal Number	SIA/HR/NCP/25009/2018 (File No. EIAA/HR/VIO/18/22)		
1.	Latitude	28°23'12.80" N		
2.	Longitude	77°21'13.00" E		
3.	Plot Area	43,133.351	47,096.289	90,229.64
4.	Proposed Ground Coverage	13,890.90	19,372.21	33,263.11
5.	Proposed FAR	56,407.56	9,813.967	66,221.527
6.	Non FAR Area	38,795.07	21,588.72	56,922.079
7.	Total Built Up area	95,202.63	31,403	1,26,605.33
8.	Total Green Area with Percentage	10,955.871	11,601.539	22,557.41
9.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits	10	10	20
10.	STP Capacity	320	30	350
11.	Total Parking	958	69	1027
12.	Organic Waste Converter	1	-	1
13.	Maximum Height of the Building (m)	65.4	-	71.9514
14.	Power Requirement	4712 kW	600KW	5312 KW
15.	Power Backup	05 no. of DG sets (1 x 500 kVA, 2 x 750 kVA, 2 x 1500 kVA)	-	05 no. of DG sets (1 x 500 kVA, 2 x 750 kVA, 2 x 1500 kVA)
16.	Total Water Requirement	308	59	367
17.	Domestic Water Requirement	190	24	214
18.	Fresh Water Requirement	134	17	151
19.	Treated Water	246	20	265
20.	Waste Water Generated	273	21	294

21.	Solid Waste Generated		1197 kg /day	187.486 kg /day	1385 kg /day
22.	Biodegradable Waste		718.2 kg /day	112.49 kg /day	830.69 kg /day
23.	Dwelling Units/ EWS		162	24	186
24.	Basement		2	--	2
25.	Stories		G+18	--	G+22
26.	R+U Value of Material used (Glass)		U-value less than 3.11w/m ² -°C	--	U-value less than 3.11w/m ² -°C.
27.	Total Cost of the project:	i) Land Cost	--	102.78 cr	102.78cr
		ii) Construction Cost			
28.	EMP Budget (per year)	i) Capital Cost	--	Capital Cost : Rs. 155.18 lacs	Capital Cost : Rs. 155.18 lacs
		ii) Recurring Cost		Recurring Cost : Rs. 26.287	Recurring Cost : Rs. 26.287
29.	Incremental Load in respect of:		--	--	
	i) PM 2.5		--	--	
	ii) PM 10		--	--	0.293 µg/m ³
	iii) SO ₂		--	--	0.96 µg/m ³
	iv) NO ₂		--	--	6.67 µg/m ³
	v) CO		--	--	1.61 µg/m ³
30.	Status of Construction			<p>The construction status of site as on date is as follows for Phase-2 portion of the site is follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total built-up area constructed at site in Phase-2: 12030.49 Sq.mts • Any other structure (raft, water tanks, etc.) constructed at site: 15910.49 Sq.mts • The excavated area at site: 3461.72 Sq.mts 	
31.	Construction Phase:	i) Power Back-up	100 kW	30 kW	130 kW
		ii) Water Requirement & Source	190.40 ML	62.806 ML	253.206 ML
		iii) STP (Modular)	--	1	1

		iv)Anti-Smoke Gun	--	1	1
--	--	-------------------	----	---	---

Table2: EMP BUDGET

COMPONENT	CAPITAL COST (INR LAKH)	RECURRING COST (INR LAKH/YR)
Sewage Treatment Plant	34.5	8.625
Rain Water Harvesting System	15	3.75
Solid Waste Management	2.77	0.692
Environmental Monitoring	9	9
Green Area/ Landscape Area	6.91	1.72
Others (Energy saving devices, miscellaneous)	10	2.5
Socio Economic		
Providing laptops to students of nearby Govt. schools	40	---
Providing Water Coolers in local Govt. School	15	---
Setting up solar lighting facilities in nearby villages	15	---
Plantation in nearby villages	7	---
TOTAL	155.18	26.287

The discussion was held on the area under violation, plot area, built up area approved in earlier EC, Green area, FAR, NON- FAR, STP, RWH, OWC, cost of project, “Remediation & Augmentation Plan” and also deliberated on the issues of Environmental clearance being granted to the project to the project for the additional part constructed as a violation as the project has not obtained prior environmental clearance. The Project comes under violation but the project proponent shall comply with all the stipulated conditions applicable to the project in the EC for violation part.

The SEAC after deliberation based on the information furnished by the project proponent recommended basic details, Remediation & Augmentation Plan Budget Rs.1.8 cr along with the proposal to SEIAA for grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the following specific conditions in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects.

1. The SEAC recommended for an amount of Rs1.8 cr. towards Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan to be spent within a span of three years. The details are given below:-

Table 1
Remediation Plan with Proposed Activities and Budget – Air Environment

Activity	Significant Impact/Damage	Remediation Plan (To be followed for projects in vicinity of site)	Proposed Budget for Remediation (INR)
----------	---------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Clearance • Excavation • Transportation of material • Operation of D.G. sets and construction equipments/machinery. • Construction activity. • Temporary stay of construction workers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on human health – Respiratory problems • Damage to properties by way of dust deposition and gaseous emissions • Impact on vegetation/plants – Interference with photosynthesis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dust suppression and water sprinkling system. • Conduction of vehicle check-up camps in the area at regular intervals (in consultation with the Motor Vehicle Department) • Providing barricades to Panchayat/Local Municipality for use at other construction sites. • Providing tarpaulin sheets to Panchayat/Local Municipality for covering the loose construction material at other construction sites. • Providing Personnel protection equipments to the health department for construction workers. • Ambient air quality monitoring at nearby sensitive locations. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dust suppression and water sprinkling system = INR 1,05,000/- 2. Barricading = INR 2,00,000/- 3. Cost of tarpaulin sheet = INR 50,500/- 4. Vehicle check-up camp = INR 80,000/- (@10,000/camp) 5. Personal protective equipments to construction workers = INR 85,000/- 6. Ambient air quality monitoring at nearby sensitive locations = INR 84,000/- (@6000/month) <p>Total budget proposed for Remediation of Air Environment = INR 6,04,500/-</p>
--	---	--	--

Table 2:

Remediation Plan with Proposed Activities and Budget – Water Environment

Activity	Significant Impact/Damage	Remediation Plan (To be followed for projects in vicinity of site)	Proposed Budget for Remediation (INR)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water consumption for construction activities, drinking water and sanitary facilities for construction workers • Waste water generation from construction workers, cleaning machinery/equipments and vehicles • Sediment load generation and contamination of surface run off due to fugitive dust and construction material 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water consumed in construction of the project = 63 ML (@2 KL/sqm of built-up area including drinking water consumption of labour, RMC, cement block/ brick, curing, bricks/block soaking, concrete curing, masonry and cement plastering, flooring works etc.) • STP treated water was used for construction activities through tankers. • Drinking water for labour was obtained 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modular STP for waste water treatment in public buildings. • Storm water channelization, cleaning of drains and ground water recharge in nearby areas. • Mobile type toilets in the nearby area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modular STPs (5 KLD) = INR 5,00,000/- • Channelization of storm water, RWH pits, cleaning of public drain system in the area = INR 7,00,000/- • Cost of mobile type toilets in the nearby area = INR 2,10,000/- • Drinking water facility in the

	<p>through tanker.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discharge of contaminated water from construction machinery to land/water channel drainage. • Discharge of domestic sewage to the project site. • Deterioration of the water channel/drain due to surface run-off causing impact on aquatic life. 		<p>nearby area = INR 33,000/-</p> <p>Total budget proposed for Remediation of Water Environment = INR 14,43,000/-</p>
--	--	--	--

Table 3:**Remediation Plan with Proposed Activities and Budget – Noise Environment**

Activity	Significant Impact/Damage	Remediation Plan (To be followed for projects in vicinity of site)	Proposed Budget for Remediation (INR)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Movement of construction equipments and machineries. • Construction activities • Operation of D.G. set 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nuisance to the nearby occupants due to increase in noise and vibration level. • Health impacts on construction workers due to increased noise levels. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPEs to Local Health Department and regular health check-up camps in the area with free distribution of hearing aids. • Acoustic enclosure for DG sets • Periodic ambient noise quality monitoring at nearby sensitive locations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personal protective equipments, health check-up camps and hearing aids distribution = INR 3,50,000/- • Acoustic enclosure and vibration pads for DG sets = INR 5,00,000/- • Ambient noise quality monitoring at nearby sensitive locations = INR 1,25,000/- <p>Total budget proposed for Remediation of Noise Environment = INR 9,75,000 /-</p>

Table 4: Remediation Plan with Proposed Activities and Budget – Land Environment

Activity	Significant Impact/Damage	Remediation Plan (To be followed for projects in vicinity of site)	Proposed Budget for Remediation (INR)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excavation Solid waste generation during construction activity. Generation of hazardous wastes like empty cans of varnish, paints etc. during construction activity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change in landuse - There is no impact on landuse as the development of project is in accordance with Master Plan of the area. Loss of productivity and fertility of soil. Chocking of drains due to surface runoff during rainy season. Contamination or degradation of soil /water quality from mismanagement of solid, hazardous waste. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assistance to the local farmers for storage of excavated top soil and its reutilization. Constructing Community Waste Bins in nearby villages. Creating awareness for waste segregation and management. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assistance to local farmers for creating barriers to preserve stored top soil = INR 1,50,000/- Community waste bins in nearby areas = 2,00,000/- Solid waste management awareness camps = INR 1,00,000/- <p>Total budget proposed for Remediation of Land Environment = INR 4,50,000 /-</p>
--	---	---	--

Table 5:**Remediation Plan with Proposed Activities and Budget – Biological Environment**

Activity	Significant Impact/Damage	Remediation Plan	Proposed Budget for Remediation (INR)
1. Site clearance 2. Cutting of existing trees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of vegetation from project site: No trees were cut at site prior to development of project. Habitat loss of native fauna (avi-fauna). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plantation of 375 native trees within project site to attract native fauna. Development of park, garden (400 native trees) in nearby public roads and other public buildings for habitat compensation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cost of plantation & maintenance of total 775 trees @500/tree = INR 2,75,000/- <p>Total budget proposed for Remediation of Biological Environment = INR 3,87,500/-</p>

Table 6:**Remediation Plan with Proposed Activities and Budget – Socio-Economic Environment**

Activity	Significant Impact/Damage	Remediation Plan (To be followed for projects in vicinity of site)	Proposed Budget for Remediation (INR)
Occupational Health	Health impacts on construction workers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Providing first aid kits to nearby construction sites & primary health centers Providing wheel 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> First aid kits (50 nos.)= INR 20,00,000/- (@4000/kit) Stretchers

		chair (10 nos.), stretchers (10 nos.) for the primary health centre.	& Wheelchairs = INR 3,40,000 (@12,000/wheel chair & @5000/stretcher) Total budget proposed for Remediation of Socio-economic Environment = INR 5,40,000 /-
--	--	--	---

Table 7

S. No.	COMPONENT OF ENVIRONMENT	PROPOSED REMEDIATION BUDGET (INR)
1.	Air Environment	6,04,500/-
2.	Water Environment	14,43,000/-
3.	Noise Environment	9,75,000/-
4.	Land Environment	4,50,000/-
5.	Biological Environment	3,87,500/-
6.	Socio-economic Environment	5,40,000/-
	TOTAL BUDGET FOR REMEDIATION PLAN	INR 44,00,000/-

Table 8:**Natural & Community Resource Augmentation Plan Budget**

S. No.	ACTIVITY	YEAR-WISE IMPLEMENTATION BUDGET (INR)			TOTAL BUDGET (INR)
		1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	
1.	Develop greenery in vicinity of project site along external roads, greenbelts, parks, etc in consultation with local authorities	10,00,000	10,00,000	10,00,000	30,00,000/-
2.	Management/maintenance of roads & public greenery	2,00,000	2,00,000	2,00,000	6,00,000/-
3.	Rain water harvesting in nearby schools	10,00,000	10,00,000	10,00,000	30,00,000/-
4.	Awareness camps for local community on waste minimization and water conservation	1,50,000	1,50,000	1,50,000	4,50,000/-
5.	Solar Photo Voltaics for nearby schools, public buildings, etc	5,00,000	5,00,000	5,00,000	15,00,000/-
6.	Provision of clean drinking water taps for public	2,00,000	2,00,000	2,00,000	6,00,000/-
7.	Upgradation of Community resources including religious places, school and health centre	5,00,000	5,00,000	5,00,000	15,00,000/-

8.	Free health check-up camps for residents of nearby areas	1,50,000	1,50,000	1,50,000	4,50,000/-
9.	Training on developing technical skills for the constructions workers	5,00,000	5,00,000	5,00,000	15,00,000/-
10.	Scholarship to meritorious students for higher education	3,00,000	3,00,000	4,00,000	10,00,000/-
TOTAL BUDGET FOR NATURAL & COMMUNITY RESOURCE AUGMENTATION (INR)					1,36,00,000/-

Table 9:

Cumulative Budget for Remediation, Natural & Community Resource Augmentation Plan

S. No.	PARTICULARS	PROPOSED BUDGET (INR)
1.	Remediation Plan	44,00,000/-
2.	Natural & Community Resource Augmentation Plan	1,36,00,000/-
	CUMULATIVE BUDGET FOR REMEDIATION, NATURAL & COMMUNITY AUGMENTATION PLAN	INR 1,80,00,000/-

2. Total budgetary provision with respect to Remediation Plan and Natural & Community Resource Augmentation plan is INR 1.8 Cr. Therefore, project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee of an amount of INR 1.8 Cr. towards Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan with the Haryana State Public Control Board prior to the grant of EC.
3. Remediation plan shall be completed in 3 years whereas bank guarantee shall be for 5 years. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority/SEIAA.
4. The PP shall submit the proof of credible action taken by the state government/Haryana State Pollution Control Board under the provisions of the Section 19 of the Environment Protection Act 1986 to the MoEF& CC
5. Approval/permission of the CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained, if applicable before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.
6. The PP should submit the 6 monthly action taken report on the compliance of environmental conditions to the Regional Officer, MoEF&CC, Haryana State Pollution Control Board and Chairman, SEIAA.
7. The PP shall submit the details of prosecution filed in Special Environment court Faridabad against the project before the meeting of SEIAA as the PP submitted only copy of letter written by RO, Dharuhera to MS, HSPCB.
8. The PP shall also submit the details of status of development of Green plan, species planted, survival status along with existing trees species wise and also maintain the record date wise along with digital mapping.
9. The PP shall also maintain the record of trees/plants to be planted as per the Remediation plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan along with digital mapping, latitude, longitude details.
10. The PP shall take the Environment clearance for the expansion part of the project as the case is recommended for EC and regularization of the violation part only.
11. The PP shall submit the damage assessment report before the meeting of SEIAA.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021

and the following queries were raised:

- Compliance report of existing building & verification of the quantum of area constructed and being in violation

- Quantum of violation declared by PP should be verified. PP is supposed to submit “Damage Assessment Report” to SEIAA
- Has PP created 10 RWH pits in the extension part? Verify
- Waste water generation is 297 KLD, capacity of STP should not be less than 1.25 times of waste water being generated
- 05 no. of DG sets (1x 500 kVA, 2 x 750 kVA, 2 x 1500 kVA) installed status of APCM & type of fuel being used
- Remediation plans should be verifiable and should be carried out to sustain & improve the environment. Activities being carried out inside or around the project are as such mandatory & part of conditions of granted “EC” & “CTO”. E.g. Acoustic Enclosures for DG sets
- Table-8, some part of activities in 1 seems to be repetitive in 2
- The PP should submit the 6 monthly action taken report on the compliance of environmental conditions to the Regional Officer, MoEF&CC, Haryana State Pollution Control Board and Chairman, SEIAA.
- PP to submit the details of prosecution filed in Special Environment court Faridabad against the project before the meeting of SEIAA as the PP submitted only copy of letter written by RO, Dharuhera to MS, HSPCB

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

Item No. [22] Environment Clearance for Residential Plotted Colony Project under DDJAY (5.0 Acres) at Village-Dhunela, Sector-36, Sohna, Haryana by M/s Signature Global Homes Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/192630/2020 on dated 13.01.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **209th & 210th meeting of SEAC** held on 29.01.2021 & 18.02.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- Total Green Area proposed is 2065.222 mt² (@10.21 % of the total plot area) mentioned in MoM,
- PP has mentioned "Proposed Landscape Area (@20.5 % of the total plot area) to be 4148.027 mt² on page no. 23 of Form-1A, whether its 10.21% or 20.5%, PP should clarify.
- Area statement of the project and why is the Green area less?

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for clarification that in the previous EC of Residential Plotted Colony Projects under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna was sanctioned minimum 20% Green Area but in the present case, green area is recommended less than 20% ; why?

Item No. [23] Extension of Environmental Clearance Validity of Group Housing Project at Sector-72, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Tata Housing Development Company Ltd, C/o Tata Services Limited.

The Project was submitted to SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/22518/2011 on dated 09.06.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 19.02.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee

- M/s TATA Housing Development Company Ltd has obtained EC for Group Housing Project at Village- Fazilpur Jharsa, Sec-72, Gurgaon, Haryana from SEIAA, Haryana (Letter no. Ref. No. SEIAA/HR/2011/38 dated 19.01.2011) for Plot area 1,46,704.38 m² (36.2515 acres) and Built-up area 3,48,785.83 m².
- Building plans were got approved in 2012. The Environment Clearance validity got expired on 19.01.2018.
- The PP applied for extension of validity on 20.03.2018 (proof of submission enclosed) as per the Notification of MoEFCC, GoI dated 14.09.2016 stating that the application filed *“more than thirty days after the validity period of Environmental Clearance but less than ninety days after such validity period, then, based on the recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee or District Level Expert Appraisal Committee, the delay shall be condoned with the approval of the Minister in charge of Environment, Forest and Climate Change or Chairman, as the case may be”*.
- PP intimated that the construction has been completed based on approved plan for the plot area 1,46,704.38 m² (36.2515 acre) and the built-up area is 4,01,303.61 m².
- The project has also granted occupation certificate vide memo No.ZP-540/SD(BS)/2016/17731 dated 24th August, 2016, memo No. No: ZP-540-Vol-II/SD(BS)/ 2017/ 14328 dated 23rd June, 2017, memo no. ZP-540-Vol II/SD (BS)/ 2018/ 8490 dated 9th March, 2018 and memo No. ZP-540-Vol-II/JD (RD)/2020/1522 dated 17th January, 2020.
- In this connection, we would like to inform you that due to the change of Board Members of the company and shuffling within the departments; we were not aware about the status of environment clearance and completed the construction of the project for the plot area 1,46,704.38 m² (36.2515 acre) and the built-up area is 4,01,303.61 m² without having valid environment clearance.
- PP requested to consider their project under violation based on the MoEFCC office memorandum dated 09.09.2019 which states that *“it is possible that there may be certain category B proposals which were submitted at SEIAA during or prior to the violation window period but not under violation category and later during the appraisal by State Level Expert Appraisal committee (SEAC) identified as violation proposals”*

During presentation PP and consultant both requested the committee that they have applied for Extension of validity of Environmental Clearance, however they have constructed the area more than that sanctioned in EC letter and thus violated the conditions of earlier EC dated 19.01.2011. The committee informed the PP that the window is closed under violation category but PP requested the committee to take the case under violation on the basis of MoEF&CC office memorandum dated 09.09.2019 which states that *“it is possible that there may be certain category B proposals which were submitted at SEIAA during or prior to the violation window period but not under violation category and later during the appraisal by State Level Expert Appraisal committee (SEAC) identified as violation proposals”* as they have applied for extension of validity of EC on 20.03.2018 before the mentioned period of violation window. The committee discussed that as the PP applied for extension of validity before the violation period but not under the violation window and PP requested to take under MoEF&CC OM dated 09.09.2019.

Further, after detailed deliberation on the request of PP dated 20.03.2018 for extension of validity details of online proposal submitted on 20.03.2018 for extension in validity, PP request to consider the

project under violation in view of MoEF&CC office memorandum dated 09.09.2019, the committee after deliberation decided to send the case back to SEIAA for clarification on the online proposal dated 20.03.2018 for extension in validity produced by the PP which required verification and approval of SEIAA to take up case under violation in reference to MoEF&CC, OM dated 09.09.2019, as requested by PP and consultant before the SEAC.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021** and the Authority after deliberations decided for consideration of the case under Violation Category. **In the meanwhile, prosecution action be initiated against the PP.**

Item No. [24] TOR of Residential Plotted Colony under DDJAY at Village Garauli Khurd, Sector 37-D, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Global Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA Haryana vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/60555/2021 dated 19.02.2016 for approval of ToR under category **8(b)** of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **210th meeting of SEAC held on 19.02.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [25] Environment Clearance of Residential Plotted Colony under DDJAY at Village Garauli Khurd, Sector 37-D, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Global Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/192507/2021 dated 12.01.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 209th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.01.2021 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 29.01.2021 for the deferment of the case. However, the Committee asked to submit the self contained note and decided that their case will be appraised only after the receipt of required information.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC held on 19.02.2021. The PP requested for withdrawal of the said case as they have applied for separate application for the same project in view of some changing in the planning and the Committee acceded the request and **decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal** of said application in view of the request of PP.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to withdraw the Project.**

Item No. [26] Environment Clearance for Residential Colony project (New Integrated Licensing Policy) located at village Naurangpur, Sector-80, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Karma Lakelands Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/NCP/53872/2020 on dated 12.01.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR has been granted to the project on 28.10.2020. The PP submitted the EIA/EMP report on dated 13.01.2021.

The case was considered in **209th & 210th meeting of SEAC** held on 29.01.2021 & 19.02.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Total Green Area with % 36,215.542 m² (@33.33 % of the net area for residential development) Proposed is • Landscape on ground (17.68 %) - 19,210.55 mt² • Landscape above basement area at ground level (15.64 %)- 17,004.992 mt². This means effectively Green Area (where Deep rooted Plants can be shown) is 17.68%, remaining is Land-scape area over the basement;
2. Two Rainwater storage tank of 2500 cu.m and capacity 460 cu.m;
3. Affidavit regarding treatment of water up to “Tertiary level” and no untreated water to be discharged or to be used in any of activities.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply as well as affidavits.

Item No. [27] Environment Clearance under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Residential Colony Project “Vipul Gardens” located in Sector-1, village Dharuhera (NH-8), District Rewari, Haryana by M/s Mudra Finance Ltd.

The case was considered in 206th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 26.11.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance under Violation Category. Earlier the Environmental Clearance was issued to the Project vide MOEF &CC letter dated 22.05.2008 for Total Plot Area 54,203.509 sqm and Total Built-up Area as indicated is 80,146.752 sqm. The TOR was issued by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2018 to the Project under Violation Notification.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 11.12.2020 and the Authority observed that the SEAC has not appraised/verified the damage Assessment Report carried out by the PP itself. Hence, the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for appraisal of the project after proper verification of the Damage Assessment Report.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 208th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 07.01.2021. The PP did not present the case as per the observation of SEIAA before the committee. The Committee deliberated the observation of SEIAA and decided that PP and consultant shall submit the reply of observation to the committee. The committee unanimously decided that the case will be considered after the receipt of documents. The PP submitted the reply dated 04.02.2021 along with assessment of Environment compensation/damage assessment plan and Remediation management plan, Community and resource augmentation plan and the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC held on 19.02.2021. The discussion was held on the assessment of Environment compensation/damage assessment plan which comes out 60,00,000/-. The PP also submitted letter dated 29.12.2020 written to Chairman HSPCB that they are enclosing bank Guarantee of Rs.60,00,000/- with an validity for 7 years i.e. 28.12.2027.

However, earlier committee recommended budget for “Remediation & Augmentation Plan” of Rs.60,00,000 /-(Rupees Sixty Lakhs Only). The PP again presented the revised damage assessment report and “Remediation & Augmentation Plan” with the total budget of Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees Sixty Lakhs) (Placed on record). The SEAC after deliberation again recommended its earlier recommendations of 206th MOM regarding Remediation & Augmentation Plan Budget which was Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees Sixty Lakhs Only) along with the specific conditions as per MoM of 206th meeting in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- The PP should recalculate the budget as per the guidelines of CPCB.
- Remediation & Augmentation plan should be sustainable, verifiable and apart from what PP is supposed to do under its “Environment management plan”, should be community based.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

Item No. [28] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Village Kadarapur, Sector 63A, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Global India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/161088/2020 on dated 03.07.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC held on 19.02.2021. The PP requested for withdrawal of the said case as they have applied for separate application for the same project in view of some changing in the planning and the Committee acceded the request and **decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal** of said application in view of the request of PP.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to withdraw the Project.**

Item No. [29] Amendment in EC for “Commercial cum Office Complex” at Sector 42, Golf Course Road, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Munjal Hospitality Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/187227/2020 on 18.12.2020 for amendment in earlier Environment Clearance granted by MoEF & CC vide File no. 21-120/2018-IA-III dated 20.8.2020.

The case was considered in **211th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.02.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of amendment in earlier Environment Clearance.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to defer this case for the decision after obtaining clarification from MOEF & CC, GOI regarding amendment in Environment Clearance under 8(a) & 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

Item No. [30] EC for Revision and Expansion of Warehouse/Industrial/Storage/Logistics/Assembling Park at Village Luhari, Post Office Luhari, MDR-132, Pataudi Kulana Road, Tehsil Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s Indospace Luhari 3E Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/171890/2020 on dated 12.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has been granted earlier Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2019/438 dated 13.11.2019.

The case was considered in **211th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.02.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Revision and Expansion of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. 25 double bore RWH pits and 1 Pond + 39 single bore RWH pits, now PP is proposing to have 64 single bore RWH pits and two ponds with dimensions: 35 m length, 30 m width and 2.5m depth each.
2. Capacity of STP to be at least 1.25 times of quantity of waste Water Generated

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply as well as requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Revision and Expansion” to the Project.**

Item No. [31] EC for Expansion of Commercial Colony at Sector 37, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s MPDL Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/191638/2021 on dated 11.01.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **209th & 211th meeting of SEAC** held on 29.01.2021 & 26.02.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Expansion of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and raised the following queries.

1. Green Area mentioned is 11% and 9% of net plot area is the vertical green
2. Page no. 19, capacity of DG sets mentioned Existing (750 +500) KVA, expansion 900 KVA and total 1900 (1000+500+400) KVA, should be 2150 KVA. The incremental pollution load studies carried out on which capacity?
3. Affidavit regarding “Zero Liquid discharge” being a commercial colony
4. Fuel & emission of DG sets must meet the standards of NCAP/GRAP
5. Affidavit regarding treatment of water up to “Tertiary level” and no untreated water to be discharged or to be used in any of activities

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting for want of reason that why area constrain of the above Project?

Item No. [32] ToR for "Remediation and Reclamation of Existing Dumpsite and construction, operation and maintenance of Sanitary Landfill at Village Khurana Kaithal Dumpsite Haryana by M/s Municipal Council of Kaithal.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/59951/2021 on dated 02.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 7(i) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 211th meeting of SEAC held on 26.02.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee and during presentation it is noticed that the site is an existing dump site. The Committee deliberated that as the project site is already having a dump site since long and requires prior Environment clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006. However, The PP has not obtained the prior Environment clearance of the existing site which seems to be a violation case. The PP applied for fresh EC of the existing dump site which is not allowed in Notification 14.09.2006. The Committee after detailed deliberation decided to recommend to SEIAA for taking appropriate action under EP Act as the project has not obtained EC under the provision of EIA notification dated 14.09.2006.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021; after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to constitute a sub-committee comprising of Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Chairman SEAC and Shri Hitender Singh, Member SEAC for Spot Inspection & submit their report on current status of the Project. Further, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.**

Item No. [33] ToR for Proposed Residential Project “Ashiana Andaaz” at Village Wazirpur, Sector-93, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Ashiana Housing Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/60766/2021 on dated 18.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 211th meeting of SEAC held on 29.01.2021. The PP requested for withdrawal of the said case as they have applied for separate application for the same project for granting TOR in view of some changing in the planning and the committee acceded the request and **decided to recommend to SEIAA for withdrawal** of said application in view of the request of PP.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to withdraw the Project.**

Item No. [34] ToR for Proposed Residential Project “Ashiana Andaaz” at Village Wazirpur, Sector-93, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Ashiana Housing Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61116/2021 on dated 24.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **211th meeting of SEAC held on 26.02.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [35]Terms of Reference to the Proposed Development of Industrial Estate (Phase-II) at Sector- 30, 30-A, 31 & 32 at Manakpur, Jagadhri Haryana by M/s Haryana Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Online Proposal NoSIA/HR/NCP/57457/2020 dated 19.10.2020 for approval of TOR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The PP submitted the letter dated 30.12.2020 to SEAC vide which it is intimated that they have done development activities such as Road network and other services at their project site. As per MoEF &CC Notification dated 14.03.2017, the project falls under violation category.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 208th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 07.01.2021. The PP and consultant informed the committee that they have earlier applied for EC to EAC but the case was transferred from EAC, MoEF&CC to SEIAA. Again, the project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.10.2020 for approval of ToR. As the PP and consultant informed in writing that construction has already been started without taking the prior EC, the Committee deliberated that project is of violation category as the PP has already started construction at the site without taking up prior approval under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and also violation window is closed at present. The committee further decided that the PP shall submit the chronological details of the project along with the documentary proof that they have earlier applied for EC before the violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively. The committee unanimously decided that the case will be considered after the receipt of documents of observation.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC held on 25.03.3021. The PP submitted that due to some reason, they could not obtain the Environment Clearance prior to the construction activities and have done development activities such as Road network and other services at their project site. The committee deliberated that the PP has already started development activities and is a clear case of violation of MOEF&CC notification dated 14.09.2006 and decided to recommend to SEIAA for taking action against the PP under the provisions of section 19 of EP Act 1986, being a violation case.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

Item No. [36] EC Extension for proposed project Boulder, Gravel and Sand Minor Mineral of 37.38 Ha Sukhdarshanpur Block/PKL B-13 Village Shamtoo and Sukhdarshanpur District Panchkula, Haryana by M/s Shiv Enterprises.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NIN/202178/2021 dated 24.03.2021 for obtaining Extension in validity of Environmental Clearance letter no. SEIAA/HR/2020/192 dated 22.05.2020 under Category 1(a) –B1 of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 25.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Extension of EC for balance period as per the condition of the LOI issued by the Director General Mines & Geology Department, Haryana, Haryana.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **128th meeting of SEIAA** held on **26.05.2021** and after detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant Extension of EC to the Project.

Item No. [37] EC for Expansion and Modification of Existing Chemical Unit for API and Bulk Drug Production located at Village Bargodam, Tehsil Kalka, District Panchkula, Haryana by M/s Syschem India Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND2/172404/2020 on dated 29.09.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance for Expansion and Modification of Existing Chemical Unit under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The Project/activity is covered under Category A of item 5(f) "Synthetic Organic Chemicals Industry" of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires appraisal at Central Level by Sectoral EAC in the Ministry.

However, as per Notification, Vide S.O. 1223(E) dated 27/03/2020 MoEF & CC deems it necessary to expedite the prior EC to the projects or activities in respect of bulk drugs and intermediates. As a part of comprehensive and robust system to handle the Novel Corona Virus (COVID-19) outbreak, drug availability or production to reduce the impact of the Novel Corona Virus (COVID-19) is to be ensured. The Ministry deems it necessary that all the projects or activities in respect of bulk drugs and intermediates manufactured or addressing ailments such as Novel Corona Virus (COVID-19) and those with similar symptoms are categorized as B2 for a period up to 30th September, 2020 and further up to 31.03.2021 as an interim measure.

Therefore, in the wake of recent crises of COVID-19, lockdown situation, notification of MoEF&CC regarding API and bulk drugs and subsequent OM issued on 11th March, 2020 and Notification on 27th March, 2020, Committee took a decision to scope and appraised the project as B2 category for EC as per the guidelines issued by MoEF&CC from time to time by video conferencing.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 25.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion and Modification of Existing Chemical Unit for API and Bulk Drug Production.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority directed the PP to submit:

1. Affidavit regarding Zero Liquid Discharge.
2. Does the project need fresh water for wet scrubber and floor wash as mentioned in the water balance charge?

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC" to the Project on submission of aforesaid affidavit and queries.

Item No. [38] EC for Development of Industrial Estate Phase-III (Industrial Model Township)' in Tehsil Sampla, District Rohtak (Haryana) by M/s HSIIDC Limited.

The Project was submitted the case to the SEIAA on dated 04.09.2019 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was approved by MoEF&CC, GoI on 12.01.2016. Then, the PP has submitted the EIA/EMP Report.

The case was considered in **188th, 203rd, 207th&212th meeting of SEAC held on 16.09.2019, 15.10.2020, 17.12.2020 & 25.03.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of ECfor Development of Industrial Estate Phase-III (Industrial Model Township).**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021;** after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Development of Industrial Estate Phase-III (Industrial Model Township)”.**

Item No. [39] ToR for proposed project for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 40 M.T. per day at village Bhagwanpur, Kharwan Road, tehsil Jagadhri, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s Chemwood Industries.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND2/56128/2020 dated 04.09.2020 for approval of TOR under category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 21.10.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then the case was taken up in 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 09.11.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 26.03.2021. The pp submitted that the company has started construction of unit without taking prior environmental Clearance on the basis of obtained CTE from HSPCB vide letter 313282118YAMCTE5784449 dated 20.12.2018 which is a violation of EIA Notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments.

In view of above, the committee decided that since PP has started construction without taking Prior EC which is a violation of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 therefore it is decided that the project shall be recommended to SEIAA for taking action against the PP under the provisions of section 19 of EP Act being a violation case.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to issue a Show-Cause Notice to the PP for violating the Norms of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 as well as EP Act, 1986.

Item No. [40] ToR for proposed Unit for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde 150 M.T per day at Plot No.W-9, Industrial Area, Yamunanagar, Haryana by M/s Globe Panel Industries India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND3/59803/2021 on dated 18.02.2020 for approval of TOR under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR granted on 18.02.2021. Thereafter, the case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC held on 26.03.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021;** after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC.**

Item No. [41] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Project located at Village Behrampur, Sector 59, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Base Export Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide Online Proposal No SIA/HR/MIS/180526/2020 dated 23.12.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has been granted earlier Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2014/1046 dated 06/08/2014.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Project Proponent has stated on Page No 1, Form-1 against Point. 3 that Existing + Expansion = 148,158.303 mt²& against Point No. 5 states that built-up area is 140,694.11 mt²& Area to be developed is 31767.762 mt², total of which does not match with the former, Clarify.
2. Proposed No. of DG sets is 6 with capacity 3*750+ 3*500 KVA, type of DG sets & fuel to be used should be as per GRAP/NCAP
3. Project pertains to “Expansion” & situated in Gurugram, what type of DG sets are deployed presently & even the fuel type to be as per NCAP/GRAP? Project Proponent should furnish the details
4. Project Proponent has proposed to use 15 + 8 KLD of Water for DG cooling & 11 + 14 for Filter Back Wash, where does this water lead to in present set up & proposal for expansion ? PP proposes to use Fresh Water 25 KLD for Filter back-Wash & shows 100% of water lost in washing page no. 31 Form-1A.
5. The entire quantity of water would not be evaporated during cooling, how is the residual water being treated & would be treated after expansion? How to handle the rejects?

PP & Consultant were further asked to furnish the following in affidavit

- a) Regarding the studies carried out to ascertain that there would not be any obstruction or impediment in general traffic in vicinity of the project due to the said expansion to be carried out.
- b) Regarding the no. of in-bound & out-bound vehicles (PCU/Hr.) and the running hours per day of DG sets considered while undertaking the studies for “Incremental Pollution Load”/”Air Dispersion Modelling”.

Further the PP Submitted all the affidavits and replies to the queries raised during the meeting after checking the documents it has been found that the installed DG sets are Air Cooled but the submitted documents shows Water Cooled.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply.

Item No. [42] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony Project located at Village Kadarapur, Sector 63A, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Global (India) Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide Online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/196647/2021 on dated 05.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Green area mentioned is 6495.5 sq. mt. (28.65% of the net plot area), affidavit in this regard.
2. Affidavit regarding treatment of water up to “Tertiary level” and no untreated water to be discharged or to be used in any of activities
3. Affidavits regarding DG sets/Fuel as per GRAP/NCAP and studies undertaken

The case was taken up in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021; after detailed deliberations**, the Authority directed the PP to explain the queries raised during the meeting. Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply which was found in order; **hence, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project.**

Item No. [43] EC for Residential Plotted Colony project under DDJAY located at village Nakhrola, Sector 81, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Emaar India Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/193571/2021 dated 05.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC held on 19.02.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 18.01.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

The PP submitted the request for withdrawal of the case vide letter dated 25.02.2021. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC held on 26.03.2021 and the PP requested that they have revised the proposal after change in planning and they have applied for separate online application for this project and requested to withdrawal of the application and Committee discussed that the same project has also applied by another number and this may be withdrawal and another at Agenda no. 212.24 shall be appraised.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021;** after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to withdraw the Project.**

Item No. [44] EC for Residential Plotted Colony project under DDJAY located at village Nakhrola, Sector 81, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Emaar India Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/201490/2021 on 05.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has been granted earlier Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2017/861 dated 18.12.2017.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for clarification that in the previous EC of Residential Plotted Colony Projects under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna was sanctioned minimum 20% Green Area but in the present case, green area is recommended less than 20% ; why?

Item No. [45] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony project located at Sector 106, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s K.N. Infracon Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/201676/2020 on 05.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Capacity of STP to be at least 678 KLD considering 543 KLD waste water generation;
2. Affidavit regarding the treatment of water till “Tertiary level” and no untreated water would be used or discharged;
3. Affidavit regarding RWH pits/DG sets & Fuel/Studies undertaken.

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply as well as requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project.**

Item No. [46] EC for Expansion of Medical cum Hospital and Research Institute at Farukh Nagar Road, Vill Budhera, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Dashmesh Educational Charitable Trust.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/53080/2010 on 22.12.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2010/698 dated 01.09.2010.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion of Medical cum Hospital and Research Institute.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Plan for Bio-Medical Waste
2. Must achieve “ZLD”.
3. Fuel & DG sets as per NCAP/GRAP
4. The specific condition is added that the PP shall establish oxygen plant of full capacity of beds instead of capacity of ICU beds as per MoM of 213th SEAC meeting.

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply as well as requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project.**

Item No. [47] EC for proposed Expansion in Existing Manufacturing facility of API, Biomolecules and Herbal Extracts at Plot-03, Sector-18, Phase-3, Industrial Estate Barhi, Sonipat, Gannaur, Haryana by M/s Cennet Biopharma Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND2/176216/2020 on 05.10.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Project should achieve “Zero Liquid Discharge”;
2. DG sets & fuel being used to be as per GRAP/NCAP;
3. Wildlife conservation plan to be submitted.

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply as well as requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project.**

Item No. [48] EC for Revision and Expansion of Commercial Complex Project located at Village Pawal Khusurpur, Sector 109, Gurugram Manesar Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Ish Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/202703/2021 on 09.03.2021 for Revision and Expansion of Commercial Complex Project under category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Revision and Expansion of Commercial Complex Project.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised

1. PP should submit an affidavit under oath that neither any investor has invested in project nor any part of project has been sold to anyone on the basis of previous “EC” mentioning the Green Area 26%
2. PP has to submit the RO visit report & compliance report to SEIAA
3. 150 KLD of treated water for HVAC cooling, 100% will not be lost, scheme, equipment & plan for treating the residual water & how to handle the rejects?
4. PP must achieve “Zero Liquid Discharge” being a commercial project, plan for the same should be submitted & affidavit in this regard.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority directed the PP to explain the queries raised during the meeting. Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply which it has been found that as per replies population from in increasing from 4000 -6855 and the query is if population increasing how the water requirement is decreasing and capacity of STP is being reduced; **hence, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Revision and Expansion” to the Project on submission of the satisfactory reply.**

Item No. [49] ToR for Proposed Development of Integrated Township in the name of Ardee City (133.40 acres already developed 71.458 acres proposed) at Sector 52 and Sector 57, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Ardee Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61593/2021 on 10.03.2021 for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC held on 27.03.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [50] ToR for Proposed Affordable Residential Plotted Colony under DDJAY Policy on Land Measuring 57.50625 acres in the Revenue Estate of Village Hayatpur, Sector 89, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Adhikaansh Realtors Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61677/2021 on 10.03.2021 for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC held on 27.03.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF& CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [51] ToR for Expansion-cum-Modification of Group Housing Project at Village Palra, Sector 70 A, Gurgaon by M/s Haamid Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61220/2021 dated 25.02.2021 on 03.03.2021 for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR has been granted on 03.03.2021 by SEIAA Haryana. Thereafter, the case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC held on 27.03.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [52] EC for Proposed Bulk Drug & Bulk Drug Intermediates (Cap-113.6 MT/Annum) manufacturing unit Khasra No. 57/2,3,4,5,6,7,8,58/1,10 in Village Seenkh, Tehsil Israna, District Panipat, Haryana by M/s ARMN Pharmaceutical India Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND/176576/2020 dated 30.09.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **211th & 212th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.02.2021 & 26.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Mentioned in MoM is “The unit is having Coal Fired Boiler”, is unit operational?
2. Unit must achieve “Zero Liquid Discharge”
3. Unit is having or proposing to have a coal fired boiler, fuel for boiler/DG sets to be as per GRAP/NCAP. As per the Pollution Index Score, Panipat Industrial cluster falls under “Critically Polluted” category(<https://cpcb.nic.in/new-cepi-action-plan/>)

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid raised observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [53] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony “ROF ATULYAS” Project at Village Hayatpur, Sector 93, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Pegasus Land and Housing Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/192804/2021 dated 10.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 27.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to submit affidavit regarding following:

1. Affidavit regarding studies & DG sets;
2. Affidavit regarding “Waste Water” to be treated up to “Tertiary Level” and no untreated water would be discharged in public sewer or to be used in any of the activities.

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project.**

Item No. [54] EC for Proposed Bulk Drug & Bulk Drug Intermediates (Cap-180 kg/month) manufacturing unit at Plot no. 131, Industrial Area, Phase-II, Panchkula, Haryana by M/s Sarv Biolabs Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND2/199974/2021 dated 15.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 27.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority directed the PP to submit the affidavit stating that:

1. Unit must achieve “Zero Liquid discharge”, an affidavit & plan in this regard
2. Fuel for boiler/DG sets as per NCAP/GRAP

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of aforesaid Affidavits.

Item No. [55] ToR for Manufacturing of Formaldehyde and Resin at Village Ramnagar, Tehsil Ganaur, District Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Krishna Chem.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND3/61572/2021 on 15.03.2021 for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006

The case was taken in 212th meeting of SEAC held on 27.03.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee. The Discussion was held on the process of manufacturing of formaldehyde, raw materials, boilers, stack height, SWH, hazardous waste, STP, ETP and Water balance etc. The PP intimated that baseline data has been collected during December 2019 to Feb 2020 for preparation of EIA report which was considered and approved by the committee.

After deliberations, it was decided by the committee to recommend the case to SEIAA for not approval of TOR as the project lies outside the industrial area and covers under Category A project and shall apply to MOEF&CC as category A project.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to acced the recommendation of SEAC and further decided to file this case.

Item No. [56] Amendment of EC for Proposed Commercial Complex Project on land area measuring 4.843 at Sector 66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Gentle Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/200374/2021 dated 05.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2018/247 dated 04.04.2018.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 27.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Amendment in earlier EC.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to defer this case for the decision after obtaining clarification from MOEF & CC, GOI regarding amendment in Environment Clearance under 8(a) & 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

Item No. [57] EC for Proposed Commercial Colony (Retail, Multiplex & Food Court) planned at Village Adampur, Sector 50, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Pyramid City Projects LLP & Others In Collaboration With Elan Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/199721/2021 dated 24.02.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 27.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. RWH pits with 6 mt. diameter seems to be unsustainable.
2. Being a commercial project, must achieve “ZLD”; submit the plan & an affidavit in this regard
3. DG sets capacity 3520 KVA (2*1010 + 1*1500) type & Fuel to be used as per NCAP/GRAP.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority directed the PP to explain the queries raised during the meeting. Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply in which it has been observed that the attached affidavit mentions the running of DG sets is considered for 24 hrs, Are these DG sets meant that as stand by or captive generation of power; **hence, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply of the observation.**

Item No. [58] EC for “Formaldehyde Manufacturing Unit” located at Village Kunjal Jatan, Tehsil Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana by M/s R.S. Chemicals.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/IND2/61701/2020 dated 19.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 5(f) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted to the project vide letter dated 28.10.2020.

The case was considered in **212th meeting of SEAC** held on 27.03.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Must achieve “ZLD”; submit the plan & an affidavit in this regard
2. Fuel of Boiler & DG sets to be as per NCAP/GRAP.

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply as well as requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project.**

Item No. [59] EC for construction of Commercial Colony located in the revenue estate of Village Babupur, Sector-106, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 01.10.2015. Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 128th meeting of SEAC held on 25.02.2016. The Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee agreed to consider the request of the Project Proponent by issuing notice of 30 days.

The observations of 128th meeting were issued to the PP vide letter No. 740 dated 08.03.2016. Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the Project Proponent vide letter No. 2162 dated 16.08.2017. The PP their letter dated 18.09.2017 and requested for delisting of their case as under:

“It is intimated that considering the poor response in the market, the project has been shelved by us for the present and we are no longer pursuing the matter of issue of license with Town and Country Planning Haryana. In view of above, you are requested that our case may kindly be closed at your end, we shall again apply for issue of Environment Clearance for the subject project as and when market, conditions improves and license for the subject project is issued by Town and Country Planning Haryana”

Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 160th meeting of SEAC held on 06.11.2017. It was decided to constitute a Sub-Committee for site visit. The sub-committee will consist of the following:

1. Sh. G.R.Goyat, Chairman
2. Sh. Hitender Singh, Member (Co-ordinator)

The site has since been visited by the Sub-Committee had submitted its report on 27.07.2018. The report of the Sub-Committee was placed before the Committee in the 173rd meeting of the SEAC held on 27.07.2018. The Sub-Committee report was read out to all the Members of the Committee and deliberated in detail. The Committee has accepted the report of the Sub-Committee in total.

The Committee after detailed discussion is of the unanimous view that the case may be placed for appraisal in the 174th meeting of the SEAC to be held on 07.08.2018. Due to shortage of time, the case could not be taken up. The Committee is of the unanimous decided to defer the case for 175th meeting to be held on 13.08.2018.

As per site visit report, PP has neither started any construction nor there any activity. The Committee is of the unanimous view that the case may be referred to SEIAA for filling.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021;** after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to file this case.**

Item No. [60] EC for proposed Commercial Colony (Retail, Food Court & Office) planned at Village Maidawas, Sector 66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Emaar India Limited and developed by M/s Elan City LLP.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/202823/2021 dated 15.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. DG sets of 2100 KVA (1*1100+1*1000), type of fuel & DG sets to be as per NCAP/GRAP
2. PP must achieve “ZLD”.

After detailed deliberations, the Authority directed the PP to explain the queries raised during the meeting. Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply in which it has been observed that the attached affidavit mentions the running of DG sets is considered for 24 hrs, Are these DG sets meant that as stand by or captive generation of power; **hence, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply of the observation.**

Item No. [61] Modification/Amendment in EC for construction of Commercial Complex at Village Hayatpur, Sector-84, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Bajaj Motors Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/204543/2021 dated 19.03.2021 for obtaining amendment in Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already obtained Environment clearance issued vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2017/857 dated 18.12.2017.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of Amendment in the earlier EC of Commercial Complex.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021** and the Authority decided to defer this case for the decision after obtaining clarification from MOEF & CC, GOI regarding amendment in Environment Clearance under 8(a) & 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

Item No. [62] EC for Proposed Mix used Development project (MUD) located at measuring plot area of 39780.6 m², adjacent to Bhaktawar Chowk Junction of Netaji Subhash Marg Road and Satpaul Mittal Marg Road Sector 47, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s IKEA India Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/54971/2020 dated 10.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. Power back-up proposed is 18000 KVA (9*2000 KVA each DG sets), type of DG sets to be installed, proposed APCM and the type of fuel to be as per NCAP/GRAP
2. PP must achieve “Zero Liquid Discharge”

Accordingly, the PP has explained & submitted his reply as well as requisite affidavits; **after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project.**

Item No. [63] ToR for Expansion of “Medicity Project-Institute of Integrated Medical Science and Holistic Therapies” in Sector-38, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Global Health Private Limited.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61753/2021 dated 15.03.2021 for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC held on 19.04.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [64] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony Project to be developed at Village Hayatpur, Sector 89, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Signature Infrabuild Private Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No.SIA/HR/MIS/201002/2021 dated 08.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2020/108 dated 13/02/2020.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and it was noticed by the Authority that the PP has not submitted the Aravalli NOC for khasra No. 6 and for Expansion Part of the Project and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

Item No. [65] EC for Revision & Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Project located at Village Dhorka, Sector 95, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s SA Propcon Pvt. Ltd. In Collaboration with M/s Signature Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/201941/2021 on dated 09.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2019/496 dated 20/12/2019.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Revision & Expansion.**

The case was taken up in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021; after due deliberations, the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Revision & Expansion” to the Project.**

Item No. [66] EC for Warehouse and Industrial Shed Project on a land measuring 2,19,466.28 m² (21.95 Ha) at Village Durina, Tehsil & District Jhajjar, Haryana by M/s Aaravalli Logistics Park Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/208109/2021 dated 07.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

1. The area of location of proposed project is in NCR. The capacity of DG sets proposed is 4,085 kVA (2 X 1010, 2 X 600, 1 X 500 kVA and 1 x 365 kVA). APCM proposed, type of DG sets & fuel to be used to be as per NCAP/GRAP
2. Forest NoC to be obtained during meeting
3. PP should submit an affidavit that only non-hazardous materials would be stored as stated under 3.1, page no. 9
4. Landscape area 15.5 % of Plot area + 5% Vertical Green to be created.
5. Statements given by PP that they will store "Non-hazardous Materials" & then will store Chemicals as per MSIHC rules, could not understand. Ask PP to elaborate.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [67] ToR for Warehouse and Industrial Shed Project on land measuring 4,56,973.11 m² (54.70 Ha) at Village Rathiwas, Bhudka & Bhodakalan, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Crystal City Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/62518/2021 dated 07.04.2021 for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, the case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC held on 19.04.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for approval of TOR** with directions to the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC with the **additional Terms of Reference**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; the Authority decided to **approve** the “**Terms of Reference**” and directed the Project Proponent to prepare EIA Report by using **Model Terms of Reference as per MoEF & CC** with the **additional Terms of Reference as recommended by SEAC**.

Item No. [68] EC for Proposed Affordable Group Housing project will be developed at Village Baselwa, Sector 88, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Sudarshan BUILTECH Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/200050/2021 dated 07.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Green area mentioned is 3039.86 sqm (15.01 % of Net Planned Area or 21.74% of total balanced area), explain
2. Capacity of STP to be 1.25 times of quantity of waste water generated.
3. Dimensions of RWH pits mentioned on page no. 27 of the Form No.-1A is dia-4 mts& depth- 3.5 mts while taken in calculation & mentioned in MoM is 3 mts& 3 mts respectively, though the no. of pits mentioned is 6 with dual bore. Clarify
4. DG sets & type of fuel to be used to be as per NCAP/GRAP.

The Authority further asked the PP to submit the following documents:

1. Affidavit regarding the studies carried out to ascertain that there would not be any obstruction or impediment in general traffic in vicinity of project during the construction and operational phase of the said project.
2. Affidavit regarding the no. of in-bound & out-bound vehicles (PCU/Hr.) and the running hours per day of DG sets considered while undertaking the studies for “Incremental Pollution Load”/”Air Dispersion Modelling”.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply as well as affidavit.

Item No. [69] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Colony Sector at Sector 32, Village Dhunela, Tehsil Sohna, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s St. Patricks Realty Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/187424/2020 dated 07.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Plot area is not increasing and Ground Coverage is being increased.
2. Power back-up in “Existing” is shown to be 4 X 1500 kVA + 1 X 500 kVA= 6050 KVA & after expansion shown to be 3 X 1010 kVA + 3 X 500 kVA = 4530 KVA, is it so?
3. Type of APCM proposed, DG sets & fuel to be as per GRAP/NCAP. Affidavit in this regard

After detailed deliberations, the Authority decided to constitute a sub-committee comprising of Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Chairman SEAC and Shri Hitender Singh, Member SEAC for Spot Inspection & submit their report on current status of the Project. Further, the Authority decided to defer this to the next meeting.

Item No. [70] EC for Proposed Common Effluent Treatment Plant of 2 MLD capacity (Based on Extended Aeration System) coming up at Kila No. 247/8/1, 247/12/12, 247/13, 247/19, 247/19, 247/20/1, 247/21, 247/22 HSIIDC Industrial Estate, Murthal, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s HSIIDC Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61274/2019 dated 15.13.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 7(h) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the **128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021**; after due deliberations; **the Authority decided to agree with the recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Common Effluent Treatment Plant”**.

Item No. [71] EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing at Village Ullahawas, Sector 62, Gurgaon, Urban Complex, Haryana by M/s Pivotal Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/192705/2021 on dated 24.03.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2017/489 dated 28.07.2017.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Green area mentioned is 3039.86 sqm (15.01 % of Net Planned Area or 21.74% of total balanced area), explain
2. Capacity of STP to be 1.25 times of quantity of waste water generated.
3. Dimensions of RWH pits mentioned on page no. 27 of the Form No.-1A is dia-4 mts& depth- 3.5 mts while taken in calculation & mentioned in MoM is 3 mts& 3 mts respectively, though the no. of pits mentioned is 6 with dual bore. Clarify
4. DG sets & type of fuel to be used to be as per NCAP/GRAP.
5. The project is of Expansion & the affidavits should regarding "No adverse effect in LOS & movement of traffic due to the proposed Project". To be rectified. Secondly, the query was what type of DG sets are operational & type of fuel being used.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC for Expansion" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply as well as affidavit.

Item No. [72] Quantity Expansion for Total Area: 3.35 Ha Mining of minor mineral (Marble Mining) at Khasra No-212 Village Bayal, Tehsil Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendragarh, Haryana by M/s Satish Kumar Garg.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIN/197799/2021 dated 12.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 1(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Earlier EC was granted to the project vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2019/149 Dated 08.07.2019.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project.

Item No. [73] EC for Expansion of International Tech Park Gurugram (ITPG), IT/ITES, SEZ (63.25 Acres), Village Behrampur, Near Sector-59, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s ITPG Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/196288/2021 dated 12.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2013/623 dated 04.09.2013.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Power back up shown to be 51000 KVA in MoM, how the incremental load has been calculated? What type of APCM is installed presently for such huge power back-up presently? PP has obtained “CTO”, therefore project must be in operation, PP should submit the details with photographs.
2. Compliance Report has pointed out certain deficiencies even in “Operational Part” of the project, “No provision for Solar Water Heating Provision”.
3. Waste water generation is 2750 KLD & proposed STP is 2765 KLD; capacity of STP to be at least 1.25 times of quantity of water to be treated.
4. No. of RWH pits mentioned in accorded EC is 54 & now proposing 51, why such reduction?
5. Green area shown in accorded “EC” is 43.35% & now after expansion would be 23.45%. Reduction in Green area inspite the location of the project.
6. 72 KLD of Fresh water shown for “Filter back Wash”, what is this process and how would it consume 72,000 lts of water per day? Where this water would go?
7. 1968 KLD of water for HVAC cooling, submit the schematic plan with associated equipment to treat the residual water & to handle the rejects as 100% of water would not evaporate.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

Item No. [74] EC for Expansion of Proposed Commercial Colony of area measuring 7.462 Acres in Sector-74, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Prompt Engineering Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/61136/2018 dated 12.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Earlier, Environment clearance was obtained from MoEF&CC vide File No.21-106/2018-IA-III dated 21.01.2019 for establishment of Commercial Colony.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Fresh water requirement is increasing by 148 KLD & waste water generation is increasing by 221 KLD?
2. How the plot area is decreasing by 73.8 mt²
3. Capacity of STP to be at least 1.25 times of quantity of Waste water generated.
4. DG sets of capacity 10530 KVA, APCM proposed, DG sets & fuel to be as per NCAP/GRAP.
5. Capacity of individual DG sets on basis of which "Air Dispersion Modelling" has been done?
6. Water balance diagram, page no. 27, Fig. 2.4, water required for cooling tower of DG sets & HVAC is 11 KLD & 353 KLD respectively. 100% of the water would not evaporate, submit the plan to treat the residual water & to handle the rejects.
7. Avg. volume of recharge pit 62.5 mt³ is given on page no. 32, dimensions not mentioned.
8. PP must achieve "Zero Liquid discharge".

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

Item No. [75] EC for Expansion of Group Housing Colony area measuring 13.2118 acres in Sector 68 (HD Zone), Gurgaon, Manesar Urban Complex by M/s Hans Propcon Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/62566/2014 dated 12.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2016/650 dated 17.08.2016.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC for Expansion.**

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Capacity of STP to be at least 1.25 times of quantity of Waste water generated.
2. PP should submit an affidavit stating that no construction would be carried out under 220 KVA line and area would be left as “Buffer Zone” on both sides of the 220 KVA as per the regulations
3. Power back up 4500 KVA, no capacity of proposed DG sets is mentioned

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the “Air Dispersion modeling” inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon’ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant “EC for Expansion” to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [76] EC for Proposed Commercial Colony on 3.88125 acres in Sector 59 and 60, Village Ullawas, Gurgaon Manesar Urban Complex, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Nova realtors Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/201346/2021 dated 12.04.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Project has already been granted Environment Clearance vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2010/841 dated 05.10.2010 for Plot area of 14113.41 m² and Built up area of 49830 Sqm.

The case was considered in **213th meeting of SEAC** held on 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Power back up 4500 KVA, no capacity of proposed DG sets is mentioned
2. Capacity of STP to be at least 1.25 times of quantity of Waste water generated

Further, the Authority directed the Project Proponent and the authorized Consultant to furnish an Affidavit stating as follows:

- (a) That the extensive studies have been undertaken regarding Traffic flow & Level of Services around the site ascertaining that there would be no adverse effect or impediment in movement of traffic during Construction or Operational phase of upcoming project;
- (b) That while carrying out the "Air Dispersion modeling" inbound and outbound vehicles (___ PCU/hr.) along with the emission and running hours (___) of DG sets has been considered;
- (c) That the running of DG sets/ Captive Power during Construction or Operational phase and fuel to be used would be as per Guidelines of GRAP & NCAP; as per ruling passed by Hon'ble EPCA/NGT that National Clean Air program vide Office Order No. HSPCB/SSC/2020/4320-44 dated 25.06.2020 would be implemented.

After due deliberations; the Authority decided to agree with the Appraisal & Recommendation of SEAC to grant "EC for Expansion" to the Project on submission of satisfactory reply on the aforesaid observations as well as Affidavits.

Item No. [77] EC for Affordable Residential Plotted Colony under (Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna located at Sector 35, Karnal, Haryana by M/s Kind Building Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/201978/2020 on 08.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th&213th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 & 19.04.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Green area mentioned in MoM is 5792.252 mt²(15.05% of Plot area) while PP has mentioned 4531.236 mt²(11.77%) on page no. 28 and 4995.09834 mt²(12.98%) in Annexure-X(reply to SEAC), which one is correct? Capacity of STP to be at least 1.25 times of Quantity of Waste Water Generated
2. The PP shall submit affidavit that they have not constructed more than 20000 m² in the project area alongwith building plans of earlier Project.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for clarification that in the previous EC of Residential Plotted Colony Projects under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna was sanctioned minimum 20% Green Area but in the present case, green area is recommended less than 20% ; why?

Item No. [78] EC of Revision and Expansion of Commercial Colony Project at Village Ullawas, Sector 62, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Splendor Landbase Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/202771/2021 dated 17.03.2021 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was considered in **212th & 213th meeting of SEAC** held on 26.03.2021 & 19.04.2021 **and** recommended to SEIAA for **grant of EC**.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

1. Green area is being reduced by approx. 10%
2. As project pertains to "Revision & Expansion", actual status at site should be found out.
3. PP has shown 115 KLD of water for cooling of HVAC; 100% of water will not evaporate in cooling. PP should submit the plan to treat the residual water & to handle the rejects. This way only PP would be able to achieve "Zero Liquid Discharge"

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting being not submission of reply.

Item No. [79] Amendment in EC of Non-Agro Warehouse project on land measuring 76.8437 acres (3,10,975.59 m²) located at Village Rahaka and Rani ka Singhola, Tehsil Sohna, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Emporium Industrial Parks (India) Private Limited.

The case was considered in 209th meeting of SEAC held on 30.01.2021 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Amendment in Environment Clearance.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; the authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

- a) The proposed project is of “Ware-House”, having “Air Emission” in form of emission from DG sets (Point Source), vehicular emission (line Source) & generation of fugitive particles due to vehicular activities. In the present case both are increasing, Power Load is increasing from 7,500 kVA - 10,860 kVA and capacity of DG sets increasing from 5000 KVA – 7140 KVA. Operating the unit for double shift might increase in- bound & out-bound vehicles. Therefore, previously submitted affidavit regarding the studies undertaken for “Air-dispersion modeling” does not hold good”.
- b) As per the slide 15 of presentation, PP has declared that there is definite increase in population, water demand, Power demand.

Authority observed that there is definite increase in “Pollution Load”. Authority directed “Project Proponent” to furnish the relevant environmental studies to determine the “Impact on Environment” with the said “Amendments”.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC and asked SEAC to seek reply of above asked observations along with the studies needed to determine the “Incremental Pollution Load” from the project proponent. The same should be duly recommended & appraised to SEIAA.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 213th meeting of SEAC held on 20.04.2021. The Project Proponent and the accredited Consultant made a detailed presentation on the observation of SEIAA on the project and informed that:

- The Proposed project is an Amendment in EC of Non-Agro Warehouse project on land measuring 76.8437 acres (3,10,975.59 m²) located at Village Rahaka and Rani ka Singhola, Tehsil Sohna, District Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Emporium Industrial Parks (India) Private Limited. Earlier EC was granted to the project vide letter no. 369 dated 27.08.2020 for a plot area measuring 76.84375 acres (3,10,975.59 m²) and Built-up Area 1,78,316.95 m².
- Now, we have increased number of working shifts to 2 from 1. Due to which Population, water and waste water requirement, RHW, Solid waste, Electrical load and Power Backup change has been increased.
- In this connection, we would like to inform you that plot area and the built-up area remains the same i.e. 76.84375 acres (3,10,975.59 m²) and Built-up Area 1,78,316.95 m².

In this regard, we would like to inform you that as per EIA Notification 2006 and its amendment thereof, the criteria for expansion of the project for building and construction 8(a) and Township & Area Development Project 8(b) is Plot Area and Built-up area whereas for expansion of Industries and other category projects the criteria is based on their production and capacity of the unit. Therefore, we are seeking and amendment in the earlier granted EC. The PP has again submitted the incremental load and its mitigation. Below are the details of change of parameters:-

- Air Quality Dispersion Modeling has been carried out by following the CPCB guidelines. Meteorological input data: Data generated at the project site (wind speed, wind direction, ambient temperature is used for modeling. Mixing height data has been obtained from CPCB publication. Stability class data has been computed during the wind speed and cloud

cover. The incremental GLC (ground level concentration) values are estimated for 24-hour average period. The highest ground level concentration (GLC) is superimposed over the observed ambient values of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂ and NO_x and the resultant scenario is shown in Table:-

Parameter	GLC as per Earlier EC	After amendment
CO	1.347	1.53
Nox	3.595	5.65
Sox	0.448	0.761
PM _{2.5}	0.060	0.12
PM ₁₀	0.1322	0.28

PP has also proposed following mitigation measures to control Air Pollution during operation phase:-

- Dense plantation will be provided which will attenuate particulate matter by 25%.
- Low sulphur diesel will be used for DG sets.
- Stack height will be provided as per CPCB norms.
- Ensure smooth traffic circulation and restriction on vehicular speed within the premises.
- Maintenance will be done on regular basis.
- Wet Scrubber will be installed for NO_x and SO_x.
- All the DG set will be of silent generator type.

The committee deliberated the reply of observation and again decided by majority to recommend the amendments in the earlier EC issued vide letter no.369 on dated 27.08.2020 to SEIAA with the additional stipulations as recommended vide MOM of 209th minutes of SEAC and other conditions will remain the same as per earlier Environment Clearance no. 369 dated 27.08.2020.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to defer this case for the decision after obtaining clarification from MOEF & CC, GOI regarding amendment in Environment Clearance under 8(a) & 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

Item No. [80] Amendment of Environment Clearance for Sarvodaya Hospital & Research Centre (A Unit of Anshu Hospitals Ltd) At Site No.1, Sector-08 at Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Sarvodaya Hospital & Research Centre.

The case was considered in the 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020 and recommended to SEIAA for grant of Amendment in Environment Clearance. Earlier, the EC was granted to the project on dated 30.11.2017.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 126th meeting of SEIAA held on 12.12.2020, the Authority observed that SEAC has recommended this project for Amendment whereas the number of Beds is increased; Bio-medical waste as well as other requirements are also being increased /changed. After detailed deliberation; the Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC to ask the Project Proponent to apply under Expansion Category instead of Amendment in EC.

The case was taken up 208th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 07.01.2021. The PP presented the case before the committee and submitted that the project was appraised by committee in its 203rd meeting as an amendment on the basis of same plot size and built up area. The committee after deliberation again decided to recommend the amendments in the earlier EC issued vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2017/798 dated 30.11.2017 to SEIAA with the additional stipulations as recommended by MOM of 203rd meeting of SEAC and other conditions will remain the same as per earlier Environment Clearance dated 30.11.2017.

The recommendations of SEAC were considered in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; the authority observed and directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

- a) Fresh water demand is increasing to 187.84% of sanctioned Fresh Water Demand;
- b) Total waste Water generation (333 KLD) is increasing by more than 2 times of previously stated (144 KLD) whereas STP capacity is increased to 315 KLD from 170 KLD;
- c) Fresh water demand is increasing thereby waste water generation too, capacity of STP to be upgraded;
- d) Green Area mentioned in earlier EC and MoM is 25.25%, PP proposes to have 30.5% (3851 mt²) on page no. 18 of Form-1A;
- e) Even the capacity of HVAC would also be increased? Water required for HVAC & DG cooling shown is 82 and 2KLD, all this water would not be lost in cooling/evaporation, how does PP propose to treat the residual water and handle the rejects and how is PP presently treating the residual water? PP should furnish affidavit in this regard and PP should achieve “ZLD”;
- f) What are the proposals to handle Bio-medical waste being generated from COVID patients?
- g) What types of APCMs are installed on DG sets? Fuel type & emission should be as per the guide-lines of GRAP and NCAP;
- h) With the increase in no. of beds & patients, bio-medical waste will increase, has the hospital capacity to handle or dispose of the increased quantity? Is it in house or contracted to 3rd party, if later, has the contractor so much of spare capacity to handle bio-medical waste? As there is increase in pollution load, facts may be verified through site visit;
- i) The case pertains to hospital and is running, has Consultant engaged any “Functional area Expert” for the handling & disposal of bio-medical waste?
- j) With the increase in no. of beds, vehicular activities too would increase, PP should submit fresh EIA studies
- k) PP & Consultant should furnish an affidavit that disposal of bio-medical waste is as per the stated norms in existing project. Further should state that all the data pertaining to “Green area”, running of STP, handling of waste including bio-degradable waste is as per norms and as stipulated in the granted “EC”. The increase in capacity in terms of increase in number of beds would not violate any norms pertaining to lay-out of medical facilities & hospitals.

Authority observed that there is definite increase in “Pollution Load”. Authority directed “Project Proponent” to furnish the relevant environmental studies to determine the “Impact on Environment” with the said “Amendments”.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC and asked SEAC to seek reply of above asked observations along with the studies needed to determine the “Incremental Pollution Load” from the project proponent. The same should be duly recommended and appraised to SEIAA.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 213th meeting of SEAC held on 20.04.2021. The Project Proponent and the accredited Consultant made a detailed presentation on the observation of SEIAA on the project and informed that:

- Earlier the project was running on 150 beds after expansion the project is running on 300 beds as per EC granted vide letter No. SEIAA/HR/2017/798 dated 30.11.2017. The Hospital is currently running on 300 beds and it has ample space to add up 150 beds as per concerned authority norm to cater any emergency. However, some beds can also be adjusted to meet the ongoing crisis and one bed can be added up in every room and beds can also be added up in General wards.
- The authority observed some explanation & directed the Project Proponent to explain before SEAC committee.
- PP has submitted Point wise reply.

The committee after deliberation again decided by majority to recommend the amendments in the earlier EC issued vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2017/798 dated 30.11.2017 to SEIAA with the additional stipulations as recommended by MoM of 203rd meeting of SEAC and other conditions will remain the same as per earlier Environment Clearance dated 30.11.2017.

Special Additional Condition:

PP shall install oxygen plant of full capacity of beds instead of capacity of ICU beds.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after due deliberation Authority decided to grant the provisional EC to the Project in view of Notification issued Vide S.O. 1223(E) dated 27.03.2020 by the MoEF &CC, GoI, due to prevailing unusual Corona situation so that the smooth functioning of the Hospital may be ensured and details shall be monitored by State Level Monitoring Committee.

Item No. [81] Extension and Amendment in EC of Residential Group Housing Colony at Village Nangal Khurd, Sector 19, District Sonapat, Haryana by M/s TDI Infrastructure Limited.

The case was considered in 206th & 207th meeting of SEAC held on 27.11.2020 & 17.12.2020 recommended to SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance. Earlier, the EC was granted to the project vide letter No. 1547 dated 24.12.2013 for a Plot Area measuring 43857.73 sqm. The recommendation of SEAC was taken up in the 127th meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021; the Authority observed & directed the Project Proponent to explain the following:

- a) Total population is reducing from 4255 to 4239 by 16 in No. and Space for car parking is increasing from 1235 to 1442. While projecting the Incremental Pollution Load, PCU/Hr is also undertaken in consideration meaning thereby that “Air Dispersion Modeling” submitted earlier would not stand true in present circumstances. “Line Source” emission is increasing;
- b) Decrease in No. of total units shown (853-841=12) is due to the decrease in Servant units (84-66=18) other units are increasing from 653-658 & EWS 116-117. As in case of each Dwelling Unit, 5 persons per unit is considered while in case of servant quarters would be max.2;
- c) Population in dwelling units and EWS increasing by 25 and 5 in No. respectively. The decrease in population is shown by decreasing the No. of students (10) in school & 36 in servant quarters (Page No. C-8 & C-9);
- d) On Page No. C-10, PP has forgotten to show the necessary amendments proposed for Water Consumption e.g. Population taken 4013, students and visitors taken 168 and 42 while on previous page C-9/C-8 shows students 160 & visitors 40, only change made is water consumption@111LPCD;
- e) DG cooling 9 KLD, all water would not evaporate what about the residual water?
- f) Water body 5 KL, what are the dimensions & water would be required to replenish the quantity lost in evaporation that is approx.7 mm of the cross-section or exposed area of waterbody;
- g) On Page No. C-15 & C-16, No. of RWH has not been determined;
- h) Project is near completion, should verify the status of conditions of accorded “EC” being complied. Authority observed that there is definite increase in “Pollution Load”. Authority directed “Project Proponent” to furnish the relevant environmental studies to determine the “Impact on Environment” with the said “Amendments”.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC and asked SEAC to seek reply of above asked observations along with the studies needed to determine the “Incremental Pollution Load” from the project proponent. The same should be duly recommended and appraised to SEIAA.

The point wise reply was submitted to SEAC, Haryana on 09.04.2021. Thereafter, the case was again appraised in the 213th SEAC meeting dated 20.04.2021. for re consideration. The Project Proponent and the accredited Consultant made a detailed presentation on the observation of SEIAA on the project and mentioned that:

- a) Total population is reducing from 4255 to 4239 by 16 in No. and Space for car parking is increasing from 1235 to 1442. While projecting the Incremental Pollution Load, PCU/Hr is also undertaken in consideration meaning thereby that “Air Dispersion Modeling” submitted earlier would not stand true in present circumstances. “Line Source” emission is increasing;- The detail of the population calculation and parking is annexed as Annexure II in the reply and the Air dispersion modeling report is annexed as Annexure III.
- b) Decrease in No. of total units shown (853-841=12) is due to the decrease in Servant units (84-66=18) other units are increasing from 653-658 & EWS 116-117. As in case of each Dwelling Unit, 5 persons per unit is considered while in case of servant quarters would be max. 2;-The details of the population is annexed as Annexure II in the reply
- c) Population in dwelling units & EWS increasing by 25 & 5 in No. respectively. The decrease in population is shown by decreasing the No. of students (10) in school & 36 in servant quarters

(Page No. C-8 & C-9);- As per Environmental Clearance granted : No of DU was 653 , hence population was considered @5person per unit i.e. 3265 no and EWS units was 116 , hence population was considered @5 person per unit i.e.580 no

As per Amendment proposed: No of Dwelling units are 658 considering @5 person per unit population will be 3290 and EWS units are 117 , hence population considering population @5 person per unit will be 585 no. Hence there will be difference of 25 no of person in Dwelling Units and 5 no in EWS units. No of Students are decreasing because the area of the schools in revised sanction is decreasing from 840.925 sqm to 800.62 sqm Hence slight decrease in population by 10 no.

- d) On Page No. C-10, PP has forgotten to show the necessary amendments proposed for Water Consumption e.g. Population taken 4013, students & visitors taken 168 & 42 while on previous page C-9/C-8 shows students 160 & visitors 40, only change made is water consumption @111LPCD;- The revised water management & balance is annexed as Annexure II in the reply
- e) DG cooling 9 KLD, all water would not evaporate what about the residual water?-DG cooling water will be 9 KLD app 1 KLD residual water will be generated. That will be evaporated in a Multi Effect evaporator and salt will be collected in HDPE bags and then it will be sent to TSDF site for final disposal. Revised water Balance Diagram is annexed as Annexure II of the reply.
- f) Water body 5 KL, what are the dimensions & water would be required to replenish the quantity lost in evaporation that is approx. 7 mm of the cross-section or exposed area of water body;-Dimension of water body will be 2.0 m x 2.5 m X1.0 m; Surface area of water Body is 5.0 m²; Water loss daily from water body =0.007 x 5= 0.035 KLD (35 liter)
- g) On Page No. C-15 & C-16, No. of RWH has not been determined;-Six no rain water harvesting pits will be provided. Detailed rainwater harvesting calculation is annexed as Annexure -V of the reply
- h) Project is near completion, should verify the status of conditions of accorded "EC" being complied.-All the conditions given in the EC are complied with, undertaking in this regard is annexed as VI.

Authority observed that there is a definite increase in "Pollution Load". Authority directed "Project Proponent" to furnish the relevant environmental studies to determine the "Impact on Environment" with the said "Amendments".-**There is a slight increase in parking no. Hence Air dispersion modeling has been carried out and predicted incremental load along with the baseline quality is enclosed as Annexure III (placed on record)**

The committee deliberated the reply of observation and again decided by majority to recommend the extension and amendments in the earlier EC issued vide letter no.1547 on dated 24.12.2013 to SEIAA with the additional stipulations as recommended vide MoM of 207thminutes of SEAC and other conditions will remain the same as per earlier Environment Clearance no. 1547 dated 24.12.2013.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority decided to defer this case for the decision after obtaining clarification from MOEF & CC, GOI regarding amendment in Environment Clearance under 8(a) & 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

Item No. [82] EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for “Township Residential Complex and Commercial Complex at Village Rasoi, G.T Karnal Road, Sector 61, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s CMD Pardesi Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 19.04.2018 received in the SEAC on 27.04.2018. The project proponent has submitted the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan to the SEIAA with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No.S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. The MoEF& CC has prescribed the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance or changed the product mix without obtaining prior environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 (S.O. 1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006;

The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in the Notification dated 08.03.2018 inter alia, directed vide sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 13, that in case the projects or activities requiring prior environmental clearance under Environment Impact Assessment notification, 2006 from the concerned Regulatory Authority, are brought for environmental clearance after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization, and change in product- mix without prior environmental clearance, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted Environmental Clearance by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 4 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 shall be appraised for grant of environmental clearance only by the State Expert Appraisal Committee and Environmental Clearance will be granted at the State level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

Thereafter the proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 169th meeting held on 18.05.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.0.2018 respectively.

During presentation, the Committee was informed that it is proposed construction of “Township residential Complex and Commercial Complex at Village Rasoi, G.T. Karnal Road, Sonapat, Haryana by M/s CMD Pardesi Developers Pvt. Ltd. The estimated cost of the project is Rs. 135.85 crores. Total Plot area is 14.149 Acres (57262.928 Sq. Meters). Total built up area is 134762.506 Sq. Meters. The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires prior Environmental Clearance. The project will comprise of 17 Towers consisting of One Basement + Thirteen Floors).

The Committee was unanimously decided that it is confirmed case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

- i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
- ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP)
- iii) The Project Proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful

implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

iv) The detail of the case as per documents submitted is as under :-

- The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. HR/ SEAC/ VIO/19/14 on dated 22.01.2020 to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.
- The ToR was granted to the project on 07.08.2018.
- The PP submitted the EIA/EMP report vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2019/20 dated 22.01.2020. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 196th meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 11.02.2020 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 07.02.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.
- Then, the case was again taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 26.11.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time.

Thereafter the case was again taken up in the 211th meeting and the PP requested in writing vide email dated 25.02.2021 that the project has already obtained consent to operate.

The committee deliberated the project in view of MoEF&CC guidelines j-11 013/5/2009-IA-II (part) dated 30.10.2012 and 18.11.2020. The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 01.05.2019 and in spite of taking up in meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance of the MoEF&CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [83] EC for Affordable Group Housing Project at Village Alipur, Sector-31, Sohna, Gurgaon, Haryana M/s AAR Housing Pvt. Ltd.

- Whereas the case was earlier also taken up in the 120th meeting of the SEAC held on 05.11.2015 and wherein PP requested for adjournment. SCN was conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 194 dated 19.11.2015 for the submission of reply.
- Whereas the reply has not been received so far inspite of lapse of about two years.
- Whereas Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the PP vide letter no. 2159 dated 16.08.2017 as PP has not submitted the reply so far
- Whereas as per MoEF guidelines No. J-11 013/5/2009-IA-II (Part) dated 30.10.2012, all projects where additional information desired has not been submitted even after 6 months of the Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) meeting should be de-listed from the list of pending projects.

The matter was placed before the SEAC in its 165th meeting held on 14.03.2018 and it was unanimously decided that the case be deferred for next meeting and Secretary, SEAC is advised to brief the Members.

The matter was again placed before the SEAC in its 166th Meeting held on 12.04.2018 and it was unanimously decided that the case be sent to SEIAA for de-listing as per project proponent fails to comply the observations within a period of six months as per the directions of MoEF&CC. As per EIA Notification 14.09.2006, PP is required to obtain prior Environmental Clearance. The project proponent has not obtained the Environmental Clearance. The SEAC is of unanimous view, if project proponents started construction without prior Environmental clearance, being a violation of the notification appropriate legal action may be initiated against the project proponent.

1. The project was again submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. HR/SEAC/VIO/19/14on dated 22.01.2020 to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted to the project on 07.08.2018. Then, the PP submitted the EIA/EMP report vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2019/20 dated 22.01.2020.
2. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 196th meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 11.02.2020 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 07.02.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.
3. Then, the case was taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 26.11.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 210th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 18.02.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 16.09.2019 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [84] EC for construction of Hotel complex Village Ghamroj, Sohna Road, Gurgaon by M/S Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 20.11.2012. The papers submitted were examined by the Secretary and certain shortcomings were noticed and conveyed to PP vide letter No. 714 dated 20.11.2012. The PP submitted the reply to the shortcomings on 23.04.2013.

Thereafter, the case was taken up for appraisal in the 85th meeting of SEAC held on 24.06.2013.

During discussion, it was revealed that project proponent has already started/completed construction work which amounts to violation of Environmental Protection Act, 1986 in compliance of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The project proponent was directed to submit the Resolution of Board of Directors as per the guidelines of MoEF in respect of letter dated 12.12.2012.

The observations of 85th meeting of the SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter dated 10.07.2013. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 29.07.2013.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 89th meeting of the SEAC held on 27.08.2013.

In accordance with the Memo No. J-110 13/4112006-IA.II(I) dated 27.06.13 issued by the MoEF&CC, the Project Proponent is required to immediately stop the work till Environmental Clearance is granted after due process under the law. The Project Proponent is required to submit an affidavit not below the rank of Director of the company indicating that the work has been stopped with effect from (date) and he has to supply details of work already executed upto the date the work has been stopped. The detail be given graphically and descriptively.

The observations of 89th meeting of the SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter dated 06.09.2013. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 08.10.2013.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 98th meeting of the SEAC held on 09.01.2014.

As per CLU granted vide letter dated 13.01.2010 by the DTCP, Haryana, PP is required to obtain Environmental Clearance as per condition No. 8 of the said letter. The building plans were duly approved by the DTCP vide letter dated 21.09.2011 and further project proponent has also obtained Consent to Operate from Haryana State Pollution Control Board vide letter No. HSPCB/TAC/2012/1840 dated 08.11.2012.

In case the reduced scope of work does not require Environmental Clearance, PP is required to submit revised approved plans of the area as constructed along with Occupation Certificate from Competent Authority along with a certificate that built up area (FAR/Non FAR/Basement) does not exceed 20,000 Sq. Meters. The application for withdrawal will be considered only after the submission of above documents.

The observations of 98th meeting of the SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 1016 dated 23.01.2014. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 25.02.2014.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 104th meeting of the SEAC held on 13.05.2014.

The project proponent did not attend the meeting in spite of agenda notice. The Committee has decided to verify the size of the project as constructed at site.

Further in order to assess the correct position at site, Committee decided to constitute a Sub-Committee consisting of the following which will inspect the site to verify the status of construction of the project:

1. Sh. I.J. Juneja, Chairman
2. Sh. Sultan Singh, Member
3. Sh. R.S. Rana, Member

The site was inspected by the Sub-Committee on 05.07.2014. The Sub-committee submitted the report in the 108th meeting of the SEAC held on 22.07.2014. The Sub-Committee report was read out to all the Members of the Committee and deliberated in detail.

The Committee has desired that the Sub-Committee be asked to further clarify on the issues of built-up area given in the original application submitted by the Project Proponent and area already constructed.

The report of Sub-Committee was discussed in the 112th meeting of the SEAC held on 19.09.2014. The Sub-Committee report was read out to all the Members of the Committee and deliberated in detail. The Committee has accepted the report of the Sub-Committee in total.

In the 104th meeting of the SEAC, a Sub-committee was formed to visit the project site and report on the status of construction by the project proponent.

Sub-Committee Constituted of the following:

1. Sh. I.J. Juneja, Chairman, SEAC
2. Sh. Sultan Singh, Member, SEAC
3. Sh. R.S. Rana, Member, SEAC(Coordinator)

Following Members of SEAC and others were present during the inspection

- i. Mr. Inderjeet Juneja, Chairman, SEAC, Haryana
- ii. Mr. Sultan Singh, Member, SEAC, Haryana
- iii. Mr. R.S. Rana, Member, SEAC, Haryana
- iv. Mr. Manish Saxena, General Manager, Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.
- v. Mr. K.K Arya, Project Manager, Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.
- vi. Mr. B. Rajesh, Senior Manager, GRC India Pvt. Ltd.
- vii. Ms. Namrata Singh, Deputy Manager, GRC India Pvt. Ltd.

Background:

The project is a 'Five Star Hotel' project located at Village-Ghamroj, Gurgaon, Haryana to be developed by M/s Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. The CLU was granted for project area of 20551.3 Sq. Meters on 13.01.2010 and the project proponent was required to obtain Environment Clearance before execution of development works and also occupation certificate within 2 years. Building plans were approved on 21.09.2011 by the DTCP. As per condition number 17(b) it was mandated that owner shall obtain the Environment Clearance/NOC as per provision of MoEF&CC notification dated 14.09.2006 as the total built up area was 33000 Sq. Meters.

The project proponent applied for Environment Clearance on 25.11.2010 to SEIAA but the shortcoming reply was not submitted and when the project was taken up in SEAC meeting dated 11.02.2011, project proponent requested for deferment of the case when final notice was issued. The project proponent submitted the reply on 25.04.2011 but without approval of building plans and they did not pursue the case further for grant of EC.

Separately, the project proponent in order to start construction of the project submitted an application to State Pollution Control Board for Consent to Establish with an undertaking/affidavit dated 03.07.2012 that presently they were undertaking construction of approx. 16000 Sq. Meters built up area & EC was not required. With this false declaration project proponent obtained C.T.E/NOC from Haryana State Pollution Control Board on 08.11.2012.

The project proponent then applied EC for “expansion of the project” and in shortcoming’s reply dated 12.12.2012 to the letter SEAC/2012/74/714 dated 20.11.2012 the built up area of 10771.92 sqm was shown in Form I of the EC application. The project site photographs of the building confirmed construction of main structure up to 7-8 floors above ground floor.

Even the Aravalli Notification, 1992 seeking prior NOC from DC Gurgaon before starting any construction was violated as this NOC was also obtained only on 24.09.2012.

The project was considered in 85th SEAC meeting dated 24.06.2013. The project proponent was directed to submit the Resolution of Board of Directors as per the guidelines of MoEF&CC in respect of letter dated 12.12.2012. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 02.07.2013 and 28.07.2013. In the 89th meeting, SEAC directed project proponent in accordance with the Memo No. J-110 13/4112006-IA.II(I) dated 27.06.2013 issued by the MoEF&CC that, the project proponent is required to immediately stop the work till Environmental Clearance is granted after due process under the law. Along with this project proponent need to submit an affidavit not below the rank of Director of the company indicating that the work had been stopped with effect from (date) and he had to supply details of work already executed up to the date when construction had been stopped, in descriptive & graphical manner. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 01.10.2013. The case was again taken up in 98thSEAC meeting, project proponent was directed to submit revised approved plans of the area as constructed along with Occupation Certificate from competent Authority along with a certificate that built up area (FAR/Non-FAR/Basement etc.) did not exceed 20,000 Sq. Meters. Committee decided to consider withdrawal application only after submission of above documents. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 25.02.2014 and requested for withdrawal of EC application. The case was considered in 104th SEAC meeting where Committee decided to visit and inspect the site to ascertain the factual correctness of the information submitted by the project proponent.

The report submitted that during site inspection, it was found that the project proponent has already constructed complete boundary wall as also basements and one block of the hotel. The project consists of a hotel block and an executive suite block. Total structure of G+11 has been constructed in the hotel block. There are two numbers of basements constructed, one under hotel block and another under Executive Suite Block. Basement is common between Hotel & Executive Suite Block.

Further, it was found that construction work has been stopped at the site. Total constructed built-up area (including all FAR and Non FAR area) is 16,616.85 Sq. Meters. The fact was supported by the photographs taken at the time of inspection along with plans which are enclosed with this report. Area statement is also enclosed for reference.

The report concluded that after the site visit, it came to light that the project proponent has constructed built-up area 16,616.85 Sq. Meters as per occupation certificate application. The project proponent approached DTCP, Haryana for reducing the scope of work to limit the built up area to about 16000 Sq. Meters vide his letter dated 04.07.2013. The project proponent has so far not obtained the revised approval of the building plans to reduce the built up area to 16000 Sq. Meters in order to justify taking up construction in hand without obtaining Environment Clearance.

Moreover, the project proponent did not obtain prior approval of revised building plans involving built up area less than 20,000 Sq. Meters if he so wished to develop the project in stages as per rules and regulations. Instead it took up the work in hand and started construction in an unauthorized/illegal manner

and thus violated various rules/regulations of Town & Country Planning Department and Haryana State Pollution Control Board. Besides it violated the provisions of E.P. Act 1986 and the MoEF Notification dated 14.09.2006. The project proponent has violated the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and mandatory provisions of obtaining prior Environment Clearance as per Notification dated 14.09.2006. The application dated 04.07.2013 for reduction in scope of work to less than 20,000 Sq. Meters and completion/occupation certificate application submitted by the project proponent vide letter dated 10.01.2014 to DG, T&CP, Haryana are only an effort on the part of project proponent to seek ex-post facto approvals with the presumptions that it will regularize the matter to escape the provisions of MoEF&CC Notification dated 14.09.2006. However, it is beyond any doubt that the violation was caused much earlier as would be clear from application submitted for expansion of project in November 2012 when the plans were already approved for 33000 Sq. Meters. It should be processed for prosecution.

The Committee after detailed discussion is of the unanimous view that this is a case of proven violation based on documents submitted by the project proponent. The Committee, therefore, decided that the case may accordingly be recommended to the SEIAA for prosecution on account of violation. The case may be referred to the SEIAA for initiating further necessary legal action as per para 5(ii).

The project was again submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.06.2018. The project proponent has submitted the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan to the SEIAA with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804(E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No. S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. The MoEF&CC has prescribed the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance or changed the product mix without obtaining prior environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006.

The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in the Notification dated 08.03.2018 inter alia, directed vide sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 13, that in case the projects or activities requiring prior environmental clearance under Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 from the concerned Regulatory Authority, are brought for environmental clearance after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization, and change in product-mix without prior environmental clearance, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted Environmental Clearance by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) Section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 shall be appraised for grant of environmental clearance only by the State Expert Appraisal Committee and Environmental Clearance will be granted at the State level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

Thereafter the proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 172nd meeting held on 04.07.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively.

The PP neither attended the meeting nor requested for adjournment. The Committee is of the view that 30 days' notice be issued to the project Proponent.

The case was taken up in 186th meeting of SEAC held on 14.08.2019 but the PP requested in writing for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 193rd meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 24.12.2019. The PP presented the case before the committee and after detailed discussion the committee decided to defer the case for want of further discussion in view of the earlier proceedings of the SEAC committee.

Then, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing vide letter dated 14.12.2020 to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020

Thereafter , the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC held on 25.03.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 20.11.2012 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [85] EC for proposed commercial project (Part-II) at Village Daulatabad, Sector-106, Gurgaon- Manesar Urban Complex by M/s Magic Eye Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/143472/2020 dated 26.05.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 30.08.2020 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC held on 16.12.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 14.12.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC on 26.03.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF &CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 26.05.2020 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF&CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the Member Secretary should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [86] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony at Village Badshahpur, Sector 68, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Sai Aaina Farms Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/56399/2019 dated 15.09.2020 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was granted on dated 22.07.2019. Then, the PP submitted the EIA/EMP report.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 15.10.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 205th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 10.11.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 29.09.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC on 26.03.2021. The PP did not attend the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF & CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 15.09.2020 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF&CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [87] EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project at Village Dharampur, Sector-108, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Shyam Kripa Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 and the case was taken up in 182nd meeting but the PP requested in writing for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case vide letter dated 11.12.2020. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 212th meeting of SEAC on 26.03.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 01.04.2019 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF&CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.

Item No. [88] EC for Revision/Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony “Sushant City” at Village Rasoi, District Sonapat, Haryana by M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd.

The Project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 09.01.2015 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. Thereafter, case was taken up in 174th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 08.08.2018 in which observations were raised and informed to PP vide letter dated 20.08.2018. Thereafter, the term of committee expired and the project was transferred to MoEF &CC. The project was received back after reconstitution of new committee vide notification dated 30.01.2019.

Then, the case was taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 27.11.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time.

The case was taken up in 211th meeting held on 26.02.2021. The PP attended the meeting and the Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF&CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 06.11.2020 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF&CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in the 128th Meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and after going through the communication from MOEF & CC, GOI OM dated 18.11.2020; so, it has been revealed that guidelines of EAC at Ministry Level. Hence, it is decided that the case be sent back to SEAC for getting the project inspected by their members and take a final view after that.