## State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Rajasthan Room No. 11, Aravalli Bhawan, Jhalana Institutional Area, Jaipur. E-mail:- seiaams2021@gmail.com

No. F.8(5)/EIA/Env./2006-V.

Jaipur, Dated: 27.10.2022

## **MEETING MINUTES**

5.48<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority was held on 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2022 at 11.30 A.M.in the Chamber of Chairman, SEIAA under the chairmanship of Sh. Rajeeva Swarup (IAS. Retd.) to discuss and decide upon the recommendations made by SEAC, Rajasthan in respect of following applications listed below:-

S.N.	File No.	Name of the (1) Project, (2) Proponent, (3) Consultant & (4) Recommendation of SEAC.
1. /	File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC -Raj/Sectt/Project/Cat.B2 (21183)/2021-22	<ol> <li>Project Name:- EC for "Quartz &amp; Feldspar (Minor Mineral), ML No 11/2022 &amp; over an area – 1.2540 Hectare, with proposed Production capacity-105261TPA (ROM) {Saleable- 73,683 TPA, Waste- 21,052 TPA &amp; Subgrade-10526 TPA}, Khasra No 254 m, 313/254, located Near Village-Jadsa, Tehsil– Amet, District – Rajsamand (Rajasthan) (Proposal No- 272605).</li> <li>Project Proponent Name:- M/s Omkar Minerals, Address-N/v Jadsa, Tehsil- Amet, Dist- Rajsamand, Rajasthan, Email-omkarminlerasb2 @yahoo.com.</li> <li>Name of Consultant:- ATMOS SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD., Address A-73, 3RD FLOOR, SECTOR 65, NOIDA, UP,Email-vibranttechnolab@gmail.com.</li> <li>Recommend to SEIAA for Reject to the Proposals</li> </ol>
2.	File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/ SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/ Cat. B2 (15709)/ 2021-22	<ol> <li>Project Name:- EC of Masonry Stone &amp; Granite, (M.L. No. 143/1996) Mining Lease Area: 1.00 Hect. Production Capacity:- 1,49,100 TPA (ROM) {Production of Granite:-74,550 TPA &amp; Masonary stone - 59,640 TPA, Waste-14,910}, Existing capacity- 1,16,550 TPA, Khasra no 31, Located near Village- Kurlai, Tehsil- Bali, Distt Pali, Rajasthan, (Praposal No- 92910).</li> <li>Project Proponent Name- M/s Star Granites, Makrana Road, Riico Industrial Area, Kishangarh, Distt Ajmer, Rajasthan,</li> </ol>

hy

2/

Om

		Email Stargranite18@gmail.com,
		msstargranite11@gmail.com.
		3. Name of Consultant:- Fulgro Environmental & Engineering
		Services India Pvt. Ltd., Add-27, Ashok Vatika, Khatipura Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur, (Raj.), Email:- info@fulgro.in.
		4. Recommend to SEIAA for Rejection of the proposal
3.	File no. F1(4)/SEIAA/  SEAC-Raj/Sectt/ Project/Cat.B2(16097)/ 2021-22	<ol> <li>Project Name:- EC for "Granite &amp; Masonry Stone Mining project, (M.L. no. 836/95), Mine lease area 1.00 Ha., Khasra no. 49/11, 49/12, Production capacity- 1,22,500 TPA(ROM) {Production of Granite- 61,250 TPA, Masonary stone :- 49,000 TPA, Waste- 12,250 TPA} located at near village- Rodla (Kurlai), Tehsil Bali, dist. Pali(Raj) (Proposal No. 37422).</li> <li>Name of Proponent: M/s Shree Ram Granites, C/o M/s Girnar Granite, Roopangarh Road, village- Kalidungari, Tehsil-Kishangarh,Dist.Ajmer,Email:sramgranite@gmail.com.</li> <li>Consultants: Fulgro Environmental &amp; Engineering Service India Pvt. Ltd. Add. 27 Ashok Vatika, Khatipura road, Jhotwara Jaipur, Email:- info@fulgro.in.</li> <li>Recommend to SEIAA for Rejection of the proposal</li> </ol>
1	File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/	
4.		1. Project Name:- Environment Clearance for Existing Mine
	SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/	Quartz & Silica Sand (ML. NO 82/2006, Area- 4.2848 ha., with Production Capacity - 450840 TPA (ROM), Khasra NO:-
	Cat. B2 (19746)/ 2021-22	<ol> <li>230, 233/1, 233/2, 234/1, 234/2, 234/3, 234/4, N/v- Bagawala, Tehsil - Neem Ka Thana, &amp; Distt Sikar (Raj.) (Proposal No213937).</li> <li>Project Proponent Name- M/s Reverence Minerals Pvt. Ltd., R/o-A28 Anita Colony, Bajaj Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.), Email-prateek.s@reverencevaluehomes.com.</li> <li>Consultant Name:- MANTRAS GREEN RESOURCES LTD, Add., Hall No 1st Floor, NICE Sankul Plot No A-9, Opp. Nasik Marchant Co Op Bank Ltd, ITI Signal, MIDCSatpur, Nasik). Email-mantrasjaipur@gmail.com.</li> </ol>
	Eller - El (A)/CELAA/	4. Recommend to SEIAA for Rejection of the proposal
5.	File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/ SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/ Cat. B2 (20839)/ 2021-22	1. Project Name: Environmental Clearance for Granite & Masonary Stone Mining Project (ML Area- 1.0 haML No11/2012) with production capacity of 1,17,975 TPA (ROM) located at Near VillageBharla, Tehsil-Kishangarh, DisttAjmer(Rajasthan) by M/s. Veer Teja Mines -(Proposal No-234996).
		<ol> <li>Project Proponent Name: M/s.Veer Teja Mines, Address-Bharlal, Post- Devpuri, Tehsil- Arain, District- Ajmer, (Rajasthan), Email- <a href="mailto:veertejamine2021@gmail.com">veertejamine2021@gmail.com</a>.</li> <li>Consultant Name: - MANTRAS GREEN RESOURCES LTD, Add Hall No 1 NICE Sankul Plot No A-9, Opp. Nasik Marchant Co Op Bank Ltd, ITI Signal, MIDCSatpur, Nasik).</li> </ol>

hy

W

m

		email:- mantrasjaipur@gmail.com
		4. Recommend to SEIAA for Rejection of the proposal
6.	File no. F1 (4)/SEIAA/	1. Project Name:- Environmental Clearance for Granite Mine Mining
	SEAC-Raj/Sectt/Project/	Project (Lease Area 3.00 ha and ML No 98/2003) with production
	Cat. B2 (20924)/ 2021-22	capacity of 1,64,528 TPA located Near Village - Lakha, Tehsil-
		Fatehgarh, District – Jaisalmer (Rajasthan) by Smt. Shardha jain
		D/o Shri Rajiv Jain.(Proposal No-266911).  2. Project Proponent Name- Smt. Sharddha Jain D/o Sh. Rajiv
		2. Project Proponent Name- Smt. Sharddha Jain D/o Sh. Rajiv Jain, Address-N/v- Lakha, Tehsil- Fatehgarh, District- Jaisalmer,
		(Raj.), Email- sharddhajain2022@gmail.com.
		3. Consultant Name:- MANTRAS GREEN RESOURCES LTD, Add
		Hall No 1 NICE Sankul Plot No A-9, Opp. Nasik Marchant Co
		Op Bank Ltd, ITI Signal, MIDCSatpur, Nasik). email:-
	The state of the s	mantrasjaipur@gmail.com.
		4. Recommend to SEIAA for Rejection of the proposal
7.	F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC-	1. Project Name- EC for proposed group housing project Mangalam
	Raj/Sectt/Project/Cat.	Aadhar, Gross Built-up Area-753480.9 SqM atKhasra No.2123,
	8a(21674)/ 2019-20	2124, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2140 at Village-Sirsi &
		117/1, 119, 120, 120/1, 121, 121/1 at Village- Bhakrota, Tehsil-
1 (C. 1)		Sanganer, District-Jaipur, Rajasthan (SIA/RJ/MIS/280984/2022).
		2. Project Proponent Name-M/s Mangalam Home Construction
		Company LLP, 6thFloor, Apex Mall, Lal Kothi,TonkRoad,Jaipur-
		302015, Rajasthan Email- rajeshsharma6015@gmail.com
		3. Consultant Name- M/s Enaky enviro Service Pvt. Ltd, 92, Heera
		Nagar-A, Near Shalimar Bagh, Ajmer Road, Jaipur Email-
		info@enkayenviro.com
	a constitution of the cons	4. Grant of EC & endorse a copy of EC to the RSPCB for taking action
		against the PP.
8.	F1 (4)/SEIAA/SEAC-	1. Project Name- Environmental Clearance for Proposed Group
0.	Raj/Sectt/Project/Cat.	Housing Project, "AKSHAR" having total plot area- 19939.00 sq.m.
		& gross built up area – 121090.60 sq.m. at Khasra No. 132/1, 133,
	8a(21247)/ 2019-20	134, 135, 135/1 & 135/2, Village Ganpatpura, Tehsil-Sanganer,
		District-Jaipur (Rajasthan) (Proposal No. SIA/RJ/MIS/270548/2022)
		2. Project Proponent Name-M/s. Sparkler Developers LLP(Partner:
		Mr. Rajesh Jain), Address-E 44 LAL BAHADUR Nagar Ramjipura
		Jln Marg Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan E-Mail-onstruction@kgkrealty.
		com
		3. Consultant Name- M/s. EXCEL ENVIRO TECH, ADD-TF-2, Sun
	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	House, Near Old High Court, off Asharam Road, Ahmedabad,
		Gujrat, Email-manoj.jain@excelenvrio.com
		4. Grant of EC.

The Authority after detailed discussion and deliberation decided the following:

1. Serial No. 1: This matter was listed before SEIAA in its 5.43<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 28.09.2022. SEAC-1 in its 5A.24<sup>th</sup> meeting has recommended to reject the

hy

(g)

an

proposal for the reasons mentioned in its minutes. However, the Authority considered it appropriate to afford an opportunity of personal hearing which was communicated to PP/consultant. Accordingly, the case was re-scheduled in 5.48<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA and communication was sent on 21.10.2022 for the same.

However, the PP was not present. The Authority considered the matter in light of the fact that there were Diwali holidays from Oct. 22<sup>nd</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022 and the offices were closed. Accordingly, the case was deferred for the next meeting. Fresh notice be issued to the PP for personal hearing wherein they may give reasons, if any, as to why the recommendation of SEAC may not be accepted.

2. Serial No. 2 & 3: This matter was listed before SEIAA in its 5.44<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 30.09.2022. SEAC-1 in its 5A.25<sup>th</sup> meeting had recommended to reject the proposal due to the reasons mentioned in minutes of its 5A.25<sup>th</sup> meeting. However, the Authority considered it appropriate to afford an opportunity of personal hearing which was communicated to PP/consultant. Accordingly, the case was rescheduled in 5.48<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA and communication was sent on 21.10.2022 for the same.

The PP and the consultant both were present and apprised that the shortcomings of DCF certificate and Certified compliance report has been submitted. Hence, the Authority decided to refer back this proposal to SEAC (1&2) for re-examination.

3. Serial No. 4, 5 & 6: This matter was listed before SEIAA in its 5.44<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 30.09.2022. SEAC-1 in its 5A.25<sup>th</sup> meeting had recommended to reject the proposal. The Authority considered it appropriate to afford an opportunity of personal hearing which was communicated to the PP/consultant. Accordingly, the case was re-scheduled in 5.48<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA and communication was sent on 21.10.2022 for the same.

However, the PPs were not present. The Authority considered the matter in light of the fact that there were Diwali holidays from Oct. 22<sup>nd</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022 and the offices were closed. Accordingly, the case was deferred for the next meeting. Fresh notice be issued to the PPs for personal hearing wherein they may give reasons, if any, as to why the recommendation of SEAC may not be accepted.

4. Serial No. 7: SEAC-2 in its 5B.25<sup>th</sup> meeting has recommended to grant of EC and endorse a copy of EC to the RSPCB for taking action against the PP. SEAC-2 has also imposed a penalty of Rs. 36.35 Lacs (half of the Remediation Plan amount) as the violation declaration has been done suo-moto. SEAC-2 has also recommended to deposit a Bank Guarantee of Rs. 72.65 Lacs with RSPCB for remediation Plan. The Authority deferred this proposal in its 5.46<sup>th</sup> meeting for a detailed

lay

understanding of the proposal and presentation to be made by the PP. The case was re-scheduled in **5.48<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA** and communication was sent on 21.10.2022 for the same.

The PP and the consultant both made a detailed presentation and satisfactorily replied to the queries raised by SEIAA during the presentation.

The Authority was of the view that SEAC has erred in calculation of penalty to be imposed on the project proponent for violation while considering it as a suomoto case. The total project cost is Rs. 105 crores. The PP has submitted a certificate from CA that no turnover has been achieved in the project.

Hence, the Authority decided to grant EC to this proposal subject to deposition of penalty amount of Rs. 52.50 Lakhs (50% of 1% of project cost, considering it as a suo-moto case, as per procedure laid down in MoEF&CC SOP dated 07.07.2021) by online/Demand Draft mode and also submit the Bank Guarantee of Rs. 72.65 Lacs towards remediation plan with RSPCB within 15 days of the receipt of the letter in this regard failing which action shall be initiated to stop this project.

5. Serial No. 8: SEAC-2 in its 5B.25<sup>th</sup> meeting has recommended to grant of EC to this proposal. The Authority deferred this proposal in its 5.46<sup>th</sup> meeting for a detailed understanding of the proposal. The Authority considered it appropriate to afford an opportunity of presentation which was communicated to PP/consultant. Accordingly, the case was re-scheduled in 5.48<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA and communication was sent on 21.10.2022 for the same.

The PP and the consultant both made a detailed presentation and satisfactorily replied to the queries raised by SEIAA during the presentation. Hence, the Authority decided to accept the recommendation of SEAC and grant EC to this proposal.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

P. K. Upadhyay

Member Secretary, SEIAA

Rajeeva Swarup

Chairman, SEIAA

Dr. Suresh Chandra

Member, SEIAA