

M.A.No.843/2017) and O.A.No.405/2016 and O.A.No.520 of 2016 (M.A.No.981/2016, M.A.No.982/2016 & M.A.No.384/2017), The entire mining operation should be as per the guidelines for sustainable sand mining issued in 2016 by the MoEF & CC, GOI, New Delhi.

14. The mining area must be demarcated leaving atleast 50m from the bund of the water tank on all sides.
15. To ensure safety along the boundary of the quarry site, security guards are to be engaged during the entire period of mining operation.
16. To prevent dust pollution, suitable working methodology needs to be adopted taking wind direction into consideration.
17. CER activities should be carried out for developing Library facilities to Government school, udayarpallayam Village an amount of Rs. 21,000 as committed by the proponent as per Office Memorandum of MoEF & CC dated 01.05.2018.

Agenda No. 130-TA-06:

(File No. 6695/2019)

Proposed construction of Sheds for small scale assembly unit and ware houses by M/s JKS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd at S.F.no. 839, 840/1B, 2B, 1A, 2A, 841/2B1, 2B2, 2A, 1, 842/1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, 5A, 5B, 6, 7A, 7B, 8, 845/1, 848, 849, 843/1A, 1B, 2A, 1C1, 1C2, 2B, 850/1, 852/1, 861/5B, 865/14B, 15B, 1A2, 2B2, 5B at Polivakkam Village, Thiruvallur Taluk, Thiruvallur District – For Environmental Clearance

(SIA/TN/NCP/89642/2018)

The proposal was placed in the 125<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 01.02.2019. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The salient features of the project and the environmental impact assessment are as follows:

1. The total plot area of the project is 141226.77 sq.m.
2. The project is located at 13°03'27.30" N Latitude, 79°54'18.36" E

Longitude.

3. This proposal comprises 7 nos of Ware Houses with total influx population of 2500 Nos.
4. The daily fresh water requirement is 81.5kLD to be sourced from Private tankers / Ground water. Out of 81.5kLD, 37.5kLD will be used for domestic purpose, 44kLD for Flushing.
5. The sewage generated from the project will be 105kLD including 31kLD of recycled flush water, which will be treated in the STP of 120kLD capacity and the treated sewage of 105kLD will be recycled and 31kLD will be used for toilet flushing, 74kLD will be used for Greenbelt.
6. 256 numbers of Trucks, 25 numbers of Cars and 73 numbers of two wheeler – 9821 sq.m of area allotted.
7. 1300 KVA of power is required which is to be sourced from TANGEDCO, back-up power supply is through 1 number of 200 KVA DG set with a stack height of 24 m.
8. Rain water harvesting – 36 number of recharge pits with size 8.0 x 4.0 x 2.0 m are to be provided.
9. Total waste estimated to be generated is 1137 Kg/day in which 450 Kg/day is Biodegradable waste, which will be treated in Bio-Methanation Plant (500 Kg/day) within the project site mixed with 12 Kg/day STP sludge and the sludge from Bio-Methanation plant is dried in Manure pits and used as manure for landscaping purpose within project site and 675 Kg/day is Non- Biodegradable waste will be sold to TNPCB authorized recyclers.

Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished the committee instructed the project proponent to furnish the following details:

1. The proponent shall furnish the details of the unit's activity or the manufacturing activity which are going to be carried out in the proposed industrial estate and the same should be marked in the



layout plan in each shed. Also the environmental impact due to these industries needs to be assessed and suitable EMP for the same to be worked out & submitted.

2. A copy of the land ownership documents has to be furnished.
3. The proponent has informed that no trade effluent generating units will be allotted inside the industrial estate. In this regard, the proponent has to furnish a commitment letter.
4. In the proposal the proponent has allocated 39537.91 Sq.m (28 %) for green belt development. Being the industrial Estate project the Committee felt that the project proponent shall explore the possibility of increasing the green belt area to 33 %. The proponent shall submit the layout earmarking greenbelt area with dimension and GPS coordinates
5. The proponent has to furnish the permission for drawal of ground water for the proposed project from the competent Authority.
6. Contour map for the 1 km radius surrounding the site, village map, FMB & A Register shall be furnished.
7. STP design (conventional method) detail shall be furnished with a layout map showing location of the STP.
8. The Rain water harvesting system should be revised considering the roof run off for the Rain water storage sump and other run off for the rain water harvesting pits.
9. The EMP Plan should be revised considering the above aspects.

Further, action will be taken on the proposal by SEAC, only after receipt of the above said details.

The project proponent has furnished the above details vide in this letter dated 09.03.2019.

The proposal was placed in the 129<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 17.05.2019. The SEAC noted that the project proponent once again provided green

cover area 28 % for the project. Hence, the SEAC decided to stick with the already recommendation regarding Green belt area that "In the proposal the proponent has allocated 39537.91 Sq.m (28 %) for green belt development. Being the industrial Estate project the Committee felt that the project proponent shall explore the possibility of increasing the green belt are to 33 %. The proponent shall submit the layout by earmarking greenbelt area with dimension and GPS coordinates"

Further, action will be taken on the proposal by SEAC, only after receipt of the above said details.

The project proponent has furnished the above details vide in his letter dated 03.06.2019 and received by this office on 04.06.2019 it states that as per the committee recommendation the green belt area has been increased from 28 % to 33.546 % by reducing the road width to 6 m from 7 m / 10 m.

The proposal was placed in the 130<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 10.06.2019. After perusal of the details, the SEAC decided that the green belt area was increased to 33 % by reducing the road width to 6 m from 7 m / 10 m. The proponent has to clarify whether the reducing the road width to 6 m from 7 m / 10 m is allowable as per the norms. If so, the document with detailed explanation shall be furnished.

Further, action will be taken on the proposal by SEAC, only after receipt of the above said details.

Agenda No. 130-TA-07:

F.No: 6607/2018

Proposed construction of residential building by M/s. Urbanrise Constructions LLP in S.FNo. 445/2D3, 446/3, 447/1B,2A, 451/1,2,3, 452/1, 2A1, 2B, 2A2, 453/1A, 3C, 547/4A, 5,6, 548/2, 551/1,2,3,4,5,6A,7A,8,552/3,4A, 5,553/1, 2, 554/1, 555/1A, 572, 573/1, 574/1, 2A, 575/1, 2A, 575/1, 2A, 576 in Padur Village, Thiruporur Taluk, Kanchipuram District in the state of Tamil Nadu – For Environment Clearance.