

214th meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee for Projects related to Infrastructure Development, Industrial estate/parks/complexes/areas, Export Processing Zones, Special Economic Zones, Biotech Parks, Leather Complexes and National Highways projects to be held on 26th April, 2019

1. Opening remarks of the Chairman
2. Confirmation of the minutes of the 211th meeting held on 27th March, 2019 at Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi
3. Consideration of Proposals:

<p>3.1</p>	<p>Development of Industrial Growth Centre, Saha Phase-II, District Ambala, Haryana by M/s Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corp. Ltd. – Environmental Clearance</p> <p>[Proposal No. IA/HR/NCP/76611/2013 dated 16.03.2019] [F. No. 21-3/2013-IA.III]</p>
<p>3.1.1</p>	<p>The details of the project, as per the documents submitted by the project proponent, and also as informed during the 214th EAC meeting held on 26thApril, 2019 along with EIA Grass Roots Research and Creation India (P) Ltd., are reported to be as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The project involves Industrial Growth Centre (Industrial Estate), Phase- II, Saha, Ambala, Haryana. (ii) Location: Saha, District Ambala, Haryana. (iii) Land Acquisition: The total plot area is 250.94 Acres. Industrial Area is 73.40 Acres, Residential Area is 46.84 Acres, Commercial Area is 22.27 Acres, Institutional Area is 5.70 Acres, Utilities Area is 83.84 Acres and Amenities Area is 3.50 Acres. (iv) Water requirement: (v) Power Requirement & Source: The total power requirement during operation phase is 25MW and will be met from Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (UHBVN). (vi) Rain Water Harvesting: Individual industry will provide the RWH pits as per CGWB norms. (vii) Whether the project is in Critically Polluted area: No. (viii) If the project involves diversion of forest land, extend of the forest land: No, the project does not involve diversion of forest land. (ix) National Park/ Wild Life Sanctuary in 10 km radius area: No National Park/ Wild Life Sanctuary exist in 10 km radius area. (x) If the project falls within 10 km of eco- sensitive area, Name of eco- sensitive area and distance from the project site: No eco-sensitive area found in 10 km radius of project site. (xi) If the project falls in CRZ area: No, the project does not fall in CRZ area. (xii) Tree cutting, types, numbers, girth size etc.: Tree cutting is not involved. (xiii) Water bodies, diversion, if any: No water body is being intercepted due to the proposed project, hence no impact on drainage. (xiv) Court cases if any: List of case pending against the project is given below:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Deepak Aggarwal and Others CWP NO. 1048/08 & Madhu Aggarwal CWP

	<p>No.1796/2015.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Lekh Raj CWP No. 2350112015. 3. Rudra Parta P CWP No. 19636/2015. 4. Krishna Sachdeva CWP no. 22789/2015. 5. Mam Raj CWP 0.24264/2015. 6. Priti Jain CWP No. 7983/2015. 7. Mahesh Khurana CWP no. 22801/2015. 8. Sulochna Devi CWP no. 17823/2015. 9. Sat Pal CWP no. 23498/2015. 10. Kuldeep Kumar CWP no. 19847/2015. <p>(xv) Investment/Cost: INR194.55Crore. (approx)</p> <p>(xvi) Employment potential: It will generate direct and indirect employment opportunities for both skilled and unskilled labour during construction and operation phase</p> <p>(xvii) Benefits of the project: Best in class infrastructure would be provided for occupants of the project. Direct and indirect employment opportunities and Infrastructure development of Area.</p> <p>(xviii) Initially ToR was granted by MoEFCC, New Delhi on 26.03.2015 vide F.No.-21-3/2013-IA.III which was valid till 25thMarch 2018 and again ToR validity was extended by MoEFCC till 25thMarch 2019 vide F.No.-21-3/2013-IA.III.</p> <p>(xix) Public Hearing was conducted on 12.04.2018 at Village-Saha, Ambala.</p>
3.1.2	<p>The EAC during detailed deliberations in the 214th meeting on 26th April, 2019, has observed the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The present proposal is for the Phase-II of the project. However, the proponent has combined the information related to Phase-I and Phase-II of the project while making presentation before the EAC. (ii) Contradictory figures regarding water requirement and water balance have been presented before the committee (EAC). (iii) No permission has been obtained from the competent authority to use ground water for the proposed project. (iv) Final EIA/EMP report does not incorporate the public hearing commitments (along with fund provision/allocation) made by the proponent to address environmental/social issues raised by the local people. (v) Compliance report in respect of ToR amendment is not done.
3.1.3	<p>After deliberations during 214th meeting on 26th April, 2019, the EAC deferred the project for want of following information/clarifications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Proponent needs to upload, on Ministry's web portal, PARIVH, all the desired documents including copies of TOR extension letter, water permission letter, power agreement, traffic density study report, document in support of competence/authority and readable PH advertisement. (ii) Specific information related to Phase-II of the project besides mentioning information for entire project, so as to understand comparative statements of various features as well as different kind of requirements of this project. Also provide information about expected number of employment to be generated

	<p>by this project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (iii) Details about water requirement and water balance for Phase-II of the project as contradictory information is given in Form-1 and Form-2 of the application and EIA/EMP report. The water balance to be submitted for Phase – II only and not the combined Phase – I & II (iv) The area is categorized as over-exploited and no clearance for ground water abstraction is being accorded for any new project in Over Exploit and Critical areas. The project proponent should opt for use of surface water and necessary permission in this regard to be submitted. (v) Combined ETP of phase – I & II cannot be accepted (vi) Final EIA/EMP report incorporating the public hearing commitments (along with fund provision/allocation) made by the proponent to address environmental/social issues raised by the local people. (vii) Status of alternative connecting road as demanded by Public during PH Meeting. (viii) Latest status of court cases. (ix) Compliance report in respect of ToR conditions including those mentioned in the TOR extension letter. (x) Details about Agro Industries to be housed within the proposed Industrial Area. <p>The project proponent did not make the presentation on all the aspects before EAC. Therefore, it is advised that the proponent makes complete presentation covering all the aspects of the proposal before EAC next time after providing all necessary documents.</p>
<p>3.2</p>	<p>Setting up of Industrial Area Kunjbiharipura in Tehsil Phagi, District Jaipur, Rajasthan by M/s Rajasthan State Industrial Development & Investment Corporation Ltd. - Further consideration for Terms of Reference [Proposal No. IA/RJ/NCP/56068/2016] [F. No. 21-15/2013-IA.III]</p>
<p>3.2.1</p>	<p>The project was earlier considered by the EAC in its 128th meeting held on 20–23 November, 2013, wherein the Committee noted that the site is in the River bed of ‘Dandi’ and flood plain and the Project Proponent were advised to consider other alternatives.</p>
<p>3.2.2</p>	<p>The project proponent made a presentation during the 161st meeting on 26th July, 2016, and provided the following information to the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The project involves setting up of industrial Area Kunjbiharipura in Tehsil Phagi, Jaipur (Rajasthan) by Rajasthan State Industrial Development & Investment Corporation Ltd. (ii) The proposed project comes under Jaipur (Rural) Unit of RIICO, the office of which is situated at Industrial Area 22 Godam, Jaipur. The current Site Development for the Industrial Activity is for a very large scale site development meant for mainly “B” Category Industries as per the EIA Notification 2006 and its amendments made till date. (iii) The main Industries that can be developed in the proposed Industrial Area are: Steel Industries, Rolling Mills, Stone based industries, chemical

industries & other general industries. The Saleable area will be about approximately 60% of the total area. Infrastructure Development includes Roads, Storm-water Drainage System, Water supply for drinking purposes, Power supply, Green Area development etc.

- (iv) **Land Area:** 522.362 Hectares.
- (v) It is expected that, during construction phase the requirement of labour will be 1000-3500 persons per day as per work activity proposed. Local labours will be employed from the surrounding villages. During Operational phase, there will be both Direct and Indirect employment generation. About 30 persons will get employment through direct arrangement by RIICO itself for maintenance of the industrial area, out of which 5 persons will be skilled labour.
- (vi) The water requirement for the proposed project is approximately 50 KLD including domestic water requirements for workers (45 lpcd per worker) during the construction phase based on construction activity requirement.
- (vii) Electricity will be arranged by RIICO during construction and operation phase through Jaipur Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Limited. During Operational Phase sub-station of Suitable load will be established to meet the total Industrial Load. Power back-up facility will not be provided by RIICO. Individual Industries will arrange for their own Power Back-up. Power lines will also be laid by RIICO. During construction phase, power requirement will be minimal. A 132/33/11 kV Grid sub-station of suitable capacity will be planned to ensure continuous power supply to the Industrial Area.
- (viii) During the operation phase of the project, water pollution will be in the form of industrial effluent as well as domestic effluent from industrial units in the industrial area. Mitigation of water pollution will be the responsibility of each individual industrial unit. Polluting industrial units will have to install Effluent Treatment Plant (ETP) and/or Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) as per their requirement in compliance with the RSPCB norms. RIICO is proposing to install a CETP/STP for Treatment of Effluent/Sewerage generated and ensuring a zero liquid discharge Facility. Approximately 45 to 50 kg/day of municipal solid waste will be generated from the construction camp and construction site. This will be collected and disposed off in a fenced pit at dugout the site for making compost.

3.2.3 During deliberations in 161st meeting on 26th July, 2016, the EAC was informed in response to its earlier observations that no alternative sites were available. On a query regarding identification of flood plain of river Dandi through the Water Resources Department, Government of Rajasthan, the project proponent informed that the Water Resources Department has provided high flood level bounds on river Dandi. However, the presentation on the lay out for the industrial area was not clearly indicating the contours of the flood plain within the industrial area. A comparison with the Survey of India map also could not clear the confusion. The Committee asked the project proponent to keep the flood plain of

	<p>the river Dandi demarcated on the site plan of the proposed industrial area by the Water Resources Department, Government of Rajasthan, as well as in the lay out plan of the industrial area clearly excluding river Dandi and its flood plains from any activity including industrial plots and roads. The only permissible activity would be bridges to cross the flood plain wherever necessary for the connectivity. The project proponent assured that he would bring the necessary documents at the earliest.</p>
3.2.4	<p>The project proponent along with the EIA consultant M/s Bhagavathi Ana Labs, Hyderabad, made a presentation during the 214th meeting on 26th April, 2019, and provided the following information to the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Submitted the map with flood plain of river Bandi and revised layout plan of industrial area Kunjbiharipura as vetted by Water Resources Department (WRD), Government of Rajasthan vide letter no. T-730/ACE/Jpr/4994 dated 24th January, 2019. (ii) Submitted the WRD design based on 100 years flood studies. (iii) Submitted the details of retaining wall proposed by WRD. (iv) No industrial plots or roads have been planned in the demarcated flood plain as approved by WRD, GoR. Only bridges will be planned. (v) Water requirement, source, status of clearance: 8400 KLD (To be met through the treated waste water of Dehlawas STP, Jaipur). Requirement of drinking Water to be met through ground water abstraction (after obtaining prior permission from CGWA). (vi) CETP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of effluent, Quantity, effluent conveyance system from the member units to CETP: Individual industry will treat its effluent upto the inlet quality standards of CETP. Out of 25.723 Ha land area reserved under services, CETP will be planned. Provision for laying of Effluent conveyance system from the member units of CETP has been incorporated in the project. Treatment and usage of treated sewage: Out of 25.723 Ha land area reserved under services, STP will be planned. Treated sewage from STP will be used for Plantation and Landscaping. (vii) Whether the project is in Critically Polluted area: No. (viii) If the project involves diversion of forest land, extend of the forest land: National Park/ Wild Life Sanctuary in 10 km radius area: No. (ix) If the project falls within 10 km of eco- sensitive area, Name of eco-sensitive area and distance from the project site: No. (x) If the project falls in CRZ area: No, the project does not fall in CRZ area. (xi) Tree cutting, types, numbers, girth size etc.: Development works are to be taken up preserving most of the existing trees. (xii) Water bodies, diversion, if any: No industrial plots & roads have been planned in the Water course of River Bandi (duly vetted by Water Resources Department, GOR) passing through the project site. (xiii) Court cases if any: Three court cases are pending before Hon'ble Rajasthan

	<p>High Court affecting 53 Bigha land area.</p> <p>(xiv) Investment/Cost: INR. 1,110 Crore.</p> <p>(xv) Employment potential: 50,000 Persons.</p> <p>(xvi) Benefits of the project: Industrialization of State, Employment Generation and Revenue Collection.</p>
3.2.5	<p>The EAC during detailed deliberations in the 214th meeting on 26th April, 2019, has observed the following:</p> <p>(i) There are lot of differences in the information given by proponent during earlier meetings and this meeting.</p> <p>(ii) The map submitted by the proponent shows the customised flood plain of river Bandi (also known as river Dandi), not the natural flood plains. Water Resource Department (WRD) has just vetted the customised flood plans, but did not provide recommendation in favour of the proposed construction.</p> <p>(iii) Maximum discharge (100 years flood data of Hingonia Dam along with the additional discharge of catchment area situated between proposed site and Dam reported by Government of Rajasthan, i.e., State Water Resource Planning Department (SWRPD) is 2160 cumecs vetted by WRD, GoR.</p> <p>(iv) Submitted an undertaking that no A Category industry will be established.</p> <p>(v) There is mismatch in the information regarding water requirement and water balance for the project as given during earlier presentation and this presentation before EAC.</p>
3.2.6	<p>After deliberations during 214th meeting on 26th April, 2019, the EAC deferred the project for following reasons:</p> <p>(i) The project falls on the active flood plain of river Bandi. With unpredictable behaviour of nature in context of climate change, it is not advisable to develop infrastructure on the flood plains thereby enhancing risks for people, infrastructure and investments. Hence, Proponent should explore the possibility of alternative site as suggested by the earlier EAC. EAC advised the Project proponent should consult State Govt. as well as the State Pollution Control Board for alternative site for further consideration of the project.</p> <p>(ii) The proponent is required to submit the Natural Flood Plains, duly vetted by the Water Resource Department (WRD) along with their recommendations.</p> <p>(iii) As per the criteria of Industrial Estate sitting, the industrial units shall be at least 500 m away from flood plain of riverine system.</p> <p>(iv) The KML file to be submitted.</p> <p>(v) Water requirement and water balance statement to be submitted</p>
3.3	<p>Development of Industrial Park over 4194.32 acre (1697.38 ha) at Guttapadu village, Orvakal Mandal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh by M/s Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (APIIC) - Terms of Reference [Proposal No. IA/AP/NCP/99167/2019] [F. No. 21-74/2018-IA.III]</p>
3.3.1	<p>Earlier, the M/s APIIC Limited submitted a proposal (no. IA/AP/NCP/76057/2018) for establishing the said Industrial Park over 4640.11 acres (1877.79 ha) land. The proposal also included an Integrated Steel Plant by M/s Jai Ram Ispat Limited (JRIL) encompassing an area of about 413 acres. The Ministry has already accorded the EC to M/s JRIL, vide its letter No.J-11011/110/2016-IA.II(I) dated 7th</p>

August, 2018. The proposal was discussed by EAC in its 197th meeting of EAC on 17th September, 2018, 199th meeting of EAC on 15th October, 2018, 204th meeting of EAC (Infra-1), held on 17th December, 2018. Later, after detailed deliberation in above mentioned EAC meetings, proponent desired to apply afresh by excluding the Integrated Steel Plant by M/s JRIL.

The proponent applied accordingly and proposal was considered by EAC in its 214th meeting wherein the project proponent along with the EIA consultant M/s. Ramky Enviro Services Private Ltd, made a presentation during the 214th meeting on 26th April, 2019, and provided following information to the Committee:

- (i) The proposed project is an Industrial Park being developed over 4194.32 acre (1697.38 ha) land by M/s. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation (APIIC) Limited.
- (ii) The Government of Andhra Pradesh has handed the possession of land area of 4640.11 acres to M/s APIIC Limited. Out of this, 413.19 acres of land was allotted to M/s Jai Raj Ispat Limited as undeveloped land. Remaining land in possession will be developed by APIIC as Guttapadu IP, i.e., proposed Industrial Area. M/s. Jai Raj Ispat limited has obtained EC for 400 acres from MoEFCC, F.No J-11011/110/2016-IA.II(I) dated 7th Aug, 2018.
- (iii) As per the suggestions of EAC during earlier meetings for proposal no. IA/AP/NCP/76057/2018 mentioned above, the M/s APIIC Limited has rationalized the boundary of industrial park by excluding the land allotted to M/s JRIL from the said Industrial Park. The revised area of the proposed Industrial Park, after exclusion of M/s JRIL and some other small pieces of land, is 4194.32 acres (1697.38 ha).
- (iv) The industrial units within the proposed industrial park include Steel based Industry, Light Engineering, Non-metallic mineral industries, Aerospace & defense hardware, E-waste recyclers, Gems & jewels, Logistic hub, Renewable Energy (solar power) and Textile & apparel.
- (v) Proposed infrastructure includes Roads, water supply, power supply, Common wastewater treatment plant, Rainwater harvesting, Storm drains, Sewer lines, Greenbelt, Common utilities and other related infrastructure.
- (vi) **Location:** The proposed project is located at Guttapadu Village, Orvakal Mandal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh.
- (vii) **Justification for selection of the site:** The proposed land has been acquired by APIIC following all guidelines for development of industrial park. The site is well connected by road and rail network. The National Highway NH-18 (Kurnool to Chittoor) is located along the North (1.5 km) and East (1.2 km) boundaries of the project site and Kurnool railway station at a distance of approx. 20 km NNW. There are no ecologically sensitive areas within 10 Km radius of the site, no coastal area and no flood plain riverine system within 500 m radius.
- (viii) **Land use of the site and around the site up to 10 km radius:** Open land with undulated terrain most of the part is rocky area, patches of temporary agriculture, sparse vegetation.
- (ix) **Total water requirement and its source:** Total water demand is about 19 MLD which will be sourced from Srisailam foreshore lift station - Zero at Muchumarri village/HNSS canal.

(x) **Power Requirement:** About 115 MW to be met from the nearest substation of Orvakal/Nannur/Kalvabugga.

(xi) **Waste water generation, treatment and disposal:** The total wastewater generated is 7940 KLD.

Description	Fresh	Treated	Total	Waste water Generation
Industrial units	7794	2050	9844	6892
Domestic at industrial units	1142	0	1142	913
Common facilities	170	0	170	135
Greenbelt Development	2354	5490	7844	0
Total	11460	7540	19000	7940
13 KLD/ha of industrial plots (845 ha) excluding green area of 236 ha @ 11.65 KLD/ha of Industrial water and @1.35 KLD/ha of domestic water (i.e @45 lpcd for 25500 workers)				
1 KLD/ha of Common facilities (170 ha) excluding green area of 47 ha				
14 KLD/ha of Greenbelt area (560 ha) which includes industrial units (236 ha), Common facilities (47 ha), Green Buffer & greenbelt in open space (277 ha)				

(xii) **Rehabilitation involved, in any:** The proposed Industrial Park does not envisage any disturbance to local community or the village since the land is acquired and fully owned by the M/s. APIIC. The proposed project will not affect the home oustees, land oustees and landless laborers.

(xiii) **Water bodies, diversion if any:**The following Water bodies are present within 15km from the boundary of project location.

- Konderu River Stream (Adjacent to project site towards E)
- Rock Garden Lake 1.5 km (N)
- Kommu Cheruvu 5.5 km (ESE)
- Bayanna Cheruvu 9.3 km (W)

(xiv) **Municipal solid waste generated disposal facility:**

Details	Quantity	Remarks	Disposal
Hazardous waste	4225	5 kg/ha/day	TSDF/Sale
Municipal waste from Industrial units	6375	0.25 kg/person	Municipal bin
Waste oil	4225	5 Liters/ha/month	TSDF/Recyclers
Used Batteries	1690	2 NoshHa/annum	Buy back/ Recyclers
Recycling waste	8450	10 kg/ha/day	TSDF/Sale
Man power at industrial units 25500			
Industrial land - 845 ha (excluding greenbelt area of 236 ha from Industrial land of 2670.5 ha)			

(xv) Solid waste generated from the construction activities includes rubbles, used

up cement, broken bricks etc., which shall be re-used for filling up of low lying areas during developmental stage.

(xvi) **Terrain, level with respective MSL, Requirement of filling, if any:** The topographic contours in the proposed project site are ranging from 332 to 405 m amsl (above mean sea level).

(xvii) **Whether the project is in Critically Polluted area:** No.

(xviii) **If the project involves diversion of forest land, extend of the forest land:** Not Applicable.

(xix) **Tree cutting, types, numbers, girth size etc.:** Not Applicable, the project involves some clearing of bushes and grass. No major trees cutting activities are envisaged.

(xx) **If the project falls within 10 km of eco- sensitive area, Name of eco-sensitive area and distance from the project site:** The project doesn't come under eco sensitive area.

(xxi) **Investment/Cost of the project:** INR 495 Crore. This cost includes capital cost of EMP Rs. 160 Crore.

(xxii) **Benefits of the project:**

- **Connectivity:** The proposed external infrastructure linkages are expected to provide excellent connectivity of the region with the International Airport, urban centres and other economic growth centers. Overall, the proposed project is expected to enhance the economic development in the region.

- **Social Development:** Integrated townships consisting of residential, commercial, institutional with requisite physical and social infrastructure facilities are definite means of social development expected from the project. Technology development is also anticipated with world class R&D centres being proposed in the project.

- **Regional Development:** The goods and products manufactured from the industries of proposed project would fill the demand-supply gap and hence improve the domestic markets.

(xxiii) **Employment potential:** The project is going to create employment. Due to this project activity, some persons from nearby villages will be recruited as skilled and semi-skilled workers by the company as per its policy. Therefore, employment and income are likely to be generated for the local people. So, the project will contribute in a positive manner towards direct employment.

(xxiv) **If any court case pending for violation of environmental laws:** No.

(xxv) The external road connectivity is common facility for the Proposed Industrial Park as well as Jai Raj Ispat Limited.

(xxvi) Total area under Greenbelt (along boundary, roads, water bodies, etc.) is 685.51 acre (277.42 ha), which comes around 16.3% of total area of the proposed Industrial Park. Rest of the Green Belt cover, i.e., 16.7% will be developed by the industrial units to be housed within the proposed Industrial Park.






(xxvii) CER budget allocated is Rs. 7.5 Crore as per norms.

(xxviii) Proponent has submitted the layout plan of earlier proposed Industrial Park

	<p>and revised Industrial Park showing the position of M/s JRIL.</p> <p>(xxix) The proponent has informed that a land area of around 413.19 Acres from industrial hub has been allotted by M/s. APIIC to M/s. JRIL vide IOM No 42416/APIIC/OST (P) Jairaj Ispat/2015, dt 27th October, 2016 (370.39 Acres) and vide Letter No. 10022016/APIIC/KNL/AM/OMIH/Jairaj-Ispat/ 2016 11th December, 2018 (42.80 acres) for establishment of steel plant. M/s. JRIL has obtained EC for 400 Acres from MOEFCC, F.No J-11011/110/2016-IA.II(I) dated 7th Aug, 2018. For remaining 13.19 Acres JRIL will take necessary amendments in the EC and will not utilise the land for any activities of JRIL.</p> <p>(xxx) The external road connectivity is common facility for the IP Guttapadu as well as M/s JRIL. The surface water, to be sourced from outside proposed Industrial Park, i.e., from Srisailam foreshore lift station – Zero at Muchumarri village/HNSS canal, will be the common source.</p> <p>(xxxi) Submitted undertaking that M/s JRIL will not be a part of the proposed Industrial Park and shall not be allowed to use the facilities and infrastructure therein.</p> <p>(xxxii) Submitted an undertaking that no construction activity has been taken up within the proposed Industrial Estate.</p> <p>(xxxiii) Submitted a copy of official letter written by M/s APIIC Limited to M/s JRIL for taking necessary amendments in EC application</p>
3.3.2	<p>The EAC, after detailed deliberation during the 214th EAC meeting held on 26th April, 2019, recommended the project for grant of Terms of Reference (ToR), and for preparation of EIA/EMP report with public consultations subject to compliance of all conditions as notified in the standard ToR applicable for such projects and specific conditions, as mentioned below:</p> <p>(i) M/s APIIC Limited shall ensure that resources and facilities of the proposed Industrial Park are not utilized by the M/s JRIL.</p> <p>(ii) There should not be any integrated iron and steel plants to be permitted in the Industrial Estate.</p> <p>(iii) None of the industrial/residential units within the proposed Industrial Park shall be allowed to contaminate the downstream waterbodies. Details of drainage flow pattern and the catchment area on Sol Toposheet for the project site and surrounding areas including dam located in the downstream of the project site shall be submitted.</p> <p>(iv) The proponent shall workout a feasibility of water balance and water discharge in order to achieve zero liquid discharge (ZLD) from the proposed Industrial Park. Details of ZLD scheme shall be submitted.</p> <p>(v) Submit the revised details regarding solid waste generation for this project.</p> <p>(vi) Sector-wise water requirement needs to be worked out. Proponent has to revise the water balance chart showing water requirement in different types of industrial units.</p> <p>(vii) No groundwater water shall be used for this project. The PP shall use surface water only after obtaining approval from the competent authority.</p>

	<p>(viii) The activities and budget earmarked for Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) shall be as per ministry's O.M No 22-65/2017-IA.II (M) dated 1st May, 2018 and the action plan on the activities proposed under CER shall be submitted at the time of appraisal of the project included in the EIA/EMP Report.</p> <p>(ix) The Action Plan on the compliance of the recommendations of the CAG as per Ministry's Circular No. J-11013/71/2016-IA.I (M) dated 25th October, 2017 needs to be submitted at the time of appraisal of the project and included in the EIA/EMP Report.</p>
3.4	Any other item with the permission of Chair
3.4.1	Construction of 4/6 lane road (NH-754K) from Raisar, Bikaner (KM 0.000) to Deogarh, Jodhpur (KM 175.758) in the state of Rajasthan, Part of Amritsar to Kandla Expressway under Bharatmala Pariyojana by M/s National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) - A complaint regarding presentation before EAC.
3.4.2	<p>The EAC members have received a complaint from the EIA Consultant M/s Global Management and Engineers Consultants wherein it is mentioned that Proponent has done a presentation before EAC in illegal manner for obtaining Environmental Clearance for the said project during 208th meeting of EAC held on 19th February 2019.</p> <p>The EAC (Infra-1) discussed the matter in its 214th meeting held on 26th April, 2019, noted the seriousness of the nature of the complaint and it was decided that further discussion/decision on this matter will be done after receiving the comments/clarification from NHAI in the upcoming meeting of EAC in the month of May 2019 .</p>

List of the Members attended 214th meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee for Projects related to Infrastructure Development, Industrial Estate and Miscellaneous projects held on 26 April, 2019 and approved the above minutes.

Sl. No.	Name of the EAC member	Role/Designation	Signature
1.	Dr. Deepak Arun Apte, Director, Bombay Natural History Society (BNHS), Mumbai	Chairman	
2.	Dr. V.K. Jain, Professor of Chemistry, School of Sciences, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad	Member	
3.	Dr. M.V. Ramana Murthy, Project Director, NIOT Campus, Pallikarai, Chennai	Member	
4.	Shri T.P Singh, Advisor, MEITY, New Delhi	Member	
5.	Dr. N.K. Verma, Former AD, CPCB, New Delhi	Member	
6.	Dr. Manoranjan Hota, Former Advisor/Scientist-G, MoEF&CC	Member	
7.	Dr. Anil Kumar Singh, IFS (Retd), Ex PCCF Assam, Tower F, Float No. 103 Grand Anjara Heritage, Sector 74, Noida, UP	Member	
8.	Shri Prabhakar Singh, Special DG, CPWD, Delhi.	Member	
9.	Shri Narendra Surana, Managing Director, Bhagyanagar India Limited and Surana Telecom. and Power Limited, Hyderabad	Member	
10.	Dr. Mohan Singh Panwar, Associate Professor, H.N.B Garhwal Central University, Srinagar,	Member	
11.	Dr. Anuradha Shukla, Central Road Research Institute (CRRI), Mathura Road, New Delhi	Member	
12.	Shri N.K. Gupta, Member (EAC), Scientist E & In-charge (ESS), Central Pollution Control Board,	Member	
13.	Dr. D. Chakraborty, Scientist MoWR, RD & GR, New Delhi	Member	
14.	Smt. Bindu Manghat, Director Survey of India New Delhi	Member	
15.	Shri Raghu Kumar Kodali, Director/Scientist-F, IA-III Division, MoEF&CC	Member Secretary (Infra-1 EAC)	