

0191-2474553/0194-2490602

Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt. of India.



J&K UT LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE (JKEAC)

Department of Ecology, Environment & Remote Sensing SDA Housing Colony, Bemina, Srinagar, Kashmir Email: seacers@gmail.com, Website: www.parivesh.nic.in

MINUTES OF MEETING

MINUTES OF 83^{RD} MEETING OF THE JK EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 22^{ND} OF JANUARY, 2022 VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING

In pursuance to Meeting Notice issued vide No: EAC/JK/22/12269-12294 dated: 14/01/2022, the 83rd Meeting of JK Level Expert Appraisal Committee (JKEAC) was held on 22nd of January, 2022 at 10:30 A.M. via video Conferencing. The following attended the meeting:

| No. | Name | Designation |
|-----|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Mr. S.C. Sharma, IFS(Rtd.) | Chairman |
| 2 | Mr. M.A. Tak, IFS(Rtd.) | Member |
| 3 | Engineer B.B. Sharma | Member |
| 4 | Mr. Irfan Yasin | Member |
| 5 | Mr. A.R. Makroo | Member |
| 6 | Dr. G.M. Dar | Member |
| 7 | Humayun Rashid | Secretary |

The Secretary, JKEAC welcomed the Chairman, Members of the JK Expert Appraisal Committee, project proponents and the consultants.

The meeting proceeded as per following sequence: -

AGENDA ITEM NO: 01

| Title of case: | Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for Riverbed Mining Project of Minor Mineral in Block-1/7, Area :- 9.12 ha, Ans River, Downstream Kotranka Bridge (Kotranka Area), Rajouri (Plan-II) In Distt Rajouri J&K,in favour of Shri Amit Gupta S/o Shri Ashok Kumar Gupta. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Project type | Mining Lease |
| (whether mining lease/STP/ | |
| Quarry license/brick earth) | |
| Category(B1/B2) | B1 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/61173/2021 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/511 |
| Consultant with validity | M/S Gaurang Environmental Solutions Pvt. Ltd, |
| | Valid till: 19/01/2023 |
| Presenter of consultant: | Ms Somya Agarwal |
| Project proponent / | Mr. Barinder Singh Chaudhary |
| representative of | |
| Project proponent: | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Area | Bid cost | Project cost | EMP cost proposed | | CER budget |
|---------|------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | (Ha) | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | | proposed |
| | | | | Capital | Recurring | (lacs/annum) |
| New | 9.12 | 107.26 | 150.0 | 14.00 | 15.00 | 7.00 |
| Project | | | | | | |

| Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs) | Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.) | Haulage Route (Kms) | Bulk density proposed | Cluster situation (Yes/No) |
|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1.50 | 1 | 0.35 | 1.86 | No |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of Intent (LoI) | LoI –Date of Extension, if any | LoI Date of Validity | Date of Mining Plan approval | ToRs granted on |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 06/08/2020 | 21/09/2021 | 30/11/2021 | 16/02/2021 | 14/05/2021 |

| G.O 76JK-FST of | Previous Project | Baseline data | Public | Case | Area |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 2020 dated | proponent | Collection by | Hearing | discussed | reduced by |
| 29/07/2021 | | project | held on | by | G&M |
| implemented by | | proponent as the | | JKEAC | Dept. |
| JKEIAA for the | | case may be | | earlier on | |
| project on | | | | | |
| NA | NA | March-May | 25/09/2021 | 24/04/202 | NA |
| | | 2021 | | 1 | |
| | | | | & | |
| | | | | 17/11/202 | |
| | | | | 1 | |

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|------------------------------|------------|---|
| No. | | | |
| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M | Submitted | |
| | as applicable | | |
| 2 | Pre-feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | | | observations /recommendations |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine | Formulated | Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, |
| | closure Plan | not as per | mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, |
| | | guidelines | targeted mineral production, mining |
| | | | methodology etc. |

| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated | Needs revision for including replenishment |
|---|-------------------------|------------|--|
| | | not as per | data etc. |
| | | guidelines | |
| 5 | Environmental Impact | Formulated | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | Assessment / | but needs | observations /recommendations |
| | Environmental | revision | |
| | Management Plan/ | | |
| | Corporate Environmental | | |
| | Responsibility | | |
| | (EIA/EMP/CER) | | |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | status as per NOC | Remarks/ |
|--------|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Reference |
| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued conditionally | Fisheries Dept. has |
| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued conditionally | reported that the |
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued conditionally | mining block falls |
| 4 | Forest Dept. (if required) | Issued as non-forest | under reserved |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept (If required) | Issued | Trout beat |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Issued | |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | Issued as state land | During Public Hearing the people |
| 8 | DHE (Jalahalati) Dant | (people objected) | have questioned the |
| | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Issued conditionally | title of the land and |
| 10 | Soil and Water Conservation dept. | | have stated that |
| | | | block falls under |
| | | | Khasra No. 967 |
| | | | which is Kacharai |
| | | | land |

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

| S. | | Budget proposed by | | Remarks/ |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|
| No | Proposed actions | Proponent | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | |
| 1 | Green belt development | 5.00 | 4.00 | |
| 2 | Haulage route | 4.00 | 4.00 | |
| | maintenance | | | |
| 3 | Env. Awareness | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| | programme | | | |
| 4 | Pollution monitoring | 1.50 | 1.50 | |
| 5 | Dust suppression | 1.50 | 2.50 | |
| 6 | Occupational Health & | 1.00 | 2.00 | |
| | Safety of mine workers | | | |
| 7 | Installation of Hume pipes | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| | Total | 14.00 | 15.00 | |

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

| S. No. | Project activity | Activities | Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) | Remarks |
|-----------|-----------------------|---|---|---------|
| 1 | Health | Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up | 1.00 | |
| 2 | Drinking water | Providing drinking water facility in the nearby village | 1.00 | |
| 3 | Covid -19 | Distribution of Sanitizers, face masks etc in the nearby village. | 0.50 | |
| 4 | Sanitation | Construction of toilet (Ladies & Gents) in the nearby village | 2.00 | |
| 5 | Education | Renovation of toilet of the nearby school | 1.50 | |
| 6 | Rain water harvesting | Construction of rain water harvesting structures | 1.00 | |
| | Total | | 7.00 | |

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

| S. | Particulars | Budget pro | posed by | Remarks |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| No. | | Proponent | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | |
| | | | (in lacs) | |
| 1 | Transport Permit | 3.00 | 0.00 | |
| 2 | CCTV Camera | 1.00 | 0.50 | |
| 3 | Weight Bridge | 10.00 | 1.00 | |
| 4 | Personal Computer with power | 1.50 | 0.90 | |
| | backup | | | |
| 5 | Mobile Application Barcode scanner | 1.50 | 0.80 | |
| 6 | Radio Frequency Identification Tag | 1.00 | 0.50 | |
| | (RFID) and GPS | | | |
| 7 | Annual Audit of lease | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 8 | Security Guard | 2.00 | 2.00 | |
| | Total | 20.00 | 5.70 | |

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

| S. No. | Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings | (Yes/No) |
|-----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained | |
| 2 | Prevention of illegal mining | Yes |
| 3 | EMP/CER/CSR cost to be implemented practically | |
| 4 | People strongly opposed the mining activities in the area | Yes |

| 5 | Due to mining activity pollution levels have increased | Yes |
|----|--|-----|
| 6 | Employment to the local unemployed youth | Yes |
| 7 | Plantation along river should be done | Yes |
| 8 | Mining should be allotted to the locals | Yes |
| 9 | Parking area should be identified & tourist spots should be created | Yes |
| 10 | River Ans is surrounded by state land & 1000s of Kanals of proprietary land & if mining is allowed whole proprietary land will be lost. | Yes |
| 11 | Because of illegal activities deep craters have been created & a tributary of Ans river which is flowing towards Rajouri will also get damaged due to mining activities. | Yes |
| 12 | People asserted that the area falls under Ghas charai Rakba | Yes |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

| S.no. | Features observed Features | Within Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) Yes | Outside mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) Yes | Upstream from mining block (Mts.) | Downstream From mining block (Mts.) | Remarks |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | indicative of any Illegal mining. | 100 | 100 | | | Image of 12/2020 |
| 2 | Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 3 | Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | Yes | - | - | Bridge at a distance of approx 560m from the nearest pillar of mining block |
| 4 | Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |

| | | | | 1 | 1 | |
|----|--------------------|-----|-----|---|---|---------------|
| 5 | Green patch | No | No | - | - | |
| | (Cropland / trees | | | | | |
| | /orchard etc. if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | block/adjacent to | | | | | |
| | mining block or | | | | | |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | |
| | from the mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 6 | Structures of any | No | No | _ | _ | |
| | kind (buildings | 110 | 110 | | | |
| | /Flood control/ | | | | | |
| | protection | | | | | |
| | works/ electric | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | installations, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within/adjacent/ | | | | | |
| | in close vicinity | | | | | |
| | to mining block | | | | | |
| | or within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. of the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 7 | Irrigation canal | Yes | Yes | | | Features |
| | headworks/ khul | | | | | indicative of |
| | inlets, if any | | | | | irrigation |
| | located within | | | | | khuls/ canals |
| | mining block or | | | | | in the mining |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | block & its |
| | of the mining | | | | | vicinity on |
| | block. | | | | | Google image |
| | 3104111 | | | | | of 12/2020 |
| 8 | Active water | Yes | Yes | _ | _ | Active water |
| U | channels, if any | 103 | 103 | | | channel |
| | | | | | | outside |
| | crossing the | | | | | |
| 0 | mining block. | No | No | | | mining block |
| 9 | National | No | No | - | - | |
| | Park/Wildlife | | | | | |
| | Sanctuary/ESZ, | | | | | |
| | if any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining block | | | | | |
| | and distance | | | | | |
| | thereof from the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 10 | Forest land, if | No | No | - | - | |
| | any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining | | | | | |
| | block. If yes, the | | | | | |
| | distance thereof | | | | | |
| | from the ends of | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

| 12 | Any other | No | No | - | - | |
|----|------------------|----|----|---|---|--|
| | relevant feature | | | | | |
| | of interest or | | | | | |
| | condition which | | | | | |
| | is critical to | | | | | |
| | grant of | | | | | |
| | ToRs/EC to the | | | | | |
| | proposed project | | | | | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 38th meeting held on 24.04.2021 during which the Committee recommended ToRs in favour of the project subject to joint inspection by the interdepartmental committee to fix responsibility for illegal mining in the mining block.
- b) The project came under appraisal for grant of EC during the 72nd meeting held on 17/11/2021 during which the Committee observed that the project proponent has obtained clarification from the Multi departmental District Level (MDLTF) cell, stating that the PP is not involved in any kind of illegal mining activity in the said mining block. However, the Committee did not consider the case for grant of EC and desired prior compliance to following observations:
 - i. District Administration to examine the issues relating to land title of the mining block and to report whether it is a Kacharai land or a state land.
 - ii. Clarification from the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept.w.r.t presence or absence of irrigation offtake points within 200m from the mining block substantiated with a colour Google Image of the area depicting same and duly certified by the concerned Hydraulic Engineer with local PRI on board.
 - iii. Compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of Air Quality Monitoring Station displaying the dates of collection of data.
 - iv. Categorical certificate from Fisheries Dept. stating whether the mining activity should or should not be allowed in the block to safeguard fish faunal habitat as the Block 1/7 Kotranka area falls under Reserved Trout beat.
 - v. Revision of EMP, CER budget.
 - vi. Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority
- c) During deliberations in the instant meeting, the Committee observed as:
 - i. With respect to item i. the project proponent has not submitted title reverification in view of issues raised w.r.t title of land under the block during the public hearing.
 - ii. Letter dated 25/11/2021 with Google image of the mining block obtained from Xen Irrigation dept. in compliance to observation made under serial ii supra, does in no way clarify whether any offtake point of irrigation kuhl does or does not exists within 200m and therefore, the issue remains open till unambiguous clarification is received from the Irrigation Dept.
 - iii. Compliance to serial No.iii, supra is also awaited.
 - iv. Compliance to serial No. iv. Supra has been received in the form of letter dated 24/11/2021 from the Asst. Director, Fisheries Dept. stating therein that the mining activity shall not have any adverse impact on fish fauna provided the mining activity is restricted to dry shoals only besides other conditions conveyed earlier. The Committee opined that mining activity is required to be restricted only to dry shoals and the Fisheries Dept. must clearly spell out technical opinion whether to allow or prohibit mining activity in a block falling under a reserved trout beat.
 - v. Compliance to serial no. v has also not been done.
 - vi. Compliance to serial no. vi has also not been done.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

- a) In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee did not approve the case for grant of EC in the present form.
- b) The PP, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA after ensuring compliance to all the observations made by the Committee herein above and in the previous meeting.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 02

| Title of case: | Grant of Terms of Reference for minor mineral block 14, area of 8.98 ha., Bhini River, Down Stream Naj Bridge Billawar (Plan-IX), Tehsil-Billawar, District- Kathua, Jammu & Kashmir in |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | favuor of Shri Varun Chaudhary S/o Shri Ramesh Lal. |
| Project type | Mining Lease |
| (whether mining lease/STP/ | |
| Quarry license/brick earth) | |
| Category(B1/B2) | B1 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/60107/2021 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/405 |
| Consultant with validity | M/S Cognizance India Pvt. Ltd, |
| | Valid till: 19/01/2023 |
| Presenter of consultant: | Anjali Chachaney |
| Project proponent / | Barinder singh |
| representative of | |
| Project proponent: | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Area | Bid cost | Project cost | EMP cost proposed | | CER budget |
|---------|------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | (Ha) | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | | proposed |
| | | | | Capital | Recurring | (lacs/annum) |
| New | 8.98 | 90.38 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 1.00 | Not Provided |
| Project | | | | | | |

| Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs) | Mining depth proposed (mts.) | Haulage Route (Kms) | Bulk density proposed by proponent | Cluster situation (Yes/No) |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1.50 | 1 | 3.59 | 1.86 | No |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of Intent (LoI) | LoI –Date of Extension, if any | LoI Date of Validity | Date of Mining Plan approval | ToRs granted on |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| 14/02/2020 | 31/12/2021 | 13/02/2022 | 06/07/2020 | Not Yet |

| G.O 76JK-FST of | Previous Project | Baseline data | Public | Case | Area |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------|------------|------------|
| 2020 dated | proponent | Collection by | Hearing | discussed | reduced by |
| 29/07/2021 | | project | held on | by | G&M |
| implemented by | | proponent as the | | JKEAC | Dept. |
| JKEIAA for the | | case may be | | earlier on | |
| project on | | | | | |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | 15.02.202 | NA |
| | | | | 1 | |

APPRAISAL PROCESS
(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|---|----------------------------------|--|
| No. | | | |
| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable | Submitted | |
| 2 | Pre-feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine closure Plan | Formulated not as per guidelines | Mine Plan needs to be revised for targeted mineral production, mining methodology etc. |
| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated not as per guidelines | Needs revision for including replenishment data etc. |
| 5 | Environmental Management Plan/ Corporate Environmental Responsibility (EMP/CER) | Formulated but needs revision | Needs revision as per deliberations/ observations /recommendations |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | status as per NOC | Remarks/ |
|--------|--|----------------------|-----------|
| | | | Reference |
| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 4 | Forest Dept. (if required) | Issued as non-forest |] |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept (If required) | Not submitted | |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Not submitted | |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | Not submitted | |
| 8 | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Not submitted | |
| 10 | Soil and Water Conservation dept. | | |

| S. | | Budget proposed by | | Remarks/ | |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| No | Proposed actions | Proponent | | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | | |
| 1 | Green belt development | 0.50 | 0.30 | | |
| 2 | Haulage route | 0.00 | 0.30 | | |
| | maintenance | | | | |
| 3 | Env. Awareness | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | programme | | | | |
| 4 | Pollution monitoring | 0.00 | 0.20 | | |
| 5 | Dust suppression | 0.00 | 0.20 | | |
| 6 | Occupational Health & | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | Safety of mine workers | | | | |
| 7 | Installation of Hume pipes | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | Total | 0.50 | 1.00 | | |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT Size Features Within Outside Unstreem Downstreem

| S.no. | Features | Within | Outside | Upstream | Downstream | |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | observed | Mining site | mining site | from | From mining | Remarks |
| | | boundary | boundary | mining | block | |
| | | (Yes/No/Not | (Yes/No/Not | block | (Mts.) | |
| | | identifiable) | identifiable) | (Mts.) | | |
| 1 | Features | No | No | - | - | On Google |
| | indicative of any | | | | | Image of |
| | Illegal mining. | | | | | 06/2021 |
| 2 | Bridge with | No | No | - | - | - |
| | midstream piers, | | | | | |
| | if any, located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 3 | Bridge with only | No | No | - | - | |
| | abutments, if | | | | | |
| | any, located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | NY | N. 7 | | | |
| 4 | Foot bridge with | No | No | - | - | |
| | only abutments | | | | | |
| | on two banks, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |

| | ends of mining | | | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | block. | | | | | |
| 5 | Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block. | No | No | - | - | - |
| 6 | Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 7 | Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block. | Not identifiable | Not identifiable | | | On Google Image of 06/2021 |
| 8 | Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block. | Yes | Yes | - | - | Active water channel needs to be excluded |
| 9 | National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 10 | Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |

| 12 | Any other | No | No | - | - | |
|----|------------------|----|----|---|---|--|
| | relevant feature | | | | | |
| | of interest or | | | | | |
| | condition which | | | | | |
| | is critical to | | | | | |
| | grant of | | | | | |
| | ToRs/EC to the | | | | | |
| | proposed project | | | | | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 26th meeting held on 15.02.2021 during which the Committee desired the project proponent to obtain prior clarification from the Forest department indicating therein that the mining block does not fall in forest land.
- b) The PP has now submitted NOC from the Forest Dept. indicating therein that the mining block falls in a non-forest area which is 3.10 km from the demarcated forest boundary. However, the Committee found that the NOC does not indicate the geo-coordinates of the forest boundary pillar nearest to the mining block and therefore, desired that the PP should obtain detailed clarification vis-à-vis the actual demarcation line at the time of applying for EC.
- c) Title verification of the mining block is awaited.
- d) Active water channel detected in the mining block which needs to be excluded from the mining block.
- e) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority.
- f) Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m in aggregate and bulk density be based on actual ground measurements for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.
- g) Comprehensive chapter on haulage route and consent of PRI with respect to haulage of material need to be obtained.
- h) The Committee observed that the PP has only authorized the M/S Gaurang Consultants. However, the PFR has been submitted by M/S Cognizance Research India Ltd. Therefore, the PP needs to clarify same and authorize consultants accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee recommended the case for the grant of Terms of reference as per **Annexure-A** and subject to conditions/observations recorded under deliberations herein above.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 03

| Title of case: | Grant of Environmental Clearance for minor mineral Block No. 02, Resized Area 1.10 Ha. ANG/SA/02, Mehmoodabad Bridge Upstream (Sandran Nalla), District-Anantnag, State- UT of Jammu & Kashmir in favour of Shri Jagdish Singh S/O Shri Vijay Singh. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Project type | Mining Lease |
| (whether mining lease/STP/ | |
| Quarry license/brick earth) | |
| Category(B1/B2) | B2 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/241681/2021 SIA/JK/MIN/59441/2020 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/355 |
| Consultant with validity | M/S P & M solutions Pvt. Ltd, Noida. Valid till: 10/12/2022 |

| Presenter of consultant: | Mr. Manas Vyas |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Project proponent / | Mohammad Rashid Khan |
| representative of | |
| Project proponent: | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Revised | Bid cost | Project cost | EMP cost proposed | | CER budget |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Area | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | | proposed |
| | (Ha) | | | Capital | Recurring | (lacs/annum) |
| New | 1.10 | 45.00 | 133.65 | 3.15 | 6.68 | 2.67 |
| Project | | | | | | |

| Mine Closure plan | Revised | Haulage Route | Revised Bulk density | Cluster situation |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| proposed | Mining depth | (Kms) | proposed by proponent | (Yes/No) |
| (in lacs) | proposed | | | |
| | (mts.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1.00 | 1.0 | 0.59 | 2.0 | No |
| | | | | |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of | LoI –Date of | LoI | Date of Mining | ToRs |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------|
| Intent | Extension, if | Date of Validity | Plan approval | granted on |
| (LoI) | any | | | |
| | | | | |
| 21/07/2020 | 28/12/2021 | 31/03/2022 | 02/11/2020 | NA |
| | | | | |

| G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the | Previous Project proponent | Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be | Public Hearing held on | Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on | Area reduced by G&M Dept. |
|---|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| project on | | | | | |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | 09/01/202 1 | 21/08/2021 |

APPRAISAL PROCESS
(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| No. | | | |
| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M as applicable | Submitted | |

| 2 | Pre-feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
|---|------------------------------|------------|---|
| | | | observations /recommendations |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine | Formulated | Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, |
| | closure Plan | not as per | mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, |
| | | guidelines | targeted mineral production, mining |
| | | | methodology etc. |
| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated | Needs revision for including replenishment |
| | | not as per | data etc. |
| | | guidelines | |
| 5 | Environmental | Formulated | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | Management Plan/ | but needs | observations /recommendations |
| | Corporate Environmental | revision | |
| | Responsibility | | |
| | (EMP/CER) | | |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | Status as per NOC | Remarks/ |
|--------|--|----------------------|-----------|
| | | | Reference |
| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 4 | Forest Dept. (if required) | Issued as non-forest | |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept (If required) | Not submitted | |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Not submitted | |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | Issued as state land | |
| 8 | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 10 | Soil and Water Conservation dept. | | |
| | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

| S. | | Budget proposed by | | Remarks/ | |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|
| No | Proposed actions | Proponent | | Budget proposed | d by Committee |
| | | (in lacs) | | (in lacs) | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | Capital | Recurring |
| 1 | Green belt development | 0.20 | 1.08 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| 2 | Haulage route | 2.95 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| | maintenance | | | | |
| 3 | Env. Awareness | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| | programme | | | | |
| 4 | Pollution monitoring | 0.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 5 | Dust suppression | 0.00 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| 6 | Occupational Health & | 0.00 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Safety of mine workers | | | | |
| 7 | Installation of Hume pipes | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 |
| | Total | 3.15 | 6.68 | 15.50 | 12.50 |

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

| S. | Project activity | Activities | Annual | Remarks |
|-----|------------------|------------|----------|---------|
| No. | | | Budget | |
| | | | proposed | |

| | | | by Proponent (in lacs) |
|---|---------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 1 | Health/ Drinking water | Free Distribution of medicines, health check- up, installation of hand pumps etc | 1.00 |
| 2 | Covid -19 | Distribution of Sanitizers, face masks etc in the nearby village. | 0.00 |
| 3 | Sanitation | Construction of toilet (Ladies & Gents) in the nearby village | 0.00 |
| 4 | Education | Distribution of books, stationery items etc in the nearby school | 0.67 |
| 5 | Electrification | Installation of solar panels, distribution of free solar lights in the nearby village | 1.00 |
| | Total | | 2.67 |

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

| S. | Particulars | Budget proposed by | | Remarks |
|-----|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| No. | | Proponent | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | |
| | | | (in lacs) | |
| 1 | Transport Permit | 2.00 | 0.00 | |
| 2 | CCTV Camera | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| 3 | Weight Bridge | 10.00 | 1.00 | |
| 4 | Personal Computer with power | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| | backup | | | |
| 5 | Mobile Application Barcode scanner | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| 6 | Radio Frequency Identification Tag | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| | (RFID) and GPS | | | |
| 7 | Annual Audit of lease | 0.00 | 0.70 | |
| 8 | Security Guard | 0.00 | 0.80 | |
| | Total | 15.00 | 2.80 | |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

| S.no. | Features | Within | Outside | Upstream | Downstream | |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|----------------|
| | observed | Mining site | mining site | from | From mining | Remarks |
| | | boundary | boundary | mining | block | |
| | | (Yes/No/Not | (Yes/No/Not | block | (Mts.) | |
| | | identifiable) | identifiable) | (Mts.) | | |
| 1 | Features | Yes | Yes | - | - | Features |
| | indicative of any | | | | | suggestive of |
| | Illegal mining. | | | | | Illegal mining |
| | | | | | | activity on |
| | | | | | | Google |

| | | | | | | Image of |
|---|---|------------------|-----|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | 11/2020 |
| 2 | Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | - |
| 3 | Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | - |
| 4 | Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | - |
| 5 | Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if any located within mining block/adjacent to mining block or within 500 mts. from the mining block. | No | No | - | - | - |
| 6 | Structures of any kind (buildings /Flood control/ protection works/ electric installations, if any located within/adjacent/ in close vicinity to mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block. | No | No | - | - | - |
| 7 | Irrigation canal headworks/ khul inlets, if any located within | Not identifiable | Yes | | | Features indicative of Irrigation canals/ khuls |

| | mining block or within 500 mts. of the mining block. | | | | | on Google Image of 11/2020 |
|----|--|-----|-----|---|---|--|
| 8 | Active water channels, if any crossing the mining block. | Yes | Yes | - | - | Active water channel needs to be excluded |
| 9 | National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 10 | Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 12 | Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project | No | No | - | - | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during the 23rd meeting held on 09/01/2021 during which the Committee recommended Terms of Reference for the project subject to condition that the mining block be reduced in size so as to maintain a safe distance of 500mts from the bridge.
- b) Now the PP has applied under B2 category after resizing the mining block to 1.10 Ha from 3.27 Ha, thereby maintaining a safe distance of more than 500 m to the civil structure.
- c) The Cluster certificate has been submitted by the PP in favour of the mining block for consideration under B2 category.
- d) The Committee further observed that mining reserves have greatly depleted due to heavy illegal mining and hardly any reserves of minor minerals are available which can be extracted without damaging the environmental setting of the nalla. Therefore, the Committee desired that the PP should obtain a 'fit for mining certificate' from the G&M Dept. to the effect that adequate replenishment has taken place and there is sufficient material to be exploited without endangering the ecological and hydrological regime of the nalla.
- e) Heavy illegal mining activity was detected in the mining block as per features on Google image of Nov, 2020 for which clarification shall be obtained from the MDLTF Cell for fixing responsibility and to indicate whether the PP is involved in the mining activity.

- f) Features indicative of one irrigation offtake point in immediate vicinity of the block was observed on Google image of Nov, 2020. Therefore, the Committee desired project proponent to obtain field verification of the observations by the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept. In case, it is found that such irrigation facilities are not actually existing, same needs to be recorded by the concerned hydraulic engineer with consent of local PRI, with seal and signature, certifying therein that there are no irrigation off-take points within 200m of the mining block boundary, preferably on the back side of Colour Google image of the area depicting the mining block boundary and Kuhls/canals off-take points.
- g) NOC issued by the stakeholder departments are on a generalized format. The NOCs do not indicate presence or absence of irrigation/water supply scheme infrastructure within 200m and thereby making it difficult for the Committee to take an informed decision. Therefore, the stakeholders need to issue categorical and clear NOC/objection keeping in view the national guidelines on sustainable sand mining.
- h) Active water channel detected in the mining block needs to be excluded while calculating the revised targeted mineral production.
- i) Project cost has been underestimated by the consultant as it does not include cost of EMP, CER and therefore needs to be revised.
- j) EMP budget needs to be revised with appropriate budget.
- k) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

- a) In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee did not recommend the case for grant of environmental clearance in the present form.
- b) However, the PP, if he so desires, may again approach the JKEIAA after submitting compliances to the observations made herein above under deliberations.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 04

| Title of case: | Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Block-1/8, and Revised Area of 4.05 ha. at Ans River, Downstream Kotranka Bridge, Village Kotranka, District Rajouri, Jammu and Kashmir M/S Umesh Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Shiv Narayan Sharma. |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Project type | Mining Lease |
| (whether mining lease/STP/ | |
| Quarry license/brick earth) | |
| Category(B1/B2) | B1 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/60790/2021 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/449 |
| Consultant with validity | M/S P & M solutions Pvt. Ltd, Noida. Valid till: 10/12/2022 |
| Presenter of consultant: | Mr. Manas Vyas |
| Project proponent / | Mr. Mohd. Rashid Khan |
| representative of | |
| Project proponent: | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Revised | Bid cost | Project cost | EMP cost proposed | | CER budget |
|--------|---------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Area | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | (in lacs) | | proposed |
| | (Ha) | | | Capital | Recurring | (lacs/annum) |

| Project | New | 4.05 | 89.62 | 270.17 | 10.50 | 8.50 | 4.75 |
|---------|---------|------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|
| | Project | | | | | | |

| Mine Closure plan | Revised | Haulage Route | Revised Bulk density | Cluster situation |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| proposed | Mining depth | (Kms) | proposed by proponent | (Yes/No) |
| (in lacs) | proposed | | | |
| | (mts.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Not Provided | 1 | 0.36 | 2.0 | Yes |
| | | | | |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of Intent (LoI) | LoI –Date of Extension, if any | LoI Date of Validity | Date of Mining Plan approval | ToRs granted on |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 06/08/2020 | 27/12/2021 | 31/03/2022 | 05/01/2021 | 30/03/2021 |

| G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on | Previous Project proponent | Baseline data Collection by project proponent as the case may be | Public Hearing held on | Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on | Area reduced by G&M Dept. |
|---|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| NA NA | NA | Oct-Dec 2020 | 28/07/2021 | 13/03/202 1 & 05/11/202 1 | 07/11/2021 |

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|------------------------------|------------|---|
| No. | | | |
| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M | Submitted | |
| | as applicable | | |
| 2 | Pre-feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | | | observations /recommendations |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine | Formulated | Mine Plan needs to be revised for bulk density, |
| | closure Plan | not as per | mining depth, closure plan, surface plan, |
| | | guidelines | targeted mineral production, mining |
| | | | methodology etc. |
| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated | Needs revision for including replenishment |
| | | not as per | data etc. |
| | | guidelines | |

| 5 | Environmental Impact | Formulated | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
|---|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Assessment / | but needs | observations /recommendations |
| | Environmental | revision | |
| | Management Plan/ | | |
| | Corporate Environmental | | |
| | Responsibility | | |
| | (EIA/EMP/CER) | | |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | status as per NOC | Remarks/ |
|--------|--|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Reference |
| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued conditionally | As per NOC issued |
| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued conditionally | by the Fisheries |
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued conditionally | Dept. the Block 1/8 |
| 4 | Forest Dept. (if required) | Issued as non-forest | Kotranka area falls |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept (If required) | Not submitted | under Reserved |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Not submitted | Trout Beat |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | Issued as state land | |
| 8 | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Issued conditionally | |
| 10 | Soil and Water Conservation dept. | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

| S. | | Budget pro | posed by | Budget suggest | ted by Committee | | |
|----|-------------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| No | Proposed actions | Proponent | Proponent | | (in lacs) | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | | | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | Capital | Recurring | | |
| 1 | Green belt development | 2.00 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | | |
| 2 | Haulage route | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | | |
| | maintenance | | | | | | |
| 3 | Env. Awareness | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | | |
| | programme | | | | | | |
| 4 | Pollution monitoring | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | | |
| 5 | Dust suppression | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| 6 | Occupational Health & | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | | |
| | Safety of mine workers | | | | | | |
| 7 | Laying of Hume | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | | |
| | pipes/Engineering works | | | | | | |
| | to channelize water to | | | | | | |
| | protect fisheries beat | | | | | | |
| | Total | 10.50 | 8.50 | 16.50 | 15.00 | | |

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

| 000- | | KOT WIET TEST OF SIBILITY | | |
|------|------------------|---|-----------|---------|
| S. | Project activity | Activities | Annual | Remarks |
| No. | | | Budget | |
| | | | proposed | |
| | | | by | |
| | | | Proponent | |
| | | | (in lacs) | |
| 1 | Health | Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up | 1.75 | |

| 2 | Drinking water | Providing drinking water facility in the nearby village & school | 0.00 |
|---|-----------------|--|------|
| 3 | Covid -19 | Distribution of Sanitizers, face masks etc in the nearby village. | 0.00 |
| 4 | Sanitation | Construction of toilet in the nearby village | 1.00 |
| 5 | Education | Distribution of free books, stationery etc to the nearby school | 2.00 |
| 6 | Electrification | Distribution of free solar panels, solar light in the nearby village | 0.00 |
| | Total | | 4.75 |

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

| S. | Particulars | Budget pro | posed by | Remarks |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| No. | | Proponent | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | |
| | | | (in lacs) | |
| 1 | Transport Permit | 2.00 | 0.00 | |
| 2 | CCTV Camera | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| 3 | Weight Bridge | 10.00 | 1.00 | |
| 4 | Personal Computer with power | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| | backup | | | |
| 5 | Mobile Application Barcode scanner | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| 6 | Radio Frequency Identification Tag | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| | (RFID) and GPS | | | |
| 7 | Annual Audit of lease | 0.00 | 0.70 | |
| 8 | Security Guard | 0.00 | 0.80 | |
| | Total | 15.00 | 2.80 | |

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

| S. | Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings | (Yes/No) |
|-----|--|----------|
| No. | | |
| 1 | Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained | Yes |
| 2 | Prevention of illegal mining | |
| 3 | EMP cost to be implemented practically | Yes |
| 4 | CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment | |
| 5 | RBM material to locals on concessional rates | Yes |
| 6 | Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth | Yes |
| 7 | Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns | Yes |
| 8 | Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands/ Agricultural lands | Yes |
| 9 | Ban on mining activities at the night | Yes |

| 10 | Installation of solar lights | Yes |
|----|--|-----|
| 11 | Mining should be done on scientific manners | Yes |
| 12 | Separate funds should be kept for approach road maintenance | Yes |
| 13 | Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected | Yes |
| 14 | Land suited along the bank of river may be protected | Yes |
| 15 | Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block | Yes |
| 16 | irrigation canals, irrigation off take points should be protected during mining operations | Yes |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT S.no. | Features | Within | Outside | Upstream | Downstream |

| S.no. | Features | Within | Outside | Upstream | Downstream | |
|-------|---|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|--|
| | observed | Mining site | mining site | from | From mining | Remarks |
| | | boundary | boundary | mining | block | |
| | | (Yes/No/Not | (Yes/No/Not | block | (Mts.) | |
| | | identifiable) | identifiable) | (Mts.) | | |
| 1 | Features indicative of any Illegal mining. | Yes | Yes | - | - | As per latest available google image of 12/2020 |
| 2 | Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 3 | Bridge with only abutments, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 4 | Foot bridge with only abutments on two banks, if any located within mining area/ within 500 mts. from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 5 | Green patch (Cropland / trees /orchard etc. if | No | No | - | - | - |

| | 1 1 1 | | I | I | | 1 |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----|---|---|---------------|
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | block/adjacent to | | | | | |
| | mining block or | | | | | |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | |
| | from the mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 6 | Structures of any | No | No | - | - | |
| | kind (buildings | | | | | |
| | /Flood control/ | | | | | |
| | protection | | | | | |
| | works/ electric | | | | | |
| | installations, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within/adjacent/ | | | | | |
| | in close vicinity | | | | | |
| | to mining block | | | | | |
| | or within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. of the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 7 | Irrigation canal | Not | Yes | _ | _ | Features |
| , | headworks/ khul | identifiable | 103 | | | indicative of |
| | inlets, if any | Identifiable | | | | presence of |
| | located within | | | | | supply |
| | mining block or | | | | | channel of |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | irrigation |
| | of the mining | | | | | works in the |
| | block. | | | | | vicinity on |
| | DIOCK. | | | | | google image |
| | | | | | | of 12/2020 |
| 8 | Active water | Yes | Yes | | | Need to be |
| 0 | | 168 | 168 | - | _ | excluded |
| | channels, if any | | | | | |
| | crossing the | | | | | from mining |
| | mining block. | No | No | | | activity |
| 9 | National | No | No | _ | - | |
| | Park/Wildlife | | | | | |
| | Sanctuary/ESZ, | | | | | |
| | if any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining block | | | | | |
| | and distance | | | | | |
| | thereof from the | | | | | |
| 1.0 | mining block. | | | | | |
| 10 | Forest land, if | No | No | - | - | |
| | any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining | | | | | |
| | block. If yes, the | | | | | |
| | distance thereof | | | | | |
| | from the ends of | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |

| 12 | Any other | Yes | Yes | - | - | As per NOC | |
|----|------------------|-----|-----|---|---|--------------|--|
| | relevant feature | | | | | of Fisheries | |
| | of interest or | | | | | Dept., the | |
| | condition which | | | | | block falls | |
| | is critical to | | | | | under | |
| | grant of | | | | | Reserved | |
| | ToRs/EC to the | | | | | Trout beat | |
| | proposed project | | | | | | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The case had been discussed earlier during the 29th Meeting held on 13/03/2021 during which the Committee recommended ToRs to the project subject to report of Multi Dept. Committee on illegal mining, exclusion of active water channel and title verification of the mining block.
- b) The case was appraised for grant of EC during the 69th meeting held on 05/11/2021 during which committee desired prior compliance to following observations:
 - i. Fresh Clarification from Fisheries Dept. to safeguard fish faunal habitat as the Block 1/8 Kotranka area falls under Reserved Trout beat.
 - ii. Clarification from the concerned Xen Irrigation Dept.w.r.t presence or absence of irrigation offtake points within 200m from the mining block substantiated with a colour Google Image of the area depicting same and duly certified by the concerned Hydraulic Engineer with local PRI on board.
 - iii. Revision of EMP, CER budget.
 - iv. Compliance to specific ToR condition relating to photographs of display screen of Air Quality Monitoring Station displaying the dates of collection of data.
 - v. Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority
- c) During deliberations in the instant meeting, the Committee observed as:-
- d) Compliance to serial No. i. Supra has been received in the form of letter dated 22/11/2021 from the Asst. Director, Fisheries Dept. stating therein that the mining activity shall not have any adverse impact on fish fauna provided the mining activity is restricted to dry shoals only besides other conditions conveyed earlier. The Committee opined that mining activity is always required to be restricted only to dry shoals and the Fisheries Dept. must clearly spell out technical opinion whether to allow or prohibit mining activity in a block falling under a reserved trout beat, **prior to grant of EC.**
- d) Letter dated 25/11/2021 with Google image of the mining block obtained from Xen Irrigation dept. in compliance to observation made under serial ii supra, shows that offtake point of irrigation kuhl lies in close vicinity. The PP has got the mining block resized by G&M Dept. to maintain a safe distance of 200m from the offtake point leaving the resultant mining block of 4.05 Ha only.
- e) The Committee desired that the PHE dept. should also certify that no PHE infrastructure exists within 200mts of the mining block, **prior to grant of EC.**
- **f**) EMP budget though revised needs further refinement as per remarks column herein above, **prior to grant of EC.**
- g) Compliance to serial No.iv, supra is also awaited and same needs to be submitted prior to grant of EC.
- h) Compliance to serial No.v, supra is also awaited and same needs to be submitted prior to grant of EC.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE:

In view of the above deliberations and insight gained during the above cited appraisal process, the Committee recommended the case for grant of environmental clearance subject to:

a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per **Annexure B** to these Minutes of Meeting.

- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Revision of mining plan as detailed above, prior to grant of EC.
- d) Submission of field verification report from concerned Xen, PHE Dept. with seal and signature with local PRI on board, certifying therein that there are no PHE infrastructure existing within 200m of the mining block, <u>preferably</u> on the back side of Colour Google image, prior to grant of formal EC.
- e) Securing of 'non-involvement in illegal mining certificate' from MDLTF Cell, prior to grant of FC
- f) Submission of photographs of display screen of air quality monitoring stations showing dates of baseline data collection prior to grant of EC
- g) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stakeholder departments which need to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned dept.
- h) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- i) Maximum targeted mineral production of 48600 MT.
- j) Condition the geographical coordinates as per revised area conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA.
- k) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data.

AGENDA ITEM NO: 05

| | Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Area of 3.11 Ha., |
| Title of case: | Block- 32, at Ari Nallah Mendhar River District Poonch, (Jammu |
| | & Kashmir) favoring M/S sing Minerals Near Kali Mata Mandir |
| | Kollu Wali Gali, District-Pathankot, State-Punjab. |
| | singhminerals.ec@outlook.com (ADS) |
| Project type | |
| (whether mining lease/STP/ | Mining Lease |
| Quarry license/brick earth) | |
| Category(B1/B2) | B2 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/197155/2021 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/539 |
| Consultant with validity | P and M solution 10/12/2022 |
| Presenter of consultant: | Manas Vyas |
| Project proponent / | M/s Singh Minerals |
| representative of | |
| Project proponent: | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Area (Ha) | Bid cost (in lacs) | Project cost (in lacs) | Revised EMP proposed (in lacs) Capital | Recurring | CER budget proposed (lacs/annum) |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|-----------|--|
| New Project | 3.11 | 104.70 | 179.4 | 11.00 | 11.60 | 3.93 |

| Mine Closure plan proposed (in lacs) | Revised Mining depth proposed (mts.) | Haulage Route (Kms) | Revised Bulk density proposed by proponent in PFR | Cluster situation (Yes/No) |
|--|---|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.32 | 2.00 | No |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of Intent (LoI) | LoI –Date of Extension, if any | LoI Date of Validity | Date of Mining Plan approval | ToRs granted on |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/09/2020 | 15/04/2021 | 31/03/2022 | 24/11/2020 | NA |

| G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on | Previous Project proponent | Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be | Public Hearing held on | Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on | Area reduced by G&M Dept. |
|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| NA | NA | NA | NA | 22/09/2021 | NA |

APPRAISAL PROCESS
(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|------------------------------|------------|---|
| No. | | | |
| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M | Submitted | |
| | as applicable | | |
| 2 | Pre-feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine | Formulated | Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining |
| | closure Plan | not as per | depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted |
| | | guidelines | mineral production, mining methodology etc. |
| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated | Needs revision for including replenishment |
| | | not as per | data etc. |
| | | guidelines | |
| 5 | (Environmental | Formulated | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | Management Plan/ | but needs | observations /recommendations |
| | Corporate Environmental | revision | |
| | Responsibility | | |
| | (EMP/CER) | | |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | status as per NOC | Remarks/ Reference |
|--------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued Conditionally | |

| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued Conditionally | Dy. Commissioner, Poonch vide Ref |
|----|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued Conditionally | No. DCP/PA/1328-39 dated |
| 4 | Forest Dept. | Issued Conditionally | 22/05/2021had conveyed NOCs of |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept | Not issued | stake holders. |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Not issued | |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | Issued as State land | |
| 8 | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Issued Conditionally | |
| 10 | Soil and Water | | |
| | Conservation dept. | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

| S. No | Proposed actions Budget proposed by Propone (in lacs) | | • • |
|-------|--|---------|-----------|
| | | Capital | Recurring |
| 1 | Green belt development | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 2 | Haulage route maintenance | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 3. | Env. Awareness programme | 1.00 | 0.50 |
| 4 | Pollution Monitoring | 2.00 | 2.35 |
| 5 | Pollution control/ water sprinkling/Dust suppression | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 6 | Solid waste management | 0.50 | 0.25 |
| 7. | Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers | 2.00 | 2.50 |
| 8 | Laying of Hume pipes | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Total | 11.00 | 11. 60 |

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

| S. No. | Project activity | Activities | Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Health/Drinking water facility | Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Sanitizer, gloves and Mask Installation of hand pumps. | 2.88 |
| 2 | Electrification | Solar Lamp distribution &Solar street light installation in the village. | 0.00 |
| 3 | Sanitation | Construction of ladies' toilets in the village. | 1.05 |
| 4 | Education | Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools. | 0.00 |
| 5 | Rain water Harvesting structure | Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village. | 0.00 |
| | Total | • | 3.93 |

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

| S. | Particulars | Budget pro | posed by | Remarks |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| No. | | Proponent | | |
| | | (in lacs) | | |
| | | Capital | Recurring | |
| | | | (in lacs) | |
| 1 | Transport Permit | 2.00 | 0.00 | |
| 2 | CCTV Camera | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| 3 | Weight Bridge | 10.0 | 1.00 | |
| 4 | Personal Computer with power | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| | backup | | | |
| 5 | Mobile Application Barcode | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| | scanner | | | |
| 6 | Radio Frequency Identification Tag | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| | (RFID) and GPS | | | |
| 7 | Annual Audit of lease | 0.00 | 0.70 | |
| 8 | Security Guard | 0.00 | 0.80 | |
| | Total | 15.00 | 2.80 | |

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

| S. | Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings | (Yes/No) | | |
|-----|--|----------------|--|--|
| No. | | | | |
| 1 | Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained | | | |
| 2 | Prevention of illegal mining | | | |
| 3 | EMP cost to be implemented practically | | | |
| 4 | CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment | | | |
| 5 | RBM material to locals on concessional rates | | | |
| 6 | Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth | | | |
| 7 | Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions | | | |
| 8 | Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion | | | |
| 9 | Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns | <u>-</u> | | |
| 10 | Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands | | | |
| 11 | Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level | Not Applicable | | |
| 12 | Ban on mining activities at the night | | | |
| 13 | Installation of solar lights | | | |
| 14 | Haulage route maintenance | | | |
| 15 | Proper plantation of the nearby area | | | |
| 16 | Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner | | | |
| 17 | Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved | | | |
| 18 | Agricultural land may be protected | | | |
| 19 | Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block | | | |
| 20 | People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be | | | |
| 2.1 | consulted before tendering process | - | | |
| 21 | Embankments of Nallah be protected | | | |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

| eatures | Within | Outside | Unstream | Downstream | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | Remarks |
| oser ved | - | | | | Remarks |
| | • | | _ | | |
| | | | | | |
| eatures | No | No | - | - | As per latest |
| | | | | | available |
| | | | | | google image |
| | | | | | of 05/2021 |
| ridge with | No | No | - | - | |
| nidstream piers, | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | No | No | - | - | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | No | No | - | - | |
| | | | | | |
| n two banks, if | | | | | |
| ny located | | | | | |
| ithin mining | | | | | |
| rea/ within 500 | | | | | |
| nts. from the | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| lock. | | | | | |
| | No | No | - | - | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | No | No | _ | _ | - |
| • | | | | | |
| Flood control/ | | | | | |
| rotection | | | | | |
| vorks/ electric | | | | | |
| stallations, if | | | | | |
| ny located | | | | | |
| the second of th | dicative of any legal mining. ridge with idstream piers, any, located ithin mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lock. The located lithin mining lea/ within 500 ts. from the loss of mining lock. The located lithin mining lock lithin mining lock. The located lithin mining lock lithin solution to located lithin mining lock lithin solution lithing lock lithin solution lithing lock lithin solution lithing lock lithing lithing lock lithing lithing lock lithing lock lithing lithing lithing lock lithing li | beserved Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) Reatures dicative of any legal mining. Indige with idstream piers, any, located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ock. Indige with only boutments, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ock. Toto bridge with only boutments in two banks, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ock. Toto bridge with only oct bridge with only abutments in two banks, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ock. Toto are patch of trees of mining block of within 500 ts. from the ends of mining ock/adjacent emining block. Tructures of any of (buildings flood control/ otection orks/ electric stallations, if | Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) Eatures dicative of any legal mining. Indige with idstream piers, any, located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ook. Toto bridge with only buttnents, if my, located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ook. Toto bridge with only buttnents in two banks, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ook. Toto bridge with only buttnents in two banks, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ook. Toto bridge with only buttnents in two banks, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining ook. Toto bridge with only bridge with only abuttnents in two banks, if my located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the eds of mining lock. Treen patch Tropland / trees rehard etc. if my located ithin mining lock within 500 ts. from the ining block. Tructures of any nd (buildings lood control/ otection orks/ electric stallations, if | Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) actures dicative of any legal mining. ridge with idstream piers, any, located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. ridge with inside of mining ock. ridge with only butments, if my, located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. No No No | Mining site boundary (Yes/No/Not identifiable) Beatures dicative of any legal mining. No Beatures dicative of any legal mining. No Bridge with didstream piers, any, located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. Toot bridge with only abutments in two banks, if ny located ithin mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the dids of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the ads of mining ock. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning block. The manner of the mining ea/ within 500 ts. from the inning ea/ within 500 ts |

| | | I | | | I | |
|----|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|---|---|-----------------------------|
| | within/adjacent/ in close vicinity | | | | | |
| | to mining block | | | | | |
| | or within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. of the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 7 | Irrigation canal headworks/ khul | Yes | Yes | - | - | The mining block intersects |
| | inlets, if any | | | | | irrigation |
| | located within | | | | | structure/Kuhl |
| | mining block or | | | | | |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | |
| | of the mining | | | | | |
| 8 | block. Active water | Yes | Yes | _ | _ | Active water |
| 8 | channels, if any | 168 | 108 | _ | _ | channel needs |
| | crossing the | | | | | to be excluded |
| | mining block. | | | | | to be excluded |
| 9 | National | No | No | - | - | |
| | Park/Wildlife | | | | | |
| | Sanctuary/ESZ, | | | | | |
| | if any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining block | | | | | |
| | and distance | | | | | |
| | thereof from the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 10 | Forest land, if | No | No | - | - | |
| | any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining | | | | | |
| | block. If yes, the distance thereof | | | | | |
| | from the ends of | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 12 | Any other | No | No | _ | _ | |
| 12 | relevant feature | 110 | 110 | | | |
| | of interest or | | | | | |
| | condition which | | | | | |
| | is critical to | | | | | |
| | grant of | | | | | |
| | ToRs/EC to the | | | | | |
| | proposed project | | | | | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

a) The project had earlier been discussed during 61th meeting held on to 22/09/2021 during which the Committee rejected the case for grant of EC as the offtake point of irrigation kuhls were found within and in close vicinity of the mining block and the Committee had desired the PP to propose prior mitigation measures against potential damage to irrigation offtake kuhls, keeping in view the fact the Irrigation & Flood Control Dept. while issuing NOC under Single Window System, had put a condition that no mining activity should be carried out within 50m from the offtake points of irrigation structures, if any.

- b) The PP has again approached the JKEIAA after obtaining a fresh NOC dated 25/11/2021 indicating that no irrigation canal is lying within 200m of the mining block.
- c) The active water channel needs to be excluded while computing the minable reserves and the PFR should also be revised accordingly.
- d) The Committee also desired that the PP should obtain prior consent of the PRI for undertaking mining activity in the mining block.
- e) Mining depth of 3.0 m & bulk density of 2.25 adopted in the instant mining plan were not agreed by the committee. Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m in aggregate and bulk density of 2.0 only be adopted for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the project for grant of EC subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per **Annexure B** to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations, **prior to** grant of EC.
- c) Revision of Prefeasibility Report by recalculating minable reserves, prior to grant of EC
- d) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC.
- e) Mining depth of 1m and bulk density of 2.00m in aggregate in view of non-availability of replenishment data in the DSRs formulated by the G&M Dept.
- f) Maximum targeted mineral production of **37300** MT.
- g) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stockholder departments which needs to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned department.
- h) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- i) Condition the geographical coordinates as per LOI to be conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA
- j) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data

AGENDA ITEM NO: 06

| Title of case: | Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Revised Area of 5.71 ha., Block- 23, at Johad khad Plan II Village Johad, Tehsil & District- Kathua, State-Jammu & Kashmir favoring M/S Sai Mines (Shri Karanvir Singh S/O Surinder Singh 01, Lane No.3, Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar Pathankot, Punjab). (ADS) |
|---|--|
| Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ Quarry license/brick earth) | Mining Lease |
| Category(B1/B2) | B1 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/59417/2020 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/356 |
| Consultant with validity | P and M Solution 10/12/2022 |
| Presenter of consultant: | Manas Vyas |
| Project proponent / | M/S Sai Mines |
| representative of | |

| Project proponent: | |
|--------------------|--|
| | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Revised Area (Ha) | Bid cost (in lacs) | Project cost (in lacs) | Revised EMP cost proposed (in lacs) | | CER budget proposed (lacs/annum) |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | (110) | | | Capital | Recurring | (Table) daniedanie |
| New Project | 5.71 | 102.68 | 183.48 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 3.66 |

| Mine Closure plan | Revised | Haulage Route | Revised Bulk density | Cluster |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| proposed | Mining depth | (Kms) | proposed by proponent in | situation |
| (in lacs) | proposed by | | PFR/EIA (mts.) | (Yes/No) |
| | proponent in | | | |
| | PFR/EIA | | | |
| | (mts.) | | | |
| 1.75 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 2.00 | No |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of Intent (LoI) | LoI –Date of Extension, if any | LoI Date of Validity | Date of Mining Plan approval | ToRs granted on |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 24/07/2020 | 15/04/2021 | 31/03/2022 | 26/11/2020 | 18/01/2021 |

| G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on | Previous Project proponent | Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be | Public Hearing held on | Case Discussed by JKEAC earlier on | Area reduced by G&M Dept. |
|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| NA | NA | Oct-Dec 2020 | 02/07/2021 | 09/01/2021 and 30/09/2021 | 01/11/2021 |

APPRAISAL PROCESS (To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|----------|--------|--------------------------|
| No. | | | |

| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M | Submitted | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|---|
| | as applicable | | |
| 2 | Pre-feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine | Formulated | Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining |
| | closure Plan | not as per | depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted |
| | | guidelines | mineral production, mining methodology etc. |
| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated | Needs revision for including replenishment |
| | | not as per | data etc. |
| | | guidelines | |
| 5 | (Environmental | Formulated | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | Management Plan/ | but needs | observations /recommendations |
| | Corporate Environmental | revision | |
| | Responsibility | | |
| | (EMP/CER) | | |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | status as per NOC | Remarks/ Reference |
|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued Conditionally | |
| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued Conditionally | |
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued Conditionally | |
| 4 | Forest Dept. | Issued as non-forest | |
| | | land | |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept | Not issued | |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Not issued | |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | Issued as state land | |
| 8 | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Issued Conditionally | |
| 10 | Soil and Water | | |
| | Conservation dept. | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

| S. No | Proposed actions | Revised Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) | | |
|-------|--|--|-----------|--|
| | | Capital | Recurring | |
| 1 | Green belt development | 5.00 | 4.50 | |
| 2 | Haulage route maintenance | 6.00 | 4.00 | |
| 3. | Env. Awareness programme | 1.00 | 0.50 | |
| 4 | Pollution Monitoring | 1.00 | 1.50 | |
| 5 | Pollution control/ water sprinkling/Dust suppression | 2.00 | 3.00 | |
| 6 | Solid waste management | 1.00 | 0.50 | |
| 7. | Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers | 4.00 | 2.00 | |
| 8 | Laying of Hume pipes | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

| Total | 20.00 | 16.00 |
|-------|-------|-------|
| | | |

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

| S. No. | Project activity | Activities | Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Health | Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up, Sanitizer, gloves and Mask Installation of hand pumps. | 2.16 |
| 2 | Drinking water facility | Drinking water facility in nearby village and panchayat | 0.00 |
| 2 | Electrification | Solar Lamp distribution &Solar street light installation in the village. | 0.00 |
| 3 | Sanitation | Construction of ladies' toilets in the village. | 1.5 |
| 4 | Education | Distribution of school bags & books in nearby Primary School Education Grants to girl child to check drop outs in schools. | 0.00 |
| 5 | Rain water Harvesting structure | Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in the primary School nearby village. | 0.00 |
| | Total | <u>, </u> | 3.66 |

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

| S. No. | Particulars | Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) | | |
|-----------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| | | Capital | Recurring (in lacs) | |
| 1 | Transport Permit | 2.00 | 0.00 | |
| 2 | CCTV Camera | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| 3 | Weight Bridge | 10.00 | 1.00 | |
| 4 | Personal Computer with power backup | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| 5 | Mobile Application Barcode scanner | 0.50 | 0.05 | |
| 6 | Radio Frequency Identification Tag (RFID) and GPS | 1.00 | 0.10 | |
| 7 | Annual Audit of lease | 0.00 | 0.70 | |
| 8 | Security Guard | 0.00 | 0.80 | |
| | Total | 15.00 | 2.80 | |

GENERAL PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNS

| S. | Usual concerns expressed by public during proceedings | (Yes/No) |
|-----|--|----------|
| No. | | |
| 1 | Proper demarcation of mining block/mining depth to be maintained | - |
| 2 | Prevention of illegal mining | |
| 3 | EMP cost to be implemented practically | Yes |
| 4 | CER/CSR Activities for social upliftment | Yes |
| 5 | RBM material to locals on concessional rates | Yes |
| 6 | Manual mining & Employment to the local unemployed youth | Yes |
| 7 | Display of contact details of concerned authorities for enabling locals to report illegal mining or violation of EC conditions | |
| 8 | Pollution, land degradation, loss of water body and rivulets/ soil erosion | - |
| 9 | Loss of land, unemployment and environmental concerns | - |
| 10 | Impact on social life and Horticultural/pasturelands | - |
| 11 | Money generated should be utilized at panchayat level | - |
| 12 | Ban on mining activities at the night | - |
| 13 | Installation of solar lights | - |
| 14 | Haulage route maintenance | Yes |
| 15 | Proper plantation of the nearby area | Yes |
| 16 | Mining should be carried out in scientific Manner | - |
| 17 | Drinking water and Irrigation canals may be saved | - |
| 18 | Embankments of the Mining Block should be protected | - |
| 19 | Land suited along the bank of Nallah may be protected / saved | Yes |
| 20 | Tile of land from Revenue Department should be demarcated before the auction of Mining Block | - |
| 21 | People and panchayat members of the concerned area would be consulted before tendering process | - |
| 23 | Protection wall should be constructed to protect the agriculture land from intrusion of wild animals | - |
| 24 | Access / Approach road passing through the proposed block leading to different villages across the Khad should not be closed. | Yes |
| 25 | channelize Ujh River from village Jakhole to Korepunnu so that the land of the farmers may be saved. | Yes |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

| S.no. | Features | Within | Outside | Upstream | Downstream | |
|-------|---|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|--|
| | observed | Mining site | mining site | from | From mining | Remarks |
| | | boundary | boundary | mining | block | |
| | | (Yes/No/Not | (Yes/No/Not | block | (Mts.) | |
| | | identifiable) | identifiable) | (Mts.) | | |
| 1 | Features indicative of any Illegal mining. | No | No | - | - | As per latest available google image of 06/2021 |
| 2 | Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 | No | No | - | | - |

| | . 6 41 | | | 1 | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---|----------------|
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 3 | Bridge with only | No | No | - | - | |
| | abutments, if | | | | | |
| | any, located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 4 | Foot bridge with | No | No | _ | _ | |
| | only abutments | 110 | | | | |
| | on two banks, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5 | block. | No | No | | | |
| 3 | Green patch | INO | No | - | - | |
| | (Cropland / trees | | | | | |
| | /orchard etc. if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | block/adjacent to | | | | | |
| | mining block or | | | | | |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | |
| | from the mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | 3.7 | | | |
| 6 | Structures of any | No | No | - | - | |
| | kind (buildings | | | | | |
| | /Flood control/ | | | | | |
| | protection works/ | | | | | |
| | electric | | | | | |
| | installations, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within/adjacent/ | | | | | |
| | in close vicinity | | | | | |
| | to mining block | | | | | |
| | or within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. of the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 7 | Irrigation canal | Not | Not | - | - | Not |
| | headworks/ khul | identifiable | identifiable | | | identifiable |
| | inlets, if any | | | | | |
| | located within | | | | | |
| | mining block or | | | | | |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | |
| | of the mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 8 | Active water | No | No | - | _ | Active water |
| | channels, if any | | | | | channel needs |
| | J | | | | | to be excluded |
| | 1 | I . | I . | <u> </u> | I | to be excluded |

| | crossing the mining block. | | | | | |
|----|--|----|----|---|---|--|
| 9 | National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary/ESZ, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block and distance thereof from the mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 10 | Forest land, if any located in close vicinity of the mining block. If yes, the distance thereof from the ends of mining block. | No | No | - | - | |
| 12 | Any other relevant feature of interest or condition which is critical to grant of ToRs/EC to the proposed project | No | No | - | - | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 23rd meeting held on to 09/01/2021 and subsequently in 62nd Meeting held on 30/09/2021 during which the Expert Appraisal Committee rejected the case and desired the PP to:
 - i. To resize the mining block for maintaining safe distance of 500 mts. from the Bridge.
 - ii. To obtain title verification of the land under mining block in accordance with NOC from I&FC Dept.
- b) Now the PP has again approached the JKEIAA after resizing the mining block to 5.71ha instead of its original area of 6.31ha vide letter No. 8727-28 dated 01/11/2021 to maintain a safe distance of 500 mts. from the bridge.
- c) The PP has also submitted a Title verification from the concerned Tehsildar, Kathua vide letter dated 12/11/2021mentioning that proposed mining block is a state land.
- d) Compliance of specific TOR condition relating to photographs of display screen displaying the date of collection of data missing in EIA report which needs to be submitted prior to grant of EC.
- e) The certificate issued by the local panchayat also came under discussion and the Committee desired that the assurances given to them be honoured. The genuine concerns of the locals deliberated during the public hearing should be addressed by the PP
- f) The conditions of NOC of stockholder department need to be adhered to in letter and spirit.
- g) Mining depth of 2.5 m & bulk density of 2.25 adopted in the instant mining plan were not agreed by the committee. Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m in aggregate and bulk density of 2.0 only be adopted for calculating the production targets in absence of actual field measurements.

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the project for grant of EC subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per Annexure B to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC.
- d) Maximum targeted mineral production of 68500 MT.
- e) Compliance of ToR condition relating to submission of photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station showing data with dates of collection of the baseline data in the updated EIA report, **prior to grant of formal EC.**
- f) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stockholder departments which needs to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned department.
- g) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- h) Condition the revised geographical coordinates as per revised area conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA.
- i) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data

AGENDA ITEM NO: 07

| Title of case: | Grant of Environmental Clearance (EC) for RBM (River Bed Mineral) Minor Mineral Block Mining Project, Revised Area of 1.57 ha., Block- 24, at Jothana Di Khad at Village Janal Plan I, District-Kathua (Jammu & Kashmir) favoring M/S Kesari Nandan village- Logate, Kathua, Jammu and (J&K) kesrinandanmines@gmail.com (ADS) |
|---|---|
| Project type (whether mining lease/STP/ | Mining Lease |
| Quarry license/brick earth) | Willing Lease |
| Category(B1/B2) | B2 |
| Proposal no: | SIA/JK/MIN/225337/2021// SIA/JK/MIN/60441/2021 |
| File no: | SEAC/JK/20/433 |
| Consultant with validity | P and M solution 10/12/2022 |
| Presenter of consultant: | Mr. Manas Vyas |
| Project proponent / | M/S Kesari Nandan |
| representative of | |
| Project proponent: | |

CASE SUMMARY

| Status | Revised Area | Bid cost (in lacs) | Project cost (in lacs) | Revised EMP cost proposed (in lacs) | | CER budget proposed |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|-----------|---------------------|
| | (Ha) | | | Capital | Recurring | (lacs/annum) |
| New Project | 1.57 | 156.37 | 210.00 | 9.50 | 7.00 | 5.00 |

| Mine Closure plan | Revised | Haulage Route | Revised Bulk density | Cluster |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| proposed | Mining depth | (Kms) | proposed by proponent | situation |
| (in lacs) | proposed in | | proposed in PFR | (Yes/No) |
| | PFR | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (mts) | | | |
| 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 2.00 | No |

PROJECT EVENT DATES

| Date of Letter of Intent (LoI) | LoI –Date of Extension, if any | LoI Date of Validity | Date of Mining Plan approval | ToRs granted on |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 05/08/2020 | 15/04/2021 | 31/08/2021 | 26/11/2020 | - |

| G.O 76JK-FST of 2020 dated 29/07/2021 implemented by JKEIAA for the project on | Previous Project proponent | Baseline data Collection by (previous) project proponent as the case may be | Public Hearing held on | Case discussed by JKEAC earlier on | Area reduced by G&M Dept. |
|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| NA | NA | NA | NA | 10/03/2021 and 04/09/2021 | 2/12/2021 |

APPRAISAL PROCESS
(To be filled by JKEAC during online appraisal)

STATUS OF DOCUMENTATION

| S. | Document | Status | Remarks of the Committee |
|-----|------------------------------|------------|---|
| No. | | | |
| 1 | Form 1/Form 2 /Form 1-M | Submitted | |
| | as applicable | | |
| 2 | Pre feasibility report (PFR) | Submitted | |
| 3 | Approved Mine Plan /Mine | Formulated | Mine Plan needs to be revised for mining |
| | closure Plan | not as per | depth, closure plan, surface plan, targeted |
| | | guidelines | mineral production, mining methodology etc. |
| 4 | District Survey Report | Formulated | Needs revision for including replenishment |
| | | not as per | data etc. |
| | | guidelines | |
| 5 | (Environmental | Formulated | Needs revision as per deliberations/ |
| | Management Plan/ | but needs | observations /recommendations |
| | Corporate Environmental | revision | |
| | Responsibility | | |
| | (EMP/CER) | | |

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATES

| S. No. | Department | status as per NOC | Remarks/ |
|--------|------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | _ | Reference |

| 1 | Irrigation Dept. | Issued Conditionally |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | |
| 2 | Flood Control Dept. | Issued Conditionally |
| 3 | Fisheries Dept. | Issued Conditionally |
| 4 | Forest Dept. | Issued as non-forest land |
| 5 | Wildlife Protection Dept | Not issued |
| 6 | Pollution Control Board | Not issued |
| 7 | Revenue Dept. | issued as state Land |
| 8 | PHE (Jalshakti) Dept. | Issued Conditionally |
| 10 | Soil and Water Conservation dept. | Not issued |
| | | |
| | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

| S. No | Proposed actions | Revised Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) | |
|-------|--|--|-----------|
| | | Capital | Recurring |
| 1 | Green belt development | 3.00 | 1.50 |
| 2 | Haulage route maintenance | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| 3. | Env. Awareness programme | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 4 | Pollution monitoring | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 5 | Pollution control/Dust suppression | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7. | Occupational Health & Safety of mine workers | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Total | 9.50 | 7.00 |

COORPORATE ENVIRONMENT RESPONSIBILITY

| S. No. | Project activity | Activities | Annual Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Health/Drinking water facility | Free Distribution of medicines, health check-up/ Distribution of Sanitizer, gloves and N95 Mask to the nearby village and panchayat. | 2.75 |
| 2 | Electrification | Solar Lamp distribution &Solarstreet light installation in the village. | 0.00 |
| 3 | Sanitation | Construction of ladies' toilets in the village. | 2.25 |

| 4 | Education | Distribution of school bags & books in nearby | 0.00 |
|---|----------------------|--|------|
| | | Primary School Education Grants to girl child to | |
| | | check drop outs in schools. | |
| 5 | Rain water | Construction of Rain water harvesting structure in | 0.00 |
| | harvesting structure | the primary School nearby village. | |
| | | | |
| | Total | | 5.00 |
| | | | |

BUDGET FOR SURVILIENCE

| S. No. | Particulars | Budget proposed by Proponent (in lacs) | |
|-----------|--|--|---------------------|
| | | Capital | Recurring (in lacs) |
| 1 | Transport Permit | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | CCTV Camera | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| 3 | Weight Bridge | 10.0 | 1.00 |
| 4 | Personal Computer with power backup | 0.50 | 0.05 |
| 5 | Mobile Application Barcode scanner | 0.50 | 0.05 |
| 6 | Radio Frequency Identification Tag(RFID) and GPS | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| 7 | Annual Audit of lease | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| 8 | Security Guard | 0.00 | 0.80 |
| | Total | 15.00 | 2.80 |

EXAMINATION OF MINING SITE ON MULTIDATE GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE PLATFORM USING KML /KMZ FILE EMAILED/UPLOADED BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT/CONSULTANT

| S.no. | Features | Within | Outside | Upstream | Downstream | |
|--------|---|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| D.110. | observed | Mining site | mining site | from | From mining | Remarks |
| | | boundary | boundary | mining | block | |
| | | (Yes/No/Not | (Yes/No/Not | block | (Mts.) | |
| | | identifiable) | identifiable) | (Mts.) | | |
| 1 | Features indicative of any Illegal mining. | Yes | Yes | - | - | As per google image 06/2021 |
| 2 | Bridge with midstream piers, if any, located within mining area/ within 500 | No | No | - | - | |

| | | | | 1 | ı | |
|---|-------------------|-----|-----|---|---|----------------|
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 3 | Bridge with only | No | No | | | |
| | abutments, if | | | | | |
| | any, located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 4 | Foot bridge with | No | No | - | - | |
| | only abutments | | | | | |
| | on two banks, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | area/ within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. from the | | | | | |
| | ends of mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 5 | Green patch | No | No | - | - | |
| | (Cropland / trees | | | | | |
| | /orchard etc. if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within mining | | | | | |
| | block/adjacent to | | | | | |
| | mining block or | | | | | |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | |
| | from the mining | | | | | |
| | block. | | | | | |
| 6 | Structures of any | No | No | - | - | |
| | kind (buildings | | | | | |
| | /Flood control/ | | | | | |
| | protection works/ | | | | | |
| | electric | | | | | |
| | installations, if | | | | | |
| | any located | | | | | |
| | within/adjacent/ | | | | | |
| | in close vicinity | | | | | |
| | to mining block | | | | | |
| | or within 500 | | | | | |
| | mts. of the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 7 | Irrigation canal | Yes | Yes | - | - | Multidate |
| | headworks/ khul | | | | | images of |
| | inlets, if any | | | | | 09/2021 and |
| | located within | | | | | in previous |
| | mining block or | | | | | images |
| | within 500 mts. | | | | | revealed the |
| | of the mining | | | | | irrigation |
| | block. | | | | | structure or |
| | | | | | | water channel |
| | | | | | | resembles like |
| | | | | | | a khul. |
| | | 1 | ı | | 1 | |

| 8 | Active water channels, if any | Yes | Yes | - | - | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|-----|---|---|--|
| | crossing the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 9 | National | No | No | - | - | |
| | Park/Wildlife | | | | | |
| | Sanctuary/ESZ, | | | | | |
| | if any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining block | | | | | |
| | and distance | | | | | |
| | thereof from the | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 10 | Forest land, if | No | No | - | - | |
| | any located in | | | | | |
| | close vicinity of | | | | | |
| | the mining block. | | | | | |
| | If yes, the | | | | | |
| | distance thereof | | | | | |
| | from the ends of | | | | | |
| | mining block. | | | | | |
| 12 | Any other | | | - | - | |
| | relevant feature | | | | | |
| | of interest or | | | | | |
| | condition which | | | | | |
| | is critical to grant | | | | | |
| | of ToRs/EC to | | | | | |
| | the proposed | | | | | |
| | project | | | | | |

DELIBERATIONS/SPECIFIC OBSERVATION(S) OF COMMITTEE, IF ANY:

- a) The project had earlier been discussed during 28th meeting held on to 10/03/2021 and 59th meeting held on 04/09/2021 during which the Committee recommended the case for rejection of Environmental Clearance and desired the project proponent:
 - i. To obtain a site-specific NOC from Irrigation Dept to the effect that the mining activity in proposed mining block shall not adversely affect the irrigation channel existing if any.
 - ii. To obtain title verification of the mining block from Revenue Dept. in view of the apprehensions expressed in NOC of the Irrigation Dept.
 - iii. To obtain pending NOCs from other stake holder departments.
- b) The PP has now again approached the JKEIA for considering the case after getting the area of the mining block resized to 1.57 ha from its original size of 3.81 ha vide letter dated 02/12/2021 from the G&M Dept. The committee observed that neither the PP nor the G&M Dept. has specified the reasons for resizing the mining block.
- c) The PP has also submitted the revised NOC from irrigation department kathua vide letter dated 27/10/2021 mentioning that outfall of a kuhl / channel originating from left bank of river Ujh (managed by local farmers themselves) is falling on the right bank of Jothana Khad and the farmers on the left bank of the Jothana Khad use the water of this outfall by constructing a channel across the Jothana khad which passes through the proposed Minor mineral Block No. 24 and that the Irrigation Dept. would have no objection if the water supply of the farmers is not interrupted and the Kuhls/supply channel are not affected by quarrying/ excavation etc. The committee observed that the concerned Xen has clearly indicated that the supply channel of the kuhl passes through the proposed mining block and it was opined that mining activity shall have adverse impact on the availability of water for irrigation, if mining activity is allowed in

- the entire mining block. However, the consultant pleaded that if mining is allowed upstream and downstream of the channel keeping a safe buffer of 100m, it would not have any adverse impact on the transmission of irrigation water and that allowing mining activity in such manner would not be against the guidelines on sand mining.
- d) The Committee, however, considered the plea of the consultant partially and recommended that the project proponent may be allowed to mine upstream part of the mining block only comprising of one Ha only, keeping a safe buffer of 100m from the channel and further desired that he would be fully accountable for any adverse fallout of mining activity in the specified area. Further, he would take all mitigation measures to ensure satisfactory transmission of irrigation water across the nalla/khad.
- e) The PP has also submitted a NOC from concerned Sarpanch mentioning that Gram Panchayat or any other villagers have no objection to the proposed mining operation in the above mentioned block.
- f) The PP has submitted the Title verification from Tehsildar kathua mentioning the mining block as state land.
- g) Illegal mining activity detected in the mining block as per features on Google image of June., 2020 which needs clarification from competent authority and to fix responsibility thereof and to report whether the PP is involved in it, **prior to grant of EC**. In case the PP is reported to have been involved, the process of EC shall be aborted.
- h) Mining depth of 2.5 m & bulk density of 2.25 adopted in the instant mining plan were not agreed by the committee. Mining depth be restricted to max. 1m and bulk density to 2 in absence of actual field measurements and non availability of replenishment data.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE

In view of the above deliberations / observations made by the Committee herein above, the Expert Appraisal Committee recommended the project for grant of EC subject to: -

- a) Standard and Specific Conditions as per Annexure B to these Minutes of Meeting.
- b) Submission of compliance to the observations made herein above under deliberations, prior to grant of EC.
- c) Revision of the mining plan with mine closure plan, revised mining depth, surface plan, targeted mineral production and replenishment and its *denovo* approval by the competent authority prior to grant of EC.
- d) Maximum targeted mineral production of 20000 MT.
- e) Compliance of ToR condition relating to submission of photographs of display screen of the air quality monitoring station showing data with dates of collection of the baseline data in the updated EIA report, **prior to grant of formal EC.**
- f) Strict adherence to the terms and conditions of the NOCs of the stockholder departments which needs to be monitored by the enforcement agencies and concerned department.
- g) No JCBs/ Excavators/ Bulldozers to be used in mining and no water course to be disturbed while transporting minor minerals.
- **h**) Condition the revised geographical coordinates as per revised area conveyed by G&M Dept. are mentioned in the EC letter by JKEIAA **prior to grant of EC.**
- i) Validity of only three years from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA and JKPCB in view of non-availability of replenishment data

OBSERVATIONS ABOUT CONDUCT OF CONSULTANTS

(Excellent/Good /Average/Poor)

(SCALE: 9 to 10= Excellent / 7-8=Good /5-6=Average / 4-5= below average / less than 3= Poor)

| S. | Component | M/S Gaurang | M/S P and M |
|-----|--|--------------|------------------|
| No. | | Environment | Solutions, Noida |
| | | al Solutions | |
| | | Pvt. Ltd. | |
| | | | |
| 1 | Submission of the documents | 6 | 6 |
| 2 | Site Appreciation Note, kml /kmz file, site photo and site | 5 | 5 |
| | videos and PowerPoint presentation | | |
| 3 | Presentation of factual details of case(s) | 5 | 5 |
| 4 | Field knowledge of the case(s) | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | Formulation of PFR, EMP, EIA, CER etc. | 6 | 6 |
| | Overall professional conduct during proceedings | 5.0 | 5.4 |

Note: The recommendations made herein above are subject to grant of extension to the Letter of Intents of the pertinent cases by the Competent Authority and in case extension to LoI to any of the above cited cases is not granted, the recommendations thereof made herein above shall automatically stand withdrawn.

The minutes were formulated online by the JK Level Expert Appraisal Committee (JKEAC) immediately after the deliberations and are hereby issued with approval of the esteemed Chairman, JKEAC.

(Humayun Rashid) SECRETARY JKUT level Expert Appraisal Committee

NO:EAC/JK/22/12478-12490

Dated:01-02-2022

Copy by email to:

- 1. The Member Secretary, J&K Environment Impact Assessment Authority (JKEIAA), /PCCF/Director, Ecology, Environment and Remote Sensing, J&K Govt., Jammu for favour kind information.
- 2. Sh. S. C. Sharma, Chairman, J&K Expert Appraisal Committee, (JKEAC) 331 Shastri Nagar, Jammu-180004 for favour of kind information.
- 3. Sh. M.A Tak, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 124 Mominabad (Near Jakfed), Anantnag Kashmir,-192101 for favour of kind information.
- 4. Sh. BrajBhushan Sharma, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 278/2 Channi Himmat, Jammu for favour of kind information.
- 5. Professor Shakeel Ahmad Romshoo, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal Comittee, (JKEAC) Department of Earth Sciences Kashmir University Srinagar-190006 for favour of kind information and necessary action please.
- 6. Sh. Abdul Rashid Makroo, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) H/No. 9 Lane No 11 Sector C, Gulshan Nagar Nowgam Bypass, Srinagar-190019 for favour of kind information please.
- 7. Professor ArvindJasrotia Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) 33/D Sainik Colony Jammu-180011 for favour of kind information please.
- 8. Dr.Ghulam Mohammad Dar, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Main Campus IMPA&RD, M.A Road, Srinagar-190001 for favour of kind information please.
- 9. Sh. IrfanYasin, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Bagh-e-Hyderpora, Bypass, Srinagar for favour of kind information please.

- 10. Professor Anil Kumar Raina, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Department of Environmental Science University of Jammu, Jammu-180006 for favour of kind information please.
- 11. Professor M. A. Khan, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Khan House, A-27 Milatabad, Peerbagh "B" Srinagar for favour of kind information please.
- 12. Dr.Falendra Kumar Sudan, Member, J&K Expert Appraisal COMMITTEE, (JKEAC) Professor Department of Economics University of Jammu, Jammu for favour of kind information please.
- 13. Sh. Sheikh Sajid, PA for information and with direction to upload the minutes on the environmental clearance portal at <u>parivesh.nic.in</u>.
- 14. Concerned File.

Annexure-A

GENERAL TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR PREPARATION OF EIA/EMP WITH RESPECT TO RIVER BED MINING PROJECT

STANDARD TERMS OF REFERENCE

- 1) Year-wise production details should be given, clearly stating the highest production achieved in any one year.
- 2) A copy of the document in support of the fact that the Proponent is the rightful lessee of the mine should be given.
- 3) All documents including approved mine plan, EIA and Public Hearing should be compatible with one another in terms of the mine lease area, production levels, waste generation and its management, mining technology etc. and should be in the name of the lessee.
- 4) All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High-Resolution Imagery/ toposheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology and geology of the areas should be provided. Such an Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).
- 5) Information should be provided on high resolution satellite image on with geological map of the area, geomorphology of land-forms of the area, existing minerals and mining history of the area, important water bodies, streams and rivers and soil characteristics.
- 6) Details about the land proposed for mining activities should be given with information as to whether mining conforms to the land use policy of the State; land diversion for mining should have approval from State land use board or the concerned authority.
- 7) It should be clearly stated whether the proponent Company has a well laid down Environment Policy approved by its Board of Directors? If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA Report with description of the prescribed operating process/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/deviation/ violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions? The hierarchical system or administrative order of the Company to deal with the environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the EC conditions may also be given. The system of reporting of non-compliances / violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the Company and/or shareholders or stakeholders at large may also be detailed in the EIA Report.
- 8) Issues relating to Mine Safety, including subsidence study in case of underground mining and slope study in case of open cast mining, blasting study etc. should be detailed. The proposed safeguard measures in each case should also be provided.

- 9) The study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the mine lease from lease periphery and the data contained in the EIA such as waste generation etc. should be for the life of the mine / lease period.
- 10) Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- 11) Details of the land for any Over Burden Dumps outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be given.
- 12) A Certificate from the Competent Authority in the State Forest Department should be provided, confirming the involvement of forest land, if any, in the project area. In the event of any contrary claim by the Project Proponent regarding the status of forests, the site may be inspected by the State Forest Department along with the Regional Office of the Ministry to ascertain the status of forests, based on which, the Certificate in this regard as mentioned above be issued. In all such cases, it would be desirable for representative of the State Forest Department to assist the Expert Appraisal Committee's.
- 13) Status of forestry clearance for the broken-up area and virgin forestland involved in the Project including deposition of net present value (NPV) and compensatory afforestation (CA) should be indicated. A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished.
- 14) Implementation status of recognition of forest rights under the Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 should be indicated.
- 15) The vegetation in the RF / PF areas in the study area, with necessary details, should be given.
- 16) A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the Mining Project on wildlife of the study area and details furnished. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other protected area and accordingly, detailed mitigative measures required, should be worked out with cost implications and submitted.
- 17) Location of National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Wildlife Corridors, Ramsar site Tiger/ Elephant Reserves/(existing as well as proposed), if any, within 10 km of the mine lease should be clearly indicated, supported by a location map duly authenticated by Chief Wildlife Warden. Necessary clearance, as may be applicable to such projects due to proximity of the ecologically sensitive areas as mentioned above, should be obtained from the Standing COMMITTEE of National Board of Wildlife and copy furnished.
- 18) A detailed biological study of the study area [core zone and buffer zone (10 km radius of the periphery of the mine lease)] shall be carried out. Details of flora and fauna, endangered, endemic and RET Species duly authenticated, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished based on such primary field survey, clearly indicating the Schedule of the fauna

present. In case of any scheduled-I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan alongwith budgetary provisions for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.

- 19) Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the SPCB or State Mining Department should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.
- 20) R&R Plan/compensation details for the Project Affected People (PAP) should be furnished. While preparing the R&R Plan, the relevant State/National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs /STs and other weaker sections of the society in the study area, a need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirements, and action programmes prepared and submitted accordingly, integrating the sectoral programmes of line departments of the State Government. It may be clearly brought out whether the village(s) located in the mine lease area will be shifted or not. The issues relating to shifting of village(s) including their R&R and socio-economic aspects should be discussed in the Report.
- 21) One season (non-monsoon) [i.e. March-May (Summer Season); October-December (post monsoon season); December-February (winter season)]primary baseline data on ambient air quality as per CPCB Notification of 2009, water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna shall be collected and the AAQ and other data so compiled presented date-wise in the EIA and EMP Report. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre-dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the mine lease in the pre-dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given.
- 22) Air quality modelling should be carried out for prediction of impact of the project on the air quality of the area. It should also take into account the impact of movement of vehicles for transportation of mineral. The details of the model used and input parameters used for modelling should be provided. The air quality contours may be shown on a location map clearly indicating the location of the site, location of sensitive receptors, if any, and the habitation. The wind roses showing pre-dominant wind direction may also be indicated on the map.
- 23) The water requirement for the Project, its availability and source should be furnished. A detailed water balance should also be provided. Fresh water requirement for the Project should be indicated.
- 24) Necessary clearance from the Competent Authority for drawl of requisite quantity of water for the Project should be provided.
- 25) Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.

- 26) Impact of the Project on the water quality, both surface and groundwater, should be assessed and necessary safeguard measures, if any required, should be provided.
- 27) Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided. In case the working will intersect groundwater table, a detailed Hydro Geological Study should be undertaken and Report furnished. The Report inter-alia, shall include details of the aquifers present and impact of mining activities on these aquifers. Necessary permission from Central Ground Water Authority for working below ground water and for pumping of ground water should also be obtained and copy furnished.
- 28) Details of any stream, seasonal or otherwise, passing through the lease area and modification / diversion proposed, if any, and the impact of the same on the hydrology should be brought out.
- 29) Information on site elevation, working depth, groundwater table etc. Should be provided both in AMSL and bgl. A schematic diagram may also be provided for the same.
- 30) A time bound Progressive Greenbelt Development Plan shall be prepared in a tabular form (indicating the linear and quantitative coverage, plant species and time frame) and submitted, keeping in mind, the same will have to be executed up front on commencement of the Project. Phase-wise plan of plantation and compensatory afforestation should be charted clearly indicating the area to be covered under plantation and the species to be planted. The details of plantation already done should be given. The plant species selected for green belt should have greater ecological value and should be of good utility value to the local population with emphasis on local and native species and the species which are tolerant to pollution.
- 31) Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated. Projected increase in truck traffic as a result of the Project in the present road network (including those outside the Project area) should be worked out, indicating whether it is capable of handling the incremental load. Arrangement for improving the infrastructure, if contemplated (including action to be taken by other agencies such as State Government) should be covered. Project Proponent shall conduct Impact of Transportation study as per Indian Road Congress Guidelines.
- 32) Details of the onsite shelter and facilities to be provided to the mine workers should be included in the EIA Report.
- 33) Conceptual post mining land use and Reclamation and Restoration of mined out areas (with plans and with adequate number of sections) should be given in the EIA report.
- 34) Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.

- 35) Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 36) Measures of socio-economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.
- 37) Detailed environmental management plan (EMP) to mitigate the environmental impacts which, should inter-alia include the impacts of change of land use, loss of agricultural and grazing land, if any, occupational health impacts besides other impacts specific to the proposed Project.
- 38) Public Hearing points raised and commitment of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project.
- 39) Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 40) The cost of the Project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should be clearly spelt out.
- 41) A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report.
- 42) Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.
- 43) Besides the above, the below mentioned general points are also to be followed:
 - a) Executive Summary of the EIA/EMP Report
 - b) All documents to be properly referenced with index and continuous page numbering.
 - c) Where data are presented in the Report especially in Tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
 - d) Project Proponent shall enclose all the analysis/testing reports of water, air, soil, noise etc. using the MoEF & CC/NABL accredited laboratories. All the original analysis/testing reports should be available during appraisal of the Project.
 - e) Where the documents provided are in a language other than English, an English translation should be provided.
 - f) The Questionnaire for environmental appraisal of mining projects as devised earlier by the Ministry shall also be filled and submitted.
 - g) While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the Proponents and instructions for the Consultants issued by MoEF&CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I)

dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry, should be followed.

- h) Changes, if any made in the basic scope and project parameters (as submitted in Form-I and the PFR for securing the TOR) should be brought to the attention of MoEF&CC with reasons for such changes and permission should be sought, as the TOR may also have to be altered. Post Public Hearing changes in structure and content of the draft EIA/EMP (other than modifications arising out of the P.H. process) will entail conducting the PH again with the revised documentation.
- i) As per the circular no. J-11011/618/2010-IA.II(I) dated 30.5.2012, certified report of the status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the environment clearance for the existing operations of the project, should be obtained from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, as may be applicable.
- j) The EIA report should also include (i) surface plan of the area indicating contours of main topographic features, drainage and mining area measurements, (ii) geological maps and sections and (iii) sections of the mine pit and external dumps, if any, clearly showing the land features of the adjoining area.

SPECIFIC TERMS OF REFERENCE

- 1. To submit a detailed site appreciation note/Executive Summary supported with a video and photographs, describing in detail the physical features of the mining site and structures viz. bridges, canal headworks, Zamindari Khuls if any existing within a reach of 500mts. from the downstream and the upstream ends of the mining block. The appreciation note will also describe the environmental settings i.e. agricultural lands if any located on the two banks, green patches in close vicinity of the mining block. The site appreciation note shall form an integral part of the EIA report as per decision of JKEIAA taken in its 38th Meeting.
- 2. The mining shall be restricted to 3/4th of the width of the river/riverlet or 7.5 m(inward) from river bank but upto 10% of the width of the river. While calculating targeted mineral production and while formulating the mining plan, the project proponent shall ensure that the area for removal of minerals shall not exceed 60% of the mine lease area, and any Page32 deviation or relaxation in this regard shall be adequately supported by the scientific report in accordance with Sand Mining Monitoring & Enforcement Guidelines of 2020.
- 3. Before conducting Public Hearing, the G&M Dept. shall temporarily mark the boundaries of the mining block in consultation with the concerned Revenue Authority and the JKPCB so that locals know during the public Hearing where the mining block is located on ground as per decision of JKEIAA taken in its 38th Meeting.
- 4. A comprehensive chapter be included in the EIA report on Cost Benefit Analysis of the mining activity in the mining block underlining the environmental and social costs.

- 5. Impact of mining activity on adjacent agricultural land with particular reference to run off, soil erosion and top-soil loss due to change in topography.
- 6. Details of Gradient of riverbed and 3-D view draped on the satellite image
- 7. Details of excavation schedule & sequential mining plan with a maximum mine depth of 1 mtr.
- 8. Details of transportation of mined out materials with respect to axle load specified for the road as per the Indian Road congress for both the ways (loaded as well as unloaded trucks) load and its impact on the environment.
- 9. Impact on mining activity on the existing land use in the study area.
- 10. Impact of mining on aquatic life.
- 11. NOCs from HoD/competent authority of Irrigation and Flood Control Dept. and Fisheries Dept. OR as specifically asked for in minutes of meeting should be obtained and submitted while applying for EC.
- 12. The quantification of river bed material be based on excavation only upto a maximum depth of 1 m in the riverbed or 1 m above water table whichever comes first to safeguard ecological conditions in view of non-availability of replenishment data in DSR.
- 13. A digitalised surface plan showing coordinates, physical measurements, river gradient and inter-cross sections at different intervals should be a mandatory part of mining plan
- 14. Specific measures to be undertaken to mitigate the impact of mining activity on the habitat and migration of fish in the river/stream and concurrence thereof from the Fisheries Department.
- 15. The Photography and videography of the mining block shall be part of the Terms of Reference. 16. The maps shall be submitted on a scale of 1: 3000 and 1: 1500 within 10 kms. Radius
- 17. The shortest extraction route leading to the main road but with minimum interference with human settlements should be identified and described in detail. This along with the map and its KML file be part of an exclusive chapter in the EMP with adequate budget.
- 18. Dust suppression measures should be prescribed in the EIA/EMP with adequate budget
- 19. Post project monitoring plan should be included in the study.
- 20. Occupational health impacts should be assessed and plan for implementation of COVID 19 SOPs in the mining activity should be detailed.
- 21. The Consultant while presenting field data in the EIA report, should ensure that the site specific date-wise datasheets duly attested by the local panchayat head with his name,

signatures and stamp and attested by District Mineral Officer with seal and signature are included in the EIA report.

- 22. The data displayed on air quality monitoring stations should be captured with digital camera displaying the dates on the photograph so captured and same should be submitted in support of the date-wise data sheets. These digital photographs should be submitted in soft as well as appended with the EIA report.
- 23. The impact of mining activity on the neighbouring villages needs to be studied and extraction road need to be such that it has least crossing through village settlements.
- 24. Mining shall be proposed manually minimally supported by semi-mechanized methods excluding heavy machinery like JBC or L&T hydraulic excavators etc.
- 25. While formulating the EIA/EMP documents, the mining guidelines of 2016 and 2020 issued by the MoEF&CC shall be strictly followed.
- 26. The prescribed TORs would be valid for a period of four years for submission of the EIA/EMP reports, as per the S.O. No. 751(E) dated 17th of Feb., 2020.

ANNEXURE-B

STANDARD AND SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR GRANT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE WITH RESPECT TO RIVER BED MINING PROJECTS ON LEASE BASIS

STANDARD CONDITIONS:-

Statutory compliance

- 1) This Environmental Clearance (EC) is subject to orders/judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of J&K, Hon'ble NGT and any other Court of competent jurisdiction, as may be applicable.
- 2) The Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 2nd August,2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in matter of Common Cause versus Union of India & Ors before commencing the mining operations.
- 3) The UT Government of J&K shall ensure that mining operation shall not be commenced till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 2nd August, 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in matter of Common Cause versus Union of India &Ors.
- 4) This Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal NBWL Clearance from MoEF&CC subsequent to the recommendations of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife, if applicable to the Project.
- 5) This Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal Forest Clearance (FC) under the provision of Forest Conservation Act, 1980, if applicable to the Project.
- 6) Project Proponent (PP) shall obtain Consent to Operate after grant of EC and effectively implement all the conditions stipulated therein. The mining activity shall not commence prior to obtaining Consent to Establish / Consent to Operate from the concerned State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE.
- 7) The PP shall adhere to the provision of the Mines Act, 1952, Mines and Mineral (Development & Regulation), Act, 2015 and rules & regulations made there under. PP shall adhere to various circulars issued by Directorate General Mines Safety (DGMS) and Indian Bureau of Mines from time to time.
- 8) The Project Proponent shall obtain consents from all the concerned land owners, before start of mining operations, as per the provisions of MMDR Act, 1957 and rules made there under in respect of lands which are not owned by it.
- 9) The Project Proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCC's Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IAJI (M), dated 29th October, 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-Issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
- 10) A copy of EC letter will be marked to concerned Panchayat / local NGO etc. if any, from whom suggestion / representation has been received while processing the proposal.

- 11) State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE shall be responsible for display of this EC letter at its Regional office, District Industries Centre and Collector's office/ Tehsildar's Office for 30 days.
- 12) The Project Authorities should widely advertise about the grant of this EC letter by printing the same in at least two local newspapers, one of which shall be in vernacular language of the concerned area.
- 13) The advertisement shall be done within 7 days of the issue of the clearance letter mentioning that the instant project has been accorded EC and copy of the EC letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board/COMMITTEE and web site of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (www.environmentclearance.nic.in). A copy of the advertisement may be forwarded to the concerned MoEFCC Regional Office for compliance and record.
- 14) The Project Proponent shall inform the MoEF&CC for any change in ownership of the mining lease. In case there is any change in ownership or mining lease is transferred than mining operation shall only be carried out after transfer of EC as per provisions of the para 11 of EIA Notification, 2006 as amended from time to time.

Air quality monitoring and preservation

- 15) The PP shall generate data on air quality parameters PM10, PM2.5, NO2; CO and SO2 etc. as covered in the EIA Report covering the aspects of transportation, extraction, etc in the impact zone as per the site condition to ascertain the exposure characteristics at specific places and submit the same along with 6-monthly compliance report to concerned IRO. The data be also displayed prominently at the site.
- 16) Effective safeguard measures for prevention of dust generation and subsequent suppression by way of regular mechanical water sprinkling& other measures shall be carried out in areas prone to dust pollution including haulage route.

Water quality monitoring and preservation

- 17) In case, immediate mining scheme envisages intersection of ground water table, then Environmental Clearance shall become operational only after receiving formal clearance from CGWA. In case, mining operation involves intersection of ground water table at a later stage, then PP shall ensure that prior approval from CGWA and MoEFCC is in place before such mining operations. The permission for intersection of ground water table shall essentially be based on detailed hydro-geological study of the area.
- 18) Regular monitoring of springs in and around the mine lease shall be carried out and recorded maintained. Natural water bodies and or streams which are flowing in and around the project area should not be disturbed. The PP in consultation with concerned department shall regularly monitor the groundwater table in open dug well located in the nearby villages to ascertain the impact of mining over ground water table. PP shall submit Report on changes in Ground water level and quality shall be submitted on sixmonthly basis to Regional Office of the Ministry, MoEF&CC, CGWA and State Groundwater Department / State Pollution Control Board.
- 19) The Project Proponent shall undertake regular monitoring of natural water course/water resources/springs and perennial nallahs existing/flowing in and around the mine lease and maintain its records. The Project Proponent shall undertake regular monitoring of water quality upstream and downstream of water bodies passing within and nearby/adjacent to the mine lease and maintain its records. Sufficient number of gullies shall be provided at appropriate places within the lease for management of water. PP shall carryout regular monitoring w.r.t. pH and included the same in

monitoring plan. The parameters to be monitored shall include their water quality visà-vis suitability for usage as per CPCB criteria and flow rate. It shall be ensured that no obstruction and/ or alteration be made to water bodies during mining operations without justification and prior approval of MoEFCC. The monitoring of water courses/bodies existing in lease area shall be carried out four times in a year viz. pre- monsoon (April-May), monsoon (August), post-monsoon (November) and winter (January) and the record of monitored data may be sent regularly to Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and its Regional Office, Central Ground Water Authority and Regional Director, Central Ground Water Board, State Pollution Control Board and Central Pollution Control Board. Clearly showing the trend analysis on six-monthly basis.

Noise and vibration monitoring and prevention

- 20) The peak particle velocity at 500m distance or within the nearest habitation, whichever is closer shall be monitored periodically as per applicable DGMS guidelines.
- 21) The illumination and sound at night at project sites disturb the villages in respect of both human and animal population. Consequent sleeping disorders and stress may affect the health in the villages located close to mining operations. Habitations have a right for darkness and minimal noise levels at night. PPs must ensure that the biological clock of the villages is not disturbed; by orienting the floodlights/ masks away from the villagers and keeping the noise levels well within the prescribed limits for day /night hours.
- 22) The Project Proponent shall take measures for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment. The workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs /muffs. All personnel including laborers working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices along with adequate training, awareness and information on safety and health aspects. The PP shall be held responsible in case it has been found that workers/ personals/ laborers are working without personal protective equipment.

Mining plan

- 23) The Project Proponent shall adhere to the working parameters of mining plan which was submitted at the time of EC appraisal wherein year-wise plan was mentioned for total excavation subject to changes recommended in the specific conditions herein below. No change in basic mining proposal like mining technology, total excavation, mineral & waste production, lease area and scope of working (viz. method of mining, overburden & dump management, O.B & dump mining, mineral transportation mode, ultimate depth of mining etc.) shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, which entail adverse environmental impacts, even if it is a part of approved mining plan modified after grant of EC or granted by State Govt. in the form to Short Term Permit (STP), Query license or any other name.
- 24) The Project Proponent shall get the Final Mine Closure Plan along with Financial Assurance approved from Indian Bureau of Mines/Department of Mining & Geology as required under the Provision of the MMDR Act, 1957 and Rules/ Guidelines made there under. A copy of approved final mine closure plan shall be submitted within 2 months of the approval of the same from the competent authority to the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for record and verification.

25) The land-use of the mine lease area at various stages of mining scheme as well as at the end-of-life shall be governed as per the approved Mining Plan. The excavation vis-à-vis backfilling in the mine lease area and corresponding afforestation to be raised in the reclaimed area shall be governed as per approved mining plan. PP shall ensure the monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas until the vegetation becomes selfsustaining. The compliance status shall be submitted half-yearly to the MoEFCC and its concerned Regional Office.

Transportation

- 26) No Transportation of the minerals shall be allowed in case of roads passing through villages/ habitations. (In such cases, PP shall construct a 'bypass' road for the purpose of transportation of the minerals leaving an adequate gap (say at least 200 meters) so that the adverse impact of sound and dust along with chances of accidents could be mitigated.) All costs resulting from widening and strengthening of existing public road network shall be borne by the PP in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department. Transportation of minerals through road movement in case of existing village/ rural roads shall be allowed in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department only after required strengthening such that the carrying capacity of roads is increased to handle the traffic load. The pollution due to transportation load on the environment will be effectively controlled and water sprinkling will also be done regularly. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Project should obtain Pollution Under Control (PUC) certificate for all the vehicles from authorized pollution testing centers.
- 27) The Main haulage road within the mine lease should be provided with a permanent water sprinkling arrangement for dust suppression. Other roads within the mine lease should be wetted regularly with tanker-mounted water sprinkling system. The other areas of dust generation like crushing zone, material transfer points, material yards etc. should invariably be provided with dust suppression arrangements. The air pollution control equipment's like bag filters, vacuum suction hoods, dry fogging system etc. shall be installed at Crushers, belt-conveyors and other areas prone to air pollution. The belt conveyor should be fully covered to avoid generation of dust while transportation. PP shall take necessary measures to avoid generation of fugitive dust emissions.

Green Belt

- 28) The river bed mining projects involve extraction of sand, gravel and Bajri from dry river/ Nallah Bed and plantation in the 7.5 m buffer of the mine lease will mean plantation in between the river/nallah bed which will obstruct the natural flow of the river/nallah and might also lead to change is natural habitat of aquatic fauna. In lieu of the plantation on river bed the proponents will carry out plantation in the adjacent villages after due consultations with the PR/locals and the concerned DFO. Besides, the PP shall adhere to the conditions for green belt development as per ESR/CER/EMP strictly.
- 29) The Project Proponent shall carryout plantation/ afforestation in backfilled and reclaimed area of mining lease, around water body, along the roadsides, in community areas etc. by planting the native species in consultation with the State Forest Department/ Agriculture Department/ Rural development department/ Tribal Welfare

- Department/ Gram Panchayat such that only those species be selected which are of use to the local people. The CPCB guidelines in this respect shall also be adhered. The density of the trees should be around 2500 saplings per Hectare. Adequate budgetary provision shall be made for protection and care of trees.
- 30) The Project Proponent shall make necessary alternative arrangements for livestock feed by developing grazing land with a view to compensate those areas which are coming within the mine lease. The development of such grazing land shall be done in consultation with the State Government. In this regard, Project Proponent should essentially implement the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court with regard to acquisition of grazing land. The sparse trees on such grazing ground, which provide mid-day shelter from the scorching sun, should be scrupulously guarded/ protected against felling and plantation of such trees should be promoted.
- 31) The Project Proponent shall undertake all precautionary measures for conservation and protection of endangered flora and fauna and Schedule-I species during mining operation. A Wildlife Conservation Plan shall be prepared for the same clearly delineating action to be taken for conservation of flora and fauna. The Plan shall be approved by Chief Wild Life Warden of the State Govt.
- 32) And implemented in consultation with the State Forest and Wildlife Department. A copy of Wildlife Conservation Plan and its implementation status (annual) shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the Ministry.

Public hearing and human health issues

- 33) The Project Proponent shall appoint an Occupational Health Specialist for Regular as well as Periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the mining activities, as per the DGMS guidelines. The records shall be maintain properly. PP shall also carryout Occupational health check-ups in respect of workers which are having ailments like BP, diabetes, habitual smoking, etc. The check-ups shall be undertaken once in six months and necessary remedial/ preventive measures be taken. A status report on the same may be sent to MoEFCC Regional Office and DGMS on half-yearly basis.
- 34) The Project Proponent must demonstrate commitment to work towards 'Zero Harm' from their mining activities and carry out Health Risk Assessment (HRA) for identification workplace hazards and assess their potential risks to health and determine appropriate control measures to protect the health and wellbeing of workers and nearby community. The proponent shall maintain accurate and systematic records of the HRA. The HRA for neighbourhood has to focus on Public Health Problems like Malaria, Tuberculosis, HIV, Anaemia, Diarrhoea in children under five, respiratory infections due to bio mass cooking. The proponent shall also create awareness and educate the nearby community and workers for Sanitation, Personal Hygiene, Hand washing, not to defecate in open, Women Health and Hygiene (Providing Sanitary Napkins), hazard of tobacco and alcohol use. The Proponent shall carryout base line HRA for all the category of workers and thereafter every five years.
- 35) The PP shall periodically monitor health of all the workers involved at mining site as per the regulations of Mines act.
- 36) Periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the project shall be carried out and records maintained. For this purpose, schedule of health examination of workers should be drawn and followed accordingly as per the regulations of Mines act. A First Aid Room shall be provided in the project both during construction and operations of the project.

- 37) The Project Proponent shall ensure that Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
- 38) Project Proponent shall make provision for the housing for workers/labors or shall construct labour camps within/outside (company owned land) with necessary basic infrastructure/ facilities like fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche for kids etc. The housing may be provided in the form of temporary structures which can be removed after the completion of the project related infrastructure. The domestic waste water should be treated with STP in order to avoid contamination of underground water.
- 39) The activities proposed in Action plan prepared for addressing the issues raised during the Public Hearing, if applicable, shall be completed as per the budgetary provisions mentioned in the Action Plan and within the stipulated time frame. The Status Report on implementation of Action Plan shall be submitted to the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry along with District Administration.

Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER)

- 40) The activities and budget earmarked for Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) as per Ministry's 0.M No 22-65/2017-IA. III dated 30/09/2020 or as proposed by EAC should be kept in a separate bank account. The activities proposed for CER shall be implemented in a time bound manner and annual report of implementation of the same along with documentary proof viz. photographs, purchase documents, latitude & longitude of infrastructure developed & road constructed needs to be submitted to Regional Office MoEF&CC annually along with audited statement.
- 41) Project Proponent shall keep the funds earmarked for environmental protection measures in a separate account and refrain from diverting the same for other purposes. The Year wise expenditure of such funds should be reported to the MoEFCC and its concerned Regional Office.

Miscellaneous

- 42) The Project Proponent shall prepare digital map (land use & land cover) of the entire lease area and KML file for recording the base line data and closure time data (after three years) and submit a report to concerned Regional Office of the MoEFCC.
- 43) The Project Authorities should inform to the Regional Office regarding date of financial closures and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities and the date of start of land development work.
- 44) The Project Proponent shall submit six monthly compliance reports on the status of the implementation of the stipulated environmental safeguards to the MOEFCC &its concerned Regional Office, Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Board.
- 45) A separate 'Environmental Management Cell' with suitable qualified manpower should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive. The Senior Executive shall directly report to Head of the Organization. Adequate number of qualified Environmental Scientists and Mining Engineers shall be appointed and submit a report to RO, MoEFCC.
- 46) The concerned Regional Office of the MoEFCC shall randomly monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the MoEFCC officer(s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS: -

| SPEC | CIFIC CONDITIONS: - |
|------|--|
| 1 | The Project Proponent must obtain NOCs from competent authority of all the |
| | concerned stake holder departments /Joint Inspection Report prior to grant of formal |
| | Environmental Clearance (EC). The EC shall be subject to strict compliance of |
| | conditions of the NOCs issued by the stake holder departments. |
| 2 | The cremation ground if any in the vicinity be properly demarcated and left undisturbed |
| | and its map showing geographical coordinates be submitted to JKEIAA before issuance |
| | of formal EC. Besides, map showing extraction route bypassing village settlements and |
| | |
| | agricultural/horticultural land as far as possible be submitted to the JKEIAA before |
| 2 | formal grant of EC. |
| 3 | The maximum exploitation of the RBM from the mining block shall be as per approved |
| | mining plan or as per revised mining plan to be approved by competent authority |
| | denovo within the prescribed area and depth recommended by the JK Expert Appraisal |
| | Committee, as the case may be and whichever is less. Mining depth mentioned above |
| | the recommended depth by JKEAC, if mentioned anywhere in any of the submitted |
| | documents whether by mistake or otherwise, shall be treated as omitted. |
| 4 | A green belt shall be developed under close supervision of the local panchayat. |
| 5 | The river bed material shall be sold to the local population within radial distance of 2 |
| | kms from the mine site for residential construction purpose at 50% concessional rates. |
| 6 | The formal lease shall be granted only after the Project Proponent deposits the funds |
| | earmarked under EMP / CER in a separate account to be opened for the purpose and |
| | funds be utilized in coordination with DFO, Social Forestry Dept. and concerned Chief |
| | Medical Officer (CMO)under supervision of local Panchayat Head. The funds |
| | earmarked for CSR shall also be utilized in accordance with CSR Policy Rules. |
| 7 | All the provisions of Minor Mineral Rules sanctioned under SRO105 with all |
| | amendments with respect to pertinent provisions of the legal framework relating to |
| | progressive mine closure shall be complied by the Project Proponent. |
| 8 | This Environmental Clearance is subject to final outcome of any litigation pending |
| | before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of Jammu & Kashmir, |
| | Hon'ble NGT, or any other Court of Law, if any, as may be applicable to this project. |
| 9 | This Environmental Clearance is without prejudice to the standing instructions /orders |
| | from the competent authorities in the MoEF&CC, GoI and the UT of J&K w.r.t river |
| | bed mining activity. |
| 10 | An inspection Committee comprising of Additional Dy. Commissioner, District |
| 10 | Mineral Officer, representative of Pollution Control Board, Executive Engineer |
| | Irrigation & Flood Control Dept., Executive Engineer, PHE(Jalshakti) Dept. and |
| | District Fisheries Officer shall monitor the implementation of the EMP and also certify |
| | at the end of each monsoon, that sufficient replenishment of the minor mineral has |
| | taken place during monsoon and further mining in the mining block shall not adversely |
| | affect the hydrological and ecological settings in the area. |
| 11 | |
| 11 | The Project Proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operations |
| 12 | for conservation and protection of endangered fauna and flora in the area. |
| 12 | Masks and PPE shall be provided to the workers in view of COVID-19. |
| 13 | Social distancing norms and other standard operating procedures relating to COVID- |
| | 19 shall be followed during mining activity. |
| 14 | The plan worked out and expenditure made under Occupational Health should be |
| | undertaken in consultation with the Local Health Institution/PHC/Sub-Centre for |
| | utilization for the health and welfare of the local workers involved in mining activity |

| | and villagers living in the close vicinity. Regular Medical checkups and free medicines shall be provided under the fund. |
|-----|---|
| 15 | The Project Proponent must ensure that the biological clock of the villagers in the |
| | vicinity of the project is not disturbed by any unauthorized night operations and all |
| | measures must be taken for keeping the noise levels within prescribed levels. |
| 16 | Provision shall be kept for housing of the labour force, toilets, facilities for cooking, |
| 10 | safe water, health care, crèche etc. for the labour force. |
| 17 | |
| 17 | A final Mine closure Plan along with details of Corpus fund shall be communicated to |
| 1.0 | the MoEF&CC in advance for approval. |
| 18 | The top soil in case of surface land mining shall be temporarily stored at the designated |
| | / appropriate site and concurrently used for land reclamation. |
| 19 | The mining operations shall be undertaken in a systematic manner so that it does not |
| 17 | damage the aesthetic view of the area. |
| 20 | Adequate steps should be taken to check soil erosion and engineering structures shall |
| 20 | be raised wherever required. The mining shall be restricted to 3/4 th of the width of the |
| | |
| | river/riverlet or 7.5 m(inward) from river bank but upto 10% of the width of the river. |
| | While calculating targeted mineral production and while formulating the mining plan, |
| | the project proponent shall ensure that the area for removal of minerals shall not exceed |
| | 60% of the mine lease area, and any deviation or relaxation in this regard shall be |
| | adequately supported by the scientific report in accordance with Sand Mining |
| | Monitoring & Enforcement Guidelines of 2020. |
| 21 | The compliance report shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC at |
| | Chandigarh with a copy to the Regulatory Authority. |
| 22 | The mining activity should in no case go beyond the area as mentioned in the Letter of |
| 22 | Intent or Environmental clearance letter, whichever is less and a buffer area is |
| | · · |
| 22 | maintained such that the slopes of adjoining area does not get disturbed. |
| 23 | All the provisions made and restrictions imposed as covered in the Minor Mineral |
| | Rules, shall be complied with, particularly regarding Environment Management |
| | Practices and its fund management. |
| 24 | The recommendations made in the District Survey Report on sustainable mining |
| | practices if any shall be complied. |
| 25 | The mining shall be done in bench form with maximum bench height within the mining |
| | depth as prescribed by the Expert Appraisal Committee |
| 26 | Mining should be initiated only after installation of signboards with demarcation pillars |
| | indicating the geo-coordinates of the plot as mentioned in the letter of intent, |
| | measurements (length/breadth) and should be laid in presence of the authorized official |
| | of the Geology & Mining Department. All vertices /corners of the mining block must |
| | be marked with concrete pillars of size 0.5mtx0.5mt x2.5mts (2.5 mts. is the depth |
| | below the NSL). The demarcation pillars site should mention the specific EC conditions |
| | and contact details of concerned authorities responsible for monitoring of compliance |
| | of EC conditions/district administration/Police at four corners of the mining block |
| | prominently on a large size durable display board for enabling the public to inform the |
| | authorities in case of violation of the EC conditions. The display board with details |
| | shall be maintained in perfect order throughout the period of lease/mining. |
| 27 | There should be no change in the method of excavation and quantity of minerals to be |
| 21 | extracted. |
| | Levisacien |

| 28 | The EC holder shall keep a correct account of quantity of mineral mined out, dispatched |
|----|---|
| | from the mine, mode of transport, registration number of vehicle, person in-charge of |
| | vehicle and mine plan. This should be produced before officers concerned for |
| | inspection. |
| 29 | The access to mining site should be controlled in a way that vehicles carrying mineral |
| | from that area are tracked and accounted for in accordance with standing guidelines |
| | issued by the Govt. |
| 30 | The Geology & Mining Department should use technology like Bar Coding, |
| | Information and Communications Technology (ICT), Web based and ICT enabled |
| | services, mobile SMS App etc. to account for weight of mineral being taken out of the |
| | plot and the number of trucks moving out with the mineral. |
| 31 | The PP should ensure no agriculture land in the area is used for any storage of sand or |
| | gets damaged due to any other transportation activity. Where ever, damages to |
| | agricultural land, assets or human or bovine life by way of plying of trollies and tippers |
| | etc. involved in transportation of minor mineral is reported, the Project Proponent shall |
| | pay adequate compensation with penalty to the effected persons as to be fixed up by |
| 32 | the competent authority. |
| 32 | Waste generated will be collected on regular basis and will be disposed as per the Municipal Solid Waste Management (Management & Handling) Rule 2000 and its |
| | subsequent amendments. |
| 33 | Spring sources should not be affected due to mining activities. Necessary Protection |
| | measures are to be incorporated. |
| 34 | The mineral transportation shall be carried out through covered trucks only and the |
| | vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded. |
| 35 | Overloading of trucks and trolleys will be avoided. |
| 36 | All the instructions from authorities representing various government departments |
| | having stakes shall be complied with during the mining operations. |
| 37 | Haul road will be kept wide, compact and water spraying will be done. It shall be |
| | properly maintain by the PP and restored to original position after mining. Axel load |
| | on the roads should not exceed the prescribed load as per IRC |
| 38 | Restricted working hours. Mining operation has to be carried out between 6 am to 7 |
| 20 | pm. During day light. Mining operations shall not be allowed at night. |
| 39 | No overhangs shall be allowed to be formed due to mining and mining shall not be |
| | allowed in area where subsidence of rocks is likely to occur due to steep angle of slope. |
| 40 | Mining activity shall not be avoided in rainy season Validate yeard for transportation of material are to be permitted only with fitness and |
| 40 | Vehicles used for transportation of material are to be permitted only with fitness and PUC Certificates. |
| 41 | There shall be no extraction of stone / boulder in landslide prone areas. |
| 42 | Adequate facility for drinking water and toilets should be provided for the workers. |
| 43 | There should be controlled clearance of overground vegetation to be undertaken. |
| 44 | Transport of mineral will not be done through villages / habitations and any private |
| | productive or waste land. |
| 45 | Deep drilled handpump with sufficient funding provision should be earmarked for |
| | providing drinking facility to the labour force. |
| 46 | Recommendations of National Institute for Labour for ensuring good occupational |
| | environment for mine workers would also be adopted. |
| 47 | The PP shall be responsible for enforcement of COVID-19 standard operating |
| | procedures and guidelines with respect to safety of workers involved in the mining |
| | activity. |

| 48 | Project Proponent shall ensure that the road may not be damaged due to transportation |
|----------|---|
| | of the mineral and transport of minerals will be as per IRC relevant guidelines if any in |
| 40 | this regard. |
| 49 | Health and safety of workers should be taken care of. They should also be provided |
| 50 | training in safety and health care aspects. |
| 30 | Junction at takeoff point of approach road with main road be properly developed with proper width and geometry required for safe movement of traffic by concession holder |
| | at his own cost. |
| 51 | No stacking shall be allowed on road side along National Highway or any public road. |
| 52 | Concealing of any information/data of submission of wrong/fabricated information by |
| | the Project Proponent and failure to comply with any of the above conditions may lead |
| | to withdrawal of the EC and attract action under the provisions of EP Act, 1986. |
| 53 | Mining shall be done manually minimally supported by semi-mechanized methods. |
| | Heavy machinery like JCBs, Excavators/L&T hydraulic excavators etc. should not be |
| | allowed. Emphasis should be given to employment of locally available labour force to |
| | address the socio-economic concerns of the locals. |
| 54 | The progressive mine closure shall be as per Rules in vogue. All actions relating to |
| | progressive mine closure shall be taken well in advance during the final year of mining |
| | so that the site is rehabilitated ecologically. |
| 55 | The reasonable concerns expressed by the local population during public hearing or |
| | otherwise shall be addressed by the Project Proponent. No blasting operations shall be |
| <u> </u> | allowed. |
| 56 | No mining activity shall be carried out in flowing water channel area within the mining |
| | block and adequate measures shall be taken to safeguard water quality and aquatic life including fisheries if available in the same. |
| 57 | The PP shall earmark funds strictly as per the agreed Environmental Management Plan |
| | to be spent on environmental monitoring, dust sprinkling, green belt development, |
| | rehabilitation of mined out area and landscaping/regressing and haulage route |
| | maintenance. The detailed updated plan shall be submitted to JKEIAA as per above |
| | deliberations before grant of formal EC, if desired by the JKEAC. Further, the CSR |
| | funds shall be earmarked and utilized as per CSR Rules. |
| 58 | The hydraulic structures/embankments /protection bunds shall not be disturbed |
| | /damaged during mining operations. |
| 59 | The alignment of the bank of the river and water shall not be changed in any case. |
| 60 | The water way of the Nalla shall not be restricted. |
| 61 | The applicant is bound to abide by the J&K Water Resources (Regulation and |
| | Management) Act and Rules of 2011 and J&K Fisheries Act, 2016 and all other |
| | relevant Acts and Rules thereof. |
| 62 | The EC is subject to condition that the mining block is located on state land exclusively |
| (2) | in river bed without any encumbrances of any sort. |
| 63 | Under CSR, within 2 km radial distance, activities like regular health check-up of local |
| | villagers once a week shall be undertaken with free medicines. Safe drinking water facility by way of renovation of existing tanks/wells, digging of new tube wells and |
| | installation of water filters shall be undertaken. Skill development trainings shall be |
| | organized for unemployed local youth. Further, construction of toilets in schools, solar |
| | street lighting, free distribution of books, note books, N95 masks, hand sanitizers and |
| | school bags among students within 2 km radius from the mine area shall be undertaken. |
| | Under EMP, with 2 kms radial distance from mine area; air, water, soil, noise pollution |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |

| | monitoring on half yearly basis, black topping of roads passing through villages and maintenance of haulage /extraction routes, water sprinkling, PPE to mine workers, bearing of school/college fee and allied costs on education of children of mine workers, bearing of all costs on aliments/healthcare of mine workers, creation of green belt by way of raising and maintenance of 2500 trees per hectare on land identified by DFO Social Forestry concerned in consultation with village panchayat during the period of mining, shall be undertaken. |
|----|--|
| 64 | The JKEIAA reserves the right to impose any other condition in the EC at any time during the period of mining lease. The JKEIAA reserves the right to revoke the EC in case any of the environmental clearance conditions are violated during the mining activity. Mere grant of Environmental Clearance does not entitle the Project Proponent for grant of mining lease unless he obtains all other clearances as required under other provisions of law. |
| 65 | The EC is subject to condition that the Project proponent shall not change the water course of the river and no mining activity shall be carried out in active channel area, if any in the mining block. |
| 66 | Wherever the PP has to transport the mined out material across shallow water creeks found inside the mining block, he shall lay Hume pipes of appropriate sizes for the purpose so as not to disturb the natural flow of water. |
| 67 | On the request of project proponent, the competent authority shall issue necessary corrigendum to the approved mining plan w.r.t mining depth and area excluding the active water channel /green patch/ flood control structures/maintenance of safe distance to bridges/hydraulic structures and quantification of the targeted mineral, if and whereever, recommended by the Expert Appraisal Committee. |
| 68 | The project proponent shall undertake an environmental audit at least once a year by a reputed third-party entity and report of such audit shall be placed in public domain. |
| 69 | To ensure that irrigation inlets are not left high and dry due to mining and resultant degradation of bed, the Project Proponent also must construct well-designed cut-off walls, stretching bank to bank, having depth 1.5 times the maximum scour depth below the bed level, both upstream and downstream, maintaining prescribed distance from irrigation inlet/s as per guidelines. |
| 70 | The District Mineral Officer shall monitor the replenishment of the mined-out areas, traffic management, levels of production, river bank erosion, exit point of the site and instruct the Project proponent for initiating measures for mitigating environmental concerns. |
| 71 | The PP shall not sub-let the mining block to any third party without written approval from the JKEIAA |
| 72 | After ceasing the mining operations, the project proponent shall undertake re-grassing of the mining lease area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to mining activities and restore the area to a condition fit for growth of flora, fauna and fodder as per rules in vogue. |
| 73 | The Project Proponent shall strictly adhere to the Sand Mining Guidelines of 2016 and Enforcement and Monitoring Guidelines of 2020 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, GoI |
| 74 | An appeal against the EC shall lie with the Hon'ble NGT, if preferred within 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the NGT Act, 2010. |

In the event any conflict in interpretation of Standard /General Conditions vis-a vis Specific Conditions, the Specific Conditions shall prevail.

ANNEXURE-C

STANDARD AND SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR GRANT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE WITH RESPECT TO RIVER BED MINING PROJECTS ON SHORT TERM PERMIT BASIS

GENERAL CONDITIONS: -

| Category | S. No. | Environmental Conditions |
|---------------------------------|--------|--|
| Stake holder engagement | 1 | All the provisions made and restrictions imposed as covered in the Minor Mineral Rules, shall be complied with, particularly regarding Environment Management Practices and its fund management. |
| | 2 | The genuine concerns of the local people translated though local Panchayat shall be addressed during the mining operations |
| | 3 | The laboures should preferably be from nearby villages |
| | 4 | All the orders from Hon'ble Courts of competent jurisdiction and authorities representing various government departments having stakes shall be complied with during the mining operations. |
| Sustainable Mining Practices | 5 | The recommendations made in the District Survey Report on sustainable mining practices if any shall be complied |
| | 6 | The depth of mining in Riverbed shall be as recommended by the J&K Expert Appraisal Committee |
| | 7 | Mining activity shall not be allowed in rainy season |
| | 8 | The Geology & Mining Dept. shall study the replenishment of the dugout pits and document it for updating the District survey report |
| | 9 | The mining shall be restricted to 3/4 th of the width of the river/rivulet or 7.5 m(inward) from river bank but upto 10% of the width of the river. |
| | 10 | No stream should be diverted for the purpose of sand mining. No natural water course and/ or water resources are obstructed due to mining operations. |
| | 11 | Mining shall be carried by low profile machinery out without adoption of drilling & blasting. The RBM shall be exploited manually with spade, chisel, etc. |

| Identification and Preparation of Mining Site | 12 | Mining should be initiated only after installation of signboards with demarcation pillars indicating the geocoordinates of the plot at each corner to be laid in presence of the authorized official of the Geology & Mining Department |
|---|----|--|
| | 13 | There should be no change in the method of excavation and quantity of minerals to be extracted. |
| Monitoring the Mining of Mineral and its Transportation | 14 | The EC holder shall keep a correct account of quantity of mineral mined out, dispatched from the mine, mode of transport, registration number of vehicle, person in-charge of vehicle and mine plan. This should be produced before officers concerned for inspection |
| | 15 | The access to mining site should be controlled in a way that vehicles carrying mineral from that area are tracked and accounted for in accordance with standing guidelines issued by the Govt. |
| | 16 | The Geology & Mining Department should use technology like Bar Coding, Information and Communications Technology (ICT), Web based and ICT enabled services, mobile SMS App etc. to account for weight of mineral being taken out of the plot and the number of trucks moving out with the mineral. |
| Noise Management | 17 | Noise arising out of mining and processing shall be abated and controlled at source to keep within permissible limit. Measures should be taken to control noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment. Workers should be provided with ear plugs. |
| | 18 | Restricted working hours. Mining operation has to be carried out between 6 am to 7 pm. |
| Air Pollution and Dust Management | 19 | The EC holder shall take all measures for protection of Environment and control of Pollution. |
| | 20 | The pollution due to transportation load on the environment will be effectively controlled and water sprinkling will also be done regularly at loading, unloading and transfer points. |
| | 21 | The critical parameters of the Air and water shall be monitored within the impact zone of the Mine area particularly in the nearby habitations. The standing instructions issued from time to time by the Ministry of |

| | | Environment, Forest & Climate Change (MoEF&CC) and Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) shall be referred in this regard for compliance. |
|-------------------------------|----|---|
| | 22 | Air due to dust, exhaust emission or fumes during mining and processing phase should be controlled and kept in permissible limits specified under environmental laws. |
| | 23 | The mineral transportation shall be carried out through covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded. |
| | 24 | Overloading of trucks and trolleys will be avoided. |
| | 25 | Personal protective equipment's will be provided to the workers. |
| | 26 | Haul road will be kept wide, compact and water spraying will be done. It shall be properly maintained by the PP and restored to original position after mining. Axel load on the roads should not exceed the prescribed load as per IRC |
| Solid waste management | 27 | Waste generated will be collected on regular basis and will be disposed as per the Municipal Solid Waste Management (Management & Handling) Rule 2000 and its subsequent amendments. |
| | 28 | Bins shall have to be provided at site for collection of solid waste. |
| Bio-Diversity Protection | 29 | Adequate measures must be taken for the protection of local fauna and flora |
| | 30 | Protection of turtle and bird habitats shall be ensured. |
| | 31 | No felling of trees near mining site is allowed. |
| | 32 | Spring sources should not be affected due to mining activities. Necessary Protection measures are to be incorporated. |
| Management of Instability and | 33 | The PP shall use of oversize material to control erosion and movement of sediments |
| Erosion | 34 | There shall be no extraction of stone / boulder / sand in landslide prone areas. |

| | 35 | There should be controlled clearance of riparian vegetation to be undertaken |
|----------------------------|----|--|
| | 36 | The PP should take adequate steps to check soil erosion and control debris flow etc. by constructing engineering structures |
| | 37 | No overhangs shall be allowed to be formed due to mining and mining shall not be allowed in area where subsidence of rocks is likely to occur due to steep angle of slope. |
| Waste Management | 38 | Site clearance and tidiness is very much needed to have less visual impact of mining. |
| | 39 | Dumping of waste shall be done in earmarked places as approved in Mining Plan |
| | 40 | Rubbish burial shall not be done in the Rivers. |
| Enhancement of Road Safety | 41 | Vehicles used for transportation of sand are to be permitted only with fitness and PUC Certificates |
| | 42 | Junction at takeoff point of approach road with main road be properly developed with proper width and geometry required for safe movement of traffic by concession holder at his own cost. |
| | 43 | Project Proponent shall ensure that the road may not be damaged due to transportation of the mineral and transport of minerals will be as per IRC relevant guidelines if any in this regard. |
| | 44 | No stacking shall be allowed on road side along National Highways. |
| Health and Safety | 45 | Health and safety of workers should be taken care of. They should also be provided training in safety and health care aspects. |
| | 46 | Adequate facility for drinking water and toilets should be provided for the workers |
| | 47 | Transport of mineral will not be done through villages / habitations. |
| | 48 | Deep drilled handpump with sufficient funding provision should be earmarked for providing drinking facility to the |

| | | labour force and accordingly it should be reflected in the revised EMP |
|------------------------------|----|--|
| | 49 | Recommendations of National Institute for Labour for ensuring good occupational environment for mine workers would also be adopted. |
| COVID-19 SOPs | 50 | The PP shall be responsible for enforcement of COVID-19 standard operating procedures and guidelines with respect to safety of workers involved in the mining activity. |
| Other mandatory requirements | 51 | The copy of the EC shall be endorsed to the local Panchayat and all stake holder departments. |
| | 52 | The JK Pollution Control Board/ Committee shall display the EC at its Regional office, District Industries Centre, Collectors office/ Tehsildar Office for 30 days. |
| | 53 | The Project Proponent shall advertise, within 7 days of the date of issue of the EC, in two local dallies that the project has been granted EC and the copy of EC is uploaded on the MoEF&CC website at parivesh.nic.in. and copy of same should be endorsed to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC at Chandigarh for information and necessary action. |
| | 54 | The MoEF&CC may alter/ modify or stipulate any other condition before, during the operations of the mine if it is found to be in the interest of environmental protection. |
| | 55 | Concealing of any information/data of submission of wrong/fabricated information by the Project Proponent and failure to comply with any of the above conditions may lead to with drawl of the EC and attract action under the provisions of EP Act, 1986. |
| | 56 | An appeal against the EC shall lie with the Hon'ble NGT, if preferred within 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the NGT Act, 2010. |

Specific Conditions: -

| 1 | The EC shall be subject to other statutory clearances including clearance from |
|---|--|
| | Wildlife Protection Department and Standing Committee of the National Board of |
| | Wildlife, if applicable under rules. |
| 2 | The project proponent shall extract river bed material only within the prescribed |
| | mining depth as agreed by the Expert Appraisal Committee, strictly within the size |

| | of the plot area as provided in the allotment letter from G&M Dept. and within the |
|----|--|
| | prescribed period under Rules. |
| | P |
| 2 | The EC shall be subject to the condition that the material extracted is utilized only |
| | for the purpose for which the applicant has applied. |
| 3 | The formal STP shall be granted only after the Project proponent transfers funds |
| | earmarked under EMP/CER/CSR to the concerned stake holder departments like |
| | CMO concerned and DFO concerned in advance before grant of STPs for |
| | utilization under close supervision of the Local Panchayat Head. |
| 4 | This Environmental Clearance is subject to final outcome of any litigation pending |
| | before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Hon'ble High Court of Jammu & Kashmir, |
| | Hon'ble NGT, or any other Court of Law, if any, as may be applicable to this project. |
| 5 | This Environmental Clearance is without prejudice to the standing instructions |
| | /orders from the competent authorities in the MoEF&CC, GoI and the UT of J&K |
| | w.r.t river bed mining activity. |
| 6 | The grant of EC does not imply approval of the Govt. required under any other |
| | provision of law applicable in the UT of J&K |
| | |
| 7 | Before granting the STP, the Geology & Mining Department shall certify that no |
| | mining lease is in operation within 500 mts upstream and downstream from the plot |
| | identified for STP. |
| 8 | The EC holder shall obtain an estimate from the concerned DFO, Social Forestry |
| | Division for planting recommended number of saplings of indigenous species in the |
| | nearby wastelands for which the funds required for planting and its maintenance for |
| | 2 years shall be transferred to the DFO, Social Forestry concerned in advance prior |
| | to grant of formal Short-Term Permit, for utilization to protect local biodiversity in |
| | the area. Accordingly, the PP shall revise the Environment Management Plan in |
| | consultation with concerned DFO Social Forestry Dept. if so desired by the Expert |
| | Appraisal Committee in its observation during deliberations. Separate account shall |
| | be maintained for the funds so earmarked. |
| 9 | The District Mineral Officer shall monitor the replenishment of the mined-out areas, |
| | traffic management, levels of production, river bank erosion, exit point of the site |
| | and instruct the Project proponent for initiating measures for mitigating |
| | environmental concerns. |
| 10 | The project proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining |
| | operations for conservation and protection of endangered fauna and flora in the area. |
| | |
| 11 | The workers should be provided with PPEs. The plan worked out and expenditure |
| | made under Occupational Health should be undertaken in consultation with the |
| | Local Health Institution/PHC/Sub-Centre for utilization for the health and welfare |

| | of the local workers involved in mining activity and villagers living in the close vicinity. Regular Medical checkups and free medicines shall be provided under the fund. The funds earmarked under Occupational Health care shall be transferred to the CMO concerned in advance before grant of STP in favour of the project proponent who will maintain separate account for the same. |
|----|---|
| 12 | The project proponent must ensure that the biological clock of the villagers in the vicinity of the project is not disturbed by any unauthorized night operations and all measures must be taken for keeping the noise levels within prescribed levels. |
| 13 | Provision shall be kept for housing of the labour force, toilets, facilities for cooking, safe water, health care, crèche etc. for the labour force. |
| 14 | A final Mine closure Plan along with details of Corpus fund shall be communicated to the MoEF&CC in advance for approval. |
| 15 | The top soil in case of surface land mining shall be temporarily stored at an appropriate site and concurrently used for land reclamation. |
| 16 | The mining operations shall be undertaken in a systematic manner so that it does not damage the aesthetic view of the area. |
| 17 | Adequate steps should be taken to check soil erosion and engineering structures shall be raised near the bank wherever required. |
| 18 | Mining shall not be allowed where there is danger to flood protection works and places of cultural, religious and historical significance. |
| 19 | The compliance report shall be submitted to the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC at Chandigarh with a copy to the Regulatory Authority. |
| 20 | The EC shall be valid for a period as approved by JKEIAA, operational from the date of commencement of the mining operations duly certified by the District Mineral Officer concerned with intimation to the JKEIAA, JKPCB and the concerned District Administration. |