

Minutes of 4th meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee for the proposal involving violation of EIA Notification, 2006 held on 19-21 February, 2018 at Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, New Delhi

Day 1: Monday, 19th February, 2018

Time: 10:30 AM

4.1. Opening remarks of the Chairman

4.2. Confirmation of the minutes of the 3rd meeting held on 30-31 January, 2018 at Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi

The EAC, having taken note that no comments were offered on the minutes of its 3rd meeting held on 30-31 January, 2018 at New Delhi, confirmed the same.

4.3. Consideration of proposals

S. No.	Proposals										
4.3.1	<p>Modification and Expansion of Group Housing Project 'Atharva' at Sec-109, Village Pawala Khurupur, Gurugram (Haryana) by M/s Raheja Developers Ltd</p> <p>[IA/HR/NCP/64537/2017] [F. No. 23-30/2018-IA.III]</p>										
4.3.1.1	<p>The project involves expansion and modification of the project 'Atharva Group Housing' with total built up area of 199616.3 sqm in total plot area of 59941.9 sqm by M/s Raheja Developers Ltd at Sector 109, Village Pawala Khusrupur, Gurugram (Haryana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission for an area of 104117.292 sqm was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana on 14th December, 2009, which was later revised to 110559.082 sqm on 16th November, 2012.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(b) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Haryana based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="293 1675 1477 2042"> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="293 1675 986 1787">Environmental clearance granted by SEIAA for built up area of 157917.34 sqm (Dwelling units-528, EWS units-116)</td> <td data-bbox="986 1675 1477 1787">1st April, 2009</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="293 1787 986 1861">Application for revised EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana</td> <td data-bbox="986 1787 1477 1861">26th October, 2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="293 1861 986 1935">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="986 1861 1477 1935">Not yet done</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="293 1935 986 1973">Site visits conducted by the sub-committee</td> <td data-bbox="986 1935 1477 1973">15/07/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="293 1973 986 2042">Findings of the sub-committee</td> <td data-bbox="986 1973 1477 2042">Construction undertaken on additional area of 0.8 acre. Also,</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Environmental clearance granted by SEIAA for built up area of 157917.34 sqm (Dwelling units-528, EWS units-116)	1 st April, 2009	Application for revised EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana	26 th October, 2015	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Not yet done	Site visits conducted by the sub-committee	15/07/2017	Findings of the sub-committee	Construction undertaken on additional area of 0.8 acre. Also,
Environmental clearance granted by SEIAA for built up area of 157917.34 sqm (Dwelling units-528, EWS units-116)	1 st April, 2009										
Application for revised EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana	26 th October, 2015										
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Not yet done										
Site visits conducted by the sub-committee	15/07/2017										
Findings of the sub-committee	Construction undertaken on additional area of 0.8 acre. Also,										

		total constructed area is more than the permitted						
	Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA	Issued show cause notice on 4 th December, 2017						
	Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.							
4.3.1.2	<i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, opined that the SEIAA in Haryana has already taken cognizance of the findings of the sub-committee, and issued the show cause notice on 4th December, 2017. The Committee desired that the same needs to be concluded by SEIAA first, and then only the case can be considered in terms of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017.</i>							
4.3.2	Commercial project 'Ideal Unique Centre' at 10, East Topsia Road, Police Station Tiljala, Ward No. 66, Kolkata Municipal Corporation in Kolkata (West Bengal) by M/s Ideal Unique Realtors Pvt Ltd [IA/WB/NCP/64552/2017] [F. No. 23-31/2018-IA.III]							
4.3.2.1	<p>The project involves construction of commercial complex 'Ideal Unique Centre' (comprises show rooms, food court and office spaces) with total constructed area of 88248.81 sqm on total plot area of 19837.70 sqm at premises No.10 East Topsia Road, PS-Tiljala, Ward No-66 under Kolkata Municipal Corporation, Kolkata (West Bengal) by M/s Ideal Unique Realtors Pvt Ltd.</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial use as per the approved Master Plan of the area. Permission for constructed area of 74833.99 sqm was obtained from Kolkata Municipal Corporation on 12/12/2011. Further vertical extension was submitted for constructed area of 13414.82 sqm on 4/5/2015. The Building Committee approved the same vide MBC No.518 dated 19/4/2016.</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in West Bengal based on the appraisal by SEAC, West Bengal.</p> <p>The chronology of events seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the action taken are reported to be under :</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Application for EC submitted to SEAC ,West Bengal</td> <td>12/2/2008, Revised proposal on 1/9/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>20/9/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to SEIAA, West Bengal</td> <td>SEIAA, West Bengal vide letter dated 18th September, 2012 issued environmental conditions stipulated for the project, for preparation of the construction plan incorporating those conditions before submission of the same for building plan approval. The approved plan was required to be submitted to SEAC/SEIAA for consideration.</td> </tr> </table>		Application for EC submitted to SEAC ,West Bengal	12/2/2008, Revised proposal on 1/9/2011	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	20/9/2011	Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to SEIAA, West Bengal	SEIAA, West Bengal vide letter dated 18 th September, 2012 issued environmental conditions stipulated for the project, for preparation of the construction plan incorporating those conditions before submission of the same for building plan approval. The approved plan was required to be submitted to SEAC/SEIAA for consideration.
Application for EC submitted to SEAC ,West Bengal	12/2/2008, Revised proposal on 1/9/2011							
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	20/9/2011							
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to SEIAA, West Bengal	SEIAA, West Bengal vide letter dated 18 th September, 2012 issued environmental conditions stipulated for the project, for preparation of the construction plan incorporating those conditions before submission of the same for building plan approval. The approved plan was required to be submitted to SEAC/SEIAA for consideration.							

	Action taken by SEIAA, West Bengal	The application considered as violation by SEIAA, West Bengal vide Memo no EN/1334/T-II-I/019/2008 dated 21/5/2013. Thus the Authority has directed to submit a Board Resolution to ensure that such violation will not be repeated in future. The Board Resolution was submitted on 17/6/2013
	Complaint Case filed by SEIAA/State Pollution Control Board	SEIAA has filed the legal notice to Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Alipore Court on 7/12/2015 (Case No:A.C.-6085/2015). The case was disposed off by the Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Alipore by Order dated 19/3/2016. The Honorable Court has imposed a penalty, which was paid.
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in January, 2013 and about 60% of the total constructed area has been completed so far.</p> <p>Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.3.2.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>	
4.3.3	<p>Group housing project 'Spaze Privy AT4' at Village Sihi, Sector-84, Gurgaon (Haryana) by M/s Spaze Towers Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/HR/NCP/64527/2017] [F.No.23-32/2018-IA.III]</p>	
4.3.3.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential complex 'Spaze Privy AT-4' with total built-up area of 118795.578 sqm on a total plot area of 42540.72 sqm by M/s Spaze Towers Pvt Ltd at Village Sihi, Sector 84, Gurgaon (Haryana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Building Permission for built-up area of 42540.72 sqm (10.512 Acres) was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana vide letter dated 6/6/2012 and</p>	

License was granted by DTCP, Haryana.

The said project is covered under category B, of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the SEIAA in Haryana based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of the events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be under:

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana.	6 th June, 2012
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	71 st meeting - 11/12/2012 80 th meeting - 29/4/2013 84 th meeting - 17/6/2013 95 th meeting - 26/11/2013 102 nd meeting - 16/4/2014 119 th meeting - 23/10/2015 SEAC constituted a sub-committee for conducting site visit to verify the status of construction vide No. SECY/SEAC/2014/1194 dated 24 th April, 2014.
Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA	Show Cause Notice for closure u/s 31 A of the Air Act, 1981 issued by Haryana State Pollution Control Board Panchkula vide order No.HSPCB/GRS/2016/3858 dated 24 th June, 2016 for non-compliance of the provisions of the said Act. There being no reply submitted by the project proponent to the observations of SEAC, the SEAC vide letter dated 20/10/2016, provided final opportunity for submission of reply. The same is yet to be submitted.

The construction was reported to be started in April, 2012 and structure work was completed by March, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of EIA Notification 2006.

4.3.3.2 *The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-*

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

	<p>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</p> <p>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p>								
4.3.4	<p>Expansion of 'Casa Imperia' at Sr. No. 59/1/1B (P), 62/1, 59/1/2, 59/1/1A Village Wakad, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Aum Sanshruti Housing</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/65718/2017] [F. No. 23-33/2018-IA.III]</p>								
4.3.4.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex 'Casa Imperia' by M/s Aum Sanskruti Housing with total built-up area of 73,943.85 sqm on a total plot area of 28,000 sqm at Sr. No. 59/1/1B (P), 62/1, 59/1/2, 59/1/1A Village Wakad, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per the approved Development Plan of the area. Planning permission of the FSI area of 35,228.70 sqm was obtained from the Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation vide letter No.BP/layout/ENV/Waked/07/2015 dated 7/5/2015. Non-agricultural use order obtained dated 17th January, 2015 from the District Collector, Pune.</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>EC granted by SEIAA for built-up area of 58,366.22 m² (486 dwelling units & ten shop) in a total plot area of 25,000 m²</td> <td>10/12/2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application for amendment in EC submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA, Maharashtra due to addition of adjacent plot and thus expansion of the project</td> <td>2/8/2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>19th SEAC-III meeting - 29/10/2014 26th SEAC-III meeting – 26/2/2015 39th SEAC-III meeting - 29/12/2015 42nd SEAC-III meeting – 12/2/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA, Maharashtra / State Government</td> <td>During 26th SEAC Meeting : As per earlier EC vide SEAC2010/CR624/TC-II dated 10/12/2012, building C Building (P+12) was located at North East end of the plot which has been changed</td> </tr> </table>	EC granted by SEIAA for built-up area of 58,366.22 m ² (486 dwelling units & ten shop) in a total plot area of 25,000 m ²	10/12/2012	Application for amendment in EC submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA, Maharashtra due to addition of adjacent plot and thus expansion of the project	2/8/2014	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	19 th SEAC-III meeting - 29/10/2014 26 th SEAC-III meeting – 26/2/2015 39 th SEAC-III meeting - 29/12/2015 42 nd SEAC-III meeting – 12/2/2016	Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA, Maharashtra / State Government	During 26 th SEAC Meeting : As per earlier EC vide SEAC2010/CR624/TC-II dated 10/12/2012, building C Building (P+12) was located at North East end of the plot which has been changed
EC granted by SEIAA for built-up area of 58,366.22 m ² (486 dwelling units & ten shop) in a total plot area of 25,000 m ²	10/12/2012								
Application for amendment in EC submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA, Maharashtra due to addition of adjacent plot and thus expansion of the project	2/8/2014								
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	19 th SEAC-III meeting - 29/10/2014 26 th SEAC-III meeting – 26/2/2015 39 th SEAC-III meeting - 29/12/2015 42 nd SEAC-III meeting – 12/2/2016								
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA, Maharashtra / State Government	During 26 th SEAC Meeting : As per earlier EC vide SEAC2010/CR624/TC-II dated 10/12/2012, building C Building (P+12) was located at North East end of the plot which has been changed								

	as parking Building with (B+Stilt+1) this appears to be a violation of previous Environmental Clearance letter. The Committee decided to refer the case to Environment Department/SEIAA for verification of the violation, and to appraise the proposal only after due examination and appropriate action taken by SEIAA/Environment Department.
Action taken by the state government/ SEIAA, Maharashtra	Environment Department, Government of Maharashtra issued show cause notice vide letter SEAC-III-2014/CR-73/TC-3 dated 25 th March, 2015. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent, confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 1 st July, 2015.
Complaint/Case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board	MPCB has filed criminal case No. 0403666/2015 dated 7 th October, 2015 under section 15 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune.
Final recommendations of SEIAA	During 100 th SEIAA meeting held in April, 2016, it was resolved that in view of blatant violation and in utter disregard of the provisions contained in the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, the SEIAA came to the conclusion that the present proposal is not a fit case for the grant of environmental clearance and this case shall be delisted as the case is sub-judice and would be considered only after the case No. 0403666/2015 dated 20/5/2015 filed in the court of Judicial Magistrate at Pune has been decided.

The construction was started after obtaining consent to establish from MPCB on 12/7/2013 and 84.30% (49,207.89 sqm out of 58,366.22 sqm) of the built up area was completed which was not in accordance with the EC granted.

Now, the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated March 14, 2017 due to violation of the EIA notification, 2006.

<p>4.3.4.2</p>	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>						
<p>4.3.5</p>	<p>'Suyog Navkaar' at C.T.S. No. 36/1 + 37/1 + 38, F.P.394 + 395A,TPSII, 514/1, 513A/1, 513B/1 at Gultekdi, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Suyog Development Corporation Unit Twelve LLP</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/64809/2017] [F. No. 23-34/2018-IA.III]</p>						
<p>4.3.5.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex 'Suyog Navkaar' by M/s Suyog Development Corporation Unit 12 LLP with total built-up area of 55,518.25 sqm in a total plot area of 14,195.43 sqm at C.T.S.No.36/1 + 37/1 + 38, F.P.394+ 395 A, TPS-III, 514/1,513A/1,513B/1 at Gultekdi, Taluka Haveli, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for Residential cum Commercial use as per the approved Development Plan of the area. Planning/building permission for the FSI area of 9,986.78 sqm was obtained from Pune Municipal Corporation vide letter No.CC/3333/15 dated 31/12/2015. Non agricultural use order obtained dated 23/7/2014 from the district collector, Pune.</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="247 1713 1524 2038"> <tr> <td data-bbox="247 1713 885 1787">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC III / SEIAA, Maharashtra</td> <td data-bbox="885 1713 1524 1787">28/12/2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="247 1787 885 1971">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="885 1787 1524 1971">Considered in 41st SEAC-III meeting : 30/1/2016 53rd SEAC-III meeting : 7/9/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="247 1971 885 2038">Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA, Maharashtra/</td> <td data-bbox="885 1971 1524 2038">53rd SEAC-III meeting:</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC III / SEIAA, Maharashtra	28/12/2015	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Considered in 41 st SEAC-III meeting : 30/1/2016 53 rd SEAC-III meeting : 7/9/2016	Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA, Maharashtra/	53 rd SEAC-III meeting:
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC III / SEIAA, Maharashtra	28/12/2015						
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Considered in 41 st SEAC-III meeting : 30/1/2016 53 rd SEAC-III meeting : 7/9/2016						
Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA, Maharashtra/	53 rd SEAC-III meeting:						

	State Government	<p>During discussion in this meeting PP informed that they have continued to construct upto 28,231.47 sqm without obtaining prior environment clearance.</p> <p>In view of this the committee opined that there appeared to be a violation of EIA Notification, 2006 by the PP.</p> <p>Hence, SEAC decided to refer the proposal to Environment Department/SEIAA for further necessary action for Violation under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Environment Department/SEIAA may examine the same. The proposal will be appraised only after due examination and appropriate action taken by Environment Department / SEIAA.</p>
	Action taken by the state government/ SEIAA, Maharashtra	<p>Environment Department, Government of Maharashtra issued Show cause notice vide letter SEAC-III-2016/CR-55/TC-3 dated 5/11/2016.</p> <p>The Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated January 31, 2017 issued direction u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 and issued the direction to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.</p>
	Complaint / Case filed by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board	MPCB has filed Regular Criminal Case bearing No.933/2017 dated 17/12/2016 under section 15 of Environment (P) Act, 1986 in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune.
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in June, 2015 and 50.80% of the built-up area i.e. 28231.47 sqm was completed by January 31, 2017 without obtaining the prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.3.5.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p>	

	<p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>										
4.3.6	<p>'Ganesh Park-3' residential blocks at Plot No. 608 to 613/5, GIDC Ankleshwar, District Bharuch (Gujarat) by M/s Ganesh Infrastructure Co. Ho. Soc. Ltd</p> <p>[IA/GJ/NCP/72372/2017] [F. No. 23-35/2018-IA.III]</p>										
4.3.6.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential buildings 'Ganesh Park-3' with total built up area of 35,540.4 sqm on total plot area of 24346.32 sqm by M/s Ganesh Infrastructure Co-operative Housing Society Ltd at Plot No. 608 to 613/5, Paiki Residential Plot no. 5, GIDC Ankleshwar, Taluka Ankleshwar, District Bharuch (Gujarat).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area by GIDC. The project land is acquired from GIDC Ankleshwar on lease for 99 years on 6/1/2014 and the proponent has obtained approval from GIDC for the residential building on GIDC land vide letter No.GIDC/XEN/ ANK/PB/1980 dated 11/6/2013.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Gujarat based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEIAA in Gujarat</td> <td>11/3/2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>245th meeting held on 30/6/2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observation/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA</td> <td>During the meeting held on 30th June, 2015, the committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA/Complaint case filed by the State Government/State pollution Control Board</td> <td>Gujarat Pollution Control Board has filed the criminal case against the project proponent in Chief Judiciary Magistrate Court, Ankleshwar on 20/5/2015. Now, the Court has issued final order on 16/12/2017 and the penalty has been paid.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final recommendations of SEIAA</td> <td>SEIAA in its meeting held on 17th April,</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEIAA in Gujarat	11/3/2015	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	245 th meeting held on 30/6/2015	Final observation/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA	During the meeting held on 30 th June, 2015, the committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.	Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA/Complaint case filed by the State Government/State pollution Control Board	Gujarat Pollution Control Board has filed the criminal case against the project proponent in Chief Judiciary Magistrate Court, Ankleshwar on 20/5/2015. Now, the Court has issued final order on 16/12/2017 and the penalty has been paid.	Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA in its meeting held on 17 th April,
Application for EC was submitted to SEIAA in Gujarat	11/3/2015										
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	245 th meeting held on 30/6/2015										
Final observation/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA	During the meeting held on 30 th June, 2015, the committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.										
Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA/Complaint case filed by the State Government/State pollution Control Board	Gujarat Pollution Control Board has filed the criminal case against the project proponent in Chief Judiciary Magistrate Court, Ankleshwar on 20/5/2015. Now, the Court has issued final order on 16/12/2017 and the penalty has been paid.										
Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA in its meeting held on 17 th April,										

		<p>2017 came to conclusion that in view of the violation and disregard of the provisions contained in the EP Act, 1986, the proposal is not a fit case for grant of EC, and the proposal is delisted.</p>	
<p>4.3.6.2</p>	<p>The construction was reported to be started in January, 2014 and 75% of the built up area completed by March, 2015 (at the time of submission of the proposal to SEAC/SEIAA) without obtaining prior EC.</p> <p>Subsequent to delisting of the proposal by SEIAA on 17th April, 2017, the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p> <p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>		
<p>4.3.7</p>	<p>'Reflections' in (Maharashtra) by M/s Shashwati Builder</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/64555/2017] [F. No. 23-36/2018-IA.III]</p>		
<p>4.3.7.1</p>	<p><i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i></p>		
<p>4.3.8</p>	<p>Construction of 'Green Valley' at S. No. 257, Wakad, Taluka Mulshi, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Aswani Constructions</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/72416/2017] [F. No. 23-37/2018-IA.III]</p>		
<p>4.3.8.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex 'Green Valley' by M/s Aswani Constructions with total built up area of 29,464.01 sqm at Village Wakad, Taluka Mushi, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for Residential cum Commercial use as per approved master plan of the area. Planning / Building permission for built up area of 29464.01 sqm was obtained from Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation (PCMC) vide letter dated 4/7/2013 followed by revised approval on 30/5/2014 followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune on 3/10/2013.</p>		

The said project / activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to EIA notification 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA of Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under :

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	27/08/2014
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	SEAC-III has initially taken up the project for consideration in its 19 th meeting dated 30/10/2014
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	SEAC-III referred proposal to SEIAA for verification of violation
Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	The Environmental Department of the State Government vide letter dated 17/11/2014 issued directions u/s 5 of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 1/12/2014. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the Project Proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 30/3/2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority. SEIAA asked the project proponent to furnish a resolution expressing apology on the violation already committed.
Complaint case filed by the State Government/ State Pollution Control Board	Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has filed a Court Case for violation vide RCC No. 040/4254/2015 dated 5/11/2015.
Further Consideration of the Project by SEAC & recommendations	SEAC-III in its 55 th meeting dated 4/10/2016 has recommended the proposal for EC
Final recommendations of SEIAA	The project could not be taken up by SEIAA.

The construction was reported to be started in June, 2013 i.e. before submission of the proposal for EC to SEAC/SEIAA, and 100% of built up area was completed by November, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification 2006.

<p>4.3.8.2</p>	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>						
<p>4.3.9</p>	<p>Commercial Complex 'Spaze Arrow' at Village Naurangpur, Sector-78, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Spaze Tower Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/HR/NCP/64583/2017] [F. No. 23-38/2018-IA.III]</p>						
<p>4.3.9.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of commercial complex 'Spaze Arrow' by M/s Spaze Tower Pvt. Ltd with total built-up area of 29,523.05 sqm on a total plot area of 13111.559 sqm at village-Naurangpur, Sector 78, Gurgaon (Haryana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Building Permission for built-up area of 13111.559 sqm (3.2 acre) was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana vide letter dated 28/ 2/2013 followed by commercial use license granted by DTCP, Haryana.</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B, of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the SEIAA in Haryana based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of the events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be under:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="287 1563 1484 2038"> <tr> <td data-bbox="287 1563 885 1637">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana.</td> <td data-bbox="885 1563 1484 1637">1/7/2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="287 1637 885 1935">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="885 1637 1484 1935">Initial appraisal was done in 91st meeting on 18.09.2013 98th appraisal meeting on 8/1/2014 (not appraised) 102nd appraisal meeting on 16/4/2014, site visit directed by SEAC</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="287 1935 885 2038">Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td data-bbox="885 1935 1484 2038">Based on the findings of SEAC during site visit on 29/5/2014, SEIAA was informed about the start of construction</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana.	1/7/2013	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Initial appraisal was done in 91 st meeting on 18.09.2013 98 th appraisal meeting on 8/1/2014 (not appraised) 102 nd appraisal meeting on 16/4/2014, site visit directed by SEAC	Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Based on the findings of SEAC during site visit on 29/5/2014, SEIAA was informed about the start of construction
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana.	1/7/2013						
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Initial appraisal was done in 91 st meeting on 18.09.2013 98 th appraisal meeting on 8/1/2014 (not appraised) 102 nd appraisal meeting on 16/4/2014, site visit directed by SEAC						
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Based on the findings of SEAC during site visit on 29/5/2014, SEIAA was informed about the start of construction						

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="288 129 890 203"></td> <td data-bbox="890 129 1482 203">without EC and to take action accordingly.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="288 203 890 573">Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA</td> <td data-bbox="890 203 1482 573"> <p>SEIAA issued show cause notice vide memo No. SEIAA/HR/2014/1438 dated 7/11/2014.</p> <p>HSPCB vide letter dated 4/1/2017 has issued directions under the Air Act and the Water Act, to close down operation of the project by sealing the plant and machinery along with DG set, if any, with immediate effect.</p> </td> </tr> </table>		without EC and to take action accordingly.	Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA	<p>SEIAA issued show cause notice vide memo No. SEIAA/HR/2014/1438 dated 7/11/2014.</p> <p>HSPCB vide letter dated 4/1/2017 has issued directions under the Air Act and the Water Act, to close down operation of the project by sealing the plant and machinery along with DG set, if any, with immediate effect.</p>	
	without EC and to take action accordingly.					
Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA	<p>SEIAA issued show cause notice vide memo No. SEIAA/HR/2014/1438 dated 7/11/2014.</p> <p>HSPCB vide letter dated 4/1/2017 has issued directions under the Air Act and the Water Act, to close down operation of the project by sealing the plant and machinery along with DG set, if any, with immediate effect.</p>					
<p>4.3.9.2</p>	<p>The construction was reported to be started in March, 2013 and structure work was completed by October, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of EIA Notification, 2006.</p> <p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>					
<p>4.3.10</p>	<p>Commercial Complex 'Spaze Boulevard I' at village Tikri, Sector-47, Gurgaon (Haryana) by M/s Spaze Tower Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/HR/NCP/64589/2017] [F. No. 23-39/2018-IA.III]</p>					
<p>4.3.10.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of commercial complex 'Spaze Boulevard I' by M/s Spaze Towers Pvt Ltd with total built-up area of 29,523.05 sqm and a total plot area of 11,537.50 sqm at village Tikri, Sector-47, Gurgaon (Haryana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial use as per the license granted by DTCP. Building Permission for plot area of 11,537.50 sqm (2.851 acres) was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana vide letter ZP-318/JD(BS)/2010/11053 dated 7/9/2010 followed by commercial use license granted by the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana.</p>					

The said project is covered under category B, of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the SEIAA, Haryana based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of the events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be under:

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana.	1/12/2010
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Initial Appraisal 54 th Meeting of SEAC: 23/2/2011. 56 th meeting on 29/03/2011 81 st meeting on 10/05/2013 87 th meeting on 22/07/2013 91 st meeting on 19/09/2013 106 th meeting on 17/06/2014
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Based on findings of SEAC during site visit on 26/6/2014, SEIAA was informed about the start of construction without obtaining EC and to take action accordingly.
Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA	SEIAA issued show cause notice vide memo No. SEIAA/HR/2015/147 dated 5 th February, 2015.
Competent case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board	None
Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and recommendation	The SEAC issued direction vide letter No. HR/SEAC/75/735 dated 30 th September, 2013 to stop the construction and submit the Board Resolution and affidavit. MoEF RO letter No. 3-287/2011-RO(NZ) dated 30 th April, 2014, site visited on 26 th June, 2014 by SEAC members as per minutes.

The construction was reported to be started in October, 2010 and the construction work has been completed by December, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of EIA Notification, 2006

4.3.10.2 *The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-*

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

	<p>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p>						
4.3.11	<p>Commercial Complex 'Spaze Boulevard II' at Sector-47, Gurgaon (Haryana) by M/s Spaze Tower Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/HR/NCP/64592/2017] [F. No. 23-40/2018-IA.III]</p>						
4.3.11.1	<p>The project involves construction of commercial complex 'Spaze Boulevard II' by M/s Spaze Towers Pvt Ltd with total built-up area of 23,185.40 sqm and a total plot area of 8979.96 sqm at village Tikri, Sector 47, Gurgaon (Haryana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial use as per the license granted by DTCP. Building Permission for plot area of 8,979.96 sqm (2.21 acres) was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana vide letter No.ZP-319/AD(RA)/2013/31511 dated 22/2/2013 and license has been granted for commercial use by the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Haryana.</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B, of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the SEIAA, Haryana based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of the events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be under:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="280 1196 1490 1532"> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana</td> <td>13/9/2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>95th meeting of SEAC on 27/11/2013.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td>Show cause notice was issue vide order No. HSPCB/GRN/2016/6727-28 dated 20/7/2016 for violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</td> </tr> </table> <p>The construction was reported to be started in 8/6/2012 and the external brickwork has been completed as on date without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana	13/9/2012	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	95 th meeting of SEAC on 27/11/2013.	Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Show cause notice was issue vide order No. HSPCB/GRN/2016/6727-28 dated 20/7/2016 for violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana	13/9/2012						
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	95 th meeting of SEAC on 27/11/2013.						
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Show cause notice was issue vide order No. HSPCB/GRN/2016/6727-28 dated 20/7/2016 for violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.						
4.3.11.2	<p>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</p> <p>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p>						

	<p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>
4.3.12	<p>Commercial complex 'Shanta Sriram-Tech Park' at Tehsil Secunderabad, District Hyderabad (Telangana) by M/s Shanta Sriram Constructions Pvt Ltd (SSCPL)</p> <p>[IA/TG/NCP/66158/2017] [F.No.23-41/2018-IA.III]</p>
4.3.12.1	<p><i>The EAC was informed that the above proposal was submitted on Ministry's portal on 13th July, 2017, and wrongly listed because of the similarity of another proposal No.IA/TG/NCP/64597/2017 for the project titled 'Shanta Sriram-Tech Park' at Hyderabad. The said proposal as mentioned under the number will be heard by the Committee on 21st February, 2018 after the regular agenda.</i></p>
4.3.13	<p>'ISCON Commercial Complex' at Survey No. 25, 27/B/1, 31,38, Final TPS No. 18 (Sarangpur), FP No.32/Paiki, village Rajpur-Hirpur, Taluka Maninagar, District Ahmedabad (Gujarat) by M/s Iscon City Center LLP</p> <p>[IA/GJ/NCP/72331/2018] [F. No. 23-42/2018-IA.III]</p>
4.3.13.1	<p>The project involves construction of commercial building 'Iscon Commercial Complex' by Iscon City Center LLP having total built up area of 43,695.51 sqm on total plot area of 8,764.20 sqm at Survey No.25,27/B/1,31,38, Final TPS No. 18, Sarangpur area, FP No. 32/Paiki, Village Rajpur-Hirpur, Taluka Maninagar, District Ahmedabad (Gujarat).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial use as per zoning certificate of Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation (AMC). The subject land is a closed mill land purchased in an auction conducted by the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat. The project has the approval of commencement letter (Rajachitti) dated 8th June, 2016 from Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation (AMC).</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA, Gujarat based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>No application for obtaining prior EC has been made to SEAC/SEIAA Gujarat for the project. The construction was reported to be started in June, 2016 and construction of 25000 sqm was completed (nearly 55%) by May, 2017 i.e. at the submission of the proposal to this Ministry.</p> <p>As the construction was already started without obtaining prior EC, the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>

4.3.13.2	<p>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</p> <p>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p> <p>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</p> <p>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p>								
4.3.14	<p>Construction of '43 Privet Drive' at S. No. 43, Balewadi, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s. S. S. Properties</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/72379/2017] [F. No. 23-43/2018-IA.III]</p>								
4.3.14.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential complex '43 Privet Drive' by M/s S. S. Properties with total built up area of 87798.75 sqm on a total plot area 29334 sqm at S. No.43, Near Mitcon Institute, Balewadi, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/Building permission for built up area of 35814.89 sqm was obtained from Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation (PCMC) vide letter dated 28/12/2015 with non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune on 28/12/2015.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to EIA notification 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA of Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under :</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="300 1563 1474 2040"> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 1563 858 1637">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra</td> <td data-bbox="858 1563 1474 1637">30/4/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 1637 858 1711">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="858 1637 1474 1711">63rd meeting - 28/12/2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 1711 858 1899">Observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)</td> <td data-bbox="858 1711 1474 1899">SEAC declared the project involving violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 due to construction started at site without obtaining EC.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="300 1899 858 2040">Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA</td> <td data-bbox="858 1899 1474 2040">The Environmental Department of the State Government vide letter dated 22/7/2013 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	30/4/2011	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	63 rd meeting - 28/12/2012	Observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	SEAC declared the project involving violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 due to construction started at site without obtaining EC.	Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA	The Environmental Department of the State Government vide letter dated 22/7/2013 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	30/4/2011								
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	63 rd meeting - 28/12/2012								
Observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	SEAC declared the project involving violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 due to construction started at site without obtaining EC.								
Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA	The Environmental Department of the State Government vide letter dated 22/7/2013 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for								

		the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 8/8/2013. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 26/6/2014, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.
	Complaint case filed by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board.	Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has filed a Court Case for violation vide No.3813/2014 on October 2014
	Further Consideration of the Project by SEAC & recommendations	21 st meeting - 18/11/2014 31 st meeting - 10/8/2015 37 th meeting - 18/11/2015 40 th meeting - 14/1/2016 SEAC-III committee visited the site on 22 nd August 2015 & reply for the same was submitted. The proposal was recommended by SEAC for EC in its 40 th meeting held on 14/1/2016.
	Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA delisted the case until the case No.3813/2014 filed in the first class Judicial Magistrate at Pune has been decided in 99 th SEIAA meeting dated 7/4/2016.

The construction was reported to be started in last week of April 2012 and 40% of built up area was completed by June, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th Mar 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

4.3.14.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>
-----------------	--

4.3.15	<p>Construction of 'Linera' at Survey No. 121, Hissa No. 2/1/1,2/2/1,2/3/1, 2/4 &2/5, at Wakad, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Royal Developers</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/67652/2017] [F. No. 23-44/2018-IA.III]</p>								
4.3.15.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex 'Linera' by M/s Royal Developers with total built up area of 48.332.74 sqm on a total plot area of 16827 sqm at S.No.121/2/1/1, 121/2/2/1, 121/2/3/1, 121/2/4 & 121/2/5, Thergaon-Hinjewadi Road, Village Wakad, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/Building permission for built up area of 22846.24 sqm was obtained from Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation (PCMC) vide letter dated 29/8/2011 followed by revised approval on 12/11/2012 followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune on 20/10/2011.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to EIA notification 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA of Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorise and the actions taken are reported to be as under :</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="245 974 1517 2040"> <tr> <td data-bbox="245 974 868 1048">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra</td> <td data-bbox="868 974 1517 1048">4/9/2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="245 1048 868 1122">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="868 1048 1517 1122">9th meeting - 13/5/2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="245 1122 868 1491">Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)</td> <td data-bbox="868 1122 1517 1491">The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="245 1491 868 2040">Action taken by State Government/SEIAA</td> <td data-bbox="868 1491 1517 2040">The Environment Department of the State Government vide their letter dated 11/6/2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the Project Proponent on 7/7/2014. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 16/2/2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.</td> </tr> </table> <p>SEIAA asked the project proponent to</p>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	4/9/2012	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	9 th meeting - 13/5/2014	Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.	Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	The Environment Department of the State Government vide their letter dated 11/6/2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the Project Proponent on 7/7/2014. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 16/2/2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	4/9/2012								
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	9 th meeting - 13/5/2014								
Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.								
Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	The Environment Department of the State Government vide their letter dated 11/6/2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the Project Proponent on 7/7/2014. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 16/2/2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.								

		furnish a resolution expressing apology on the violation already committed.
	Complaint case filed by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board.	Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has not filed any Court Case against us.
	Further Consideration of the Project by SEAC & recommendations	32 nd meeting - 25/8/2015 46 th meeting - 27/4/2016
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in December, 2011 and 63% of built up area was completed by January, 2015 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.3.15.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>	
Day 2: Tuesday, 20th February, 2018		
4.4.1	<p>Expansion of residential project 'Neco Skypark' at S.No. 20, Vishal Nagar, Pimple Nilakh, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Tirth Home Developers</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/70540/2017] [F. No. 23-45/2018-IA.III]</p>	
4.4.1.1	<p><i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i></p>	
4.4.2	<p>Change in product mix and enhancement of Plant facilities for manufacturing unit at Plot No. 43, 44 & 45, KIADB Industrial Area, Bommasandra - Jigani Link Road, IV Phase, Taluk Anekal, District Bangalore Urban (Karnataka) M/s Micro Labs Limited</p> <p>[IA/KA/IND2/67908/2017] [23-46/2018-IA.III]</p>	
4.4.2.1	<p>The project involves change in product mix and enhancement of Plant facilities for manufacturing unit by M/s Micro Labs Limited at Plot No.43, 44 & 45, KIADB Industrial</p>	

Area, Bommaasandra - Jigani Link Road, IV Phase, Taluk Anekal, District Bangalore Urban (Karnataka).

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA based on the appraisal by State Expert Appraisal Committee in Karnataka.

The plant/unit was earlier set up with the consent to establish in July, 2006 from the State Pollution Control Board. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with consent to operate renewed from time to time by the SPCB. Presently, the unit is in operation with the consent to operate valid up to 30/6/2021 within the EC already available.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

EC from MoEF/Consent from SPCB	14/7/2005 for 22 products of total capacity of 33.350 TPA. Prior to that, consent to establish obtained on 30/3/2005 for 22 products from the SPCB. In 2008-09, number of products increased from 22 to 38, but the total production capacity remaining same. During 2011-16, number of products increased from 38 to 65 with the total production capacity increased to 36.445 TPA. During 2016-18, number of products increased from 65 to 93 with the total production capacity remaining same.
Application for ToR was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	5/7/2016 ToR was granted by SEIAA on 2/9/2016 for 93 products with production capacity of 36.445 TPA. However, no proposal for EC was submitted to SEIAA.
Action taken by SEIAA	Directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 were issued by SEIAA on 14/12/2016 to suspend all the production process and other related activity within the industrial premises with immediate effect.
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	18/3/2017
Appraisal by SEAC	181 st meeting on 19/4/2017. The Committee observed that the project proponent has obtained EC for 22 products, whereas the unit is engaged in manufacturing 65 products without obtaining EC from SEIAA, which amounts to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

	Final recommendations of SEIAA	To delist the proposal vide letter dated 28/4/2017.						
	<p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>							
4.4.2.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>							
4.4.3	<p>Existing and proposed expansion of cosmaceutical, active pharmaceuticals and specialty chemicals manufacturing unit at Plot No. 36A/B/60/62/63/64/65 and 83 & 84, KIADB Industrial Area, Jigani village, Anekal Taluk, District Bengaluru (Karnataka) by M/s Kumar Organic Products Ltd</p> <p>[IA/KA/IND2/67915/2017] [23-47/2018-IA.III]</p>							
4.4.3.1	<p>The project involves manufacturing of cosmaceutical, active pharmaceuticals and specialty chemicals by M/s Kumar Organic Products Ltd at Plot No. 36A/B/60/62/63/64/65 and 83 & 84, KIADB Industrial Area, Jigani village, Anekal Taluk, District Bengaluru (Karnataka).</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA based on the appraisal by State Expert Appraisal Committee in Karnataka.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="268 1749 1503 2042"> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1749 874 1823">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA, Karnataka</td> <td data-bbox="874 1749 1503 1823">7/4/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1823 874 1897">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="874 1823 1503 1897">19/4/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="268 1897 874 2042">Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td data-bbox="874 1897 1503 2042">SEAC in its meeting held on 19/4/2017, noted that the industry has gone for expansion in 2011 without obtaining prior EC, and also without consent to</td> </tr> </table>		Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA, Karnataka	7/4/2017	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	19/4/2017	Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	SEAC in its meeting held on 19/4/2017, noted that the industry has gone for expansion in 2011 without obtaining prior EC, and also without consent to
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA, Karnataka	7/4/2017							
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	19/4/2017							
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	SEAC in its meeting held on 19/4/2017, noted that the industry has gone for expansion in 2011 without obtaining prior EC, and also without consent to							

		establish/operate. During the meeting, the proponent agreed the proposal involving violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.				
	Action taken by the State Government/SEIAA	SEIAA in its 135 th meeting held on 26-27 April, 2017 asked the project proponent to obtain EC in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.				
	Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.					
4.4.3.2	<i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations, found that many of the proceedings related to consideration of the proposal for EC were not reported. The project proponent was asked to submit the complete details in the format for consideration of the proposal. The proposal was, therefore, not taken forward.</i>					
4.4.4	Change in product mix for bulk drugs and intermediates manufacturing unit at Plot No.285, 286 & 287, KIADB Industrial Area Bommasandra - Jigani Link Road, Phase-IV, Anekal Taluk, District Bengaluru (Karnataka) by M/s CIPLA [IA/KA/IND2/69050/2017] [F. No. 23-48/2018-IA.III]					
4.4.4.1	<p>The project involves change in product mix for bulk drugs and intermediates manufacturing by M/s CIPLA at Plot No.285, 286 & 287, KIADB Industrial Area Bommasandra-Jigani Link Road, Phase-IV, Anekal Taluk, District Bengaluru (Karnataka).</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA based on the appraisal by State Expert Appraisal Committee in Karnataka.</p> <p>The plant/unit was earlier set up with the consent to establish in July, 2006 from the State Pollution Control Board. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with consent to operate renewed from time to time by the SPCB.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>EC from MoEF/Consent from SPCB</td> <td>5/3/2007 for 33 products of total capacity of 119.48 TPA. Prior to that, consent to establish obtained on 14/7/2006 for 43 products from the SPCB. In 2011-12, number of products increased from 43 to 63, but the total production capacity was reduced to 114.22 TPA. Again in 2015-16, number of products increased from 63 to 81 with the total production capacity further reduced to 113.46 TPA.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application for ToR/EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka</td> <td>15/5/2016</td> </tr> </table>		EC from MoEF/Consent from SPCB	5/3/2007 for 33 products of total capacity of 119.48 TPA. Prior to that, consent to establish obtained on 14/7/2006 for 43 products from the SPCB. In 2011-12, number of products increased from 43 to 63, but the total production capacity was reduced to 114.22 TPA. Again in 2015-16, number of products increased from 63 to 81 with the total production capacity further reduced to 113.46 TPA.	Application for ToR/EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	15/5/2016
EC from MoEF/Consent from SPCB	5/3/2007 for 33 products of total capacity of 119.48 TPA. Prior to that, consent to establish obtained on 14/7/2006 for 43 products from the SPCB. In 2011-12, number of products increased from 43 to 63, but the total production capacity was reduced to 114.22 TPA. Again in 2015-16, number of products increased from 63 to 81 with the total production capacity further reduced to 113.46 TPA.					
Application for ToR/EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	15/5/2016					

		<p>ToR was granted by SEIAA on 24/9/2016. However, no proposal for EC was submitted to SEIAA.</p> <p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>						
<p>4.4.4.2</p>	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, observed that the proponent has remained within the EC dated 5th March, 2007 in terms of product quantity, and also even for the product mix is covered under this Ministry's letter dated 14th March, 2011 and the Ministry's Notification dated 23rd November, 2016.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the above, the Committee opined that the case is not covered under violation and the State Government/SEIAA can appraise the case as per the ToR granted on 24th September, 2016.</i></p>							
<p>4.4.5</p>	<p>Existing 3 additional above ground tanks for the storage of 1 x 2929 KL & 1 x 2933 KL HSD & 1 x 1347 KL MS at IOCL Akola Depot, Tehsil Balapur, District Akola (Maharashtra) by Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL)</p> <p>[IA/MH/IND2/64594/2017] [F. No.23-49/2018-IA.III]</p>							
<p>4.4.5.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of 3 additional above ground tanks by Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL) for storage of 1 x 2929 KL & 1 x 2933 KL HSD and 1 x 1347 KL MS in a total area of 187500 sqm at IOCL Akola depot, Tehsil Balapur, District Akola (Maharashtra). At present, there are facilities for storage of 12550 KL of petroleum products (HSD, MS, SKO & Ethanol).</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B of item 6(b) of schedule to EIA Notification, 2006 and requires prior EC from SEIAA in Maharashtra based on appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="419 1384 1329 1574"> <tr> <td>Construction of additional tankages</td> <td>Completed in 2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Show cause notice from MPCB under the provisions of the Air Act and the Water Act</td> <td>14/8/2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reply to show cause notice</td> <td>6/4/2016</td> </tr> </table> <p>Now proposal has been submitted to Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006</p>	Construction of additional tankages	Completed in 2013	Show cause notice from MPCB under the provisions of the Air Act and the Water Act	14/8/2015	Reply to show cause notice	6/4/2016	
Construction of additional tankages	Completed in 2013							
Show cause notice from MPCB under the provisions of the Air Act and the Water Act	14/8/2015							
Reply to show cause notice	6/4/2016							
<p>4.4.5.2</p>	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p>							

	<p>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</p> <p>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p>						
4.4.6	<p>3 additional tanks for storage of 1 x 2,984 KL MS, 1 x 4, 160 KL HSD & 1 x 50 KL Ethanol at IOCL Pune Terminal, Kadam Wak Wasti, LoniKalbhor, Taluka Haveli, Pune-Solapur Road, District Pune (Maharashtra) by Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL)</p> <p>[IA/MH/IND2/64619/2017] [F. No. 23-50/2018-IA.III]</p>						
4.4.6.1	<p>The project involves construction of 3 additional tanks for storage of 1 x 2,984 KL MS, 1 x 4,160 KL HSD and 1 x 50 KL Ethanol by Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL) in a total area of 107192 sqm at IOCL Pune Terminal, Kadam WakWasti, LoniKalbhor, Taluka Haveli, Pune- Solapur Road, District Pune (Maharashtra). At present, there are facilities for storage of 22804 KL of petroleum products (HSD, MS & Ethanol).</p> <p>The said project is covered under category B of item 6(b) of schedule to EIA notification 2006 and requires prior EC from SEIAA in Maharashtra based on appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="395 1160 1385 1350"> <tr> <td>Construction of additional tankages</td> <td>Completed in 2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Show cause notice from MPCB under the provisions of the Air Act and the Water Act</td> <td>29th November, 2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reply to show cause notice</td> <td>6th December, 2014</td> </tr> </table> <p>Now proposal has been submitted to Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	Construction of additional tankages	Completed in 2013	Show cause notice from MPCB under the provisions of the Air Act and the Water Act	29 th November, 2014	Reply to show cause notice	6 th December, 2014
Construction of additional tankages	Completed in 2013						
Show cause notice from MPCB under the provisions of the Air Act and the Water Act	29 th November, 2014						
Reply to show cause notice	6 th December, 2014						
4.4.6.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after</i></p>						

	<i>successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i>						
4.4.7	<p>Proposed expansion of polymeric resins and formulations capacity 35 MT/month to 319 MT/month at SIDCO Industrial Estate, Plot NO. 108,109 & 110, Village Neduncheri, Taluk Poonamallee, District Thrivallur (Tamil Nadu) by M/s Vasavibala Resins Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/TN/IND2/64673/2017] [F.No. 23-51/2018-IA.III]</p>						
4.4.7.1	<p>The project involves manufacturing unsaturated polyester resin of production capacity 35 MT by M/s Vasavibala Resins Pvt Ltd in an area of 1040 sqm at SIDCO Industrial Estate, village Neduncheri, Taluka/Mandal Poonamallee, District Thiruvallur (Tamil Nadu).</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA based on the appraisal by the State Expert Appraisal Committee in Tamil Nadu.</p> <p>The plant/unit was earlier set up with the consent to establish dated 16th September, 1992 from the State Pollution Control Board. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with consent to operate renewed from time to time by the SPCB. The last consent to operate for production capacity of 35 TPM was valid up to 30/6/2008.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="256 1084 1516 1677"> <tr> <td>Application for ToR submitted to SEAC/SEIAA Tamil Nadu</td> <td>27/11/2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC/SEIAA</td> <td>SEIAA, while scrutinizing the proposal, noted that the proponent is engaged in carrying out the existing operations without obtaining the prior EC. The Authority further noted that the proposal has been submitted for expansion from 35 to 319 TPM. SEIAA vide letter dated 15/12/2014, informed the project proponent for processing the application after receipt of Hon'ble NGT (SZ) orders in the matter.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of the SEIAA</td> <td>SEIAA vide letter dated 19/6/2017 directed the project proponent to submit the proposal to MoEF&CC</td> </tr> </table> <p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	Application for ToR submitted to SEAC/SEIAA Tamil Nadu	27/11/2014	Appraisal by SEAC/SEIAA	SEIAA, while scrutinizing the proposal, noted that the proponent is engaged in carrying out the existing operations without obtaining the prior EC. The Authority further noted that the proposal has been submitted for expansion from 35 to 319 TPM. SEIAA vide letter dated 15/12/2014, informed the project proponent for processing the application after receipt of Hon'ble NGT (SZ) orders in the matter.	Final observations/recommendations of the SEIAA	SEIAA vide letter dated 19/6/2017 directed the project proponent to submit the proposal to MoEF&CC
Application for ToR submitted to SEAC/SEIAA Tamil Nadu	27/11/2014						
Appraisal by SEAC/SEIAA	SEIAA, while scrutinizing the proposal, noted that the proponent is engaged in carrying out the existing operations without obtaining the prior EC. The Authority further noted that the proposal has been submitted for expansion from 35 to 319 TPM. SEIAA vide letter dated 15/12/2014, informed the project proponent for processing the application after receipt of Hon'ble NGT (SZ) orders in the matter.						
Final observations/recommendations of the SEIAA	SEIAA vide letter dated 19/6/2017 directed the project proponent to submit the proposal to MoEF&CC						
4.4.7.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the</i></p>						

	<p><i>provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>												
4.4.8	<p>Increase in production capacity of few products, addition of new products and installation of incinerator at existing refrigerant gas & fluorospecialty chemicals manufacturing plant at Survey No.16/3, 26, 27, Village Ranjitnagar, Taluka Ghogamba, District Panchmahal (Gujarat) by M/s Gujarat Flurochemicals Limited</p> <p>[IA/GJ/IND2/64701/2017] [F.No.23-52/2018-IA.III]</p>												
4.4.8.1	<p>The project involves expansion of refrigerant gas and fluoro-specialty chemicals from the present capacity of 25000 TPA to 46,800 TPA by M/s Gujarat Fluorochemicals Ltd in an area of 205803 sqm at survey No.16/3, 26, 27, Village Ranjitnagar, Taluka Ghoghamba, District Panchmahal (Gujarat).</p> <p>The said project/activity is under category A of item 5(f) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the MoEF&CC based on appraisal by Expert Appraisal Committee.</p> <p>The Plant/unit was earlier set up with the Consent to Establish in October, 1989 from the State Pollution Control Board. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with Consent to operate renewed from time to time by the SPCB. The consent to operate is presently valid up to 12/4/2022.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>EC for manufacturing refrigerant gas and fluoro-specialty chemicals of 25000 TPA</td> <td>Letter No.J-11011/356/2007 dated 14/8/2007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application for ToR for the expansion and installation of incinerator submitted to EAC (Industry-2)/MoEF&CC</td> <td>4/1/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ToR issued</td> <td>16/7/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Proposal submitted for EC</td> <td>1/2/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by EAC (Industry-2)</td> <td>19th EAC (Industry-2) meeting on 6/2/2017, wherein the proposal was recommended for grant of EC.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of the EAC (Industry-2)</td> <td>The sectoral Committee recommended the project for grant of Environmental</td> </tr> </table>	EC for manufacturing refrigerant gas and fluoro-specialty chemicals of 25000 TPA	Letter No.J-11011/356/2007 dated 14/8/2007	Application for ToR for the expansion and installation of incinerator submitted to EAC (Industry-2)/MoEF&CC	4/1/2016	ToR issued	16/7/2016	Proposal submitted for EC	1/2/2017	Appraisal by EAC (Industry-2)	19 th EAC (Industry-2) meeting on 6/2/2017, wherein the proposal was recommended for grant of EC.	Final observations/recommendations of the EAC (Industry-2)	The sectoral Committee recommended the project for grant of Environmental
EC for manufacturing refrigerant gas and fluoro-specialty chemicals of 25000 TPA	Letter No.J-11011/356/2007 dated 14/8/2007												
Application for ToR for the expansion and installation of incinerator submitted to EAC (Industry-2)/MoEF&CC	4/1/2016												
ToR issued	16/7/2016												
Proposal submitted for EC	1/2/2017												
Appraisal by EAC (Industry-2)	19 th EAC (Industry-2) meeting on 6/2/2017, wherein the proposal was recommended for grant of EC.												
Final observations/recommendations of the EAC (Industry-2)	The sectoral Committee recommended the project for grant of Environmental												

		Clearance subject to compliance of certain conditions.
	Chronology of subsequent events	<p>Meanwhile, two complaints were received in MoEFCC alleging unauthorized construction.</p> <p>MoEFCC directed CPCB to verify complaints. CPCB, in turn, directed GPCB to carryout investigation in this regard.</p> <p>GPCB inspected the unit on 19/04/2017 and found that one complainant did not exist whereas the other complainant refused of having made the stated complaint.</p> <p>The GPCB further noted that the unit was engaged in manufacturing only consented products within their consented quantities. However, it was also noted by them that unit has constructed a structure for RFA/DHA.</p>
	Complaint case filed by the State Government/ State Pollution Control Board	Based on the findings of GPCB, a case was filed bearing No.1817/2017 in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Halol. The court stated that the offence occurred due to lack of knowledge of law and ordered to pay fine of Rs 3,25,000/- to the company as well as all the accused (Director).
	<p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.4.8.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, noted that the appraisal process for expansion was already completed at the central level, as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The Committee further observed that the structure, which could be a part of the project, was incomplete and not in operation. Also, the project proponent have already been penalised by the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, for the structure erected and noted by GPCB during their inspection of the project site on 19/4/2017. The case has been disposed off and the penalty has been deposited.</i></p> <p><i>The Committee further noted that since the sectoral EAC had already recommended the project, the proposal may be taken to the same Committee with the above observations. The Committee suggested that based on fresh recommendations of the sectoral EAC, the proposal for EC may be taken forward with the additional condition that the project proponent would assess any environmental/ecological damage as special study and submit the findings to the Ministry.</i></p>	

4.4.9	<p>Construction of 'Horizons at Wakad' at Survey No. 127/2A, 127/2B/2, 76/2/B (Plot No.1), Wakad-Hinjewadi Road Wakad, Town/Tehsil Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s ADI Realities</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/67082/2017] [F.No. 23-53/2018-IA.III]</p>								
4.4.9.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex 'Horizons at Wakad' by M/s ADI Realities with total built up area of 30894.26 sqm on total plot area of 12365 sqm at Survey No-127/2A, 127/2B/2, 176/2/1B(Plot No.1), Wakad, Hinjewadi Road Wakad, Town/Tehsil Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. The non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune was received on 25th November, 2010. Planning/Building permission for built up area of 19264.44 sqm was obtained from Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation (PCMC) vide letter dated 10th January, 2011 followed by revised approval in March, 2012, December 2012, October, 2013 and the last amended plan on 29th March, 2016.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA of Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="248 1048 1517 2029"> <tr> <td data-bbox="248 1048 871 1122">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra</td> <td data-bbox="871 1048 1517 1122">8th February, 2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="248 1122 871 1196">Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td data-bbox="871 1122 1517 1196">5th meeting - 25/2/2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="248 1196 871 1458">Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)</td> <td data-bbox="871 1196 1517 1458">During the meeting held on 25/2/2014, the SEAC noted that the project proponent has initiated construction of seven structures without obtaining prior EC, and as such, the case appears to be violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="248 1458 871 2029">Action taken by State Government/SEIAA</td> <td data-bbox="871 1458 1517 2029"> <p>The Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated 9th April, 2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 5th May, 2014.</p> <p>The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 on 1st January, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.</p> </td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	8 th February, 2012	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	5 th meeting - 25/2/2014	Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	During the meeting held on 25/2/2014, the SEAC noted that the project proponent has initiated construction of seven structures without obtaining prior EC, and as such, the case appears to be violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.	Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	<p>The Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated 9th April, 2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 5th May, 2014.</p> <p>The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 on 1st January, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.</p>
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	8 th February, 2012								
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	5 th meeting - 25/2/2014								
Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	During the meeting held on 25/2/2014, the SEAC noted that the project proponent has initiated construction of seven structures without obtaining prior EC, and as such, the case appears to be violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.								
Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	<p>The Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated 9th April, 2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 5th May, 2014.</p> <p>The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 on 1st January, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.</p>								

		SEIAA asked the project proponent to furnish a resolution expressing apology on the violation already committed.
	Complaint case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board.	Complaint case No.1184/2015 was filed on 17/3/2015 by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune, which still pending for disposal.
	Further Consideration of the Project by SEAC & recommendations	32 nd SEAC III meeting - 24/8/2015 42 nd SEAC III meeting – 10/2/2016 53 rd SEAC III meeting – 7/9/2016 and 56 th SEAC III meeting – 15/10/2016, wherein the proposal was recommended for grant of EC.
	Final recommendations of SEIAA	The case was not taken up by SEIAA due not in existence.
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in January, 2011 and 87% of built up area was completed by December, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA notification, 2006.</p>	
4.4.9.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>	
4.4.10	<p>Residential flats and commercial shops 'Aaryan City' at Survey No. 216, 218, F.P. No. 39/1+43, O.P. No. 39/1+43, Draft T.P.S. No. 33, Village Gota, Taluka Daskroi, District Ahmedabad (Gujarat) by M/s Aaryan Infrabuild</p> <p>[IA/GJ/NCP/72298/2017] [F. No. 23-54/2018-IA.III]</p>	
4.4.10.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential flats and commercial shops 'Aaryan City' by M/s Aaryan Infrabuild with total built-up area of 58,971.31 sqm in total plot area of 14,357 sqm at Survey No. 216, 218, F. P. No. 39/1+43, O. P. No. 39/1+43, Draft T.P.S.</p>	

No. 33, Village Gota, Taluka Daskroi, District Ahmedabad (Gujarat).

The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Non - Agricultural use order by the District Collector, Ahmedabad on 22nd December 2010, 08th April 2011 and 18th February 2012 followed by Planning/building permission for built up area of 19,988.11 sqm was obtained from the Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation (AMC) vide letter dated on 09th April 2013.

Subsequently, project proponent planned to expand stated project within the existing plot area of 14,357 sqm. Therefore, total built-up area after expansion is 58,971.31 sqm [i.e. 19,988.11 sqm (phase-1) + 38,983.20 sqm (phase-2)]. No construction activity has been started for proposed expansion i.e. for phase-2.

The entire project having total 58,971.31 sqm built-up area would require prior Environmental Clearance from the SEIAA in Gujarat based on the appraisal by the SEAC as the stated project/activity is covered under Category-B of item 8(a) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC / SEIAA in Gujarat.	11/06/2015
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Not considered the proposal in any of the SEAC meetings.
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Based on the application submitted by the project proponent as well as site visit made by the GPCB on 04 th December 2015, the SEAC, Gujarat committee consider the case as violation matter under the EIA Notification, 2006 as the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC. The committee referred the matter to the SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and requested to delist the application.
Action taken by the State Government / SEIAA	The SEIAA consider the case in their meeting held on 17 th April, 2017 and issue letter dated 24 th April 2017 regarding delisting of their EC proposal and give direction to approach MoEF&CC, New Delhi for obtaining EC for their proposal under the provision of S.O. 804 (E) dated 14 th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.
Complaint case filled by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board	No complaint case has been filled by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board
Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA issued letter dated 24 th April 2017 regarding delisting of their EC proposal and give direction to approach

		<p>MoEF&CC, New Delhi for obtaining EC for their proposal under the provision of S.O. 804 (E) dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p> <p>The construction was reported to be started in April, 2013 for the 19,988.11 sqm built up area based on the Commencement Letter granted by AMC which is approx. 33% of the total built up area and has been completed in April, 2015, without obtaining the prior EC. It was informed that no proposal for EC was submitted to the SEAC/SEIAA for stated built up area.</p> <p>Now the project proposal having total 58,971.31 sqm built-up area has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>
<p>4.4.10.2</p>	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal was of the opinion that the instant proposal is normal regular case for expansion project as the previous construction was not attracting EC as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. Ministry, if required can seek further clarification from State SEIAA why this case was considered under violation as this case is not getting established as violation case from the document submitted to the Ministry by the project proponent.</i></p> <p><i>It is also recommended that SEIAA can take this case for appraisal as a normal regular expansion proposal as is being done by the Centre for such cases. Accordingly, the case is returned to the SEIAA for further necessary action as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006.</i></p>	
<p>4.4.11</p>	<p>Group Housing project 'Palm Springs' in Jammu & Kashmir by M/s Adarsh Buildestate Limited</p> <p>[IA/JK/NCP/64668/2017] [F. No. 23-55/2018-IA.III]</p>	
<p>4.4.11.1</p>	<p><i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i></p>	
<p>4.4.12</p>	<p>Construction of Resort Project at Sy. Nos. 263/1, 263/2, 263/3, 263/4, 266/1, Village & Panchayat Panavally, Taluka Cherthala, District Alapuzha (Kerala) M/s Kapico Kerala Resorts Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/KL/NCP/70177/2017] [F. No. 23-56/2018-IA.III]</p>	
<p>4.4.12.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of resort by M/s Kapico Kerala Resorts Pvt Ltd with built up area of 28,795 sqm in a total plot area of 6.9083 ha at Sy.Nos. 263/1, 263/2, 263/3, 263/4, 266/1, Village & Panchayat Panavally, Taluk Cherthala, District Alapuzha (Kerala).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for Resort use as per approved land use of the area. Planning/Building permission for built-up area of 28,795 sqm was obtained from Panavally Panchayath, the Local Self Government (the concerned Authority) vide NOC & building permit dated 2nd August, 1996 & 10th October, 2007.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under Category 'B' of item 8(a) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior Environmental and CRZ Clearance from the SEIAA in Kerala based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p>	

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

Application for EC was submitted at SEAC/SEIAA, Kerala	No application is submitted at SEAC/SEIAA, Kerala.
Legal interventions, if any	<p>A Writ Petition was filed by Sh. K.R. Ratheesh at Hon'ble High Court of Kerala (W.P(C) 19564/2011) on 18th July, 2011.</p> <p>Judgment dated 25th July, 2013 by Hon'ble High Court of Kerala observing that there is no power to regularize the violation under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and therefore directed the demolition the building due to violation of the EIA Notification and CRZ Notification. There was no interim order for restriction of work or granting permissions/approvals during the period from 18th July, 2011 till 25th July, 2013.</p> <p>Special Leave Petition (SLP 34143, 34144 & 34145) filed at Hon'ble Supreme Court by the project proponent against the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala stating facts both under the EIA Notification and CRZ Notification on 29th October, 2013.</p> <p>Interim Order on 8th August, 2014 by Hon'ble Supreme Court after considering the resort construction both under the EIA Notification & CRZ Notification granting "<i>Status quo, obtaining as on date</i>".</p> <p>Interim Order on 16th January, 2018 by Interim Order by Hon'ble Supreme Court granting 6 week's time for MoEF&CC to pass appropriate Order in accordance with Notification dated 14th March, 2017. The relevant portion of the said interim Order is quoted below:-</p> <p><i>"It is has been brought to our notice that an application has been filed by the petitioner on 12.05.2017 seeking benefit of Notification issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Environment & Forests being Notification No. S.O. 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017."</i></p> <p><i>"Learned counsel for the Union of India seeks six weeks' time to</i></p>

		<p><i>enable the Central Government to pass appropriate orders in accordance with such Notification.”</i> <i>“List these matters after six weeks.”</i></p> <p>The construction was reported to be started in 1996 and 75% of the built-up area was completed by July, 2013 without obtaining the prior EC. It was informed that no proposal for EC was submitted to the SEAC/SEIAA. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>
<p>4.4.12.2</p>	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) Recommendations of State Coastal Zone Management Authority, Kerala shall be submitted.</i></p> <p><i>(iv) The project will be appraised as integrated for Environmental and CRZ Clearance under the EIA Notification, 2006.</i></p> <p><i>(v) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>	
<p>4.4.13</p>	<p>Construction of 'My Home, Punawale' at S.No. 16/3, Punawale, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Keystone Properties (formerly M/s Royal Developers)</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/70514/2017] [F. No. 23-57/2018-IA.III]</p>	
<p>4.4.13.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex, 'My Home Punawale' by M/s Keystone Properties (formerly M/s Royal Developers) with total built up area of 40295.89 sqm and total plot area 16955 sqm at S. No. 16/3, Punawale, Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for Residential cum Commercial use as per approved master plan of the area. Planning/Building permission for built up area of 22400.45 sqm was obtained from Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation, Pune vide letter dated 25th April, 2012 followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune on 26th September, 2012 which is further revised vide approval letter dated 22nd July, 2013.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the schedule to the</p>	

EIA Notification 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA of Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	09 th July, 2012.
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	9 th meeting of SEAC-III – 13/5/2014
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government)	In 9 th meeting held on 13 th May, 2014 the SEAC-III observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986.
Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	The Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated 11 th June, 2014 issued proposed directions u/s 5 of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 11 th July, 2014. The State Government, after taking note of the submission of the Project Proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 01 st January, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority. SEIAA asked the project proponent to furnish a board resolution expressing apology on the violation already committed.
Complaint case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board.	Complaint case No. 0401221/2015 dated 3 rd September, 2015 was filed by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune, which is still pending for disposal.
Further Consideration of the Project by SEAC & recommendations	37 th meeting - 17 th November, 2015 41 st meeting - 27 th January, 2016 43 rd meeting - 27 th February, 2016. SEAC-III in its 43 rd meeting recommended for grant of Environment Clearance.
Final recommendations of SEIAA	The project proponent remained absent for 101 st SEIAA meeting held on 11 th May,

	2016.
	The construction was reported to be started in 5 th June 2013 and 60% of built up area was completed by January, 2015 without obtaining prior EC. Now proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.
4.4.13.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>
4.4.14	<p>Proposed Residential cum commercial complex 'Godrej Prakriti' in West Bengal by M/s Godrej Properties Ltd</p> <p>[IA/WB/NCP/64706/2017] [F. No. 23-58/2018-IA.III]</p>
4.4.14.1	<i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i>
Day 3: Wednesday, 21st February, 2018	
4.5.1	<p>Construction of Residential Project by M/s Green Vistas Infrastructure Projects at Survey Nos. 359/3, Kakkanad Village, Thrikakkara Municipality, Taluk Kanayannur, District Ernakulam (Kerala)</p> <p>[IA/KL/NCP/70206/2017] [F. No. 23-59/2018-IA.III]</p>
4.5.1.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential project by M/s Green Vistas Infrastructure Projects with built-up area of 75,886 sqm in a total plot area of 1.503 ha at Sy. No. 359/3, Village Kakkanad, Thrikkakara Municipality, Kanayannur Taluk, District Ernakulam (Kerala).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved land use of the area. Planning/Building permission for built-up area of 75,886 sqm was obtained from Thrikkakara Municipality, the Local Self Government (the concerned Authority) vide NOC & building permit dated 4/2/2006 & 22/12/2014 respectively.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under Category 'B' of item 8(a) of Schedule of the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA of Kerala based on the appraisal by</p>

SEAC.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

Application for EC was submitted at SEAC/SEIAA, Kerala	13/8/2012
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	The proposal was considered by the SEAC in its 7 th meeting held on 1/9/2012. The SEAC recommended the proposal for rejection and the project proponent was directed to apply afresh after getting regularization certificate from the Local Self Government.
Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	8 th meeting - 29/9/2012 27 th meeting - 11/3/2014
Action taken by the State Government /SEIAA	Violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 was noticed by SEAC during the appraisal of the project on 2/3/2013. The proceedings after violation was intimated to the project proponent by SEIAA vide letter dated 7/9/2015. Though, SEIAA, Kerala recommended to Govt. of Kerala to initiate violation proceedings against the unit, no such proceedings were initiated by Govt of Kerala.
Complaint case filed by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board	Nil
Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and recommendations	9 th SEAC meeting - 3/11/2012 13 th SEAC meeting - 2/3/2013 19 th SEAC meeting - 9/9/2013 25 th SEAC meeting - 10/2/2014
Final recommendations of SEIAA	Appraisal by SEIAA in its 39 th meeting held on 18/6/2015 wherein earlier decision reconfirmed and recommended to the Govt. for initiating credible action.
Legal intervention if any	There is no litigation pending against the project in any court/tribunal.

The construction was reported to be started on 5/2/2006 and 38.9% of the built-up area was completed by February, 2012 without obtaining the prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

4.5.1.2

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or

	<p>occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p> <p>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</p> <p>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p>												
<p>4.5.2</p>	<p>Proposed Expansion of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients and Chemical Intermediates in Karnataka by M/s Wohler Laboratories Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/KA/IND2/64764/2016] [F. No. 23-60/2018-IA.III]</p>												
<p>4.5.2.1</p>	<p>The project involves manufacturing of Active Pharmaceutical ingredients and Chemical intermediates with production capacity of 428.88 TPA by M/s Wohler Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. in an area of 15953 sqm at KIADB Industrial Area, Nizampur & Kolhar Village, Bidar Tehsil, District Bidar (Karnataka).</p> <p>The said project activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the schedule to the EIA notification, 2006 and requires prior EC from the MoEF&CC based on the appraisal by Expert Appraisal Committee.</p> <p>The plant/unit was earlier set up with the consent to establish in 1999 in favour of M/s Lime Light Drugs Pvt Ltd and reportedly continued to operate with the permission from SPCB from time to time. The plant was transferred in the name of M/s Wohler Laboratories Pvt Ltd vide sale deed dated 27th November, 2009. The last consent to operate was valid up to 30/06/2016.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="263 1415 1513 2011"> <tr> <td>ToR application submission to SEIAA</td> <td>6/8/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>22, 23 & 24th September, 2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td>SEAC informed the SEIAA about violation of the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 due to operating the unit without obtaining prior EC.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ToR granted</td> <td>19/12/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Action taken by SEIAA</td> <td>Based on recommendations of SEAC, show cause notice issued on 20/12/2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final recommendations of SEIAA</td> <td>SEIAA in its 135th meeting held on 26th & 27th April 2017, resolved that the case involves violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the MoEF&CC in pursuance of this Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017. The case was closed at their end.</td> </tr> </table>	ToR application submission to SEIAA	6/8/2016	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	22, 23 & 24 th September, 2016	Observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	SEAC informed the SEIAA about violation of the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 due to operating the unit without obtaining prior EC.	ToR granted	19/12/2016	Action taken by SEIAA	Based on recommendations of SEAC, show cause notice issued on 20/12/2016	Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA in its 135 th meeting held on 26 th & 27 th April 2017, resolved that the case involves violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the MoEF&CC in pursuance of this Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March, 2017. The case was closed at their end.
ToR application submission to SEIAA	6/8/2016												
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	22, 23 & 24 th September, 2016												
Observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	SEAC informed the SEIAA about violation of the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 due to operating the unit without obtaining prior EC.												
ToR granted	19/12/2016												
Action taken by SEIAA	Based on recommendations of SEAC, show cause notice issued on 20/12/2016												
Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA in its 135 th meeting held on 26 th & 27 th April 2017, resolved that the case involves violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires EC from the MoEF&CC in pursuance of this Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March, 2017. The case was closed at their end.												

	Now the proposal has been submitted to the ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006						
4.5.2.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>						
4.5.3	<p>Construction of 'Scarlet' at Gat No. 675, Keshnand Road, Village Wagholi, Tehsil Haveli, District Pune (Maharashtra) M/s Sukhwani Infrabuild</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/72381/2017] [F. No. 23-61/2018-IA.III]</p>						
4.5.3.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential and commercial complex 'Scarlet' by M/s Sukhwani Infrabuild with total built up area of 57345.69 sqm on a total plot area of 27700 sqm at Gat No. 675, Keshnand Road, Village Wagholi, Taluka Haveli, District Pune (Maharashtra).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved master plan of the area. Planning /building permission for built up area of 13950.21 sqm was obtained from Assistant Director, Town Planning Department, Pune vide letter dated 28th June, 2011 followed by commencement certificate issued on 16th February, 2013 which is further followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune on 15th May, 2013.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="287 1814 1484 2038"> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra</td> <td>21/07/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>68th SEAC-III meeting on 14/03/2013.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State</td> <td>The SEAC III in its 68th meeting on 14/03/2013 noted that the project</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	21/07/2011	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	68 th SEAC-III meeting on 14/03/2013.	Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State	The SEAC III in its 68 th meeting on 14/03/2013 noted that the project
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra	21/07/2011						
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	68 th SEAC-III meeting on 14/03/2013.						
Final observations / recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State	The SEAC III in its 68 th meeting on 14/03/2013 noted that the project						

	Government)	proponent has already started construction works without obtaining prior EC and thus violating the EIA Notification, 2006.
	Action taken by State Government/SEIAA	<p>The Environment Department of the State Government Maharashtra vide letter dated 22/07/2013 issued directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 8/8/2013.</p> <p>The State Government, after taking note of the submission of the Project Proponent confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 on 31/10/2014, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority.</p> <p>Environment Department, State Government, asked the project proponent to furnish a resolution expressing apology on the violation already committed.</p>
	Complaint case filed by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board.	Complaint case No. 404659/2014 dated 19/01/2015 was filled by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune, still pending for disposal.
	Further Consideration of the Project by SEAC & recommendations	<p>24th meeting of SEAC III - 22/01/2015 32nd meeting of SEAC III - 24/08/2015 40th meeting of SEAC III - 12/01/2016</p> <p>The project proponent has yet not submitted the information sought by the SEAC.</p>
	Final recommendations of SEIAA	Not applicable as the case could not be appraised for want of information.
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in August, 2011 and 50% of built up area was completed by August, 2013 without obtaining prior EC. Now proposal has been submitted to the Ministry's for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.5.3.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of</i></p>	

	<p><i>the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>				
4.5.4	<p>Construction of 'Jubilee Park' in Maharashtra M/s AHR Builders</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/64794/2017] [F. No. 23-62/2018-IA.III]</p>				
4.5.4.1	<p><i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i></p>				
4.5.5	<p>IT Park 'Gateway Tower' at Village Sarai Anangpur, District Faridabad (Haryana) by M/s Dove Infrastructure Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/HR/NCP/65339/2017] [F. No. 23-63/2018-IA-III]</p>				
4.5.5.1	<p>The project involves construction of IT Park 'Gateway Tower' by M/s Dove Infrastructure Pvt Ltd with total built up area of 1,40,031.558 sqm in a total plot area of 34,398.279 sqm at village Sarai Anangpur, District Faridabad, (Haryana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial use as per approved master plan of the area. Planning/building permission for built up area of 1,40,031.558 sqm was obtained from the Directorate of Town and Country Planning, Haryana vide memo No. ZP-365-JD(DK)-2011/844 dated 21st January 2011.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and required prior EC from the SEIAA in Haryana based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="316 1713 1460 2040"> <tr> <td>Directorate of Town & Country Planning of the State Government of Haryana, observed construction at site without obtaining prior EC, but accorded in-principle approval for renewal of the license, directing the project proponent to stop the further construction</td> <td>8/11/2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana</td> <td>5/5/2014</td> </tr> </table>	Directorate of Town & Country Planning of the State Government of Haryana, observed construction at site without obtaining prior EC, but accorded in-principle approval for renewal of the license, directing the project proponent to stop the further construction	8/11/2013	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana	5/5/2014
Directorate of Town & Country Planning of the State Government of Haryana, observed construction at site without obtaining prior EC, but accorded in-principle approval for renewal of the license, directing the project proponent to stop the further construction	8/11/2013				
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Haryana	5/5/2014				

Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	10/6/2014 20/10/2015 5/12/2015 10/12/2015
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government.	The committee in its first meeting held on 10/6/2014 observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA notification 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.
Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA.	Case was considered by SEIAA on 18/7/2014, and was forwarded to the Environment Department of the State Government for taking legal action u/s 19 of the EP Act, 1986. During the SEAC meeting held on 10/12/2015, it was informed that the State Government has provided the evidence of credible action taken against the project proponent vide their letter dated 11/3/2015.
Complaint case filed by the state government/State Pollution control Board.	Complaint case No.164 of 2014 was filed by the HSPCB in the court of the Presiding Officer-cum-JMIC, Special Environment Court, Faridabad (Haryana) which is still pending for disposal.
Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and recommendations.	10/12/2015 (Recommended for grant of EC by SEAC)
Final recommendations of the SEIAA.	SEIAA meetings on 12/1/2016 & 5/2/2016. The proposal was deferred and kept in abeyance for want of clarity to deal with violation cases.

The construction was reported to be started in September 2012 and 54.37% of built up area was completed up to November, 2013 without obtaining prior EC. It was informed that no proposal for EC was submitted to the SEAC/SEIAA.

Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

<p>4.5.5.2</p>	<p>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</p> <p>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p> <p>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.</p> <p>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p>								
<p>4.5.6</p>	<p>Construction of 'Awadh Heliconia' in Gujarat by M/s Awadh Heliconia</p> <p>[IA/GJ/NCP/64815/2017] [F. No. 23-64/2018-IA.III]</p>								
<p>4.5.6.1</p>	<p>The project involves construction of club house and residential units by M/s Avadh Heliconia with total built-up area of 84386.41 sqm in three phases (Phase I-16482.32 sqm, Phase II-33537.2 sqm and Phase III-34366.89 sqm) in a total plot area of 157697 sqm at Sr. No. 534/2, 534/2 paiki of Village Tukwada, Taluka Pardi, District Valsad (Gujarat).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for club house with bungalows as per approved plan of the area. Planning/building permission for the total built-up area of 84386.41 sqm was obtained from Nagar Niyojak Valsad vide letter No. BP/Tukwada/pardi/1333 dated 29/07/2015, followed by non-agriculture use order by the District Collector, Valsad on 22/09/2015.</p> <p>The Chronology of events for seeking EC, Deliberations by the respective Authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="293 1487 1481 1973"> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Gujarat</td> <td>12/9/2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>Not considered for appraisal due to the legal notice dated 21/7/2015 from the Advocate Ms. Mariya Dalal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Action taken by the state government/SEIAA</td> <td>Department of Environment of the State Government directed Member Secretary, GPCB to investigate and take appropriate action with reference to legal notice of Advocate Ms. Mariya Dalal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Legal Interventions if any</td> <td>Writ Petition No.222 of 2015 (PIL) filed by Rahul Mahendra bhai Joshi against the project for violation of EIA Notification, 2006</td> </tr> </table> <p>The construction was reported to be started in April, 2015 and construction of phase-I i.e.</p>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Gujarat	12/9/2015	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Not considered for appraisal due to the legal notice dated 21/7/2015 from the Advocate Ms. Mariya Dalal	Action taken by the state government/SEIAA	Department of Environment of the State Government directed Member Secretary, GPCB to investigate and take appropriate action with reference to legal notice of Advocate Ms. Mariya Dalal	Legal Interventions if any	Writ Petition No.222 of 2015 (PIL) filed by Rahul Mahendra bhai Joshi against the project for violation of EIA Notification, 2006
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Gujarat	12/9/2015								
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	Not considered for appraisal due to the legal notice dated 21/7/2015 from the Advocate Ms. Mariya Dalal								
Action taken by the state government/SEIAA	Department of Environment of the State Government directed Member Secretary, GPCB to investigate and take appropriate action with reference to legal notice of Advocate Ms. Mariya Dalal								
Legal Interventions if any	Writ Petition No.222 of 2015 (PIL) filed by Rahul Mahendra bhai Joshi against the project for violation of EIA Notification, 2006								

	<p>club house and few units of phase-II with total built-up area of 19844.06 sqm has been completed up to April, 2016 without obtaining prior EC.</p> <p>Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>		
4.5.6.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p>(i) <i>The project proponent shall inform about the proceedings of Hon'ble High Court through their counsel and the directions, if any. Details of site visits carried out by any of regulatory authorities (MoEF&CC/SPCB) and the actions taken by them shall also be reported.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p>(iv) <i>The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>		
4.5.7	<p>Expansion of existing hospital project at Kadapra Village, Pathanamthitta (Kerala) by M/s St. Gregorios International Cancer Care Centre</p> <p>[IA/KL/NCP/70240/2017] [F. No. 23-65/2018-IA.III]</p>		
4.5.7.1	<p>The project involves expansion of hospital by M/s St. Gregorios International Cancer Care Centre with built-up area of 38,123.48 sqm (existing built-up area of 8,745.48 sqm, pre-2006 + new construction 29,378 sqm) in a total plot area of 3.6295 ha at Sy. No. 286/2, 286/3, 286/16, Kadapra Village & Panchayat, Thiruvalla Taluk, District Pathanamthitta (Kerala).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for hospital use as per approved land use of the area. Planning/Building permission for built-up area of 29,378 sqm was obtained from Kadapra Panchayath, the Local Self Govt. (the concerned Authority) vide building permit dated 9/7/2009.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under Category 'B' of item 8(a) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC based on the appraisal by SEAC, Kerala.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under :-</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Application for EC was submitted at</td> <td style="width: 50%;">No application is submitted at SEAC/SEIAA,</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted at	No application is submitted at SEAC/SEIAA,
Application for EC was submitted at	No application is submitted at SEAC/SEIAA,		

	SEAC/SEIAA, Kerala	Kerala.
	Action taken by the State Government /SEIAA	The violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 was noticed by SEIAA, Kerala and a show cause notice was issued from the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Kerala vide letter No. 2399/EC2/2016/SEIAA dated 30/01/2017.
	Complaint case filed by the State Government / State Pollution Control Board	No complaint filed by the State Govt/State Pollution Control Board
	Legal interventions, if any	There is no litigation pending against the project in any court/tribunal.
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in 1/9/2009 and 100% of the built-up area was completed by 31/12/2016 without obtaining the prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated. 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006</p>	
4.5.7.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>	
4.5.8	<p>Group Housing Development Project at Village Vedapatti, Taluka Coimbatore South, District Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu) by M/s Town & City Developers</p> <p>[IA/TN/NCP/64723/2017] [F. No. 23-66/2018-IA.III]</p>	
4.5.8.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial building 'Garden City' by M/s Town & City Developers with total built-up of 71,040 sqm in a total plot area of 43,900 sqm at Vedapatti village, Coimbatore South Taluk, District Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per the approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission for built-up area of 71,040 sqm was obtained from the Directorate of Town and Country Planning, Tamil Nadu vide letter dated 7/2/2012, followed by approval by Local Planning Authority, Coimbatore vide letter dated 20/ 4/2012.</p>	

The said project is covered under Category B of item 8(a) of schedule of the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA in Tamil Nadu based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities the actions taken are reported to be as under:

Application for EC was submitted to MoEFCC, New Delhi and file forwarded to SEIAA	12/12/2011
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	46 th SEAC meeting – 27/11/2013 57 th SEAC meeting – 17/06/2014
Final Observation /recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/ State Government	SEAC deferred the project in its 46 th SEAC meeting to initiate credible action against the project.
Action taken by the State government/SEIAA	Subsequent to site visit by TNPCB officers on 17/3/2014, the Board issued show cause notice on 19/3/2014 for substantial construction carried out without obtaining prior EC from the SEIAA
Complaint case filed by the state government/state pollution control board	Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board filed a case in the Court of Judicial Magistrate, Coimbatore vide Case No.3740/2014 dated 2/6/2014.
Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and recommendations	SEAC recommended for grant of EC to the project in its 57 th meeting held on 17/6/2014
Final recommendations of SEIAA	SEIAA delisted the project vide its letter 20/11/2014

The construction was reported to be started in June, 2012 and partial construction of 984 residential units and 32 shops in area of 50,855.18 sqm has been completed by March, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

4.5.8.2

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.*
- (ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.*
- (iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC*

	<i>and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i>										
4.5.9	'Modification of Bulk drug and Intermediates unit' at Survey No. 8 & 16, Plot No. 183, KIADB Kolhar Industrial Area, Bidar Taluk & District (Karnataka) by M/s Stereo Drugs Private Ltd [IA/KA/IND2/64799/2017] [F. No. J-11011/243/2017-IA-II(I)]										
4.5.9.1	<p>The project involves manufacturing of API's & Intermediates of production capacity 30 TPM by M/s Stereo Drugs Pvt Ltd in an area of 4000 sqm at Survey No. 8 & 16, Plot No. 183, KIADB Kolhar Industrial Area, Taluk & District Bidar (Karnataka).</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires prior EC from the SEIAA based on the appraisal by the State Expert Appraisal Committee in Karnataka.</p> <p>The plant/unit was reported to be in operation till 10/6/2016 with consent to operate which was valid till 30/06/2017. After getting closure order from KSPCB, the operation was stopped at site w.e.f. 10/6/2016.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be under:-</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Application for ToR was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka</td> <td>21/05/2016 TOR was granted vide letter dated 30/8/2016.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka</td> <td>13/2/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td>Proposal was considered in the SEAC meeting dated 28/02/2017 and asked for additional information which was submitted on 23/03/2017 and proposal was again considered on 6/4/2017. Proposal has been forwarded to SEIAA to take action according to the MoEF&CC's Notification dated 14/3/2017.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA</td> <td>SEIAA in its meeting held on 19/4/2017 noted that as per the notification 14/3/2017 of MoEF&CC, this project requires EC from MoEF&CC in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and close the case. The SEIAA conveyed the same to the project proponent vide letter dated 21/4/2017.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Complaint case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board</td> <td>KSPCB vide letter dated 10/6/2016 had issued closure order to stop the operation under the Air and Water Act.</td> </tr> </table> <p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of</p>	Application for ToR was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	21/05/2016 TOR was granted vide letter dated 30/8/2016.	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	13/2/2017	Final observations/recommendations of SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Proposal was considered in the SEAC meeting dated 28/02/2017 and asked for additional information which was submitted on 23/03/2017 and proposal was again considered on 6/4/2017. Proposal has been forwarded to SEIAA to take action according to the MoEF&CC's Notification dated 14/3/2017.	Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA	SEIAA in its meeting held on 19/4/2017 noted that as per the notification 14/3/2017 of MoEF&CC, this project requires EC from MoEF&CC in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and close the case. The SEIAA conveyed the same to the project proponent vide letter dated 21/4/2017.	Complaint case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board	KSPCB vide letter dated 10/6/2016 had issued closure order to stop the operation under the Air and Water Act.
Application for ToR was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	21/05/2016 TOR was granted vide letter dated 30/8/2016.										
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Karnataka	13/2/2017										
Final observations/recommendations of SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	Proposal was considered in the SEAC meeting dated 28/02/2017 and asked for additional information which was submitted on 23/03/2017 and proposal was again considered on 6/4/2017. Proposal has been forwarded to SEIAA to take action according to the MoEF&CC's Notification dated 14/3/2017.										
Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA	SEIAA in its meeting held on 19/4/2017 noted that as per the notification 14/3/2017 of MoEF&CC, this project requires EC from MoEF&CC in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and close the case. The SEIAA conveyed the same to the project proponent vide letter dated 21/4/2017.										
Complaint case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board	KSPCB vide letter dated 10/6/2016 had issued closure order to stop the operation under the Air and Water Act.										

	the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.				
4.5.9.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>				
4.5.10	<p>Existing & Expansion, Bulk Drug Intermediates, Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients manufacturing at Tehsil Homnabad, District Bidar (Karnataka) by M/s Sri Venkata Sai Organics</p> <p>[IA/KA/IND2/64839/2017] [F. No. J-11011/247/2017-IA-II(I)]</p>				
4.5.10.1	<p>The project involves manufacturing of Bulk Drug Intermediates, Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients with production capacity of 420 MTPA by M/s Sri Venkata Sai Organics, in an area of 8000 sqm at Plot No.57&58, KIADB Industrial Area, Humnabad, District Bidar (Karnataka).</p> <p>The said project activity is covered under category B of item 5(f) of the schedule to the EIA notification, 2006 and requires prior EC from the SEIAA based on the appraisal by SEAC in Karnataka.</p> <p>The plant/unit was earlier set up with the consent to establish dated 21/07/2004 from the State Pollution Control Board. Subsequently, the unit is reported to be in operation with consent to operate renewed from time to time by SPCB. The consent to operate is presently valid up to 30/06/2017.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported as under:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="290 1744 1481 2038"> <tr> <td>ToR Application submission to SEIAA</td> <td>22nd February, 2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>6/4/2017 During the meeting, the Committee noted that the industry has gone for change in product mix for production during the year 2008 by obtaining CFO. The EC is not obtained which is a clear violation of</td> </tr> </table>	ToR Application submission to SEIAA	22 nd February, 2017	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	6/4/2017 During the meeting, the Committee noted that the industry has gone for change in product mix for production during the year 2008 by obtaining CFO. The EC is not obtained which is a clear violation of
ToR Application submission to SEIAA	22 nd February, 2017				
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	6/4/2017 During the meeting, the Committee noted that the industry has gone for change in product mix for production during the year 2008 by obtaining CFO. The EC is not obtained which is a clear violation of				

		the EIA Notification, 2006. Further, as per the Ministry's Notification dated 14/3/2017, the proposal be appraised for grant of EC at the central level. The Committee decided to forward the proposal to SEIAA.	
	Notice Sent by Any Govt. authorities	Based on the observations of KSPCB during site visit on 30/8/2017, directions were issued by KSPCB vide letter dated 24 th November, 2017 to stop industrial operation or process forthwith and until further orders.	
	<p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA notification, 2006.</p>		
4.5.10.2	<p><i>The EAC noted that the project proponent has no environmental clearances for the product under manufacture since the installation of the industry and they have change the product mix in 2008 within the same capacity. As per the Notification, 23/11/2016 the change in product mix attracts prior EC as the industry does not have any EC.</i></p> <p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i></p>		
4.5.11	<p>Residential Project 'Rai Pink City Phase-II' at Kolar Road, Village Borda, Phanda, Tehsil Huzur, District Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) by M/s Rai Homes Universal Private Ltd</p> <p>[IA/MP/NCP/64807/2017] [F. No. 23-67/2018-IA.III]</p>		
4.5.11.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex 'Rai Pink City Phase II' by M/s Rai Homes Universal Private Limited with total built up area of 32,512 sqm in a total area of 25,660 sqm at Village Borda, Phanda, Tehsil Huzur, and District Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).</p>		

The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per Gram Panchayat, Borda, Tehsil Huzur, Bhopal vide letter dated 10th January 2010, followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Bhopal on 28th April, 2012.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the schedule to the EIA Notification 2006, and requires prior EC from SEIAA in Madhya Pradesh based on Appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC /SEIAA in Madhya Pradesh	25/3/2015
Appraisal by SEAC	196 th SEAC meeting- 2 nd June 2015 260 th SEAC meeting - 7 th January 2016 287 th SEAC meeting - 25 th February 2017
Final recommendations/observations of SEAC to SEIAA/State Government	Due to no information provided by the project proponent, case to be delisted
Appraisal by SEIAA	417 th SEIAA meeting - 20 th March 2017 419 th SEIAA meeting - 24 th March 2017
Action taken by SEIAA/State Government	In view of the Ministry's Notification dated 14 th March 2017, the project was closed at SEIAA level.

The construction was reported to be started in January, 2013 and 42% of the built-up area was completed by October, 2014 without obtaining prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

4.5.11.2 *The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-*

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

4.5.12	<p>Group Housing Project 'Sandhu Palace' at Pali Hill, Bandra (West), Mumbai (Maharashtra) by M/s Sandhu Builders</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/64841/2017] [F. No. 23-68/2018-IA.III]</p>						
4.5.12.1	<p><i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i></p>						
4.5.13	<p>Proposed residential & commercial project 'Ayan Residency' at Survey No. 195, Hissa 1 & 2, village Nilemor, Taluka Vasai, District Palghar (Maharashtra) by M/s Magnum Home Makers Pvt Ltd</p> <p>[IA/MH/NCP/65614/2017] [F.No.23-23/2018-IA.III]</p>						
4.5.13.1	<p><i>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. The project was, therefore, deferred.</i></p>						
<p>Other items with permission of the Chair</p>							
4.5.14	<p>Construction of commercial complex by M/s Shanta Sriram Constructions Pvt Ltd (SSCPL) at Sy.No.126, Village Gachibowli, Mandal Serlingampally, District Rangareddy (Telangana)</p> <p>[IA/TG/NCP/64597/2017]</p>						
4.5.14.1	<p>The project involves construction of commercial complex by M/s Shanta Sriram Constructions Pvt Ltd (SSCPL) with total built-up area of 52,367.98 sqm in a total plot area of 8395.94 sqm (2.07 acres) at Sy.No.126, Village Gachibowli, Mandal Serlingampally, District Rangareddy (Telangana).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for commercial complex use as per Cyberabad Development Authority. Planning/building permission for the built-up area of 52,367.98 sqm was obtained from Cyberabad Development Authority vide letter No.5679/BP/CDA/2005 dated 28/10/2006 and subsequently GHMC permission was obtained vide Permit No. 40076/HO/WZ/CR-II/2015 dated 13/3/2015.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under Category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Telangana based on the appraisal by the SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="256 1715 1513 2045"> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 1715 884 1794">Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Andhra Pradesh</td> <td data-bbox="884 1715 1513 1794">22/07/2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 1794 884 1872">Appraisal by SEAC</td> <td data-bbox="884 1794 1513 1872">27/08/2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="256 1872 884 2045">Final observations / recommendations by SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td data-bbox="884 1872 1513 2045">The SEAC observed that the project proponent has already started construction work without obtaining the EC. This amounts to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and its amendments</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Andhra Pradesh	22/07/2013	Appraisal by SEAC	27/08/2013	Final observations / recommendations by SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	The SEAC observed that the project proponent has already started construction work without obtaining the EC. This amounts to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and its amendments
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Andhra Pradesh	22/07/2013						
Appraisal by SEAC	27/08/2013						
Final observations / recommendations by SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	The SEAC observed that the project proponent has already started construction work without obtaining the EC. This amounts to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and its amendments						

		thereof. The Committee recommended for taking action as per Ministry OM dated 12/12/2012 & 27/6/2013.
	Action taken by the State Government/ SEIAA	The SEIAA vide letter No. SEIAA/AP/RRD-332/2013 dated 23/11/2013 has recommended to Special Chief Secretary to Government, EFS&T, Govt of Andhra Pradesh to initiation credible action on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for taking necessary Legal action under section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place.
	Complaint case filed by the State Government/State Pollution Control Board	Complaint Case No 1082/2016 was filed by Environmental Engineer, Telangana State Pollution Control Board under Section 19 & Section 15 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 on dated Nil May, 2016 in the Court of Metropolitan Magistrate, Miyapur. The case was heard on 31/8/2016 and judgment has been given with a penalty Rs.30,000/- which was paid by the project proponent on the same day.
	Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and Recommendations	SEAC meeting held on 21/2/2017 The SEAC constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report covering various environmental aspects.
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in November, 2006 and the project was completed (100%) in March, 2016, without obtaining the prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.5.14.2	<p><i>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</i></p> <p><i>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan</i></p>	

	<i>with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</i>								
4.5.15	Construction of residential building complex 'Osian Chlorophyll' by M/s SPR&RG Constructions Pvt Ltd at Karambakkam Village, Ambattur Taluk, District Thiruvallur (Tamil Nadu)								
4.5.15.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential building complex 'Osian Chlorophyll' by M/s SPR&RG Constructions Pvt Ltd with total built up area of 1,66,479.79 sqm in a total plot area of 35786.05 sqm at Karambakkam Village, Ambattur Taluk, District Thiruvallur (Tamil Nadu).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved master plan of the area. Planning/building permission for built up area of 1,66,479.79 sqm was obtained from the Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority (CMDA), Tamil Nadu vide memo No. C/PP/MSB-IT/48-1 to 45/2012 dated 20/7/2012.</p> <p>The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(b) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and required prior EC from the SEIAA in Tamil Nadu based on the appraisal by SEAC.</p> <p>The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Tami Nadu</td> <td>28/1/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings</td> <td>57th SEAC meeting: 17/6/2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government</td> <td>The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA</td> <td>TNPCB issued stop work notice vide letter No. TNPCB/DEE/AMB/NA/EIA-1/Stop Work Notice/2015 dated 2/4/2015 with the direction to stop construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority and taken credible action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 4/4/2015.</td> </tr> </table>	Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Tami Nadu	28/1/2011	Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	57 th SEAC meeting: 17/6/2014	Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.	Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA	TNPCB issued stop work notice vide letter No. TNPCB/DEE/AMB/NA/EIA-1/Stop Work Notice/2015 dated 2/4/2015 with the direction to stop construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority and taken credible action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 4/4/2015.
Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Tami Nadu	28/1/2011								
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	57 th SEAC meeting: 17/6/2014								
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government	The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The committee referred the matter to the Environment department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.								
Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA	TNPCB issued stop work notice vide letter No. TNPCB/DEE/AMB/NA/EIA-1/Stop Work Notice/2015 dated 2/4/2015 with the direction to stop construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority and taken credible action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 4/4/2015.								

	Complaint case filed by the state government/State Pollution control Board	TNPCB filed case against the Project Proponent in the Court of District Magistrate, Ambatur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu vide Case No. CrI.OP.9182/2014
	Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and recommendations	17/6/2014 (Recommended for grant of EC)
	Final recommendations of the SEIAA	19/11/2015
	EC granted by SEIAA	19/11/2015
	EC revoked by SEIAA	11/7/2016
	<p>The construction was reported to be started in September 2012 and 90% of the built up area was completed on 18/4/2016 before obtaining EC.</p> <p>Now the proposal has been submitted to the Ministry for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.</p>	
4.5.15.2	<p>The EAC was informed about the order dated 16th January, 2018 of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, Principal Bench at New Delhi in M.A. No. 23 of 2018 in Appeal No. 40 of 2016 and M.A. No. 24 of 2018 in Appeal No.41 of 2016, directing the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change to dispose of the applications of the Appellants for grant of Environmental Clearance on considering the said recommendations in light of the notification dated 14th March, 2017 in accordance with law within one month.</p> <p>In compliance of the above directions of Hon'ble NGT, the proposal was considered by the EAC. During deliberations, the Committee noted that the project was granted environmental clearance by SEIAA, Tamil Nadu vide letter dated 19/11/2015 after payment of the environmental compensation of Rs.....Crore as per the orders of Hon'ble NGT, even after having been identified under violation category and no extant provisions to deal with such cases at that stage. Further, the said environmental clearance was revoked also by SEIAA, Tamil Nadu vide letter dated 14/07/2016, apparently due to no valid reasons on record and/or no orders of Hon'ble Courts/NGT.</p>	
4.5.15.3	<p><i>The EAC, after deliberations and in view of legal interventions prior to grant of EC and even after that, the EAC asked the project proponent to provide complete details of the matter for better understanding of the case, and thus to comply with the directions of Hon'ble NGT in letter and spirit. The Committee also desired for opinion of this Ministry on applicability of the Notification dated 14th March, 2017 in such cases to facilitate further consideration of the proposal.</i></p>	
4.5.16	<p>Construction of residential building complex 'Dugar Housing' by M/s Dugar Housing Ltd at Koratur Village, Ambattur Taluk, District Thiruvallur (Tamil Nadu)</p>	
4.5.16.1	<p>The project involves construction of residential building complex 'Dugar Housing' by M/s Dugar Housing Ltd with total built up area of 56153.22 sqm in a total plot area of 11789 sqm at Koratur Village, Ambattur Taluk, District Thiruvallur (Tamil Nadu).</p> <p>The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission for built up area of 56153.22 sqm was obtained from the Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority (CMDA), Tamil Nadu vide permit No. 7171 dated 28/1/2013.</p>	

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(b) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and required prior EC from the SEIAA in Tamil Nadu based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The chronology of events for seeking EC, deliberations by the respective authorities and the actions taken are reported to be as under:-

Application for EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA in Tami Nadu	4/6/2013
Appraisal by SEAC in its meetings	14/11/2015
Final observations/recommendations of the SEAC to the SEIAA/State Government.	The committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The Committee referred the matter to the Environment department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
Action taken by the state Government/SEIAA.	The TNPCB issued stop work notice vide letter No. TNPCB/DEE/AMB/NA/EIA-1/Stop Work Notice/2015 dated 2/4/2015 with the direction to stop construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority and taken credible action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.
Complaint case filed by the state government/State Pollution control Board.	TNPCB filed case against the Project Proponent in the District magistrate Court, Ambatur, Chennai, Tamil Nadu (Case No. CrI.OP.18137/2019.)
Further consideration of the proposal by the SEAC and recommendations.	14/11/2014 (Recommended for grant of EC by SEAC)
Final recommendations of the SEIAA	19/11/2015
EC granted by SEIAA	19/11/2015
EC revoked by SEIAA	11/7/2016

The construction was reported to be started in March, 2013 and 90% of built up area was completed on 18/4/2016 before obtaining EC. Now the proposal has been submitted to the ministry for consideration in pursuance of the ministry's Notification dated 14th March 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

4.5.16.2 The EAC was informed about the order dated 16th January, 2018 of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, Principal Bench at New Delhi in M.A. No. 23 of 2018 in Appeal No. 40 of 2016 and M.A. No. 24 of 2018 in Appeal No. 41 of 2016, directing the Ministry of

	<p>Environment, Forest and Climate Change to dispose of the applications of the Appellants for grant of Environmental Clearance on considering the said recommendations in light of the notification dated 14th March, 2017 in accordance with law within one month.</p> <p>In compliance of the above directions of Hon'ble NGT, the proposal was placed before the EAC. At the outset of the deliberations, the Committee was informed that the proposal was submitted on Ministry's portal on 14th September, 2017 i.e. after the expiry of six months window to enable its consideration in pursuance of the Ministry's Notification dated 14th March, 2017. Accordingly, the proposal was not found admissible for the present.</p>
4.5.16.3	<p><i>The EAC, after deliberations and in view of legal interventions prior to grant of EC and even after that, the EAC asked the project proponent to provide complete details of the matter for better understanding of the case. Further, in case of admissibility of the proposal, the Committee also desired for opinion of this Ministry for further consideration of the proposal to comply with the directions of Hon'ble NGT in letter and spirit.</i></p>

Members of the EAC for the proposal involving violation of EIA Notification, 2006 present during 4th meeting held on 19-21 February, 2018 at MoEF&CC, New Delhi

1.	Dr. S.R. Wate	Chairman
2.	Dr. P.A. Joshi	Member
3.	Dr. G.V. Subrahmanyam	Member
4.	Shri K Gowarappan	Member
5.	Dr. Dilip S. Ramteke	Member
6.	Dr. Poonam Kumria	Member
7.	Dr.Subrata Maity	Member
8.	Shri S.K. Srivastava	Member Secretary