

Minutes of the 207th Meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), Haryana constituted for considering Environmental Clearance of Projects (B Category) under Government of India Notification dated 14.09.2006 held on 17.12.2020 & 18.12.2020 under the Chairmanship of Sh. V. K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC, through Video Conferencing (VC).

Agenda Item No.	Minuting	Correction/To be read as								
206.05	1. M/s Vertical Logistic Parks LLP 2. Sr. No. 10 RWH pits (Table basic details)	1. M/s Vertical Logistic Park LLP 2. Sr. No. 10 RWH pits (Table basic details)								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Expansion</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>13 pits</td> <td>24pits</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Expansion	Total	13 pits	24pits	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Expansion</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>10 pits and 1 tank</td> <td>21 pits and 1 tank</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Expansion	Total	10 pits and 1 tank	21 pits and 1 tank
	Expansion	Total								
	13 pits	24pits								
Expansion	Total									
10 pits and 1 tank	21 pits and 1 tank									

At the outset the Chairman, SEAC welcomed the Members of the SEAC and advised the Secretary to give brief background of this meeting. The minutes of the 206th Meeting were discussed and approved without any modification. In the meeting 22 numbers of projects received from SEIAA, were taken up for scoping, appraisal and grading as per agenda circulated.

In the wake of recent crises of COVID-19, lockdown situation, Committee took a decision to scope and appraises the EC cases as per the guidelines issued by MoEF&CC from time to time by video conferencing. It was decided that before the commencement of online video conferencing the agenda is required to be mailed beforehand. Accordingly the agenda of the present meeting was mailed to SEAC members in advance and a video conference meeting was organized in this regard on 17.12.2020 & 18.12.2020.

The 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana was held online by video conferencing on 17.12.2020 and 18.12.2020 and following members joined the meeting:

Sr. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Dr. Surinder Kumar Mehta	Member
2.	Shri Anil Kumar Mehta	Member
3.	Shri Raj Kumar Sapra,	Member
4.	Dr. Mehar Chand	Member
5.	Dr. S. N. Mishra	Member
6.	Ar. Hitender Singh	Member
7.	Shri Prabhakar Verma	Member
8.	Dr. Vivek Saxena	Member
9.	Dr. R. K. Chauhan, Joint Director, Environment & Climate Change Department, Haryana	Secretary

207.01 EC for Extension and Amendment of Residential Group Housing Colony at Village Nangal Khurd, Sector 19, District Sonipat, Haryana by Sh. Ram Mehar & others in collaboration with M/s TDI Infrastructure Limited .

Project Proponent : Sh. Subodh Saxena
Consultant : Perfact Enviro

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/181624/2020 dated 11.11.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for Extension and Amendment in EC under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Then, the case was taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 27.11.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee and requested for amendment and extension of environment clearance granted to the project vide letter no. 1547 dated 24.12.2013.

- The Proposed project is for Extension and Amendment of Environment clearance of Residential Group Housing Colony at Village Nangal Khurd, Sector 19, District Sonipat, Haryana by Sh. Ram Mehar & others in collaboration with M/s TDI Infrastructure Limited.
- Earlier EC was granted to the project vide letter no. 1547 dated 24.12.2013 for a plot area measuring 43857.73 sqm.
- The revised Building plan has been approved vide letter no. 9231 dated 11.05.2017 of residential Group Housing Colony for an area measuring 10.8375 acres at Sector 19, District Sonipat, Haryana by Sh. Ram Mehar & others in collaboration with M/s TDI Infrastructure Limited
- Earlier the license No. 70 of 2012 has been granted to Sh.Ram Mehar & others c/o TDI Infrastructure Ltd. for setting up of Residential Group Housing Colony over an additional area measuring 10.8375 acres falling in the Revenue Estate of Village Nangal Khurd, Sector 19, Sonipat.
- The detailed discussion was held on the project whether it is to be considered as amendment or expansion and it is pointed out by members that as the built up area and plot area are same and there is no increase in the plot area and built up area. However, built up area is decreased from 88942.33 sq.mtr to 88060.39 sq.mtr. As built up area is decreased the project shall be appraised as amendment. In the existing infrastructure, there is decrease in the number of floors from G+14 to G+12 and the height of the building reduced from 51 mtrs to 39.1 mtrs. The dwelling units have been increased from 653 to 658 and EWS increases from 116 to 117. Therefore, the committee discussed the project in terms of amendment in view of pollution load and after discussion the committee agrees to appraise the project in terms of amendment.

The PP presented the case before the committee. The detail of the project is as below:-

- The PP and consultant submitted Form-VI for extension of validity of environment clearance vide letter no. 1547 on dated 24.12.2013.
- The environment clearance issued vide letter no. 1547 on dated 24.12.2013 will expire on 23.12.2020.
- The license No. 70 of 2012 has been granted to Sh.Ram Mehar & others C/o TDI Infrastructure Ltd. for setting up of Residential Group Housing Colony over an additional area measuring 10.8375 acres falling in the Revenue Estate of village Nangal Khurd, Sector 19, Sonipat.
- The PP informed the committee that due to change in the planning and approval of the Building plan vide letter no. 9231 dated 11.05.2017 for an area measuring 10.8375

acres at Sector 19, District Sonapat, Haryana by Sh.Ram Mehar & others in collaboration with M/s TDI Infrastructure Limited, amendments are required in the earlier EC granted vide no. 1547 on dated 24.12.2013.

- The PP and consultant informed the committee that plot area and built up area of the project remains the same. However, built up area is marginally decreased from 88942.33 sqm to 88060.39 sqm.
- The number of floors of the project decreased from G+14 to G+12 and the height of the building reduced from 51 mtrs to 39.1 mtrs. The dwelling units have been increased from 653 to 658 and EWS increases from 116 to 117.
- The PP submitted the water requirement for the project @ 86 lpcd for dwelling units but committee informed the PP that water requirement for the project shall not be deviated from the existing i.e. @111 lpcd.
- The PP submitted the form VI for extension in validity of environment clearance which also mentioned about the required amendments as proposed.
- The committee also deliberated that as per the EIA Notification 2006 7(ii) Clause was given for expansion without change in pollution load, which was further modified by Gazette notification dated 23 November, 2016 which state and where as in some industrial projects, information of production process, equipment, estimated pollution load and planned mitigation measures, which are mentioned in environmental clearance, change after detailed design engineering which is mostly under taken after environmental clearance is granted. The Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 shall provide for resultant change in environmental clearance based on factual information or data without having to go through entire environmental clearance process again, provided the proposed change does not result in any adverse impact on environment. In the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006,-(I) in paragraph 7, for sub-paragraph (ii), the following sub-paragraph shall be substituted, namely:-"7(ii). Prior Environmental Clearance (EC) process for Expansion or Modernization or Change of product mix in existing projects:

"Any change in product-mix, change in quantities with in products or number of products in the same category for which environmental clearance has been granted shall be exempt from the requirement of prior environmental clearance provided that there is no change in the total capacity sanctioned in prior environmental clearance granted earlier under this notification and there is no increase in pollution load. The project proponent shall follow the procedure for obtaining No Increase in Pollution Load certificate from the concerned State Pollution Control Board"

Copy of Gazette Notification is placed in file. This project is a building construction project. In the present case neither there is an increase in plot area nor built up area. Hence, slight change in configuration result in decrease in-built up area.

The discussion was held on the water requirement of the project from the point of view of allowed water in earlier environment clearance letter dated 21.12.2013 and present amendment. The members desired to know the exact status of water requirement allowed (@135 lpcd or @ 111 lpcd) as per earlier EC letter dated 24.12.2013. The detail of water requirement as per file was placed before the committee as below:-

- The PP and consultant submitted water requirement @135 LPCD as per form I and IA but committee after deliberation recommended water requirement @111 LPCD in view of water conservation and PP submitted documents including presentation @111 LPCD. The committee agrees with the data available in file that water requirements were allowed @111 LPCD in earlier environment clearance letter dated 23.12.2013. However, the condition mentioned that PP shall provide the

infrastructure @135 LPCD. The committee unanimously agreed about the water requirements allowed to the PP and further conveyed that in amendment the water requirement will not be permitted to change @86 LPCD and shall resubmit the water calculations @ 111 LPCD as per earlier EC letter dated 111 LPCD.

The discussion was held on earlier CER, water calculation, pollution load, revised form I & IA, self contained note on amendments, Green Plan, approved building plans, dwelling units proposed amendments, pollution load increase certificate, Form VI & IV etc. The committee after discussion raised certain observations regarding revised water balance calculations which were replied by the PP vide letter dated 18.12.2020.

- The PP submitted the revised water calculation for amendment and which comes out to be approximately same as sanctioned in the earlier Environment Clearance. The PP withdraw the amendment in water requirement and the committee discussed and decided that no amendment shall be recommended for the marginal change in water requirements
- The PP submitted the revised solid waste calculation marginally lower than the allowed as per earlier EC letter and after discussion committee decided not to recommend amendment in Solid waste for better management of solid waste
- The PP submitted the amendment in total dwelling units as per the revised building plans approved by the DTCP, the committee agrees with the amendment in total units including dwelling units, EWS, servant units. The committee also agrees with the amendment in population calculation submitted by PP. The committee also agrees with the amendment provided in parking in view of better environment. The PP informed the committee that they will provide the stake parking in the basement which will result into space on the ground and extra parking will be provided on the ground floor, which is agreed upon by the committee.(parking plan is placed in file) and after detailed deliberation decided to allow following amendments.

The details of the project, as per the documents submitted by the project proponent, and also as informed during the presentation in the meeting are as under:-

Table 1:

Name of the Project: "EC for Extension and Amendment of Residential Group Housing Colony at Village Nangal Khurd, Sector 19, District Sonipat, Haryana by M/s TDI Infrastructure Limited			
Sr. No	Particulars	Details as per earlier Environmental Clearance no. 1547 dated 24.12.2013	Amendment in Environmental Clearance no. 1547 dated 24.12.2013
1	Built up area sqm	88942.33 sqm	88060.39 sqm
2	Total Units (No)	853	841
3	Dwelling units (No)	653	658
4	Servant units(No)	84	66
5	EWS (No)	116	117
6.	No. of Floors	G+14	G+12
7.	Height of the Building(m)	51	39.1

8.	Total Population (No)	4255	4239
9	Parking(ECS)	1235	1442
	Cost of project (Cr)	100	277.83 Cr.

Table 2: Construction Status

Sr. No	DESCRIPTION	PRESENT STATUS OF CONSTRUCTION
1	Excavation work	Completed
2	Foundation work	Completed
3	RCC work	Completed
4	Masonry work	95 % completed
5	Finishing work	70 % completed
6	Drainage system	completed
7	Sewage treatment plan	Civil work completed.
8	Rain water Harvesting pit	constructed
9	Plantation	Partially Done
10	Roads	completed
11	Installation of Electrical & mechanical items & fire	95%
12	Plastering	90%
13	Painting and Exteriors	90%

The committee deliberated that as there is having no increase in pollution load and decided to recommend the extension and amendments in the earlier EC issued vide letter no.1547 on dated 24.12.2013 to SEIAA with the following additional stipulations and other conditions will remain the same as per earlier Environment Clearance no. 1547 dated 24.12.2013.

Additional Stipulations:-

1. The PP shall ensure that total 2% of the cost of project shall be spent on EMP Budget for expansion part. The EMP cost on Socio Economic activities shall be used before the commencement of the project & EMP recurring inside the project shall be implemented throughout the operation of the project.
2. The Project Proponent shall obtain all necessary clearance/permission from all relevant agencies including town planning authority before commencement of work. All the construction shall be done in accordance with the local building byelaws.

3. Consent to establish/operate for the project shall be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board as required under the Air (Prevention and Control of pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1974.
4. The Approval of the Competent Authority shall be obtained for structural safety of building code due to earthquakes, adequacy of firefighting equipments etc. as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightening etc.
5. The PP shall obtain the Fire NOC from the Competent Authority before taking the occupation of the building.
6. The PP shall install the Eco Friendly Green Transformer based on ester oil to reduce the carbon footprint. The PP shall shift to gas based generator set when the gas is available. The PP shall install APCM for the DG set. The PP shall reduce the SO₂ load by 30% if HSD is used by installing wet scrubbers/ other Air Pollution Control Measures (APCM).
7. The PP shall not give occupation or possession before the water supply and sewage connection permitted by the competent authority.
8. The PP shall not give occupation or possession before the electricity connection permitted by the competent Authority
9. The PP shall obtain the permission regarding withdrawal of ground water from CGWA before the start of the project and also obtained the CTO from HSPCB after the approval from CGWA.
10. The PP shall carry out the quarterly awareness programs for the stakeholders of the commercial colony/project.
11. The PP shall take all preventive measures including water sprinkles to control dust during construction and operational phase and anti smog gun shall also be provided.
12. The PP shall provide the mechanical ladder for use in case of emergency.
13. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions regarding Corporate Environment Responsibility as per existing environment clearance for existing part.
14. Any change in stipulations of EC will lead to Environment Clearance void-ab-initio and PP will have to seek fresh Environment Clearance.

207.02 EC for Proposed Affordable Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna-2016 of land measuring 10.96875 acres (Phase-II) in Revenue Estate of village Shimla, Maulana, Sector 40, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Herman Properties Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Sh. Amit Kumar
Consultant : Vardan EnviroNet

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/184242/2020 dated 25.11.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in the 207th meeting of the SEAC held on 17.12.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee.

- The proposed project is Affordable Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna Scheme-2016 of 10.96875 Acres (Phase-II) at village Shimla Maulana, Sector-40, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Herman Properties Pvt. Ltd.
- The land falls under the Residential zone as per the Panipat Plan 2021.
- The project has been granted license No. 05 of 2020 dated 17.01.2020 for the development of Affordable Plotted Colony.
- 100 Local laborers from nearby area will be employed during the construction phase. In the operation phase, there will be an influx of 4471 persons in the form of resident, staff & visitors.
- Total water requirement of proposed project will be 326KLD, which include 200KLD for fresh water requirement, and about 126KLD treated water shall be reused for the various purpose like horticulture and flushing. The source shall be HSVP. Waste water generated will be 242KLD which will be treated in

- on-site STP having capacity of 320KLD.
- Soil excavated will be reused for backfilling and the top soil will be reused for horticultural purpose.
- Spillage of oil from the machinery or waste oil machineries will be properly collected in HDPE drums and disposed off to the vendors authorized by HSPCB.
- The PP submitted the Geotechnical studies by M/s.Eldeco Infrastructure and Properties Limited New Delhi Water Table at this site has not been found up to the depth of 15.00 m below the existing ground surface. The proposed structure is going to have buildings without basement floor having founding level at 1.50m below the existing ground level.
- The Gurukul International School- 0.49 Km towards South direction. MASD Public School - 2.4 Km towards SW direction. Hospitals Pahuja Hospital - 5.9 Km towards South direction. Civil Hospital, Panipat - 6.2 Km towards South direction.
- Bir Bara Ban Wildlife Sanctuary is 67.5 km towards WSW direction and Sultanpur National Park is 109.6km towards S direction.
- No wildlife Sanctuary falls within 10km from the project area.

The details of the project, as per the documents submitted by the project proponent, and also as informed during the presentation in the meeting are as under:-

Table 1:

Name of the Project: Proposed Affordable Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna Scheme-2016 of 10.96875 Acres (Phase-II) at village Shimla Maulana, Sector-40, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Herman Properties Pvt. Ltd.		
Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/MIS/184242/2020
2.	Latitude	29° 27' 36.5" N to 29° 27' 36.1" N
3.	Longitude	76° 58' 29.3" E to 76° 58' 31.2" E
4.	Plot Area	44388.956 (10.96875 Acres)
5.	Net Plot Area	44388.956 (10.96875 Acres)
6.	Proposed Ground Coverage (i)Proposed GC of plots @66% (ii.)Proposed commercial area @60% (iii.)Proposed community Facility @ 33%	15801.71sqm i. 13451.003 ii. 878.673 iii. 1472.04
7.	Proposed FAR	47419.072 sqm
8.	Non FAR Area	5,863.4sqm
9.	Total Built Up area	53,282.472 sqm
10.	Total Green Area with %	8877.791 sqm (20%)
11.	Organic waste convertor	Two
12.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits (with size)	11 Pits of capacity (78.50m3 each)
13.	STP Capacity	320 KLD
14.	Power Requirement	1,115 KVA (UHBVN)
15.	Power Backup	1 No. of 125 KVA
16.	Total Water Requirement	326 KLD
17.	Domestic Water Requirement	200 KLD
18.	Fresh Water Requirement	200 KLD
19.	Treated Water	218 KLD(126 KLD in STP + 88 outside plot)
20.	Waste Water Generated	242 KLD
21.	Solid Waste Generated	1,748 Kg/day

22.	Biodegradable Waste		1049kg/day
23.	Total Plots		195
24.	Community Center		1 no. of area 4460.73sqm
25.	Total Cost of the project:	i) Land Cost	17.19 crores
		ii) Construction Cost	
26.	EMP Budget		Construction phase:- Capital Cost-16lacs Recurring cost-15.5 lacs Operation Phase:- Capital cost-50lacs Recurring cost-40lacs
27.	Incremental Load in respect of:	PM 2.5 ug/m3	0.00383
		PM 10 ug/m3	0.0076
		SO ₂ ug/m3	0.01916
		NO ₂ ug/m3	0.01533
28.	Construction Phase:	i) Power Back-up	Temporary Electrical connection of 19KW & 01DG of 125KVA
		ii) Water Requirement & Source	Fresh water – 10 KLD for drinking & sanitation. Treated wastewater 30 KLD for construction Source: Fresh water – HSVP Construction Water – treated wastewater from operational project
		iii) STP (Modular)	one
		iv) Anti-Smoke Gun	As per NGT orders 1 antismog gun will be provided in the project area

Table 2:- Environment Management Plan

Description	During Construction Phase		During Operation Phase		
	Capital Cost (Lakhs)	Recurring Cost (Lakhs for 5 Year)		Capital Cost (Lakhs)	Recurring Cost (Lakhs for 5 Year)
Waste Water Management	1	2.5	Waste Water Management (Sewage Treatment Plant)	35	10
Garbage & Debris disposal	0	3	Solid Waste Management (including Dust bins)	10	10
Green Belt Development	6	2	Green Belt Development	5	10
Air, Noise, Soil, Water Monitoring	0	5	Monitoring for Air, Water, Noise & Soil	0	5

Rainwater harvesting system	8	0	Rainwater harvesting system	0	5
PPE for workers & Health Care	1	3			
Total	16	15.5		50	40

The discussion was held on Green Plan, STP Details, EMP, RWH, ECBC, Traffic Circulation Plan, Dual Plumbing Plan, Green Area, and certain observations were raised which were replied by the PP vide letter dated 18.12.2020. The reply was placed before the committee and committee considered the reply.

After detailed deliberations the Committee rated this project with “**Gold Rating**” and was of the unanimous view that this case for granting Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India should be recommended to the SEIAA with the following specific and general stipulations:

A. Specific conditions:-

1. Sewage shall be treated in the STP based on MBBR Technology with tertiary treatment i.e. Ultra Filtration to achieve standards ordered by NGT. The Treated effluent from STP shall be recycled /reused for flushing. DG cooling and Gardening
2. The Project Proponent would devise a monitoring plan to the satisfaction of the State Pollution Control Board so as to continuously monitor the treated waste water being used for flushing in terms of faecal coli forms and other pathogenic bacteria.
3. The Project Proponents would commission a third party study on the implementation of conditions related to quality and quantity of recycle and reuse of treated water, efficiency of treatment systems, quality of treated water being supplied for flushing (specially the bacterial counts), comparative bacteriological studies from toilet seats using recycled treated waters and fresh waters for flushing, and quality of water being supplied through spray faucets attached to toilet seats.
4. Separate wet and dry bins must be provided in each unit and at ground level for facilitating segregation of waste. Solid Waste shall be segregated into wet garbage and inert materials. Wet Garbage shall be composted in Organic waste convertor. Adequate area shall be provided for solid waste management within the premises which will include area for segregation, composting. The Inert waste from the project will be sent to solid waste dumping site through authorized vender.
5. The PP shall ensure that total 2% of the cost of project shall be spent on EMP Budget. However, the amount and component shown in EMP table above shall also be included for the purpose of 2% amount. The EMP cost on Socio Economic activities shall be used before the commencement of the project & EMP recurring inside the project shall be implemented throughout the operation of the project.
6. Traffic management plan as submitted shall be implemented in letter and spirit. Apart, a detailed traffic management and traffic decongestion plan shall be drawn up to ensure that the current level of service of the roads within a 05 kms radius of the project is marinated and improved upon after the implementation of the project. This plan should be based on cumulative impact of all development and increased habilitation being carried out or purpose to be carried out by the project or other agencies in this 05kms radius of the site in different scenarios of space and time

7. A minimum of 1 tree for every 80sqm of land should be planted and maintained. The Existing trees will be counted for this purpose. The landscape planning should include plantation of native species. The species with heavy foliage, broad leaves and wide canopy cover are desirable. Water intensive and/or invasive species should not be used for landscaping. As proposed 8877.791 sqm (20%) shall be provided for Green Area development for whole project.
8. The Project Proponent shall obtain all necessary clearance/permission from all relevant agencies including town planning authority before commencement of work. All the construction shall be done in accordance with the local building byelaws.
9. Consent to establish/operate for the project shall be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board as required under the Air (Prevention and Control of pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1974.
10. The Approval of the Competent Authority shall be obtained for structural safety of building code due to earthquakes, adequacy of firefighting equipments etc. as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightening etc.
11. The PP shall not carry any construction above or below the Revenue Rasta.
12. The PP shall obtain the Fire NOC from the Competent Authority before taking the occupation of the building.
13. The PP shall install the Eco Friendly Green Transformer based on ester oil to reduce the carbon footprint. The PP shall shift to gas based generator set when the gas is available. The PP shall install APCM for the DG set. The PP shall reduce the SO₂ load by 30% if HSD is used by installing wet scrubbers/ other Air Pollution Control Measures (APCM).
14. The PP shall not give occupation or possession before the water supply and sewage connection permitted by the competent authority.
15. The PP shall not give occupation or possession before the electricity connection permitted by the competent Authority.
16. The PP shall obtain the permission regarding withdrawal of ground water from CGWA before the start of the project and also obtained the CTO from HSPCB after the approval from CGWA.
17. The PP shall carry out the quarterly awareness programs for the stakeholders of the commercial colony/project.
18. 11 Rain water harvesting recharge pits for ground water recharging as per the CGWB norms.
19. The PP shall install Digital water level recorder for monitoring the water recharge and carry out quarterly maintenance and cleaning of 11 RWH pits.
20. The PP shall provide the Anti smog gun mounted on vehicle in the project for suppression of dust during construction & operational phase and shall use the treated water, if feasible.
21. The PP shall take all preventive measures including water sprinkles to control dust during construction and operational phase.
22. Any change in stipulations of EC will lead to Environment Clearance void-ab-initio and PP will have to seek fresh Environment Clearance.

B. Statutory Compliance:

- [1] The project proponent shall obtain all necessary clearance/ permission from all relevant agencies including town planning authority for ground coverage, FAR and should be in accordance with zoning plan approved by Competent Authority before commencement of work. All the construction shall be done in accordance with the local building byelaws.
- [2] The approval of the Competent Authority shall be obtained for structural safety of buildings due to earthquakes, adequacy of firefighting equipment etc as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightening etc.

- [3] The project proponent shall obtain forest clearance under the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1986, in case of the diversion of forest land for non-forest purpose involved in the project.
- [4] The project proponent shall obtain clearance from the National Board for Wildlife, if applicable.
- [5] The project proponent shall obtain Consent to Establish/Operate under the provisions of Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 from the Haryana State Pollution Control Board.
- [6] The project proponent shall obtain the necessary permission for drawl of ground water /surface water required for the project from the competent authority.
- [7] A certificate of adequacy of available power from the agency supplying power to the project along with the load allowed for the project should be obtained.
- [8] All other statutory clearances such as the approvals for storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department shall be obtained, as applicable, by project proponents from the respective competent authorities.
- [9] The provisions of the Solid Waste (Management) Rules, 2016, e-Waste (Management) Rules, 2016, the Plastics Waste (Management) Rules, 2016 and Batteries waste (Management Handling Rules 2001 as amended in 2020) shall be followed.
- [10] The project proponent shall follow the ECBC Act/ECBC-Rules prescribed by Bureau of Energy Efficiency, Ministry of Power strictly in addition of bylaws of the State Government.

I Air Quality Monitoring and Preservation

- i. Notification GSR 94(E) dated 25.01.2018 of MoEF&CC regarding Mandatory Implementation of Dust Mitigation Measures for Construction and Demolition Activities for projects requiring Environmental Clearance shall be complied with.
- ii. A management plan shall be drawn up and implemented to contain the current exceedance in ambient air quality at the site.
- iii. The project proponent shall install system to carryout Ambient Air Quality monitoring for common/criterion parameters relevant to the main pollutants released (e.g. PM10 and PM2.5) covering upwind and downwind directions during the construction period.
- iv. Diesel power generating sets proposed as source of backup power should be of enclosed type and conform to rules made under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. The height of stack of DG sets should be equal to the height needed for the combined capacity of all proposed DG sets. Use of ultra lowsulphur diesel. The location of the DG sets may be decided with in consultation with State Pollution Control Board
- v. Construction site shall be adequately barricaded before the construction begins. Dust, smoke & other air pollution prevention measures shall be provided for the building as well as the site. These measures shall include screens for the building under construction, continuous dust/ wind breaking walls all around the site (at least 3 meter height). Plastic/tarpaulin sheet covers shall be provided for vehicles bringing in sand, cement, murrum and other construction materials prone to causing dust pollution at the site as well as taking out debris from the site.
- vi. Sand, murrum, loose soil, cement, stored on site shall be covered adequately so as to prevent dust pollution.
- vii. Wet jet shall be provided for grinding and stone cutting.
- viii. Unpaved surfaces and loose soil shall be adequately sprinkled with water to suppress dust.
- ix. All construction and demolition debris shall be stored at the site (and not dumped on the roads or open spaces outside) before they are properly disposed. All demolition and construction waste shall be managed as per the provisions of the Construction and Demolition Waste Rules 2016.
- x. The diesel generator sets to be used during construction phase shall be ultra lowsulphur diesel type and shall conform to Environmental (Protection) prescribed for

- air and noise emission standards.
- xi. The gaseous emissions from DG set shall be dispersed through adequate stack height as per CPCB standards. Acoustic enclosure shall be provided to the DG sets to mitigate the noise pollution. Ultra low sulphur diesel shall be used. The location of the DG set and exhaust pipe height shall be as per the provisions of the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) norms.
 - xii. For indoor air quality the ventilation provisions as per National Building Code of India.

II Water Quality Monitoring and Preservation

- i. The natural drain system should be maintained for ensuring unrestricted flow of water. No construction shall be allowed to obstruct the natural drainage through the site, on wetland and water bodies. Check dams, bio-swales, landscape, and other Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) are allowed for maintaining the drainage pattern and to harvest rain water.
- ii. Buildings shall be designed to follow the natural topography as much as possible. Minimum cutting and filling should be done.
- iii. Total fresh water use shall not exceed the proposed requirement as provided in the project details. The per capita supply should adhere to NBC 2016 and CGWA Notification dated 12.12.2018.
- iv. The quantity of fresh water usage, water recycling and rainwater harvesting shall be measured and recorded to monitor the water balance as projected by the project proponent. The record shall be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEF&CC along with six monthly Monitoring reports.
- v. A certificate shall be obtained from the local body supplying water, specifying the total annual water availability with the local authority, the quantity of water already committed the quantity of water allotted to the project under consideration and the balance water available. This should be specified separately for ground water and surface water sources, ensuring that there is no impact on other users.
- vi. At least 20% of the open spaces as required by the local building bye-laws shall be pervious. Use of Grass pavers, paver blocks with at least 50% opening, landscape etc. would be considered as pervious surface.
- vii. Installation of dual pipe plumbing for supplying fresh water for drinking, cooking and bathing etc and other for supply of recycled water for flushing, landscape irrigation, car washing, thermal cooling, conditioning etc. shall be done.
- viii. Use of water saving devices/ fixtures (viz. low flow flushing systems; use of low flow faucets tap aerators etc) for water conservation shall be incorporated in the building plan.
- ix. Separation of grey and black water should be done by the use of dual plumbing system. In case of single stack system separate recirculation lines for flushing by giving dual plumbing system be done.
- x. Water demand during construction should be reduced by use of pre-mixed concrete, curing agents and other best practices referred.
- xi. The local bye-law provisions on rain water harvesting should be followed. If local byelaw provision is not available, adequate provision for storage and recharge should be followed as per the Ministry of Urban Development Model Building Byelaws, 2016. Rain Water Harvesting pits shall be provided for ground water recharging as per the CGWB norms.
- xii. A rain water harvesting plan needs to be designed where the recharge bores of minimum one recharge bore per 5,000 square meters of built up area and storage capacity of minimum one day of total fresh water requirement shall be provided. In areas where ground water recharge is not feasible, the rain water should be harvested and stored for reuse. The ground water shall not be withdrawn without approval from the Competent Authority.
- xiii. All recharge should be limited to shallow aquifer.
- xiv. No ground water shall be used during construction phase of the project.
- xv. Any ground water dewatering should be properly managed and shall conform to the approvals and the guidelines of the CGWA in the matter. Formal approval shall be

- taken from the CGWA for any ground water abstraction or dewatering.
- xvi. The quantity of fresh water usage, water recycling and rainwater harvesting shall be measured and recorded to monitor the water balance as projected by the project proponent. The record shall be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEF&CC along with six monthly Monitoring reports.
 - xvii. Sewage shall be treated in the STP with tertiary treatment. The treated effluent from STP shall be recycled/re-used for flushing, AC make up water and gardening. As proposed, no treated water shall be disposed in to municipal drain.
 - xviii. No sewage or untreated effluent water would be discharged through storm water drains.
 - xix. Onsite sewage treatment of capacity of treating 100% waste water to be installed. The installation of the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) shall be certified by an independent expert and a report in this regard shall be submitted to the Ministry before the project is commissioned for operation. Treated waste water shall be reused on site for landscape, flushing, cooling tower, and other end-uses. Excess treated water shall be discharged as per statutory norms notified by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. Natural treatment systems shall be promoted.
 - xx. Periodical monitoring of water quality of treated sewage shall be conducted. Necessary measures should be made to mitigate the odour problem from STP.
 - xxi. Sludge from the onsite sewage treatment, including septic tanks, shall be collected, conveyed and disposed as per the Ministry of Urban Development, Central Public Health and Environmental Engineering Organization (CPHEEO) Manual on Sewerage and Sewage Treatment Systems, 2013.

III Noise Monitoring and Prevention

- i. Ambient noise levels shall conform to residential area/commercial area both during day and night as per Noise Pollution (Control and Regulation) Rules, 2000. Incremental pollution loads on the ambient air and noise quality shall be closely monitored during construction phase. Adequate measures shall be made to reduce ambient air and noise level during construction phase, so as to conform to the stipulated standards by CPCB / SPCB.
- ii. Noise level survey shall be carried as per the prescribed guidelines and report in this regard shall be submitted to Regional Officer of the Ministry as a part of six-monthly compliance report.
- iii. Acoustic enclosures for DG sets, noise barriers for ground-run bays, ear plugs for operating personnel shall be implemented as mitigation measures for noise impact due to ground sources.

IV Energy Conservation Measures

- i. Compliance with the Energy Conservation Building Code (ECBC) of Bureau of Energy Efficiency as per ECBC Act, 2017 read with ECBC Rules, 2018 shall be ensured. Buildings in the States which have notified their own ECBC, shall comply with the State ECBC also which is in no case should be less than 25% as prescribed.
- ii. Outdoor and common area lighting shall be LED.
- iii. Concept of passive solar design that minimize energy consumption in buildings by using design elements, such as building orientation, landscaping, efficient building envelope, appropriate fenestration, increased day lighting design and thermal mass etc. shall be incorporated in the building design. Wall, window, and roof R & U-values shall be as per ECBC specifications.
- iv. Energy conservation measures like installation of CFLs/ LED for the lighting the area outside the building should be integral part of the project design and should be in place before project commissioning.
- v. Solar, wind or other Renewable Energy shall be installed to meet electricity generation equivalent to 1% of the demand load or as per the state level/ local building bye-laws requirement, whichever is higher.

- vi. Solar power shall be used for lighting in the apartment to reduce the power load on grid. Separate electric meter shall be installed for solar power. Solar water heating shall be provided to meet 20% of the hot water demand of the commercial and institutional building or as per the requirement of the local building bye-laws, whichever is higher. Residential buildings are also recommended to meet its hot water demand from solar water heaters, as far as possible.
- vii. The PP will submit report indicating compliance of each parameter of ECBC requirement and submit quantification saving report for each component.

V Waste Management

- i. A certificate from the competent authority handling municipal solid wastes, indicating the existing civic capacities of handling and their adequacy to cater to the M.S.W. generated from project shall be obtained.
- ii. Disposal of muck during construction phase shall not create any adverse effect on the neighboring communities and be disposed taking the necessary precautions for general safety and health aspects of people, only in approved sites with the approval of competent authority.
- iii. Separate wet and dry bins must be provided in each unit and at the ground level for facilitating segregation of waste. Solid waste shall be segregated into wet garbage and inert materials.
- iv. Organic Waste Converter within the premises with a minimum capacity of 0.5 kg /person/day must be installed. Leaves to be put in earmarked pits for converting them into compost to be used as manure.
- v. All non-biodegradable waste shall be handed over to authorized recyclers for which a written tie up must be done with the authorized recyclers.
- vi. Any hazardous waste generated during construction phase, shall be disposed of as per applicable rules and norms with necessary approvals of the State Pollution Control Board.
- vii. Use of environment friendly materials in bricks, blocks and other construction materials, shall be required for at least 20% of the construction material quantity. These include Fly Ash bricks, hollow bricks, AACs, Fly Ash Lime Gypsum blocks, compressed earth blocks, and other environment friendly materials.
- viii. Fly ash should be used as building material in the construction as per the provision of Fly Ash Notification of September, 1999 and amended as on 27th August, 2003 and 25th January, 2016. Ready mixed concrete must be used in building construction.
- ix. Any wastes from construction and demolition activities related thereto shall be managed so as to strictly conform to the Construction and Demolition Rules, 2016.
- x. Used CFLs and TFLs should be properly collected and disposed off/sent for recycling as per the prevailing guidelines/ rules of the regulatory authority to avoid mercury contamination.

VI Green Cover

- i. No tree can be felled/transplant unless exigencies demand. Where absolutely necessary, tree felling shall be with prior permission from the concerned regulatory authority. Old trees should be retained based on girth and age regulations as may be prescribed by the Forest Department. Plantations to be ensured species (cut) to species (planted).
- ii. A minimum of 1 tree (5' tall) for every 80 sqm of land should be planted and maintained. The existing trees will be counted for this purpose. The landscape planning should include plantation of native species. The species with heavy foliage, broad leaves and wide canopy cover are desirable. Water intensive and/or invasive species should not be used for landscaping.
- iii. Where the trees need to be cut with prior permission from the concerned local Authority, compensatory plantation in the ratio of 1:10 (i.e. planting of 10 trees for every 1 tree that is cut) shall be done and maintained. Plantations to be ensured

species (cut) to species (planted). Area for green belt development shall be provided as per the details provided in the project document.

- iv. Topsoil should be stripped to a depth of 20 cm from the areas proposed for buildings, roads, paved areas, and external services. It should be stockpiled appropriately in designated areas and reapplied during plantation of the proposed vegetation on site.

VII Transport

- i. A comprehensive mobility plan, as per MoUD best practices guidelines (URDPFI), shall be prepared to include motorized, non-motorized, public, and private networks. Road should be designed with due consideration for environment, and safety of users. The road system can be designed with these basic criteria.
 - a) Hierarchy of roads with proper segregation of vehicular and pedestrian traffic.
 - b) Traffic calming measures.
 - c) Proper design of entry and exit points.
 - d) Parking norms as per local regulation.
- ii. Vehicles hired for bringing construction material to the site should be in good condition and should have a pollution check certificate and should conform to applicable air and noise emission standards be operated only during non-peak hours.
- iii. A detailed traffic management and traffic decongestion plan shall be drawn up to ensure that the current level of service of the roads within a 05 kms radius of the project is maintained and improved upon after the implementation of the project. This plan should be based on cumulative impact of all development and increased habitation being carried out or proposed to be carried out by the project or other agencies in this 05 Kms radius of the site in different scenarios of space and time and the traffic management plan shall be duly validated and certified by the State Urban Development department and the P.W.D./ competent authority for road augmentation and shall also have their consent to the implementation of components of the plan which involve the participation of these departments.

VIII Human Health Issues

- i. All workers working at the construction site and involved in loading, unloading, carriage of construction material and construction debris or working in any area with dust pollution shall be provided with dust mask.
- ii. For indoor air quality the ventilation provisions as per National Building Code of India.
- iii. Emergency preparedness plan based on the Hazard identification and Risk Assessment (HIRA) and Disaster Management Plan shall be implemented.
- iv. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
- v. Occupational health surveillance of the workers shall be done on a regular basis.
- vi. A First Aid Room shall be provided in the project both during construction and operations of the project.

IX Corporate Environment Responsibility

- i. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions regarding Corporate Environment Responsibility as applicable.
- ii. The company shall have a well laid down environmental policy duly approved by the Board of Directors. The environmental policy should prescribe for standard operating procedures to have proper checks and balances and to bring into focus any infringements/ deviation/ violation of the environmental/ forest/ wildlife norms/ conditions. The company shall have defined system of reporting infringements/ deviation/ violation of the environmental/ forest/ wildlife norms/ conditions and/ or

shareholders/ stake holders. The copy of the board resolution in this regard shall be submitted to the MoEF&CC as a part of six-monthly report.

- iii. A separate Environmental Cell both at the project and company head quarter level, with qualified personnel shall be set up under the control of senior Executive, who will directly to the head of the organization.
- iv. Action plan for implementing EMP and environmental conditions along with responsibility matrix of the company shall be prepared and shall be duly approved by competent authority. The year wise funds earmarked for environmental protection measures shall be kept in separate account and not to be diverted for any other purpose. Year wise progress of implementation of action plan shall be reported to the Ministry/Regional Office along with the Six Monthly Compliance Report.

X Miscellaneous

- i. The project proponent shall prominently advertise it at least in two local newspapers of the District or State, of which one shall be in the vernacular language within seven days indicating that the project has been accorded environment clearance and the details of MoEFCC/SEIAA website where it is displayed.
- ii. The copies of the environmental clearance shall be submitted by the project proponents to the Heads of local bodies, Panchayats and Municipal Bodies in addition to the relevant offices of the Government who in turn has to display the same for 30 days from the date of receipt.
- iii. The project proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the stipulated environment clearance conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and update the same on half-yearly basis.
- iv. The project proponent shall submit six-monthly reports on the status of the compliance of the stipulated environmental conditions on the website of the ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change at environment clearance portal.
- v. The project proponent shall submit the environmental statement for each financial year in Form-V to the concerned State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986, as amended subsequently and put on the website of the company.
- vi. The project proponent shall inform the Regional Office as well as the Ministry, the date of financial closure and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities, commencing the land development work and start of production operation by the project.
- vii. The project authorities must strictly adhere to the stipulations made by the State Pollution Control Board and the State Government.
- viii. The project proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the form-IA, Conceptual Plan and also that during their presentation to the Expert Appraisal Committee.
- ix. No further expansion or modifications in the plan shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC)/SEIAA, Haryana. The project proponent shall seek fresh environmental clearance under EIA notification 2006 if at any stage there is change of area of this project.
- x. Any change in planning of the approved plan will leads to Environment Clearance void-ab-initio and PP will have to seek fresh Environment Clearance
- xi. The PP should give unambiguous affidavit giving land promoters in accordance with your ownership and possession of land legal the case referred for Environment Clearance to SEIAA.
- xii. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data may result in revocation of this environmental clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
- xiii. The Ministry/SEIAA may revoke or suspend the clearance, if implementation of any of the above conditions is not satisfactory.
- xiv. The Ministry/SEIAA reserves the right to stipulate additional conditions if found necessary. The Company in a time bound manner shall implement these conditions.

- xv. The Regional Office of this Ministry shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (s) of the Regional Office by furnishing the requisite data / information/monitoring reports.
- xvi. The above conditions shall be enforced, inter-alia under the provisions of the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and Rules and any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / High Courts and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.

207.03 EC for Proposed Affordable Plotted Colony under Deen Dayal Jan Awas Yojna-2016 of land measuring 10.71875 acres (Phase-III) in Revenue Estate of village Shimla, Maulana, Sector 40, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Herman Properties Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Not Present
Consultant : Not Present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/57409/2018 dated 26.05.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 10.12.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

207.04 Environmental Clearance for Development of Ware house in name & style Logistic Park Jatola by Flowtech Industrial Projects (P) Limited at Village Jalota Tehsil Kharkhoda District Sonipat Haryana

Project Proponent : Sh. S.S. Dahiya
Consultant : Chandigarh Pollution Testing Laboratories EIA Division

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/57409/2018 dated 26.05.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

After the completion of term of SEIAA on 20.08.2018, the case file was transferred to MoEF&CC on 02.11.2018. Whereas after the constitution of new SEIAA/SEAC, the case file was not received in SEIAA/SEAC, Haryana and on request of PP the case was deferred and it was decided by the Committee that the case will be taken up after receipt of the case file from MoEF&CC.

Then, the Case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC held on 16.12.2020 .The consultant attended the meeting and requested for the deferment of the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of consultant the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020. It is also decided that the PP shall submit the affidavit along with site photographs (latitude& longitude) that no construction has been carried out at the site within 30 days.

207.05 ToR for Project Proposed Development of India International Horticulture Market in an area of 221.3 Ha. (547 acre) at village Shahpur Taga, Teha of block Ganaur, Sonipat, Haryana by M/s Haryana International Horticulture Marketing Corporation Limited

Project Proponent : Sh. Rajesh Kakkar
Consultant : GMEC International

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/181624/2020 dated 11.11.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC held on 16.12.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee

The details of the project, as per the documents submitted by the project proponent, and also as informed during the presentation in the meeting are as under:-

Name of the Project: Obtaining environmental Clearance and consent for establishment (CFE) to Indian International Horticulture Market Gannaur		
Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	Online Proposal Number	SIA/HR/NCP/58267/2020
2.	Latitude	29° 8'0.84"N to 29° 8'41.65"N
3.	Longitude	77° 2'24.81"E to 77° 3'46.46"E
4.	Plot Area	547 Acre
5.	Net Plot Area (Awarded)	221.3 Ha. ; 547 acre
6.	Proposed Ground Coverage	557963 Sqm
7.	Total Built Up area	110.6 Ha. (50%)
8.	Total Green Area with %	44.3 Ha. (20%)
9.	Rain Water Harvesting Pits (with size)	Provided (24000Sqm water body : RWH pits of 4*4*4 m3)
10.	STP Capacity	7.5 MLD
11.	Total Parking	14308 ECS
12.	Power Requirement	85 MW
13.	Power Backup	58 MW ;1 DG 75 MVA capacity
14.	Total Water Requirement	10.2 MLD
15.	Domestic Water Requirement	4.15 MLD
16.	Fresh Water Requirement	4.15 MLD
17.	Treated Water	6.05 MLD
18.	Waste Water Generated	6.93 MLD
19.	Solid Waste Generated	350 TPD
20.	Number of Towers	1
21.	Area Description	Residential: 4.78 Ha. Commercial: 126.07 Ha. Institutional: 3.10 Ha. Community: 14.45 Ha. Official: 0.78 Ha. Green area: 3.0 Ha. Electric stations: 6.08 Ha. Roads & parking: 66.19 Ha. Total area: 221.30 Ha.

22.	Community Center	1.14 Ha. Area of project	
23.	Stories	5 stories of residential buildings	
24.	Total Cost of the project:	Total Project Cost:- 2216 Cr	
		i) Land Cost	Project cost does not involve land cost
		ii) Construction cost	2216 Cr
25.	CER	Will be Provide In Final EIA/EMP reports	
26.	EMP Budget	Will be Provide In Final EIA/EMP reports	

After deliberations on STP, RWH, solid waste management, construction waste, parking plan, dual plumbing plan, basement, floors, FAR, Non FAR etc and it was decided by the committee to recommend the case to SEIAA for approval of ToR and the project proponent will prepare the EIA by using Model Terms of Reference of MoEF&CC with following additional Terms of Reference:

Standard ToR

- 1) Examine details of land use as per Master Plan and land use around 10 km radius of the project site. Analysis should be made based on latest satellite imagery for land use with raw images. Check on flood plain of any river.
- 2) Submit details of environmentally sensitive places, land acquisition status, rehabilitation of communities/villages and present status of such activities.
- 3) Examine baseline environmental quality along with projected incremental load due to the project.
- 4) Environmental data to be considered in relation to the project development would be (a) land, (b) groundwater, (c) surface water, (d) air, (e) bio-diversity, (f) noise and vibrations, (g) socio-economic and health.
- 5) Submit a copy of the contour plan with slopes, drainage pattern of the site and surrounding area. Any obstruction of the same by the project
- 6) Submit the details of the trees to be felled for the project.
- 7) Submit the present land use and permission required for any conversion such as forest, agriculture etc.
- 8) Submit Roles and responsibility of the developer etc for compliance of environmental regulations under the provisions of EP Act.
- 9) Ground water classification as per the Central Ground Water Authority.
- 10) Examine the details of Source of water, water requirement, use of treated waste water and prepare a water balance chart.
- 11) Rain water harvesting proposals should be made with due safeguards for ground water quality. Maximize recycling of water and utilization of rain water. Examine details.
- 12) Examine soil characteristics and depth of ground water table for rainwater harvesting.
- 13) Examine details of solid waste generation treatment and its disposal.
- 14) Examine and submit details of use of solar energy and alternative source of energy to reduce the fossil energy consumption. Energy conservation and energy efficiency.
- 15) DG sets are likely to be used during construction and operational phase of the project. Emissions from DG sets must be taken into consideration while estimating the impacts on air environment. Examine and submit details.
- 16) Examine road/rail connectivity to the project site and impact on the traffic due to the proposed project. Present and future traffic and transport facilities for the region should be analyzed with measures for preventing traffic congestion and providing faster trouble free system to reach different destinations in the city.
- 17) A detailed traffic and transportation study should be made for existing and projected passenger and cargo traffic.

- 18) Examine the details of transport of materials for construction which should include source and availability.
- 19) Examine separately the details for construction and operation phases both for Environmental Management Plan and Environmental Monitoring Plan with cost and parameters.
- 20) Submit details of a comprehensive Disaster Management Plan including emergency evacuation during natural and man-made disaster.
- 21) Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 22) The cost of the Project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should be clearly spelt out.
- 23) Any further clarification on carrying out the above studies including anticipated impacts due to the project and mitigative measure, project proponent can refer to the model ToR available on Ministry website "<http://moef.nic.in/Manual/Townships>".

Additional ToR

1. The PP shall submit the activity wise break up area of 221.3hectare i.e. built up area, roads, medical safety plan, community built up area, Green area, fire safety area
2. The PP shall submit the duly approved plan 221.32hectares.
3. The PP shall submit the drainage map with contour of each area of the project
4. The PP shall submit the position of existing and proposed area of the project.
5. The PP shall submit the hydraulic design details of 8.5, 7.5 MLD STP/ETP proposed at the site.
6. The PP shall submit the Built up area in Housing, Hospital, commercial, educational institution.
7. The PP shall submit the FAR for each component as per approved plan.
8. The PP shall submit the affidavit that no legal case is pending against the PP regarding land or any other issues of the project.
9. The PP shall submit the KLM file of the project site
10. The PP shall submit the land use details of the project
11. The PP shall submit the Geo Technical Studies
12. The PP shall submit the Population calculations as per NBC norms.
13. The PP shall submit the water requirement details in view of conservation measures.
14. The PP shall submit the seasonal testing reports of water, air, soil and noise
15. The PP shall submit the technology of water treatment, hydraulic design, dimensions of each component of each STP, MLSS standards to be achieved in each STP
16. The PP shall submit the Solid waste calculations and its management plan
17. The PP shall submit the traffic study incremental load analysis wr.t. current roads/status of connecting roads a up-gradation plan.
18. The PP shall submit the air dispersion modeling, sampling locations, wind rose, DG/vehicular emission data, AAQ data of seven locations.
19. The PP shall submit the ECBC Compliance with Energy saving
20. The PP shall submit the warehousing area details
21. The PP shall submit the RWH details based on calculation @ 90 mm rain fall and double bore well for better sustainable RWH
22. The PP shall submit the parking calculations along with Map
23. The PP shall submit the Proper management details regarding various components of the project
24. The PP shall submit the tangible EMP Capital and recurring cost for the project
25. The PP shall submit the biodegradable waste management plan of the project along with organic waste convertor. The schematic diagramme for the management of organic waste and calculation along with mode of collection, segregation, transportation and disposal of complete Biodegrade waste..
26. The PP shall submit the details of all construction activities carrying in Horticulture market with built up area of 20,000sqm they will have to seek separate EC under EIA Notification 2006.

207.06 EC for Modification Cum Revision of Group Housing Colony at Village- Harsaru, Sector- 88B, Gurgaon by M/s Vatika Limited

Project Proponent : Not Present
Consultant : Not Present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/53139/2019 on dated 29.05.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The ToR was granted on dated 06.09.2019. Thereafter, the PP submitted the EIA/EMP report.

Then, the case was taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 14.10.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 14.10.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee

- The EC letter has been granted on dated 07.11.2014 through letter SEIAA/HR/2014/1365.
- The ToR was granted by SEIAA on dated 06.09.2019.
- The EIA application has been submitted on dated 19.05.2020.

The PP requested vide letter dated 10.12.2020 for withdrawal of case submitting that due to change in planning it is decided to revise the layout plan of the project and re-apply under the TOD policy. Keeping in view, the request of PP the committee raised the issue regarding the status of construction at site and the PP submitted the affidavit that no construction has been started till date only Excavation work done. The Committee decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for withdrawal and delisting the project as the PP requested to submit the fresh application for expansion after revised building plans

207.07 EC for proposed commercial project (Part-II) at Village Daulatabad, Sector-106, Gurgaon- Manesar Urban Complex by M/s Magic Eye Developers Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Not Present
Consultant : Not Present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no SIA/HR/MIS/143472/2020 dated 26.05.2020. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 30.08.2020 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC held on 16.12.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 14.12.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

207.08 EC for Affordable Group Housing Colony Project at Village Dharampur, Sector-108, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Shyam Kripa Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Not Present
Consultant : Not Present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana as per the check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006 and the case was taken up in 182nd meeting but the PP requested in writing for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case vide letter dated 11.12.2020. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020.

207.09 Revision and Extension in Environment Clearance for Commercial Complex at Sector-19, village-Kamaspur, Sonipat, Harana by M/s TDI Infrastructure Ltd.

Project Proponent : Sh. Subodh Saxena
Consultant : Perfect Enviro

The application for Environment Clearance as received by the SEIAA, Haryana on 26.02.2016 and the same was transferred to the SEAC, Haryana for appraisal.

Thereafter, the case was taken up for the appraisal in 134th meeting of SEAC held on 30.05.2016 wherein PP requested for adjournment due to expiry of the license and same was acceded by the committee.

Thereafter, the Show Cause Notice was issued to the PP vide letter no. 1153 dated 10.06.2016. No reply to the Show cause Notice has not been received so far inspite of lapse of about one year and one month.

Then, the Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the PP vide letter No, 2240 dated 16.08.2017 and no reply has been received from PP so far. As per MoEF&CC guidelines No. J-11 013/5/2009-IA-II Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) meeting should be de-listed from the list of pending projects.

Thereafter, the matter was placed before the SEAC in its 165th meeting held on 14.03.2018 and it was unanimously decide that the case be deferred for next meeting and Secretary SEAC is advised to brief the members.

Then, the matter was again placed before the SEAC in its 166th meeting held on 12.04.2018 and it was unanimously decided that the case be sent to SEIAA for delisting as the project proponent fails to comply the observations within a period of six months as per the directions of MoEF&CC. As per EIA Notification 14.09.2006, PP is required to obtain prior Environment Clearance. The PP has not obtained the EC. The SEAC is of unanimously view, if PP

started construction without prior EC, being a violation of the notification appropriate legal action may be initiated against the PP. It is further recommended that SEIAA may inform the concerned authorities for taking appropriate action as per law.

The recommendation of SEAC was taken up for consideration in the 112th meeting of SEIAA held on 02.05.2018. The PP submitted a request vide letter dated 21.04.2018 for not to delist the case and requested for further appraisal. After detailed deliberation the authority decided to refer back the case to the SEAC with the advice that the case should be appraised as per request made by PP.

Thereafter, the case was taken up for appraisal in the 170th meeting of the SEAC held on 06.06.2018. The PP requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP

The observations of 170th meeting of SEAC was issued to the PP vide letter no. 2850 dated 18.06.2018. The reply is still awaited. Then, as the term of present SEAC has ended on 20.08.2018 the case was forwarded with the recommendation to forward the same to MoEF&CC as per EIA Notification, 2006.

The case was received back from MoEF&CC. The Show Cause Notice was issued to PP on 10.05.2019. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.10.2020.

- Earlier EC was granted By EAC vide letter dated 08.01.2008 to the project in the name of M/s Infinite promoters Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi for Group Housing project of total built up area 87,459.07sqm and built up area for commercial complex is 39072.05sqm
- Earlier Environmental Clearance was granted on 8.01.2008 for 5 years and PP submitted the case for revision in EC on 26.02.2016 after the expiry of earlier EC.
- The deliberation was held on the earlier EC granted on 08.01.2008 in the name of M/s Infinite promoters Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi for Group Housing project of total built up area 87,459.07 sqm and built up area for commercial complex is 39072.05 sqm and PP applied for revision in EC due to change in built up area. The committee desired to ensure the status of construction at the site and whether the construction was carried during the expiry of Environment clearance dated 08.01.2008.

In the meeting committee unanimously decided that the PP has to submit the status of construction, site latest photographs and no construction has been carried out during the period and their case will be taken up accordingly in the next meeting of SEAC subject to the receiving of complete documents by SEAC committee.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020. The Committee deliberated that the PP has not submitted the reply of observations raised vide minutes of 203rd meeting and it is decided the PP shall submit the following details and after the receipt of reply the project will be considered for the appraisal

1. The PP shall submit the status of construction along with site latest photographs
2. The PP shall submit the affidavit and proof that no construction has been carried out
3. The PP shall submit the details of CTE/CTO/OC

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 15 days and it was also made clear to the PP that his project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time; the case shall be recommended as per MoEF &CC Notification dated 18.11.2020.

207.10 EC for construction of Hotel complex Vill: Ghamroj, Sohna Road, Gurgaon by M/S Creative Buildwell Pvt.Ltd

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 20.11.2012. The papers submitted were examined by the Secretary and certain shortcomings were noticed and conveyed to PP vide letter No. 714 dated 20.11.2012. The PP submitted the reply to the shortcomings on 23.04.2013.

Thereafter, the case was taken up for appraisal in the 85th meeting of SEAC held on 24.06.2013.

During discussion, it was revealed that project proponent has already started/completed construction work which amounts to violation of Environmental Protection Act, 1986 in compliance of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The project proponent was directed to submit the Resolution of Board of Directors as per the guidelines of MoEF in respect of letter dated 12.12.2012.

The observations of 85th meeting of the SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter dated 10.07.2013. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 29.07.2013.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 89th meeting of the SEAC held on 27.08.2013.

In accordance with the Memo No. J-110 13/4112006-IA.II(I) dated 27.06.13 issued by the MoEF, the project proponent is required to immediately stop the work till Environmental Clearance is granted after due process under the law. The Project Proponent is required to submit an affidavit not below the rank of Director of the company indicating that the work has been stopped with effect from (date) and he has to supply details of work already executed upto the date the work has been stopped. The details be given graphically and descriptively.

The observations of 89th meeting of the SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter dated 06.09.2013. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 08.10.2013.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 98th meeting of the SEAC held on 09.01.2014.

As per CLU granted vide letter dated 13.01.2010 by the DTCP, Haryana, PP is required to obtain Environmental Clearance as per condition No. 8 of the said letter. The building plans were duly approved by the DTCP vide letter dated 21.09.2011 and further project proponent

has also obtained Consent to Operate from Haryana State Pollution Control Board vide letter No. HSPCB/TAC/2012/1840 dated 08.11.2012.

In case the reduced scope of work does not require Environmental Clearance, PP is required to submit revised approved plans of the area as constructed along with Occupation Certificate from Competent Authority along with a certificate that built up area (FAR/Non FAR/Basement) does not exceed 20000 Sq. Meters. The application for withdrawal will be considered only after the submission of above documents.

The observations of 98th meeting of the SEAC were conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 1016 dated 23.01.2014. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 25.02.2014.

Thereafter this case was taken up in the 104th meeting of the SEAC held on 13.05.2014.

The project proponent did not attend the meeting in spite of agenda notice. The Committee has decided to verify the size of the project as constructed at site.

Further in order to assess the correct position at site, Committee decided to constitute a Sub-Committee consisting of the following which will inspect the site to verify the status of construction of the project:

1. Sh. I. J. Juneja, Chairman
2. Sh. Sultan Singh, Member
3. Sh. R. S. Rana, Member

The site was inspected by the Sub-Committee on 05.07.2014. The Sub-committee submitted the report in the 108th meeting of the SEAC held on 22.07.2014. The Sub-Committee report was read out to all the Members of the Committee and deliberated in detail.

The Committee has desired that the Sub-Committee be asked to further clarify on the issues of built-up area given in the original application submitted by the Project Proponent and area already constructed.

The report of Sub-Committee was discussed in the 112th meeting of the SEAC held on 19.09.2014. The Sub-Committee report was read out to all the Members of the Committee and deliberated in detail. The Committee has accepted the report of the Sub-Committee in total.

In the 104th meeting of the SEAC, a Sub-committee was formed to visit the project site and report on the status of construction by the project proponent.

Sub-Committee Constituted of the following:

1. Sh. I. J. Juneja, Chairman, SEAC
2. Sh. Sultan Singh, Member, SEAC
3. Sh. R. S. Rana, Member, SEAC (Coordinator)

Following Members of SEAC and others were present during the inspection

- 1) Mr. Inderjeet Juneja, Chairman, SEAC, Haryana
- 2) Mr. Sultan Singh, Member, SEAC, Haryana
- 3) Mr. R. S. Rana, Member, SEAC, Haryana
- 4) Mr. Manish Saxena, General Manager, Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.
- 5) Mr. K. K. Arya, Project Manager, Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

- 6) Mr. B. Rajesh, Senior Manager, GRC India Pvt. Ltd.
- 7) Ms. Namrata Singh, Deputy Manager, GRC India Pvt. Ltd.

Background:

The project is a 'Five Star Hotel' project located at Village-Ghamroj, Gurgaon, Haryana to be developed by M/s Creative Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. The CLU was granted for project area of 20551.3 Sq. Meters on 13.01.2010 and the project proponent was required to obtain Environment Clearance before execution of development works & also occupation certificate within 2 years. Building plans were approved on 21.09.2011 by the DTCP. As per condition number 17(b) it was mandated that owner shall obtain the Environment Clearance/NOC as per provision of MoEF notification dated 14.09.2006 as the total built up area was 33000 Sq. Meters.

The project proponent applied for Environment Clearance on 25.11.2010 to SEIAA but the shortcoming reply was not submitted and when the project was taken up in SEAC meeting dated 11.02.2011, project proponent requested for deferment of the case when final notice was issued. The project proponent submitted the reply on 25.04.2011 but without approval of building plans and they did not pursue the case further for grant of EC.

Separately, the project proponent in order to start construction of the project submitted an application to State Pollution Control Board for Consent to Establish with an undertaking/affidavit dated 03.07.2012 that presently they were undertaking construction of approx. 16000 Sq. Meters built up area & EC was not required. With this false declaration project proponent obtained C.T.E/NOC from Haryana State Pollution Control Board on 08.11.2012.

The project proponent then applied EC for "expansion of the project" & in shortcoming reply dated 12.12.2012 to the letter SEAC/2012/74/714 dated 20.11.2012 the built up area of 10771.92 sqm was shown in form I of the EC application. The project site photographs of the building confirmed construction of main structure up to 7-8 floors above ground floor.

Even the Aravalli Notification 1992 seeking prior NOC from DC Gurgaon before starting any construction was violated as this NOC was also obtained only on 24.09.2012.

The project was considered in 85th SEAC meeting dated 24.06.2013. The project proponent was directed to submit the Resolution of Board of Directors as per the guidelines of MoEF in respect of letter dated 12.12.2012. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 02.07.2013 and 28.07.2013. In the 89th meeting, SEAC directed project proponent in accordance with the Memo No. J-110 13/4112006-IA.II(I) dated 27.06.2013 issued by the MoEF that, the project proponent is required to immediately stop the work till Environmental Clearance is granted after due process under the law. Along with this project proponent need to submit an affidavit not below the rank of Director of the company indicating that the work had been stopped with effect from (date) and he had to supply details of work already executed up to the date when construction had been stopped, in descriptive & graphical manner. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 01.10.2013. The case was again taken up in 98th SEAC meeting, project proponent was directed to submit revised approved plans of the area as constructed along with Occupation Certificate

from competent Authority along with a certificate that built up area (FAR/Non-FAR/Basement etc.) did not exceed 20,000 Sq. Meters. Committee decided to consider withdrawal application only after submission of above documents. The project proponent submitted the reply of the shortcomings vide letter dated 25.02.2014 and requested for withdrawal of EC application. The case was considered in 104th SEAC meeting where Committee decided to visit and inspect the site to ascertain the factual correctness of the information submitted by the project proponent.

The report submitted that during site inspection, it was found that the project proponent has already constructed complete boundary wall as also basements and one block of the hotel. The project consists of a hotel block and an executive suite block. Total structure of G+11 has been constructed in the hotel block. There are two numbers of basements constructed, one under hotel block and another under Executive Suite Block. Basement is common between Hotel & Executive Suite Block.

Further, it was found that construction work has been stopped at the site. Total constructed built-up area (including all FAR and Non FAR area) is 16,616.85 Sq. Meters. The fact was supported by the photographs taken at the time of inspection along with plans which are enclosed with this report. Area statement is also enclosed for reference.

The report concluded that after the site visit, it came to light that the project proponent has constructed built-up area 16,616.85 Sq. Meters as per occupation certificate application. The project proponent approached DTCP, Haryana for reducing the scope of work to limit the built up area to about 16000 Sq. Meters vide his letter dated 04.07.2013. The project proponent has so far not obtained the revised approval of the building plans to reduce the built up area to 16000 Sq. Meters in order to justify taking up construction in hand without obtaining Environment Clearance.

Moreover, the project proponent did not obtain prior approval of revised building plans involving built up area less than 20,000 Sq. Meters if he so wished to develop the project in stages as per rules & regulations. Instead it took up the work in hand & started construction in an unauthorized/illegal manner & thus violated various rules/regulations of Town & Country Planning Department & Haryana State Pollution Control Board. Besides it violated the provisions of E.P. Act 1986 & the MoEF Notification dated 14.09.2006. The project proponent has violated the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and mandatory provisions of obtaining prior Environment Clearance as per Notification dated 14.09.2006. The application dated 04.07.2013 for reduction in scope of work to less than 20,000 Sq. Meters & completion/occupation certificate application submitted by the project proponent vide letter dated 10.01.2014 to DG, T&CP, Haryana are only an effort on the part of project proponent to seek ex-post facto approvals with the presumptions that it will regularize the matter to escape the provisions of MoEF Notification dated 14.09.2006. However, it is beyond any doubt that the violation was caused much earlier as would be clear from application submitted for expansion of project in Nov. 2012 when the plans were already approved for 33000 Sq. Meters. It should be processed for prosecution.

The Committee after detailed discussion is of the unanimous view that this is a case of proven violation based on documents submitted by the project proponent. The Committee, therefore, decided that the case may accordingly be recommended to the SEIAA for prosecution on account of violation. The case may be referred to the SEIAA for initiating further necessary legal action as per para 5(ii).

The project was again submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.06.2018. The project proponent has submitted the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan to the SEIAA with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804(E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No. S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. The MoEF & CC has prescribed the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance or changed the product mix without obtaining prior environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006.

The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in the Notification dated 08.03.2018 inter alia, directed vide sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 13, that in case the projects or activities requiring prior environmental clearance under Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 from the concerned Regulatory Authority, are brought for environmental clearance after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization, and change in product-mix without prior environmental clearance, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted Environmental Clearance by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 shall be appraised for grant of environmental clearance only by the State Expert Appraisal Committee and Environmental Clearance will be granted at the State level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

Thereafter the proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 172nd meeting held on 04.07.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively.

The PP neither attended the meeting nor requested for adjournment. The Committee is of the view that 30 days notice be issued to the project Proponent.

The case was taken up in 186th meeting of SEAC held on 14.08.2019 but the PP requested in writing for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 193rd meeting of SEAC, Haryana held on 24.12.2019. The PP presented the case before the committee and after detailed discussion the committee decided to defer the case for want of further discussion in view of the earlier proceedings of the SEAC committee.

Then, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing vide letter dated 14.12.2020 to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020.

207.11 EC for Residential Plotted Colony, At Southern Side of Railway Line, Mandi Township, Ellenabad, Haryana by M/s Executive Engineer HSVP Office Complex

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC on dated 01.05.2019 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The case was taken up for appraisal in the 181st meeting of the SEAC held on 30.05.2019. The Terms of Reference was already approved by MoEF &CC, GoI on dated 10.12.2018. Further, the project proponent submitted EIA/EMP report on 01.05.2019. The PP presented the case before the committee and the deliberation was held on solid waste management, water assurance from competent authority, STP, Drainage Plan, Maestro Plan, ECBC Compliance, Air dispersion Model, Green Plan, Rain Water Harvesting, dual plumbing system and various observations were raised which are given below:-

1. The PP shall submit the documents/details of the land ownership.
2. PP shall reconstruct the file as the original file is not received from Moef & CC, GoI.
3. The PP shall submit the compatibility study along with latitude & longitude and levels of the drainage and storm plan of internal and external connections in the project.
4. The PP shall submit the Forest NOC from competent authority.
5. The PP shall submit the water assurance from Competent Authority.
6. The PP shall submit the revised Green Plan.
7. The PP shall submit the Top Soil management plan.
8. The PP shall submit the revised water balance diagram.
9. The PP shall submit the details of Components of STP and drawing of STP along with dimension of each component.
10. The PP shall submit the revised Solid Waste Management Plan along with segregation, collection and disposal plan.
11. The PP shall submit the rain water harvesting plan.
12. The PP shall submit the site location on Master Plan and Contour plan.
13. The PP shall submit the risk management plan, health plan, welfare safety plan.
14. The PP shall submit the details of lab analysis reports of air, water, soil and noise.
15. The PP shall submit revised traffic circulation plan.
16. The PP shall submit the revised CER and shall carry out the study on the area where the CER can be carried out.
17. The PP shall submit the details of existing plants, their species and age.
18. The PP shall submit plantation plan mentioning replanting of transplanted trees.
19. The PP shall submit the details of air dispersion model and incremental load due to traffic.
20. The PP shall submit the details of ECBC compliance as per the ECBC Acts and Rules.
21. The PP shall submit the approved plan earmarking the different sectors to be

provided in the colony.

22. The PP shall give details of Industries to come up in the colony.
23. The PP shall submit sampling location plan in respect of air, water, soil and noise.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 16.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing vide letter dated 17.12.2020 to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020.

207.12 EC for Expansion Cum Modification of Group Housing scheme at Village-Fazilpur Jharsa, Sector-71, Gurugram-Manesar Urban Complex by M/s Raj Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC on dated 30.09.2019 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 207th meeting held on 16.12.2020 but the PP presented the case before the committee and submitted that

- The EC for this project has been granted on dated 12.12.2013 through letter SEIAA/HR/2013/1351
- They have applied for EC for expansion phase of the Group Housing on dated 21.09.2019 with Proposal No. SIA/HR/MIS/118527/2019.
- Due to change in planning they are not developing this Group Housing project and applied for migration of this DTCP license to set up of Affordable Plotted colony at the site.

The PP requested vide letter dated 10.12.2020 for withdrawal of case submitting that they are not developing the Group Housing project and applied for migration of this DTCP license to set up of Affordable Plotted colony at the site. Keeping in view, the request of PP the committee raised the issue regarding the status of construction at site and the PP submitted the affidavit that no construction has been done at the project site. The Committee decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for withdrawal and delisting the project as the PP requested to submit the fresh application for expansion after revised building plans.

207.13 EC for Expansion of Commercial Project "AIPL Joy Street" at Sector- 66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Landmark Apartments Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC on 01.03.2019 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The case was taken up for appraisal in the 177th meeting of the SEAC held on

19.03.2019. The consultant vide letter dated 16.03.2019 informed that due to unavoidable circumstances PP is not able to attend the meeting and requested to consider their case in the next upcoming SEAC meeting.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 178th meeting of SEAC held on 10.04.2019. The project proponent vide letter dated 08.04.2019 submitted a request for withdrawal of their case. The committee decided to take up the case for appraisal in the next meeting and PP was informed to submit the reason for withdrawal of case for EC.

Then, the case was taken up in the 179th meeting of the SEAC held on 29.04.2019. The PP attended the meeting and requested for withdrawal the case and after deliberation the committee decided in the meeting to constitute a Sub-Committee for site visit to verify the status of construction.

The sub-committee consists of the following:

1. Dr. S. N. Mishra, Member, SEAC
2. Sh. S. K. Mehta, Member, SEAC

The sub-committee submitted the inspection report dated 25.07.2019. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 193rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 23.12.2019. The inspection report was placed before the committee which mentioned some observations as below:-

1. No green Belt maintained i.e. weak plantation
2. No Visible dust suppression arrangement within the project area.
3. CER program yet not initiated properly, document submitted neither prove CER nor relates audited report of fund assigned to this.
4. STP water used for construction seems lesser than required
5. ATR submitted to SEIAA Haryana shows yet to comply with various EC conditions.

After detailed deliberations on the report, committee decided to seek the action taken report on the above said observations from the PP.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing vide letter dated 16.12.2020 to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020.

207.14 TOR for Expansion cum Modification of Group Housing Colony at Village-Maidawas, Sector-65, Gurgaon by M/s Active Promoters Pvt.Ltd

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 18.12.2019. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for approval of ToR under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 194th meeting of SEAC held on 15.01.2020 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 14.01.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing vide letter dated 16.12.2020 to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time and also conveyed that the next time decision will be taken according to MoEF&CC notification dated 18.11.2020.

207.15 EC for Expansion of Affordable Group Housing Colony Sector-104, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Perfect Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 16.09.2019. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 191st meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2019 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 18.11.2019 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC

Then, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 neither PP nor consultant attended the meeting .The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF &CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 16.09.2019 and in spite of taking up in various meeting of SEAC no reply has been received even after lapse of more than six months and the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF&CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

207.16 EC for construction of commercial complex village- Tikri, Sector-47, Gurgaon by M/S Automax Spazedge Commercial Complex.

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 15.06.2018. The project proponent has submitted the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan to the SEIAA with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804 (E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No.

S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. The MoEF & CC has prescribed the process for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance or changed the product mix without obtaining prior environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006]; The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in the Notification dated 08.03.2018 inter alia, directed vide subparagraph (2) of paragraph 13, that in case the projects or activities requiring prior environmental clearance under Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 from the concerned Regulatory Authority, are brought for environmental clearance after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization, and change in product-mix without prior environmental clearance, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted Environmental Clearance by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 shall be appraised for grant of environmental clearance only by the State Expert Appraisal Committee and Environmental Clearance will be granted at the State level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Thereafter the proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 172nd meeting held on 04.07.2018 for approval of Terms of Reference under violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively.

The PP neither attended the meeting nor requested for adjournment. The Committee is of the view that 30 days notice be issued to the project proponent.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 192nd meeting of SEAC held on 04.12.2019. The PP submitted the request vide letter dated 25.11.2019 for delisting the case of commercial project Boulevard 2 Village Tikri as the Project has already been granted Environment Clearance by EAC MoEF & CC in its meeting dated 22.07.2019. However the project under consideration of the SEAC Listed in 192nd meeting of SEAC at Agenda no. 192.11 is a commercial colony at village-Tikri, Sector-47, Gurgaon by M/s Automax Spazedge. Thereafter, the committee decided that PP shall submit

- i) The Copy of Environment Clearance granted by the EAC to the commercial colony at village-Tikri, Sector-47, Gurgaon by M/s Automax Spazedge under consideration with SEAC.
- ii) The PP shall submit the background Note that the project mentioned in the EC letter issued by EAC and the project under the consideration of SEAC are same in terms of Total area, Built-up Area etc.

The PP has not submitted any reply to the observations raised by SEAC and as the case was pending since long. The case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020.

- The Consultant appeared before the committee and submitted the written request that EC has already been granted to the project vide letter no. F.No. 23-40/2018-IA.III dated 24.12.2019 from MoEF &CC.
- It is intimated that the land has been licensed by DTCP Haryana to M/s Automax Construction Pvt. Ltd. for setting up of Commercial Complex.
- There is having a collaboration agreement between the M/s Automax Construction Pvt. Ltd and M/s Spazed Towers Pvt. Ltd.
- EC under violation category has been taken in the name of M/s Spazedge Towers Pvt. Ltd for built up area 23602.666sqm (Copy of EC is placed in record). The committee considered the request of PP and consultant in view of the EC granted to the project by MoEF &CC under violation category and decided to recommend the case to SEIAA for withdrawal and delisting subject to the condition that PP shall submit the Prosecution details on credible action initiated by the HSPCB under section 15 of the EP Act 1986 as directed by SEIAA vide letter no.147 dated 05.02.2015.

207.17 EC for the Residential cum Commercial Complex located in Sector-79, District-Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The Project was submitted online to SEIAA on 23.04.2018 vide file no. SEIAA/HR/VIO/18/22 with reference to the Notification No. S.O.804(E), dated the 14th March, 2017 and subsequent Notification No. S.O.1030 (E) dated 08th March, 2018, issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for appraisal of projects for grant of Terms of Reference and Environmental Clearance, which have started the work on site, expanded the production beyond the limit of environmental clearance or changed the product mix without obtaining prior environmental clearance as mandated under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 [S.O.1533 (E), dated the 14th September, 2006;

The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in the Notification dated 08.03.2018 inter alia, directed vide sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 13, that in case the projects or activities requiring prior environmental clearance under Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 from the concerned Regulatory Authority, are brought for environmental clearance after starting the construction work, or have undertaken expansion, modernization, and change in product mix without prior environmental clearance, these projects shall be treated as cases of violations and in such cases, even Category B projects which are granted Environmental Clearance by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 shall be appraised for grant of environmental clearance only by the State Expert Appraisal Committee and Environmental Clearance will be granted at the State level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority constituted under sub-section (3) section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. The project Proponent submitted the hard copy to the SEIAA, Haryana on 17.05.2019 along with Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan

Thereafter the proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, Haryana in its 180th meeting held on 30.05.2019 for approval of Terms of Reference under

violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 and 08.03.2018 respectively as the Unit applied for EC during window period under the Violation Notification.

The Committee was informed by PP that the project is a Expansion of residential cum Commercial complex located in sector-79, District Faridabad, Haryana by M/S Robust Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. Further, in the meeting it was revealed that the Project was granted Environment Clearance vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2016/904 dated 26.10.2016 for residential cum commercial complex sec -79 Faridabad for built up area of 95202.63sqm on the plot area of 43133.351 sqm(10.65acres).

The PP submitted that they had constructed additional area as given below, in violation of EIA Notification, 2006;

1. The total built-up area constructed at site in Phase-2 : 12030.49 Sq.mts
2. Any other structure : 15910.49 Sq.mts
(raft, water tanks, etc.) constructed at site
3. The excavated area at site : 3461.72 Sq.mts

The project proponent placed on record a letter dated 30.05.2019 requesting for using a Baseline data generated for December 2018 and committee accepted the request of PP for the preparation of EIA/EMP report based on the Data for december2018. After detailed deliberations, the committee decided that the following recommendation shall be forwarded to SEIAA for approval:

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no Consent to Operate or Occupancy Certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. Public hearing to be conducted for the project and the issues raised by the public should be addressed in the Environmental Management Plan.
3. The Project Proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
4. The PP should submit compliance report of existing building.
5. Committee also decided to recommend to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference along with public consultation and additional terms of reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP).

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 but PP has not submitted the details of credible action initiated by HSPCB under section 15 of EP act 1986. The committee decided that the case will be appraised under violation category after the receipt of details of credible action initiated by HSPCB under section 15 of EP act 1986.

207.18 Extension of Environmental Clearance Validity of Group Housing Project at Sector-72, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Tata Housing Development Company Ltd, C/o Tata Services Limited

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/NCP/22518/2011 on dated 09.06.2020 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

The case was taken up in 199th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 22.06.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee.

- The Proposed project is for Extension of Environmental Clearance Validity of Group Housing Project at Sector-72, District Gurgaon, Haryana by Tata Housing Development Company Ltd
- Earlier, M/s TATA Housing Development Company Ltd. has obtained EC for Group Housing Project at village Fazilpur Jharsa, Sec 72 District Gurgaon, Haryana from SEIAA, Haryana (Letter No. Ref No. SEIAA/HR/2011/38 Dated 19.01.2011) for plot area 1,46,704.38 sqm(36.2515acres) and Built up area 3,48,785.83 sqm.
- The Project has been granted occupation certificate vide memo no. 1522 dated 17.01.2020 wherein at Sr. No. 18 of OC letter it is mentioned that EC was granted to the project vide SEIAA, Haryana(Letter No. Ref No. SEIAA/HR/2011/38 Dated 19.01.2011) for plot area 1,46,704.38 sqm (36.2515acres) and Built up area 3,48,785.83 sqm whereas PP has constructed built up area 4,01,303.61 sqm.

The committee deliberated that as the project has applied for Extension of Environmental Clearance, however the PP has constructed the area more than that sanctioned in EC letter and thus violated the Earlier EC dated 19.01.2011.

The Committee decided that the appraisal of the project will be carried out after the receipt of reply from PP that as the project is covered under the violation category but the window of violation is closed. The PP shall submit the self contained note regarding observations raised by the committee.

The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in the meeting vide letter dated 16.07.2020.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 204th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 29.10.2020. The case was not appraised due to the paucity of time it was decided to take up the case in the next meeting and no separate letter will be issued to the PP.

Then, the case was taken up in 205th meeting of SEAC held on 09.11.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 29.10.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC and it was decided unanimously by the committee that the project will be considered in the next meeting.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020. The consultant attended the meeting and requested for deferment of the case which was considered by the committee.

207.19 EC for proposed IT Park Complex project at Village Ullahawas, Sector-59, Gurgaon (Haryana) by M/s Nova Realtors Pvt. Ltd

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 08.05.2018. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 170th meeting of SEAC held on 05.06.2018.

During the presentation it was observed that this is an expansion project. The Committee therefore wanted to know whether they have obtained the progress report as per the Guidelines of the Ministry of environment and Forest, Government of India for expansion project. The Committee therefore, decided not to proceed further with the presentation without the certified compliance report of Regional Director, MoEF, GoI/HSPCB which is prerequisite for expansion projects as per MoEF Circular dated 30.05.2012 and 29.08.2017.

The case was taken up in 202th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 31.08.2020 but the PP requested in writing vide letter dated 31.08.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 .The PP requested vide letter dated 24.12.2020 for withdrawal of case submitting that the Building 1 is completed and building 2A & 2B towers work was earlier started but construction is now kept on hold due to financial crisis. Keeping in view, the request of PP the committee raised the issue regarding the status of construction at site and the PP submitted the affidavit that no construction has been done at the project site which was placed before the committee. The Committee deliberated the request of PP for withdrawal and delisting as the PP requested to submit the fresh application for expansion after revised building plans.

The Discussion was held on the point no. 2(e) of MoEF &CC OM dated 18.11.2020 i.e.

“In case a Project Proponent or his consultant did not attend the meeting or does not reply to the queries raised for more than six month, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started”.

It was deliberated that in the above project received on dated 08.05.2018 and project is pending since long and now PP has requested to withdrawal of the case, the committee unanimously decided to send the case to SEIAA and recommended that in accordance in the MoEF& CC OM Dated 18.11.2020, the MS should write to the Regional Office of the Ministry to carry out a site inspection so as to check if construction/operation of the project has started.

207.20 EC for Development of Industrial Estate Phase-III (Industrial Model Township)' in Tehsil Sampla, District Rohtak (Haryana) by M/s HSIIDC Limited

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC on dated 04.09.2019 for obtaining Environmental Clearance under category 8(b) of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. The TOR was approved by MoEF&CC, GoI on 12.01.2016. Then the PP submitted the EIA/EMP Report.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 188th meeting of SEAC held on 16.09.2019. The PP presented their case before the committee. The committee advised the PP to seek the separate Environment Clearance for establishing CETP in the township under EIA Notification 14.09.2006 but the PP requested in writing for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 05.10.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.12.2020 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

207.21 EC for Extension & Expansion of "Residential Plotted Colony" located at Sector 36-39, Panipat, Haryana by M/s Taneja Developers & Infrastructure Ltd,

Project Proponent : Sh. Subodh Saxena
Consultant : Perfact Enviro

Applicant proposes to set up "Residential Plotted Colony", Sector-36-39, Panipat, Haryana. The project proponent submitted application for Extension and expansion of validity of Environmental Clearance to SEIAA on dated 28.10.2014 and was forwarded to SEAC on dated 31.10.2014.

The Environmental Clearance to the project proponent has already been granted by the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India vide letter No.21-577/2007—IA.III dated 07.01.2008 for five years i.e. up to 06.01.2013.

The validity period of EC was elapsed on dated 06.01.2013 and the project proponent has submitted the application after the expiry of Environmental Clearance i.e. on 28.10.2014.

The case was discussed in the 115th meeting of the SEAC held on 11.11.2014. The compliance report for expansion of project received from Regional Director, MOEF vide letter dated 21.08.2014 shows that the work is quite incomplete and completion certificate for only a part of the project (221.446 Acres) has been issued by the DTCP, Haryana on 10.02.2014. The EC already granted was valid up to 06.01.2013 and any work executed afterwards tantamount to violation of the EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. It was unanimously decided that this case may

be decided at the level of SEIAA for considering his request as per provisions given in the EIA Notification, 2006.

The case could not be taken up in the SEIAA as the term of SEIAA/SEAC was elapsed on 21.03.2015. Therefore, the case was transferred to Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India in the month of March, 2015. This case could not be taken up by the MoEF and was again transferred to SEIAA on 31.08.2015 after the reconstitution of SEIAA/SEAC on 21.08.2015.

The case was taken up by the SEIAA in the 83rd meeting held on 28.09.2015. The SEIAA referred back the case to SEAC with the advice to appraise this project. The project proponent submitted the reply on 18.04.2016, thereafter the case was taken up in the 135th meeting of the SEAC held on 27.06.2016. The case was discussed in detail and it was observed that MoEF&CC has imposed moratorium in Panipat and is covered under critically polluted area. The committee was of the unanimous view that the case be referred to SEIAA for getting clarification from MoEF&CC whether EC can be granted to the PP or not. The case was taken up by the SEIAA with the advice to appraise this project.

The terms of reference were approved in the 140th meeting held on 09.09.2016 and conveyed to the project proponent vide letter No. 1450 dated 15.09.2016. The project proponent vide letter dated 07.09.2017 requested for withdrawal of their case. Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 158th meeting of the SEAC held on 28.09.2017.

The Project Proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Members. The Committee decided to issue 30 days notice to the PP.

The observation of 158th meeting were conveyed to the PP vide letter No.2273(A) dated 12.10.2017. The PP submitted the reply on 07.11.2017. Thereafter, the case was taken up in the 161st meeting of the SEAC held on 30.11.2017.

During discussion, the project proponent placed on record a request which is reproduced as under:

“With reference to above said project, we wish to inform you that the ToR was granted to our project by SEAC, Haryana vide F.No. HR/SEAC/686/1450 on 15.09.2016.

In the light of MoEF& CC Notification no.S.O. 3999(E) dated 09.12.2016, where it has been clearly notified that the project with built up area greater than 3,00,000 sqm will be treated as ‘A’ category projects. Hence, in view of the aforesaid notification, we had submitted the EIA Report for grant for grant of Environmental Clearance to MoEF & CC on 14.01.2017 and our case was appraised in 15th EAC meeting held on 12.04.2017 for grant of Environmental Clearance.

“Therefore, we are withdrawing our case from SEAC/ SEIAA, Haryana.”

As per the amendment in the EIA Notification issued recently by Ministry of Environment and Forest & Climate Change, Government of India on dated 09th December, 2016, the construction projects having covered area more than 300000 Sq. Meters falls under the

competency of the Ministry of Environment and Forest & Climate Change, Government of India. Therefore, at present this case does not fall under the purview of SEIAA/SEAC.

The consultant on behalf of Project Proponent requested for adjournment and the same was discussed in the meeting. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to list the project in the 162nd meeting of the SEAC to be held on 13.12.2017. It was also made clear to the Project Proponent that no separate letter will be issued for attending the meeting of the SEAC.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 162nd held on 13.12.2017. The project proponent neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Members. The Committee decided to issue 30 days notice.

Thereafter, the Case was sent to MoEF&CC on 20.08.2018 as the term of SEIAA came to end. Then, the case was received back from MoEF&CC. Thereafter, the Show Cause Notice was issued on 10.05.2019.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 203rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 15.10.2020 but the PP requested vide letter dated 29.09.2020 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC and it was decided unanimously by the committee that the project will be considered in the next meeting.

Thereafter, the case was again taken up in 207th meeting the SEAC held on 17.12.2020 and PP was asked to clarify the following points regarding the projects before taking up for appraisal as the project is listed as violation in the agenda.

- i) The reason for delay as the PP applied for the extension of validity on 28.10.2014 after the expiry of EC i.e. on 6.01.2013
- ii) The proof that PP has not carried any construction after the expiry of Environment clearance
- iii) Why the project shall not be treated as violation?
- iv) The PP shall submit the self contained note on the chronology of events.
- v) The Notification/OM/Guidelines under which the project shall be appraised for extension/expansion after expiry of EC.
- vi) The status of CTE/CTO/OC for the project
- vii) Whether ToR issued by SEAC, Haryana vide F.No. HR/SEAC/686/1450 on 15.09.2016 and further amended by MoEF &CC is valid for EIA report?

The PP shall submit the reply within 15 days and the project will be taken up after the receipt of reply of the observation. It is also decided that if the reply not received within the stipulated period the decision will be taken in accordance with MoEF&CC Notification dated 18.11.2020

207.22 **EC for expansion for proposed Warehouse (for storage of commercial goods) on area measuring 86109.916 sqmt at Village Binola, Tehsil-Manesar, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Integra Urban Infrastructure Private limited.**

Project Proponent : Not present
Consultant : Not present

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana on 27.07.2018. The project proponent submitted the case to the SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8(a) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 175th meeting of SEAC held on 14.08.2018. The PP neither attended the meeting nor circulated the documents to the Members.

Then, the Case was taken up in 206th meeting of SEAC held on 26.11.2020 but the PP and the consultant requested in writing to defer the case. The SEAC deliberated that as the case is pending since long but on the request of PP the committee acceded the request and decided to defer the case for the last time.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 207th meeting of SEAC held on 17.12.2020. The PP presented the case before the committee

- The proposed project is for expansion for proposed Warehouse (for storage of commercial goods) on area measuring 86109.916 sqmt at Village Binola, Tehsil-Manesar, District-Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Integra Urban Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd
- Earlier EC was granted to the project for the Builtup Area of 55,108.157 m² through letter no. SEIAA/HR/2017/712, dated 08.11.2017.
- Consent to Establish (CTE) for this project through letter no HSPCB/Consent/: 313116318GUSOCTE4940035 on dated 23.01.2018.
- Occupation Certificate (OC) for the total Built-up Area of 59,205.43 m² through Memo No. G-2906/SD(BS)/2018/18688, on dated 22.06.2018.
- Consent to Operate (CTO) for this project through letter no HSPCB/Consent/: 329973818GUSOCTO5377887 on dated 11.07.2018.
- The Zoning plan has been approved for an area measuring 83302.41sqm vide letter dated

The discussion was held on the Earlier EC granted to the project for the Built-up Area of 55,108.157 m² and the status of construction at the site. The PP submitted the occupation certificate for total Built-up Area of 59,205.43 m² through Memo No. G-2906/SD (BS)/2018/18688 on dated 22.06.2018. The Committee deliberated that as the PP has constructed the area more than the area granted in the EC letter which is a violation of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. However, presently the violation window for granting EC is closed but as the violation has been noticed during the appraisal.

The Committee unanimously decided that it is a confirmed case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 as PP has already constructed built up area 59,205.43 m² against sanctioned Builtup area of 55,108.157 m² and after deliberation recommended to SEIAA for the following:

- i) The State Government/HSPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no Consent to Operate or Occupancy Certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
