



TECHNICAL EIA GUIDANCE MANUAL FOR PESTICIDES INDUSTRY AND PESTICIDE SPECIFIC INTERMEDIATES

Prepared for
The Ministry of Environment and Forests
Government of India



by
IL&FS Ecosmart Limited
Hyderabad

September 2010

PROJECT TEAM

Project Coordination
Ministry of Environment & Forests

Dr. Nalini Bhat
Advisor, Ministry of Environment and Forests
Dr. T. Chandni
Director, Ministry of Environment and Forests

Core Project Coordination Team
IL&FS Environment

Mr. Mahesh Babu
CEO
Mr. N. Sateesh Babu
Vice President & Project Director
Ms. Chaitanya Vangeti
Assistant Manager
Ms. Suman Benedicta Thomas
Technical Writer

Resource Person

Dr. Eshwar Reddy
Head – Projects, Ramky Enviro Engineers

Expert Core & Peer Committee
Chairman

Dr. V. Rajagopalan, IAS
Additional Secretary
Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers

Core Members

Dr. R. K. Garg
Former Chairman, EIA Committee, Ministry of Environment and Forests

Mr. Paritosh C. Tyagi
Former Chairman, Central Pollution Control Board

Prof. S.P. Gautam
Chairman, Central Pollution Control Board

Dr. Tapan Chakrabarti
Director, National Environmental Engineering Research Institute

Mr. K. P. Nyati
Chief Executive Officer – Sustainable Mining Initiative

Dr. G.K. Pandey
Former Advisor, Ministry of Environment and Forests

Dr. Nalini Bhat
Advisor, Ministry of Environment and Forests

Dr. G.V. Subramaniam
Advisor, Ministry of Environment and Forests

Dr. B. Sengupta
Former Member Secretary, Central Pollution Control Board

Dr. R. C. Trivedi
Former Scientist, Central Pollution Control Board

Peer Members

Prof. I. D. Mall
Professor & Head – Department of Chemical Engineering, IIT Roorkee

Dr. Hemant G. Jogleker
Former Senior Scientist, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune

Dr. P. N. Sarma
Scientist & Head - Bio-Environmental Engineering Centre (BEEC),
Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (IICT)

Member Convener

Mr. N. Sateesh Babu
Project Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION TO THE TECHNICAL EIA GUIDANCE MANUALS PROJECT | 1-1 |
| 1.1 Purpose..... | 1-2 |
| 1.2 Project Implementation | 1-4 |
| 1.3 Additional Information..... | 1-4 |
| 2. CONCEPTUAL FACETS OF EIA | 2-1 |
| 2.1 Environment in EIA Context..... | 2-1 |
| 2.2 Pollution Control Strategies | 2-2 |
| 2.3 Tools for Preventive Environmental Management..... | 2-2 |
| 2.3.1 Tools for assessment and analysis | 2-3 |
| 2.3.2 Tools for action..... | 2-5 |
| 2.3.3 Tools for communication..... | 2-10 |
| 2.4 Objectives of EIA..... | 2-10 |
| 2.5 Types of EIA | 2-11 |
| 2.6 Basic EIA Principles | 2-12 |
| 2.7 Project Cycle | 2-13 |
| 2.8 Environmental Impacts | 2-13 |
| 2.8.1 Direct impacts..... | 2-14 |
| 2.8.2 Indirect impacts | 2-14 |
| 2.8.3 Cumulative impacts | 2-15 |
| 2.8.4 Induced impacts..... | 2-15 |
| 2.9 Significance of Impacts..... | 2-16 |
| 2.9.1 Criteria/methodology to determine the significance of the identified impacts.. | 2-17 |
| 3. ABOUT PESTICIDES INDUSTRY AND PESTICIDE SPECIFIC INTERMEDIATES INCLUDING PROCESS AND POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES | 3-1 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 3-1 |
| 3.1.1 Current state of Indian pesticide industry | 3-2 |
| 3.2 Scientific Aspects..... | 3-6 |
| 3.2.1 Manufacturing Process | 3-6 |
| 3.2.2 Manufacturing process: a source for exploration of preventive measures | 3-7 |
| 3.2.3 General observations on manufacturing processes..... | 3-8 |
| 3.2.4 Raw material inputs and pollution outputs in the production line | 3-8 |
| 3.3 Water Consumption and Wastewater Generation | 3-11 |
| 3.3.1 Wastewater generation & characteristics..... | 3-11 |
| 3.3.2 Wastewater treatment | 3-13 |
| 3.3.3 Best practicable treatment option | 3-15 |
| 3.3.4 Disposal | 3-17 |
| 3.4 Air Pollution..... | 3-17 |
| 3.4.1 Types of air emissions | 3-17 |
| 3.4.2 Choice of control technologies for air emissions..... | 3-19 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 3.4.3 | Conventional control technologies for air emissions..... | 3-19 |
| 3.4.4 | Prioritization of air emissions for control..... | 3-27 |
| 3.5 | Solid/hazardous waste management..... | 3-33 |
| 3.6 | Summary of Applicable National Regulations..... | 3-37 |
| 3.6.1 | General description of major statutes..... | 3-37 |
| 3.6.2 | General standards for discharge of environmental pollutants..... | 3-37 |
| 3.6.3 | Industry-specific requirements..... | 3-37 |
| 3.6.4 | Pending and proposed regulatory requirements..... | 3-41 |
| 4. | OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF EIA | 4-1 |
| 4.1 | Coverage of the Pesticides Industry under the Purview of Notification..... | 4-1 |
| 4.1.1 | Application for prior environmental clearance..... | 4-3 |
| 4.1.2 | Siting guidelines..... | 4-3 |
| 4.2 | Scoping for EIA Studies..... | 4-4 |
| 4.2.1 | Pre-feasibility report..... | 4-5 |
| 4.2.2 | Guidance for providing information in Form 1..... | 4-6 |
| 4.2.3 | Identification of appropriate valued environmental components..... | 4-7 |
| 4.2.4 | Methods for identification of impacts..... | 4-7 |
| 4.2.5 | Testing the Significance of Impacts..... | 4-13 |
| 4.2.6 | Terms of reference for EIA studies..... | 4-13 |
| 4.3 | Environmental Impact Assessment..... | 4-18 |
| 4.3.1 | EIA team..... | 4-19 |
| 4.3.2 | Baseline quality of the environment..... | 4-19 |
| 4.3.3 | Impact prediction tools..... | 4-22 |
| 4.3.4 | Significance of the impacts..... | 4-22 |
| 4.4 | Social Impact Assessment..... | 4-23 |
| 4.5 | Risk Assessment..... | 4-26 |
| 4.5.1 | Storage and handling of hazardous materials..... | 4-30 |
| 4.5.2 | Hazard identification..... | 4-30 |
| 4.5.3 | Hazard assessment and evaluation..... | 4-30 |
| 4.5.4 | Disaster management plan..... | 4-32 |
| 4.6 | Mitigation Measures..... | 4-36 |
| 4.6.1 | Important considerations for mitigation methods..... | 4-36 |
| 4.6.2 | Hierarchy of elements of mitigation plan..... | 4-37 |
| 4.6.3 | Typical mitigation measures..... | 4-38 |
| 4.7 | Environmental Management Plan..... | 4-42 |
| 4.8 | Reporting..... | 4-43 |
| 4.9 | Public Consultation..... | 4-44 |
| 4.10 | Appraisal..... | 4-47 |
| 4.11 | Decision Making..... | 4-49 |
| 4.12 | Post-clearance Monitoring Protocol..... | 4-50 |
| 5. | STAKEHOLDERS' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES | 5-1 |
| 5.1 | EAC..... | 5-3 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|------|
| Table 3-1: Production of Pesticides During 2002-03 to 2006-07 | 3-2 |
| Table 3-2: Consumption of Imported Pesticides During the Last Five Years (2001-2002 to 2005-2006)..... | 3-4 |
| Table 3-3: Potential Releases During Organic Chemical Manufacturing..... | 3-9 |
| Table 3-4: Waste Minimization Methods | 3-10 |
| Table 3-5: Choice of Effluent Treatment Technologies | 3-15 |
| Table 3-6: Product and Associated Priority Pollutants | 3-17 |
| Table 3-7: Scrubbing Efficiencies..... | 3-21 |
| Table 3-8: Control Technologies and Methods..... | 3-29 |
| Table 3-9: Pollutants and their Control Systems with Expected Efficiency | 3-30 |
| Table 3-10: Product-wise Waste Streams and their Sources | 3-33 |
| Table 3-11: Product-wise Recovery of Raw Material and Side Products..... | 3-34 |
| Table 3-12: Waste Stream-wise Existing Waste Management Practices Adopted by Pesticide Study | 3-35 |
| Table 3-13: Product-wise Suggested Waste Minimization Options | 3-36 |
| Table 3-14: Effluent Standards for Pesticide Industry | 3-37 |
| Table 3-15: Emission Standards for Pesticide Industry | 3-40 |
| Table 4-1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Impact Identification Methods | 4-8 |
| Table 4-2: Matrix of Impacts | 4-10 |
| Table 4-3: List of Important Physical Environment Components and Indicators of EBM..... | 4-20 |
| Table 4-4: Choice of Models for Impact Predictions: Risk Assessment..... | 4-27 |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Table 4-5: Typical Mitigation Measures..... | 4-39 |
| Table 4-6: Structure of EIA Report..... | 4-43 |
| Table 5-1: Roles and Responsibilities of Stakeholders Involved in Prior Environmental Clearance | 5-1 |
| Table 5-2: Organization-specific Functions..... | 5-2 |
| Table 5-3: EAC: Eligibility Criteria for Chairperson / Members / Secretary | 5-5 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|------|
| Figure 2-1: Inclusive Components of Sustainable Development..... | 2-1 |
| Figure 2-2: Types of Impacts..... | 2-14 |
| Figure 2-3: Cumulative Impact..... | 2-15 |
| Figure 3-1: Typical Unit Operations of Chemical Synthesis..... | 3-7 |
| Figure 3-2: Multi-stage Jet Ventury Scrubbers..... | 3-20 |
| Figure 3-3: Three-Stage Combination System..... | 3-21 |
| Figure 3-4: Packed Tower Gas Scrubber..... | 3-22 |
| Figure 3-5: Plate Tower Gas Scrubber..... | 3-23 |
| Figure 3-6: Spray Tower Gas Scrubber..... | 3-24 |
| Figure 3-7: Liquid Jet Scrubber..... | 3-24 |
| Figure 3-8: Agitated Tank Scrubber..... | 3-25 |
| Figure 3-9: Method of Incineration..... | 3-27 |
| Figure 3-10: HCl Scrubbing System..... | 3-28 |
| Figure 3-11: H ₂ S Scrubbing System..... | 3-29 |
| Figure 4-1: Prior Environmental Clearance Process..... | 4-2 |
| Figure 4-2: Approach for EIA Study..... | 4-18 |
| Figure 4-3: Risk Assessment – Conceptual Framework..... | 4-27 |
| Figure 4-4: Comprehensive Risk Assessment - At a Glance..... | 4-29 |
| Figure 4-5: Elements of Mitigation..... | 4-37 |

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure I

A Compilation of Legal Instruments

Annexure II

General Standards for Discharge of Environmental Pollutants as per CPCB

Annexure III

Form 1 (Application Form for Obtaining EIA Clearance)

Annexure IV

Critically Polluted Industrial Areas and Clusters / Potential Impact Zone

Annexure V

Pre-feasibility Report: Points for Possible Coverage

Annexure VI

Formats for Material Balance

Annexure VII

Types of Monitoring and Network Design Considerations

Annexure VIII

Guidance for Assessment of Baseline Components and Attributes

Annexure IX

Sources of Secondary Data

Annexure X

Impact Prediction Tools

Annexure XI

Composition of EAC

Annexure XII

Best Practices available and reference

ACRONYMS

| | |
|-------|---|
| AAQ | Ambient Air Quality |
| B/C | Benefits Cost Ratio |
| BAT | Best Available Technology |
| BOD | Biological Oxygen Demand |
| BOQ | Bill of Quantities |
| BOT | Build Operate Transfer |
| CAGR | Compounded Annual Growth Rate |
| CCA | Conventional Cost Accounting |
| CER | Corporate Environmental Reports |
| CEAA | Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency |
| CFE | Consent for Establishment |
| COD | Chemical Oxygen Demand |
| CPCB | Central Pollution Control Board |
| CREP | Corporate Responsibility for Environmental Protection |
| CRZ | Coastal Regulatory Zone |
| DfE | Design for Environment |
| DMP | Disaster Management Plan |
| EAC | Expert Appraisal Committee |
| ECI | Environmental Condition Indicators |
| EcE | Economic-cum-Environmental |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| EIS | Environmental Information System |
| EMA | Environmental Management Accounting |
| EMP | Environmental Management Plan |
| EMS | Environmental Management System |
| EPI | Environmental Performance Indicators |
| ETP | Effluent Treatment Plant |
| ES | Environmental Statements |
| FCA | Full Cost Assessment |
| GM | Genetically Modified |
| HAZOP | Hazard and Operability Studies |
| HEAF | High Efficiency Air Filter |
| HTL | High Tide Level |
| IL&FS | Infrastructure Leasing & Financial Services Limited |
| IPM | Integrated Pest Management |
| IVI | Importance Value Index |

| | |
|--------|---|
| ISO | International Standard Organization |
| LCA | Life Cycle Assessment |
| LDAR | Leak Detection and Repair |
| LTL | Low Tide Level |
| MCA | Maximum Credible Accident |
| MoEF | Ministry of Environment & Forests |
| MMA | Monocrotophos Aceto Acetamide |
| NAQM | National Air Quality Monitoring |
| NGO | Non-Government Organizations |
| O&M | Operation and Maintenance |
| OECD | Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development |
| PM | Particulate Matter |
| PPA | Participatory Poverty Assessment |
| PRA | Participatory Rural Appraisal |
| QA/QC | Quality Assurance/Quality Control |
| QRA | Quantitative Risk Assessment |
| SEA | Strategic Environmental Assessment |
| SEAC | State Level Expert Appraisal Committee |
| SEIAA | State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority |
| SEZ | Special Economic Zone |
| SIA | Social Impact Assessment |
| SPCB | State Pollution Control Board |
| SPM | Suspended Particulate Matter |
| TA | Technology Assessment |
| TCA | Total Cost Assessment |
| TDS | Total Dissolved Solids |
| TEQM | Total Environmental Quality Movement |
| TF | Toxicity Factor |
| TGM | Technical EIA Guidance Manual |
| ToR | Terms of Reference |
| TMP | Trimethyl Phosphite |
| UT | Union Territory |
| UTEIAA | Union Territory Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority |
| UTPCC | Union Territory Pollution Control Committee |
| VOC | Volatile Organic Compounds |



22nd December 2010

FOREWORD

The Ministry of Environment & Forests (MOEF) introduced the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification 2006 on 14th September 2006, which not only reengineered the entire environment clearance (EC) process specified under the EIA Notification 1994, but also introduced a number of new developmental sectors which would require prior environmental clearance. The EIA Notification 2006 has notified a list of 39 developmental sectors which have been further categorised as A or B based on their capacity and likely environmental impacts. Category B projects have been further categorised as B1 and B2. The EIA Notification 2006 has further introduced a system of screening, scoping and appraisal and for the setting up of Environment Impact Assessment Authority (EIAA) at the Central level and State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authorities (SEIAAs) to grant environmental clearances at the Central and State level respectively. The Ministry of Environment & Forests is the Environment Impact Assessment Authority at the Central level and 25 State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authorities (SEIAAS) have been set up in the various States/UTs. The EIA Notification 2006 also stipulates the constitution of a multi-disciplinary Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) at the Centre and State level Expert Appraisal Committees (SEACs) at State/UT Level for appraisal of Category A or B projects respectively and to recommend grant/rejection of environmental clearance to each project/activities falling under the various sectors to the EIAA/SEIAAs respectively.

Although the process of obtaining environmental clearance consisting of Screening, Scoping and Appraisal and for undertaking public consultation including the process of conduct of Public Hearing has been elaborated under the EIA Notification 2006, the Notification itself provides for bringing out guidelines from time to time on the EIA Notification 2006 and the EC process with a view to bringing clarity on the EC process for expediting environmental clearance. This need was further reinforced after the constitution of SEIAAs and SEACs in various States, who were assigned the task for the first time and with a need for addressing the concerns of standardization of the quality of appraisal and in reducing inconsistencies between SEACs/SEIAAs in granting ECs for similar projects in different States.

The Technical Guidance Manual of “**Pesticides Industry And Pesticide Specific Intermediates**” sector describes types of process and pollution control technologies, operational aspects of EIA with model TOR of that Sector, technological options with cleaner production and waste minimization techniques, monitoring of environmental quality, post clearance

monitoring protocol, related regulations, and procedure of obtaining EC if linked to other clearances for e.g., CRZ, etc.

The environmental impact of pesticides cannot be fully negated, but can be reduced. Adequate air pollution control systems and effective treatment of liquid effluents and disposal of solid/hazardous wastes need to be put in place. Standards notified by the Ministry for the Pesticide industry should be strictly followed. Proper preparation and implementation of risk assessment, on-site, off-site emergency plans and disaster management plan and other safety arrangements should be ensured. The type and intensity of environmental impacts will depend upon the site profile. For example, proximity to a water body will significantly increase the likelihood of water contamination. Even though many impacts such as drift, damage to non target species, pest resistance, and residues in food are associated with crop applications; other impacts can arise from poor handling techniques and inappropriate waste management.

India's industrial competitiveness and environmental future depends on Industries such as Pesticides Industry And Pesticide Specific Intermediates adopting energy and resource efficient technologies. Recycling and reuse of materials is critical. To keep pace with changing technologies and needs of sustainable development, the manual would require regular updating in the future. The manual will be available on the MoEF website and we would appreciate receiving responses from stakeholders for further improvements.

I congratulate the entire team of IL&FS Ecosmart Ltd., experts from the sector who were involved in the preparation of the Manuals, Chairman and members of the Core and Peer Committees of various sectors and various Resource Persons whose inputs were indeed valuable in the preparation and finalization of the Manuals.


(Jairam Ramesh)

1. INTRODUCTION TO THE TECHNICAL EIA GUIDANCE MANUALS PROJECT

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a process of identifying, predicting, evaluating and mitigating the biophysical, social, and other relevant effects of development proposals prior to major decisions being taken and commitments made. These studies integrate the environmental concerns of developmental activities into the process of decision-making.

EIA has emerged as one of the successful policy innovations of the 20th Century in the process of ensuring sustained development. Today, EIA is formalized as a regulatory tool in more than 100 countries for effective integration of environmental concerns in the economic development process. The EIA process in India was made mandatory and was also given a legislative status through a Notification issued by the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) in January 1994. The Notification, however, covered only a few selected industrial developmental activities. While there are subsequent amendments, the Notification issued on September 14, 2006 supersedes all the earlier Notifications, and has brought out structural changes in the clearance mechanism.

The basic tenets of this EIA Notification could be summarized into the following:

- Pollution potential as the basis for prior environmental clearance instead of investment criteria; and
- Decentralization of clearing powers to the State/Union Territory (UT) level Authorities for certain developmental activities to make the prior environmental clearance process quicker, transparent and effective.

Devolution of the power to grant clearances at the state level for certain category of the developmental activities / projects is a step forward to fulfill the basic tenets of the re-engineering *i.e.*, quicker, transparent and effective process but many issues impede/hinder its functional efficiency. These issues could be in technical and operational domains as listed below:

Technical issues

- Ensuring level playing ground to avoid arbitrariness in the decision-making process
- Classification of projects which do not require public hearing and detailed EIA (Category B2)
- Variations in drawing Terms of Reference (ToR) of EIA studies for a given developmental activity across the States/UTs
- Varying developmental-activity-specific expertise requirement for conducting EIA studies and their appraisal
- Availability of adequate sectoral experts and variations in competency levels
- Inadequate data verification, cross checking tools and supporting institutional framework

- Meeting time targets without compromising with the quality of assessments/ reviews
- Varying knowledge and skill levels of regulators, consultants and experts
- Newly added developmental activities for prior environmental clearance, *etc.*

Operational issues

- State level /UT level EIA Authorities (SEIAA/UTEIAA) are formulated for the first time and many are functioning
- Varying roles and responsibilities of involved organizations
- Varying supporting institutional strengths across the States/UTs
- Varying manpower availability, *etc.*

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of developing the sector-specific technical EIA guidance manuals (TGM) is to provide clear and concise information on EIA to all the stakeholders *i.e.*, the project proponent, the consultant, the reviewer, and the public. The TGMs are organized to cover following:

Chapter 1 (Introduction): This chapter provides a brief introduction on the EIA, basic tenets of EIA Notification, technical & operational issues in the process of clearance, purpose of the TGMs, project implementation process and additional information.

Chapter 2 (Conceptual facets of an EIA): Provides an overall understanding to the conceptual aspects of control of pollution and EIA for the developmental projects. This basic understanding would set the readers at same level of understanding for proper interpretations and boundaries for identifying the environmental interactions of the developmental projects and their significance for taking measures of mitigation. This chapter covers the discussion on environment in EIA context *i.e.* sustainable development, pollution control strategies, preventive environmental management tools, Objectives of EIA, types and basic principles of EIA, project cycle for pesticides industry, understanding on type of environmental impacts and the criteria for the significance analysis.

Chapter 3 (Pesticides industry): The purpose of this chapter is to provide the reader precise information on all the relevant aspects of the industry, which is essential to realize the likely interaction of such developmental activities on the receiving environment. Besides, this Chapter gives a holistic understanding on the sources of pollution and the opportunities of the source control.

The specific coverage which provides precise information on the industry include (i) introduction, (ii) Scientific Aspects - Manufacturing Process, Manufacturing process: a source for exploration of preventive measures, General observations on manufacturing processes, Processes in pesticides industry, Raw material inputs and pollution outputs in the production line, (iii) Water Consumption - Wastewater generation & characteristics, Wastewater treatment, Best practicable treatment option, Disposal, (iv) Air Pollution - Types of air emissions, Choice of control technologies for air emissions, Conventional control technologies for air emissions, Plate tower scrubber, Spray tower scrubber, Liquid jet scrubber, Agitated tank scrubber, Adsorption, and (vi) the summary of applicable national regulation for this developmental activity.

Chapter 4 (Operational aspects): The purpose of this chapter is to facilitate the stakeholders to extend clear guidance on coverage of legislative requirements, sequence of procedures for obtaining the EIA clearance and each step-wise provisions and considerations.

The coverage of the Chapter include provisions in the EIA Notification regarding pesticides industry, siting guidelines, scoping (pre-feasibility report, guidance for filling form 1, identification of valued environmental components, identification of impacts, *etc.*), arriving at terms of reference for EIA studies, impact assessment studies (EIA team, assessment of baseline quality of environment, impact prediction tools, significance of impacts), social impact assessment, risk assessment considerations, typical mitigation measures, designing considerations for environmental management plan, structure of EIA report for incorporation of study findings, process of public consultation, project appraisal, decision making process and post-clearance monitoring protocol.

Chapter 5 (Roles and responsibilities of various organizations involved in the process of prior environmental clearance): The purpose of this Chapter is to brief the stakeholders on the institutional mechanism and roles & responsibilities of the stakeholders involved in the process of prior environmental clearance. The Coverage of the Chapter include (i) roles and responsibilities of the stakeholders, (ii) organization specific functions, (iii) constitution, composition and decision making process of EAC and (iv) other conditions which may be considered.

For any given industry, each topic listed above could alone be the subject of a lengthy volume. However, in order to produce a manageable document, this project focuses on providing summary information for each topic. This format provides the reader with a synopsis of each issue. Text within each section was researched from many sources, and was condensed from more detailed sources pertaining to specific topics.

The contents of the document are designed with a view to facilitate addressing of the relevant technical and operational issues as mentioned in the earlier section. Besides, facilitates various stakeholders involved in the EIA clearance process *i.e.*,

- Project proponents will be fully aware of the procedures, common ToR for EIA studies, timelines, monitoring needs, *etc.*, in order to plan the projects/studies appropriately.
- Consultants across India will gain similar understanding about a given sector, and also the procedure for EIA studies, so that the quality of the EIA reports gets improved and streamlined
- Reviewers across the States/UTs will have the same understanding about an industry sector and would able to draw a benchmark in establishing the significant impacts for the purpose of prescribing the ToR for EIA studies and also in the process of review and appraisal.
- Public who are concerned about a new or expansion projects, use this manual to get a basic idea about the manufacturing/production details, rejects/wastes from the operations, choice of cleaner/control technologies, regulatory requirements, likely environmental and social concerns, mitigation measures, *etc.*, in order to seek clarifications appropriately in the process of public consultation. The procedural clarity in the document will further strengthen them to understand the stages involved in clearance and roles and responsibilities of various organizations.
- In addition, these manuals would substantially ease the pressure on reviewers at the scoping stage and would bring in functional efficiency at the central and state levels.

1.2 Project Implementation

The Ministry of Environment & Forests (MoEF), Government of India took up the task of developing sector-specific TGMs for all the developmental activities listed in the re-engineered EIA Notification. The Infrastructure Leasing and Financial Services Ecosmart Limited (IL&FS Ecosmart), has been entrusted with the task of developing these manuals for 27 industrial and related sectors. Pesticides industry is one of these sectors, for which this manual is prepared.

The ability to design comprehensive EIA studies for specific industries depends on the knowledge of several interrelated topics. Therefore, it requires expert inputs from multiple dimensions *i.e.*, administrative, project management, technical, scientific, social, economic, risk *etc.*, in order to comprehensively analyze the issues of concern and to draw logical interpretations. Thus, Ecosmart has designed a well-composed implementation framework to factor inputs of the experts and stakeholders in the process of finalization of these manuals.

The process of manual preparation involved collection & collation of the secondary available information, technical review by sectoral resource persons and critical review & finalization by a competent Expert Committee composed of core and sectoral peer members.

The MoEF appreciates the efforts of Ecosmart, Expert Core and Peer Committee, resource persons and all those who have directly and indirectly contributed to this Manual.

1.3 Additional Information

This TGM is brought out by the MoEF to provide clarity to all the stakeholders involved in the 'Prior Environmental Clearance' process. As such, the contents and clarifications given in this document do not withstand in case of a conflict with the statutory provisions of the Notifications and Executive Orders issued by the MoEF from time-to-time.

TGMs are not regulatory documents. Instead, these are the tools designed to assist in successful completion of an EIA. For the purpose of this project, the key elements considered under TGMs are: conceptual aspects of EIA; developmental activity-specific information; operational aspects; and roles and responsibilities of involved stakeholders.

This manual is prepared considering the Notification issued on 14th September, 2006 and latest amendment as on 1st December 2009. For recent updates, if any, may please refer the website of the MoEF, Government of India *i.e.*, <http://moef.nic.in/index.php>.

2.

CONCEPTUAL FACETS OF EIA

It is an imperative requirement to understand the basic concepts concerned to the pollution control and the environmental impact assessment in an overall objective of the sustainable development. This Chapter highlights the pollution control strategies and their tools besides the objectives, types & principles of EIA, type of impacts their significance analysis, in order to provide consistent understanding to the reader before assessing the development of activity-specific environmental concerns in Chapter 3 and identification & prediction of significant impacts in order to design mitigation measures as detailed in Chapter 4.

2.1 Environment in EIA Context

“Environment” in EIA context mainly focuses, but is not limited to physical, chemical, biological, geological, social, economical, and aesthetic dimensions along with their complex interactions, which affect individuals, communities and ultimately determines their forms, character, relationship, and survival. In EIA context, ‘effect’ and ‘impact’ can often be used interchangeably. However, ‘impact’ is considered as a value judgment of the significance of an effect.

Sustainable development is built on three basic premises *i.e.*, economic growth, ecological balance and social progress. Economic growth achieved in a way that does not consider the environmental concerns, will not be sustainable in the long run. Therefore, sustainable development needs careful integration of environmental, economic, and social needs in order to achieve both an increased standard of living in short term, and a net gain or equilibrium among human, natural, and economic resources to support future generations in the long term.

“It is necessary to understand the links between environment and development in order to make choices for development that will be economically efficient, socially equitable and responsible, as well as environmentally sound.”




Figure 2-1: Inclusive Components of Sustainable Development

2.2 Pollution Control Strategies

Pollution control strategies can be broadly categorized into preventive and reactive. The reactive strategy refers to the steps that may be applied once the wastes are generated or contamination of the receiving environment takes place. The control technology or a combination of technologies to minimize the impact due to the process rejects/wastes varies with quantity and characteristics, desired control efficiency and economics.

Many combinations of techniques could be adopted for treatment of a specific waste or the contaminated receiving environment, but are often judged based on techno-economic feasibility. Therefore, the best alternative is to take all possible steps to avoid pollution itself. This preventive approach refers to a hierarchy that involves i) prevention & reduction; ii) recycling and re-use; iii) treatment; and iv) disposal, respectively.

Therefore, there is a need to shift the emphasis from the reactive to preventive strategy *i.e.*, to promote preventive environmental management. Preventive environmental management tools may be grouped into management based tools, process based tools and product based tools, which are given below:

| Management Based Tools | Process Based Tools | Product Based Tools |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Environmental Management System (EMS) | Environmental Technology Assessment | Industrial Ecology |
| Environmental Performance Evaluation | Toxic Use Reduction | Extended Producers Responsibility |
| Environmental Audits | Best Operating Practices | Eco-labeling |
| Environmental Reporting and Communication | Environmentally Best Practice | Design for Environment |
| Total Cost Accounting | Best Available Technology (BAT) | Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) |
| Law and Policy | Waste Minimization | |
| Trade and Environment | Pollution Prevention | |
| Environmental Economics | Cleaner Production | |
| | 4-R Concept | |
| | Cleaner Technology | |
| | Eco-efficiency | |

2.3 Tools for Preventive Environmental Management

The tools for preventive environmental management can be broadly classified into following three groups.

- Tools for assessment and analysis - risk assessment, life cycle assessment, total cost assessment, environmental audit / statement, environmental benchmarking, environmental indicators
- Tools for action - environmental policy, market based economic instruments, innovative funding mechanism, EMS and ISO certification, total environmental quality movement, eco-labeling, cleaner production, eco-efficiency, industrial ecosystem or metabolism, voluntary agreements
- Tools for communication - state of environment, corporate environmental reporting

Specific tools under each group are discussed precisely in next sections.

2.3.1 Tools for assessment and analysis

2.3.1.1 Risk assessment

Risk is associated with the frequency of failure and consequence effect. Predicting such situations and evaluation of risk is essential to take appropriate preventive measures. The major concern of the assessment is to identify the activities falling in a matrix of high & low frequencies at which the failures occur and the degree of its impact. The high frequency, low impact activities can be managed by regular maintenance *i.e.*, LDAR (Leak detection and repair) programmes. Whereas, the low frequency, high impact activities are of major concern (accidents) in terms of risk assessment. As the frequency is low, often the required precautions are not realized or maintained. However, risk assessment identifies the areas of major concerns which require additional preventive measures; likely consequence distances considering domino effects, which will give the possible casualties and ecological loss in case of accidents. These magnitudes demand the attention for preventive and disaster management plans (DMP). Thus is an essential tool to ensure safety of operations.

2.3.1.2 Life cycle assessment

A broader approach followed to deal with environmental impacts during manufacturing is called LCA. This approach recognizes that environmental concerns are associated with every step of the processing w.r.t. manufacturing of products and also examines environmental impacts of the product at all stages of project life cycle. LCA includes product design, development, manufacturing, packaging, distribution, usage and disposal. LCA is concerned with reducing environmental impacts at all the stages and considering the total picture rather than just one stage of the production process.

Industries/firms may apply this concept to minimise costs incurred on the environmental conservation throughout the project life cycle. LCA also provides sufficient scope to think about cost-effective alternatives.

2.3.1.3 Total cost assessment

Total Cost Assessment (TCA) is an enhanced financial analysis tool that is used to assess the profitability of alternative courses of action ex. raw material substitution to reduce the costs of managing the wastes generated by process; an energy retrofit to reduce the costs of energy consumption. This is particularly relevant for pollution prevention options. These options, because of their nature, often produce financial savings that are overlooked in conventional financial analysis, either because they are misallocated, uncertain, hard to quantify, or occur more than three to five years after the initial investment. TCA includes all relevant costs and savings associated with an option so that it can compete for scarce capital resources fairly, on a level playing field. The assessments are often beneficial w.r.t the following:

- Identification of costly resource inefficiencies
- Financial analysis of environmental activities/projects such as investment in cleaner technologies
- Prioritization of environmental activities/projects
- Evaluation of product mix and product pricing
- Bench marking against the performance of other processes or against the competitors

A comparison of cost assessments is given below:

- Conventional cost accounting (CCA): Direct and indirect financial costs+ Recognized contingent costs
- Total Cost Assessment (TCA): A broader range of direct, indirect, contingent and less quantifiable costs
- Full Cost assessment (FCA): TCA + External social costs borne by society

2.3.1.4 Environmental audit/statement

Key objectives of an environmental audit includes compliance verification, problem identification, environmental impact measurement, environmental performance measurement, conforming effectiveness of EMS, providing a database for corrective actions and future actions, developing company's environmental strategy, communication and formulating environmental policy.

The MoEF, Government of India issued Notification on '*Environmental Statements*' (ES) in April, 1992 and further amended in April 1993 – As per the Notification, the industries are required to submit environmental statements to the respective State Pollution Control Board (SPCB). ES is a proactive tool for self-examination of the industry to reduce/minimize pollution by adopting process modifications, recycling and reusing of the resources. The regular submission of ES will indicate the systematic improvement in environmental pollution control being achieved by the industry. In other way, specific points in ES may be used as environmental performance indicators for relative comparison, implementation and to promote better practices.

2.3.1.5 Environmental benchmarking

Environmental performance and operational indicators could be used to navigate, manage and communicate the significant aspects and give enough evidence of good environmental house keeping. Besides the existing prescribed standards, an insight to identify the performance indicators and prescribing schedule for systematic improvement in performance of these indicators will yield better results.

Relative indicators may be identified for different industrial sectors and be integrated in companies and organizations to monitor and manage the different environmental aspects of the company, to benchmark and compare two or more companies from the same sector. These could cover water consumption, wastewater generation, energy consumption, solid/hazardous waste generation, chemical consumption *etc.*, per tonne of final product. Once these bench marks are developed, the industries which are below them may be guided and enforced to reach them while those which are better than the benchmark may be encouraged further by giving incentives *etc.*

2.3.1.6 Environmental indicators

Indicators can be classified in to environmental performance indicators (EPI) and environmental condition indicators (ECI). The EPIs can be further divided into two categories *i.e.*, operational performance indicators and management performance indicators.

The operational performance indicators are related to the process and other operational activities of the organization. These would typically address the issue of raw material consumption, energy consumption, water consumption in the organization, the quantities

of wastewater generated, other solid wastes & emissions generated from the organization *etc.*

Management performance indicators are related to the management efforts to influence the environmental performance of the organisational operations.

The environmental condition indicators provide information about the environment. These indicators provide information about the local, regional, national or global condition of the environment. This information helps an organization to understand the environmental impacts of its activities and thus helps in taking decisions to improve the environmental performance.

Indicators basically used to evaluate environmental performance against the set standards and thus indicate the direction in which to proceed. Selection of type of indicators for a firm or project depends upon its relevance, clarity and realistic cost of collection and its development.

2.3.2 Tools for action

2.3.2.1 Environmental policy

An environmental policy is a statement of an organization's overall aim and principles of action w.r.t the environment, including compliance with all relevant regulatory requirements. It is a key tool in communicating environmental priorities of the organizations to all its employees. To ensure organization's commitment towards a formulated environmental policy, it is essential for the top management to be involved in the process of formulating the policy and setting priorities. Therefore, the first step is to get the commitment from the higher levels of management. The organization should then conduct an initial environmental review and draft an environmental policy. This draft should be discussed and approved by the board of directors. The approved environmental policy statement, should then be communicated internally among all its employees and must also be made available to the public.

2.3.2.2 Market-based economic instruments

Market based instruments are regulations that encourage behavior through market signals rather than through explicit directives regarding pollution control levels. These policy instruments such as tradable permits, pollution charge are often described as harnessing market forces. Market based instruments can be categorized into the following four major categories which are discussed below.

- **Pollution charge:** Charge system will assess a fee or tax on the amount of pollution a firm or source generates. It is worthwhile for the firm to reduce emissions to the point, where its marginal abatement costs is equal to the tax rate. Thus firms control pollution to different degrees *i.e.*, High cost controllers – less; low-cost controllers – more. The charge system encourages the industries to further reduce the pollutants. The collected charges can form a fund for restoration of the environment. Another form of pollution charge is a deposit refund system, where, consumers pay a surcharge when purchasing a potentially polluting product, and receive a refund on return of the product after useful life span at appropriate centers. The concept of extended producers' responsibility brought in to avoid accumulation of dangerous products in the environment.

- **Tradable permits:** Under this system, firms that achieve the emission levels below their allotted level may sell the surplus permits. Similarly, the firms, which are required to spend more to attain the required degree of treatment/allotted levels, can purchase permits from others at lower costs and may be benefited.
- **Market barrier reductions:** Three known market barrier reduction types are as follows:
 - Market creation: Measures that facilitate the voluntary exchange of water rights and thus promote more efficient allocation of scarce water supplies
 - Liability concerns: Encourage firms to consider potential environmental damages of their decisions
 - Information programmes: Eco-labeling and energy efficiency product labeling requirements
- **Government subsidy reduction:** Subsidies are the mirror images of taxes and, in theory, can provide incentive to address environmental problems. However, it has been reported that the subsidies encourage economically inefficient and environmentally unsound practices, and often leads to market distortions due to differences in the area. However, these are important to sustain the expansion of production, in the national interests. In such cases, the subsidy may be comparable to the net social benefit.

2.3.2.3 Innovative funding mechanism

There are many forums under which the fund is made available for the issues which are of global/regional concern *i.e.*, climate change, Basal Convention and further fund sources are being explored for the Persistent Organic Pollutants Convention. Besides the global funding mechanism, there needs to be localized alternative mechanisms for boosting the investment in environmental pollution control. For example, in India the Government has established mechanism to fund the common effluent treatment plants, which are specifically serving the small and medium scale enterprises *i.e.*, 25% share by the State Government, matching grants from the Central Government and surety for 25% soft loan. It means that the industries need to invest only 25% initially, thus encouraging voluntary compliance.

There are some more options *i.e.*, if the pollution tax/charge is imposed on the residual pollution being caused by the industries, municipalities *etc.*, fund will automatically be generated, which in turn, can be utilized for funding the environmental improvement programmes. The emerging concept of build-operate-transfer (BOT) is an encouraging development, where there is a possibility to generate revenue by application of advanced technologies. There are many opportunities which can be explored. However, what is required is the paradigm shift and focused efforts.

2.3.2.4 EMS and ISO certification

EMS is that part of the overall management system, which includes the organizational structure, responsibilities, practices, procedures, process and resources for determining and implementing the forms of overall aims, principles of action w.r.t the environment. It encompasses the totality of organizational, administrative and policy provisions to be taken by a firm to control its environmental influences. Common elements of an EMS are the identification of the environmental impacts and legal obligations, the development of

a plan for management & improvement, the assignment of the responsibilities and monitoring of the performance.

2.3.2.5 Total environmental quality movement

Quality is regarded as

- A product attribute that had to be set at an acceptable level and balanced against the cost
- Something delivered by technical systems engineered by experts rather than the organization as a whole
- Assured primarily through the findings and correction of mistakes at the end of the production process

One expression of the total environment quality movement (TEQM) is a system of control called Kaizen. The principles of Kaizen are:

- Goal must be continuous improvement of quality instead of acceptable quality
- Responsibility of the quality shall be shared by all members of an organization
- Efforts should be focused on improving the whole process and design of the products

With some modifications, TEQM approach can be applied in the improvement of corporate environmental performance in both process and product areas.

2.3.2.6 Eco-labeling

Eco-labeling is the practice of supplying information on the environmental characteristics of a product or service to the general public. These labeling schemes can be grouped into three types:

- Type I: Multiple criteria base; third party (Govt. or non-commercial private organizations) programme claims overall environmental preferability.
- Type II: Specific attribute of a product; often issued by a company/industrial association
- Type III: Agreed set of indices; provide quantified information; self declaration

Among the above, Type I are more reliable because they are established by a third party and considers the environmental impacts of a product from cradle to grave. However, the labeling program will only be effective if linked with complementary program of consumer education and up on restriction of umbrella claims by the producers.

2.3.2.7 Cleaner production

Cleaner production is one of the tools, which has lot of bearing on environmental pollution control. It is also seen that the approach is changing with time *i.e.*, dumping-to-control-to-recycle-to-prevention. Promotion of cleaner production principles involves an insight into the production processes not only to get desired yield but also to optimize on raw material consumption *i.e.*, resource conservation and implications of the waste treatment and disposal.

2.3.2.8 4-R concept

The concept endorses utilization of wastes as a by-product to the extent possible *i.e.*, Recycle, Recover, Reuse, Recharge. Recycling refers to using wastes/by-products in the process again as a raw material to maximise production. Recovery refers to engineering means such as solvent extraction, distillation, precipitation *etc.* to separate the useful constituents of wastes, so that these recovered materials can be used. Reuse refers to the utilization of waste from one process as a raw material to other. Recharging is an option in which the natural systems are used for renovation of waste for further use.

2.3.2.9 Eco-efficiency

The World Business Council on sustainable development (WBCSD) defines eco-efficiency as “the delivery of competitively priced goods and services that satisfy human needs and bring quality of life, while progressively reducing ecological impacts and resource intensity throughout the life cycle, to a level at least in line with earth’s carrying capacity”. The business implements the eco-efficiency on four levels *i.e.*, optimized processes, recycling of wastes, eco-innovation and new services. Fussler (1995) defined six dimensions of eco efficiency, which are given below to understand/examine the system.

- **Mass:** There is an opportunity to significantly reduce mass burdens (raw materials, fuels, utilities consumed during the life cycle)
- **Reduce energy use:** The opportunity is to redesign the product or its use to provide significant energy savings
- **Reduce environmental toxins:** This is concern to the environmental quality and human health. The opportunity here is to significantly control the dispersion of toxic elements.
- **Recycle when practical:** Designing for recyclability is important
- **Working with mother nature:** Materials are borrowed and returned to the nature without negatively affecting the balance of the ecosystem
- **Make it Last Longer:** It relates to useful life and functions of products. Increasing the functionality of products also increase their eco efficiency

The competitiveness among the companies and long-term survival will continue and the successful implementation of eco efficiency will contribute to their success. There is a need to shift towards responsible consumerism equal to the efficiency gains made by corporations – doing more with less.

2.3.2.10 Industrial ecosystem or metabolism

Eco-industrial development is a new paradigm for achieving excellence in business and environmental performance. It opens up innovative new avenues for managing business and conducting economic development by creating linkages among local ‘resources’, including businesses, non-profit groups, governments, unions, educational institutions, and communities. They can creatively foster the dynamic and responsible growth. Antiquated business strategies based on isolated enterprises are no longer responsive enough to market, environmental and community requirements.

Sustainable eco-industrial development looks systematically at development, business and environment, attempting to stretch the boundaries of current practice - on one level, it is

as directly practical as making the right connections between the wastes and resources needed for production and at the other level, it is a whole new way of thinking about doing business and interacting with communities. At a most basic level, it is each organization seeking higher performance within it self. However, most eco-industrial activity is moving to a new level by increasing the inter connections between the companies.

Strategic partnership, networked manufacturing and performed supplier arrangements are all the examples of ways used by the businesses to ensure growth, contain costs and to reach out for new opportunities.

For most businesses, the two essentials for success are the responsive markets and access to cost-effective, quality resources for production or delivering services. In absence of these two factors, virtually every other incentive becomes a minor consideration.

Transportation issues are important at two levels, the ability to get goods to market in an expeditious way is essential to success in this day of just in time inventories. The use of least impact transportation with due consideration of speed and cost supports business success and addresses the concerned in community.

Eco-industrial development works because it consciously mixes a range of targeted strategies shaped to the contours of the local community. Most importantly, it works because the communities want nothing less than the best possible in or near their neighborhoods. For companies, it provides a path towards significantly higher operating results and positive market presence. For our environment, it provides great hope that the waste will be transformed into valued product and that the stewardship will be a joint pledge of both businesses and communities.

2.3.2.11 Voluntary agreements

Voluntary environmental agreements among the industries, government, public representatives, NGOs and other concerned towards attaining certain future demands of the environment are reported to be successful. Such agreements may be used as a tool where Government would like to make the standards stringent in future (phase-wise-stringent). These may be used when conditions are temporary and require timely replacement. Also these may be used as supplementary/complimentary in implementation of the regulation. The agreements may include:

- Target objectives (emission limit values/standards)
- Performance objectives (operating procedures)
- R&D activities – Government and industry may have agreement to establish better control technologies.
- Monitoring & reporting of the agreement conditions by other agents (NGOs, public participants, civil authority *etc.*)

In India, the MoEF has organized such programme, popularly known as the corporate responsibility for environment protection (CREP) considering identified 17 categories of high pollution potential industrial sectors. Publication in this regard, is available with Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB).

2.3.3 Tools for communication

2.3.3.1 State of environment

The Government of India has brought out the state of environment report for entire country and similar reports available for many of the states. These reports are published at regular intervals to record trends and to identify the required interventions at various levels. These reports consider the internationally accepted DPSIR framework for the presentation of the information. DPSIR refers to

- D – Driving forces – causes of concern *i.e.*, industries, transportation *etc.*
- P – Pressures – pollutants emanating from driving forces *i.e.*, emission
- S – State – quality of environment *i.e.*, air, water & soil quality
- I – Impact – Impact on health, ecosystem, materials, biodiversity, economic damage *etc.*
- R – Responses – action for cleaner production, policies (including standards/guidelines), targets *etc.*

Environment reports including the above elements gives a comprehensive picture of specific target area in order to take appropriate measures for improvement. Such reports capture the concerns, which could be considered in EIAs.

2.3.3.2 Corporate environmental reporting

Corporate environmental reports (CERs) are only one form of environmental reporting defined as publicly available, stand alone reports, issued voluntarily by the industries on their environmental activities. CER is just a means of environmental improvement and greater accountability, not an end in itself.

Three categories of environmental disclosure are:

- Involuntary disclosure: Without its permission and against its will (env. Campaign, press *etc.*)
- Mandatory disclosure: As required by law
- Voluntary disclosure: The disclosure of information on a voluntary basis

2.4 Objectives of EIA

Objectives of EIA include the following:

- To ensure environmental considerations are explicitly addressed and incorporated into the development decision-making process
- To anticipate and avoid, minimize or offset the adverse significant biophysical, social and other relevant effects of development proposals
- To protect the productivity and capacity of natural systems and the ecological processes which maintain their functions
- To promote development that is sustainable and optimizes resource use as well as management opportunities

2.5 Types of EIA

Environmental assessments could be classified into four types *i.e.*, strategic environmental assessment (SEA), regional EIA, sectoral EIA and project level EIA. These are precisely discussed below:

Strategic environmental assessment

SEA refers to systematic analysis of the environmental effects of development policies, plans, programmes and other proposed strategic actions. SEA represents a proactive approach to integrate environmental considerations into the higher levels of decision-making – beyond the project level, when major alternatives are still open.

Regional EIA

EIA in the context of regional planning integrates environmental concerns into development planning for a geographic region, normally at the sub-country level. Such an approach is referred to as the economic-cum-environmental (EcE) development planning. This approach facilitates adequate integration of economic development with management of renewable natural resources within the carrying capacity limitation to achieve sustainable development. It fulfils the need for macro-level environmental integration, which the project-oriented EIA is unable to address effectively. Regional EIA addresses the environmental impacts of regional development plans and thus, the context for project-level EIA of the subsequent projects, within the region. In addition, if environmental effects are considered at regional level, then cumulative environmental effects of all the projects within the region can be accounted.

Sectoral EIA

Instead of project-level-EIA, an EIA should take place in the context of regional and sectoral level planning. Once sectoral level development plans have the integrated sectoral environmental concerns addressed, the scope of project-level EIA will be quite minimal. Sectoral EIA will help in addressing specific environmental problems that may be encountered in planning and implementing sectoral development projects.

Project level EIA

Project level EIA refers to the developmental activity in isolation and the impacts that it exerts on the receiving environment. Thus, it may not effectively integrate the cumulative effects of the development in a region.

From the above discussion, it is clear that EIA shall be integrated at all the levels *i.e.*, strategic, regional, sectoral and the project level. Whereas, the strategic EIA is a structural change in the way the things are evaluated for decision-making, the regional EIA refers to substantial information processing and drawing complex inferences. The project-level EIA is relatively simple and reaches to meaningful conclusions. Therefore in India, project-level EIA studies take place on a large-scale and are being considered. However, in the re-engineered Notification, provisions have been incorporated for giving a single clearance for the entire industrial estate for *e.g.*, Leather parks, pharma cities *etc.*, which is a step towards the regional approach.

As we progress and the resource planning concepts emerge in our decision-making process, the integration of overall regional issues will become part of the impact assessment studies.

2.6 Basic EIA Principles

By integrating the environmental impacts of the development activities and their mitigation early in the project planning cycle, the benefits of EIA could be realized in all stages of a project, from exploration and planning, through construction, operations, decommissioning, and beyond site closure.

A properly-conducted-EIA also lessens conflicts by promoting community participation, informing decision makers, and also helps in laying the base for environmentally sound projects. An EIA should meet at least three core values:

- Integrity: The EIA process should be fair, objective, unbiased and balanced
- Utility: The EIA process should provide balanced, credible information for decision-making
- Sustainability: The EIA process should result in environmental safeguards

Ideally an EIA process should be:

- Purposive - should inform decision makers and result in appropriate levels of environmental protection and community well-being.
- Rigorous - should apply 'best practicable' science, employing methodologies and techniques appropriate to address the problems being investigated.
- Practical - should result in providing information and acceptable and implementable solutions for problems faced by proponents.
- Relevant - should provide sufficient, reliable and usable information for development planning and decision making.
- Cost-effective - should impose minimum cost burdens in terms of time and finance on proponents and participants consistent with meeting accepted requirements and objectives of EIA.
- Efficient - should achieve the objectives of EIA within the limits of available information, time, resources and methodology.
- Focused - should concentrate on significant environmental effects and key issues; *i.e.*, the matters that need to be taken into account in making decisions.
- Adaptive - should be adjusted to the realities, issues and circumstances of the proposals under review without compromising the integrity of the process, and be iterative, incorporating lessons learned throughout the project life cycle.
- Participative - should provide appropriate opportunities to inform and involve the interested and affected publics, and their inputs and concerns should be addressed explicitly in the documentation and decision making.
- Inter-disciplinary - should ensure that appropriate techniques and experts in the relevant bio-physical and socio-economic disciplines are employed, including use of traditional knowledge as relevant.
- Credible - should be carried out with professionalism, rigor, fairness, objectivity, impartiality and balance, and be subject to independent checks and verification.

- Integrated - should address the interrelationships of social, economic and biophysical aspects.
- Transparent - should have clear, easily understood requirements for EIA content; ensure public access to information; identify the factors that are to be taken into account in decision making; and acknowledge limitations and difficulties.
- Systematic - should result in full consideration of all relevant information on the affected environment, of proposed alternatives and their impacts, and of the measures necessary to monitor and investigate residual effects.

2.7 Project Cycle

The generic project cycle including that of the proposed industry has six main stages:

1. Project concept
2. Pre-feasibility
3. Feasibility
4. Design and engineering
5. Implementation
6. Monitoring and evaluation

It is important to consider the environmental factors on an equal basis with technical and economic factors throughout the project planning, assessment and implementation phases. Environmental considerations should be introduced at the earliest in the project cycle and must be an integral part of the project pre-feasibility and feasibility stage. If the environmental considerations are given due respect in site selection process by the project proponent, the subsequent stages of the environmental clearance process would get simplified and would also facilitate easy compliance to the mitigation measures throughout the project life cycle.

A project's feasibility study should include a detailed assessment of significant impacts and the EIA include a detailed prediction and quantification of impacts and delineation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP). Findings of the EIA study should preferably be incorporated in the project design stage so that the project as well as the site alternatives is studied and necessary changes, if required, are incorporated in the project design stage. This practice will also help the management in assessing the negative impacts and in designing cost-effective remedial measures. In general, EIA enhances the project quality and improves the project planning process.

2.8 Environmental Impacts

Environmental impacts resulting from proposed actions can be grouped into following categories:

- Beneficial or detrimental
- Naturally reversible or irreversible
- Repairable via management practices or irreparable
- Short term or long term
- Temporary or continuous
- Occurring during construction phase or operational phase
- Local, regional, national or global

- Accidental or planned (recognized before hand)
- Direct (primary) or Indirect (secondary)
- Cumulative or single

The category of impact as stated above, and the significance will facilitate the Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) to take a look at the ToR for EIA studies, as well as, in decision making process about the developmental activity.




Figure 2-2: Types of Impacts

The nature of impacts could fall within three broad classifications *i.e.*, direct, indirect and cumulative, based on the characteristics of impacts. The assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts should not be considered in isolation or considered as separate stages in the EIA. Ideally, the assessment of such impacts should form an integral part of all stages of the EIA. The TGM does not recommend a single method to assess the types of impacts, but suggests a practical framework/approach that can be adapted and combined to suit a particular project and the nature of impacts.

2.8.1 Direct impacts

Direct impacts occur through direct interaction of an activity with an environmental, social, or economic component. For example, a discharge of pesticides industry or effluent from the Effluent Treatment Plant (ETP) into a river may lead to a decline in water quality in terms of high biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) or dissolved oxygen (DO) or rise of water toxins.

2.8.2 Indirect impacts

Indirect impacts on the environment are those which are not a direct result of the project, often produced away from or as a result of a complex impact pathway. The indirect impacts are also known as secondary or even tertiary level impacts. For example, ambient air SO₂ rise due to stack emissions may deposit on land as SO₄ and cause acidic soils. Another example of indirect impact, is the decline in water quality due to rise in temperature of water bodies receiving cooling water discharge from the nearby industry. This, in turn, may lead to a secondary indirect impact on aquatic flora in that water body

and may further cause reduction in fish population. Reduction in fishing harvests, affecting the incomes of fishermen is a third level impact. Such impacts are characterized as socio-economic (third level) impacts. The indirect impacts may also include growth-inducing impacts and other effects related to induced changes to the pattern of land use or additional road network, population density or growth rate. In the process, air, water and other natural systems including the ecosystem may also be affected.

2.8.3 Cumulative impacts

Cumulative impact consists of an impact that is created as a result of the combination of the project evaluated in the EIA together with other projects in the same vicinity, causing related impacts. These impacts occur when the incremental impact of the project is combined with the cumulative effects of other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future projects. Figure 2-3 depicts the same. Respective EAC may exercise their discretion on a case-by-case basis for considering the cumulative impacts.




Figure 2-3: Cumulative Impact

2.8.4 Induced impacts

The cumulative impacts can be due to induced actions of projects and activities that may occur if the action under assessment is implemented such as growth-inducing impacts and other effects related to induced changes to the pattern of future land use or additional road network, population density or growth rate (e.g., excess growth may be induced in the zone of influence around a project area, and in the process causing additional effects on air, water and other natural ecosystems). Induced actions may not be officially announced or be a part of any official announcement/plan. Increase in workforce and nearby communities contributes to this effect.

They usually have no direct relationship with the action under assessment, and represent the growth-inducing potential of an action. New roads leading from those constructed for a project, increased recreational activities (e.g., hunting, fishing), and construction of new service facilities are examples of induced actions.

However, the cumulative impacts due to induced development or third level or even secondary indirect impacts are difficult to be quantified. Because of higher levels of uncertainties, these impacts cannot normally be assessed over a long time horizon. An EIA practitioner usually can only guess as to what such induced impacts may be and the possible extent of their implications on the environmental factors. Respective EAC may exercise their discretion on a case-by-case basis for considering the induced impacts.

2.9 Significance of Impacts

This TGM establishes the significance of impacts first and proceeds to delineate the associated mitigation measures. So the significance here reflects the “worst-case scenario” before mitigation is applied, and therefore provides an understanding of what may happen if mitigation fails or is not as effective as predicted. For establishing significance of different impacts, understanding the responses and interaction of the environmental system is essential. Hence, the impact interactions and pathways are to be understood and established first. Such an understanding will help in the assessment process to quantify the impact as accurately as possible. Complex interactions, particularly in the case of certain indirect or cumulative impacts, may give rise to non-linear responses, which are often difficult to understand and therefore their significance is difficult to assess. It is hence understood that indirect or cumulative impacts are more complex than the direct impacts. Currently the impact assessments are limited to direct impacts. In case mitigation measures are delineated before determining significance of the effect, the significance represents the residual effects.

However, the ultimate objective of an EIA is to achieve sustainable development. The development process shall invariably cause some residual impacts even after implementing an EMP effectively. Environmentalists today are faced with a vital, not-easy-to-answer question—“What is the tolerable level of environmental impact within the sustainable development framework?” As such, it has been recognized that every ecosystem has a threshold for absorbing deterioration and a certain capacity for self-regeneration. These thresholds based on concept of carrying capacity are as follows:

- Waste emissions from a project should be within the assimilative capacity of the local environment to absorb without unacceptable degradation of its future waste absorptive capacity or other important services.
- Harvest rates of renewable resource inputs should be within the regenerative capacity of the natural system that generates them; depletion rates of non-renewable inputs should be equal to the rate at which renewable substitutes are developed by human invention and investment.

The aim of this model is to curb over-consumption and unacceptable environmental degradation. But because of limitation in available scientific basis, this definition provides only general guidelines for determining the sustainable use of inputs and outputs. To establish the level of significance for each identified impact, a three-stage analysis may be referred:

- First, an impact is qualified as being either negative or positive.
- Second, the nature of impacts such as direct, indirect, or cumulative is determined using the impact network
- Third, a scale is used to determine the severity of the effect; for example, an impact is of low, medium, or high significance.

It is not sufficient to simply state the significance of the effect. This determination must be justified, coherent and documented, notably by a determination methodology, which must be described in the methodology section of the report. There are many recognized methodologies to determine the significance of effects.

2.9.1 Criteria/methodology to determine the significance of the identified impacts

The criteria can be determined by answering some questions regarding the factors affecting the significance. This will help the EIA stake-holders, the practitioner in particular, to determine the significance of the identified impacts eventually. Typical examples of such factors include the following:

- Exceeding of threshold limit: Significance may increase if a threshold is exceeded. *e.g.*, particulate matter emissions exceed the permissible threshold.
- Effectiveness of mitigation: Significance may increase as the effectiveness of mitigation measures decreases. *e.g.*, control technologies, which may not assure consistent compliance to the requirements.
- Size of study area: Significance may increase as the zone of effects increases.
- Incremental contribution of effects from action under review: Significance may increase as the relative contribution of an action increases.
- Relative contribution of effects of other actions: Significance may decrease as the significance of nearby larger actions increase.
- Relative rarity of species: Significance may increase as species becomes increasingly rare or threatened.
- Significance of local effects: Significance may increase as the significance of local effects is high.
- Magnitude of change relative to natural background variability: Significance may decrease if effects are within natural assimilative capacity or variability.
- Creation of induced actions: Significance may increase as induced activities also highly significant.
- Degree of existing disturbance: Significance may increase if the surrounding environment is pristine.

For determining significance of impacts, it is important to remember that secondary and higher order effects can also occur as a result of a primary interaction between a project activity and the local environment. Wherever a primary effect is identified, the practitioner should always think if secondary or tertiary effects on other aspects of the environment could also arise.

The EIA should also consider the effects that could arise from the project due to induced developments, which take place as a consequence of the project. Ex. Population density and associated infrastructure and jobs for people attracted to the area by the project. It also requires consideration of cumulative effects that could arise from a combination of the effects due to other projects with those of other existing or planned developments in the surrounding area. So the necessity to formulate a qualitative checklist is suggested to test significance, in general.

3.

ABOUT PESTICIDES INDUSTRY AND PESTICIDE SPECIFIC INTERMEDIATES INCLUDING PROCESS AND POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES

3.1 Introduction

Agriculture is the lynchpin of Indian economy. Ensuring food security for population of over 1 billion with diminishing cultivable land resource is a Herculean task. This necessitates the use of high yielding variety of seeds, balanced use of fertilizers, judicious use of quality pesticides along with education to farmers and the use of modern farming techniques. It is estimated that India approximately loses 18 per cent (%) of crop yield valued at ₹.900 billion due to pest attack each year. The use of pesticides helps in reducing the crop loss, provides economic benefits to farmers, reduces soil erosion and helps in ensuring food safety and security for the nation.

The Indian Pesticide industry with 85,000 tonnes (T) of production during financial year (FY) 07 is ranked second in Asia (behind China) and twelfth globally. In value terms, the size of the Indian pesticide industry was estimated at ₹.74 billion for 2007, including exports of ₹.29 billion.

Per hectare consumption of pesticide is low in India at 381 grams when compared to the world average of 500 grams. Low consumption can be attributed to fragmented land holdings, low level of irrigation, dependence on monsoons, and low awareness among farmers about the benefits of usage of pesticides, *etc.* India, being a tropical country, the consumption pattern is also more skewed towards insecticides which accounted for 64% of total pesticide consumption in FY07.

India due to its inherent strength of low-cost manufacturing and qualified low-cost manpower is a net exporter of pesticides to countries such as USA and some European & African countries. Exports formed 39% of total industry turnover In FY07 and have grown at a Compounded Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 18% from FY 03 to FY07.

With the advent of the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) technique, the use of biopesticides and Genetically Modified (GM) seeds has increased. Globally, GM seeds are used mainly for commercial crops like cotton, maize, soyabean and canola. In India, Bt cotton is widely used and the acreage stood at 6.20 million hectares for 2007, a growth of 63% over the previous year. The use of GM seeds may diminish the use of insecticides, but use of herbicides may improve.

Research findings indicate that demand for pesticides can be augmented only through sustainable growth in agriculture. With the governments focus on development of agriculture sector, the industry may see a better future. The Indian pesticide industry is also likely to move towards the global product mix, with an increase in the use of herbicides and fungicides. Exports will continue to remain the growth driver.

3.1.1 Current state of Indian pesticide industry

As per the Directorate of Plant Protection, Quarantine and Storage, the production capacity of pesticides in the country is around 1, 39,000 MT annually with more than 125 technical grade/manufacturing units and over 800 formulation units. In the recent years, the consumption of pesticides has shown a downward trend from 75,000 MT in 1991-1992 to around 37,959 MT in 2006-2007 – reasons being: the popularization of Integrated Pest Management approach, which includes cultural, physical, mechanical, biological and need-based use of safest chemical pesticides including neem-based bio-pesticides in harmonized manner as well as use of low dose new molecules, ban on the Heptachlor, Chlordane and BHC, *etc.*, and the cultivation of Bt Cotton *etc.* Presently, there are about 1, 46,747 sale/distribution points of pesticides in the country to ensure easy availability of pesticides to farmers.

Table 3-1: Production of Pesticides During 2002-03 to 2006-07

| S.No. | PESTICIDE | GROUP | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
|-------|-------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. | Acephate | i | 4800 | 3993 | 6136 | 8475 | 8333 |
| 2. | Alphamethrin | i | 200 | 209 | 330 | 249 | 172 |
| 3. | Metasystox | i | 0 | 499 | 556 | 317 | 629 |
| 4. | Carbaryl | i | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | Chlorpyrifos | i | 6300 | 8108 | 8976 | 4942 | 4654 |
| 6. | Cypermethrin | i | 5100 | 5173 | 6524 | 6484 | 5100 |
| 7. | Dicofol | i | 0 | 93 | 70 | 37 | 51 |
| 8. | DDVP | i | 2400 | 3458 | 4984 | 3840 | 3890 |
| 9. | DDT | i | 3000 | 4471 | 4036 | 429 | 4495 |
| 10. | Deltamethrin | i | 200 | 182 | 390 | 310 | 341 |
| 11. | Dimethoate | i | 800 | 923 | 897 | 828 | 971 |
| 12. | Endosulfan | i | 3700 | 3597 | 3054 | 2939 | 3898 |
| 13. | Ethion | i | 1200 | 2526 | 1788 | 1508 | 1804 |
| 14. | Fenitrothion | i | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15. | Fenthion | i | 0 | 220 | 179 | 330 | 122 |
| 16. | Fenvalerate | i | 500 | 821 | 627 | 573 | 509 |
| 17. | Lindane | i | 331 | 414 | 375 | 177 | 251 |
| 18. | Malathion | i | 4000 | 3945 | 4710 | 2740 | 4040 |
| 19. | Methyl parathion | i | 2000 | 1305 | 975 | 564 | 0 |
| 20. | Monocrotophos | i | 6500 | 8121 | 9507 | 4899 | 4913 |
| 21. | Oxydemeton Methyl | i | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 22. | Phorate | i | 3200 | 5083 | 3637 | 6220 | 4713 |
| 23. | Phosalone | i | 400 | 486 | 543 | 274 | 246 |
| 24. | Phosphamidon | i | 800 | 356 | 393 | 542 | 366 |

| S.No. | PESTICIDE | GROUP | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
|-------|----------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 25 | Quinalphos | i | 1800 | 1841 | 866 | 855 | 823 |
| 26. | Trizophos | i | 1200 | 2110 | 2942 | 2853 | 1835 |
| 27 | Temephos(ABATE) | i | 50 | 91 | 253 | 29 | 88 |
| 28 | Captan & Captafol | f | 800 | 838 | 852 | 13 | 192 |
| 29. | Carbendazim | f | 1300 | 835 | 732 | 426 | 138 |
| 30. | Copper oxychloride | f | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31. | Mancozeb | f | 10200 | 17251 | 20801 | 18857 | 22875 |
| 32. | Calaxin | f | 0 | 46 | 74 | 35 | 29 |
| 33. | Thiocarbamates | f | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 34. | Thiram | f | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 35. | Tridemorph | f | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 36. | Ziram | f | 0 | 278 | 285 | 112 | 243 |
| 37. | 2,4-D | h | 200 | 186 | 132 | 329 | 0 |
| 38. | Alachlor | h | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 39. | Anilophas | h | 400 | 471 | 363 | 197 | 21 |
| 40. | Atrazine | h | 200 | 61 | 39 | 0 | 93 |
| 41. | Butachlor | h | 800 | 333 | 264 | 254 | 182 |
| 42. | Dalapon | h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 43. | Diuron | h | 50 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44. | Fluchloralin | h | 200 | 157 | 172 | 119 | 101 |
| 45. | Gly-phosate | h | 100 | 308 | 1019 | 1517 | 2100 |
| 46. | Isoproturon | h | 2700 | 4409 | 4664 | 4295 | 3150 |
| 47. | Paraquat | h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 48. | Aluminium phosphide | r | 2000 | 1174 | 1362 | 1518 | 1526 |
| 49. | Ratafin | r | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 50. | Zinc phosphide | r | 0 | 226 | 307 | 254 | 807 |
| 51. | Ethylene Diobromide | fm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 52. | Methyl bromide | fm | 60 | 56 | NA | 0 | 0 |
| 53. | Alpha Nephthyl Acetic acid | pg | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 54. | Cycocel | pg | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | GRAND TOTAL | | 65115 | 84871 | 93814 | 82240 | 84701 |

Source: Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers

NOTE: i – Insecticide f- Fungicide h – Weedicide

r - Rodenticide fm – Fumigants

Table 3-2: Consumption of Imported Pesticides During the Last Five Years (2001-2002 to 2005-2006)

| S. No. | Pesticides | Group | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
|--------|------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. | Aldicarb | i | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. | Allethrin | i | 5 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 49 |
| 3. | Alpha cypermethrin | i | 7 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. | Bacillus thuringiensis | i | 166 | 143 | 157 | 108 | 110 |
| 5. | Carbaryl | i | 155 | 219 | 273 | 400 | 218 |
| 6. | Carbofuran | i | 419 | 308 | 500 | 495 | 469 |
| 7. | Carbosulfan | i | 17 | 20 | 35 | 26 | 7 |
| 8. | Cartap hydrochloride | i | 34 | 26 | 29 | 4 | 196 |
| 9. | Cyfluthrin | i | 0 | 0 | 5 | 34 | 0 |
| 10. | Chlorfenvinphos | i | 7 | 6 | 8 | 23 | 0 |
| 11. | Chlorpyrifos | i | 718 | 825 | 1161 | 442 | 0 |
| 12. | Cyphenothrin | i | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 10 |
| 13. | Deltamethrin | i | 106 | 96 | 83 | 138 | 0 |
| 14. | Diazinon | i | 31 | 35 | 40 | 0 | 42 |
| 15. | Dicofol | i | 73 | 56 | 52 | 0 | 4 |
| 16. | Ethofenprox | i | 2 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 5.2 |
| 17. | Formothion | i | 10 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 12 |
| 18. | Febabucarb (BPMC) | i | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 70 |
| 19. | Fenpropathrin | i | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20. | Fipronil | i | 10 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 61 |
| 21. | Fluvalinate | i | 6 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 2 |
| 22. | Lamdocyhalothrin | i | 35 | 41 | 28 | 30 | 15 |
| 23. | Methomyl | i | 41 | 38 | 10 | 28 | 13 |
| 24. | Permethrin | i | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| 25. | Phenthoate | i | 38 | 57 | 35 | 30 | 4 |
| 26. | Propoxur | i | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 27. | Propstemphos | i | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 28. | Profenofos | i | 60 | 39 | 45 | 23 | 94 |
| 29. | Thiometon | i | 1 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 2 |
| 30. | Bencmyl | f | 10 | 12 | 13 | 22 | 9 |
| 31. | Bitertanol | f | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 32. | Carboxin | f | 14 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 0 |
| 33. | Chlorthalonil | f | 16 | 27 | 15 | 2 | 31 |
| 34. | Dodin | f | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 60 |

| S. No. | Pesticides | Group | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
|--------|--------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 35. | Dithianon | f | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| 36. | Dinocap | f | 12 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 5 |
| 37. | Ediphenphos | f | 22 | 25 | 20 | 6 | 18 |
| 38. | Fosetyl-Al | f | 14 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 15 |
| 39. | Hexaconazole | f | 9 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 22 |
| 40. | Iprodione | f | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41. | Isoprothiolane | f | 11 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| 42. | Kitazin | f | 63 | 68 | 70 | 24 | 20 |
| 43. | Kasugamycin | f | 3 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 4 |
| 44. | Metalaxyl | f | 25 | 21 | 6 | 38 | 23 |
| 45. | Penconazole | f | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 |
| 46. | Propiconazole | f | 7 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| 47. | Tridemorph | f | 115 | 125 | 120 | 2 | 1 |
| 48. | Thiophenate methyl | f | 20 | 19 | 15 | 7 | 11 |
| 49. | Triademefon | f | 13 | 11 | 1 | 111 | 1 |
| 50. | Tricyclazole | f | 6 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 5 |
| 51. | Validamycine | f | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 52. | Atrazine | h | 390 | 325 | 315 | 0 | 1 |
| 53. | Benthiocarb | h | 147 | 140 | 135 | 108 | 22 |
| 54. | Methabenzthiazuron | h | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| 55. | Metoxuron | h | 42 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 56. | Metribuzin | h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 57. | Metalachlor | h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 58. | Oxadiazon | h | 8 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 59. | Oxdiargyl | h | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 60. | Oxyfluorfen | h | 5 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 14 |
| 61. | Pendimethalin | h | 130 | 149 | 140 | 0 | 12 |
| 62. | Partilachlor | h | 15 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 26 |
| 63. | Simazine | h | 55 | 64 | 45 | 0 | 9 |
| 64. | Trillats | h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 65. | Trifluralin | h | 7 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 66. | Bromodiolone | r | 79 | 83 | 50 | 58 | 9 |
| 67. | Ethepon | pg | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 68. | Gibberallic Acid | pg | 12 | 10 | 15 | 29 | 12 |
| 69. | Meleic hydrazide | pg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 |

| S. No. | Pesticides | Group | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
|--------|--------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 70. | DD Mixture | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71. | Others | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | TOTAL: | Imported | 3220 | 3220 | 3668 | 2394 | 1799 |
| | | Indigenous | 43800 | 45130 | 37352 | 38278 | 42378 |
| | Grand Total: | | 47020 | 48350 | 41020 | 40672 | 44177 |

Source: States/UTs

Note: *i* - Insecticide *fm* - Fumigants *f* - Fungicide *pg* - Plant growth regulator

h - Herbicide *mp* - Misc. pesticides *r* - Rodenticide

3.2 Scientific Aspects

3.2.1 Manufacturing Process

Pesticide manufacturing consists of chemical synthesis of active ingredients for crop protection, which is very often the synthesis of complex organic chemical compounds, and subsequent formulation of these active ingredients (usually mixing and grinding processes).

Major chemical reactions involved in production of technical grade pesticides are: alkylation, carboxylation, acetylation, condensation, cyclization, dehydration, halogenation, oxidation, sulphonation, nitration and amination.

Main physical (mostly separation or purification) operations, which are usually called as “unit operations” include: Liquid/liquid extraction, liquid/liquid separation, liquid/solid separation, gas/solid separation, distillation, crystallization, gas absorption, drying, grinding and mixing. Very often, during these operations, wastewater and solid waste is separated, whereas waste gas is directly released from the reaction itself. Typical unit operations of chemical synthesis with its associated emissions are depicted in Figure 3-1.

Every reaction ends with some quantities of un-reacted raw materials and some unwanted products that will remain in the system. Desired products from these reactions may be carefully recovered at every step from the system and unwanted products can be discarded. These inevitably become pollutants in wastewater and solid waste. Some are vented out to the atmosphere. There are some cases where some recyclable materials may be profitably taken back into the system. Impurities present in raw materials may also react with one another and in many cases, which show up as a scum, froth or tar or simply as un-reacted raw material. For detailed description on unit process and operation along with generation of wastewater, solid waste and emission and process flow diagrams, CPCB document on Development of National Emission Standards for Pesticides Manufacturing Industry (comprehensive Industry Documents Series/73/2007) may be referred.




Figure 3-1: Typical Unit Operations of Chemical Synthesis

3.2.2 Manufacturing process: a source for exploration of preventive measures

The process assessment is an important prerequisite, as it is the source of pollutants. Utmost importance is to be given for maximisation of the yield. At the same time, the rejects shall be as low as possible and techno-economically manageable in order to meet the environmental protection objectives and requirements. The attributes contributing to the waste reduction in the process can be broadly categorized into i) chemistry of the reaction; and ii) chemical engineering of the unit operation.

Chemistry of reaction reveals raw materials and synthesis including reaction mechanisms in order to identify the main by-products and to get ideas about possible by-products/rejects. Besides, eco-toxicological properties of raw materials and by-products/rejects provide indications about the environmental relevance of the considered synthesis.

However, gross reaction equations alone are not sufficient to reach conclusions. The equations shall always be studied in association with the reaction mechanisms, which are indispensable, and will require detailed knowledge and practical experience to understand the by-products and other chemical releases.

As chemical engineering refers to the implementation of synthesis into practice, it plays a major role in determining the practical efficiencies of conversion. With respect to (w.r.t) pollution prevention and control, chemical engineering including automation and control equipment is of major importance because it determines the yield of target products, emissions, recovery & reuse of unreacted raw materials, by-products and emission control. For instance, if the plant is fully automated, smooth and stable operation is guaranteed. However, if all parts are fully closed and if by-products are recovered and reused as far as possible, emissions are minimised.

The control and documentation of input and output mass streams is very important to maintain stable production conditions. This also includes the systematic and regular control of raw material quality.

The quantitative and qualitative characteristics of unavoidable waste, which may be in the form of wastewater streams, waste gases or solid wastes are required, besides the treatability investigations, especially for wastewater streams and also for waste gases, to decide upon the suitability of treatment.

3.2.3 General observations on manufacturing processes

General observations w.r.t the manufacturing processes of pesticides industry are given below, which are very important as these play a major role on the quality and characteristics of the wastewater (effluent), air emissions and solid/hazardous waste generation:

- Most of these industries manufacture more than one pesticide. All the products may not have separate process routes. Some products, which are derived from similar reaction chemistry, are manufactured by same unit configuration with minor changes in raw materials. Even if a plant produces more than four products, it is unlikely that more than four lines exist.
- Not all pesticides are manufactured throughout the year. Some are manufactured only for a part of the year and the process line of this product is used for manufacturing a different product(s) during the remaining part of the year depending on the crop, season, or demand.
- The same product may be manufactured with different process operations in different industries. Some may start the process of manufacture using basic raw materials, thus making the process lengthy and has pollution potential, while the others may start process using intermediates and manufacturing the product with reduced steps and lesser pollution.
- Some industries manufacture different categories of products *viz.* pesticides, pharmaceuticals, and dyes, *etc.*, in the same complex.

3.2.4 Raw material inputs and pollution outputs in the production line

3.2.4.1 Raw material inputs and pollution outputs

The industry releases chemicals to all media including air (through both fugitive and direct emissions), water (direct discharge and runoff) and land. The types of pollutants a single facility may release depend on the raw materials, processes, equipment in use and maintenance practices. These can vary from hour-to-hour and can also vary with the part

of the process that is underway. For example: to carryout, batch reactions in a closed vessel, the chemicals are more likely to be emitted at the beginning and end of a reaction (associated with vessel loading and product transfer operations), than during the reaction. The potential sources of pollutant outputs by media are shown below in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3: Potential Releases During Organic Chemical Manufacturing

| Media | Potential Sources of Emissions |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Air | Point source emissions: stack, vent (e.g., laboratory hood, distillation unit, reactor, storage tank vent), material loading/unloading operations (including rail cars, tank trucks, and marine vessels) Fugitive emissions: pumps, valves, flanges, sample collection, mechanical seals, relief devices, tanks Secondary emissions: waste and wastewater treatment units, cooling tower, process sewer, sump, spill/leak areas |
| Liquid wastes (Organic or Aqueous) | Equipment wash solvent/water, lab samples, surplus chemicals, product washes/purifications, seal flushes, scrubber blow down, cooling water, steam jets, vacuum pumps, leaks, spills, spent/used solvents, housekeeping (pad wash down), waste oils/lubricants from maintenance |
| Solid Wastes | Spent catalysts, spent filters, sludges, wastewater treatment biological sludge, contaminated soil, old equipment/insulation, packaging material, reaction by-products, spent carbon/resins, drying aids |
| Ground Water Contamination | Unlined ditches, process trenches, sumps, pumps/valves/fittings, wastewater treatment ponds, product storage areas, tanks and tank farms, aboveground and underground piping, loading/unloading areas/racks, manufacturing maintenance facilities |

3.2.4.2 Pollution prevention opportunities

The best way to reduce pollution is to prevent it in the first place. Pollution prevention techniques improve efficiency and increase profits. This can be done in many ways such as reducing material inputs, re-engineering processes to reuse by-products, improving management practices, and substituting toxic chemicals with those which are less toxic. In the waste management hierarchy, if source reduction is not feasible, the next alternative is recycling of wastes followed by recovery, and waste treatment as the last alternative.

This pollution prevention, recycle, reuse, and water conservation practices fall into three groups: production practices, housekeeping practices, and practices that use equipment that –by design – promote pollution prevention. Some of these practices and equipment conserve water, others reduce the amount of pesticide product in the wastewater, and some others may prevent the generation of a wastewater altogether. The list of common pollution prevention practices is given below:

- triple-rinsing raw material shipping containers directly into the formulation
- scheduling production to minimise cleanouts

Segregating processing/formulating/packaging equipment by:

- individual product
- solvent-based versus water-based formulations
- products that contain similar active ingredients in different concentrations

- storing interior equipment rinse waters for use in formulating the same product
- packaging products directly from formulation vessels
- using raw material drums for packaging final products
- dedicating equipment (possibly only mix tank or agitator) for “hard-to-clean” formulations

Housekeeping practices include:

- performing preventive maintenance on all valves, fittings, and pumps
- placing drip pans under leaky valves and fittings or under any valves or fittings where hoses or lines are routinely connected and disconnected
- cleaning up spills or leaks in outdoor bulk containment areas to prevent contamination of stormwater

Equipment that promotes pollution prevention by reducing or eliminating wastewater generation includes:

- low-volume/high-pressure hoses
- spray nozzle attachments for hoses
- squeezes and mops
- low-volume/recirculating floor scrubbing machines
- portable steam cleaners
- drum triple rinsing stations
- roofs over outdoor tank farms

Table 3-4: Waste Minimization Methods

| Waste Stream | Waste Minimization Methods |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Equipment Cleaning Wastes | Maximise production runs. Store and reuse cleaning wastes. Use of wiper blades and squeegees. Use of low-volume, high-efficiency cleaning. Use of plastic or foam “pigs.” |
| Spills and Area Wash downs | Use of dedicated vacuum system. Use of dry cleaning methods. Use of recycled water for initial cleanup. Actively involved supervision. |
| Off-Specification Products | Strict quality control and automation. may be reprocessed at appropriate stage, to recover the product. |
| Containers | Return containers to supplier and or reuse as directed. Triple rinse containers. Drums with liners versus plastic drums or bags. Segregating solid waste. |
| Air Emissions | Control bulk storage air emissions. Dedicate dust collection systems. Use automatic enclosed cut-in hoppers. Eliminate emissions of ammonia from reaction of anhydrous ammonia and phosphoric acid. |
| Miscellaneous Wastewater Streams | Pave high spillage areas. |
| Process wash water | Where multiple washings in a reaction are involved, each cycle wash water to be stored and used in subsequent batches. |
| Steam condensate from ejectors | Many places this water can be used as wash water, without affecting the process. Steam condensate can also be explored for boiler feed . |
| Vacuum pump seal water | Use double stage pumps and recycle seal water rather than using as once flow system. |

| Waste Stream | Waste Minimization Methods |
|---|---|
| Process changes | Improvements possible by changing reaction conditions, solvents elimination, reduction in processing steps, etc. |
| Conversion of wastes into useful products | *Absorption of HCl gases with chilled water to get HCL acid *Spent H ₂ SO ₄ from Nitrations to be used for SSP production. *Absorb NH ₃ in chilled water to get aqueous Ammonia. |
| Emulsions and rag formation in reactions | Use of de-emulsifiers and resins for proper separation of organic and aqueous layers and reduce the carry over of organics and rag in aqueous layers. |
| Solvent Extraction | Extraction of aqueous waste layers by suitable solvents to recover carryover organics in waste streams. |

Source: Guides to Pollution Prevention, The Pesticide Formulating Industry, Center for Environmental Research Information, United States EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1990.

Equipment cleaning

- Shipping container/drum cleaning operations
- Bulk tank and equipment cleaning
- Aerosol container leak testing
- Laboratory equipment cleaning

Process changes

- Storage tanks
- Air emission control systems
- Microprill formation

Good housekeeping

- Floor/wall/equipment exterior cleaning
- Leaks and spills clean-up
- Pollution prevention opportunities
- Precipitation runoff
- Containment pad in the loading/unloading

In case of formulation industries, the levels of wastewater generation are either considerably lower than in the ‘technical’ production or sometimes non-existent. It is observed that most of these industries do not generate any process wastewater.

3.3 Water Consumption and Wastewater Generation

At about 24% in excess of stoichiometric water requirement is observed to be attainable for most of the pesticides production. However, the water requirement varies with respect to water use in process and in unit operations

3.3.1 Wastewater generation & characteristics

The entire manufacturing process for a particular product is a combination of various unit operations. Water is used in unit operations where wastewater is generated are to be

identified in order to determine the characteristics of the wastewater and the quantum of pollution load generated. The entire status of the wastewater generation from an industry may be depicted at one place along with the network for monitoring to evaluate the performance of the pollution control.

The quantity of effluent generated varies widely from 0.5 to 120 kilo litres (kL) per tonne of product. Hence, it is difficult to summarize a specific limit for effluent generation as is usually done for other industries such as distilleries, sugar, and breweries *etc.*, due to diversity of products and manufacturing routes. However, the pesticide industries may realize that there is a scope of reducing the quantities of effluent generation, which may reduce the cost of treatment.

3.3.1.1 Observations on effluent generation and its characteristics

- Possible sources of pollutants are raw materials used for pesticide synthesis in excess of their stoichiometric requirements, impurities in raw materials, solvent used as a carrier medium, solvent used as extraction medium, impurities in solvents, catalysts, manufacturing products, *etc.*
- Usage of improper equipments and handling of chemicals in drums during the processing.
- There is a great variation in quality and quantity of effluent generated per unit of product. The biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) are widely varying in wastewater from product-to-product having no fixed correlation and has to be determined only on a case-to-case basis.
- Some streams are organic and/or inorganic and have very high volume of total dissolved solids (TDS). These cannot be treated biologically.
- Details of various other pollutants in effluent are given below:
 - Volatile organics – benzene, toluene, chlorobenzene, *etc.*, which are used as raw materials
 - Halomethanes – methyl chloride, chloroform, carbon tetra chloride *etc.*, which are used as raw materials and extraction solvents
 - Cyanides – raw materials favouring cyanide formation are cyanamides, cyanates, thiocyanates, and syanuric chloride
 - Phenols – phenols are compounds having hydroxyl group (OH) attached directly to an aromatic ring. These may be found throughout the pesticides industry as raw materials, impurities in raw materials or as by-products of reactions utilizing related compounds, such as chloro-benzenes.
 - Heavy metals – these are used as catalysts or as raw materials which are incorporated into the active ingredient (technical) as in case of metallo-organic pesticides.
 - Pesticides – traces of the finished product, *i.e.*, the pesticides themselves are present.

Toxicity factor (TF) is introduced for monitoring of effluents as the industry basically produces pesticides which are often not easily biodegradable and are toxic. TF is defined as the number of dilutions, at which there is no significant fish kill in 48 hours. Variation in TF values w.r.t treated effluent may be attributed to the remaining COD, whereas the TF variations in the inlet are attributed to the varying toxic properties of the constituents.

When it comes to the inlet effluent to the effluent treatment plant (ETP), there is a wide variation.

3.3.2 Wastewater treatment

3.3.2.1 Existing treatment systems

Pesticide industries have adopted, in general, two schemes of pollution control as described below:

- The first scheme is evaporation system, which further includes the following steps:
 - Segregation of production waste from utility and sanitation waste
 - Evaporation of the segregated waste in suitably designed evaporation ponds (solar evaporation or forced evaporation)
 - Incineration of the concentrated waste
- Some pesticide industries, having effluents which are not easily biodegradable and also toxic, are adopting solar evaporation of effluents for the following reasons:
 - Ease in management of effluents by solar evaporation
 - To overcome high costs involved in the construction and operation of a full-fledged ETP
 - To avoid treatment of effluent to the levels of Minimal National Standards (MINAS)
- The second scheme is detoxification followed by biological treatment (conventional activated sludge process), comprising aeration tanks, secondary clarifier and sludge drying beds

3.3.2.2 Observations on existing treatment systems

- The solar evaporation system has limitations based on quantity of effluent and climatic conditions on-site
- The rate of evaporation is low during rainy season and hence an industry will be left with excess quantity of wastewater, unless the evaporation system is well-designed
- The rate of evaporation also goes down as the concentration of TDS increases and if any high boiling organic solvents are present

- There are chances of contamination of groundwater where the groundwater table is high and the evaporation pond is permeable
- The toxic volatile gases from the effluents may cause air pollution during the process of evaporation and pollutants such as oil, grease, organic solvents may reduce the rate of evaporation
- The organic compounds though biodegradable, have complex organic chains due to which the operation of a biological system needs to be handled by skilled staff
- There cannot be a single generalized treatment solution for the wastes from the pesticides industries due to the varying processes of manufacture stated earlier and varying characteristics of waste
- Effluent, unless properly segregated, bears high TDS thus affecting the biological treatment
- In most cases, it is observed that the treatability of wastewater is not tested, operation of activated sludge plant is not monitored and the continuous aeration not provided. This results in unsatisfactory performance. For optimal operation of activated sludge plants, skilled manpower, backup technical infrastructure and uninterrupted power supply (UPS) are needed.

3.3.2.3 Review of best available treatment methods

The treatment technologies that are generally available for removing various pollutants are briefed below:

- 1) **Chemical Oxidation:** The chemicals commonly used for oxidation are chlorine, chlorine dioxide, potassium permanganate, ozone, and hydrogen peroxide. In general, unsaturated organic compounds are more susceptible to ozone oxidation than saturated compounds. Parathion is oxidized by chlorine and ozone to a more toxic product known as paraxone. Lindane in aqueous solution is readily degraded by ozonation and partially affected by potassium permanganate. Treatment of lindane with chlorination, peroxides and aeration has no measurable effect. The organo-nitrogen pesticides, during manufacturing emanate cyanide-bearing effluent, which can be converted to lesser toxic cyanates using chlorine in alkaline medium.
- 2) **Coagulation:** Suspended particulate matter (SPM), to some extent, can be removed using coagulant aids. DDT is easily removed by alum coagulation in doses typical of conventional water treatment.
- 3) **Adsorption:** The adsorbents that prove effective in removing some of the pesticides are activated carbon, saturated clay systems, humic acid, organic acid, bentonite aluminum silicates and hydrous magnesium aluminum silicate. Activated carbon is effective in removal of pesticides, solvents, emulsifiers and odours.
- 4) **Photochemical degradation:** UV-ozonation is remarkably effective for degradation of halogenated hydrocarbon. The effect of UV radiation is isomerisation in case of dieldrin, reduction of chlorinated compounds, replacement of aromatic halogens by hydroxyl in case of chlorinated herbicides and elimination in case of carbamates.

- 5) **Biological degradation:** Some of the microbes that help in biological degradation are actinomycetes, filamentous fungi, soil micro-organisms, bacterial enzymes and streptomycetes. Parathion waste can be treated successfully biologically on combination with domestic waste. 2,4-D wastewater can be treated biologically provided that dilution and nutrient requirements are satisfied. The bacterial enzymes activate the degradation of 2,4-D, 2-CPA and MCPA. The extractable degradation wastes are degraded easily by anaerobic treatment rather than aerobic treatment.
- The treatment technologies in India should take advantage of the high temperature and the long intensive periods of solar radiation. Trickling filters, oxidation and polishing ponds can be operated far more efficiently than the activated sludge plant, under the Indian conditions. Energy consumption is less, operation simpler and the reliability greater in these systems. The biological treatment systems that may be suitable for India are anaerobic treatment, oxidation ponds and trickling filters.
- 6) **Incineration:** Combustion or incineration is practiced by many industries at a temperature of 800°C to 1000°C emanating toxic streams. Most organic compounds (liquids and gases) can be effectively destroyed by this method. The nitrogen compounds present in the wastes form nitro-oxides, sulphur-bearing compounds form sulphur dioxides and sulphuric acid, and phosphorous containing compounds produce P₂O₅ and phosphoric acid, on incineration. Similar other gaseous pollutants may be formed which are to be properly scrubbed. Compounds containing chlorine produce hydrochloric acid, which can cause serious corrosion problems in the incinerator and pollution in dispersion areas. It is important to have a well-designed incinerator that provides required temperature, contact time and air pollution control equipment.

3.3.3 Best practicable treatment option

For treatment of effluent from the pesticides industry, there are many options depending on the type of waste. Best practice may include segregation of streams, characteristics-wise individual treatment and common treatment subsequently, *i.e.*, separation of toxic and highly organic streams for incineration; detoxification of moderate streams; directly sending the easily biological streams to secondary treatment; separation of inorganic streams for separate treatment/evaporation. Choice of treatment technologies for effluent based on three environmental parameters *i.e.* BOD, COD and TDS is given in Table 3-5.

Table 3-5: Choice of Effluent Treatment Technologies

| Combination | Quality of Effluent | Treatment Options |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| High TDS, High COD and High BOD | Waste is not easily biodegradable but toxic | Thermal decomposition (based on calorific value); Chemical oxidation by hydrogen peroxide, ozone <i>etc.</i> ; Evaporation + secured landfill |
| High TDS, High COD and low BOD | May be toxic; not suitable for biological treatment; mostly inorganic salts | Chemical treatment (recovery, precipitation <i>etc.</i>); Evaporation + secured landfill of evaporated residue |
| High TDS, COD is just higher than BOD | Highly organic effluent fully | Anaerobic + Aerobic treatment; If quantity is less, incineration (based on calorific value) + |

| Combination | Quality of Effluent | Treatment Options |
|--|--|---|
| and High BOD | biodegradable | secure landfill of incineration ash |
| High TDS, COD just higher than BOD and low BOD | Only inorganic salts, no need for biological treatment | Solar evaporation; Forced evaporation (after separation of volatile organic matter); Reverse osmosis |
| Low TDS, High COD and High BOD | Highly organic effluent, may not be easily biodegradable | Thermal decomposition; Chemical oxidation by hydrogen peroxide or ozone or sodium hypo-chlorite <i>etc.</i> ; Chemical + biological treatment |
| Low TDS, High COD and low BOD | Highly recalcitrant wastewaters ,not readily suitable for biological treatment | Chemical recovery; Chemical oxidation + biological treatment |
| Low TDS, COD just higher than BOD and high BOD | Organic effluent, fully biodegradable | Anaerobic + aerobic treatment |
| Low TDS, low COD and low BOD | Low organic and low inorganic effluent | Recycle and reuse (after preliminary treatment) |

Note: High, medium and low are relative to the proposed treatability in biological treatment plant

Toxic effluent, which is not easily biodegradable, may be treated physico-chemically instead of treating biologically. This treatment includes detoxification, oil separation, equalization, stripping, clariflocculation, oxidation with H₂O₂/NaOCl/KmnO₄, *etc.*, neutralisation and clariflocculation. Depending on the mode of disposal, the options for subsequent treatment are arrived at. Options include the following:

- **Pre-concentration followed by incineration:** The wastewater may be subjected to evaporation in an impervious holding arrangement so as to reduce its quantity and then incinerated.
- **Solar/forced evaporation:** In cases where the effluent quantity is small (say <10 kld) and the climatic conditions are favourable, solar evaporation may be adopted. Considering the recurring costs, forced evaporation may be employed as a stand-by arrangement to the solar evaporation system.

The inorganic and high TDS-bearing effluent which can only be treated by reverse osmosis, ion-exchange methods, *etc.*, prove to be costly and uneconomical, may also be solar evaporated.

Various important aspects to be considered for effective functioning of biological treatment system for pesticide industry effluent are:

- The detoxification of waste is essential. Otherwise this waste would kill the micro organisms, thereby making treatment system defunct.
- The functioning of biological treatment system is based on microbial activity and a record shall be maintained for F/M (food to micro-organism ratio), MLSS influent BOD and sludge recycle rate in polluted water and hence utmost care is to be taken to keep the system functioning. An efficient biological system helps in treating the wastewater economically.

3.3.4 Disposal

Choosing the mode of disposal for treated effluent is very important in view of the toxic nature of effluent. It is observed that the wastewater is in general disposed into:

- On land for irrigation (factory’s own land)
- Public drain/sewer/nallah
- River/creek
- Sea
- Solar evaporation tanks (within factory premises)

3.4 Air Pollution

3.4.1 Types of air emissions

Processes in pesticide industry involve combustion of fuels for boilers and processing of wider range of chemicals including toxic/hazardous chemicals to obtain required technical grade pesticide. Emissions arise from combustion, process, warehouses, ETP, etc., in the industry. The air emissions can be broadly categorized into combustion and process emissions. These are further discussed below:

- **Combustion emissions:** Industry operates boilers for steam generation. Fire wood, coal, furnace oil, etc., are used as combustion fuel for boilers. As the air-fuel ratio in these boilers is poor, impurities in combustion fuel, undesirable end products like SPM, SO₂, NOX, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) etc., are released as exhaust gases. These emissions can be effectively checked by pollution control equipments. In general, cyclones/multiclones are in use for SPM, and stack height in view of SO₂ emissions.
- **Process emissions:** Process emissions can be broadly categorized into channelised and fugitive in nature.

Channelised emissions are the by-products of unit operations. The material balance of the reactants and products of a single unit operation are to be examined so as to accurately identify the characteristics of the channelised emissions and the quantum of air emissions. However, the quantum of generation is constrained by the efficiency of the operating system, which may be at the order of 80-99%. These emissions are reaction-specific and as such the chances of pure process/fugitive emission of only one gaseous pollutant are remote, which are usually associated with raw materials, solvents, even the traces of product. These emissions need to be controlled at source. A compilation of the product-wise process pollutants is given in Table 3-6 below.

Table 3-6: Product and Associated Priority Pollutants

| S. No. | Product | Associated process pollutant |
|--------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Acephate | HCL |
| 2 | Aluminum phosphide | P ₂ O ₅ |
| 3 | Bhutachlor | HCl |
| | | SO ₂ |
| 4 | Captan | HCl |
| | | SO ₂ |

| S. No. | Product | Associated process pollutant |
|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5 | Cypermethrin | HCl |
| | | SO ₂ |
| 6 | DDVP | CH ₃ Cl |
| 7 | 2,4-D Acid | HCl |
| 8 | Dimethoate | CH ₃ OH |
| | | H ₂ S |
| 9 | Endosulfan | CH ₃ Cl |
| 10 | Ethion | H ₂ S |
| 11 | Fenvelarate | HCl |
| | | NH ₃ |
| | | SO ₂ |
| 12 | Isoproturon | NH ₃ |
| 13 | Malathion | H ₂ S |
| 14 | Methoxy Ethyl Merc. Chloride | HCl |
| 15 | Methyl Bromide | HBr |
| | | SO ₂ |
| 16 | Monochrotophos | CH ₃ Cl |
| 17 | Oxychloramide | HCl |
| 18 | Phenyl Merc. Acetate | HCl |
| 19 | Phosalone | HCl |
| | | H ₂ S |
| 20 | Phosphamidon | NH ₃ |
| | | CH ₃ Cl |
| | | HCl |
| 21 | Phorate | H ₂ S |
| 22 | Zinc Phosphide | P ₂ O ₅ |

Fugitive emissions can be defined as the emissions due to the evaporation of raw material and solvents, *etc.*, and due to spills, leaks, storage tanks, drums, improper handling and transfer of chemicals, *etc.* Poor house keeping, lack of proper maintenance leads to the huge amount of fugitive emissions of reactants/products/solvents. These emissions are generally from solvents with other associated matters. These fugitive emissions usually spread in the process plant posing a threat to the workmen and sometimes leading to formation of clouds and explosions due to increased concentration of pollutants. Thus, proper collection, conveyance, treatment and disposal become highly necessary.

3.4.2 Choice of control technologies for air emissions

The available techniques are classified as

- “normal” temperature processes, such as production, handling or work-up processes, with the main contaminants:
 - volatile organic compounds (VOC) such as solvents
 - inorganic gases such as hydrogen halides, hydrogen sulphide, ammonia, carbon monoxide
 - particulates in the form of dust
 -
- incineration processes, with main contaminants:
 - particulates in the form of ashes and dust, containing soot, and metal oxides
 - flue gases such as carbon monoxide, hydrogen halides, sulphur-oxygen compounds (SO_x), and nitrogen-oxygen compounds (NO_x).

The treatment techniques are classified as follows:

- recovery techniques for VOC and inorganic gases:
 - selective membrane separation
 - condensation
 - adsorption
 - wet scrubbing
 - Steam stripping
- abatement techniques for VOC and inorganic gases:
 - biofiltration
 - bioscrubbing
 - biotrickling
 - thermal oxidation (incineration)
 - catalytic oxidation
 - flaring
- recovery and abatement techniques for particulates, using:
 - separator
 - cyclone
 - electrostatic precipitator
 - wet dust scrubber
 - fabric filter, including ceramic filter
 - two-stage dust filter
 - high efficiency air filter (HEAF)
 - mist filter
- recovery and abatement techniques for flue gases:
 - dry sorbent injection
 - semi-dry sorbent injection
 - selective non-catalytic reduction of NO_x (SNCR)
 - selective catalytic reduction of NO_x (SCR)

3.4.3 Conventional control technologies for air emissions

Five methods are available to control priority (gaseous) pollutant emissions *i.e.*, absorption (scrubbing system), adsorption, condensation, chemical reaction and incineration but some times atmospheric dispersion from a tall stack is considered as the

last (sixth) option in case non-hazardous air pollutants and the techno-economics do not permit for additional control. The identified priority pollutants from the pesticide process/operation can be efficiently removed using suitable scrubbing liquor in a mass transfer device. The liquor and gas can contact each other while both are flowing in the same direction (co-current flow), in opposite directions (counter current flow), or while they are flowing perpendicular to each other (cross flow). The scrubbing liquor is used for the removal of gaseous pollutants can be by-product, in the form of slurry or a chemical solution. In chemical engineering terminology, the alternate term for scrubbing is absorption.

3.4.3.1 Absorption

Absorption is a diffusion-controlled, gas-liquid mass transfer process. The efficiency of absorption in air pollution control is governed by ease with which contaminants can be transferred through the interface into the liquid face. Absorption is enhanced by high diffusion rates, high solubility, large interfacial areas and turbulence. The gaseous vapours are scrubbed with water or liquid in which they are soluble. Scrubbing can be carried out in spray columns, packed bed columns, plate columns, floating-bed scrubbers and liquid-jet scrubber or venturi scrubbers. With the proper choice of operating conditions, almost complete removal of gaseous vapour is possible by this method.

Various designed scrubbers are used to carry out absorption process. The various designs of scrubbers are based on the consideration to provide maximum contact between absorbent and the gas so as to achieve high efficiency of gas removal. To meet the emission standards, using/placing of multiple scrubbers in series can be considered.




Figure 3-2: Multi-stage Jet Ventury Scrubbers




Figure 3-3: Three-Stage Combination System

Table 3-7: Scrubbing Efficiencies

| S. No. | Gas | Single Jet Venturi | Single Packed | Multi-stage Jet Venture | Multi-stage Combination |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | HCl | 80-90% | 95% Max | 95% Max | 99.9%+ |
| 2 | SO ₂ /HBr | 80-85% | 95% Max | 95% Max | 99.9%+ |
| 3 | NH ₃ | 60-70% | 95% Max | 80% Max | 99.90% |

An account of some common type of scrubbers is provided in the following sub-sections:

(a) Packed tower scrubber

The design of a packed tower scrubber is given in Figure-3-2. It consists of a long tower packed with a suitable inert packing material such as polyethylene. The absorbent trickles downward from the top, while the gases rise in the opposite direction from downward to the top, thus allowing the maximum reaction time. The presence of packing material makes the absorbent to trickle down in thin films to provide maximum surface area for contact. The packed tower is usually more economic for corrosive gases and vapours in view of the lesser quantities of corrosion resistant materials required for its construction.




Figure 3-4: Packed Tower Gas Scrubber

(b) Plate tower scrubber

The constructions of a plate tower scrubber are shown in Figure-3.3. It consists of a long vertical chamber fitted with perforated circular plates at equal spacing. The gases or vapours pass from downward to top of tower making a contact with liquid present on the each perforated plate. The liquid does not fall through pores on the plates, as it is held by pressure created by the velocity of gases. Each plate is provided with a pipe to carry the excess absorbent downward from plate-to-plate.

The plate towers are most suitable when frequent cleaning is required particularly in case of the liquid, which after absorption contains high quantities of particulates and relatively insoluble and offensive gases.




Figure 3-5: Plate Tower Gas Scrubber

(c) Spray tower scrubber

The design and construction of these scrubbers is given in Figure-3.4 (A-C). In these types of scrubbers, the liquid is sprayed on pollutant gases that provide turbulence to the gases for better absorption. The method is best suited for highly soluble and offensive gases. The design of the scrubber can be so made as to give a centrifugal force to both liquid spray and gas to achieve maximum contact between the two for higher efficiency of removal. The spray tower scrubber can also be used for removal of both solid and liquid particulates.




Figure 3-6: Spray Tower Gas Scrubber

(d) Liquid jet scrubber

The device is most suitable for the condensable gaseous pollutants. The scrubber is shown in Figure-3.5, and consists of two vertical chambers. In one of the chambers, a liquid jet is sprayed which atomizes and produces small droplets of the absorbent. Gases are also introduced into the same chamber from the upper end. Non-condensable clean gases are removed from the other chamber.




Figure 3-7: Liquid Jet Scrubber

(e) Agitated tank scrubber

The effluent gases, in this type of scrubber, are agitated together with the absorbent in a tank against baffle plates fitted on sides of the tank as shown in Figure-3.6. The turbulence caused by stirring provides greater absorption efficiency when particulates are also present.

All these scrubbers described above, operate efficiently at a temperature below 100°C that avoids the undue loss of the absorbent by evaporation, and keeps it in the liquid state. For this, the scrubbers are always preceded by some cooling devices to bring down the temperature of effluent gases to the desired level. The treated gases always have a lower temperature, and contain large quantities of water vapour and absorbent droplets. Demisters or some other suitable devices are installed in sequence after the scrubber to remove water vapours and the traces of the absorbent from the effluent gases. Reheating of gases is also necessary in most cases to provide the required buoyancy to gases for their escape from the long stacks.




Figure 3-8: Agitated Tank Scrubber

3.4.3.2 Adsorption

Adsorption is a surface phenomenon by which gas or liquid molecules are captured by and adhered to the surface of the solid adsorbent. It is desirable for removal of contaminant gases to extremely low levels (< 1 ppmv) and handling large volume of gases with quite dilute contaminants. It may be used alone or along with other methods. In combination, it is usually the last step of clearing the exhaust gases.

Adsorption is used to concentrate (30-50 fold) or store contaminants until they can be recovered or destroyed in the most economical manner. In case of solvent recovery, studies have shown that the value of solvent recovered will often pay the total annualized cost of the adsorption system. Adsorption is also used to prevent the release of odorous or otherwise offensive organic gases associated with rendering, glue manufacturing, pesticide production, food processing, *etc.*

The adsorbents used to adsorb gases and reduce odour should have large surface area and pore volume. Adsorption of gases is also dependent on other factors *e.g.*, temperature, molecular polarity and chemical nature of adsorbent surface. Some of the adsorbents commonly used in air pollution control are activated carbon, activated alumina, silica gel and molecular sieves.

In adsorption, the adsorbate is recovered, with its chemical form unchanged. It is highly concentrated, and involves no potential of water pollution problem. The adsorbents were normally regenerated when breakthrough point is reached.

3.4.3.3 Condensation

It is best for vapours with reasonable high vapour pressure. In this process, volatile gases and vapours are controlled. Condensation may be useful for primary recovery before final cleanup with another method such as adsorption and incineration of gas. In the condensation process, gases were cooled to achieve adequate condensation. Fog is formed when the rate of heat transfer appreciably exceeds the rate of mass transfer. When fog formation is unavoidable, it may be removed by high efficiency moist collector designed for 0.5 to 5 μg droplets. Condensation procedures were normally used in the organic chemical process.

3.4.3.4 Chemical reaction

Gaseous pollutants were easily collected by the chemical reactions. Odours of many organic compounds can be destroyed using strong oxidants such as KMnO_4 , HNO_3 , H_2O_2 , $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ and hypochlorite solution. Conversion of HCl to NH_4Cl is an example of changing a gas to a particulate product (as by-product). Use of alkaline scrubbing medium to collect acidic gases is a way of enhancing the collection of an absorption process.

3.4.3.5 Incineration (Combustion)

This process is used for control of organic vapours and toxic gas streams like H_2S , mercaptans, and CH_3Cl which are obtained as by-products in the different processes of pesticide manufacturing. To destroy gaseous pollutants, the concentrated gases are burnt in the waste heat boilers, flares or use for fuel, may be either on a direct flame to provide ignition and depend on catalytic oxidation. Airborne combustible solids might be destroyed by incineration. The incineration temperature in Primary combustion chamber to be around 800 to 850 $^\circ\text{C}$ and in secondary combustion chamber at 1150 to 1250 $^\circ\text{C}$. There are three methods (direct incineration, thermal incineration, catalytic oxidation) for incineration as shown in Figure 3-7.




Figure 3-9: Method of Incineration

3.4.4 Prioritization of air emissions for control

Hydrochloric Acid (HCL):

- Usually, water is used as absorbing media in scrubbers (packed glass column/PVC-FRP Column)
- Depending on the batch size, required amount of fresh water is used, in case the scrubber is dedicated to a reactor.
- When a common scrubber is used for more than a point source, the exhaustiveness of water, in general, is monitored in terms of pH.
- In general, a concentration up to 30% is achievable. However, the rate of absorption is proportional to the difference in concentrations.
- More the HCL conc. in absorbing liquid more the chances of higher concentrations in tail gases
- Therefore, depending upon the design and efficiency, the HCL conc. in absorption may be attained. A few industries aim to get 24%, with conventional type of scrubbers. With low temperature scrubbing 28 to 30%. HCL acid can be achieved.

- Some times caustic soda is also used as scrubbing liquid. However, the highly saline scrubbed liquid (NaCl) is an issue.




Figure 3-10: HCl Scrubbing System

Methyl Chloride (CH₃Cl):

- Many of the industries let out into environment
- Even though it can be liquefied, as there is no/negligible market, not a viable option for many. The liquefied gas may be used in production of paraquat
- Some of the industries incinerate the gas in their existing incinerators
- Some are reported to be scrubbing with 5-10% HCl

Sulphur dioxide (SO₂):

- In general, these emissions in addition to HCl are generated, which are usually scrubbed in the water scrubber. As the media is acidic, glass column packed scrubbers are used.

Phosphorous Pentoxide (P₂O₅ as H₃PO₄ mist)

- Scrubbed by soda ash solution for the production of phosphoric acid. The scrubbing efficiency is not usually high. Therefore, ventury scrubbers may also be used.

Ammonia (NH₃):

- Scrubbed with HCl to produce ammonium chloride solution. Usually glass lined packed columns are used.
- Same is some times scrubbed with water, also to get diluted liquid ammonia

Hydrogen Sulphide (H₂S):

- Caustic soda is used as an absorbing liquid in scrubber (made of MS with MS pal rings)

- The product NaHS (Sodium hydrogen sulphide) formed during the reaction/scrubbing to the tune of 20-25% conc. A maximum conc. of 30% is usually sold-out (as it has market value)
- In the past, some used to flare the outlet




Figure 3-11: H₂S Scrubbing System

3.4.4.1 Control technologies in use

The channelised emissions are usually subjected to absorption, adsorption, thermal oxidation depending upon the characteristics *i.e.*, highly odorous compounds are sent to the incineration, organics through absorbers, *etc.* The control systems and the respective methods in use are given below:

Table 3-8: Control Technologies and Methods

| S. No. | Identified Priority Pollutants | Control System | Method |
|--------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | HCl | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Scrubber ▪ Caustic Scrubber ▪ Water/Caustic Scrubber | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |
| 2 | Cl ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Scrubber ▪ Caustic Scrubber ▪ Water/Caustic Scrubber | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |
| 3 | CH ₃ CL | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Charcoal Bed Scrubber ▪ Liquification, Filling and/or Combustion System | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adsorption ▪ Condensation & Incineration |
| 4 | H ₂ S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scrubber with NaOCl media ▪ Scrubber with NaOH media | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |

| S. No. | Identified Priority Pollutants | Control System | Method |
|--------|--|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Charcoal Bed Scrubber | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adsorption |
| 5 | SO ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Caustic Scrubber ▪ Water/Caustic Scrubber | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |
| 6 | P ₂ O ₅ (as H ₃ PO ₄) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Scrubber ▪ Water Scrubber (Ring jet scrubber) + Mist Eliminator + Demister ▪ Mist Eliminator | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |
| 7 | NH ₃ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two Stage Water Scrubber ▪ Recovery System | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |
| 8 | CH ₃ OH | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Channelised Emission was not observed, because this chemical (solvent) is used in less quantity in the manufacturing of intermediate (DDPA) for Dimethoate pesticide. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| 9 | HBr | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Caustic Scrubber | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absorption & Chemical Reaction |
| 10 | Mercaptans | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Incinerator ▪ Hypo Scrubber | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Thermal destruction ▪ Absorption and chemical decomposition |

3.4.4.2 Efficiency and cost bearing due to control of channelised emissions

Efficiency of the systems and achievable tail gas concentrations in the existing systems besides their cost of treatment are given below:

Table 3-9: Pollutants and their Control Systems with Expected Efficiency

| Pollutants | Control System | Recovery or by-product | Expected Efficiency | Technically Achievable average Conc. (mg/Nm ³) | Cost Rs/Lacs |
|--------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------|
| HCl | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Scrubber | Y | 90 % | 8.65 | 9.37 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Caustic Scrubber | N | 99 % | 17.5 | 13.40 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water/Caustic Scrubber | Y | 99% | 7.0 | 4.11 |
| Cl ₂ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Caustic Scrubber | N | 99 % | 0.15 | 3.16 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water/Caustic Scrubber | Y | 99 % | 0.01 | 4.06 |
| CH ₃ Cl | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Liquification & Recovery System | Y | 99 % | Nil | 107.5 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Incineration | N | 99 % | - | - |

| Pollutants | Control System | Recovery or by-product | Expected Efficiency | Technically Achievable average Conc. (mg/Nm ³) | Cost Rs/Lacs |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------|
| | after distillation | | | | |
| H ₂ S | ▪ Scrubber with NaOCL media | N | 96 % | 8.5 | 57.7 |
| | ▪ Scrubber with NaOH media | Y | 99 % | 0.43 | 11.3 |
| | ▪ Charcoal Scrubber | N | 97 % | 5.6 | 11.3 |
| SO ₂ | ▪ Caustic Scrubber | Y | 99 % | 0.33 | 18.2 |
| | ▪ Water/Caustic Scruberr | Y | 99 % | 3.01 | 1.5 |
| P ₂ O ₅ (as H ₃ PO ₄) | ▪ Water Scrubber | Y | 96 % | - | 7.7 |
| | ▪ Water Scrubber (Ring jet scrubber) + Mist Eliminator + Demister | Y | 98 % | 12.64 | 20.0 |
| | ▪ Mist Eliminator | N | 99 % | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| NH ₃ | ▪ Two Stage Water Scrubber | N | 98 % | 26.2 | 23.4 |
| | ▪ Recovery System | Y | 99 % | - | 79.4 |
| HBr | ▪ Caustic Scrubber | N | 98 % | 11.7 | 5.84 |
| CH ₃ OH | Channelised Emission was not observed | | | | |

Issues connected to absorption:

- High degree of variation in flow rates of emissions from reactors
- High temperature of inlet gases
- Using same scrubber for many over lapping point sources
- Associated other pollutants/reactants for which scrubbing liquid may not be suitable
- Other pollutants may condense in the scrubber (may not be a chemical reaction) thus becomes impurities
- Usually separation of organics and inorganics is poor
- As the scrubbing liquid moves towards its absorbing liquid, the absorbing capacity reduces and there is chance of lower efficiencies
- Often industries overlook the make-up or replacement of scrubbing liquid, if there is no attractive market value
- No stand-by power arrangement
- Manual handling/no automation *etc.*

Issues connected to adsorption:

- Regeneration of carbon bed Vs. tail gas monitoring
- Usually provided for smell causing gases and VOCs, subsequent to the scrubbers.

Issues connected to thermal oxidation:

- Adequacy in terms of temperature, residence time and turbulence
- Excess oxygen
- Loading
- Sudden cooling to prevent formation of dioxins, if any
- Pollution control equipment
- Stack height for dispersion
- Monitoring of destruction efficiency
- Bleed management, *etc.*

3.4.4.3 Odour Control

Malodour is often associated with air pollution problems. With habitation in the close proximity of plants, malodour in any form is unacceptable and in particular from a Pesticides Unit. Malodours may result in physiological and psychological responses due to nuisance even if they are non-toxic.

Odorous emissions are increasingly considered as a source of nuisance. Public complaints due to odour have resulted in legal proceedings and subsequent closure of the Chemical Processing Plants. Majority of the malodourants are small, volatile hydrophobic molecules with one or two functional groups often containing oxygen, sulphur and nitrogen. Some of the extremely malodorous compounds are mercaptans and amines.

Identification and quantification of odorous emissions

- Some of the obvious places which can release odorous emissions in the plant are drains, leaks from pumps and tanks, loading and unloading operations, effluent treatment systems and abnormal conditions in the plant such as power and safety system failures.
- The ambient air concentrations of odour causing compounds are to be quantified to focus on the odour control efforts. Ambient air quality monitoring is highly specialized technique, which involves proper location of air samplers, standardized analytical methods to give concentrations at a very low threshold values.

Methods of odour control

Following techniques can be utilized for effective odour minimization and control:

- Good housekeeping
- Arresting leaks from the process equipments
- Minimisation of effluents and their recycle.
- Vapour lines equalization between tanks to reduce emissions
- Solvent extraction
- Adsorption
- Stripping

- Condensation
- Thermal oxidation
- Chemical oxidation
- Bio-Filtration
- Handling of Chemicals in closed system.

3.5 Solid/hazardous waste management

The pesticide manufacturing processes do generate, in general, process residues, detoxification sludge, primary sludge from ETP and bio sludge. The organic residues do contain calorific value, thus the auxiliary fuel requirement, in case of thermal oxidation, is minimal. Therefore, many of the industries have incineration facilities to cater to these residues besides process mother liquors. These residues otherwise become shock load/toxic to the conventional biological treatment systems.

The major issues faced by the pesticide industry are the inadequacy of incineration systems and unavailability of secured landfill sites. Many of the industries store the wastes as per the authorization given by the local regulatory authorities, till the completion of the disposal sites and in case of incineration units, there is requirement to ensure the proper design considerations and operational efficiency.

Tables 3-9 to 3-12 shows product-wise waste streams along with sources, recovery of raw materials, existing waste management practices and waste minimisation options.

Table 3-10: Product-wise Waste Streams and their Sources

| Product | Waste Streams | Source |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Monocrotophos | Process waste (Organic residue) | Solvent recovery unit |
| | ETP waste | ETP |
| | Bio-sludge | ETP |
| Profenophos | Process waste (Phenolic residue) | Profenophase preparation |
| | ETP sludge (Calcium phosphate sludge) | ETP |
| | Bio-sludge | ETP |
| Acephate | Process waste (Organic mother liquor) | Filtration of acephate |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | ETP |
| Chloropyrophos | Process Waste (Distillation residue) | Solvent recovery unit |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | ETP |
| | Bio-sludge | ETP |
| Ethion | Process waste (Distillation residue) | Solvent recovery |
| | ETP chemical sludge | |
| Zinc phosphide | Process waste (Phosphorus sludge) | Phosphorus melting & filtration section. |
| | Process waste (Phosphoric acid classification sludge) | Filtration of P ₂ O ₅ for phosphoric acid recovery unit |
| Aluminum phosphite | Process waste (Phosphorous sludge) | Phosphorous melting filtration section |

| Product | Waste Streams | Source |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | Process waste (Phosphoric acid clarification) | Phosphoric acid recovery section |
| Endosulfan | Process waste (Toluene distillation residue) | Toluene recovery unit |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | ETP |
| Cypermetharin | Process waste (Detoxification sludge) | Detoxification unit |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | ETP |
| Fenvalerate | Process waste (Organic residue) | Isopropyl bromide preparation section |
| | Process waste (Detoxification sludge) | Detoxification unit |
| | ETP sludge | ETP |
| Malathion | Process waste (Organic residue) | Solvent recovery unit |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | ETP |
| Dimethoate | Process waste (Organic residue) | Toluene distillation unit |
| | ETP Sludge | ETP |

Table 3-11: Product-wise Recovery of Raw Material and Side Products

| Product | Recovery of Raw Material for Reuse | Recovery of by products for sale |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Monocrotophos | Recovery of Isopropyle alcohol and Ethylene dichloride | Methyl chloride from gases and Calcium phosphate from ETP is recovered for sale. |
| Profenophos | Recovery of Aquos HCl, Chlorobenzene and Propylene bromide | Recovery of Hydrogen bromide or Sodium bromide from gases. Trimethyl aniline from effluent for sale. |
| Acephate | Recovery of Methylene chloride and Ethyl acetate | - |
| Chloropyrophos | Recovery of Ethylene dichloride, Ortho dichloro benzene solvent | - |
| Ethion | Recovery of Toluene | NaHS solution from scrubber is recovered. |
| Zinc phosphide | Recovery of produced | Phosphoric acid from scrubber is recovered. |
| Aluminium phosphite | Recovery of product dust | Phosphoric acid solution from scrubber is recovered. |
| Endosulfan | Recovery of Toluene | Aq. Hydrochloric acid from scrubber is recovered. |
| Cypermetharin | Recovery of Hexene | -- |
| Fenvalerate | -- | Aq. HCl from scrubber, Sodium bromide, Sodium sulphate from aq. Layer is recovered. |

| Product | Recovery of Raw Material for Reuse | Recovery of by products for sale |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Malathion | Recovery of Benzene, Toluene, Ethanol | NaHS solution from scrubber is recorded. |
| Dimethoate | Recovery of Toluene | NaHS solution from scrubber, Methanol from amidation step is recovered. |

Table 3-12: Waste Stream-wise Existing Waste Management Practices Adopted by Pesticide Study

| Product | Waste Streams | Disposal |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Monocrotophos | Process waste (Organic residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP waste (Calcium phosphate sludge) | Sold to poultry feed manufacturers |
| | Bio-sludge | Recycled excess landfill |
| Profenophos | Process waste (Phenolic residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge (Calcium phosphate sludge) | Sold to poultry feed manufacturers |
| | Bio-sludge | Recycled excess landfill |
| Acephate | Process waste (Organic mother liquor) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | Landfill |
| Chloropyrophos | Process Waste (Distillation residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | Landfill |
| | Bio-sludge | Recycled excess landfill |
| Ethion | Process waste (Distillation residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP chemical sludge | Landfill |
| Zinc phosphide | Process waste (Phosphorus sludge) | Incineration |
| | Process waste (Phosphoric acid classification sludge) | Incineration |
| Aluminium phosphite | Process waste (Phosphorous sludge) | Incineration |
| | Process waste (Phosphoric acid clarification) | Incineration |
| Endosulfan | Process waste (Toluene distillation residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | Landfill |
| Cypermetharin | Process waste (Detoxification sludge) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | Landfill |
| Fenvalerate | Process waste (Organic residue) | Incineration |
| | Process waste (Detoxification sludge) | Dried and incineration |
| | ETP sludge | Landfill |
| Malathion | Process waste (Organic residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge (Chemical sludge) | Landfill |

| Product | Waste Streams | Disposal |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Dimethoate | Process waste (Organic residue) | Incineration |
| | ETP sludge | Landfill |

Table 3-13: Product-wise Suggested Waste Minimization Options

| Product | Waste Minimization Options |
|--------------------------|--|
| Monocrotophos | In the manufacturing process of Monocrotophos, chlorination efficiency can be improved by providing multiple entries of chlorine in the reactor. Process conditions are to be optimized to minimize formation of dichlorides of Monocrotophos Aceto Acetamide (MMA), which are, resulting in formation of other products going in the waste. Purer Trimethyl Phosphite (TMP) is to be used to minimize input of impurities coming along with TMP leading to waste. |
| Profenophos | In the manufacturing process of Profenophos, efficiency of bromination needs to be improved by adopting stagewise bromination and optimizing the process parameters. This will minimize formation of other bromides and impurities, which form waste. Recovery of Trimethyl Ethyl Amine Bromide (TMEABr) from aqueous layer will reduce the load on ETP and presence of organic impurities in ETP sludge. |
| Acephate | In the manufacturing process of Acephate, lower overall efficiency of the process and lower recovery efficiencies for solvent are leading to waste. There is a scope to optimize process efficiency and improve solvent recovery system and thereby reduce the waste. |
| Chloropyrophos | In Chlorpyriphos manufacturing process, lower extraction efficiency is resulting in loss of Chlorpyriphos in the waste. Use of centrifugal extractors with optimized process conditions will improve extraction of Chlorpyriphos and thereby reduce the waste. |
| Ethion | In the manufacturing process of Ethion, direct controlled addition of P ₂ S ₅ in alcohol and elimination of solvent may result in total elimination of process waste. |
| Zinc/Aluminium phosphide | In the manufacturing process of phosphides of zinc and aluminium part of the phosphorous is burnt for generating high temperature. This in turn results in generation of waste. Developing an electric arc furnace will solve this problem. Dusting of the product also needs to be minimized. |
| Endosulfan | In the manufacturing process of Endosulfan, recovery of Endosulfan needs to be improved by improving centrifugation and thereby reducing Endosulfan in the waste |
| Cypermetharin | In Cypermethrin manufacturing process, there is a scope to reduce the quantity of sodium cyanide used and thereby reduce the load on detoxification and generation of detoxification sludge. |
| Fenvalerate | In the manufacturing process of Fenvalerate, better heat transfer and temperature control will reduce the loss of alkyl in alkyl distillation. Use of acidic ion exchange resin can minimize requirement of acid and thereby generation of waste. |
| Malathion | In the manufacturing process of Malathion and Dimethoate, minimizing water content of methanol, P ₂ S ₅ and equipment will minimize generation of phosphoric acid, which results in formation of waste. |

| | |
|------------|--|
| Dimethoate | Drying of Malathion and Dimethoate at lower temperature, using higher vacuum will reduce thermal degradation of the product. |
|------------|--|

3.6 Summary of Applicable National Regulations

3.6.1 General description of major statutes

A compilation of legal instruments which are applicable to the pesticides industry is annexed as **Annexure I**.

3.6.2 General standards for discharge of environmental pollutants

General standards are applicable wherever industry-specific standards are not mentioned or notified. General standards for discharge of environmental pollutants as per CPCB are given in **Annexure II**.

3.6.3 Industry-specific requirements

3.6.3.1 Effluent Standards

Table 3-14: Effluent Standards for Pesticide Industry

| S.No. | Parameter | Concentration not to exceed, mg/l (except pH) |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| (i) | Compulsory | |
| | PH | 6.5-8.5 |
| | BOD(3 days at 27°C) | 100 |
| | Oil & grease | 10 |
| | Suspended solids | 100 |
| | Bioassay test | Minimum 90% survival of fish after 96 hrs with 90% effluent and 10% dilution water. Test should be carried out as per IS:6502/1971 |
| (ii) | Additional | |
| | (a) Heavy Metal | |
| | Copper | 1.0 |
| | Manganese | 1.0 |
| | Zinc | 1.0 |
| | Mercury | 0.01 |
| | Tin | 0.1 |
| Any other like nickel | Shall not exceed 5 times the drinking water standards (BIS) individually | |
| (b) | Organics | |
| | Phenol & Phenolic | 1.0 |
| | Compounds at C ₆ H ₅ OH | |

| S.No. | Parameter | Concentration not to exceed, mg/l (except pH) | |
|-------------------------|---|---|-------------------|
| (c) | Inorganics | | |
| | Arsenic as As | 0.2 | |
| | Cyanide as CN | 0.2 | |
| | Nitrate as NO ₃ | 50 | |
| | Phosphate as P | 5.0 | |
| (d) | Specific pesticide (microgram/litre) | | |
| | Benzene hexachloride | 10 | |
| | DDT | 10 | |
| | Dimethoate | 450 | |
| | Copper oxychloride | 6,600 | |
| | Ziram | 1,000 | |
| | 2.4D | 400 | |
| | Paraquat | 23,000 | |
| | Propanil | 7,300 | |
| | Nitrofen | 780 | |
| | Other (below mentioned pesticides individually) | 100 | |
| Other Pesticides | | | |
| (i) | Insecticides | | |
| | Aluminium phosphide | Lindane | Pyrethrum extract |
| | Dichlorovos | Malathion | Quinalphos |
| | EDTC mixer | Methyl bromide | Monocrotophos |
| | Ethylene dibromide | Nicotine sulphate | Carbaryl |
| | Ethion | Oxydemeton methyl | Endosulfan |
| | Fenitrothion | Methyl parathion | Fenvalerate |
| | Lime-sulphur | Phosphamidon | Phorate |
| | temephos | | |
| (ii) | Fungicides | | |
| | Aureofungin | Organomercurials (MENC & PMA) | |
| | Barium polysulphide | Sulphur (Colloidal, Wettable & Dust) | |
| | Cuprous oxide | Streptocycline | |
| | Ferbam | Thiram | |
| | Mancozeb | Zineb | |
| | Manab | Carbendzim | |
| | Nickel chloride | Tridemorph | |
| (iii) | Rodenticides | | |

| S.No. | Parameter | Concentration not to exceed, mg/l (except pH) |
|--------|---|---|
| | Comafuryl | |
| | Warfarin | |
| | Zinc phosphide | |
| (iv) | Nematicides | |
| | Metham N-sodium | |
| (v) | Weedicides | |
| | Fluchloralin | |
| | Isoproturon | |
| | Butachlor | |
| | Anilphos | |
| (vi) | Weedicides | |
| | Fluchloralin | |
| | Butachlor | |
| | Anilphos | |
| (vii) | Plant Growth Regulants | |
| | Chloromequat chloride | |
| | Nemphalene acetic acid | |
| (viii) | Any other pesticide not specific above. | |

Source: EPA Notification [GSR 176(E) April 2, 1996]

Note:

1. Limits should be complied with at the end of the treatment plant before any dilution.
2. From the additional parameters specified in 49 (ii), only the relevant parameters (based on the raw materials used and products manufactured) shall be prescribed by the concerned State Board on a case-to- case basis.
3. No limit for COD is prescribed. If the COD in a treated effluent is persistently more than 250 mg/l, such industrial units are required to identify the chemicals causing the same. In case these are found to be toxic as defined in Schedule I of the Hazardous Chemicals Rules, 1989, the State Board in such cases shall direct the industries to install tertiary treatment, stipulating time limit. Otherwise COD may not be stipulated. This may be done on a case-to-case basis.
4. Solar evaporation followed by incineration is a recognized practice, provided the guidelines of solar evaporation as given below are followed.

Guidelines on solar evaporation system for wastewater from pesticides industry

- Solar evaporation pans shall be constructed in such a way that the bottom is at least one meter above the ground level.
- Solar evaporation pans shall be leak proof and of impervious construction and designed as per the guidelines published by the CPCB

- Facilities should have protective enclosure to keep wildlife, domestic animals, unauthorized persons, *etc.* away.
- On average 4 to 5mm evaporation rate may be considered for calculating the area required for solar evaporation ponds.

3.6.3.2 Emission Standards

Table 3-15: Emission Standards for Pesticide Industry

| Emission | Not to exceed mg/Nm ³ |
|--|----------------------------------|
| HCL | 20 |
| Cl ₂ | 05 |
| H ₂ S | 05 |
| P ₂ O ₅ (as H ₃ PO ₄) | 10 |
| NH ₃ | 30 |
| Particulate matter with pesticide compounds | 20 |
| CH ₃ Cl | 20 |
| HBr | 05 |

Source: G.S.R. 46(E) dated 3rd February, 2006

Recommended guidelines for fugitive emission control

- Fugitive emissions over reactors, formulation areas, centrifuges, chemical loading, transfer areas *etc.*, are yet to be collected through hoods & ducts by induced draft, and controlled by scrubber/dust collector.
- Usually scrubbers installed for channelized emissions are used for fugitive emissions to control also some times dedicated scrubbers are provided. This practice may be permitted as long as tail gas concentrations are within the prescribed limit.
- In addition, organic gaseous emissions (odorous & toxic) may be routed to activated carbon beds (adsorption) or to thermal oxidizer, and for dust emissions cyclones/bag filters are to be provided.
- Emphasis is given to solvent management/solvent loss prevention.
- Enclosures to chemical storage area, collection of emissions from loading of raw materials, in particular, solvents through hoods & ducts by induced draft, and control by scrubber/dust collector to be ensured.
- Vapour balancing, nitrogen blanketing, ISO tanks, *etc.*, may be provided; besides, special care needs to be taken for control in respect of odorous chemicals.
- Stripping of effluents reduces fugitive emissions.

3.6.4 Pending and proposed regulatory requirements

CREP action points for pesticides industry

- **Segregation waste streams:** Waste streams should be segregated into COD waste, toxic waste, low OCD waste, inorganic waste etc, for the purpose of providing appropriate treatment.
- **Detoxification and treatment of high COD waste streams:** Streams should be detoxified and treated in CTP or thermally destroyed in incinerator, as per CPCB guidelines. The waste streams should be treated suitably before taking to evaporation ponds.
- **Improvement in solvent recovery:**
 - Solvent recovery should be improved and attempts should be made to achieve at least 90% recovery wherever possible.
 - Rest of the solvents which can not be recovered shall be incinerated.
- **Hazardous air pollutant control:**
 - For air pollution control from processes, scrubber efficiency will be improved and maintained as per the best practicable technology for control of HCl, Cl, Methyl Chloride, Phosphorus Pentoxide, Ammonia, H₂S and VOCs.
 - An incinerator will be installed, where necessary.
- **Control of fugitive emissions/ VOCs:** For control of fugitive emissions (particularly for hazardous air pollutions). The industries will adopt standard engineering practices. System of leak detection and repair (LDAR) programme especially for solvents should be developed industries.
- **Upgrade of incinerators:** Incinerators will be upgraded to meet CPCB norms hazardous waste incinerators. This is necessary for Halogenated compound and POPs.
- **Replacement of Bio Assay test by toxicity Factor:** The present bio-assay test will be replaced by Toxicity Factor test method developed by CPCB. Toxicity factor of four (TF-4) will be achieved and industries will improve their system to achieve TF-2. TF test method will be implemented by SPCBs/CPCB/ MoEF. The CPCB will organize workshops on “Toxicity Factor” for industry.
- **Minimum scale of production to afford cost of pollution load:** To be decided, as industries view point is that this cannot be done as few products are costly and made in small volume. The matter will be studied in detail by MoEF/ CPCB.
- **Non-complying Units (as identified by SPCB) should meet the notified standards - Bank guarantee to be submitted to SPCB by Non-complying units:** The submissions from pesticides industry regarding speedy clearance and other will be considered by MoEF/CPCB for examination.

4. OPERATIONAL ASPECTS OF EIA

Prior environmental clearance process has been revised in the Notification issued on 14th September, 2006, into following four major stages *i.e.*, screening, scoping, public consultation and appraisal. Each stage has certain procedures to be followed. This section deals with all the procedural and technical guidance, for conducting objective-oriented EIA studies, their review and decision-making. Besides, the Notification also classifies projects into Category A, which requires prior environmental clearance from MoEF and Category B from SEIAA/UTEIAA.

Consistency with other requirements

- Clearance from other regulatory bodies is not a pre-requisite for obtaining the prior environmental clearance and all such clearances will be treated as parallel statutory requirements.
- Consent for Establishment (CFE) and Prior Environmental Clearance are two different legal requirements, a project proponent should acquire. Therefore, these two activities can be initiated and proceeded with simultaneously.
- If a project falls within the purview of CRZ and EIA Notifications, then the project proponent is required to take separate clearances from the concerned Authorities.
- Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R&R) issues need not be dealt under the EIA Notification as other statutory bodies deal with these issues. However, socio-economic studies may be considered while taking environmental decisions.

4.1 Coverage of the Pesticides Industry under the Purview of Notification

All new pesticides and pesticide specific intermediate projects (excluding formulations) including expansion and modernization require prior environmental clearance. Based on pollution potential, all the units producing technical grade pesticides are classified into Category A.

The sequence of steps in the process of prior environmental clearance for Category A projects are shown in Figure 4.1. The timelines indicated against each stage are the maximum permissible time lines set in the Notification for said task. In case the said task is not cleared/objected by the concerned Authority, within the specified time, said task is deemed to be cleared, in accordance to the proposal submitted by the proponent. Each stage in the process of prior environmental clearance for the pesticide industry is discussed in subsequent sections.

In case of Expansion or Modernization of the developmental Activity:

- Any developmental activity, which has an EIA clearance (existing plant), when undergoes expansion or modernization (change in process or technology) with increase in production capacity or any change in product mix beyond the list of products cleared in the issued clearance is required to submit new application for EIA clearance.

- Any developmental activity, which is listed in Schedule of the EIA Notification and due to expansion of its total capacity, if falls under the purview of either Category B or Category A, then such developmental activity requires clearance from respective Authorities.




Figure 4-1: Prior Environmental Clearance Process

4.1.1 Application for prior environmental clearance

- The project proponent, after identifying the site and carrying out a pre-feasibility study, is required to apply for the prior environmental clearance using Form 1 given in **Annexure III**. The proponent has to submit the filled in Form 1 along with the pre-feasibility report and draft ToR for EIA studies to the MoEF, Government of India. Please refer subsequent sections for the information on how to fill the Form 1, contents of pre-feasibility report and draft ToR sector-specific ToRs.
- Prior environmental clearance is required before starting any construction work, or preparation of land is started on identified site/project or activity by the project management, except for securing the land.
- If the application is made for a specific developmental activity, which has an inherent area development component as a part of its project proposal and the same project also attracts the construction and area development provisions under 8a and 8b of the Schedule, then the project will be seen as a developmental activity other than 8a and 8b of the Schedule.

4.1.2 Siting guidelines

These are the guidelines, stakeholders may consider while siting the developmental projects, to minimize the associated possible environmental impacts. In some situations, adhering to these guidelines is difficult and unwarranted. Therefore, these guidelines may be kept in the background, as far as possible, while taking the decisions.

Areas preferably be avoided

While siting industries, care should be taken to minimise the adverse impact of the industries on immediate neighborhood as well as distant places. Some of the natural life sustaining systems and some specific landuses are sensitive to industrial impacts because of the nature and extent of fragility. With a view to protect such sites, the industries may maintain the following distances, as far as possible, from the specific areas listed:

- Ecologically and/or otherwise sensitive areas: Preferably 5 km; depending on the geo-climatic conditions the requisite distance may be decided appropriately by the agency.
- Coastal areas: Preferably ½ km away from high tide line (HTL).
- Flood plain of the riverine system: Preferably ½ km away from flood plain or modified flood plain affected by dam in the upstream or flood control systems.
- Transport/Communication System: Preferably ½ km. away from highway and railway line.
- Major settlements (3,00,000 population): Distance from major settlements is difficult to maintain because of urban sprawl. At the time of siting of the industry, if the notified limit of any major settlement is found to be within 50 km from the project boundary, the spatial direction of growth of the settlement for at least a decade must be assessed. Subsequently, the industry may be sited at least 25 km from the projected growth boundary of the settlement.
- Critically polluted areas are identified by MoEF from time-to-time. Current list of critically polluted areas is given in **Annexure IV**.

Note:

Ecological and/or otherwise sensitive areas include (i) Religious and Historic Places; (ii) Archaeological Monuments (e.g., identified zone around Taj Mahal); (iii) Scenic Areas; (iv) Hill Resorts; (v) Beach Resorts; (vi) Health Resorts; (vii) Coastal Areas rich in Corals, Mangroves, Breeding Grounds of Specific Species; (viii) Estuaries rich in Mangroves, Breeding grounds of Specific Species; (ix) Gulf Areas; (x) Biosphere Reserves; (xi) National Parks and Sanctuaries; (xii) Natural lakes, Swamps; (xiii) Seismic Zones; (xiv) Tribal Settlements; (xv) Areas of Scientific and Geological Interest; (xvi) Defence Installations, specially those of security importance and sensitive to pollution; (xvii) Border Areas (International) and (xviii) Air Ports.

Pre-requisite: State and Central Governments are required to identify such areas on a priority basis.

General siting factors

In any particular selected site, the following factors must also be recognized.

- No forest land shall be converted into non-forest activity for the sustenance of the industry (Ref: Forest Conversation Act, 1980).
- No prime agricultural land shall be converted into industrial site.
- Land acquired shall be sufficiently large to provide space for appropriate green cover including green belt, around the battery limit of the industry.
- Lay out of the industry that may come up in the area must conform to the landscape of the area without affecting the scenic features of that place.
- Associated township of the industry may be created at a space having physiographic barrier between the industry and the township.

4.2 Scoping for EIA Studies

Scoping exercise is taken-up soon after the project contours are defined. The primary purpose of scoping is to identify the concerns and issues which may affect the project decisions. Besides, scoping defines the requirements and boundaries of an EIA study. The results of the scoping exercise form the basis for the rest of the EIA process.

Scoping refers to the process by which the EAC, including applications for expansion and/or modernization of existing projects, determine ToR for EIA studies addressing all relevant environmental concerns for the preparation of an EIA Report for a particular project.

- Project proponent shall submit application to the MoEF. The application (Form 1 as given in **Annexure III**) shall be attached with pre-feasibility report and proposed ToR for EIA Studies. The proposed sequence to arrive at the draft ToR is discussed below:
 - Pre-feasibility report summarizes the project details and also the likely environmental concerns based on secondary information, which will be availed for filling Form 1.
 - From pre-feasibility report and Form 1, valued environmental components (VECs) may be identified for a given project (the receiving environment/social components, which are likely to get affected due to the project operations/activities).

Operational Aspects of an EIA

- Once the project details from the pre-feasibility report & Form 1; and VECs are identified, a matrix establishing the interactions which can lead to the effects/impacts could be developed (Qualitative analysis).
- For each identified possible effect in the matrix, significance analysis could be conducted to identify the impacts, which needs to be studied further (quantitative analysis) in the subsequent EIA studies. All such points will find a mention in the draft ToR to be proposed by the project proponent along with the application form. The draft ToR shall include applicable baseline parameters (refer **Annexure VIII**) and impact prediction tools (refer **Annexure X**) proposed to be applied.
- The information to be provided in pre-feasibility report, guidelines for filling Form 1 and guidelines for developing draft ToR is summarized in the subsequent sections.
- Authority consults the EAC to reply to the proponent. The EAC reviews the application form, pre-feasibility report and proposed draft ToR by the proponent and make necessary additions/deletions to make it a comprehensive ToR that suits the statutory requirements for conducting the EIA studies.
- A site visit by sub-committees of EAC will be planned, only if considered necessary by the EAC with the written approval of the chairperson of EAC. Project proponent will facilitate such site visits of the sub-committees.
- EAC shall provide an opportunity to the project proponent for presentation and discussions on the proposed project and related issues as well as the proposed ToR for EIA studies. If the State Government desires to present its views on any specific project in the scoping stage, it can depute an officer for the same at the scoping stage to EAC, as an invitee but not as a member of EAC. However, non-appearance of the project proponent before EAC at any stage will not be a ground for rejection of the application for the prior environmental clearance.
- If a new or expansion project is proposed in a problem area as identified by the CPCB, then the MoEF may present their views, if any at the stage of scoping, to the EAC.
- The final set of ToR for EIA studies shall be conveyed to the proponent by the EAC within sixty days of the receipt of Form 1 and pre-feasibility report. If the finalized ToR for EIA studies is not conveyed to the proponent within sixty days of the receipt of Form 1, the ToR suggested by the proponent shall be deemed as the final and will be approved for the EIA studies.
- Final ToR for EIA studies shall be displayed on the website of the MoEF.
- Applications for prior environmental clearance may be rejected by the concerned Authority based on the recommendations by the EAC at this stage itself. In case of such rejection, the decision together with reasons for the same shall be communicated to the proponent in writing within sixty days of the receipt of the application.
- The final EIA report and other relevant documents submitted by the applicant shall be scrutinized by the MoEF strictly with reference to the approved ToR for EIA studies.

4.2.1 Pre-feasibility report

The pre-feasibility report should include, but may not be limited to highlight the proposed project information, keeping in view the environmental sensitivities of the selected site, raw material availability, process technologies proposed, *etc.* Information required in pre-feasibility report varies from case-to-case even in same sector depending upon the

local environmental setting within which the industry is proposed to be located/proposed. However, the information which may be furnished in the pre-feasibility report may include as under:

I. Executive summary

II. Project details: Description of the project including in particular;

- a description of the main characteristics of the production processes, for instance, nature and quantity of materials used
- an estimate, by type and quantity, of expected residues and emissions resulting from the operation of the proposed project
- a description of the physical characteristics of the whole project and the land-use requirements during the construction and operational phases
- Method for handling out-of-date/expired pesticides and their destruction

III. Selection of site based on least possible impacts

- An outline of the main alternatives studied by the developer and an indication of the main reasons for this choice, taking into account the environmental effects.

IV. Anticipated impacts based on project operations on receiving environment

- A description of the aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the proposed project, including, in particular, population, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climatic factors, material assets, including the architectural and archaeological heritage, landscape and the inter-relationship between the above factors.
- A description of the likely significant effects of the proposed project on the environment resulting from:
 - existence of the project
 - emission of pollutants, the creation of nuisances and the elimination of waste
 - project proponent's description of the forecasting methods used to assess the effects on the environment

V. Proposed broad mitigation measures which could effectively be internalized as project components to have environmental and social acceptance of the proposed site

- A description of key measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and where possible offset any significant adverse effects on the environment

VI. An indication of any difficulties (technical deficiencies or lack of know-how) encountered by the developer in compiling the required information

Details of the above listed points which may be covered in pre-feasibility report are listed in **Annexure V**.

4.2.2 Guidance for providing information in Form 1

The information given in specifically designed pre-feasibility report for this developmental activity may also be availed for filling Form 1.

Form 1 is designed to help users identify the likely significant environmental effects of proposed projects right at the scoping stage. There are two stages for providing information under two columns:

- First - identifying the relevant project activities from the list given in Column 2 of Form 1. Start with the checklist of questions set out below and complete Column 3 by answering:
 - Yes - if the activity is likely to occur during implementation of the project
 - No - if it is not expected to occur
 - May be - if it is uncertain at this stage whether it will occur or not
- Second - Each activity for which the answer in Column 3 is “Yes” the next step is to refer to the fourth column which quantifies the volume of activity which could be judged as significant impact on the local environmental characteristics, and identify the areas that could be affected by that activity during construction /operation / decommissioning of the project. Form 1 requires information within 15 km around the project, whereas actual study area for EIA will be as prescribed by EAC. Project proponent will need information about the surrounding VECs in order to complete this Form 1.

4.2.3 Identification of appropriate valued environmental components

VECs are components of natural resources and human world that are considered valuable and are likely to be affected by the project activities. Value may be attributed for economic, social, environmental, aesthetic or ethical reasons. VECs represent the investigative focal point for further EIA process. The indirect and/or cumulative effects can be concerned with indirect, additive or even synergistic effects due to other projects or activities or even induced developments on the same environmental components as would be considered direct effects. But such impacts tend to involve larger scale VECs such as within entire region, river basins or watersheds; and, broad social and economic VECs such as quality of life and the provincial economy. Once VECs are identified then appropriate indicators are selected for impact assessments on the respective VECs.

4.2.4 Methods for identification of impacts

There are various factors which influence the approach adopted for the assessment of direct, indirect, cumulative impacts, *etc.*, for a particular project. The method should be practical and suitable for the project given the data, time and financial resources available. However, the method adopted should be able to provide a meaningful conclusion from which it would be possible to develop, where necessary, mitigation measures and monitoring. Key points to consider when choosing the method(s) include:

- Nature of the impact(s)
- Availability and quality of data
- Availability of resources (time, finance and staff)

The method chosen should not be complex, but should aim at presenting the results in a way that can be easily understood by the developer, decision maker and the public. A comparative analysis of major impact identification methods is given in the following table:

Table 4-1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Impact Identification Methods

| | Description | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|------------|---|---|---|
| Checklists | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annotate the environmental features that need to be addressed when identifying the impacts of activities in the project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Simple to understand and use Good for site selection and priority setting Simple ranking and weighting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not distinguish between direct and indirect impacts Do not link action and impact The process of incorporating values can be controversial |
| Matrices | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify the interaction between project activities (along one axis) and environmental characteristics (along other axis) using a grid like table. Entries are made in the cells which highlights impact severity in the form of symbols or numbers or descriptive comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Link action to impact Good method for displaying EIA results | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Difficult to distinguish direct and indirect impacts Significant potential for double-counting of impacts |
| Networks | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Illustrate cause effect relationship of project activities and environmental characteristics Useful in identifying secondary impacts Useful for establishing impact hypothesis and other structured science based approaches to EIA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Link action to impact Useful in simplified form for checking for second order impacts Handles direct and indirect impacts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can become very complex if used beyond simplified version |
| Overlays | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Map the impacts spatially and display them pictorially Useful for comparing site and planning alternatives for routing linear developments Can address cumulative effects Information incentive | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Easy to understand Good to display method Good siting tool | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Address only direct impacts Do not address impact duration or probability |
| GIS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps the impacts spatially and display them pictorially Useful for comparing site and planning alternatives for routing linear developments Can address cumulative effects Information incentive | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Easy to understand Good to display method Good siting tool Excellent for impact identification and analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not address impact duration or probability Heavy reliance on knowledge and data Often complex and expensive |
| Expert | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist diagnosis, problem solving and decision | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excellent for impact | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heavy reliance on knowledge and data |

Operational Aspects of an EIA

| | Description | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|--------|--|---|---|
| System | <p>making</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Needs inputs from user by answering systematically developed questions to identify impacts and determine their mitigability and significance ▪ Information intensive, high investment methods of analysis | <p>identification and analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Good for experimenting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Often complex and expensive |

The project team made an attempt to construct an impact matrix considering major project activities (generic operations) and stage-specific likely impacts which is given in Table 4-2.

While the impact matrix is each project-specific, Table 4-2 may facilitate the stakeholders in identifying a set of components and phase-specific project activities for determination of likely impacts. However, the location-specific concerns may vary from case to case; therefore, the components even without likely impacts are also retained in the matrix for the location-specific reference.

Table 4-2: Matrix of Impacts

| | | | PHASE I | | | | | PHASE II | | | | | PHASE III | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|--|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | Pre Construction | | | | | Construction/ Establishment | | | | | Operation and Maintenance | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | |
| ENVIRONMENT | Component | Project Activities Parameter/ factor | Detailed Topographic Survey | Land Acquirement | Site Clearing | Burning of wastes, refuse and cleared vegetation | Site Preparation / Change in Topography | Civil works such as earth moving and building of structures including temporary structures | Heavy Equipment operations | Disposal of construction wastes | Generation of sewerage | Influx of construction workers | Deforestation | Transportation of material | Raw material handling and storage | Manufacturing process involving chemical operations/process/reactions and unit operations | Recovery options | Waste Management | |
| Physical | Soil | Erosion Risks | | | | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| | | Contamination | | | | | | * | | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Soil Quality | | | | | | * | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Resources | Fuels/ Electricity | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | * | | | |
| | | Raw materials Land especially undeveloped or agricultural land | | | | | | * | | | * | | | | | * | | | |
| | Water | Interpretation or Alteration of River Beds | | | | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Alteration of Hydraulic Regime | | | | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| | | Alteration of surface run-off and interflow | | | | | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Alteration of aquifers | | | | | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Water quality | | | | | | * | | | * | | | | | | | | |
| | Air | Temperature | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Air quality | | | | * | | * | * | | | | | | * | | | | |
| | | | Noise | | | | | * | * | | | | | * | | | | | |

Operational Aspects of an EIA

| | | | PHASE I | | | | | PHASE II | | | | | PHASE III | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--|------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|---------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|
| | | | Pre Construction | | | | | Construction/ Establishment | | | | | Operation and Maintenance | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | | |
| | | Climate | | | * | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | |
| Biological | Terrestrial Flora | Effect on grass & flowers | | | * | | * | | | * | | | * | | | | | | | |
| | | Effect on trees & shrubs | | | * | | * | | | | | | * | | | | | | | |
| | | Effect on farmland | | | * | | * | | | | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Endangered species | | | * | | * | | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| | Aquatic Biota | Habitat removal | | | * | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Contamination of habitats | | | * | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reduction of aquatic biota | | | * | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Terrestrial Fauna | Fragmentation of terrestrial habitats | | | * | | * | | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| | | Disturbance of habitats by noise or vibration | | | * | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reduction of Biodiversity | | | * | | * | | | | | | | * | | | | | | |
| Social | Economy | Creation of new economic activities | * | | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | | |
| | | Commercial value of properties | | | | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | |
| | | Conflict due to negotiation and/ compensation payments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Generation of temporary and permanent jobs | | | | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | |
| | | Effect on crops | | | * | | | * | | | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Reduction of farmland productivity | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Income for the state and private sector | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Savings for consumers & private consumers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Savings in foreign currency for the state | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Operational Aspects of an EIA

| 1 | 2 | 3 | PHASE I | | | | | PHASE II | | | | | PHASE III | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|---------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | Pre Construction | | | | | Construction/ Establishment | | | | | Operation and Maintenance | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| | Education | Training in new technologies | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Training in new skills to workers | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Public Order | Political Conflicts | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Unrest, Demonstrations & Social conflicts | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure and Services | Conflicts with projects of urban, commercial or Industrial development | * | | | | | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Security and Safety | Increase in Crime | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | | |
| | | Accidents caused by | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | | |
| | Health | | | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cultural | Land use | | | * | | * | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Recreation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Aesthetics and human interest | | | | | | | | | * | | | * | | | | |
| | | Cultural status | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note:

1. Above table represents a model for likely impacts, which will have to be arrived at on a case-to-case basis considering VECs and significance analysis (Ref Section 2.9).

2. Project activities are shown as indicative. However, in Form 1 (application for EIA Clearance), for any question for which answer is 'Yes', then the corresponding activity shall reflect in project activities. Similarly 'parameters'/'factors' will also be changed within a component in order to reflect the target species of prime concern in the receiving local environment.

4.2.5 Testing the Significance of Impacts

The following set of conditions may be used as the checklist for testing the significance of the impacts and also to provide information in Column IV of Form 1.

- Will there be a large change in environmental conditions?
- Will new features be out-of-scale with the existing environment?
- Will the effect be unusual in the area or particularly complex?
- Will the effect extend over a large area?
- Will there be any potential for trans-frontier impact?
- Will many people be affected?
- Will many receptors of other types (fauna and flora, businesses, facilities) be affected?
- Will valuable or scarce features or resources be affected?
- Is there a risk that environmental standards will be breached?
- Is there a risk that protected sites, areas, features will be affected?
- Is there a high probability of the effect occurring?
- Will the effect continue for a long time?
- Will the effect be permanent rather than temporary?
- Will the impact be continuous rather than intermittent?
- If it is intermittent will it be frequent rather than rare?
- Will the impact be irreversible?
- Will it be difficult to avoid, or reduce or repair or compensate for the effect?

For each “Yes” answer in column 3, the nature of effects and reasons for it should be recorded in the column 4. The questions are designed so that an “Yes” answer in column 3, will generally point towards the need for analyzing for the significance and requirement for conducting impact assessment for the effect.

4.2.6 Terms of reference for EIA studies

ToR for EIA studies in respect of the pesticides industry may include, but not limited to the following:

1. Executive summary of the project – giving a *prima facie* idea of the objectives of the proposal, use of resources, justification, etc. In addition, it should provide a compilation of EIA report, including EMP and the post-project monitoring plan in brief.

Project description

2. Justification for selecting the proposed unit size. Minimum scale of operation with respect to products defined by CPCB to be referred.
3. Land requirement for the project including its break up for various purposes, its availability and optimization.
4. Details of proposed layout clearly demarcating various facilities of the plant.
5. Complete process flow diagram describing each unit, its processes and operations, along with material balance (please refer **Annexure VI** for material balance format).
6. Details of proposed source-specific pollution control schemes and equipments to meet the national standards.

7. Details on requirement of raw materials (chemicals, auxiliary media, *etc.*), its source, storage and handling at the plant.
8. Details on requirement of energy and water along with its source and authorization from the concerned department.
9. Details on chemical reactions/processes used in the production of technical grade pesticides.
10. Details on unit operations involved in liquid/liquid extraction, liquid/liquid separation, liquid/solid separation, gas/solid separation, distillation, crystallization, gas absorption, drying, grinding and mixing, *etc.*
11. Details on water balance including quantity of effluent generated, recycled & reused. Efforts to minimize effluent discharge and to maintain quality of receiving water body.
12. Details of effluent treatment plant, inlet and treated water quality with specific efficiency of each treatment unit in reduction in respect of all concerned/regulated environmental parameters.
13. Details on technologies used for pH correction, high TDS/inorganic effluents, high organic waste, *etc.*
14. Details of the proposed methods of water conservation and recharging.
15. Details on equipments and technologies used for minimizing the release of significant air pollutants – combustion emissions, process emissions (point and fugitive emissions such as VOCs, HAPs), *etc.*
16. Details on composition, generation and utilization of waste from the plant.
17. Management plan for solid/hazardous waste generation, storage, utilization and disposal including details on segregation and treatment of waste streams – toxic waste, inorganic waste, COD waste, *etc.*
18. Details regarding infrastructure facilities such as sanitation, fuel storage, restroom, *etc.*, to the workers during construction and operation phase.
19. In case of expansion of existing industries, remediation measures adopted to restore the environmental quality if the groundwater, soil, crop, air, *etc.*, are affected and a detailed compliance to the prior environmental clearance/consent conditions.
20. Any litigation pending against the project and /or any direction /order passed by any Court of Law related to the environmental pollution and impacts in the last two years, if so, details thereof.

Description of the environment

21. The study area shall be up to a distance of 10 km from the boundary of the proposed project site.
22. Location of the project site and nearest habitats with distances from the project site to be demarcated on a toposheet (1: 50000 scale).
23. Land use based on satellite imagery including location specific sensitivities such as national parks / wildlife sanctuary, villages, industries, *etc.*, for the study area.
24. Demography details of all the villages falling within the study area.
25. Topography details of the project area.

26. The baseline data to be collected from the study area w.r.t. different components of environment *viz.* air, noise, water, land, and biology and socio-economic (please refer Section 4.4.2 for guidance for assessment of baseline components and identify attributes of concern). Actual monitoring of baseline environmental components shall be strictly according to the parameters prescribed in the ToR after considering the proposed coverage of parameters by the proponent in draft ToR and shall commence after finalization of ToR by the competent Authority.
27. Geological features and geo-hydrological status of the study area.
28. Details on groundwater and surface water quality of nearby water sources and other surface drains for the parameters PH*, BOD* (3 days at 27°C), Oil & grease*, Suspended solids*, Bioassay test*, Heavy metals* (such as Copper, Manganese, Zinc, Mercury, tin, nickel, *etc.*), Organics* (such as Phenol & Phenolic, Compounds at C₆H₅OH, *etc.*), Inorganics* (such as Arsenic, Cyanide, Nitrate, Phosphate, *etc.*), Benzene hexachloride*, DDT*, Dimethoate*, Copper oxychloride*, Ziram 2.4D*, Paraquat*, Propanil*, Nitrofen*, *etc.* (* - as applicable)
29. Details on existing ambient air quality and expected, stack and fugitive emissions for HCl*, Cl₂*, H₂S*, P₂O₅* (as H₃PO₄), NH₃*, Particulate matter with pesticide compounds*, CH₃Cl*, HBr*, *etc.*, and evaluation of the adequacy of the proposed pollution control devices to meet standards for point sources and to meet AAQ standards. (* - as applicable)
30. The air quality contours may be plotted on a location map showing the location of project site, habitation nearby, sensitive receptors, if any and wind roses.
31. Details on noise levels at sensitive/commercial receptors.
32. Site-specific micro-meteorological data including mixing height.
33. One season site-specific data excluding monsoon season.
34. Proposed baseline monitoring network for the consideration and approval of the Competent Authority.
35. Ecological status (terrestrial and aquatic) of the study area such as habitat type and quality, species, diversity, rarity, fragmentation, ecological linkage, age, abundance, *etc.*
36. If any incompatible land use attributes fall within the study area, proponent shall describe the sensitivity (distance, area and significance) and propose the additional points based on significance for review and acceptance by the EAC. Incompatible land use attributes include:
 - Public water supply areas from rivers/surface water bodies, from ground water
 - Scenic areas/tourism areas/hill resorts
 - Religious places, pilgrim centers that attract over 10 lakh pilgrims a year
 - Protected tribal settlements (notified tribal areas where industrial activity is not permitted)
 - Monuments of national significance, World Heritage Sites
 - Cyclone, Tsunami prone areas (based on last 25 years);
 - Airport areas
 - Any other feature as specified by the State or local government and other features as locally applicable, including prime agricultural lands, pastures, migratory corridors, *etc.*
37. If ecologically sensitive attributes fall within the study area, proponent shall describe the sensitivity (distance, area and significance) and propose additional points based

on significance for review and acceptance by the EAC. Ecological sensitive attributes include:

- National parks
- Wild life sanctuaries
- Tiger reserve/elephant reserve/turtle nesting ground
- Mangrove area
- Reserved and protected forests
- Any other closed/protected area under the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, any other area locally applicable
- Any other eco-sensitive areas

38. If the location falls in Valley, specific issues connected to the natural resources management shall be studied and presented.

39. If the location falls in CRZ area: A CRZ map duly authenticated by one of the authorized agencies demarcating LTL, HTL, CRZ area, location of the project and associate facilities w.r.t. CRZ, coastal features such as mangroves, if any.

- Provide the CRZ map in 1:10000 scale in general cases and in 1:5000 scale for specific observations.
- Proposed site for disposal of dredged material and environmental quality at the point of disposal/impact areas.
- Fisheries study should be done w.r.t. Benthos and Marine organic material and coastal fisheries.

Anticipated environmental impacts and mitigation measures

40. Anticipated generic environmental impacts due to this project are indicated in Table 4-2, which may be evaluated for significance and based on corresponding likely impacts VECs may be identified. Baseline studies may be conducted for all the concerned VECs and likely impacts will have to be assessed for their magnitude in order to identify mitigation measures (please refer Chapter 4 of the manual for guidance).

41. Tools as given in Section 4.4.3 may be referred for the appropriate assessment of environmental impacts and same may be submitted in draft ToR for consideration and approval by EAC.

42. While identifying the likely impacts, also include the following for analysis of significance and required mitigation measures:

- impacts due to transportation of raw materials and end products on the surrounding environment
- impacts due to wastewater generation from the plant on surface water, soil and groundwater
- impacts due to air emissions such as HAPs, VOCs, inorganic emissions, *etc.*
- impacts due to odour pollution
- impacts due to noise
- impact on health of workers due to proposed project activities

43. Proposed odour control measures

44. Action plan for the greenbelt development – species, width of plantations, planning schedule, *etc.*, in accordance to CPCB published guidelines

45. In case of likely impact from the proposed project on the surrounding reserve forests, plan for the conservation of wild fauna in consultation with the State Forest Department.
46. For identifying the mitigation measures, please refer Chapter III for source control and treatment. Besides typical mitigation measures which may also be considered are discussed in Table 4-5.

Analysis of alternative resources and technologies

47. Comparison of alternate sites considered and the reasons for selecting the proposed site. Conformity of the site with prescribed guidelines in terms of CRZ, river, highways, railways, *etc.*
48. Details on improved technologies.
49. Details on recovery of byproducts, unreacted raw materials, solvents, *etc.*

Environmental monitoring program

50. Monitoring programme for pollution control at source.
51. Monitoring pollutants at receiving environment for the appropriate notified parameters – air quality, groundwater, surface water, gas quality, *etc.*, during operational phase of the project.
52. Stack and fugitive emissions may be monitored for SPM, PM10, PM2.5, SO₂, NO_x, HC, CO, acid mist, HCl, Cl₂,
53. Monitoring of carbon foot print
54. Specific programme to monitor safety and health protection of workers.
55. Appropriate monitoring network has to be designed and proposed, to assess the possible residual impacts on VECs.
56. Details of in-house monitoring capabilities and the recognized agencies if proposed for conducting monitoring.

Additional studies

57. Details on risk assessment and damage control during different phases of the project and proposed safeguard measures.
58. Details on socio-economic development activities such as commercial property values, generation of jobs, education, social conflicts, cultural status, accidents, *etc.*
59. Proposed plan to handle the socio-economic influence on the local community. The plan should include quantitative dimension as far as possible.
60. Details on compensation package for the people affected by the project, considering the socio-economic status of the area, homestead oustees, land oustees, and landless labourers.
61. Points identified in the public hearing and commitment of the project proponent to the same. Detailed action plan addressing the issues raised, and the details of necessary allocation of funds.
62. Details on plan for corporate social responsibility including the villages, population spread, SC/ST/backward communities, upgradation of existing schools, establishing

new schools with facilities (such as laboratories, toilets, etc.), link roads, community halls, primary health facilities, health camps, etc.

Environmental management plan

- 63. Administrative and technical organizational structure to ensure proposed post-project monitoring programme for approved mitigation measures.
- 64. EMP devised to mitigate the adverse impacts of the project should be provided along with item-wise cost of its implementation (capital and recurring costs).
- 65. Allocation of resources and responsibilities for plan implementation.
- 66. Details of the emergency preparedness plan and on-site and off-site disaster management plan.

Note:

Above points shall be adequately addressed in the EIA report at corresponding chapters, in addition to the contents given in the reporting structure (Table: 4-6).

4.3 Environmental Impact Assessment

The generic approach for accomplishing EIA studies is shown in Figure 4-2. Each stage is discussed, in detail in subsequent sections.




Figure 4-2: Approach for EIA Study

4.3.1 EIA team

The success of a multi-functional activity like an EIA primarily depends on constitution of a right team at the right time (preferable at the initial stages of an EIA) in order to assess the significant impacts (direct, indirect as well as cumulative impacts).

The professional Team identified for a specific EIA study should consist of qualified and experienced professionals from various disciplines in order to address the critical aspects identified for the specific project. Based on the nature and the environmental setting, following professionals may be identified for EIA studies:

- Environmental management specialist/Regulator
- Environmental landuse planner
- Air and noise quality
- Organic chemistry specialist
- Toxicology/occupational health
- Geology/geo-hydrology
- Ecologist
- Transportation specialist
- Process operations specialist
- Safety and risk specialist
- Social scientist, *etc.*

4.3.2 Baseline quality of the environment

EIA Notification 2006 specifies that an EIA Report should contain a description of the existing environment that would be or might be affected directly or indirectly by the proposed project. Environmental Baseline Monitoring (EBM) is a very important stage of EIA. On one hand EBM plays a very vital role in EIA and on the other hand it provides feedback about the actual environmental impacts of a project. EBM, during the operational phase, helps in judging the success of mitigation measures in protecting the environment. Mitigation measures, in turn are used to ensure compliance with environmental standards, and to facilitate the needed project design or operational changes.

Description of the existing environment should include natural, cultural, socio-economic systems and their interrelationships. The intention is not to describe all baseline conditions, but to focus the collection and description of baseline data on those VECs that are important and are likely to be affected by the proposed industrial activity.

4.3.2.1 Objectives of EBM in EIA context

The term 'baseline' refers to conditions existing before development. EBM studies are carried out to:

- identify environmental conditions which might influence project design decisions (*e.g.*, site layout, structural or operational characteristics);
- identify sensitive issues or areas requiring mitigation or compensation;
- provide input data to analytical models used for predicting effects;
- provide baseline data against which the results of future monitoring programs can be compared.

At this stage of EIA process, EBM is primarily discussed in the context of first purpose wherein feedback from EBM programs may be used to:

- determine available assimilative capacity of different environmental components within the designated impact zone and whether more or less stringent mitigation measures are needed
- improve predictive capability of EIAs.

There are many institutional, scientific, quality control, and fiscal issues that must be addressed in implementation of an environmental monitoring program. Careful consideration of these issues in the design and planning stages will help avoid many of the pitfalls associated with environmental monitoring programs. Such major issues are as under:

4.3.2.2 Environmental monitoring network design

Monitoring refers to the collection of data through a series of repetitive measurements of environmental parameters (or, more generally, to a process of systematic observation). Design of the environmental quality monitoring programme depends up on the monitoring objectives specified for the selected area of interest. Types of monitoring and network design considerations are discussed in **Annexure VII**.

4.3.2.3 Baseline data generation

List of important physical environmental components and indicators of EBM are given in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3: List of Important Physical Environment Components and Indicators of EBM

| Environmental Component | Environmental Indicators |
|-------------------------|--|
| Climatic variables | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rainfall patterns – mean, mode, seasonality ▪ Temperature patterns ▪ Extreme events ▪ Climate change projections ▪ Prevailing wind - direction, speed, anomalies ▪ Relative humidity ▪ Stability conditions and mixing height, <i>etc.</i> |
| Topography | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Slope form ▪ Landform and terrain analysis ▪ Specific landform types, <i>etc.</i> |
| Drainage | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Surface hydrology ▪ Natural drainage pattern and network ▪ Rainfall runoff relationships ▪ Hydrogeology ▪ Groundwater characteristics – springs, <i>etc.</i> |
| Soil | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Type and characteristics ▪ Porosity and permeability ▪ Sub-soil permeability ▪ Run-off rate ▪ Infiltration capacity ▪ Effective depth (inches/centimeters) |

| Environmental Component | Environmental Indicators |
|---------------------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inherent fertility ▪ Suitability for method of sewage disposal, <i>etc.</i> |
| Geology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Underlying rock type, texture ▪ Surgical material ▪ Geologic structures (faults, shear zones, <i>etc.</i>) ▪ Geologic resources (minerals, <i>etc.</i>) |
| Water | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Raw water availability ▪ Water quality ▪ Surface water (rivers, lakes, ponds, gullies) – quality, water depths, flooding areas, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Ground water – water table, local aquifer storage capacity, specific yield, specific retention, water level depths and fluctuations, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Coastal ▪ Floodplains ▪ Wastewater discharges ▪ Thermal discharges ▪ Waste discharges, <i>etc.</i> |
| Air | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ambient ▪ Respirable ▪ Airshed importance ▪ Odour levels, <i>etc.</i> |
| Noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Identifying sources of noise ▪ Noise due to traffic/transportation of vehicles ▪ Noise due to heavy equipment operations ▪ Duration and variations in noise over time, <i>etc.</i> |
| Coastal dynamics and morphology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Wave patterns ▪ Currents ▪ Shoreline morphology – near shore, foreshore ▪ Sediment – characteristics and transport, <i>etc.</i> |
| Biological | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Species composition of flora and fauna ▪ Flora – type, density, exploitation, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Fauna – distribution, abundance, rarity, migratory, species diversity, habitat requirements, habitat resilience, economic significance, commercial value, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Fisheries – migratory species, species with commercial/recreational value, <i>etc.</i> |
| Landuse | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Landuse pattern, <i>etc.</i> |

Guidance for assessment of baseline components and attributes describing sampling network, sampling frequency, method of measurement is given in **Annexure VIII**.

Infrastructure requirements for EBM

In addition to devising a monitoring network design and monitoring plans/program, it is also necessary to ensure adequate resources in terms of staffing, skills, equipment, training, budget, *etc.*, for its implementation. Besides assigning institutional responsibility, reporting requirements, QA/QC plans and its enforcement capability are essential. A monitoring program that does not have an infrastructural support and QA/QC component will have little chance of success.

Defining data statistics/analyses requirements

The data analyses to be conducted are dictated by the objectives of environmental monitoring program. Statistical methods used to analyze data should be described in detail prior to data collection. This is important because repetitive observations are recorded in time and space. Besides, the statistical methods could also be chosen so that uncertainty or error estimates in the data can be quantified. For *e.g.*, statistical methods useful in an environmental monitoring program include: 1) frequency distribution analysis; 2) analysis of variance; 3) analysis of covariance; 4) cluster analysis; 5) multiple regression analysis; 6) time series analysis; 7) the application of statistical models.

Use of secondary data

The EBM program for EIA can at best address temporal and/or spatial variations limited to a limited extent because of cost implications and time limitations. Therefore analysis of all available information or data is essential to establish the regional profiles. So all the relevant secondary data available for different environmental components should be collated and analyzed.

To facilitate stakeholders, IL&FS Ecosmart Ltd., has made an attempt to compile the list of information required for EIA studies and the sources of secondary data, which are given in **Annexure IXA** and **Annexure IXB**.

4.3.3 Impact prediction tools

The scientific and technical credibility of an EIA relies on the ability of the EIA practitioners to estimate the nature, extent, and magnitude of change in environmental components that may result from project activities. Information about predicted changes is needed for assigning impact significance, prescribing mitigation measures, and designing & developing EMPs and monitoring programs. The more accurate the predictions, the more confident the EIA practitioner will be in prescribing specific measures to eliminate or minimize the adverse impacts of development project.

Choice of models/methods for impact predictions in respect to air, noise, water, land, biological and socio-economic environment are tabulated in **Annexure X**.

4.3.4 Significance of the impacts

Evaluating the significance of environmental effects is perhaps the most critical component of impact analysis. The interpretation of significance is also a contentious process. The interpretation of significance bears directly on the subsequent EIA process and also during prior environmental clearance on project approvals and condition setting. At an early stage, it also enters into screening and scoping decisions on what level of assessment is required and which impacts and issues will be addressed.

Impact significance is also a key to choosing among alternatives. In total, the attribution of significance continues throughout the EIA process, from scoping to EIS review, in a gradually narrowing “cone of resolution” in which one stage sets up the next. But at this stage it is the most important as better understanding and quantification of impact significance is required.

One common approach is based on determination of the significance of predicted changes in the baseline environmental characteristics and compares these w.r.t regulatory standards, objective criteria and similar ‘thresholds’ as eco-sensitivity, cultural /religious values. Often, these are outlined in guidance. A better test proposed by the CEAA (1995) is to determine if ‘residual’ environmental effects are adverse, significant, and likely (given under). But at this stage, the practice of formally evaluating significance of residual impacts, *i.e.*, after predicting the nature and magnitude of impacts based on before-versus-after-project comparisons, and identifying measures to mitigate these effects is not being followed in a systematic way.

i. Step 1: Are the environmental effects adverse?

Criteria for determining if effects are “adverse” include:

- effects on biota health
- effects on rare or endangered species
- reductions in species diversity
- habitat loss
- transformation of natural landscapes
- effects on human health
- effects on current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by aboriginal persons
- foreclosure of future resource use or production

ii. Step 2: Are the adverse environmental effects significant?

Criteria for determining ‘significance’ is to judge that the impacts:

- are extensive over space or time
- are intensive in concentration or proportion to assimilative capacity
- exceed environmental standards or thresholds
- do not comply with environmental policies, landuse plans, sustainability strategy
- adversely and seriously affect ecologically sensitive areas
- adversely and seriously affect heritage resources, other landuses, community lifestyle and/or indigenous peoples traditions and values

iii. Step 3: Are the significant adverse environmental effects likely?

Criteria for determining ‘likelihood’ include:

- probability of occurrence, and
- scientific uncertainty

4.4 Social Impact Assessment

Social Impact Assessment (SIA) is an instrument used to analyze social issues and solicit stakeholder views for the design of projects. SIA helps in making the project responsive to social development concerns, including options that enhance benefits for poor and vulnerable people while mitigating risk and adverse impacts. It analyzes distributional impacts of intended project benefits on different stakeholder groups, and identifies differences in assets and capabilities to access the project benefits.

The scope and depth of SIA should be determined by the complexity and importance of the issues studied, taking into account the skills and resources available. SIA should include studies related to involuntary resettlement, compulsory land acquisition, impact of imported workforces, job losses among local people, damage to sites of cultural, historic or scientific interest, impact on minority or vulnerable groups, child or bonded labour, use of armed security guards. However, SIA may primarily include the following:

Description of the socio-economic, cultural and institutional profile

Conduct a rapid review of available sources of information to describe the socio-economic, cultural and institutional interface in which the project operates.

Socio-economic and cultural profile: Describe the most significant social, economic and cultural features that differentiate social groups in the project area. Describe their different interests in the project, and their levels of influence. Explain specific effects that the project may have on the poor and underprivileged. Identify any known conflicts among groups that may affect project implementation.

Institutional profile: Describe the institutional environment; consider both the presence and function of public, private and civil society institutions relevant to the operation. Are there important constraints within existing institutions *e.g.*, disconnect between institutional responsibilities and the interests and behaviors of personnel within those institutions? Or are there opportunities to utilize the potential of existing institutions, *e.g.*, private or civil society institutions, to strengthen implementation capacity.

Legislative and regulatory considerations

To review laws and regulations governing the project's implementation and access of poor and excluded groups to goods, services and opportunities provided by the project. In addition, review the enabling environment for public participation and development planning. SIA should build on strong aspects of legal and regulatory systems to facilitate program implementation and identify weak aspects while recommending alternative arrangements.

Key social issues

SIA provides baseline information for designing the social development strategy. The analysis should determine the key social and Institutional issues, which affect the project objectives; identify the key stakeholder groups in this context and determine how relationships between stakeholder groups will affect or be affected by the project; and identify expected social development outcomes and actions proposed to achieve those outcomes.

Data collection and methodology

Describe the design and methodology for social analysis. In this regard:

- Build on existing data;
- Clarify the units of analysis for social assessment: intra-household, household level, as well as communities/settlements and other relevant social aggregations on which data is available or will be collected for analysis;

- Choose appropriate data collection and analytical tools and methods, employing mixed methods wherever possible; mixed methods include a mix of quantitative and qualitative methods.

Strategy to achieve social development outcomes

Identify the likely social development outcomes of the project and propose social development strategy, including recommendations for institutional arrangements to achieve them, based on the findings of the social assessment. The social development strategy could include measures that:

- strengthen social inclusion by ensuring inclusion of both poor and excluded groups and intended beneficiaries are included in the benefit stream; offer access to opportunities created by the project
- empower stakeholders through their participation in design and implementation of the project, their access to information, and their increased voice and accountability (*i.e.* a participation framework); and
- enhance security by minimizing and managing likely social risks and increasing the resilience of intended beneficiaries and affected persons to socioeconomic shocks

Implications for analysis of alternatives

Review proposed approaches for the project, and compare them in terms of their relative impacts and social development outcomes. Consider what implications the findings of the social assessment might have on those approaches. Should some new components be added to the approach, or other components be considered or modified?

If SIA and consultation processes indicate that alternative approaches may have better development outcomes, such alternatives should be described and considered, along with the likely budgetary and administrative effects these changes might have.

Recommendations for project design and implementation arrangements

Provide guidance to project management and other stakeholders on how to integrate social development issues into project design and implementation arrangements. As much as possible, suggest specific action plans or implementation mechanisms to address relevant social issues and potential impacts. These can be developed as integrated or separate action plans, for example, as Resettlement Action Plans, Indigenous Peoples Development Plans, Community Development Plans, *etc.*

Developing a monitoring plan

Through SIA process, a framework for monitoring and evaluation should be developed. To the extent possible, this should be done in consultation with key stakeholders, especially beneficiaries and affected people.

The framework shall identify expected social development indicators, establish benchmarks, and design systems and mechanisms for measuring progress and results related to social development objectives. The framework shall identify organizational responsibilities in terms of monitoring, supervision, and evaluation procedures. Wherever possible, participatory monitoring mechanisms shall be incorporated. The framework should establish:

- a set of monitoring indicators to track the progress achieved. The benchmarks and indicators should be limited in number, and should combine both quantitative and qualitative types of data. The indicators for outputs to be achieved by the social development strategy should include indicators to monitor the process of stakeholder participation, implementation and institutional reform
- indicators to monitor social risk and social development outcomes; and indicators to monitor impacts of the project's social development strategy. It is important to suggest mechanisms through which lessons learnt from monitoring and stakeholder feedback can result in changes to improve the operation of the project. Indicators should be of such a nature that results and impacts can be disaggregated by gender and other relevant social groups
- define transparent evaluation procedures. Depending on context, these may include a combination of methods, such as participant observation, key informant interviews, focus group discussions, census and socio-economic surveys, gender analysis, Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA), Participatory Poverty Assessment (PPA) methodologies, and other tools. Such procedures should be tailored to the special conditions of the project and to the different groups living in the project area; Estimate resource and budget requirements for monitoring and evaluation activities, and a description of other inputs (such as institutional strengthening and capacity building) needs to be carried out.

4.5 Risk Assessment

Industrial accidents results in great personal and financial loss. Managing these accidental risks in today's environment is the concern of every industry including pesticides industry, because either real or perceived incidents can quickly jeopardize the financial viability of a business. Many facilities involve various manufacturing processes that have the potential for accidents which may be catastrophic to the plant, work force, environment, or public.

The main objective of risk assessment study is to propose a comprehensive but simple approach to carry out risk analysis and conducting feasibility studies for industries, planning and management of industrial prototype hazard analysis study in Indian context.

Risk analysis and risk assessment should provide details on Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) techniques used world-over to determine risk posed to people who work inside or live near hazardous facilities, and to aid in preparing effective emergency response plans by delineating a Disaster Management Plan (DMP) to handle onsite and offsite emergencies. Hence, QRA is an invaluable method for making informed risk-based process safety and environmental impact planning decisions, as well as being fundamental to any decision while siting a facility. QRA whether, site-specific or risk-specific for any plant is complex and needs extensive study that involves process understanding, hazard identification, consequence modeling, probability data, vulnerability models/data, local weather and terrain conditions and local population data. QRA may be carried out to serve the following objectives:

- Identification of safety areas
- Identification of hazard sources
- Generation of accidental release scenarios for escape of hazardous materials from the facility
- Identification of vulnerable units with recourse to hazard indices

- Estimation of damage distances for the accidental release scenarios with recourse to Maximum Credible Accident (MCA) analysis
- Hazard and Operability studies (HAZOP) in order to identify potential failure cases of significant consequences
- Estimation of probability of occurrences of hazardous event through fault tree analysis and computation of reliability of various control paths
- Assessment of risk on basis of above evaluation against the risk acceptability criteria relevant to the situation
- Suggest risk mitigation measures based on engineering judgement, reliability and risk analysis approaches
- Delineation/upgradation of DMP
- Safety Reports: with external safety report/ occupational safety report

The risk assessment report may cover the following in terms of the extent of damage with resource to MCA analysis and delineation of risk mitigations measures with an approach to DMP.

- Hazard identification – identification of hazardous activities, hazardous materials, past accident records, *etc.*
- Hazard quantification – consequence analysis to assess the impacts
- Risk Presentation
- Risk Mitigation Measures
- DMPs




Figure 4-3: Risk Assessment – Conceptual Framework

Methods of risk prediction should cover all the design intentions and operating parameters to quantify risk in terms of probability of occurrence of hazardous events and magnitude of its consequence. Table 4-4 shows the predictive models for risk assessment.

Table 4-4: Choice of Models for Impact Predictions: Risk Assessment

| Name | Application | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|
| EFFECT | Consequence Analysis for Visualization of accidental chemical release scenarios & its consequence | Heat load, press wave & toxic release exposure neutral gas dispersion |
| WHAZAN | Consequence Analysis for Visualization of accidental chemical release scenarios & its consequence | |

Operational Aspects of EIA

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| EGADIS | Consequence Analysis for Visualization of accidental chemical release scenarios & its consequence | Dense gas dispersion |
| HAZOP and Fault Tree Assessment | For estimating top event probability | Failure frequency data is required |
| Pathways reliability and protective system hazard analysis | For estimating reliability of equipments and protective systems | Markov models |
| Vulnerability Exposure models | Estimation of population exposure | Uses probit equation for population exposure |
| F-X and F-N curves | Individual / Societal risks | Graphical Representation |




Figure 4-4: Comprehensive Risk Assessment - At a Glance

4.5.1 Storage and handling of hazardous materials

Both hazardous and non-hazardous materials generated within the project facility shall be temporarily accommodated in appropriate units placed within the project facility built/made in line with the safety, health and environmental standards.

The size of these temporary units would depend on the quantity and type of hazardous waste materials like asbestos, PCB, oils, fuels, *etc.*, with appropriate storage capacities placed in the project facility in compliance with the Hazardous Waste Management and Handling Rules. In case of radioactive wastes, storage and handling should be based on Rules for Management of Radioactive Waste under AERB. Also, if gas cylinders must be stored in the facility, rules applicable for gas cylinders under the Explosives Act shall be followed. Later, these materials must be disposed off at a centralized disposal facility with utmost care following safety norms. Each unit in the facility should have fire hydrant system to handle fire hazards.

4.5.2 Hazard identification

Hazard is the characteristic of any system or process which has the potential for accident. Identification of hazards, in presence of any hazardous waste generating units within the project facility is of primary significance in the analysis, quantification and cost-effective control of accidents involving chemicals and process.

Hence, all components of a system/unit need to be thoroughly examined to assess their potential for initiating or propagating an unplanned event/sequence of events, which can be termed as an accident.

Typical methods for hazard identification employed are:

- Identification of major hazardous units based on Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals Rules, 1989 of Government of India (as amended in 2000)
- Identification of hazardous units and segments of plants and storage units based on relative ranking technique, viz. Fire-Explosion and Toxicity Index (FE&TI).

Hazardous substances may be classified into three main categories namely flammable, unstable and toxic substances. Flammable substances require interaction with air for their hazard to be realized. Under certain circumstances, vapours arising from flammable substances when mixed with air may become explosive, especially in confined spaces. However, if present in sufficient quantity, such clouds may explode in open air also. Unstable substances are liquids or solids, which may decompose with such violence giving rise to blast waves. Besides, toxic substances are dangerous and cause substantial damage to life when released into the atmosphere. The ratings for a large number of chemicals based on flammability, reactivity and toxicity are provided in NFPA Codes 49 and 345 M.

4.5.3 Hazard assessment and evaluation

A preliminary hazard analysis shall be carried out to identify major hazards associated with storages in the facility. This is followed by consequence analysis to quantify these hazards. Finally the vulnerable zones are plotted for which risk reducing measures are deduced and implemented.

Frequent causes of accidents

- Fire and explosion: explosives, flammable material
- Being struck by falling objects
- Caught in/compressed
- Snapping of cables, ropes, chains, slings
- Handling heavy objects
- Electricity (electrocution)
- Poor illumination
- Falls from height inside industrial units or on the ground
- Struck by moving objects
- Slipping on wet surfaces
- Sharp objects
- Oxygen deficiency in confined spaces
- Lack of personal protective equipment (PPE), housekeeping practices, safety signs
- Hackles, hooks, chains
- Cranes, winches, hoisting and hauling equipment;

Hazardous substances and wastes

- Heavy and toxic metals (lead, mercury, cadmium, copper, zinc, *etc.*)
- Organometallic substances (tributyltin, *etc.*)
- Lack of hazard communication (storage, labelling, material safety data sheets)
- Batteries, fire-fighting liquids
- PCBs and PVC (combustion products)
- Welding fumes
- Volatile organic compounds (solvents)
- Inhalation in confined and enclosed spaces
- Physical hazards
- Noise
- Extreme temperatures
- Vibration
- Radiation (UV, radioactive materials)

Physical hazards

- Noise
- Extreme temperatures
- Vibration
- Radiation (UV, radioactive materials)

Mechanical hazards

- Trucks and transport vehicles
- Scaffolding, fixed and portable ladders
- Impact by tools, sharp-edged tools
- Power-driven hand tools, saws, grinders and abrasive cutting wheels
- Failure of machinery and equipment
- Poor maintenance of machinery and equipment
- Lack of safety guards in machines
- Structural failure

Biological hazards

- Toxic marine organisms (If the project facility is located in Coastal Regions)
- Risk of communicable diseases transmitted by pests, vermin, rodents, insects and other animals that may infest the project facility.
- Animal bites
- Vectors of infectious diseases (TB, malaria, dengue fever, hepatitis, respiratory infections, others)

Ergonomic and psychosocial hazards

- Repetitive strain injuries, awkward postures, repetitive and monotonous work, excessive workload
- Long working hours, shift work, night work, temporary employment
- Mental stress, human relations (aggressive behaviour, alcohol and drug abuse, violence)
- Poverty, low wages, minimum age, lack of education and social environment

General concerns

- Lack of safety and health training
- Poor work organization
- Inadequate housing and sanitation
- Inadequate accident prevention and inspection
- Inadequate emergency, first-aid and rescue facilities
- Lack of medical facilities and social protection

4.5.4 Disaster management plan

A disaster is a catastrophic situation in which suddenly, people are plunged into helplessness and suffering and, as a result, need protection, clothing, shelter, medical & social care and other necessities of life.

DMP is aimed to ensure safety of life, protection of environment, protection of installation, restoration of production and salvage operations in this same order of priorities. For effective implementation of DMP, it should be widely circulated and a personnel training is to be provided through rehearsals/drills.

To tackle the consequences of a major emergency inside the plant or immediate vicinity of the plant, a DMP has to be formulated and this planned emergency document is called DMP.

The objective of the DMP is to make use of the combined resources of the plant and the outside services to achieve the following:

- Effective rescue and medical treatment of casualties
- Safeguard other people
- Minimize damage to property and the environment
- Initially contain and ultimately bring the incident under control
- Identify any dead
- Provide for the needs of relatives
- Provide authoritative information to the news media
- Secure the safe rehabilitation of affected area

- Preserve relevant records and equipment for the subsequent inquiry into the cause and circumstances of the emergency

In effect, it is to optimize operational efficiency to rescue rehabilitation and render medical help and to restore normalcy.

The DMP should include emergency preparedness plan, emergency response team, emergency communication, emergency responsibilities, emergency facilities, and emergency actions

4.5.4.1 Emergency preparedness plan

Incidents, accidents and contingency preparedness should be accounted during construction and operation process. This shall be a part of EMS. Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP) should be prepared following the National Environmental Emergency Plan and OSHA guidelines. According to these guidelines, an environmental emergency plan would essentially provide the following information:

- Assignment of duties and responsibilities among the authorities, participating agencies, response team, their coordinators and/or those responsible for the pollution incident
- Relationship with other emergency plans
- A reporting system that ensures rapid notification in the event of a pollution incident
- The establishment of a focal point for coordination and directions connected to the implementation of the plan
- Response operations should always cover these four phases:
 - Discovery and alarm
 - Evaluation, notification and plan invocation
 - Containment and counter measures
 - Cleanup and disposal
- Identification of expertise and response resources available for assistance for the implementation of plan
- Directions on the necessary emergency provisions applicable to the handling, treatment or disposal of certain pollutants
- Link to the local community for assistance, if necessary
- Support measures, such as procedures for providing public information, carrying out surveillance, issuing post-incident reports, review and updating of the plan, and periodic exercising of the plan.

4.5.4.2 Emergency response

Various units within the project facility are always subjected to accidents and incidents of many a kind. Therefore, a survey of potential incidents and accidents is to be carried out. Based on this, a plan for response to incidents, injuries and emergencies should be prepared. Response to emergencies should ensure that:

- The exposure of workers should be limited as much as possible during the operation

- Contaminated areas should be cleaned and, if necessary disinfected
- Limited impact on the environment at the extent possible.

Written procedures for different types of emergencies should be prepared and the entire workforce should be trained in emergency response. All relevant emergency response equipment should also be readily available.

With regard to dangerous spills, associated cleanup and firefighting operations should be carried out by specially allocated and trained personnel.

4.5.4.3 Response team

It is important to setup an Emergency Organization. A senior executive who has control over the affairs of the plant would be heading the Emergency Organization. He would be designated as Site Controller. Manager (Safety) would be designated as the Incident Controller. In case of stores, utilities, open areas, which are not under control of the Production Heads, Senior Executive responsible for maintenance of utilities would be designated as Incident Controller. All the Incident Controllers would be reporting to the Site Controller.

Each Incident Controller organizes a team responsible for controlling the incidence with the personnel under his control. Shift in charge would be the reporting officer, who would bring the incidence to the notice of the Incidence Controller and Site Controller.

Emergency Coordinators would be appointed who would undertake the responsibilities like firefighting, rescue, rehabilitation, transport and provide essential & support services. For this purposes, Security In charge, Personnel Department, Essential services personnel would be engaged. All these personnel would be designated as key personnel.

In each shift, electrical supervisor, electrical fitters, pump house in charge, and other maintenance staff would be drafted for emergency operations. In the event of power or communication system failure, some of staff members in the office/facility would be drafted and their services would be utilized as messengers for quick passing of communications. All these personnel would be declared as essential personnel.

4.5.4.4 Response to injuries

Based on a survey of possible injuries, a procedure for response to injuries or exposure to hazardous substances should be established. All staff should have minimum training to such response and the procedure ought to include the following:

- Immediate first aid, such as eye splashing, cleansing of wounds and skin, and bandaging
- Immediate reporting to a responsible designated person
- If possible, retention of the item and details of its source for identification of possible hazards
- Rapid additional medical care from medical personnel
- Medical surveillance
- Recording of the incident

- Investigation, determination and implementation of remedial action

It is vital that incident reporting should be straightforward so that reporting is actually carried out.

4.5.4.5 Emergency communication

Whoever notices an emergency situation such as fire, growth of fire, leakage, *etc.* would inform his immediate superior and Emergency Control Center. The person on duty in the Emergency Control Center, would appraise the Site Controller. Site Controller verifies the situation from the Incident Controller of that area or the Shift In charge and takes a decision about an impending On-site Emergency. This would be communicated to all the Incident Controllers, Emergency Coordinators. Simultaneously, the emergency warning system would be activated on the instructions of the Site Controller.

4.5.4.6 Emergency responsibilities

Responsibilities of the following key personnel should be defined:

- Site controller
- Incident controller
- Emergency coordinator - rescue, fire fighting
- Emergency coordinator-medical, mutual aid, rehabilitation, transport and communication
- Emergency coordinator - essential services
- Employers responsibility

4.5.4.7 Emergency facilities

- Emergency Control Center – with access to important personnel, telephone, fax, telex facility, safe contained breathing apparatus, hand tools, emergency shut down procedures, duties and contact details of key personnel and government agencies, emergency equipments, *etc.*
- Assembly Point – with minimum facilities for safety and rescue
- Emergency Power Supply – connected with diesel generator, flame proof emergency lamps, *etc.*
- Fire Fighting Facilities – first aid fire fighting equipments, fire alarms, *etc.*
- Location of wind Stock – located at appropriate location to indicate the direction of wind for emergency escape
- Emergency Medical Facilities – Stretchers, gas masks, general first aid, emergency control room, breathing apparatus, other emergency medical equipment, ambulance

4.5.4.8 Emergency actions

- Emergency warning
- Evacuation of personnel
- All clear signal
- Public information and warning
- Coordination with local authorities

- Mutual aid
- Mock drills

4.6 Mitigation Measures

The purpose of mitigation is to identify measures that safeguard the environment and the community affected by the proposal. Mitigation is both a creative and practical phase of the EIA process. It seeks to find the best ways and means of avoiding, minimizing and remedying impacts. Mitigation measures must be translated into action in right way and at the right time, if they are to be successful. This process is referred to as impact management and takes place during project implementation. A written plan should be prepared for this purpose, and should include a schedule of agreed actions. Opportunities for impact mitigation will occur throughout the project cycle.

4.6.1 Important considerations for mitigation methods

The responsibility of project proponents to ‘internalize’ the full environmental costs of development proposals is now widely accepted under “Polluter Pay” principle. In addition, many proponents have found that good design and impact management can result in significant savings applying the principles of cleaner production to improve their environmental performance.

- The predicted adverse environmental as well as social impacts for which mitigation measures are required should be identified and briefly summarized along with cross referencing them to the significance, prediction components of the EIA report or other documentation.
- Each mitigation measure should be briefly described w.r.t the impact of significances to which it relates and the conditions under which it is required (for example, continuously or in the event of contingencies). These should also be cross-referenced to the project design and operating procedures which elaborate on the technical aspects of implementing the various measures.
- Cost and responsibilities for mitigation and monitoring should be clearly defined, including arrangements for coordination among various Authorities responsible for mitigation.
- The proponent can use the EMP to develop environmental performance standards and requirements for the project site as well as supply chain. An EMP can be implemented through EMS for the operational phase of the project.

Prior to selecting mitigation plans it is appropriate to study the mitigation alternatives for cost-effectiveness, technical and socio-political feasibility. Such mitigation measures could include:

- avoiding sensitive areas such as eco-sensitive area *e.g.*, fish spawning areas, dense mangrove areas or areas known to contain rare or endangered species
- adjusting work schedules to minimize disturbance
- engineered structures such as berms and noise attenuation barriers
- pollution control devices such as scrubbers and electrostatic precipitators
- changes in fuel feed, manufacturing, process, technology use, or waste management practices, *etc.*

4.6.2 Hierarchy of elements of mitigation plan




Figure 4-5: Elements of Mitigation

A good EIA practice requires technical understanding of relevant issues and the measures that work in such given circumstances. The priority of selection of mitigation measures should be in the order:

Step One: Impact avoidance

This step is most effective when applied at an early stage of project planning. It can be achieved by:

- not undertaking certain projects or elements that could result in adverse impacts
- avoiding areas that are environmentally sensitive
- putting in place the preventative measures to stop adverse impacts from occurring, for example, release of water from a reservoir to maintain a fisheries regime

Step Two: Impact minimization

This step is usually taken during impact identification and prediction to limit or reduce the degree, extent, magnitude, or duration of adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- scaling down or relocating the proposal
- redesigning elements of the project
- taking supplementary measures to manage the impacts

Step Three: Impact compensation

This step is usually applied to remedy unavoidable residual adverse impacts. It can be achieved by:

- rehabilitation of the affected site or environment, for example, by habitat enhancement and restocking fish
- restoration of the affected site or environment to its previous state or better, as typically required for mine sites, forestry roads and seismic lines

- replacement of the same resource values at another location. For example, by wetland engineering to provide an equivalent area to that lost to drainage or infill.

Important compensation elements

Resettlement Plans: Special considerations apply to mitigation of proposals that displace or disrupt people. Certain types of projects, such as reservoirs and irrigation schemes and public works, are known to cause involuntary resettlement. This is a contentious issue because it involves far more than re-housing people; in addition, income sources and access to common property resources are likely to be lost. Almost certainly, a resettlement plan will be required to ensure that no one is worse off than before, which may not be possible for indigenous people whose culture and lifestyle is tied to a locality. This plan must include the means for those displaced to reconstruct their economies and communities and should include an EIA of the receiving areas. Particular attention should be given to indigenous, minority and vulnerable groups who are at higher risk from resettlement.

In-kind compensation

When significant or net residual loss or damage to the environment is likely, in kind compensation is appropriate. As noted earlier, environmental rehabilitation, restoration or replacement have become standard practices for many proponents. Now, increasing emphasis is given to a broader range of compensation measures to offset impacts and assure the sustainability of development proposals. These include impact compensation 'trading', such as offsetting CO₂ emissions by planting forests to sequester carbon.

4.6.3 Typical mitigation measures

Choice of location for the developmental activity plays an important role in preventing adverse impacts on surrounding environment. Detailed guidelines on siting of industries are provided in Section 4.2. However, if the developmental activity still produces any more adverse impacts, mitigation measures should be taken.

Previous sub-sections of the Section 4.6 could be precisely summarized into following:

- Impacts from a developmental project could have many dimensions. As most of the direct impacts are caused by releases from developmental projects, often control at source is the best opportunity to either eliminate or mitigate the impacts, in case these are cost-effective. In other words, the best way to mitigate impacts is to prevent them from occurring. Choice of raw materials/technologies/processes which produce least impact would be one of the options to achieve it.
- After exploring cost-effective feasible alternatives to control impacts at source, various interventions to minimise adverse impacts may be considered. These interventions, primarily aim at reducing the residual impacts on VECs of the receiving environment to acceptable concentrations.
- Degree of control at source and external interventions differs from situation-to-situation and is largely governed by techno-economic feasibility. While the regulatory bodies stress for further source control (due to high reliability), the project proponents bargain for other interventions which may be relatively cost-effective than further control at source (in any case, project authority is required to meet the industry-specific standards by adopting the best practicable technologies. However,

if the location demands further control at source, then the proponents are required to adopt further advanced control technologies, *i.e.*, towards best available control technologies). After having discussions with the project proponent, EAC reaches to an agreed level of source control+other interventions (together called as mitigation measures in the given context) that achieve the targeted protection levels for the VECs in the receiving environment. These levels will become the principal clearance conditions.

- Chapter 3 of this TGM offers elaborate information on cleaner technologies, waste minimization opportunities, and control technologies for various kinds of polluting parameters that emanate from this developmental activity. This information may be used to draw appropriate control measures applicable at source.

The choice of interventions for mitigation of impacts may also be numerous and depend on various factors. Mitigation measures based on location-specific suitability and some other factors are discussed in sub-sections 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. A few typical measures which may also be explored for mitigation of impacts are listed in Table 4-5.

Table 4-5: Typical Mitigation Measures

| Impacts | Typical Mitigation Measures |
|---|--|
| Soil | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Windscreens, maintenance, and installation of ground cover ▪ Installation of drainage ditches ▪ Runoff and retention ponds ▪ Minimize disturbances and scarification of the surface ▪ Usage of appropriate monitoring and control facilities for construction equipments deployed ▪ Methods to reuse earth material generated during excavation, <i>etc.</i> |
| Resources – fuel/construction material, <i>etc.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Availing the resources which could be replenished by natural systems, <i>etc.</i> |
| Deforestation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Plant or create similar areas ▪ Initiate a tree planning program in other areas ▪ Donate land to conservationist groups, <i>etc.</i> |
| Water pollution (Ground water/ Surface water) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conjunctive use of ground/surface water, to prevent flooding/water logging/depletion of water resources. Included are land use pattern, land filling, lagoon/reservoir/garland canal construction, and rainwater harvesting and pumping rate. ▪ Stormwater drainage system to collect surface runoff ▪ Minimise flow variation from the mean flow ▪ Storing of oil wastes in lagoons should be minimised in order to avoid possible contamination of the ground water system. ▪ All effluents containing acid/alkali/organic/toxic wastes should be properly treated. ▪ Monitoring of ground waters ▪ Use of biodegradable or otherwise readily treatable additives ▪ Neutralization and sedimentation of wastewaters, where applicable ▪ Dewatering of sludges and appropriate disposal of solids ▪ In case of oil waste, oil separation before treatment and discharge into the environment ▪ By controlling discharge of sanitary sewage and industrial waste into the environment ▪ By avoiding the activities that increases erosion or that contributes nutrients to water (thus stimulating alga growth) |

| Impacts | Typical Mitigation Measures |
|-----------------|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For wastes containing high TDS, treatment methods include removal of liquid and disposal of residue by controlled landfilling to avoid any possible leaching of the fills ▪ All surface runoffs around mines or quarries should be collected treated and disposed. ▪ Treated wastewater (such as sewage, industrial wastes, or stored surface runoffs) can be used as cooling water makeup. ▪ Wastewater carrying radioactive elements should be treated separately by means of de-watering procedures, and solids or brine should be disposed of with special care. ▪ Develop spill prevention plans in case of chemical discharges and spills ▪ Develop traps and containment system and chemically treat discharges on site, <i>etc.</i> |
| Air Pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Periodic checking of vehicles and construction machinery to ensure compliance to emission standards ▪ Attenuation of pollution/protection of receptor through green belts/green cover ▪ Dilution of odourant (dilution can change the nature as well as strength of an odour), odour counteraction or neutralise (certain pairs of odours in appropriate concentrations may neutralise each other), odour masking or blanketing (certain weaker malodours may be suppressed by a considerably stronger good odour). ▪ Regular monitoring of air polluting concentrations, <i>etc.</i> |
| Dust pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adopt sprinkling of water ▪ Wetting of roadways to reduce traffic dust and reentrained particles ▪ Control vehicle speed on sight ▪ Ensure periodic washing of construction equipment and transport vehicles to prevent accumulated dust ▪ Ensure that vehicles should be covered during transportation ▪ Installation of windscreens to breakup the wind flow ▪ Burning of refuse on days when meteorological conditions provide for good mixing and dispersion ▪ Providing dust collection equipment at all possible points ▪ Maintaining dust levels within permissible limits ▪ Provision for masks when dust level exceeds, <i>etc.</i> |
| Noise pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Use of suitable muffler systems/enclosures/sound-proof glass panelling on heavy equipment/pumps/blowers ▪ Pumps and blowers may be mounted on rubber pads or any other noise absorbing materials ▪ Limiting certain activities ▪ Proper scheduling of high noise generating activities to minimise noise impacts ▪ Usage of well maintained construction equipment meeting the regulatory standards ▪ Placement of equipments emitting high noise in an orientation that directs the noise away from sensitive receptors ▪ Periodic maintenance of equipments/repalcing whenever necessary/lubrication of rotating parts, <i>etc.</i> ▪ By using damping, absorption, dissipation, and deflection methods ▪ By using common techniques such as constructing sound enclosures, applying mufflers, mounting noise sources on isolators, and/or using materials with damping properties |

| Impacts | Typical Mitigation Measures |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Performance specifications for noise represent a way to insure the procured item is controlled ▪ Use of ear protective devices. ▪ In case of steady noise levels above 85-dB (A), initiation of hearing conservation measures ▪ Implementation of greenbelt for noise attenuation may be taken up, <i>etc.</i> |
| Biological | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Installation of systems to discourage nesting or perching of birds in dangerous environments ▪ Increased employee awareness to sensitive areas, <i>etc.</i> |
| Social | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Health and safety measures for workers ▪ Development of traffic plan that minimizes road use by workers ▪ Upgrade of roads and intersections ▪ Provide sufficient counselling and time to the affected population for relocation ▪ Discuss and finalize alternate arrangements and associated infrastructure in places of religious importance ▪ Exploration of alternative approach routes in consultation with local community and other stakeholders ▪ Provision of alternate jobs in unskilled and skilled categories, <i>etc.</i> |
| Marine | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water quality monitoring program ▪ Limit construction activities to day time to provide recuperation time at night and reduce turbidity ▪ Prevention of spillage of diesel, oil, lubes, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Usage of appropriate system to barges/workboats for collection of liquid/solid waste generated onboard ▪ Avoid discharge of construction/dredging waste (lose silt) into sea. It may be disposed at the identified disposal point. ▪ Ensure usage of suitable/proper equipment for dredging in order to minimize the turbidity and suspensions at the dredging site. ▪ Checking with the complainance conditions before discharging wastes into the sea water ▪ Have a post-dredging monitoring programme in place ▪ Take up periodic maintenance dredging including inspection of sub-sea conditions, <i>etc.</i> |
| Occupational health and safety | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provision of worker camps with proper sanitation and medical facilities, as well as making the worker camps self-sufficient with resources like water supply, power supply, etc ▪ Arrangement of periodic health check-ups for early detection and control of communicable diseases. ▪ Arrangement to dispose off the wastes at approved disposal sites. ▪ Provide preventive measures for potential fire hazards with requisite fire detection, fire-fighting facilities and adequate water storage, <i>etc.</i> |
| Construction | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have a Transport Management Plan in place in order to prevent/minimize the disturbance on surrounding habitats ▪ Initiate traffic density studies, <i>etc.</i> |
| Solid/Hazardous waste | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Proper handling of excavated soil ▪ Proper plan to collect and dispose off the solid waste generated onsite. ▪ Identify an authorized waste handler for segregation of construction and hazardous waste and its removal on a regular basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts ▪ Prohibit burying of refuse onsite, <i>etc.</i> |

4.7 Environmental Management Plan

A typical EMP shall be composed of the following:

1. summary of potential impacts of the proposal
2. description of recommended mitigation measures
3. description of monitoring programme to ensure compliance with relevant standards and residual impacts
4. allocation of resources and responsibilities for plan implementation
5. implementation schedule and reporting procedures
6. contingency plan when impacts are greater than expected

Summary of impacts: The predicted adverse environmental and social impacts for which mitigation measures are identified in earlier sections to be briefly summarized with cross referencing to the corresponding sections in EIA report.

Description of mitigation measures: Each mitigation measure should be briefly described w.r.t the impact to which it relates and the conditions under which it is required. These should be accompanied by/referenced to, project design and operating procedures which elaborate on the technical aspects of implementing various measures.

Description of monitoring programme to ensure compliance with relevant standards and residual impacts: Environmental monitoring refers to compliance monitoring and residual impact monitoring. Compliance monitoring refers to meeting the industry-specific statutory compliance requirements (Ref. Applicable National regulations as detailed in Chapter 3).

Residual impact monitoring refers to monitoring of identified sensitive locations with adequate number of samples and frequency. The monitoring programme should clearly indicate the linkages between impacts identified in the EIA report, measurement indicators, detection limits (where appropriate), and definition of thresholds that signal the need for corrective actions.

Allocation of resources and responsibilities for plan implementation: These should be specified for both the initial investment and recurring expenses for implementing all measures contained in the EMP, integrated into the total project costs, and factored into loan negotiation.

The EMP should contain commitments that are binding on the proponent in different phases of project implementation *i.e.*, pre-construction or site clearance, construction, operation, decommissioning.

Responsibilities for mitigation and monitoring should be clearly defined, including arrangements for coordination between various actors responsible for mitigation. Details should be provided w.r.t the deployment of staff (detailed organogram), monitoring network design, parameters to be monitored, analysis methods, associated equipments, *etc.*

Implementation schedule and reporting procedures: The timing, frequency and duration of mitigation measure should be specified in an implementation schedule, showing links with overall project implementation. Procedures to provide information on

progress and results of mitigation and monitoring measures should also be clearly specified.

Contingency Plan when the impacts are greater than expected: There shall be a contingency plan for attending the situations where the residual impacts are higher than expected. It is an imperative requirement for all the project Authorities to plan additional programmes to deal with the situation, after duly intimating to the concerned local regulatory bodies.

4.8 Reporting

Structure of the EIA report (Appendix III of the EIA Notification), applicable for pesticides industry is given in the Table 4.6. Each task prescribed in ToR shall be incorporated appropriately in the contents in addition to the contents described in the table.

Table 4-6: Structure of EIA Report

| S.No | EIA Structure | Contents |
|------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Introduction | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Purpose of the report ▪ Identification of project & project proponent ▪ Brief description of nature, size, location of the project and its importance to the country, region ▪ Scope of the study – details of regulatory scoping carried out (As per Terms of Reference) |
| 2. | Project Description | <p>Condensed description of those aspects of the project (based on project feasibility study), likely to cause environmental effects. Details should be provided to give clear picture of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Type of project ▪ Need for the project ▪ Location (maps showing general location, specific location, project boundary & project site layout) ▪ Size or magnitude of operation (incl. Associated activities required by or for the project) ▪ Proposed schedule for approval and implementation ▪ Technology and process description ▪ Project description including drawings showing project layout, components of project <i>etc.</i> Schematic representations of the feasibility drawings which give information important for EIA purpose ▪ Description of mitigation measures incorporated into the project to meet environmental standards, environmental operating conditions, or other EIA requirements (as required by the scope) ▪ Assessment of new & untested technology for the risk of technological failure |
| 3. | Description of the Environment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Study area, period, components & methodology ▪ Establishment of baseline for VECs, as identified in the scope ▪ Base maps of all environmental components |
| 4. | Anticipated Environmental Impacts & | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Details of Investigated Environmental impacts due to project location, possible accidents, project design, project construction, regular operations, final decommissioning or rehabilitation of a completed project |

| S.No | EIA Structure | Contents |
|------|---|--|
| | Mitigation Measures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Measures for minimizing and / or offsetting adverse impacts identified ▪ Irreversible and irretrievable commitments of environmental components ▪ Assessment of significance of impacts (Criteria for determining significance, Assigning significance) ▪ Mitigation measures |
| 5. | Analysis of Alternatives (Technology & Site) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ In case, the scoping exercise results in need for alternatives: ▪ Description of each alternative ▪ Summary of adverse impacts of each alternative ▪ Mitigation measures proposed for each alternative and selection of alternative |
| 6. | Environmental Monitoring Program | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Technical aspects of monitoring the effectiveness of mitigation measures (incl. measurement methodologies, frequency, location, data analysis, reporting schedules, emergency procedures, detailed budget & procurement schedules) |
| 7. | Additional Studies | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Public consultation ▪ Risk assessment ▪ Social impact assessment, R&R action plans |
| 8. | Project Benefits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Improvements in physical infrastructure ▪ Improvements in social infrastructure ▪ Employment potential –skilled; semi-skilled and unskilled ▪ Other tangible benefits |
| 9. | Environmental Cost Benefit Analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ If recommended at the scoping stage |
| 10. | EMP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Description of the administrative aspects that ensures proper implementation of mitigative measures are implemented and their effectiveness monitored, after approval of the EIA |
| 11. | Summary & Conclusion (This will constitute the summary of the EIA Report) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall justification for implementation of the project ▪ Explanation of how, adverse effects have been mitigated |
| 12. | Disclosure of Consultants engaged | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Names of the Consultants engaged with their brief resume and nature of Consultancy rendered |

4.9 Public Consultation

Public consultation refers to the process by which the concerns of local affected people and others who have plausible stake in the environmental impacts of the project or activity are ascertained.

- Public consultation is not a decision taking process, but is a process to collect views of the people having plausible stake. If the SPCB/Public agency conducting public hearing is not convinced with the plausible stake, then such expressed views need not be considered.
- Public consultation involves two components, one is public hearing, and other one is inviting written responses/objections through Internet/by post, *etc.*, by placing the summary of EIA report on the web site.

- All Category A and Category B1 projects require public hearing except the following:
 - Once prior environmental clearance is granted to an industrial estates/SEZs/EPZs *etc.*, for a given composition (type and capacity) of industries, then individual units will not require public hearing
 - Expansion of roads and highways, which do not involve any further acquisition of land.
 - Maintenance dredging provided the dredged material shall be disposed within port limits
 - All building/construction projects/area development projects/townships
 - All Category B2 projects
 - All projects concerning national defense and security or involving other strategic considerations as determined by the Central Government
- Public hearing shall be carried out at the site or in its close proximity, district-wise, for ascertaining concerns of local affected people.
- Project proponent shall make a request through a simple letter to the Member-Secretary of the SPCB/UTPCC to arrange public hearing.
- Project proponent shall enclose with the letter of request, at least 10 hard copies and 10 soft copies of the draft EIA report including the summary EIA report in English and in the official language of the State/local language prepared as per the approved scope of work, to the concerned Authority.
- Simultaneously, project proponent shall arrange to send, one hard copy and one soft copy, of the above draft EIA report along with the summary EIA report to the following Authorities within whose jurisdiction the project will be located:
 - District magistrate/District Collector/Deputy Commissioner (s)
 - Zilla parishad and municipal corporation or panchayats union
 - District industries office
 - Urban local bodies (ULBs)/PRIs concerned/development authorities
 - Concerned regional office of the MoEF/SPCB
- Above mentioned Authorities except regional office of MoEF shall arrange to widely publicize the draft EIA report within their respective jurisdictions requesting the interested persons to send their comments to the concerned regulatory authorities. They shall also make draft EIA report for inspection electronically or otherwise to the public during normal office hours till the public hearing is over.
- Concerned regulatory Authority (MoEF) shall display the summary of EIA report on its website and also make full draft EIA report available for reference at a notified place during normal office hours at their head office.
- SPCB or UTPCC concerned shall also make similar arrangements for giving publicity about the project within the State/UT and make available the summary of draft EIA report for inspection in select offices, public libraries or any other suitable location, *etc.* They shall also additionally make available a copy of the draft EIA report to the above five authorities/offices as mentioned above.
- The Member-Secretary of the concerned SPCB or UTPCC shall finalize the date, time and exact venue for the conduct of public hearing within seven days of the date of the receipt of the draft EIA report from the project proponent and advertise the same in one major National Daily and one Regional vernacular Daily/official State language.

- A minimum notice period of 30 (thirty) days shall be provided to the public for furnishing their responses.
- No postponement of the date, time, venue of the public hearing shall be undertaken, unless some untoward emergency situation occurs. Only in case of emergencies and up on recommendation of the concerned District Magistrate/District Collector/Deputy Commissioner, the postponement shall be notified to the public through the same National and Regional vernacular dailies and also prominently displayed at all the identified offices by the concerned SPCB/ UTPCC
- In the above exceptional circumstances fresh date, time and venue for the public consultation shall be decided by the Member–Secretary of the concerned SPCB/ UTPCC only in consultation with the District Magistrate/District Collector/Deputy Commissioner and notified afresh as per the procedure.
- The District Magistrate/District Collector/Deputy Commissioner or his or her representative not below the rank of an Additional District Magistrate assisted by a representative of SPCB or UTPCC, shall supervise and preside over the entire public hearing process.
- The SPCB/UTPCC shall arrange to video film the entire proceedings. A copy of the videotape or a CD shall be enclosed with the public hearing proceedings while forwarding it to the Regulatory Authority concerned.
- The attendance of all those who are present at the venue shall be noted and annexed with the final proceedings
- There shall be *no quorum* required for attendance for starting the proceedings
- Persons present at the venue shall be granted the opportunity to seek information or clarifications on the project from the proponent. The summary of the public hearing proceedings accurately reflecting all the views and concerns expressed shall be recorded by the representative of the SPCB/UTPCC and read over to the audience at the end of the proceedings explaining the contents in the local/vernacular language and the agreed minutes shall be signed by the District Magistrate/District Collector/ Deputy Commissioner or his or her representative on the same day and forwarded to the SPCB/UTPCC concerned.
- A statement of the issues raised by the public and the comments of the proponent shall also be prepared in the local language or the official State language, as the case may be and in English and annexed to the proceedings.
- The proceedings of the public hearing shall be conspicuously displayed at the office of the Panchayats within whose jurisdiction the project is located, office of the concerned Zilla Parishad, District Magistrate/District Collector/Deputy Commissioner, and the SPCB or UTPCC. The SPCB/ UTPCC shall also display the proceedings on its website for general information. Comments, if any, on the proceedings, may be sent directly to the concerned regulatory authorities and the Applicant concerned.
- The public hearing shall be completed within a period of 45 (forty five) days from date of receipt of the request letter from the Applicant. Therefore the SPCB or UTPCC concerned shall send public hearing proceedings to the concerned regulatory authority within eight days of the completion of the public hearing. Simultaneously, a copy will also be provided to the project proponent. The proponent may also directly forward a copy of the approved public hearing proceedings to the regulatory authority concerned along with the final EIA report or supplementary report to the draft EIA report prepared after the public hearing and public consultations

incorporating the concerns expressed in the public hearing along with action plan and financial allocation, item-wise, to address those concerns.

- Upon receipt of the same, the Authority will place executive summary of the report on the website to invite responses from other concerned persons having a plausible stake in the environmental aspects of the project or activity.
- If SPCB/UTPCC is unable to conduct public hearing in the prescribed time, the Central Government in case of Category A projects at the request of the SEIAA may engage any other agency or Authority for conducting the public hearing process within a further period of 45 days. The Government shall pay the appropriate fee to the public agency for conducting public hearing.
- A public agency means a non-profit making institution/ body such as technical/academic institutions, government bodies not subordinate to the concerned Authority.
- If SPCB/Public Agency authorized for conducting public hearing informs the Authority, stating that it is not possible to conduct the public hearing in a manner, which will enable the views of the concerned local persons to be freely expressed, then Authority may consider such report to take a decision that in such particular case, public consultation may not have the component of public hearing.
- Often restricting the public hearing to the specific district may not serve the entire purpose, therefore, NGOs who are local and registered under the Societies Act in the adjacent districts may also be allowed to participate in public hearing, if they so desire.
- Confidential information including non-disclosable or legally privileged information involving intellectual property right, source specified in the application shall not be placed on the website.
- The Authority shall make available on a written request from any concerned person the draft EIA report for inspection at a notified place during normal office hours till the date of the public hearing.
- While mandatory requirements will have to be adhered to, utmost attention shall be given to the issues raised in the public hearing for determining the modifications needed in the project proposal and the EMP to address such issues.
- Final EIA report after making needed amendments, as aforesaid, shall be submitted by the applicant to the concerned Authority for prior environmental clearance. Alternatively, a supplementary report to draft EIA and EMP addressing all concerns expressed during the public consultation may be submitted.

4.10 Appraisal

Appraisal means the detailed scrutiny by the EAC of the application and the other documents like the final EIA report, outcome of the public consultation including public hearing proceedings submitted by the applicant for grant of prior environmental clearance.

- The appraisal shall be made by EAC to the Central Government.
- Project proponent either personally or through consultant can make a presentation to the EAC for the purpose of appraising the features of the project proposal and also to clarify the issues raised by the members of the EAC.

- On completion of these proceedings, EAC shall make categorical recommendations to the respective Authority, either for grant of prior environmental clearance on stipulated terms & conditions, if any, or rejection of the application with reasons.
- In case EAC needs to visit the site or obtain further information before being able to make categorical recommendations, EAC may inform the project proponent accordingly. In such an event, it should be ensured that the process of prior environmental clearance is not unduly delayed to go beyond the prescribed timeframe.
- Up on the scrutiny of the final report, if EAC opines that ToR finalized at the scoping stage are covered by the proponent, then the project proponent may be asked to provide such information. If such information is declined by the project proponent or is unlikely to be provided early enough so as to complete the environmental appraisal within prescribed time of 60 days, the EAC may recommend for rejection of the proposal with the same reason.
- Appraisal shall be strictly in terms of ToR finalized at the scoping stage and the concerns expressed during public consultation.
- This process of appraisal shall be completed within 60 days from the receipt of the updated EIA and EMP reports, after completing public consultation.
- The EIA report will be typically examined for following:
 - Project site description supported by topographic maps & photographs – detailed description of topography, land use and activities at the proposed project site and its surroundings (buffer zone) supported by photographic evidence.
 - Clarity in description of drainage pattern, location of eco-sensitive areas, vegetation characteristics, wildlife status - highlighting significant environmental attributes such as feeding, breeding and nesting grounds of wildlife species, migratory corridor, wetland, erosion and neighboring issues.
 - Description of the project site – how well the interfaces between the project related activities and the environment have been identified for the entire project cycle *i.e.* construction, operation and decommissioning at the end of the project life.
 - How complete and authentic are the baseline data pertaining to flora and fauna and socio-economic aspects?
 - Citing of proper references, with regard to the source(s) of baseline data as well as the name of the investigators/investigating agency responsible for collecting the primary data.
 - How consistent are the various values of environmental parameters with respect to each other?
 - Is a reasonable assessment of the environmental and social impact made for the identified environmental issues including project affected people?
 - To what extent the proposed environmental plan will mitigate the environmental impact and at what estimated cost, shown separately for construction, operation and closure stages and also separately in terms of capital and recurring expenses along with details of agencies that will be responsible for the implementation of environmental plan/ conservation plan.
 - How well the concerns expressed/highlighted during public hearing have been addressed and incorporated in the EMP giving item wise financial provisions and commitments (in quantified terms)?

- How far the proposed environmental monitoring plan will effectively evaluate the performance of EMP? Are details for environmental monitoring plan provided in the same manner as the EMP?
- Identification of hazard and quantification of risk assessment and whether appropriate mitigation plan has been included in the EMP?
- Does the proposal include a well formulated time bound green belt development plan for mitigating environmental problems such as fugitive emission of dust, gaseous pollutants, noise, odour, *etc.*
- Does EIA make a serious attempt to guide the project proponent for minimizing the requirement of natural resources including land, water energy and other non renewable resources?
- How well has the EIA statement been organized and presented so that the issues, their impact and environmental management strategies emerge clearly from it and how well organized was the power point presentation made before the expert committee?
- Is the information presented in the EIA adequately and appropriately supported by maps, imageries and photographs highlighting site features and environmental attributes?

4.11 Decision Making

The Chairperson reads the sense of the Committee and finalizes the draft minutes of the meeting, which are circulated by the Secretary to all expert members invited to the meeting. Based on the response from the members, the minutes are finalized and signed by the Chairperson. This process for finalization of the minutes should be so organized that the time prescribed for various stages is not exceeded.

Approval / Rejection / Reconsideration

- The Authority shall consider the recommendations of concerned appraisal Committee and convey its decision within 45 days of the receipt of recommendations.
- If the Authority disagrees with the recommendations of the Appraisal Committee, then reasons shall be communicated to concerned Appraisal Committee and applicant within 45 days from the receipt of the recommendations. The Appraisal Committee concerned shall consider the observations of the Authority and furnish its views on the observations within further period of 60 days. The Authority shall take a decision within the next 30 days based on the views of appraisal Committee.
- If the decision of the Authority is not conveyed within the time, then the proponent may proceed as if the prior environmental clearance sought has been granted or denied by the regulatory authority in terms of the final recommendation of the concerned appraisal Committee. For this purpose, the decision of the Appraisal Committee will be a public document, once the period specified above for taking the decision by the Authority is over.
- Deliberate concealment and/or submission of false or misleading information or data which is material to screening or scoping or appraisal or decision on the application shall make the application liable for rejection, and cancellation of prior environmental clearance granted on that basis. Rejection of an application or cancellation of a prior environmental clearance already granted, on such ground, shall be decided by the

regulatory authority, after giving a personal hearing to the applicant, and following the principles of natural justice.

If approved

- MoEF will issue a prior environmental clearance for the project.
- The project proponent should make sure that the award of prior environmental clearance is properly publicized in at least two local newspapers of the district or state where the proposed project is located. For instance, the executive summary of the prior environmental clearance may be published in the newspaper along with the information about the location (website/office where it is displayed for public) where the detailed prior environmental clearance is made available. The MoEF shall also place the prior environmental clearance in the public domain on Government Portal. Further copies of the prior environmental clearance shall be endorsed to the Heads of local bodies, Panchayats and Municipal bodies in addition to the relevant offices of the Government.
- The prior environmental clearance will be valid from the start date to actual commencement of the production of the developmental activity.
- Usual validity period will be 5 years from the date of issuing environmental clearance, unless specified by EAC.
- A prior environmental clearance issued to a project proponent can be transferred to another legal person entitled to undertake the project, upon application by the transferor to the concerned Authority or submission of no-objection of the transferor by the transferee to the concerned Authority for the concurrence. In this case, EAC concurrence is not required, but approval from the concerned authority is required to avail the same project configurations, validity period transferred to the new legally entitled person to undertake the project.

4.12 Post-clearance Monitoring Protocol

The MoEF, Government of India will monitor and take appropriate action under the EP Act, 1986.

- In respect of Category A projects, it shall be mandatory for the project proponent to make public the environmental clearance granted for their project along with the environmental conditions and safeguards at their cost by advertising it at least in two local newspapers of the district or State where the project is located and in addition, this shall also be displayed in the project proponents website permanently.
- The MoEF shall also place the environmental clearance in the public domain on Government Portal.
- Copies of environmental clearance shall be submitted by the project proponents to the Heads of the local bodies, Panchayats and Municipal bodies in addition to the relevant offices of the Government who in turn have to display the same for 30 days from the date of receipt.

The project proponent must submit half-yearly compliance reports in respect of the stipulated prior environmental clearance terms and conditions in hard and soft copies to the regulatory authority concerned, on 1st June and 1st December of each calendar year.

All such compliance reports submitted by the project management shall be public documents. Copies of the same shall be given to any person on application to the

Operational Aspects of EIA

concerned regulatory authority. Such latest compliance report shall also be displayed on the website of the concerned regulatory Authority

The SPCB shall incorporate EIA clearance conditions into consent conditions in respect of Category A and Category B projects and in parallel shall monitor and enforce the same.

5.

STAKEHOLDERS' ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Prior environmental clearance process involves many stakeholders *i.e.*, Central Government, State Government, EAC at the National Level, Public Agency, SPCB, the project proponent, and the public.

- Roles and responsibilities of the organizations involved in different stages of prior environmental clearance are listed in Table 5-1.
- Organization-specific functions are listed in Table 5-2.

In this Chapter, constitution, composition, functions, *etc.*, of the Authorities and the Committees are discussed in detail.

Table 5-1: Roles and Responsibilities of Stakeholders Involved in Prior Environmental Clearance

| Stage | MoEF | EAC | Project Proponent | EIA Consultant | SPCB/ Public Agency | Public and Interest Group |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Screening | Receives application and takes advice of EAC | Advises the MoEF | Submits application (Form 1) and provides necessary information | Advises and assists the proponent by providing technical information | | |
| Scoping | Approves the ToR, communicates the same to the project proponent and places the same in the website | Reviews the ToR, visits the proposed site, if required and recommends the ToR to the MoEF | Submits the draft ToR to MoEF and facilitates the visit of the EAC members to the project site | Prepares ToR | | |
| EIA Report & Public Hearing | Reviews and forwards copies of the EIA report to SPCB /public agency for conducting public hearing Places the summary of EIA report in the | | Submits detailed EIA report as per the finalized ToR Facilitates the public hearing by arranging presentation on the project, EIA and EMP – takes note of objections and updates the | Prepares the EIA report Presents and appraises the likely impacts and pollution control measures proposed in the public hearing | Reviews EIA report and conducts public hearing in the manner prescribed Submits proceedings and views of SPCB, to the Authority | Participates in public hearings and offers comments and observations Comments can be sent directly to SEIAA through Internet in |

Stakeholders' Roles and Responsibilities

| Stage | MoEF | EAC | Project Proponent | EIA Consultant | SPCB/ Public Agency | Public and Interest Group |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | website Conveys objections to the project proponent for update | | EMP accordingly | | and the project proponent as well | response to the summary placed in the website |
| Appraisal and Clearance | Receives updated EIA Takes advice of EAC, approves EIA and attaches the terms and conditions | Critically examines the reports, presentation of the proponent and appraises MoEF (recommendations are forwarded to MoEF) | Submits updated EIA , EMP reports to MoEF. Presents the overall EIA and EMP including public concerns to EAC | Provides technical advise to the project proponent and if necessary presents the proposed measures for mitigation of likely impacts (terms and conditions of clearance) | | |
| Post Clearance Monitoring | | | Implements environmental protection measures prescribed and submits periodic monitoring results | Conducts periodic monitoring | Incorporates the clearance conditions into appropriate consent conditions and ensures implementation | |

Table 5-2: Organization-specific Functions

| Organization | Functions |
|--------------------|--|
| Central Government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Constitutes the EAC ▪ Considering recommendations of the State Government, constitutes the SEIAA & State EAC (SEAC) ▪ Receives application from the project proponent in case of Category A projects or Category B projects attracting general condition ▪ Communicates the ToR finalized by the EAC to the project proponent. ▪ Receives EIA report from the project proponent and soft copy of summary of the report for placing in the website ▪ Summary of EIA report will be placed in website. Forwards the received responses to the project proponent ▪ Engages other public agency for conducting public hearings in cases where the SPCB does not respond within time ▪ Receives updated EIA report from project proponent incorporating the considerations from the proceedings of public hearing and responses received through other media |

Stakeholders' Roles and Responsibilities

| Organization | Functions |
|---------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Forwards updated EIA report to the EAC for appraisal ▪ Either accepts the recommendations of EAC or asks for reconsideration of specific issues for review by the EAC. ▪ Takes the final decision – acceptance/ rejection – of the project proposal and communicates the same to the project proponent |
| EAC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reviews Form 1 and its attachments ▪ Visits site(s), if necessary ▪ Finalizes ToR and recommends to the Central Government, which in turn communicates the finalized ToR to the project proponent, if not exempted by the Notification ▪ Reviews EIA report, proceedings and appraises their views to the Central government ▪ If the Central Government has any specific views, then the EAC reviews again for appraisal |
| SPCB | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Receives request from project proponent and conducts public hearing in the manner prescribed. ▪ Conveys proceedings to concerned authority and project proponent |
| Public Agency | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Receives request from the respective Governments to conduct public hearing ▪ Conducts public hearing in the manner prescribed. ▪ Conveys proceedings to the concerned Authority/EAC /Project proponent |

5.1 EAC

EAC is an independent Committee to review each developmental activity and offer its recommendations for consideration of the Central Government.

A. Constitution

- EAC shall be constituted by the Central Government comprising a maximum of 15 members including a Chairperson and Secretary.
- The Central Government will notify committee.
- The Chairperson and the non-official member shall have a fixed term of three years, from the date of Notification by the Central Government.
- The Chairperson shall be an eminent environmental expert with understanding on environmental aspects and environmental impacts.

B. Composition

- Composition of EAC as per the Notification is given in **Annexure XI**.
- Secretary to EAC may invite a maximum of two professionals/experts with the prior approval of the Chairperson, if desired, for taking the advisory inputs for appraisal. In such case, the invited experts will not take part in the decision making process.
- The Secretary of each EAC preferably be an officer of the level equivalent to or above the level of Director, MoEF, GoI.

C. Decision making

The EAC shall function on the principle of collective responsibility. The Chairperson shall endeavour to reach a consensus in each case, and if consensus cannot be reached, the view of the majority shall prevail.

D. Operational issues

- Secretary may deal with all correspondence, formulate agenda and prepare agenda notes. Chairperson and other members may act only for the meetings.
- Chairperson of EAC shall be one among the expert members having considerable professional experience with proven credentials.
- EAC shall meet at least once every month or more frequently, if so needed, to review project proposals and to offer recommendations for the consideration of the Authority.
- EAC members may inspect the site at various stages *i.e.*, during screening, scoping and appraisal, as per the need felt and decided by the Chairperson of the Committee.
- The MoEF through the Secretary of the Committee may pay/reimburse the participation expenses, honorarium *etc.*, to the Chairperson and members.

i. Tenure of EAC

The tenure of Authority/Committee(s) shall be for a fixed period of three years. At the end of the three years period, the Authority and the committees need to be re-constituted. However, staggered appointment dates may be adopted to maintain continuity of members at a given point of time.

ii. Qualifying criteria for nomination of a member to EAC

While recommending nominations and while notifying the members of the Authority and Expert Committees, it shall be ensured that all the members meet the following three criteria:

- Professional qualification
- Relevant experience/Experience interfacing with environmental management
- Absence of conflict of interest

These are elaborated subsequently.

a) Professional qualification

The person should have at least (i) 5 years of formal University training in the concerned discipline leading to a MA/MSc Degree, or (ii) in case of Engineering/Technology/Architecture disciplines, 4 years formal training in a professional training course together with prescribed practical training in the field leading to a B.Tech/B.E./B.Arch. Degree, or (iii) Other professional degree (*e.g.*, Law) involving a total of 5 years of formal University training and prescribed practical training, or (iv) Prescribed apprenticeship/articleship and pass examinations conducted by the concerned professional association (*e.g.*, MBA/IAS/IFS). In selecting the individual professionals, experience gained by them in their respective fields will be taken note of.

b) Relevant experience

- Experience shall be related to professional qualification acquired by the person and be related to one or more of the expertise mentioned for the expert members. Such experience should be a minimum of 15 years.

Stakeholders' Roles and Responsibilities

- When the experience mentioned in the foregoing sub-paragraph interfaces with environmental issues, problems and their management, the requirement for the length of the experience can be reduced to a minimum of 10 years.

c) Absence of conflict of interest

For the deliberations of the EAC to be independent and unbiased, all possibilities of potential conflict of interests have to be eliminated. Therefore, serving government officers; persons engaged in industry and their associations; persons associated with the formulation of development projects requiring prior environmental clearance, and persons associated with environmental activism shall not be considered for membership of EAC.

iii. Age

Below 70 years for the members and below 72 years for the Chairperson of the EAC. The applicability of the age is at the time of the Notification of the EAC by the Central Government.

Summary regarding the eligibility criteria for Chairperson and Members of the EAC is given in Table 5-3.

Table 5-3: EAC: Eligibility Criteria for Chairperson / Members / Secretary

| S. No. | Attribute | Requirement | | | |
|--------|--|----------------|---|---|---|
| | | Expert members | Secretary | Chairperson | |
| 1 | Professional qualification as per the Notification | Compulsory | Compulsory | Compulsory | |
| 2 | Experience (Fulfilling any one of a, b, c) | a | Professional Qualification + 15 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI | Professional Qualification + 15 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI | Professional Qualification + 15 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI |
| | | b | Professional Qualification +PhD+10 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI | Professional Qualification +PhD+10 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI | Professional Qualification +PhD+10 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in Appendix VI |
| | | c | Professional Qualification +10 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI + 5 years interface with environmental issues, problems and their management | Professional Qualification +10 years of experience in one of the expertise area mentioned in the Appendix VI + 5 years interface with environmental issues, problems and their management | ----- |

Stakeholders' Roles and Responsibilities

| S. No. | Attribute | Requirement | | |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Expert members | Secretary | Chairperson |
| 3 | Test of independence (conflict of interest) and minimum grade of the Secretary of the Committees | <p>Shall not be a serving government officer</p> <p>Shall not be a person engaged in industry and their associations</p> <p>Shall not be a person associated with environmental activism</p> | <p>In case of EAC, not less than a Director from the MoEF, Government of India</p> <p>In case of SEAC, not below the level of Director/Chief Engineer from the State Government (DoE)</p> | <p>Shall not be a serving government officer</p> <p>Shall not be a person engaged in industry and their associations</p> <p>Shall not be a person associated with environmental activism</p> |
| 4 | Age | Below 67 years at the time of Notification of the Committee | As per state Government Service Rules | Below 72 Years at the time of the Notification of the Committee |
| 5 | Membership in Central/State Expert Appraisal committee | Only one other than this nomination is permitted | Shall not be a member in other SEIAA/EAC/SEAC | Shall not be a member in any other SEIAA/EAC/SEAC |
| 6 | Tenure of earlier appointment (continuous) | Only one term before this in continuity is permitted | Not applicable | Only one term before this in continuity is permitted |
| 7 | Eminent environmental expertise with understanding on environmental aspects and impacts | Desirable | Not applicable | Compulsory |

Notes:

1. A member after continuous membership in two terms (six years) shall not be considered for further continuation. His/her nomination may be reconsidered after a gap of one term (three years), if other criteria meet.

2. Chairperson/Member once notified may not be removed prior to the tenure of 3 years with out cause and proper enquiry. A member after continuous membership in two terms (6 years) shall not be considered for further continuation. The same profile may be considered for nomination after a gap of three years, i.e., one term, if other criteria are meeting.

E. Other conditions that may be considered

- An expert member of one State/UT, can have at the most another State/UT Committee membership, but in no case more than two Committees at a given point of time.
- An expert member of a Committee shall not have membership continuously in the same committee for more than two terms, i.e., six years. They can be nominated after a gap of three years, i.e., one term. When a member of Committee has been associated with any development project, which comes for prior environmental clearance, he/she may not participate in the deliberations and the decisions in respect to that particular project.

Stakeholders' Roles and Responsibilities

- At least four members shall be present in each meeting to fulfill the quorum
- If a member does not consecutively attend six meetings, without prior intimation to the Committee his/her membership may be terminated by the Notifying Authority. Prior information for absence due to academic pursuits, career development and national/state-endorsed programmes may be considered as genuine grounds for retention of membership.

ANNEXURE I
A Compilation of Legal Instruments

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 amended 1987 | Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Boards | Air pollutants from chemical industries | The prevention, control and abatement of air pollution | Section 2: Definitions Section 21: Consent from State Boards Section 22: Not to allow emissions exceeding prescribed limits Section 24: Power of Entry and Inspection Section 25: Power to Obtain Information Section 26: Power to Take Samples Section 37-43: Penalties and Procedures |
| 2 | Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) (Union Territories) Rules, 1983 | Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Boards | Air pollutants from chemical industries | The prevention, control and abatement of air pollution | Rule 2: Definitions Rule 9: Consent Applications |
| 3 | Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 amended 1988 | Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Boards | Water Pollutants from water polluting industries | The prevention and control of water pollution and also maintaining or restoring the wholesomeness of water | Section 2: Definitions Section 20: Power to Obtain Information Section 21: Power to Take Samples Section 23: Power of Entry and Inspection Section 24: Prohibition on Disposal Section 25: Restriction on New Outlet and New Discharge Section 26: Provision regarding existing discharge of sewage or trade effluent Section 27: Refusal or withdrawal of consent by state boards Section 41-49: Penalties and Procedures |
| 4 | Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Rules, 1975 | Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Boards | Water Pollutants from water polluting industries | The prevention and control of water pollution and also maintaining or restoring the wholesomeness of water | Rule 2: Definitions Rule 30: Power to take samples Rule 32: Consent Applications |
| 5 | The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, | Ministry of Environment and | All types of environmental pollutants | Protection and Improvement of the Environment | Section 2: Definitions Section 7: Not to allow emission or discharge of |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | amended 1991 | Forests, Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Boards | | | environmental pollutants in excess of prescribed standards Section 8: Handling of Hazardous Substances Section 10: Power of Entry and Inspection Section 11: Power to take samples Section 15-19: Penalties and Procedures |
| 6 | Environmental (Protection) Rules, 1986 (Amendments in 1999, 2001, 2002, 2002, 2002, 2003, 2004) | Ministry of Environment and Forests, Central Pollution Control Board and State Pollution Control Boards | All types of Environmental Pollutants | Protection and Improvement of the Environment | Rule 2: Definitions Rule 3: Standards for emission or discharge of environmental pollutants Rule 5: Prohibition and restriction on the location of industries and the carrying on process and operations in different areas Rule 13: Prohibition and restriction on the handling of hazardous substances in different areas Rule 14: Submission of environmental statement |
| 7 | Hazardous Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 1989 amended 2000 and 2003 | MoEF, CPCB, SPCB, DGFT, Port Authority and Customs Authority | Hazardous Wastes generated from industries using hazardous chemicals | Management & Handling of hazardous wastes in line with the Basel convention | Rule 2: Application Rule 3: Definitions Rule 4: Responsibility of the occupier and operator of a facility for handling of wastes Rule 4A: Duties of the occupier and operator of a facility Rule 4B: Duties of the authority Rule 5: Grant of authorization for handling hazardous wastes Rule 6: Power to suspend or cancel authorization Rule 7: Packaging, labeling and transport of hazardous wastes Rule 8: Disposal sites Rule 9: Record and returns Rule 10: Accident reporting and follow up |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>Rule 11: Import and export of hazardous waste for dumping and disposal</p> <p>Rule 12: Import and export of hazardous waste for recycling and reuse</p> <p>Rule 13: Import of hazardous wastes</p> <p>Rule 14: Export of hazardous waste</p> <p>Rule 15: Illegal traffic</p> <p>Rule 16: Liability of the occupier, transporter and operator of a facility</p> <p>Rule 19: Procedure for registration and renewal of registration of recyclers and re-refiners</p> <p>Rule 20: Responsibility of waste generator</p> |
| 8 | Manufacture Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals Rules, 1989 amended 2000 | Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chief Controller of Imports and Exports, CPCB, SPCB, Chief Inspector of Factories, Chief Inspector of Dock Safety, Chief Inspector of Mines, AERB, Chief Controller of Explosives, District Collector or District Emergency Authority, CEES under DRDO | Hazardous Chemicals - Toxic, Explosive, Flammable, Reactive | Regulate the manufacture, storage and import of Hazardous Chemicals | <p>Rule 2: Definitions</p> <p>Rule 4: responsibility of the Occupier</p> <p>Rule 5: Notification of Major Accidents</p> <p>Rule 7-8: Approval and notification of site and updating</p> <p>Rule 10-11: Safety Reports and Safety Audit reports and updating</p> <p>Rule 13: Preparation of Onsite Emergency Plan</p> <p>Rule 14: Preparation of Offsite Emergency Plan</p> <p>Rule 15: Information to persons likely to get affected</p> <p>Rule 16: Proprietary Information</p> <p>Rule 17: Material Safety Data Sheets</p> <p>Rule 18: Import of Hazardous Chemicals</p> |
| 9 | Chemical Accidents (Emergency Planning, Preparedness and Response) Rules, 1996 | CCG, SCG, DCG, LCG and MAH Units | Hazardous Chemicals - Toxic, Explosive, Flammable, Reactive | Emergency Planning Preparedness and Response to chemical accidents | <p>Rule 2: Definitions</p> <p>Rule 5: Functions of CCG</p> <p>Rule 7: Functions of SCG</p> <p>Rule 9: Functions of DCG</p> |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | Rule 10: Functions of LCG |
| 10 | EIA Notification, 2006 | MoEF, SPCB | For all the identified developmental activities in the notification | Requirement of environmental clearance before establishment of or modernization / expansion of identified developmental projects. | Requirements and procedure for seeking environmental clearance of projects |
| 11 | Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 amended 1992 | Ministry of Environment & Forests, District Collector | Hazardous Substances | To provide immediate relief to persons affected by accident involving hazardous substances | Section 2: Definitions Section 3: Liability to give relief in certain cases on principle of no fault Section 4: Duty of owner to take out insurance policy Section 7A: Establishment of Environmental Relief Fund Section 14-18: Penalties and Offences |
| 12 | Public Liability Insurance Rules, 1991 amended 1993 | Ministry of Environment & Forests, District Collector | Hazardous Substances | To provide immediate relief to persons affected by accident involving hazardous substances and also for Establishing an Environmental Relief fund | Rule 2: Definitions Rule 6: Establishment of administration of fund Rule 10: Extent of liability Rule 11: Contribution of the owner to environmental relief fund |
| 13 | Factories Act, 1948 | Ministry of Labour, DGFASLI and Directorate of Industrial Safety and Health/Factories Inspectorate | Chemicals as specified in the Table | Control of workplace environment, and providing for good health and safety of workers | Section 2: Interpretation Section 6: Approval, licensing and registration of factories Section 7A: General duties of the occupier Section 7B: General duties of manufacturers etc., as regards articles and substances for use in factories Section 12: Disposal of wastes and effluents Section 14: Dust and fume Section 36: Precautions against dangerous fumes, gases, etc. Section 37: Explosion or inflammable dust, gas, etc. Chapter IVA: Provisions relating to Hazardous |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | processes Section 87: Dangerous operations Section 87A: Power to prohibit employment on account of serious hazard Section 88: Notice of certain accident Section 88A: Notice of certain dangerous occurrences Chapter X: Penalties and procedures |
| 14 | The Calcium Carbide Rules, 1987 | Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Chief Controller of Explosives, Customs Collector, Port Conservator, DGCA, District Authority | Calcium Carbide | To regulate the import, production, storage, transportation, sale, use and handling and disposal of Calcium carbide with a view to prevent accidents | Rule 2: Definitions Chapter II: General provisions Chapter III: Importation of Carbide Chapter IV: Transportation of carbide Chapter V: Storage of carbide Chapter VI: Licensing Chapter VII: Notice of accident |
| 15 | The Explosives Act, 1884 | Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Department of Explosives) | Explosive substances as defined under the Act | To regulate the manufacture, possession, use, sale, transport, export and import of explosives with a view to prevent accidents | Section 4: Definition Section 6: Power for Central government to prohibit the manufacture, possession or importation of especially dangerous explosives Section 6B: Grant of Licenses |
| 16 | The Explosive Rules, 1983 | Ministry of Commerce and Industry and Chief Controller of Explosives, port conservator, customs collector, railway administration | Explosive substances as defined under the Act | To regulate the manufacture, possession, use, sale, transport, export and import of explosives with a view to prevent accidents | Rule 2: Definition Chapter II: General Provisions Chapter III: Import and Export Chapter IV: Transport Chapter V: Manufacture of explosives Chapter VI: Possession sale and use Chapter VII: Licenses |
| 17 | The Gas Cylinder Rules, 2004 | Ministry of Commerce and Industry and Chief Controller of | Gases (Toxic, non toxic and non flammable, non toxic and flammable, Dissolved Acetylene Gas, Non toxic and | Regulate the import, storage, handling and transportation of gas cylinders with a view to prevent accidents | Rule 2: Definition Chapter II: General Provisions Chapter III: Importation of Cylinder Chapter IV: Transport of Cylinder |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | Explosives, port conservator, customs collector, DGCA, DC, DM, Police (sub inspector to commissioner) | flammable liquefiable gas other than LPG, LPG | | Chapter VII: Filling and Possession |
| 18 | The Static and Mobile Pressure Vessels (Unfired) Rules, 1981 | Ministry of Commerce and Industry and Chief Controller of Explosives, port conservator, customs collector, DGCA, DC, DM, Police (sub inspector to commissioner) | Gases (Toxic, non toxic and non flammable, non toxic and flammable, Dissolved Acetylene Gas, Non toxic and flammable liquefiable gas other than LPG, LPG | Regulate the import, manufacture, design, installation, transportation, handling, use and testing of mobile and static pressure vessels (unfired) with a view to prevent accidents | Rule 2: Definition Chapter III: Storage Chapter IV: Transport Chapter V: Licenses |
| 19 | The Motor Vehicle Act, 1988 | Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways | Hazardous and Dangerous Goods | To consolidate and amend the law relating to motor vehicles | Section 2: Definition Chapter II: Licensing of drivers of motor vehicle Chapter VII: Construction equipment and maintenance of motor vehicles |
| 20 | The Central Motor Vehicle Rules, 1989 | Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways | Hazardous and Dangerous Goods | To consolidate and amend the law relating to motor vehicles including to regulate the transportation of dangerous goods with a view to prevent loss of life or damage to the environment | Rule 2: Definition Rule 9: Educational qualification for driver's of goods carriages carrying dangerous or hazardous goods Rule 129: Transportation of goods of dangerous or hazardous nature to human life Rule 129A: Spark arrestors Rule 130: Manner of display of class labels Rule 131: Responsibility of the consignor for safe transport of dangerous or hazardous goods Rule 132: Responsibility of the transporter or owner of goods carriage Rule 133: Responsibility of the driver Rule 134: Emergency Information Panel |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Rule 135: Driver to be instructed Rule 136: Driver to report to the police station about accident Rule 137: Class labels |
| 21 | The Insecticide Act, 1968 | Ministry of Agriculture and Central Insecticides Board and Registration Committee | Insecticides including fungicides and weedicides | regulate the import, manufacture, sale, transport, distribution and use of insecticides with a view to prevent risk to human beings or animals | Section 3: Definitions Section 9: Registration of Insecticides Section 13: Grant of License Section 17: Prohibition of import and manufacture of certain insecticides Section 18: Prohibition of sale, etc. of certain insecticides Section 25: Confiscation Section 26: Notification of poisoning Section 27: Prohibition of sale, etc. of insecticide for reasons of public safety Section 28: Notification of cancellation of registration, etc. Section 29: Offences and Punishment |
| 22 | The Insecticide Rules, 1971 | Ministry of Agriculture and Central Insecticides Board and Registration Committee | Insecticides including fungicides and weedicides | regulate the import, manufacture, sale, transport, distribution and use of insecticides with a view to prevent risk to human beings or animals | Rule 2: Definition Rule 6: Manner of registration Rule 9: License to manufacture insecticides Rule 10: License for sale, etc., of insecticides Rule 10A: Segregation and disposal of date-expired pesticides Rule 10B: Special provision with regard to sulphur Rule 10C: Prohibition against sale or storage of insecticides in certain places Rule 15: Issuing cash memo and maintenance of records Rule 16: Prohibition of sale or distribution unless packed and labeled Rule 17: Packaging of insecticides Rule 18: Leaflet to be contained in a package Rule 19: Manner of labeling |

| Sl. No. | Legal Instrument (Type, Reference, Year) | Responsible Ministries or Bodies | Chemical Use Categories/ Pollutants | Objective of Legislation | Relevant Articles/Provisions |
|---------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>Rule 20: Prohibition against altering inscriptions, etc. on containers, labels or wrappers of insecticides</p> <p>Rule 35: Manner of packing, storage while in transit by rail</p> <p>Rule 36: Conditions to be specified for storage of insecticides</p> <p>Rule 37: Medical Examination</p> <p>Rule 38: First aid measures</p> <p>Rule 39: Protective clothing</p> <p>Rule 40: Respiratory devices</p> <p>Rule 41: Manufacturers, etc. to keep sufficient quantities of antidotes and first-aid medicines</p> <p>Rule 42: Training of workers</p> <p>Rule 43: Aerial spraying operations</p> <p>Rule 44: Disposal of used packages, surplus materials and washings of insecticides</p> <p>Rule 45: Places at which the insecticides may be imported</p> |
| 23 | The Custom Act, 1962 | CBEC, Ministry of Finance | Hazardous Goods | To prevent entry of illegal hazardous goods or banned goods including hazardous or banned chemicals | <p>Section 2: definitions</p> <p>Section 11: Power to Prohibit Importation or Exportation of Goods</p> |
| 24 | The Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 amended in 2002 and 2003 | Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways | All packaged cargo including Dangerous and hazardous goods as defined in the rules | For safe handling and transportation of cargo including dangerous goods to prevent accident | <p>Section 3: Definitions</p> <p>Section 331: Carriage of Dangerous Goods</p> |
| 25 | Merchant Shipping (carriage of Cargo) Rules 1995 | Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways | All packaged cargo including Dangerous and hazardous goods as defined in the rules | For safe handling and transportation of cargo including dangerous goods to prevent accident | |

ANNEXURE II
General Standards for Discharge of Environmental Pollutants as per
CPCB

Table: Water Quality Standards

| S. No. | Parameter | Standards | | | |
|--------|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | | Inland Surface Water | Public Sewer | Land for Irrigation | Marine Coastal Areas |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | | | |
| | | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| 1. | Colour and odour | See Note-1 | — | See Note-1 | See Note-1 |
| 2. | Suspended Solids, mg/l, Max | 100 | 600 | 200 | (a) For process waste water-100 (b) For cooling water effluent-10 per cent above total suspended matter of influent cooling water. |
| 3. | Particle size of suspended solids | Shall pass 850 micron IS Sieve | — | — | (a) Floatable solids, Max 3 mm (b) Settleable solids Max 850 microns. |
| 4. | Dissolved solids (inorganic), mg/a, mac | 2100 | 2100 | 2100 | — |
| 5. | pH value | 5.5 to 9.0 | 5.5 to 9.0 | 5.5 to 9.0 | 5.5 to 9.0 |
| 6. | Temperature °C, Max | Shall not exceed 40 in any section of the stream within 15 meters down stream from the effluent outlet | 45 at the point of discharge | — | 45 at the point of discharge |
| 7. | Oil and grease, mg/l, max | 10 | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| 8. | Total residual chlorine, mg/l, Max. | 1.0 | — | — | 1.0 |
| 9. | Ammonical nitrogen (as N), mg/l, Max. | 50 | 50 | — | 50 |
| 10. | Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N), mg/l, Max. | 100 | — | — | 100 |
| 11. | Free Ammonia (as NH ₃), mg/l, Max. | 5.0 | — | — | 5.0 |
| 12. | Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5 days at 20°C) Max. | 30 | 350 | 100 | 100 |
| 13. | Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/l, Max. | 250 | — | — | 250 |
| 14. | Arsenic (as As), mg/l, Max. | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 15. | Mercury (as Hg), mg/l, Max. | 0.01 | 0.01 | — | 0.01 |
| 16. | Lead (as Pb), mg/l, Max. | 0.1 | 1.0 | — | 1.0 |
| 17. | Cadmium (as Cd), mg/l, Max. | 2.0 | 1.0 | — | 2.0 |

| S. No. | Parameter | Standards | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | | Inland Surface Water | Public Sewer | Land for Irrigation | Marine Coastal Areas |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | | | |
| | | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| 18. | Hexavalent chromium (as Cr+6) mg/l, Max. | 0.1 | 2.0 | — | 1.0 |
| 19. | Total chromium as (Cr), mg/l, Max. | 2.0 | 2.0 | — | 2.0 |
| 20. | Copper (as Cu), mg/l, Max. | 3.0 | 3.0 | — | 3.0 |
| 21. | Zinc (as Zn), mg/l, Max. | 5.0 | 15 | — | 15 |
| 22. | Selenium (as Se), mg/l, Max. | 0.05 | 0.05 | — | 0.05 |
| 23. | Nickel (as Ni), mg/l, Max. | 3.0 | 3.0 | — | 5.0 |
| 24. | Boron (as B), mg/l, Max. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | — |
| 25. | Percent Sodium, Max. | — | 60 | 60 | — |
| 26. | Residual sodium carbonate, mg/l, Max. | — | — | 5.0 | — |
| 27. | Cyanide (as CN), mg/l, Max. | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 28. | Chloride (as Cl), mg/l, Max. | 1000 | 1000 | 600 | (a) |
| 29. | Fluoride (as F), mg/l, Max. | 2.0 | 15 | — | 15 |
| 30. | Dissolved Phosphates (as P), mg/l, Max. | 5.0 | — | — | — |
| 31. | Sulphate (as SO ₄), mg/l, Max. | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | — |
| 32. | Sulphide (as S), mg/l, Max. | 2.0 | — | — | 5.0 |
| 33. | Pesticides | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| 34. | Phenolic compounds (as C ₆ H ₅ OH), mg/l, Max. | 1.0 | 5.0 | — | 5.0 |
| 35. | Radioactive materials | | | | |
| | (a) Alpha emitters MC/ml, Max. | 10 ⁻⁷ | 10 ⁻⁷ | 10 ⁻⁸ | 10 ⁻⁷ |
| | (b) Beta emitters uc/ml, Max. | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁷ | 10 ⁻⁶ |
| Note :- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All efforts should be made to remove colour and unpleasant odour as far as practicable. The standards mentioned in this notification shall apply to all the effluents discharged such as industrial mining and mineral processing activities municipal sewage etc. | | | | | |

Table: Noise Standards

Ambient air quality standards in respect of noise

| Area Code | Category of Area | Limits in dB (A) Leq | |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------|------------|
| | | Day Time | Night Time |
| (A) | Industrial area | 75 | 70 |
| (B) | Commercial area | 65 | 55 |
| (C) | Residential area | 55 | 45 |
| (D) | Silence zone | 50 | 40 |

Note :

1. Day time is reckoned in between 6.00 AM and 9.00 PM
2. Night time is reckoned in between 9.00 PM and 6.00 AM
3. Silence zone is defined as areas upto 100 meters around such premises as hospitals, educational institutions and courts. The Silence zones are to be declared by the Competent Authority.
4. Use of vehicular horns, loudspeakers and bursting of crackers shall be banned in these zones.
5. Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above mentioned categories by the Competent Authority and the corresponding standards shall apply.

Standards/Guidelines for Control of Noise Pollution from Stationary Diesel Generator (DG) Sets

(A) Noise Standards for DG Sets (15-500 KVA)

The total sound power level, L_w , of a DG set should be less than, $94+10 \log_{10} (\text{KVA})$, dB (A), at the manufacturing stage, where, KVA is the nominal power rating of a DG set.

This level should fall by 5 dB (A) every five years, till 2007, i.e. in 2002 and then in 2007.

(B) Mandatory acoustic enclosure/acoustic treatment of room for stationary DG sets (5 KVA and above)

Noise from the DG set should be controlled by providing an acoustic enclosure or by treating the room acoustically.

The acoustic enclosure/acoustic treatment of the room should be designed for minimum 25 dB(A) Insertion Loss or for meeting the ambient noise standards, whichever is on the higher side (if the actual ambient noise is on the higher side, it may not be possible to check the performance of the acoustic enclosure/acoustic treatment. Under such circumstances the performance may be checked for noise reduction upto actual ambient noise level, preferably, in the night time). The measurement for Insertion Loss may be done at different points at 0.5m from the acoustic enclosure/room, and then averaged.

The DG set should also be provide with proper exhaust muffler with Insertion Loss of minimum 25 dB(A).

(C) Guidelines for the manufacturers/users of DG sets (5 KVA and above)

1. The manufacturer should offer to the user a standard acoustic enclosure of 25 dB(A) Insertion Loss and also a suitable exhaust muffler with Insertion Loss of 25 dB(A).

2. The user should make efforts to bring down the noise levels due to the DG set, outside his premises, within the ambient noise requirements by proper siting and control measures.
3. The manufacturer should furnish noise power levels of the unlicensed DG sets as per standards prescribed under (A)
4. The total sound power level of a DG set, at the user's end, shall be within 2 dB(A) of the total sound power level of the DG set, at the manufacturing stage, as prescribed under (A).
5. Installation of a DG set must be strictly in compliance with the recommendation of the DG set manufacturer.
6. A proper routine and preventive maintenance procedure for the DG set should be set and followed in consultation with the DG set manufacturer which would help prevent noise levels of the DG set from deteriorating with use.

Order of the Lt. Governor of Delhi in respect of D.G. Sets (5th December, 2001)

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, (29 of 1986), read with the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs notification S.O. 667 (E) bearing No. F.No. U-11030/J/91-VTL dated 10th September, 1992, the Lt. Governor of Government of National Capital of Delhi hereby directs to all owners/users of generators sets in the National Capital Territory of Delhi as follows :-

1. that generator sets above the capacity of 5 KVA shall not be operated in residential areas between the hours of 10.00 PM to 6.00 AM;
2. that the generator sets above the capacity of 5 KVA in all areas residential/commercial/industrial shall operate only with the mandatory acoustic enclosures and other standards prescribed in the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986;
3. that mobile generator sets used in social gatherings and public functions shall be permitted only if they have installed mandatory acoustic enclosures and adhere to the prescribed standards for noise and emission as laid down in the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986.

The contravention of the above directions shall make the offender liable for prosecution under section 15 of the said Act which stipulates punishment of imprisonment for a term which may extend to five years with fine which may extend to one lakh rupees, or with both, and in case the failure of contravention continues, with additional fine which may extend to five thousand rupees for every day during which such failure or contravention continues after the conviction for the first such failure or contravention and if still the failure or contravention continues beyond a period of one year after the date of contravention, the offender continues beyond a period of one year after the date of contravention, the offender shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to seven years.

Order Dated: 21st June, 2002

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (29 of 1986) read with the Govt. of India, Ministry of Home Affairs notification S.O. 667(E) bearing No. U-11030/J/91-VTL dated the 10th September, 1992, the Lt. Governor Govt. of the National Capital Territory of Delhi hereby makes the following amendment/modification in his order dated the 5th December, 2001 regarding the operation of generator sets, namely:-

Amendments/modifications

In the above said order, for clause(1), the following shall be substituted, namely:-

“(1) that the generator sets above 5KVA shall not be operated in residential areas between the hours from 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m. except generator sets of Group Housing Societies and Multi-storey residential apartments”.

DIESEL GENERATOR SETS: STACK HEIGHT

The minimum height of stack to be provided with each generator set can be worked out using the following formula:

$$H = h + 0.2 \times \sqrt{KVA}$$

H = Total height of stack in metre

h = Height of the building in metres where the generator set is installed

KVA = Total generator capacity of the set in KVA

Based on the above formula the minimum stack height to be provided with different range of generator sets may be categorized as follows:

| For Generator Sets | Total Height of stack in metre |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 50 KVA | Ht. of the building + 1.5 metre |
| 50-100 KVA | Ht. of the building + 2.0 metre |
| 100- 150 KVA | Ht. of the building + 2.5 metre |
| 150-200 KVA | Ht. of the building + 3.0 metre |
| 200-250 KVA | Ht. of the building + 3.5 metre |
| 250-300 KVA | Ht. of the building + 3.5 metre |

Similarly for higher KVA ratings a stack height can be worked out using the above formula

Source: Evolved By CPCB

[Emission Regulations Part IV: COINDS/26/1986-87]

ANNEXURE III
Form 1 (Application Form for Obtaining EIA Clearance)

FORM 1

(I) BASIC INFORMATION

| S. No. | Item | Details |
|--------|---|--|
| 1. | Name of the project/s | |
| 2. | S.No. in the schedule | |
| 3. | Proposed capacity/area/length/tonnage to be handled/command area/lease area/number of wells to be drilled | |
| 4. | New/Expansion/Modernization | |
| 5. | Existing Capacity/Area etc. | |
| 6. | Category of Project i.e., 'A' or 'B' | |
| 7. | Does it attract the general condition? If yes, please specify. | |
| 8. | Does it attract the specific condition? If yes, Please specify. | |
| 9. | Location | |
| | Plot/Survey/Khasra No. | |
| | Village | |
| | Tehsil | |
| | District | |
| | State | |
| 10. | Name of the applicant | |
| 11. | Registered Address | |
| 12. | Address for correspondence: | |
| | Name | |
| | Designation (Owner/Partner/CEO) | |
| | Address | |
| | Pin Code | |
| | E-mail | |
| | Telephone No. | |
| | Fax No. | |
| 13. | Details of alternative Sites examined, if any location of these sites should be shown on a toposheet. | Village-District-State 1. 2. 3. |

| S. No. | Item | Details |
|--------|--|---------|
| 14. | Interlined Projects | |
| 15. | Whether separate application of interlined project has been submitted | |
| 16. | If yes, date of submission | |
| 17. | If no, reason | |
| 18. | Whether the proposal involves approval/clearance under: The Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 The Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 The C.R.Z. Notification, 1991 | |
| 19. | Forest land involved (hectares) | |
| 20. | Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is propose to be set up Name of the Court Case No. Orders/directions of the Court, if any and its relevance with the proposed project. | |

(II) ACTIVITY

1. Construction, operation or decommissioning of the Project involving actions, which will cause physical changes in the locality (topography, land use, changes in water bodies, etc.)

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities /rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------|---|--------|---|
| 1.1 | Permanent or temporary change in land use, land cover or topography including increase in intensity of land use (with respect to local land use plan) | | |
| 1.2 | Clearance of existing land, vegetation and buildings? | | |
| 1.3 | Creation of new land uses? | | |
| 1.4 | Pre-construction investigations e.g. bore houses, soil testing? | | |
| 1.5 | Construction works? | | |

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities /rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------|---|--------|---|
| 1.6 | Demolition works? | | |
| 1.7 | Temporary sites used for construction works or housing of construction workers? | | |
| 1.8 | Above ground buildings, structures or earthworks including linear structures, cut and fill or excavations | | |
| 1.9 | Underground works including mining or tunneling? | | |
| 1.10 | Reclamation works? | | |
| 1.11 | Dredging? | | |
| 1.12 | Offshore structures? | | |
| 1.13 | Production and manufacturing processes? | | |
| 1.14 | Facilities for storage of goods or materials? | | |
| 1.15 | Facilities for treatment or disposal of solid waste or liquid effluents? | | |
| 1.16 | Facilities for long term housing of operational workers? | | |
| 1.17 | New road, rail or sea traffic during construction or operation? | | |
| 1.18 | New road, rail, air waterborne or other transport infrastructure including new or altered routes and stations, ports, airports etc? | | |
| 1.19 | Closure or diversion of existing transport routes or infrastructure leading to changes in traffic movements? | | |
| 1.20 | New or diverted transmission lines or pipelines? | | |
| 1.21 | Impoundment, damming, culverting, realignment or other changes to the hydrology of watercourses or aquifers? | | |
| 1.22 | Stream crossings? | | |
| 1.23 | Abstraction or transfers of water form ground or surface waters? | | |
| 1.24 | Changes in water bodies or the land surface affecting drainage or run-off? | | |
| 1.25 | Transport of personnel or materials for construction, operation or decommissioning? | | |

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities /rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------|--|--------|---|
| 1.26 | Long-term dismantling or decommissioning or restoration works? | | |
| 1.27 | Ongoing activity during decommissioning which could have an impact on the environment? | | |
| 1.28 | Influx of people to an area in either temporarily or permanently? | | |
| 1.29 | Introduction of alien species? | | |
| 1.30 | Loss of native species or genetic diversity? | | |
| 1.31 | Any other actions? | | |

2. Use of Natural resources for construction or operation of the Project (such as land, water, materials or energy, especially any resources which are non-renewable or in short supply):

| S.No. | Information/checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities /rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------|---|--------|---|
| 2.1 | Land especially undeveloped or agricultural land (ha) | | |
| 2.2 | Water (expected source & competing users) unit: KLD | | |
| 2.3 | Minerals (MT) | | |
| 2.4 | Construction material – stone, aggregates, sand / soil (expected source – MT) | | |
| 2.5 | Forests and timber (source – MT) | | |
| 2.6 | Energy including electricity and fuels (source, competing users) Unit: fuel (MT), energy (MW) | | |
| 2.7 | Any other natural resources (use appropriate standard units) | | |

3. Use, storage, transport, handling or production of substances or materials, which could be harmful to human health or the environment or raise concerns about actual or perceived risks to human health.

| S.No | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------------|--|---------------|---|
| 3.1 | Use of substances or materials, which are hazardous (as per MSIHC rules) to human health or the environment (flora, fauna, and water supplies) | | |
| 3.2 | Changes in occurrence of disease or affect disease vectors (e.g. insect or water borne diseases) | | |
| 3.3 | Affect the welfare of people e.g. by changing living conditions? | | |
| 3.4 | Vulnerable groups of people who could be affected by the project e.g. hospital patients, children, the elderly etc., | | |
| 3.5 | Any other causes | | |

4. Production of solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning (MT/month)

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|--------------|--|---------------|---|
| 4.1 | Spoil, overburden or mine wastes | | |
| 4.2 | Municipal waste (domestic and or commercial wastes) | | |
| 4.3 | Hazardous wastes (as per Hazardous Waste Management Rules) | | |
| 4.4 | Other industrial process wastes | | |
| 4.5 | Surplus product | | |
| 4.6 | Sewage sludge or other sludge from effluent treatment | | |
| 4.7 | Construction or demolition wastes | | |
| 4.8 | Redundant machinery or equipment | | |

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|--------------|---|---------------|---|
| 4.9 | Contaminated soils or other materials | | |
| 4.10 | Agricultural wastes | | |
| 4.11 | Other solid wastes | | |

5. Release of pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air (kg/hr)

| S.No | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------------|--|---------------|---|
| 5.1 | Emissions from combustion of fossil fuels from stationary or mobile sources | | |
| 5.2 | Emissions from production processes | | |
| 5.3 | Emissions from materials handling including storage or transport | | |
| 5.4 | Emissions from construction activities including plant and equipment | | |
| 5.5 | Dust or odours from handling of materials including construction materials, sewage and waste | | |
| 5.6 | Emissions from incineration of waste | | |
| 5.7 | Emissions from burning of waste in open air (e.g. slash materials, construction debris) | | |
| 5.8 | Emissions from any other sources | | |

6. Generation of Noise and Vibration, and Emissions of Light and Heat:

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data with source of information data |
|--------------|---|---------------|---|
| 6.1 | From operation of equipment e.g. engines, ventilation plant, crushers | | |
| 6.2 | From industrial or similar processes | | |
| 6.3 | From construction or demolition | | |
| 6.4 | From blasting or piling | | |
| 6.5 | From construction or operational traffic | | |
| 6.6 | From lighting or cooling systems | | |
| 6.7 | From any other sources | | |

7. Risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants into the ground or into sewers, surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea:

| S.No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|--------------|---|---------------|---|
| 7.1 | From handling, storage, use or spillage of hazardous materials | | |
| 7.2 | From discharge of sewage or other effluents to water or the land (expected mode and place of discharge) | | |
| 7.3 | By deposition of pollutants emitted to air into the land or into water | | |
| 7.4 | From any other sources | | |
| 7.5 | Is there a risk of long term build up of pollutants in the environment from these sources? | | |

8. Risk of accidents during construction or operation of the Project, which could affect human health or the environment

| S.No | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|-------------|---|---------------|---|
| 8.1 | From explosions, spillages, fires etc from storage, handling, use or production of hazardous substances | | |
| 8.2 | From any other causes | | |
| 8.3 | Could the project be affected by natural disasters causing environmental damage (e.g. floods, earthquakes, landslides, cloudburst etc)? | | |

9. Factors which should be considered (such as consequential development) which could lead to environmental effects or the potential for cumulative impacts with other existing or planned activities in the locality

| S. No. | Information/Checklist confirmation | Yes/No | Details thereof (with approximate quantities/rates, wherever possible) with source of information data |
|---------------|---|---------------|---|
| 9.1 | Lead to development of supporting facilities, ancillary development or development stimulated by the project which could have impact on the environment e.g.: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Supporting infrastructure (roads, power supply, waste or waste water treatment, etc.) ▪ housing development ▪ extractive industries ▪ supply industries ▪ other | | |
| 9.2 | Lead to after-use of the site, which could have an impact on the environment | | |
| 9.3 | Set a precedent for later developments | | |
| 9.4 | Have cumulative effects due to proximity to other existing or planned projects with similar effects | | |

(III) ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY

| S.No. | Areas | Name/ Identity | Aerial distance (within 15 km.) Proposed project location boundary |
|-------|---|-------------------|---|
| 1 | Areas protected under international conventions, national or local legislation for their ecological, landscape, cultural or other related value | | |
| 2 | Areas which are important or sensitive for ecological reasons - Wetlands, watercourses or other water bodies, coastal zone, biospheres, mountains, forests | | |
| 3 | Areas used by protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over wintering, migration | | |
| 4 | Inland, coastal, marine or underground waters | | |
| 5 | State, National boundaries | | |
| 6 | Routes or facilities used by the public for access to recreation or other tourist, pilgrim areas | | |
| 7 | Defence installations | | |
| 8 | Densely populated or built-up area | | |
| 9 | Areas occupied by sensitive man-made land uses (<i>hospitals, schools, places of worship, community facilities</i>) | | |
| 10 | Areas containing important, high quality or scarce resources (<i>ground water resources, surface resources, forestry, agriculture, fisheries, tourism, minerals</i>) | | |
| 11 | Areas already subjected to pollution or environmental damage. (<i>those where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded</i>) | | |
| 12 | Areas susceptible to natural hazard which could cause the project to present environmental problems (<i>earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme or adverse climatic conditions</i>) | | |

(IV) PROPOSED TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR EIA STUDIES

“I hereby given undertaking that the data and information given in the application and enclosure are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I am aware that if any part of the data and information submitted is found to be false or misleading at any stage, the project will be rejected and clearance give, if any to the project will be revoked at our risk and cost.

Date: _____

Place: _____

Signature of the applicant
With Name and Full Address
(Project Proponent / Authorized Signatory)

NOTE:

1. The projects involving clearance under Coastal Regulation Zone Notification, 1991 shall submit with the application a C.R.Z. map duly demarcated by one of the authorized, agencies, showing the project activities, w.r.t. C.R.Z. and the recommendations of the State Coastal Zone Management Authority. Simultaneous action shall also be taken to obtain the requisite clearance under the provisions of the C.R.Z. Notification, 1991 for the activities to be located in the CRZ.
2. The projects to be located within 10km of the National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Migratory Corridors of Wild Animals, the project proponent shall submit the map duly authenticated by Chief Wildlife Warden showing these features vis-à-vis the project location and the recommendations or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.”

ANNEXURE IV
Critically Polluted Industrial Areas and Clusters/Potential Impact
Zones

**Table 1: Details of Critically Polluted Industrial Areas and Clusters / Potential Impact Zone
(Ref: Office Memorandum No. J-11013/5/2010-IA.II(I) Dated 13.1.2010)**

| S. No. | Critically Polluted Industrial Area and CEPI | Industrial Clusters/ Potential Impact Zones |
|--------|--|---|
| 1. | Ankeshwar (Gujarat) CEPI-88.50(Ac_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ GIDC Ankeshwar and GIDC, Panoli |
| 2 | Vapi (Gujarat) CEPI-88.09(Ac_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ GIDC Vapi |
| 3 | Ghaziabad (Uttar Pradesh) CEPI-87.37(Ac_Wc_Lc) | <p>Sub-cluster A</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mohan nagar industrial area ▪ Rajinder nagar industrial area ▪ Sahibabad industrial area <p>Sub-cluster B</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Pandav nagar industrial area ▪ Kavi nagar industrial area ▪ Bulandshahar road industrial area ▪ Amrit nagar ▪ Aryanagar industrial area <p>Sub-cluster C</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Merrut road industrial are <p>Sub-cluster D</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Loni industrial area ▪ Loni Road industrial area ▪ Roop nagar industrial area <p>Sub-cluster E</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Hapur Road industrial area ▪ Dasna ▪ Philkura <p>Sub-cluster F (Other scattered industrial areas)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ South side of GT road ▪ Kavi Nagar ▪ Tronica city ▪ Anand Nagar ▪ Jindal Nagar ▪ Prakash Nagar ▪ Rural industrial estate |
| 4 | Chandrapur (Maharashtra) CEPI-83.88 (Ac_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Chandrapur (MIDC Chandrapur, Tadali, Ghuggus, Ballapur) |
| 5 | Kobra (Chhatisgarh) CEPI-83.00 (Ac_Ws_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Industrial areas and their townships of NTPC, BALCO, CSEB (East) & CSEB (West) ▪ Korba town |
| 6 | Bhiwadi (Rajasthan) CEPI-82.91 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ RIICO industrial areas Phase I to IV ▪ Bhiwadi town ▪ Other surrounding industrial areas: Chopanki, Rampura Mundana, Khuskhera Phase I to III |
| 7 | Angul Talcer(Orissa) CEPI-82.09 (Ac_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ MCL Coal mining area, Augul – Talcer region ▪ Industrial area (60 km x 45 km) <p>Following blocks of Augul district:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Kohina block ▪ Talcher block |

| S. No. | Critically Polluted Industrial Area and CEPI | Industrial Clusters/ Potential Impact Zones |
|--------|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Angul block ▪ Chhendipada block ▪ Banarpal block ▪ Odapada block of Dhenkamal district |
| 8 | Vellore (North Arcot) (Tamil Nadu) CEPI-81.79 (Ac_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ranipet, SIPCOT industrial complex |
| 9 | Singrauli (Uttar Pradesh) CEPI-81.73 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <p>Sonebhadra (UP)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dala-Tola ▪ Obra ▪ Renukoot ▪ Anpara ▪ Renusagar ▪ Kakri ▪ Dudhichuwa ▪ Bina ▪ Khadia ▪ Shakti nagar ▪ Rihand nagar ▪ Bijpur <p>Sigrauli (Madhya Pradesh)</p> <p>Vindhyachal nagar and Jaynat, Nigahi, Dudhichua, Amlohri & Jhingurdah townships</p> |
| 10 | Ludhiana (Punjab) CEPI-81.66 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <p>Ludhiana municipal limits covering industrial clusters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Focal point along with NH-I- Total eight phase ▪ Industrial area-B- from sherpur chowk to Gill road & Gill road to Miller Kotla road (left side of road) ▪ Mixed industrial area – right side of Gill road ▪ Industrial area –C (near Juglana village) ▪ Industrial area A & extension: area between old GT road and Ludhiana bypass road ▪ Industrial estate: near Dholwal chowk ▪ Mixes industrial area (MIA) Miller gunj ▪ MIA – bypass road ▪ Bahdur industrial area ▪ Tejpur industrial complex |
| 11 | Nazafgarh drain basin, Delhi CEPI-79.54 (As_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Industrial areas: Anand Parvat, Naraina, Okhla and Wazirpur |
| 12 | Noida (Uttar Pradesh) CEPI-78.90 (Ac_Wc_Lc) | <p>Territorial Jurisdiction of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Noida Phase-1 ▪ Noida Phase-2 ▪ Noida Phase-3 ▪ Surajpur industrial area ▪ Greater Noida industrial area ▪ Village- Chhaparaula |
| 13 | Dhanbad (Jharkhand) CEPI-78.63 (Ac_Ws_Lc) | <p>Four blocks of Dhanbad district:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sadar (Dhanbad Municipality) ▪ Jharia (Jharia Municipality, Sindri industrial area) ▪ Govindpur (Govindpur industrial estate) ▪ Nirsa |

| S. No. | Critically Polluted Industrial Area and CEPI | Industrial Clusters/ Potential Impact Zones |
|--------|---|---|
| 14 | Dombivalli (Maharashtra) CEPI-78.41 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ MIDC Phase- I, Phase- II |
| 15 | Kanpur (Uttar Pradesh) CEPI-78.09 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <p>Industrial areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dada nagar ▪ Panki ▪ Fazalganj ▪ Vijay nagar ▪ Jajmau |
| 16 | Cuddalore (Tamil Nadu) CEPI-77.45 (As_Wc_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SIPCOT industrial complex, Phase I & II |
| 17 | Aurangabad (Maharashtra) CEPI-77.44 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ MIDC Chikhalthana, MIDC Waluj, MIDC Shendra, and Paithan road industrial area |
| 18 | Faridabad (Haryana) CEPI-77.07 (Ac_Ws_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sector 27-A, B, C, D ▪ DLF phase- 1, sector 31,32 ▪ DLF phase- 2, sector 35 ▪ Sector 4, 6, 24, 27, 31, 59 ▪ Industrial area Hatin ▪ Industrial model township |
| 19 | Agra (Uttar Pradesh) CEPI-76.48 (As_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Nunihai industrial estate, Rambag nagar, UPSIDC industrial area, and Runukata industrial area |
| 20 | Manali (Tamil Nadu) CEPI-76.32 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manali industrial area |
| 21 | Haldia (West Bengal) CEPI-75.43 (As_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 5 km wide strip (17.4 x 5.0 km) of industrial area on the southern side of the confluence point of Rivers Hugli and Rupnarayan, covering ▪ Haldia municipal area & Sutahata block – I and II |
| 22 | Ahmedabad (Gujarat) CEPI-75.28 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ GIDC Odhav ▪ GIDC Naroda |
| 23 | Jodhpur (Rajasthan) CEPI-75.19 (As_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Industrial areas including Basni areas (phase-I & II), industrial estate, light & heavy industrial areas, industrial areas behind new power house, Mandore, Bornada, Sangariya and village Tanwada & Salawas. ▪ Jodhpur city |
| 24 | Greater Cochin (Kerala) CEPI-75.08 (As_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Eloor-Edayar industrial belt, ▪ Ambala Mogal industrial areas |
| 25 | Mandi Gobind Garh (Punjab) CEPI-75.08 (Ac_Ws_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mandi Govindgarh municipal limit and khanna area |
| 26 | Howrah (West Bengal) CEPI-74.84 (As_Ws_Lc) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Liluah-Bamangachhi region, Howrah ▪ Jalan industrial complex-1, Howrah |
| 27 | Vatva (Gujarat) CEPI-74.77 (Ac_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ GIDC Vatva, Narol industrial area (Villages Piplaj, Shahwadi, Narol) |
| 28 | Ib Valley (Orissa) CEPI-74.00 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ib Valley of Jharsuguda (Industrial and mining area) |
| 29 | Varansi-Mirzapur (Uttar Pradesh) CEPI-73.79 (As_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Industrial estate, Mirzapur ▪ Chunar ▪ Industrial estate, Chandpur, Varansi |

| S. No. | Critically Polluted Industrial Area and CEPI | Industrial Clusters/ Potential Impact Zones |
|--------|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ UPSIC, industrial estate, Phoolpur ▪ Industrial area, Ramnagar, Chandauli |
| 30 | Navi Mumbai (Maharashtra) CEPI-73.77 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ TTC industrial area, MIDC, Navi Mumbai (including Bocks-D, C, EL, A, R, General, Kalva) |
| 31 | Pali (Rajasthan) CEPI-73.73 (As_Wc_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing industrial areas: Mandia road, Puniyata road, Sumerpur ▪ Pali town |
| 32 | Mangalore (Karnataka) CEPI-73.68 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Baikampady industrial area |
| 33 | Jharsuguda (Orissa) CEPI-73.34 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ib valley of Jharsuguda (Industrial and mining area) |
| 34 | Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu) CEPI-72.38 (Ac_Ws_Ln) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SIDCO, Kurichi industrial Clusters |
| 35 | Bhadravati (Karnataka) CEPI-72.33 (Ac_Ws_Ln) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KSSIDC Industrial area, Mysore paper mill & VISL township complex |
| 36 | Tarapur (Maharashtra) CEPI-72.01 (Ac_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ MIDC Tarapur |
| 37 | Panipat (Haryana) CEPI-71.91 (As_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Panipat municipal limit and its industrial clusters |
| 38 | Indore (Madhya Pradesh) CEPI-71.26 (As_Ws_Ls) | <p>Following 09 industrial area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sanwer road ▪ Shivaji nagar ▪ Pologround ▪ Laxmibai nagar ▪ Scheme no.71 ▪ Navlakra ▪ Pipliya ▪ Palda ▪ Rau <p>Indore city</p> <p>Other surrounding industrial areas: Manglia, Rajoda, Asrawad, Tejpur Gadwadi</p> |
| 39 | Bhavnagar (Gujarat) CEPI-70.99 (As_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ GIDI Chitra, Bhavnagar |
| 40 | Vishakhapatnam (Andhra Pradesh) CEPI-70.82 (As_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bowl area (the area between Yarada hill range in the south to Simhachalam hill range in the north and sea on the east and the present NH-5 in the west direction) |
| 41 | Junagarh (Gujarat) CEPI-70.82 (As_Ws_Ls) | <p>Industrial areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Sabalpur ▪ Jay Bhavani ▪ Jay Bhuvneshwari ▪ GIDC Junagarh (I&II) |
| 42 | Asansole (West Bengal) CEPI-70.20 (As_Ws_Ls) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bumpur area surrounding IISCO |
| 43 | Patancheru - Bollaram (Andhra Pradesh) | <p>Industrial area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Patancheru ▪ Bollaram |

| S. No. | Critically Polluted Industrial Area and CEPI | Industrial Clusters/ Potential Impact Zones |
|---------------|---|--|
| | CEPI-70.07 (As_Ws_Ls) | |

Note:

Names of identified industrial clusters/potential impact zones are approximate location based on rapid survey and assessment and may alter partially subject to the detailed field study and monitoring. Detailed mapping will be made available showing spatial boundaries of the identified industrial clusters including zone of influence/ buffer zone, after in depth field study.

ANNEXURE V
Pre-Feasibility Report: Points for Possible Coverage

Table 1: Points for Possible Coverage in Pre-feasibility Report

| S. No. | Contents | Points of Coverage in Pre-feasibility Report |
|--------|--|--|
| I. | Executive summary | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Details on prima facie idea of the project. |
| II. | Project Details | |
| | Need/Justification of the Project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Current demand scenario of the pesticides and its intermediate products ▪ Alternatives to meet the demand ▪ Post project scenario on residual demand, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Capacity of Pesticides industry and pesticide specific intermediates | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Production capacity of the industry ▪ Sustainability of raw material supply and quality ▪ Optimization of plant capacity, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Process technology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Analysis of all available/advanced technologies, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Analysis of various possible configurations for each technology or a combination of these technologies from available manufactures ▪ Broad specifications for the proposed industry (s) including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Plant outputs and process flow diagrams for each alternative - Electrical equipment, I&C equipment, DCS equipment with redundancy - Balance of plant equipment - General plant layout, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Resources/raw materials | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Details on raw materials (Chemicals, auxiliary materials, <i>etc.</i>), by products/co-products ▪ Water <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Water requirement for process, utilities, domestic, gardening <i>etc.</i> - Source of construction water and potable water - Source of circulating/consumptive water - Quality of raw water, treated water - Water budget calculations and effluent generation - Approved water allocation quota (drinking, irrigation and industrial use) and surplus availability - Feasible ways of bringing water to site indicating constraints if any. - Lean season water availability and allocation source in case main source not perennial. ▪ Manpower ▪ Infrastructure ▪ Electrical power ▪ Construction material like sand, brick, stone chips, borrow earth <i>etc.</i> |
| | Rejects (Pollution potential) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Air emissions (combustion emissions, process emissions, <i>etc.</i>) ▪ Water pollution ▪ Solid / hazardous waste ▪ Noise ▪ Odour |
| | Technical profile | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Construction details <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Estimated duration - Number of construction workers including |

| | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> migrating workers - Construction equipment - Vehicular traffic - Source, mode of transportation and storage of construction material ▪ Traffic that would arise during different phases of the project and transportation mechanism to handle such traffic ▪ New facilities needed ▪ Technical parameters of the plant & equipments to be used ▪ Product storage and associated transportation system ▪ Product demand & supply position data on regional basis, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Project schedule | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Outline project implementation and procurement arrangement including contract packaging ▪ Project implementation schedule showing various activities, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Future prospects | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ascertain the costs and benefits of the proposed project for project life ▪ Technical and logistic constraints/ requirements of project sustainability, <i>etc.</i> |
| III. | Selection of site based on least possible impacts | |
| i. | Choice of site selection | |
| | Major techno-economic feasibility considerations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Land availability & its development ▪ Product demand around the selected site ▪ Access to site for transportation of equipments/ construction machinery, material, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Raw materials availability and its transportation ▪ Water availability and consumptive use ▪ Product transportation ▪ Recovery of materials ▪ Infrastructure availability at selected site ▪ Inter-state issue, if any, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Incompatible landuse and ecologically sensitive attributes with respect to identified suitable sites | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ If any incompatible land-use attributes fall within the study area, the following details has to be provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public water supply areas from rivers/surface water bodies, from groundwater - Scenic areas/tourism areas/hill resorts - Religious places, pilgrim centers that attract over 10 lakh pilgrims a year - Protected tribal settlements (notified tribal areas where industrial activity is not permitted); CRZ - Monuments of national significance, World Heritage Sites - Cyclone, Tsunami prone areas (based on last 25 years); - Airport areas - Any other feature as specified by the State or local government and other features as locally applicable, including prime agricultural lands, pastures, migratory corridors, <i>etc.</i> ▪ If ecologically sensitive attributes fall within the study area, please give details. Ecologically sensitive attributes include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - National parks - Wild life sanctuaries Game reserve - Tiger reserve/elephant reserve/turtle nesting |

| | | |
|------------|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ground - Breeding grounds - Core zone of biosphere reserve - Habitat for migratory birds - Mangrove area - Tropical forests - Important lakes - Endangered species of flora and fauna, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Social aspects | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Corporate responsibilities ▪ Employments and infrastructure added in the vicinity of the plant ▪ Status of land availability, current and post project land use variation ▪ Social sensitivity and likely project affected people, <i>etc.</i> |
| ii. | Details of selected site | |
| | Land details | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Land requirement and availability ▪ Land ownership details such as Government, private, tribal, non-tribal, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Total area of the project/site ▪ Prevailing land cost details, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Location | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Geographical details - Longitude & latitude, village, taluka, district, state ▪ Approach to site – roads, railways and airports ▪ Distance from nearest residential and industrial areas ▪ Distance from nearest water bodies such as river, canal, dam, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Distance from ecologically sensitive areas ▪ In case of flood prone areas, HFL of the site ▪ In case of seismic areas, seismic zone, active faults, occurrence on earthquakes, <i>etc.</i> ▪ Proximity from infrastructural facilities, <i>etc.</i> |
| | Physical characteristics | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demography ▪ Meteorological data ▪ Landuse pattern such as agricultural, barren, forest, <i>etc.</i> and details thereof ▪ Topography of the area ▪ Drainage patterns ▪ Soil condition and soil investigation results ▪ Ground profile and levels, <i>etc.</i> |
| IV. | Anticipated impacts based on project operations on receiving environment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Population ▪ Flora and fauna ▪ Water ▪ Soil ▪ Air ▪ Climate ▪ Landscape, <i>etc.</i> |
| V. | Proposed broad mitigation measures which could effectively be internalized as project components to have environmental and social acceptance of the proposed site | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Preventive measures ▪ Source control measures ▪ Mitigation measures at the receiving environment, <i>etc.</i> |
| VI. | An indication of any difficulties (technical deficiencies or lack of know-how) encountered by the developer in compiling the required information. | |

The above listing is not exhaustive. Thus the proponent may provide additional necessary information, felt appropriate, to include in the pre-feasibility study report in support of selecting the site for the proposed developmental activities. The EAC during scrutiny, may specifically ask for any additional information/data required to substantiate the requirement to prescribe the ToR for EIA studies. However, it is to make clear that all the required further information by EAC may be mentioned in one single letter, within the prescribed time.

ANNEXURE VI
Formats for Material Balance

Figure 3: Material Input-Output for Detoxifier




Figure 4: Material Input-Output for Every Scrubber




Figure 5: Liquid ETP (Daily Basis)





Figure 6: Waste Material Input-Output (Daily Basis)



ANNEXURE VII
Types of Monitoring and Network Design Considerations

TYPES OF MONITORING AND NETWORK DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

A. Types of Monitoring

Monitoring refers to the collection of data using a series of repetitive measurements of environmental parameters (or, more generally, to a process of systematic observation). The environmental quality monitoring programme design will be dependent upon the monitoring objectives specified for the selected area of interest. The main types of EIA monitoring activities are:

- Baseline monitoring is the measurement of environmental parameters during the pre-project period for the purpose of determining the range of variation of the system and establishing reference points against which changes can be measured. This leads to the assessment of the possible (additional available) assimilative capacity of the environmental components in pre-project period w.r.t. the standard or target level.
- Effects monitoring is the measurement of environmental parameters during project construction and implementation to detect changes which are attributable to the project to provide the necessary information to:
 - verify the accuracy of EIA predictions; and
 - determine the effectiveness of measures to mitigate adverse effects of projects on the environment.
 - Feedback from environmental effect monitoring programs may be used to improve the predictive capability of EIAs and also determine whether more or less stringent mitigation measures are needed
- Compliance monitoring is the periodic sampling or continuous measurement of environmental parameters to ensure that regulatory requirements and standards are being met.

Compliance and effects monitoring occurs during the project construction, operation, and abandonment stages. The resources and institutional set-up should be available for the monitoring at these stages. All large-scale construction projects will require some construction stage monitoring. To control the environmental hazards of construction as specified in the EIA, a monitoring program should be established to ensure that each mitigation measure is effectively implemented. There are numerous potential areas for monitoring during operations.

The scope of monitoring topics discussed in this chapter is limited to Baseline and Effects monitoring. In addition, this chapter will also discuss the Compliance monitoring during the construction phase. Post-project monitoring requirements are discussed in the EMP.

Before any field monitoring tasks are undertaken there are many institutional, scientific, and fiscal issues that must be addressed in the implementation of an environmental monitoring program. Careful consideration of these issues in the design and planning stages will help avoid many of the pitfalls associated with environmental monitoring programs. Although these issues are important but the discussions here are confined to the monitoring network design component.

B. Network Design

Analysis of Significant Environmental Issues

At the outset of planning for an environmental monitoring network, the EIA manager may not know exactly what should be monitored, when monitoring should begin, where it should monitor, which techniques should be employed, and who should take responsibility for its conduct. Because there are usually a number of objective decisions associated with network design to be made, it is important to start with an analysis of environmental issues. The scoping phase of an EIA is designed to identify and focus on the major issues. Scoping should provide a valuable source of information on the concerns that need to be addressed by the monitoring network design. These are project specific as well as specific to the environmental setting of the location where the project is proposed to be located

Hence, the network designs are associated with questions like:

- What are the expected outputs of the monitoring activity?
- Which problems do we need to address to? *etc.*

Defining the output will influence the design of the network and optimize the resources used for monitoring. It will also ensure that the network is specially designed to optimize the information on the problems at hand

What to Monitor?

The question of what to monitor is associated with the identification of VECs.

VECs are generally defined as environmental attributes or components of the environment that are valued by society as identified during the scoping stage of the project. They are determined on the basis of perceived public concerns. For example, changes to water quality and quantity could have implications on fish by affecting habitat, food supply, oxygen, and contaminant uptake. Similarly, employment and business, and economies are both VECs that serve as pathways.

The choice of VECs is also related to the perceived significant impact of the project implementation on important environmental components. In general, the significance or importance of environmental components is judged based on:

- legal protection provided (for example, rare and endangered species)
- political or public concerns (for example, resource use conflicts and sustainable development)
- scientific judgment (for example, ecological importance); or
- commercial or economic importance

However, in addition to their economic, social, political or ecological significance, the chosen VEC should also have unambiguous operational ease, be accessible to prediction and measurement; and be susceptible to hazard. Once the VECs are defined, the VECs may be directly measured (for example, extent of habitat for an endangered species). In cases where it is impossible or impractical to directly measure the VECs, the chosen measurement endpoints or environmental indicators must correspond to, or be predictive of assessment endpoints.

The chosen environmental indicators must be: 1) measurable; 2) appropriate to the scale of disturbance/ contamination; 3) appropriate to the impact mechanism; 4) appropriate

and proportional to temporal dynamics; 5) diagnostic; and 6) standardized; as well as have: 1) a low natural variability; 2) a broad applicability; and 3) an existing data series.

Where, How and How Many Times to Monitor?

These are the other components of Monitoring Network Design. These questions are best answered based on local field conditions, capacity and resources available, prevailing legal and regulatory priorities, *etc.* For this screening or reconnaissance Surveys of the study area also necessary. This may also include some simple inexpensive measurements and assimilative/dispersion modeling. The data will give some information on the prevailing special and temporal variations, and the general background air pollution in the area. The number of monitoring stations and the indicators to be measured at each station in the final permanent network may then be decided upon based on the results of the screening study as well as on the knowledge of the sources of the proposed development and prevailing local environmental/meteorological conditions. The best possible definition of the air pollution problem, together with the analysis of the resources: personnel, budget and equipment available, represent the basis for the decision on the following questions:

- What spatial density (number) of sampling stations is required? How many samples are needed and during what period (sampling (averaging) time and frequency)?
- Where should the stations be located?
- What kind of equipment should be used?
- What additional background information is needed?
 - meteorology
 - topography
 - population density
 - emission sources and emission rates
 - effects and impacts
- How will the data be made available/communicated?

C. Site Selection

This normally means that for designing a monitoring programme in an (study) area which might have an impact, several monitoring stations are needed for characterizing the baseline conditions of the impacted area. When considering the location of individual samplers, it is essential that the data collected are representative for the location and type of area without the undue influence from the immediate surroundings. In any measurement point in the study area the total ambient concentration is the representative of:

- natural background concentration
- regional background
- impact of existing large regional sources such as Industrial emissions and other power plants

To obtain the information about the importance of these different contributions it is therefore necessary to locate monitoring stations so that they are representative for different impacts. In addition to the ambient pollution data, one would often need other data governing the variations such as meteorological data for air pollution, to identify and quantify the sources contributing to the measurements. When considering the location of individual samplers, it is essential that the data collected are representative for the location and type of area without undue influence from the immediate surroundings.

ANNEXURE VIII
Guidance for Assessment of Baseline Components and Attributes

GUIDANCE FOR ASSESSMENT OF BASELINE COMPONENTS AND ATTRIBUTES*

| Attributes | Sampling | | Measurement Method | Remarks |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Network | Frequency | | |
| A. Air | | | | |
| Meteorological <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Wind speed ▪ Wind direction ▪ Dry bulb temperature ▪ Wet bulb temperature ▪ Relative humidity ▪ Rainfall ▪ Solar radiation ▪ Cloud cover | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Minimum 1 site in the project impact area requirements ▪ Other additional site(s) are require depending upon the model applied or site sensitivities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Min: 1 hrly observations from continuous records | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mechanical / automatic weather station ▪ Rain gauge ▪ As per IMD ▪ As per IMD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IS 5182 Part 1-20 Sit-specific primary data is essential ▪ Secondary data from IMD, New Delhi for the nearest IMD station |
| Pollutants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SPM ▪ PM10, PM2.5 ▪ SO₂ ▪ NO₂ ▪ CO ▪ H₂S* ▪ NH₃* ▪ HC* ▪ Fluoride* ▪ Pb* ▪ VOC-PAH* ▪ Ozone ▪ Benzene ▪ Benzo(a)pyrene (Particulate phase only) ▪ Arsenic ▪ Nickel (parameters to be proposed by the proponent, in draft ToR, which will be reviewed and approved by | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 10 to 15 locations in the project impact area | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 24 hrly twice a week ▪ 8 hrly twice a week ▪ 24 hrly twice a week | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Gravimetric (High – Volume) ▪ Gravimetric (High – Volume with Cyclone) ▪ EPA Modified West & Gaeke method ▪ Arsenite Modified Jacob & Hochheiser ▪ NDIR technique ▪ Methylene-blue ▪ Nessler’s Method ▪ Infra Red analyzer ▪ Specific Ion meter ▪ TOEM ▪ Beta attenuation ▪ UV photometric ▪ Chemiluminescence ▪ Chemical method ▪ Gas chromatography based continuos analyzer ▪ Adsorption and desorption followed by GC analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Monitoring Network ▪ Minimum 2 locations in upwind side, more sites in downwind side / impact zone ▪ All the sensitive receptors need to be covered ▪ Measurement Methods ▪ As per CPCB standards for NAQM, 1994 |

| Attributes | Sampling | | Measurement Method | Remarks |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Network | Frequency | | |
| EAC/SEAC) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Solvent extraction followed by HPLC/GC analysis ▪ AAS/ICP method after sampling on EPM 2000 or equivalent filter paper | |
| B. Noise | | | | |
| Hourly equivalent noise levels | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as for Air Pollution along with others Identified in study area | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ At least one day continuous in each season on a working and non-working day | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Instrument : Sensitive Noise level meter (preferably recording type) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Min: IS: 4954- 1968 as adopted by CPCB |
| Hourly equivalent noise levels | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inplant (1.5 m from machinery or high emission processes) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as above for day and night | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Instrument : Noise level metre | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CPCB / OSHA |
| Hourly equivalent noise levels | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Highways (within 500 metres from the road edge) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as above for day and night | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Instrument : Noise level meter | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CPCB / IS : 4954-1968 |
| Peak particle velocity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 150- 200m from blast site | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Based on hourly observations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ PPV meter | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| C. Water | | | | |
| Parameters for water quality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ph, temp, turbidity, magnesium hardness, total alkalinity, chloride, sulphate, nitrate, fluoride, sodium, potassium salinity ▪ Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, DO, BOD, COD, Phenol ▪ Heavy metals ▪ Total coliforms, faecal coliforms ▪ Phyto plankton ▪ Zooplankton | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Set of grab samples during pre and post-monsoon for ground and surface water for the whole study zone. For lab analysis the samples should be preserved for transport safe | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Diurnal and season-wise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Samples for water quality should be collected and analyzed as per: ▪ IS: 2488 (Part 1-5) methods for sampling and testing of industrial effluents ▪ Standard methods for examination of water and waste water analysis published by American Public Health Association. ▪ International standard practices for benthos and | |

| Attributes | Sampling | | Measurement Method | Remarks |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Network | Frequency | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fish & other aquatic flora & fauna (parameters are given in ToR for EIA studies based on nature of project, raw material & process technology, location-nature/activities within of air basin) | | | aquatic flora & fauna | |
| For Surface Water Bodies | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Total Carbon ▪ PH ▪ Dissolved Oxygen ▪ Biological Oxygen Demand ▪ Free NH₄ ▪ Boron ▪ Sodium Absorption ratio ▪ Electrical Conductivity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Monitoring locations should include up-stream, on site, down stream of proposed discharge point. Besides sampling should cover width of the river in case water quality modeling is proposed. ▪ Standard methodology for collection of surface water (BIS standards) ▪ At least one grab sample per location per season | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Yield & impact on water sources to be measured during critical season ▪ River Stretch within project area be divided in grids (say 1 km length and 1/3 width) and samples should be from each grid at a time when the wastewater discharged by other sources of pollution is expected to be maximum | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Samples for water quality should be collected and analyzed as per: ▪ IS: 2488 (Part 1-5) methods for sampling and testing of industrial effluents ▪ Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater analysis published by American Public Health Association. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Historical data should be collected from relevant offices such as central water commission, state and central ground water board, Irrigation dept. |
| Parameters for wastewater characterization | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Temp, colour, odour, turbidity, TSS, TDS ▪ PH , alkalinity as CaCO₃, p value, M value, total hardness as CaCO₃, chloride as cl, sulphate as S04, Nitrate as NO₃, Floride as F, Phosphate as P04, Chromium as Cr (Hexavalent, total) Ammonical Nitrogen as N, TKN, % sodium, BOD at 20 C, COD, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implant Source depending upon the different waste streams the parameters can be optimized ▪ Grab and composite sampling representing avg of different process operations as well as worst emission scenario should be represented | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Different operational cycles as well as raw material variations should be reflected in the analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Samples for water quality should be collected and analyzed as per: ▪ IS: 2488 (Part 1-5) methods for sampling and testing of industrial effluents ▪ Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater analysis published by American | All plant sources categorized as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Different Process waste streams as well as run-off conditions ▪ ETP wastewater ▪ Domestic/ sanitary wastewater |

| Attributes | Sampling | | Measurement Method | Remarks |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Network | Frequency | | |
| DO, total residual chlorine as Cl ₂ , oil and grease, sulphide, phenolic compound | | | Public Health Association. | |
| D. Land Environment | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Soil ▪ Particle size distribution ▪ Texture ▪ pH ▪ Electrical conductivity ▪ Cation exchange capacity ▪ Alkali metals ▪ Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR) ▪ Permeability ▪ Porosity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One surface sample from each landfill and/or hazardous waste site (if applicable) and prime villages, (soil samples be collected as per BIS specifications) in the study area | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Season-wise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Collected and analyzed as per soil analysis reference book, M.I.Jackson and soil analysis reference book by C.A. Black | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The purpose of impact assessment on soil (land environment) is to assess the significant impacts due to leaching of wastes or accidental releases and contaminating |
| Landuse / Landscape | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Location code ▪ Total project area ▪ Topography ▪ Drainage (natural) ▪ Cultivated, forest plantations, water bodies, roads and settlements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ At least 20 points along with plant boundary and general major land use categories in the study area. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Drainage once in the study period and land use categories from secondary data (local maps) and satellite imageries | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Global positioning system ▪ Topo-sheets ▪ Satellite Imageries (1:25,000) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Drainage within the plant area and surrounding is very important for storm water impacts. ▪ From land use maps sensitive receptors (forests, parks, mangroves etc.) can be identified |

| Attributes | Sampling | | Measurement Method | Remarks |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Network | Frequency | | |
| E. Solid Waste | | | | |
| Quantity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Based on waste generated from per unit production ▪ Per capita contribution ▪ Collection, transport and disposal system ▪ Process Waste ▪ Quality (oily, chemical, biological) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For green field unites it is based on secondary data base of earlier plants. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Process wise or activity wise for respective raw material used. Domestic waste depends upon the season also | Guidelines <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IS 9569 : 1980 ▪ IS 10447 : 1983 ▪ IS 12625 : 1989 ▪ IS 12647 : 1989 ▪ IS 12662 (PTI) 1989 | |
| Quality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ General segregation into biological/organic/inert/hazardous ▪ Loss on heating ▪ pH ▪ Electrical Conductivity ▪ Calorific value, metals etc. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Grab and Composite samples | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Process wise or activity wise for respective raw material used. Domestic waste depends upon the season also | Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IS 9334 : 1979 ▪ IS 9235 : 1979 ▪ IS 10158 : 1982 | |
| Hazardous Waste | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Permeability And porosity ▪ Moisture pH ▪ Electrical conductivity ▪ Loss on ignition ▪ Phosphorous ▪ Total nitrogen ▪ Caution exchange capacity ▪ Particle size distribution ▪ Heavy metal ▪ Ansonia ▪ Fluoride | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Grab and Composite samples. Recyclable components have to analyzed for the recycling requirements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Process wise or activity wise for respective raw material used. | Analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IS 9334 : 1979 ▪ IS 9235 : 1979 ▪ IS 10158 : 1982 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Impacts of hazardous waste should be performed critically depending on the waste characteristics and place of discharge. For land disposal the guidelines should be followed and impacts of accidental releases should be assessed |
| F. Biological Environment Aquatic | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Primary productivity ▪ Aquatic weeds | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Considering probable impact, sampling points | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Season changes are very important | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Standards techniques (APHA et. Al. 1995, Rau | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Seasonal sampling for aquatic biota |

| Attributes | Sampling | | Measurement Method | Remarks |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | Network | Frequency | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Enumeration of ▪ phytoplankton, zooplankton and benthos ▪ Fisheries ▪ Diversity indices ▪ Trophic levels ▪ Rare and endangered species ▪ Sanctuaries / closed areas / Coastal regulation zone (CRZ) ▪ Terrestrial ▪ Vegetation – species, list, economic importance, forest produce, medicinal value ▪ Importance value index (IVI) of trees ▪ Wild animals | <p>and number of samples to be decided on established guidelines on ecological studies based on site eco-environment setting within 10/25 km radius from the proposed site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Samples to collect from upstream and downstream of discharge point, nearby tributaries at down stream, and also from dug wells close to activity site | | and Wooten 1980) to be followed for sampling and measurement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One season for terrestrial biota, in addition to vegetation studies during monsoon season ▪ Preliminary assessment ▪ Microscopic analysis of plankton and meiobenthos, studies of macrofauna, aquatic vegetation and application of indices, viz. Shannon, similarity, dominance IVI etc ▪ Point quarter plot-less method (random sampling) for terrestrial vegetation survey. |
| <p>Avifauna</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rare and endangered species ▪ Sanctuaries / National park / Biosphere reserve | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For forest studies, chronic as well as short-term impacts should be analyzed warranting data on micro climate conditions | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Secondary data to collect from Government offices, NGOs, published literature ▪ Plankton net ▪ Sediment dredge ▪ Depth sampler ▪ Microscope ▪ Field binocular |
| G. Socio Economic | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demographic structure ▪ Infrastructure resource base ▪ Economic resource base ▪ Health status: Morbidity pattern ▪ Cultural and aesthetic attributes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Socio-economic survey is based on proportionate, stratified and random sampling method | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Different impacts occurs during construction and operational phases of the project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Primary data collection through R&R surveys (if require) or community survey are based on personal interviews and questionnaire | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Secondary data from census records, statistical hard books, toposheets, health records and relevant official records available with Govt. agencies |

* Project Specific concerned parameters needs to be identified by the project proponent and shall be incorporated in the draft ToR, to be submitted to the Authority for the consideration and approval by the EAC.

ANNEXURE IX
Sources of Secondary Data

Annexure IXA: Potential Sources of Data For EIA

| Information | Source |
|--|--|
| Air Environment | |
| 1. Meteorology- Temperature, Rainfall, Humidity, Inversion, Seasonal Wind rose pattern (16 point compass scale), cloud cover, wind speed, wind direction, stability, mixing depth | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Indian Meteorology Department, Pune |
| 2. Ambient Air Quality- 24 hourly concentration of SPM, RPM, SO ₂ , NO _x , CO | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), ⊗ State Pollution Control Board (SPCB), ⊗ Municipal Corporations ⊗ Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) ⊗ State Department of Environment (DoEN) |
| Water Environment | |
| 3. Surface water- water sources, water flow (lean season), water quality, water usage, Downstream water users Command area development plan Catchment treatment plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Central Water Commission (CWC), ⊗ Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), ⊗ State Pollution Control Board (SPCB), Central Water and Power Research Institute (CWPRS), Pune ⊗ State Irrigation Department ⊗ Hydel Power generation organizations such as NHPC, State SEBs |
| 4. Ground Water- groundwater recharge rate/withdrawal rate, ground water potential groundwater levels (pre monsoon, post monsoon), ground water quality, changes observed in quality and quantity of ground water in last 15 years | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Central Ground Water Board (CGWB) ⊗ Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA) ⊗ State Ground Water Board (SGWB) ⊗ National Water Development Authority (NWDA) |
| 5. Coastal waters- water quality, tide and current data, bathymetry | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Department of Ocean Development, New Delhi ⊗ State Maritime Boards ⊗ Naval Hydrographer's Office, Dehradun ⊗ Port Authorities ⊗ National Institute of Oceanography (NIO), Goa |
| Biological Environment | |
| 6. Description of Biological Environment- inventory of flora and fauna in 7 km radius, endemic species, endangered species, Aquatic Fauna, Forest land, forest type and density of vegetation, biosphere, national parks, wild life sanctuaries, tiger reserve, elephant reserve, turtle nesting ground, core zone of biosphere reserve, habitat of migratory birds, routes of migratory birds | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ District Gazetteers ⊗ National Remote Sensing Agency (NRSA), Hyderabad ⊗ Forest Survey of India, Dehradun ⊗ Wildlife Institute of India ⊗ World Wildlife Fund ⊗ Zoological Survey of India ⊗ Botanical Survey of India ⊗ Bombay Natural History Society, (BNHS), Mumbai ⊗ State Forest Departments ⊗ State Fisheries Department ⊗ Ministry of Environment and Forests ⊗ State Agriculture Departments ⊗ State Agriculture Universities |
| Land Environment | |
| 7. Geographical Information-Latitude, Longitude, Elevation (above MSL) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Toposheets of Survey of India, Pune ⊗ National Remote Sensing Agency (NRSA), Hyderabad ⊗ Space Application Centre (SAC), Ahmedabad |

| Information | Source |
|--|---|
| 8. Nature of Terrain, topography map indicating contours (1:2500 scale) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Survey of India Toposheets ⑨ National Remote Sensing Agency (NRSA), Hyderabad ⑨ State Remote Sensing Centre, ⑨ Space Application Centre (SAC), Ahmedabad |
| 9. Hydrogeology- Hydrogeological report (in case of ground water is used/area is drought prone/wastewater is likely to discharged on land) Geomorphological analysis (topography and drainage pattern) Geological analysis (Geological Formations/Disturbances- geological and structural maps, geomorphological contour maps, structural features, including lineaments, fractures, faults and joints) Hydrogeological analysis (disposition of permeable formations, surface-ground water links, hydraulic parameter determination etc) Analysis of the natural soil and water to assess pollutant absorption capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ NRSA, Hyderabad ⑨ Survey of India Toposheets ⑨ Geological Survey of India ⑨ State Geology Departments ⑨ State Irrigation Department ⑨ Department of Wasteland Development, Ministry of Rural Areas ⑨ National Water Development Authority (NWDA) |
| 10. Nature of Soil, permeability, erodibility classification of the land | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Agriculture Universities ⑨ State Agriculture Department ⑨ Indian Council for Agriculture Research ⑨ State Soil Conservation Departments ⑨ National Bureau of Soil Survey and Landuse Planning ⑨ Central Arid Zone Research Institute (CAZRI), Jodhpur |
| 11. Landuse in the project area and 10 km radius of the periphery of the project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Survey of India- Toposheets ⑨ All India Soil and Landuse Survey; Delhi ⑨ National Remote Sensing Agency (NRSA), Hyderabad ⑨ Town and County Planning Organisation ⑨ State Urban Planning Department ⑨ Regional Planning Authorities (existing and proposed plans) ⑨ Village Revenue Map- District Collectorate ⑨ Directorate of Economics and Statistics-State Government ⑨ Space Application Centre, Ahmedabad |
| 12. Coastal Regulation Zones- CRZMP, CRZ classification, Demarcation of HTL and LTL* | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Urban Development Department ⑨ State Department of Environment ⑨ State Pollution Control Board ⑨ Space Application Centre* ⑨ Centre for Earth Sciences Studies, Thiruvanthapuram* ⑨ Institute of Remote Sensing, Anna University Chennai* ⑨ Naval Hydrographer's Office, Dehradun* ⑨ National Institute of Oceanography, Goa* ⑨ National Institute of Ocean Technology, Chennai ⑨ Centre for Earth Science Studies |

* Agencies authorized for approval of demarcation of HTL and LTL

| Information | Source |
|--|---|
| Social | |
| 13. Socioeconomic - population, number of houses and present occupation pattern within 7 km from the periphery of the project | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Census Department ⊗ District Gazetteers- State Government ⊗ District Statistics- District Collectorate ⊗ International Institute of Population Sciences, Mumbai (limited data) ⊗ Central Statistical Organisation |
| 14. Monuments and heritage sites | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> District Gazetteer Archeological Survey of India, INTACH District Collectorate Central and State Tourism Department State Tribal and Social Welfare Department |
| Natural Disasters | |
| 15. Seismic data (Mining Projects)- zone no, no of earthquakes and scale, impacts on life, property existing mines | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Indian Meteorology Department, Pune ⊗ Geological Survey of India |
| 16. Landslide prone zone, geomorphological conditions, degree of susceptibility to mass movement, major landslide history (frequency of occurrence/decade), area affected, population affected | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Space Application Centre |
| 17. Flood/cyclone/droughts- frequency of occurrence per decade, area affected, population affected | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Natural Disaster Management Division in Department of Agriculture and Cooperation ⊗ Indian Meteorological Department |
| Industrial | |
| 18. Industrial Estates/Clusters, Growth Centres | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ State Industrial Corporation ⊗ Industrial Associations ⊗ State Pollution Control Boards ⊗ Confederation Indian Industries (CII) ⊗ FICCI |
| 19. Physical and Chemical properties of raw material and chemicals (Industrial projects); fuel quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Material and Safety Data Sheets ⊗ ENVIS database of Industrial Toxicological Research Centre, Lucknow ⊗ Indian Institute Petroleum |
| 20. Occupational Health and Industrial Hygiene-major occupational health and safety hazards, health and safety requirements, accident histories | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Central Labour Institute, Mumbai ⊗ Directorate of Industrial Safety ⊗ ENVIS Database of Industrial Toxicological Research Centre, Lucknow ⊗ National Institute of Occupational Health, Ahmedabad |
| 21. Pollutant release inventories (Existing pollution sources in area within 10 km radius) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Project proponents which have received EC and have commenced operations |
| 22. Water requirement (process, cooling water, DM water, Dust suppression, drinking, green belt, fire service) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ EIA Reports ⊗ National and International Benchmarks |

Annexure IXB: Summary of Available Data with Potential Data Sources for EIA

| Agency | Information Available |
|--|---|
| 1. Archaeological Survey of India Department of Culture Government of India Janpath, New Delhi - 110011 Asi@del3.vsnl.net.in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Inventory of monuments and sites of national importance- Listing and documentation of monuments according to world heritage, pre historic, proto historic and secular, religious places and forts |
| 2. Botanical Survey Of India P-8, Brabourne Road Calcutta 700001 Tel#033 2424922 Fax#033 2429330 Email: envis@cal2.vsnl.net.in . RO - Coimbatore, Pune, Jodhpur, Dehradun, Allahabad, Gantok, Itanagar, Port Blair | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Photodiversity documentation of flora at National, State and District level and flora of protected areas, hotspots, fragile ecosystems, sacred groves etc ⊙ Identification of threatened species including endemics, their mapping, population studies ⊙ Database related to medicinal plants, rare and threatened plant species ⊙ Red data book of Indian plants (Vol 1,2, and 3) ⊙ Manual for roadside and avenue plantation in India |
| 3. Bureau of Indian Standards Manak Bhawan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi 110 002 Tel#3230131, 3233375, 3239402 (10 lines) Fax : 91 11 3234062, 3239399, 3239382 Email- bis@vsnal.com | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Bureau of Indian Standards Committees on Earthquake Engineering and Wind Engineering have a Seismic Zoning Map and the Wind Velocity Map including cyclonic winds for the country |
| 4. Central Water Commission (CWC) Sewa Bhawan, R.K.Puram New Delhi - 110066 cmanoff@niccwc.delhi.nic.in RO- Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Chandigarh, Coimbatore/Chennai, Delhi, Hyderabad, Lucknow, Nagpur, Patna, Shillong, Siliguri and Vadodara | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Central Data Bank -Collection, collation and Publishing of Hydrological, Hydrometeorological, Sediment and Water Quality data- ⊙ Basin wise Master Plans ⊙ Flood atlas for India ⊙ Flood Management and Development and Operation of Flood Forecasting System- CWC operate a network of forecasting stations Over 6000 forecasts are issued every year with about 95% of the forecasts within the permissible limit. ⊙ Water Year Books, Sediment Year Books and Water Quality Year Books. ⊙ Also actively involved in monitoring of 84 identified projects through National, State and Project level Environmental Committees for ensuring implementation of environmental safeguards |
| 5. Central Ground Water Board (HO) N.H.IV, New CGO Complex, Faridabad - 121001 RO - Guwahati, Chandigarh, Ahemadabad, Trivandrum, Calcutta, Bhopal, Lucknow, Banglore, Nagpur, Jammu, Bhubneshwar, Raipur, Jaipur, Chennai, Hyderabad, Patna | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ surveys, exploration, monitoring of ground water development |

¹⁶ Based on web search and literature review

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 6. | Central Pollution Control Board Parivesh Bhawan, CBD-cum-Office Complex East Arjun Nagar, DELHI - 110 032 INDIA E-mail : cpcb@alpha.nic.in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ National Air Quality Monitoring Programme ⊗ National River Water Quality Monitoring Programme- Global Environment Monitoring , MINARS ⊗ Zoning Atlas Programme ⊗ Information on 17 polluting category industries (inventory, category wise distribution, compliance, implementation of pollution control programmes) |
| 7. | Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur Email : cazri@x400.nicgw.nic.in Regional Centre at Bhuj in Gujarat | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ AGRIS database on all aspects of agriculture from 1975 to date ⊗ Also have cell on Agriculture Research Information System; ⊗ Working on ENVIS project on desertification ⊗ Repository of information on the state of natural resources and desertification processes and their control ⊗ The spectrum of activities involves researches on basic resource inventories; monitoring of desertification, rehabilitation and management of degraded lands and other areas |
| 8. | Central Inland Capture Fisheries Research Institute, Barrackpore- 743101, Tel#033-5600177 Fax#033-5600388 Email : cicfri@x400.nicgw.nic.in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Data Base on Ecology and fisheries of major river systems of India. Biological features of commercially important riverine and estuarine fish species. Production functions and their interactions in floodplain wetlands. ⊗ Activities - Environmental Impact Assessment for Resource Management ; Fisheries Resource surveys |
| 9. | Central Institute of Brackish Water Aquaculture 141, Marshalls Road, Egmore , Chennai - 600 008, Tel# 044-8554866, 8554891, Director (Per) 8554851 Fax#8554851, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Repository of information on brackish water fishery resources with systematic database of coastal fishery resources for ARIS ⊗ Agricultural Research Information System (ARIS) database covers State wise data on soil and water quality parameters, land use pattern, production and productivity trends, ⊗ Social, economic and environmental impacts of aquaculture farming, ⊗ Guidelines and effluent standards for aquaculture farming |
| 10. | Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute (CMFRI), Cochin | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Assessing and monitoring of exploited and un-exploited fish stocks in Indian EEZ ⊗ Monitoring the health of the coastal ecosystems, particularly the endangered ecosystems in relation to artisanal fishing, mechanised fishing and marine pollution ⊗ The institute has been collecting data on the catch and effort and biological characteristics for nearly half a century based on scientifically developed sampling scheme, covering all the maritime States of the country ⊗ The voluminous data available with the institute is managed by the National Marine Living Resources Data Centre (NMLRDC) |
| 11. | Central Water and Power Research Station, Pune Tel#020-4391801-14; 4392511; 4392825 Fax #020-4392004,4390189 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Numerical and Physical models for hydro-dynamic simulations |
| 12. | Central Institute of Road Transport, Bhosari, Pune 411 026, India. Tel : +91 (20) 7125177, 7125292, 7125493, 7125494 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Repository of data on all aspects of performance of STUs and a host of other related road transport parameters |

| | |
|--|--|
| 13. Department of Ocean Development | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Assessment of environment parameters and marine living resources (primary and secondary) in Indian EEZ (Nodal Agency NIO Kochi) ⑨ Stock assessment, biology and resource mapping of deep sea shrimps, lobsters and fishes in Indian EEZ (Nodal agency-Fisheries Survey of India) ⑨ Investigations of toxical algal blooms and benthic productivity in Indian EEZ (Nodal agency- Cochin University of Science and technology) ⑨ Coastal Ocean Monitoring and Prediction System (COMAP) - monitoring and modelling of marine pollution along entire Indian coast and islands. Parameters monitored are temp, salinity, DO, pH, SS, BOD, inorganic phosphate, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, total phosphorus, total nitrite, total organic carbon, petroleum hydrocarbons, pathogenic vibrios, pathogenic E.coli, shigella, salmonella, heavy metals (Cd, Hg, Pb) and pesticide residues (DDT, BHC, Endosulfan). Monitoring is carried out along the ecologically sensitive zones and urban areas (NIO Mumbai- Apex coordinating agency). ⑨ Sea Level Measurement Programme (SELMAM)- sea level measurement at selected stations (Porbandar, Bombay, Goa, Cochin, Tuticorin, Madras, Machilipatnam, Visakhapatnam, Paradeep, Calcutta and Kavaratti (Lakshadweep Island)) along Indian coast and islands using modern tide gauges ⑨ Detailed coastal maps through Survey of India showing contour at 1/2 a metre interval in the scale of 1:25000. (Nellore- Machhalipatnam work already over) ⑨ Marine Data Centre (MDC) IMD for Ocean surface meteorology, GSI for marine geology, SOI for tide levels, Naval Hydrographic Office for bathymetry, NIO Goa for physical chemical and biological oceanography, NIO Mumbai for marine pollution, CMFRI for coastal fisheries, Institute of Ocean Management Madras for coastal geomorphology ⑨ DOD has setup Indian National Centre for Ocean Information Services (INCOIS) at Hyderabad for generation and dissemination of ocean data products (near real time data products such as sea surface temperature, potential fishing zones, upwelling zones, maps, eddies, chlorophyll, suspended sediment load etc). MDC will be integrated with INCOIS ⑨ Integrated Coastal and Marine Area Management (ICMAM) programme - GIS based information system for management of 11 critical habitats namely Pichavaram, Karwar, Gulf of Mannar, Gulf of Khambat, Gulf of Kutch, Malvan, Cochin, Coringa mangroves, Gahirmata, Sunderbans and Kadamat (Lakshadweep) ⑨ Wetland maps for Tamil Nadu and Kerala showing the locations of lagoons, backwaters, estuaries, mudflats etc (1:50000 scale) ⑨ Coral Reef Maps for Gulf of Kachch, Gulf of Mannar, Andaman and Nicobar and Lakshadweep Islands (1:50,000 scale) indicating the condition of corals, density etc |
| 14. Environment Protection Training and Research Institute Gachibowli, Hyderabad - 500 019, India Phone: +91-40-3001241, 3001242, 3000489 Fax: +91-40- 3000361 E-mail: info@eptri.com | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Environment Information Centre- has appointed EPTRI as the Distributed Information Centre for the Eastern Ghats region of India. EIC Collaborates with the Stockholm Environment Institute Sweden Database on Economics of Industrial Pollution Prevention in India Database of Large and Medium Scale Industries of Andhra Pradesh Environmental Status of the Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration Study on 'water pollution-health linkages' for a few Districts of A.P |

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Environment Quality Mapping <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Macro level studies for six districts in the State of Andhra Pradesh Micro level studies for two study zones presenting the permissible pollutant load and scoping for new industrial categories Zonation of the IDA, Parwada which helped APIIC to promote the land for industrial development Disaster management plan for Visakhapatnam Industrial Bowl Area |
| 15. | <p>Forest Survey of India (FSI) Kaulagarh Road, P.O., IPE Dehradun - 248 195 Tel# 0135-756139, 755037, 754507 Fax # 91-135-759104 E-Mail : fsidir@nde.vsnl.net.in fsihq@nde.vsnl.net.in</p> <p>RO- Banglore, Calcutta, Nagpur and Shimla</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ State of Forest Report (Biannual) ⑨ National Forest Vegetation Map (Biannual exercise) (on 1: 1 million scale) ⑨ Thematic mapping on 1:50,000 scale depicting the forest type, species composition, crown density of forest cover and other landuse National ⑨ Basic Forest Inventory System ⑨ Inventory survey of non forest area ⑨ Forest inventory report providing details of area estimates, topographic description, health of forest, ownership pattern, estimation of volume and other growth parameters such as height and diameter in different types of forest, estimation of growth, regeneration and mortality of important species, volume equation and wood consumption of the area studied |
| 16. | <p>Geological Survey of India 27 Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Calcutta 700 016, India Telephone +91-33-2496941 FAX 91-33-2496956 gsi_chq@vsnl.com</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ Environmental hazards zonation mapping in mineral sector ⑨ Codification of base line information of geo-environmental appreciation of any terrain and related EIA and EMP studies ⑨ Lineament and geomorphological map of India on 1:20,000 scale. ⑨ Photo-interpreted geological and structural maps of terrains with limited field checks. |
| 17. | <p>Indian Council of Agriculture Research, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi, Tel#011-338206</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ICAR complex, Goa- Agro metrology - Central Arid Zone Research Institute- Agro forestry - Central Soil salinity Research Institute, - Indian Institute of Soil Science - Central Soil and Water Conservation Research and Training Institute - National Bureau of Soil Survey and Landuse Planning | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ A total of 80,000 profiles at 10 kms grid across the country were analyzed to characterize the soils of India. ⑨ Detailed soil maps of the Country (1:7 million), State (1:250,000) and districts map (1:50,000) depicting extent of degradation (1:4.4 millions) have been prepared. ⑨ Thematic maps depicting soil depth, texture drainage, calcareousness, salinity, pH, slope and erosion have been published ⑨ Agro-climate characterization of the country based on moisture, thermal and sunshine regimes ⑨ Agro-ecological zones (20) and sub-zones (60) for the country were delineated based on physiography, soils, climate, Length of Growing Period and Available Water Content, and mapped on 1:4.4 million scale. ⑨ Digitization of physiography and soil resource base on 1:50,000 scale for 14 States have been completed. ⑨ .Soil fertility maps of N,P,K,S and Zn have also been developed ⑨ Water quality guidelines for irrigation and naturally occurring saline/sodic water ⑨ Calibration and verification of ground water models for predicting water logging and salinity hazards in irrigation commands |
| 18. | <p>Indian Bureau of Mines Indira Bhawan, Civil Lines Nagpur Ph no - 0712-533 631, Fax- 0712-533 041</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⑨ National mineral inventory for 61 minerals and mineral maps ⑨ Studies on environmental protection and pollution control in regard to the mining and mineral beneficiation operations ⑨ Collection, processing and storage of data on mines, minerals and mineral-based industries, collection and maintenance of world mineral intelligence, foreign mineral legislation and other related matters |

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 19. | Indian Meteorology Department Shivaji nagar, Pune 41100 RO- Mumbai, Chennai, Calcutta, New Delhi, Nagpur, Guwahati | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Meteorological data ⊙ Background air quality monitoring network under Global Atmospheric Watch Programme (operates 10 stations) ⊙ Seismicity map, seismic zoning map; seismic occurrences and cyclone hazard monitoring; list of major earthquakes ⊙ Climatological Atlas of India , Rainfall Atlas of India and Agroclimatic Atlas of India ⊙ Monthly bulletin of Climate Diagnostic Bulletin of India ⊙ Environmental Meteorological Unit of IMD at Delhi to provide specific services to MoEF |
| 20. | INTACH Natural Heritage, 71 Lodi Estate, New Delhi-110 003 Tel. 91-11-4645482, 4632267/9, 4631818, 4692774, 4641304 Fax : 91- 11-4611290 E-mail : nh@intach.net | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Listing and documentation of heritage sites identified by municipalities and local bodies (Listing excludes sites and buildings under the purview of the Archaeological Survey of India and the State Departments of Archaeology) |
| 21. | Industrial Toxicology Research Centre Post Box No. 80, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, Lucknow-226001, Phone: +91-522- 221856,213618,228227; Fax : +91- 522 228227 Email: itrc@itrcindia.org | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Activities include health survey on occupational diseases in industrial workers, air and water quality monitoring studies, ecotoxicological impact assessment, toxicity of chemicals, human health risk assessment ⊙ Five databases on CD-ROM in the area of environmental toxicology viz: TOXLINE, CHEMBANK, POISINDEX, POLTOX and PESTBANK. The Toxicology Information Centre provides information on toxic chemicals including household chemicals ⊙ ENVIS centre and created a full-fledged computerized database (DABTOC) on toxicity profiles of about 450 chemicals |
| 22. | Indian Institute of Forest Management Post Box No. 357, Nehru Nagar Bhopal - 462 003 Phone # 0755-575716, 573799, 765125, 767851 Fax # 0755-572878 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Consultancy and research on joint forest management (Ford Foundation, SIDA, GTZ, FAO etc) |
| 23. | Indian Institute of Petroleum Mohkampur , Dehradun, India, 248005 0135- 660113 to 116 0135- 671986 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Fuel quality characterisation ⊙ Emission factors |
| 24. | Ministry of Environment and Forest | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Survey of natural resources ⊙ National river conservation directorate ⊙ Environmental research programme for eastern and western ghats ⊙ National natural resource management system ⊙ Wetlands conservation programme- survey, demarcation, mapping landscape planning, hydrology for 20 identified wetlands National wasteland identification programme |
| 25. | Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development Authority | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Mumbai Urban Transport Project ⊙ Mumbai Urban Development Project ⊙ Mumbai Urban Rehabilitation Project ⊙ Information on MMR; statistics on councils and corporations Regional Information Centre- Basic data on population, employment, industries and other sectors are regularly collected and processed |

| | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 26. | Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Air Quality Data for Mumbai Municipal Area ⊙ Water quality of lakes used for water supply to Mumbai |
| 27. | Ministry of Urban Development Disaster Mitigation and Vulnerability Atlas of India Building Materials & Technology Promotion Council G-Wing, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi-110011 Tel: 91-11-3019367 Fax: 91-11-3010145 E-Mail: bmtpc@del2.vsnl.net.in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Identification of hazard prone area ⊙ Vulnerability Atlas showing areas vulnerable to natural disasters ⊙ Land-use zoning and design guidelines for improving hazard resistant construction of buildings and housing ⊙ State wise hazard maps (on cyclone, floods and earthquakes) |
| 28. | Natural Disaster Management Division in Department of Agriculture and Cooperation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Weekly situation reports on recent disasters, reports on droughts, floods, cyclones and earthquakes |
| 29. | National Bureau Of Soil Survey & Land Use Planning P.O. Box No. 426, Shankar Nagar P.O., Nagpur-440010 Tel#91-712-534664,532438,534545 Fax#:91-712-522534 RO- Nagpur, New Delhi, Bangalore, Calcutta, Jorhat, Udaipur | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ NBSS&LUP Library has been identified as sub centre of ARIC (ICAR) for input to AGRIS covering soil science literature generated in India ⊙ Research in weathering and soil formation, soil morphology, soil mineralogy, physicochemical characterisation, pedogenesis, and landscape-climate-soil relationship. ⊙ Soil Series of India- The soils are classified as per Soil Taxonomy. The described soil series now belong to 17 States of the country. ⊙ Landuse planning- watershed management, land evaluation criteria, crop efficiency zoning ⊙ Soil Information system is developed state-wise at 1:250,000 scale. Presently the soil maps of all the States are digitized, processed and designed for final output both digital and hardcopy. The thematic layers and interpreted layers of land evaluation (land capability, land irrigability and crop suitability), Agro-Ecological Zones and soil degradation themes are prepared. ⊙ Districts level information system is developed for about 15 districts at 1: 50, 000 scale. The soil information will be at soil series level in this system. Soil resource inventory of States, districts water-sheds (1:250,000; 1:50,000; 1:10,000/8000) |
| 30. | National Institute of Ocean Technology, Velacherry-Tambaram main road Narayanapuram Chennai, Tamil Nadu Tel#91-44-2460063 / 2460064/ 2460066/ 2460067 Fax#91-44-2460645 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Waste load allocation in selected estuaries (Tapi estuary and Ennore creek) is one the components under the Integrated Coastal and Marine Area Management (ICMAM) programme of the Department of Ocean Development ICMAM is conducted with an IDA based credit to the Government of India under the Environmental Capacity Building project of MoEF (waste assimilation capacity of Ennore creek is over) ⊙ Physical oceanographic component of Coastal & Ocean monitoring Predictive System (COMAPS) a long term monitoring program under the Department of Ocean Development ⊙ Identification of suitable locations for disposal of dredge spoil using mathematical models & environmental criteria ⊙ EIA Manual and EIA guidelines for port and harbour projects |
| 31. | National Institute of Oceanography, Goa RO- Mumbai, Kochi | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Coastal Ocean Monitoring and Predictions(COMAP)-Monitoring of coastal waters for physicochemical and biological parameters including petroleum hydrocarbons, trace metals, heavy metals, and biomass of primary (phytoplankton) and secondary (zooplankton, microbial and benthic organisms) ⊙ Marine Biodiversity of selected ecosystem along the West Coast of India |

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 32. | National Botanical Research Institute, Post Box No 436 Rana Pratap Marg Lucknow- 226001, Tel: (+91) 522 271031-35 Fax: (+91) 522 282849, 282881 Lucknow | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Dust filtering potential of common avenue trees and roadside shrubs has been determined, besides studies have also been conducted on heavy-metals accumulation potential of aquatic plants supposedly useful as indicators of heavy metal pollution in water bodies and capable of reducing the toxic metals from water bodies. ⊗ Assessment of bio-diversity of various regions of India |
| 33. | National Geophysical Research Institute, Uppal Road, Hyderabad Telephone:0091-40-7171124, FAX:0091-40-7171564 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Exploration, assessment and management of ground water resources including ground water modelling and pollution studies |
| 34. | National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur RO- Mumbai, Delhi, Chennai, Calcutta, Ahmedabad, Cochin, Hyderabad, Kanpur | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ National Air Quality Monitoring (NAQM) for CPCB ⊗ Database on cleaner technologies of industrial productions |
| 35. | National Hydrology Institute, Roorkee RO- Belgaum (Hard Rock Regional Centre), Jammu (Western Himalayan Regional Centre), Guwahati (North Eastern Regional Centre), Kakinada (Deltaic Regional Centre), Patna (Ganga Plains North Regional Centre), and Sagar (Ganga Plains South) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Basin studies, hydrometeorological network improvement, hydrological year book, hydrological modelling, regional flood formulae, reservoir sedimentation studies, environmental hydrology, watershed development studies, tank studies, and drought studies. |
| 36. | National Institute Of Urban Affairs, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Urban Statistics Handbook |
| 37. | National Institute of Occupational Health Meghaninagar, Ahmedabad RO- Banglore, Calcutta | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ epidemiological studies and surveillance of hazardous occupations including air pollution, noise pollution, agricultural hazards, industrial hazards in organised sectors as well as small scale industries, carcinogenesis, pesticide toxicology, etc ⊗ WHO collaborative centre for occupational health for South East Asia region and the lead institute for the international programme on chemical safety under IPCS (WHO) |
| 38. | NRSA Data Centre Department of Space, Balanagar, Hyderabad 500 037 Ph- 040-3078560 3078664 sales@nrsa.gov.in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Satellite data products (raw data, partially processed (radiometrically corrected but geometrically uncorrected), standard data (radiometrically and geometrically corrected), geocoded data(1:50,000 and 1:25000 scale), special data products like mosaiced, merged and extracted) available on photographic (B&W and FCC in form of film of 240 mm X 240mm or enlargements/paper prints in scale varying between 1:1M and 1:12500 and size varying between 240mm and 1000mm) and digital media (CD-ROMs, 8 mm tapes) |
| 39. | Rajiv Gandhi National Drinking Water Mission | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ Database for groundwater using remote sensing technology (Regional Remote Sensing Service Centre involved in generation of ground water prospect maps at 1:50,000 scale for the State of Kerala, Karnataka, AP, MP and Rajasthan for RGNDWM) |
| 40. | Space Application Centre Value Added Services Cell (VASC) Remote Sensing Application Area Ahmedabad 380 053 079-676 1188 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊗ National Natural Resource Information System ⊗ Landuse mapping for coastal regulation zone (construction setback line) upto 1:12500 scale ⊗ Inventory of coastal wetlands, coral reefs, mangroves, seaweeds ⊗ Monitoring and condition assessment of protected coastal areas |

| | | |
|-----|---|--|
| | Fax- 079-6762735 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Wetland mapping and inventory ⊙ Mapping of potential hotspots and zoning of environmental hazards ⊙ General geological and geomorphological mapping in diverse terrain ⊙ Landslide risk zonation for Tehre area |
| 41. | State Pollution Control Board | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ State Air Quality Monitoring Programme ⊙ Inventory of polluting industries ⊙ Identification and authorization of hazardous waste generating industries ⊙ Inventory of biomedical waste generating industries ⊙ Water quality monitoring of water bodies receiving wastewater discharges ⊙ Inventory of air polluting industries ⊙ Industrial air pollution monitoring ⊙ Air consent, water consent, authorization, environment monitoring reports |
| 42. | State Ground Water Board | |
| 43. | Survey of India | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Topographical surveys on 1:250,000 scales, 1:50,000 and 1:25,000 scales ⊙ Digital Cartographical Data Base of topographical maps on scales 1:250,000 and 1:50,000 ⊙ Data generation and its processing for redefinition of Indian Geodetic Datum ⊙ Maintenance of National Tidal Data Centre and receiving/ processing of tidal data of various ports. ⊙ Coastal mapping along the Eastern coast line has been in progress to study the effect of submergence due to rise in sea-level and other natural phenomenon. Ground surveys have been completed for the proposed coastal region and maps are under printing. ⊙ District planning maps containing thematic information (135 maps) have been printed out of 249 maps covering half the districts of India. Districts planning maps for remaining half of the area are being processed by National Atlas and Thematic Mapping Organisation (NATMO) |
| 44. | Town and Country Planning Organisation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Urban mapping - Thematic maps and graphic database on towns (under progress in association with NRSA and State town planning department) |
| 45. | Wildlife Institute of India Post Bag No. 18, Chandrabani Dehradun - 248 001, Uttaranchal Tel#0135 640111 -15, Fax#0135 640117 email : wii@wii . | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Provide information and advice on specific wildlife management problems. ⊙ National Wildlife Database |
| 46. | Zoological Survey of India Prani Vigyan Bhawan 'M' Block, New Alipore Calcutta - 700 053 Phone # 91-33-4786893, 4783383 Fax # 91-33-786893 RO - Shillong, Pune, Dehradun, Jabalpur, Jodhpur, Chennai, Patna, Hyderabad, Canning, Behrampur, Kozikode, Itanagar, Digha, Port Blair, Solan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊙ Red Book for listing of endemic species ⊙ Survey of faunal resources |

ANNEXURE X
Impact Prediction Tools

Table 1: Choice of Models for Impact Prediction: Air Environment*

| Model | Application | Remarks |
|--|---|---|
| ISCST 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Appropriate for point, area and line sources ▪ Application for flat or rolling terrain ▪ Transport distance up to 50 km valid ▪ Computes for 1 hr to annual averaging periods | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can take up to 99 sources ▪ Computes concentration on 600 receptors in Cartesian on polar coordinate system ▪ Can take receptor elevation ▪ Requires source data, meteorological and receptor data as input. |
| AERMOD with AERMET | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Settling and dry deposition of particles; ▪ Building wake effects (excluding cavity region impacts); ▪ Point, area, line, and volume sources; ▪ Plume rise as a function of downwind distance; ▪ Multiple point, area, line, or volume sources; ▪ Limited terrain adjustment; ▪ Long-term and short-term averaging modes; ▪ Rural or urban modes; ▪ Variable receptor grid density; ▪ Actual hourly meteorology data | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can take up to 99 sources ▪ Computes concentration on 600 receptors in Cartesian on polar coordinate system ▪ Can take receptor elevation ▪ Requires source data, meteorological and receptor data as input. |
| PTMAX | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Screening model applicable for a single point source ▪ Computes maximum concentration and distance of maximum concentration occurrence as a function of wind speed and stability class | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Require source characteristics ▪ No met data required ▪ Used mainly for ambient air monitoring network design |
| PTDIS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Screening model applicable for a single point source ▪ Computes maximum pollutant concentration and its occurrences for the prevailing meteorological conditions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Require source characteristics ▪ Average met data (wind speed, temperature, stability class <i>etc.</i>) required ▪ Used mainly to see likely impact of a single source |
| MPTER | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Appropriate for point, area and line sources applicable for flat or rolling terrain ▪ Transport distance up to 50 km valid ▪ Computes for 1 hr to annual averaging periods ▪ Terrain adjustment is possible | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can take 250 sources ▪ Computes concentration at 180 receptors up to 10 km ▪ Requires source data, meteorological data and receptor coordinates |
| CTDM PLUS (Complex Terrain Dispersion Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Point source steady state model, can estimate hrly average concentration in isolated hills/ array of hills | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can take maximum 40 Stacks and computes concentration at maximum 400 receptors ▪ Does not simulate calm met conditions ▪ Hill slopes are assumed not to exceed 15 degrees ▪ Requires sources, met and terrain characteristics and receptor details |
| UAM (Urban Airshed Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 3-D grid type numerical simulation model ▪ Computes O₃ concentration short term episodic conditions lasting for 1 or 2 days resulting from NO_x and VOCs ▪ Appropriate for single urban area having significant O₃ problems | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |

| Model | Application | Remarks |
|---|--|--|
| RAM (Rural Airshed Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Steady state Gaussian plume model for computing concentration of relatively stable pollutants for 1 hr to 1 day averaging time ▪ Application for point and area sources in rural and urban setting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Suitable for flat terrains ▪ Transport distance less than 50 km. |
| CRESTER | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Applicable for single point source either in rural or urban setting ▪ Computes highest and second highest concentration for 1hr, 3hr, 24hr and annual averaging times ▪ Tabulates 50 highest concentration for entire year for each averaging times | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can take up to 19 Stacks simultaneously at a common site. ▪ Unsuitable for cool and high velocity emissions ▪ Do not account for tall buildings or topographic features ▪ Computes concentration at 180 receptor, circular wing at five downwind ring distance 36 radials ▪ Require sources, and met data |
| OCD (Offshore and coastal Dispersion Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It determines the impact of offshore emissions from point sources on the air quality of coastal regions ▪ It incorporates overwater plume transport and dispersion as well as changes that occur as the plume crosses the shore line ▪ Most suitable for overwater sources shore onshore receptors are below the lowest shore height | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Requires source emission data ▪ Require hrly met data at offshore and onshore locations like water surface temperature; overwater air temperature; relative humidity <i>etc.</i> |
| FDM (Fugitive Dust Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Suitable for emissions from fugitive dust sources ▪ Source may be point, area or line (up to 121 source) ▪ Require particle size classification max. up to 20 sizes ▪ Computes concentrations for 1 hr, 3hr, 8hr, 24hr or annual average periods | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Require dust source particle sizes ▪ Source coordinates for area sources, source height and geographic details ▪ Can compute concentration at max. 1200 receptors ▪ Require met data (wind direction, speed, Temperature, mixing height and stability class) ▪ Model do not include buoyant point sources, hence no plume rise algorithm |
| RTDM (Rough Terrain Diffusion Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Estimates GLC is complex/rough (or flat) terrain in the vicinity of one or more co-located point sources ▪ Transport distance max. up to 15 km to up to 50 km ▪ Computes for 1 to 24 hr. or annual average concentrations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Can take up to 35 co-located point sources ▪ Require source data and hourly met data ▪ Computes concentration at maximum 400 receptors ▪ Suitable only for non reactive gases ▪ Do not include gravitational effects or depletion mechanism such as rain/ wash out, dry deposition |
| CDM(Climatologically Dispersion Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It is a climatologically steady state GPM for determining long term (seasonal or annual) ▪ Arithmetic average pollutant concentration at any ground level receptor in an urban area | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Suitable for point and area sources in urban region, flat terrain ▪ Valid for transport distance less than 50 km ▪ Long term averages: One month to one year or longer |
| PLUVUE-II (Plume Visibility Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Applicable to assess visibility impairment due to pollutants emitted from well defined point sources ▪ It is used to calculate visual range reduction | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Require source characteristics, met data and receptor coordinates & elevation ▪ Require atmospheric aerosols |

| Model | Application | Remarks |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | <p>and atmospheric discoloration caused by plumes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It predicts transport, atmospheric diffusion, chemical, conversion, optical effects, and surface deposition of point source emissions. | <p>(back ground & emitted) characteristics, like density, particle size</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Require background pollutant concentration of SO₄, NO₃, NO_x, NO₂, O₃, SO₂ and deposition velocities of SO₂, NO₂ and aerosols |
| MESO-PUFF II (Meso scale Puff Model) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is a Gaussian, Variable trajectory, puff superposition model designed to account for spatial and temporal variations in transport, diffusion, chemical transformation and removal mechanism encountered on regional scale. Plume is modeled as a series of discrete puffs and each puff is transported independently Appropriate for point and area sources in urban areas Regional scale model. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Can model five pollutants simultaneously (SO₂, SO₄, NO_x, HNO₃ and NO₃) Require source characteristics Can take 20 point sources or 5 area source For area source – location, effective height, initial puff size, emission is required Computes pollutant concentration at max. 180 discrete receptors and 1600 (40 x 40) grided receptors Require hourly surface data including cloud cover and twice a day upper air data (pressure, temp, height, wind speed, direction) Do not include gravitational effects or depletion mechanism such as rain/ wash out, dry deposition |

Table 2: Choice of Models for Impact Modeling: Noise Environment*

| Model | Application |
|--|--|
| FHWA (Federal Highway Administration) | Noise Impact due to vehicular movement on highways |
| Dhwani | For predictions of impact due to group of noise sources in the industrial complex (multiple sound sources) |
| Hemispherical sound wave propagation Air Port | Fore predictive impact due to single noise source For predictive impact of traffic on airport and rail road |

Table 3: Choice of Models for Impact Modeling: Land Environment*

| Model | Application | Remarks |
|--|---|--|
| Digital Analysis Techniques | Provides land use / land cover distribution | |
| Ranking analysis for soil suitability criteria | Provides suitability criteria for developmental conversation activities | Various parameters viz. depth, texture, slope, erosion status, geomorphology, flooding hazards, GW potential, land use <i>etc.</i> , are used. |

Table 4: Choice of Models for Impact Modeling: Water Environment*

| Model | Application | Remarks |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| QUAL-II E | Wind effect is insignificant, vertical dispersive effects insignificant applicable to streams Data required Deoxygenation coefficients, re-aeration coefficients for carbonaceous, nitrogenous and benthic substances, dissolved oxygen deficit | Steady state or dynamic model |
| | The model is found excellent to generate water quality parameters Photosynthetic and respiration rate of suspended and attached algae | |
| | Parameters measured up to 15 component can be simulated in any combination, e.g. ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, phosphorous, carbonaceous BOD, benthic oxygen demand, DO, coliforms, conservative substances and temperature | |
| DOSAG-3, USEPA: (1-D) RECEIV – II, USEPA | Water quality simulation model for streams & canal A general Water quality model | Steady-state |
| Explore –I, USEPA | A river basin water quality model | Dynamic, Simple hydrodynamics |
| HSPE, USEPA | Hydrologic simulation model | Dynamic, Simple hydrodynamics |
| RECEIVE-II, USEPA | A general dynamic planning model for water quality management | |
| Stanford watershed model | This model simulates stream flows once historic precipitation data are supplied The major components of the hydrologic cycle are modeled including interception, surface detention, overland inflow, groundwater, evapo-transpiration and routing of channel flows, temperature, TDS, DO, carbonaceous BOD coliforms, algae, zooplanktons, nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, phosphate and conservative substances can be simulated | |
| Hydrocomp model | Long-term meteorological and wastewater characterization data is used to simulate stream flows and stream water quality | Time dependant (Dynamic) |
| Stormwater Management model (SWMM) | Runoff is modeled from overland flow, through surface channels, and through sewer network Both combined and separate sewers can be modeled. This model also enables to simulate water quality effects to stormwater or combined sewer discharges. This model simulates runoff resulting from individual rainfall events. | Time Dependent |
| Battelle Reservoir model | Water body is divided into segments along the direction of the flow and each segment is divided into number of horizontal layers. The model is found to generate excellent simulation of temperature and good prediction of water quality parameters. The model simulates temperature, DO, total and | Two Dimensional multi-segment model |

| Model | Application | Remarks |
|--|--|--|
| | benthic BOD, phytoplankton, zooplankton, organic and inorganic nitrogen, phosphorous, coliform bacteria, toxic substances and hydrodynamic conditions. | |
| TIDEP (Turbulent diffusion temperature model reservoirs) | Horizontal temperature homogeneity Coefficient of vertical turbulent diffusion constant for charge of area with depth negligible coefficient of thermal exchange constant Data required wind speed, air temperature, air humidity, net incoming radiation, surface water temperature, heat exchange coefficients and vertical turbulent diffusion coefficients. | Steady state model |
| BIOLAKE | Model estimates potential fish harvest from a take | Steady state model |
| Estuary models/ estuarial Dynamic model | It is simulates tides, currents, and discharge in shallow, vertically mixed estuaries excited by ocean tides, hydrologic influx, and wind action Tides, currents in estuary are simulated | Dynamic model |
| Dynamic Water Quality Model | It simulates the mass transport of either conservative or non-conservative quality constituents utilizing information derived from the hydrodynamic model Bay-Delta model is the programme generally used. Up to 10 independent quality parameters of either conservative or non-conservative type plus the BOD-DO coupled relationship can be handled | Dynamic model |
| HEC -2 | To compute water surface profiles for steady, gradually: varying flow in both prismatic & non-prismatic channels | |
| SMS | Lake circulation, salt water intrusion, surface water profile simulation model | Surface water Modeling system Hydrodynamic model |
| RMA2 | To compute flow velocities and water surface elevations | Hydrodynamic analysis model |
| RMA4 | Solves advective-diffusion equations to model up to six non-interacting constituents | Constituent transport model |
| SED2D-WES | Model simulates transport of sediment | Sediment transport model |
| HIVEL2D | Model supports subcritical and supercritical flow analysis | A 2-dimensional hydrodynamic model |
| MIKE-II, DHI | Model supports, simulations of flows, water quality, and sediment transport in estuaries, rivers, irrigation systems, channels & other water bodies | Professional Engineering software package |

Table 5: Choice of Models for Impact Modeling: Biological Environment*

| Name | Relevance | Applications | Remarks |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| Flora | | | |
| Sample plot methods | Density and relative density | Average number of individuals species per unit area | The quadrant sampling technique is applicable in all types of plant communities and for the study of submerged, sessile (attached at the base) or |
| | Density and relative | Relative degree to which a | |

| Name | Relevance | Applications | Remarks |
|---|---|--|--|
| | dominance | species predominates a community by its sheer numbers, size bulk or biomass | sedentary plants |
| | Frequency and relative frequency importance value | Plant dispersion over an area or within a community | Commonly accepted plot size: 0.1 m ² - mosses, lichens & other mat-like plants |
| | | Average of relative density, relative dominance and relative frequency | 0.1 m ² - herbaceous vegetation including grasses |
| | | | 10.20 m ² – for shrubs and saplings up to 3m tall, and |
| | | | 100 m ² – for tree communities |
| Transects & line intercepts methods | Cover | Ratio of total amount of line intercepted by each species and total length of the line intercept given its cover | This methods allows for rapid assessment of vegetation transition zones, and requires minimum time or equipment of establish |
| | Relative dominance | It is the ratio of total individuals of a species and total individuals of all species | Two or more vegetation strata can be sampled simultaneously |
| Plot-less sampling methods | Mean point plant Mean area per plant | Mean point – plant distance Mean area per plant | Vegetation measurements are determined from points rather than being determined in an area with boundaries |
| | Density and relative density | | Method is used in grass-land and open shrub and tree communities |
| | Dominance and relative dominance | | It allows more rapid and extensive sampling than the plot method |
| | Importance value | | Point- quarter method is commonly used in woods and forests. |
| Fauna | | | |
| Species list methods | Animal species list | List of animal communities observed directly | Animal species lists present common and scientific names of the species involved so that the faunal resources of the area are catalogued |
| Direct Contact Methods | Animal species list | List of animals communities observed directly | This method involves collection, study and release of animals |
| Count indices methods (Roadside and aerial count methods) | Drive counts Temporal counts | Observation of animals by driving them past trained observers | Count indices provide estimates of animal populations and are obtained from signs, calls or trailside counts or roadside counts |
| | Call counts | Count of all animals passing a fixed point during some stated | These estimates, through they do not provide absolute population |

| Name | Relevance | Applications | Remarks |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | interval of time | numbers, Provide an index of the various species in an area |
| | | | Such indices allow comparisons through the seasons or between sites or habitats |
| Removal methods | Population size | Number of species captured | Removal methods are used to obtain population estimates of small mammals, such as, rodents through baited snap traps |
| Market capture methods | Population size estimate (M) | Number of species originally marked (T) Number of marked animals recaptured (t) and total number of animals captured during census (n) $N = nT/t$ | It involves capturing a portion of the population and at some later date sampling the ratio of marked to total animals caught in the population |

Table 6: Choice of Models for Impact Predictions: Socio-economic Environment*

| Relevance | | |
|---|--|--|
| Name | Application | Remarks |
| Extrapolative Methods | A prediction is made that is consistent with past and present socio-economic data, e.g. a prediction based on the linear extrapolation of current trends | |
| Intuitive Forecasting (Delphi techniques) | Delphi technique is used to determine environmental priorities and also to make intuitive predictions through the process of achieving group consensus | Conjecture Brainstorming Heuristic programming Delphi consensus |
| Trend extrapolation and correlation | Predictions may be obtained by extrapolating present trends Not an accurate method of making socio-economic forecasts, because a time series cannot be interpreted or extrapolated very far into the future with out some knowledge of the underlying physical, biological, and social factors | Trend breakthrough precursor events correlation and regression |
| Metaphors and analogies | The experience gained else where is used to predict the socio-economic impacts | Growth historical simulation commonsense forecasts |
| Scenarios | Scenarios are common-sense forecasts of data. Each scenario is logically constructed on model of a potential future for which the degrees of "confidence" as to progression and outcome remain undefined | Common-sense |
| Dynamic modeling (Input- Out model) | Model predicts net economic gain to the society after considering all inputs required for conversion of raw materials along with cost of finished product | |
| Normative Methods | Desired socio-economic goals are specified and an attempt is made to project the social environment backward in time to the present to examine whether existing or planned resources and | Morphological analysis technology scanning contextual mapping - functional array |

| Relevance | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Name | Application | Remarks |
| | environmental programmes are adequate to meet the goals | - graphic method Mission networks and functional arrays decision trees & relevance trees matrix methods scenarios |

*** NOTE:** (i) If a project proponent prefer to use any model other than listed, can do so, with prior concurrence of concerned appraisal committee. (ii) Project-specific proposed prediction tools need to be identified by the project proponent and shall be incorporated in the draft ToR to be submitted to the Authority for the consideration and approval by the concerned EAC/SEAC.

ANNEXURE XI
Composition of EAC

Composition of the EAC

The Members of the EAC shall be Experts with the requisite expertise and experience in the following fields /disciplines. In the event that persons fulfilling the criteria of “Experts” are not available, Professionals in the same field with sufficient experience may be considered:

- Environment Quality Experts: Experts in measurement/monitoring, analysis and interpretation of data in relation to environmental quality
- Sectoral Experts in Project Management: Experts in Project Management or Management of Process/Operations/Facilities in the relevant sectors.
- Environmental Impact Assessment Process Experts: Experts in conducting and carrying out Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) and preparation of Environmental Management Plans (EMPs) and other Management plans and who have wide expertise and knowledge of predictive techniques and tools used in the EIA process
- Risk Assessment Experts
- Life Science Experts in floral and faunal management
- Forestry and Wildlife Experts
- Environmental Economics Expert with experience in project appraisal

ANNEXURE XII
Best Practices available and reference

Best Practices available and reference

Impact of pesticide industry:

The environmental impact of pesticides cannot be fully negated, but can be reduced. The type and intensity of environmental impact will depend upon the site profile. For example, proximity to a water body will significantly increase the likelihood of water contamination. Even though many impacts such as drift, damage to non target species, pest resistance, and residues in food are associated with crop applications; other impacts can arise from poor handling techniques and inappropriate waste management.

Good environmental practices for pesticide manufacturing companies:

- Meter and control the quantities of active ingredients to minimize wastage.
- Reuse by-products from the process as raw materials or as raw material substitutes in other processes.
- Use automated filling to minimize spillage.
- Use "closed" feed systems for batch reactors.
- Use nitrogen blanketing where appropriate on pumps, storage tanks, and other equipment to minimize the release of toxic organics.
- Give preference to nonhalogenated and nonaromatic solvents where feasible.
- Use high-pressure hoses for equipment cleaning to reduce wastewater.
- Use equipment washdown waters and other process waters (such as leakages from pump seals) as makeup solutions for subsequent batches.
- Use dedicated dust collectors to recycle recovered materials.
- Vent equipment through a recovery system.
- Maintain losses from vacuum pumps (such as water ring and dry) at low levels.
- Return toxic materials packaging to the supplier for reuse or incinerate/destroy in an environmentally acceptable manner.
- Minimize storage time of off-specification products through regular reprocessing.
- Find productive uses for off-specification products to avoid disposal problems.
- Minimize raw material and product inventory to avoid degradation and wastage that could lead to the formation of inactive but toxic isomers or by-products.
- Label and store toxic and hazardous materials in secure, bounded areas.
- Storage areas should be secure and covered, preventing exposure to rain and unauthorized access. Basic safety equipment such as fire extinguishers, warning signs (e.g., "no smoking"), adequate light and ventilation and spill clean-up materials should be present. Floors and shelves should be non-porous (e.g., metal, concrete) to prevent sorption of chemicals. If possible, temperature control should be provided

to avoid excessive heat or cold. Storage areas should be kept clear of combustible material and debris.

- Many above-ground fuel storage tanks require a concrete enclosure (secondary containment) in the event of a tank rupture. Also, greenhouses and nurseries that are storing recycled water laden with fertilizer often are required to do the same.
- Store nitrate-based and other oxidizing fertilizers separately from solvents, fuels and pesticides to reduce fire risk. Follow the general principle of storing like chemicals together.
- Store chemicals in their original containers, tightly closed, with labels intact. Also inspect them regularly for leaks.
- Dry chemicals should be stored above liquids and on pallets to ensure that they do not get wet.
- Locate chemical storage and maintenance areas, as well as vehicle refueling and maintenance areas, away from wells and surface waterbodies in accordance with local regulations, typically at least 50 to 100 feet away.
- Make available all Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) in a readily accessible area. A list of all hazardous chemicals in the work place must be completed to ensure that all MSDSs are readily available.
- Do not store large quantities of pesticides for long periods of time. Adopt the "first in-first out" principle, using the oldest products first to ensure that the shelf life does not expire. Buy smaller quantities of pesticides and fertilizers, thereby reducing storage issues.

Notes: It is to be kept in mind that the best practices applied for manufacturing and storage of pesticides can differ among geographic regions. To this extent, there can be slight differences among practices of differing countries and regions.

Publications and Websites:

“Cleaner Production International LLC: The Fertilizer and Pesticides Industry”

“Pre-Feasibility Study for Pesticide Industry”

“Alacra Wiki: Agricultural Chemicals”

Brinkley, P. “Pesticides and the Pesticide Industry” *National Agricultural Chemical Association*, Washington D.C.

Kathy A. Lewis, John Tzilivakis and Keith S. Bardon ” *Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Hertfordshire* “Environmental Best Practice Advisory System for Agriculture”

“Pesticides Best Practices” The Environmental Research Center for Higher Education”

“Green Industry Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the Conservation and Protection of Water Resources in Colorado

“Pesticide Best Management Practices”

<http://www.cleanerproduction.com/directory/sectors/subsectors/Fertilizer.html>

<http://www.planningcommission.gov.pk/usefull%20links/Studies%20for%20P&DD/Manufacturing%20Industries/Pesticide%20Industry.pdf>

http://researchrecap.com/index.php?title=Agricultural_Chemicals

http://www.dina.dk/efita-conf/program/paperspdf/iv_b_3.pdf

<http://www.campuserc.org/virtualtour/grounds/Pesticides/Pages/PesticidesBestPractices.aspx>

<http://www.greenco.org/downloadables/Complete%20Document.pdf>

<http://www.mda.state.md.us/pdf/bmp.pdf>

REFERENCES

Documents

- **Ministry of Environment and Forest, GoI** – “Environment Impact Assessment Notification” S.O.1533 dated 14th September 2006.
- **Ministry of Environment and Forest, GoI** – “Environment Impact Assessment Notification 2006 – Amendment” S.O. 195 (E) dated 1st December 2009.
- **Larry W. Canter**, “Environmental Impact Assessment”, Second Edition, McGraw Hill, University of Oklahoma, 1997.
- **International Association for Impact Assessment** – “Principles of Environmental Impact Assessment Best Practice”, Institute of Environmental Assessment, UK.
- **Central Pollution Control Board** – “Development of National Emission Standards for Pesticides Manufacturing Industry” - Comprehensive Industry Document Series/73/2007.
- **Central Pollution Control Board** – “Revised Minimal National Standards for Pesticide Manufacturing and Formulation Industry (Part – I)” - Comprehensive Industry Document Series/30/1988-89.
- **Central Pollution Control Board** – “Identification of Hazardous Waste Streams, their characterization and waste minimization options in pesticides sector” – Hazardous Waste Management series: HAZWAMS/28/2004-05.
- **Environmental Protection Agency** – “BAT Guidance Note on Best Available Techniques for Pharmaceutical and Other Speciality Organic Chemicals (1st Edition)”, 2008
- **International Finance Corporation** – “Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines for Pesticide Manufacturing, Formulation, and Packaging”, World Bank Group, April 30, 2007.
- **World Bank Group** – “Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook on Pesticides Manufacturing” effective July 1998.
- **European Union** - Best Available Technology Notes on Various Pesticides Manufacturing Processes
- **Air Pollution Engineering Manual (Environmental Engineering)**, by Anthony J. Buonicore (Hardcover - Jun 1992)
- **“Practical Guideline on Environmentally Sound Management of Obsolete Pesticides In the Latin America and Caribbean Countries”** M.Sc. Chem. Eng. Javier Martínez
- **The National Institute of occupational safety and health (NIOSH)** - Recommendations for a standard for pesticide manufacturing and formulating Industries
- **"Environmental Impact" The Voluntary Initiative**” - Pesticide Outlook – December 2002.

- **"Pesticides and Groundwater Pesticides as Potential Pollutants"** University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences Cooperative Extension Service.
- **MoEF and CPCB** - "Development of National emission standards for pesticides Manufacturing Industry".
- Pre-Feasibility Study for "Pesticide Industry"- **International Asset Management Company Ltd.**
- **Sittig, Marshall. "Pesticide Manufacturing and Toxic Materials Control Encyclopedia."** Noyes Data Corporation.
- **United Nations Industrial Development Organization** - "International Safety Guidelines for Pesticides Formulation in Developing Countries", 1992.
- **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency** - Pesticide Waste Control Technology, Noyes Data Corporation, 1988.
- **World Bank Operational Manual** - "Agricultural Pest Management", Guidelines and Best Practice GB 4.03, April 1993.
- **World Bank Environment Department** - "Pollution Prevention and Abatement: Pesticides Manufacturing." Technical Background Document, 1996.
- **World Health Organization** - "International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), The WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazard and Guidelines to Classification" 1996-1997.
- Environmental Guidelines for "**Pesticides Manufacturing**" Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency.
- "**An overview of the pesticides industry and its marketing environment**" – U K Srivastava and N T Patel.
- "Pesticides and Health" Envis – NIOH News letter Vol. 2 No. 1, 2007.
- **Government of India Ministry of Agriculture Department of Agriculture & Cooperation** - "Major Uses of Pesticides Registered under the Insecticides Act, 1968".

Websites

- <http://cibrc.nic.in/cibrc.htm>
- <http://envfor.nic.in/divisions/iass/eia.htm>
- <http://www.cpcb.nic.in/>
- <http://www.epa.gov/>
- <http://www.indiapesticideslimited.com/index.html>
- <http://www.pmfai.org/main.htm>
- http://www.pesticideinfo.org/Docs/ref_overview.html



IL&FS Ecosmart Limited
Flat # 408, Saptagiri Towers
Begumpet

Hyderabad – 500 016

Ph: + 91 40 40163016

Fax: + 91 40 40032220

For any queries or technical inputs kindly mail:

sateesh.babu@ifsecosmart.com

suman.thomas@ifsecosmart.com