# Dalmia Bharat Sugar and Industries Limited 

## [Formerly: Dalmia cement (Bharat) Limited] SALEM - 636012 TAMILNADU

To,
SL:MM:26150
The Member Secretary, 1A-Division, Ministry of Environment, Forest\& Climate Change (Non Coal Mines). Indira Paryavaran Bhavan, Jor Bagh Road, Ali ganj, New Delhi-110003.

Dear Sir,
Sub: Submission of Additional/Essential Details Sought (EDS) as per $43^{r d}$ EAC-Meeting Minutes dated 24.12.2021- Proposal no:IA/TN/MIN/241375/2018 -Agenda item no-43.2.1 for furthur consideration for issue of EC-reg.,
Ref: Intimation mail from MOEF dated $24^{\text {th }}$ Dec 2021 and Agenda published on 24.12.2021 in MOEF \& CC website with Agenda item no-43.2.i.

We submit herewith ADS/EDS reply sought as per the Minutes of EAC Meeting held on 15.12.2021 for Non-Coal Mining sector in respect of our Chettichavadi Jaghir Magnesite and Dunite Mines with S/F.No-6 in Chettichavadi Jaghir Village belonging to Dalmia Bharat Sugar \& Industries Ltd. We request your good self to consider the proposal for issue of EC.

Thanking You
Yours Faithfully, For Dalmia Bharat Sugar and Industries Ltd,


Agent and Mines Manager,
Chettichavadi Jaghir Magnesite and Dunite Mines.
Encl:
P G. KALIDASS,
AGENT 8 MINES MANAGER

1. Annexure I-KML shape files for land use pattern.

CHETTICHAVADI JAGHIR MINES
DALMA BNRAT SUGAR AND INDUSTRES ITD.
2. Annexure II- Description of land cover of the lease h old areaicio DNMAAMAGNESITE CORPORATIC:

SALEM-636 012
3. Annexure III- Photos showing plantation, garland drainage, stone parapet wall and boundary pillar in the lease area.

## Dalmia Bharat Sugar and Industries Limited

## [Formerly : Dalmia cement (Bharat) Limited] <br> SALEM - 636012 TAMILNADU

## To,

The Member Secretary IA-Division, Ministry of Environment, Forest \& Climate Change (Non Coal Mines) Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi-110003.

Dear Sir,
Sub: EDS for Environmental Clearance for our Chettichavadi Jaghir Magnesite and Dunite Mines-reg.
Ref: (i) Our proposal No-IA/TN/MIN/241375/2018.
(ii) Minutes of Meeting of Expert Appraisal Committee held on $15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Dec}$ 2021 Agenda Item No- 43.2.1Observations\& recommendations of the Committee.
In compliance of the observations and recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) during the meeting held on $15^{\text {Th }}$ December 2021, weare hereby submitting detailsas required by EAC for their due consideration and grant of EC to our Chettichavadi Jaghir Magnesite and Dunite Mines Salem Taluk and District, Tamil Nadu.
Our response for each of the recommendation/direction in MOM dated $15^{\text {th }} \mathrm{DeC}$ 2021 is as under

1. THE PROJECT PROPONENT NEEDS TO SUBMIT THE REVISED LAND USE-LAND COVER AND DAMAGE CALCULATION NEEDS TO BE RE-WORKED PARTICULARLY DAMAGE TO ECOLOGY AND BIODIVERSITY:

IMPACT ON LAND ENVIRONMENT DUE TO PRODUCTION OF ORE AND WASTE HANDLING \& LAND USE PATTERN OF LEASE AREA IN 1993-94 AND 2018-19 Is Given In The Table As Under:

| s. <br> No | TYPE OF LAND USE | 1993.94 |  | 2018-2019 |  | Additional Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AREA(H | AREA | AREA (HA) | ARE |  |
| 1. | Area of Excavation | 356.25 | 79.28 | 366.89* | 81.6 | 10.64 |
| 2. | Storage for Topsoil | 0.5 | 0.11 | 0.5 | 0.11 | . |
| 3. | Overburden dumps | 44.483 | 9.89 | 46.853 | 10.4 | 2.37 |
| 4. | Mineral Storage | 2.51 | 0.55 | 3 | 0.67 | 0.49 |
| 5. | Infrastructure | 1 | 0.19 | 1 | 0.22 | . |
| 6. | Roads | 4.89 | 0.92 | 4.89 | 1.09 | - |
| 7. | Greenbelt \& Boundary | 14.15 | 3.15 | 19.23 | 4.28 | - |
| 8. | Area which will remain | 25.581 | 5.69 | 7.001 | 1.56 | - |
| Total |  | 449.36 | 100\% | 449.364 | 100 | 13.20 |

REGD. OFFICE : DALMIAPURAM(TAMILNADU) - 621651.
HEAD OFFICE : HANSALAYA $\left(11^{\text {TH }} \& 12^{\text {TH }}\right.$ FLOORS $)$

- The KML Shape files for land use pattern in respect of our Chettichavadi Jaghir Mines is enciosed as Annexure No-1
- Description of land cover in the lease hold area is enclosed as Annexure No-II
- Photos showing Plantation, Gariand Drainage, Stone Parapet Wall and Boundary Pillar in the lease area is enclosed as Annexure No- III.
- We have also submitted Bank Guarantee of Rs.22.468 Crores valid for 5 years upto 31.3.2026 in the name of RCOM, IBM, Chennai.

The additional land use area during the period (1994-2019)
$=13.20$ Hectares Cost of damage on land environment @ 5 Lakhs per Ha (as per IBM rate) = Rs 66.00 Lakhs. Cost of damage on Ecology and Biodiversity @ Rs 75 Per tree
for density of 1500 Trees per Ha for 13.20 Ha of damage land $=$ Rs 14.85 Lakhs.
Total $=$ Rs 80.85 Lakhs.

The damage cost for Land environment was Rs.13,50,000 and is revised to Rs80.85 Lakhs.
2. THE PROJECT PROPONENT NEEDS TO SUBMIT THE REVISED ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN BUDGET, ALSO, 3\% OF EMP BUDGET NEEDS TO BE ADDED IN THE COST OF DAMAGE ASSESSMENT.

The Project Cost, EMP Budget, Environmental Monitoring Budget during the operational stage are given in the following table:

PROJECT COST

| Description | Project Cost <br> (Rs. In Lakhs) | Public Grievances <br> Redressal cost <br> (Rs. In Lakhs) @2\% for CER |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public Grievances Redressal as <br> per OM dated 20.09.2020 | Rs. 1,957.07 | Rs. 39.14 |
| Total Cost Allocation | Rs. $\mathbf{1 , 9 5 7 . 0 7}$ | Rs. 39.14 |

EMP BUDGET

| S. <br> No | Description | Budget |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Safety kits for 320 persons @ Rs 1000 per <br> head | Rs. 3,20,000 |
| 2 | Water Sprinkling \& Spraying | Rs.15,00,000 |
| 3 | Afforestation for @ 75 per tree | Rs. 2,00,000 |
| 4 | Noise \& Vibration | Rs. $1,00,000$ |
| 5 | Drainage- Parapet -Retention Wall | Rs. 5,00,000 |
| Total |  | Rs 26.2 Lakhs |

3\% of EMP Budget for non-compliance since 1993-94 to 2018-19=26.2 $\times \mathbf{3 \%}=$ Rs. 20.43 Lakhs. and this cost is added in the cost of damage assessment.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING BUDGEI

| S. <br> No | Environmental <br> Monitoring Program | No. of <br> samples per <br> year | Cost per <br> sample | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ambient Air Quality <br> monitoring | 12 | Rs 3500 | Rs 42,000 |
| 2 | Water quality | 12 | Rs 2150 | Rs 25,800 |
| 3 | Soil quality | 12 | Rs 3500 | Rs 42,000 |
| 4 | Noise Monitoring | 12 | Rs 1000 | Rs 12000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Ground Vibration Test due <br> to Blasting | $\mathbf{2}$ | Rs <br> 30000 | Rs 60000 |
|  | Total | Rs 1,81,800 |  |  |
|  | Contingency @ 10\% | Rs 18,200 |  |  |
|  | Grand Total | Rs 2,00,000 |  |  |

ENVIRONMENTAL RECURRING EXPENDITURE

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S}_{1} \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | 엉 | ¢01 <br> ＋ <br> 8 <br> 8 | g \％ 8 M |  |  |  |  | 茄 | ？ | 네 | ＋ | 뎅 | 유ㅇㅔㅔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Air Pollution （Prevention \＆ Control） | 月5s． 0.50 | Rs． 050 | Rs． 0.50 | Fi． 0.50 | R15，0．50 | AI． 0.50 | R1． 0.50 | R1． 0.50 | H2． 0.50 | Rs． 0.50 | As． 050 | R1． 0.50 | Rs． 0.50 |
| 2 | Water <br> Pollution <br> （Prevention \＆ <br> Control） | Re． 022 | 咸 0.22 | R1 0.25 | 成 0.25 | Rs 025 | H1．0．22 | R2． 022 | Rs． 0.22 | R1． 0.22 | Re． 0.22 | Rs． 0.22 | Rs． 0.22 | Rs． 0.22 |
| 3 | Noise <br> Pollution <br> （Prevention \＆ <br> Control） | Rs． 0.21 | Hs． 021 | F2． 0.21 | Hs． 0.21 | 6． 0.21 | Rs 0.21 | As． 0.21 | As． 0.21 | คร 0.21 | As． 0.21 | Rs． 0.21 | A2． 0.21 | R1． 0.21 |
| 4 | Safety equipment＇s， Plant \＆ Machinery for environment protection | Rs． 300 | 10．309 | 113． 300 | 風 300 | A． 3.00 | Rs． 300 | Hs 3.00 | Fis 3.00 | Hs． 3.00 | R1． 3.00 | R1． 3.00 | R2 300 | Rs． 3.00 |
| 5 | Post project env． monitoring | R8． 1.32 | Ra． 1.32 | R1 1.32 | 18． 1.32 | As 1.32 | 風： 132 | Ms． 132 | R4 1.32 | As． 1.32 | H2． 1.32 | A1 1.37 | As． 132 | Rs． 1.32 |
| 6 | Reclamation／ plantation | Rs． 4.00 | As． 400 | กร 400 | R＝ 400 | Ri． 4.00 | Rs． 400 | Rs． 4.00 | Rs． 400 | Rs． 400 | As． 4.00 | Rs 400 | Hes． 4.00 | R1． 4.00 |
| 7 | Vibration studies | 同5． 2.50 | Ks． 2.50 | Ris 250 | Rs 250 | Rs． 2.50 | Hs． 250 | Hi． 2.50 | Rs． 2.50 | Fs． 2.50 | fr 2.50 | Rs． 2.50 | H3． 2.50 | As． 250 |
| 8 | Miscellaneou 5 | Ri． $8>0$ | Hs． 8.20 | As． 8.20 | A1．8． 80 | 7\％ 8.8 .20 | ＊） 8.20 | F3． 8.20 | H5． 8.20 | H． 8.20 | Rs． 8.20 | Res． 20 | R． 8.20 | A5． 8120 |
| Tot |  | 風 20 | R． 20 | RL． 20 | B． 20 | RL 20 | RL． 20 | R： 20 | RL， 20 | A1． 20 | Rs． 20 | Rs． 20 | R． 20 | Rs， 20 |

3. THE PROJECT PROPONENT NEEOS TO CALCULATE THE NET PROFIT BY FINANCE WHICH ALSO NEEDS TO BE A PART OF DAMAGE

| rear | ACTUA <br> 1 <br> PRODU <br> CTION <br> MAGNE <br> SITE | vatue OF <br> MAGNESI <br> II AS PER IBM RATE | TOTAL PRODUCTIO $\mathrm{N}^{+18 M}$ COST (MAGNESTE $12^{\circ 3}$ | ACTUAL phooucti ON DUNITE | VALU <br> C Or OUNIT <br> [ AS <br> PCR <br> 1BM <br> 月ATE | TOTAL <br> PROOUCTI <br> ON*IAM <br> COST <br> (DUNITE) <br> $5^{\circ} 6$ | TOTAL PRODUCT ON COST Of MAGNESIT E AND DUNITE (4*7) | tGTAL EXPENSE incural D FOR MINE Develop MENT ACTIVTIY (C) | NET PRORIT /Loss Rs. | 13 of Nat Profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993-94 | 537601 | 91025 | 4893513103 | 0 | 8025 | 0 | 4893513:03 | 6.2784025 | 1384889398 | 0 |
| 1994.95 | 106724.42 | 968 | 1033092386 | 0 | 8854 | 0 | 1033092386 | 81047254 | 2226134456 | 6678581.34 |
| 1995-96 | 9667164 | 1067 | 1031486399 | 150 | 101.48 | 15222 | 103163861.9 | 85994600 | 1716926185 | 515077.86 |
| 1996-97 | 105983.46 | 1165 | 123470730.9 | 60 | 128 | 7030 | 123477810.9 | 109990465 | 134873449 | 404620.35 |
| 1997.58 | 102472.79 | 1264 | 129525606.6 | 200 | 136.41 | 27282 | 129552888.6 | 118809072 | 1074381656 | 322314.50 |
| 1995-99 | 83041.01 | 1216 | 107057868.2 | 1459 | 14929 | 217814.11 | 107275682 3 | 119832016 | -12556333.73 | 0.00 |
| 1999-2000 | 87357.84 | 1337 | 116797432.1 | 1129 | 15291 | 20321739 | 117000649.5 | 116092977 | 907672.47 | 2723017 |
| 2000-01 | 87932 星 | 1177 | 103496999.8 | 0 | 125.383 | 0 | 103496999.8 | 119838815 | -16341815.24 | 0.00 |
| 2001-02 | 66005,44 | 1337 | 88249273.28 | 0 | 1386 | 0 | 38249273.28 | 104222826 | -15973552.72 | 0.00 |
| 2002-03 | 42187.428 | 1390 | 58640524.92 | 1749.722 | 187.5 | 328072.875 | 58968597.8 | 78863690 | -19895092.21 | 0.00 |
| 2003-04 | 31432.453 | 1422 | 44696948.17 | 1822.818 | 235.92 | 430039.2226 | 45126987.39 | 84048048 | . 3892106061 | 0.00 |
| 2004-05 | 42374.454 | 983 | 41654117.77 | 1096.242 | 225 | 24665445 | 41900772.22 | 77201119 | . 35300346.78 | 0.00 |
| 2005-06 | 26887 14 | 1178 | 31673050.92 | 7994.527 | 132.44 | 1053795.156 | 32731846.08 | 78295400 | -45563553.92 | 0.00 |
| 2006-07 | 2867143 | 1551 | 26959387.93 | 2565 | 133.41 | 342196.65 | 2930158458 | 61621383 | . 32319798.42 | 0.00 |
| 2007-08 | 29554.628 | 14135 | 41775466.68 | 1850 | 150 | 277500 | 42052966.68 | 60395010 | -18342043.32 | 0.00 |
| 2008-09 | 42227866 | 1504 | 63510710.46 | 1430 | 200 | 286000 | 6379671046 | 80469835 | -16673124.54 | 0.00 |
| 2009-10 | 61733.768 | 1574.83 | 97228064.01 | 13850 | 300 | 4155000 | 101783064 | 99092814 | 2290250.009 | 68707.50 |
| 2010-11 | 41698.568 | 18.31 | 76016489.46 | 5610 | 300 | 1683000 | 77699489.46 | 94623810 | -16924320.54 | 0.00 |
| 2011-12 | 27482.87 | 1841.58 | 50611901.73 | 3900 | 500 | 1950000 | 52561903.73 | 50473917 | -27912013.27 | 0.00 |
| 2012-13 | 6651.081 | 2549.25 | 16955268.24 | 15150 | 647.5 | 9809625 | 26764893.24 | 70572649 | -43507755.76 | 0.00 |
| 2013-14 | 4124.537 | 3082.5 | 12713888. 39 | 9355 | 750 | 7016250 | 19730138.39 | 53085526 | -33355377.62 | 0.00 |
| 2014-15 | 16596.206 | 3172.92 | 52658433.94 | 47500 | 800 | 38000000 | 9065143339 | 77067533 | 13590900.94 | 407727.63 |
| 2015-16 | 15038.052 | 2977.75 | 4477955934 | 37815 | 800 | 30252000 | 75031559.34 | 74035624 | 995935.343 | 29578.06 |
| 2016-17 | 10343.074 | 3817 | 3947951346 | 11670 | 800 | 9296000 | 48775513.46 | 53052478 | -4276964.542 | 000 |
| 2017-18 | 3404934 | 3857 | 13132830.44 | 12720 | 800 | 9376000 | 22508830.44 | 53210803 | -30701972.56 | 0.00 |
| 2018-19 | 1167487 | 3899 | 4552031813 | 131 | 800 | 104800 | 4656831.813 | 43547561 | -39190729.19 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL (Rs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 180457632.3 | 2443413.80 |

$\mathbf{3 \%}$ of Net Profit by finance during 1993-94 to 2018-19 = Rs $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 3}$ Lakhs is considered in CRAP.
4) THE PROJECT PROPONENT NEEDS TO REVISE THE NRAP AND CRAP ACCORDINGLY, PARTICULARLY, AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT NEEDS TO BE REVISED IN THE PLAN.

REVISED DAMAGE COST FOR EMISSION OF AIR POLLUTANTS:

| Teer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eacesin } \\ & \text { Praturtion } \\ & \text { (Tannel) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Cost per $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ of (tminsion (in Re.) |  |  |  | Aevised Damace cent per arnum (inta.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $M_{4}$ | PM ${ }_{\text {ct }}$ | 50. | ND, | $\mathrm{Mm}_{13}$ | PMa | 10, | no, |  |
| 1994.95 | 1139754 | 4318 | 36.51 | 0002 | 10.057 | 524 | 340 | 163 | 36 | *231.21,565 54 |
| 1 mb - | 1318728 | 2.34 | 2351 | 0002 | 6794 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1796-97 | 1398490 | 110 | 27.42 | 0002 | 7371 |  |  |  |  | Mr 11.44 .07264 |
| 1597) 94 | 1291358 | 2.8 | 25,37 | 0.002 | 5827 |  |  |  |  | R1. $11.80 .021: 8$ |
| 1984.98 | 726360 | 1.72 | 1459 | 0.001 | 404) |  |  |  |  | R2 10,11,0949 |
| 17\%-2000 | 661578 | 1.60 | 1354 | 0001 | 1748 |  |  |  |  | RI 17.44 .499 .55 |
| 2000.01 | 67260 | 164 | 13 43 | 0001 | 377 |  |  |  |  | R1. 17,112,619.90 |
| 200102 | 962352 | 1 \% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1747 | 0.001 | 4497 |  |  |  |  | 12.22,22,25035 |
| 200243 | 25024 | 0.97 | 67 | 0000 | 2138 |  |  |  |  | R2.9.15.054.47 |
| $2003-4$ | 267712 | 0.4 | 613 | 0.000 | 1914 |  |  |  |  | F. $1.51,721.37$ |
| 20045 | 40512 | 127 | 38 | 0.001 | 2.83 |  |  |  |  | *2. 13, $10,592.19$ |
| J005-96 | 26712 | 0.4 | 5.42 | 0.000 | 1.956 |  |  |  |  | P2. $2.61,78031$ |
| 2006-07 | 22014 | 0.65 | 512 | 0.000 | 1484 |  |  |  |  | A. 6.65 .137124 |
| 2007-04 | 31416 | as | 7.47 | 0.000 | 2163 |  |  |  |  | P. 9,74,656 54 |
| 2008 -9 | 915188 | 1.15 | 1865 | 0001 | 5.0\% |  |  |  |  | R12 24,02, 061 06 |
| 2000:10 | 12028 | 050 | 161 | 0000 | 1.103 |  |  |  |  | ค. 4.41 .767 .76 |
| 2010.11 | (1n1m | 2.51 | 21.33 | 0001 | 5834 |  |  |  |  | 12.21,10,50164 |
| 2011-12 | 353005 | 097 | 7.65 | 0000 | 2.225 |  |  |  |  | *120,23.54s 85 |
| 2012-13 | 244500 | 0.51 | 365 | 0000 | 1.127 |  |  |  |  | P. 4.90 .22565 |
| 2513.16 | 76573 | 0.25 | 206 | 0000 | 0541 |  |  |  |  | 6. $2,77,977$ 日9 |
| 2024-15 | 65775 | 08 | 431 | 0.000 | 1754 |  |  |  |  | A2, 6, 99, 61115 |
| 2015-16 | 17904 | 0.4 | 573 | 0.000 | 1.977 |  |  |  |  | R2. 2.04253 .35 |
| 2016-17 | 115172 | 045 | 113 | 0.000 | 0.914 |  |  |  |  | M. $4.10 .34 n+11$ |
| 2017-28 | 27751 | 021 | 1.21 | 0000 | 0465 |  |  |  |  | 12. 1,83,005,68 |
| 2011 -19 | 11841 | 004 | 0.33 | 0.000 | OOSI |  |  |  |  | H1 42,366, 02 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R. 1,53,35,154.01 |

DAMAGE COST OF AIR POLLUTION IS REVISED FROM RS.3.18 CRORES TO RS.3.55 CRORES.

- The Damage Cost due to Air quality during the violation period was revised from 3.15 Crores to 3.55 Crores and this cost of 3.55 Crore considered in NRAP \& CRAP.
- The damage cost due to water environment and solid waste management remains the same as shown in Chapter-13 of the EIA/EMP Report.

The summary of the DAMAGE ASSESMENT COST IS AS SHOWN HEREUNDER

SUMMARY OF DAMAGE ASSESSMENT COST:

| S.No | Environmental Activity | Previously proposed Damage Cost | Revised Damage Cost |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Land Environment | Rs. $13,50,000$ | Rs.66,00,000 |
| 2 | Ecology \& Bio-Diversity |  | Rs. $14,85,000$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $3 \%$ of EMP cost saved in the past 26 years | Rs,2,00,000 | Rs. $20.43,000$ |
| 4 | $3 \%$ of Net Profit during Violation Period |  | Rs.24,43,413 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Air Environment | Rs.3,18,68,661 | Rs.3,55,89,154 |
| 6 | Water Environment | Rs.84,15,493 | Rs.84,15,493 |
| 7 | Solid waste Management | Rs. $41,18,610$ | Rs.41,18,610 |
|  | TOTAL: | Rs.4,59,52,764 /. | Rs.6,06,94,670/. |

## REVISED REMEDIATION PLAN:

| Remediation Plan (Cost in Rs, lakha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51. <br> No. | Component Remediation | Remediation Proposed | Description | Locations | Unit Rate in Rs. | Total Qty. | Total <br> Cost <br> in <br> Lakhs | Phasing Years in Lakhs |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | YearI | YearII | Year. III |
| 13 | Air \& Nolse Environment | Dust suppression | Providing Wind Barrier And Vertical Gireenery To Villages Adjacent / Running Parallel To Mi. Area | Chettichavadi | Rs. $10,00,000$ / per 100 meter | $\begin{aligned} & 650 \\ & \text { Meters } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 65.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 20.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs } \\ 20.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 25.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1b | Nose <br> Enviranment and Ecology | Avenue Plantation | Plantation of <br> total 6500 Nos of native plants at lis 1500 per saplinge. including maintenance for 3 years in neacty nllages and periphery of the project | Chettichavadi <br> Velakalpatt: <br> Kondapanayakanpatti <br> Senaggowandanur <br> Karuppur | Rs 1500 per Plant | 6500 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } \\ & 97.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } \\ & 32.50 \end{aligned}$ | Rs 32.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } \\ & 3250 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-Total - A (1a*1b) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 162.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 52.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 52.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 57.5 \end{aligned}$ |

REVISED NATURAL RESOURCE AUGMENTATION:

| SI. No. | Comp onent Reme diatio n | Proposed Activity | Description | Locations | Unit Rate in Rs. | Total Qty. | Total Cost | Phasing years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | YearI | Year- <br> II | YearIII |
| 2 a | Natural <br> Resource <br> Augment <br> ation <br> Plan | Water <br> Management <br> \& Ground <br> Water <br> Recharge | Development of Rain Water Harvesting and ground water recharge structure 5 Nos Each in nearby 5 villages | 1. Chettichavadi- 10 Nos <br> 2. Velakkalpatti-10 Nos <br> 3. Kondapanayakanpatti-10 Nos <br> 4 Senaigowandanur - 10 Nos <br> 5. Karuppur-10 Nos | Rs.57000/o ne unit | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { nos. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs } \\ 28.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 9.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 9.5 \end{aligned}$ | Rs. 9.5 |
|  |  |  | Restoration and Cleaning of water bodies like ponds, Nallahs, Streams, etc in and around the lease area | 1. Chettichavadi Village <br> 2. Velakkalpatti Village <br> 3. Kondapanayakanpatti village. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } 13,00,00 \\ 0 / \text { each } \\ \text { viliage } \end{gathered}$ | 5 <br> nos. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } \\ & 65.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 21.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 21.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 21.66 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 b . |  |  | Construction of Check Dams of size 4 Mtr Length $\times 2$ Mtr Height $X$ 1 Metre Width in nearby villages | 1. Chettichavadi Village <br> 2. Velakkalpatti Village <br> 3. Kondapanayakanpatti village. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs } 13,00,00 \\ \text { 0/each } \\ \text { village } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { nos } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 65.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 21.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 21.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 21.66 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Energy <br> Conservation by adapting Green Energy technology | Providing 40 W Solar Street Lighting (including panels, inverters, wiring. structure, connectors, junction boxes, etc.) in nearby villages Rs.77, 500 per unit. | 1. Chettichavadi Village -20 Nos <br> 2. Outside area Around ML area 30 Nos <br> 3. Sides of Public roads connectin ML Boundary gate till factory gate - 50 Nos | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } 77,500 / \mathrm{o} \\ & \text { ne unit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { nos. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 77.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 25.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 25.83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 25.83 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Sub-Total - A (2a+2b+2c) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 236.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 78.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs. } \\ 78.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs. } \\ & 78.7 \end{aligned}$ |

Revised Community Resource Augmentation Plan:

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Si} \\ & \mathrm{Ne} \end{aligned}$ | Community Resource Augmentation Plan (Cost in lls Laiky) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Compone nt Remedati on | Proposed Activity | Dercription | Location: | Unit thate月s. | Total Gity | Total Cost | Phavingrears |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yex } \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | Year-11 | rear- if |
|  | Communty Rewource Aughentation Plan | Development of infrattructure | Construction / eapanvon of following as per requirement from iccal village panchaysti or municipality in nearty wilages at $\mathrm{H}_{3} 50,00,000 /$ - per village. Public Toilets / Eath Rooms. <br> Overhead Water storage Tants Compound Wall around Buildings at neatby Government Schools. <br> But ntops <br> Pubicic Lorary <br> Publc Children part <br> Primary Health centre | Chetticharad vilage <br> Velakalpatil vilage <br> Kondapansickanperti <br> village <br> Karuppor Tom <br> Panchayat | his 50,00,000\% <br> per village | 4 Nos Villeges | As $13200$ | hs 4400 | Rs 4400 | Ws 4400 |
| 3b |  | Supply of Cquipment's | Provison of followng in nearby sovernment shools. <br> Sports Materials e Ris 15 lacs tump sum cost <br> 1 NonWater Purfiern or 105 each cost <br> Computern with Networt facility facilating smart Claw erl 3 Laca Lump Sum cost | Chetrichavad vilage . <br> 2 Schools <br> Velakaliparti vilage - 1 <br> School | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hi } 5,00,000 \\ & \text { per schoor } \end{aligned}$ | 3 Nos | $\begin{aligned} & n_{1} \\ & 15,00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{2} \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{1} \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 c |  | improvne <br> 5000 economic 4 Health condtions | Provideg following medical equibment's to nearty Prumery Heath Centes. <br> 5 Nos Patient Bedr with height adjustment PRs.0 25 taceach una 1 Nos LCG Machine e Rs a 5 Lacs Each unt <br> 1 Nos Portable X - ${ }^{2}$ ay Machine e <br> Mas 15 Lacs each unt <br> 5 Nos Vital Montors ers 100 La <br> Each <br> E Nos SHYCOCAN (Viral Attenuation Device including Covo 151 e Rs 030 tacleach. | CNettuchavadivilage <br> Velakkalpatt viliage <br> Kondapanaickanpatti <br> village <br> Karupper Town <br> Panchayat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R1 } 10.24,000 \\ & \text { / - per viluge } \end{aligned}$ | 6 Nor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ms } \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rs } \\ & 183 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RI } \\ & \text { 118 } 34 \end{aligned}$ | . 13 $18.34$ |
|  |  |  | Sub-Tceat-C [3a*3b-3Cl |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hs } \\ 20844 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fi} \\ 69411 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RL} \\ 69.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ 69.43 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grand Totai $A=8+C\left(R_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1} \\ 606.94 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rs } \\ 20063 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{20063}{R_{1}}$ | $\begin{gathered} n_{1} \\ 20565 \end{gathered}$ |

BUDGET FOR REMEDIATION PLAN, NATURAL RESOURCE AUGMENTATION PLAN AND COMMUNITY RESOURCE AUGMENTATION PLAN:

| SI. | Description <br> No. | Previously proposed Cost <br> (Rs. in Lakhs) | Estimated cost <br> (Rs. in Lakhs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Remediation Plan | 127.50 | 162.50 |
| 2 | Natural Resources Augmentation <br> Plan | 155.00 | 236.00 |
| 3 | Community Resources <br> Augmentation Plan | 177.60 | 208.44 |
| 4 | Sub-Total <br> 2\% Contribution from Capital Cost <br> against community welfare <br> activities under OM dated <br> 30/09/2020 based on PH <br> requirements (CER) | 460.10 | 606.94 |
|  | 34 | 39.14 |  |

We will respond to the observation and recommendation of $46^{* *}$ EAC Meeting in respect of Amedment of TOR under proposal no: IA/TN/MIN/254214/2022 held on 15 Feb 2022 seperately, later on.

Thanking You.



## KML Shape File:

1 The Chettichavadi Jaghir Magnesite \& Dunite Mines named after the village Chettichavadi forms part of the reputed Chalk hills of Salem. The Chalk hills of Salem is compromised of two detached Magnesite belts, a smaller one to the South and a larger one to the North East separated by Nagarmalai and Karunkaradu Hills. The Chettichavadi Jaghir Mines lies in the North Eastern Belt. The area is a plateau region situated between the foot hills of Shevaroys Hill range and the Salem City.
2 This area is slightly elevated than its surroundings. There are some hillocks being apart and the rest of the terrain forms low undulating ridges and mounds and hence it has no drainage problem. The highest reduced level on the hillock is about 400 m above MSL and the lowest reduced level 340 m above MSL in the low lying area.
3 The lease hold area has got a common boundary in the west with the SAIL Refractory Co. Ltd mining area and in the North there is kurumbapatti Reserved Forest lying at the South Western Foot of the Shevaroy Hills where active mining is carried out by the Tamilnadu Magnesite Ltd.
4 Mining of Magnesite is carried out in the Chalk hills belt for the past one century by the opencast method. The Chettichavadi Jaghir Mines is being operated by M/s.Dalmia Bharat Sugar \& Industries Ltd for the past 60 Years.
5 The ore bearing area lies in the western and northern part of the lease hold and on the east and south there is a barren zone separated by ore- non ore contact. All the waste dumps are situated in the barren zone in the east and south. The existing spoil banks are started from the lease boundary leaving a barrier zone of 7.5 m and are advanced westwards upto their maximum holding capacity. The waste dumps have reached $25-30 \mathrm{~m}$ height with an angle of repose 37 degree from the horizontal.

6 Wherever required step dumping is started with a height of 1510 m leaving a width of about 10 m between the stages.
7 Wherever the spoil banks have reached the ultimate limits in the East and South, we have planted trees on the slopes of the spoil banks to prevent solid wash off during heavy rains.
8 Further stone parapet wall of 1.5 m height is constructed around the toe of the spoil banks for a length of 1805 m in the present mining lease area and trenches are dug outside the parapet walls to prevent flow of the spoil during rains. These trenches act as the garland drains around the toe of the spoil banks.
9 The disposal of spoil is made in the non ore being areas approved by IBM. No residential area, human settlement or township is located in the mining lease hold area.
10All the spoil banks are active and would not reach their full holding capacity by the end of the lease period (i.e,) 31.03.2030.

11 Afforestation is carried out by planting tree saplings on the slopes of the spoil banks. Further the avenue plantation is also carried out along sides of the haulage and approach roads, vacant lands, in Mines Magazine areas, Office Premises, Workshop Premises, canteen premises and on the 7.5 mtr barrier zone etc. The total area covered is around 98 Hectare and total no of trees planted since 1987 till date are 62674 Nos.
P.G.Kalidass, Agent \& Supdt of Mines.
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## PLANTATION ALL ALONG SIDE OF THE APPROACH ROAD:
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## PLANTATION AROUND MINES OFFICE PREMISES:
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PLANTATION ON THE PERIPHERY OF THE ABANDONED WORKING PITS:
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## PLANTATION AROUND MAGAZINE:



PHOTOGRAPH NO- 01



PHOTOGRAPH NO- 03


PHOTOGRAPH NO- 04


PHOTOGRAPH NO- 05


PHOTOGRAPH NO- 06

PLANTATION ,STONE PARAPET WALL,GARLAND DRAINAGE \& BOUNDARY PILLAR ALL ALONG THE SPOIL BANK ON SOUTHERN SIDE OF THE LEASE BOUNDARY:
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## PLANTATION ALL ALONG THE VACANT LAND:
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