

### **Minutes of 351<sup>st</sup> SEAC Meeting Dated 20/08/2018**

The 351<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC was held in Directorate of Environment, U.P. on 20/08/2018 following members were present in the SEAC:

1.	Dr. (Prof.) S.N. Singh,	Chairman
2.	Dr. Sarita Sinha,	Member
3.	Dr. Arvind Mathur,	Member
4.	Dr. Virendra Mishra,	Member
5.	Dr. Pramod Kumar Mishra	Member
6.	Dr. Richpal Singh Sangu,	Member
7.	Dr. Ranjeet Kumar Dalela,	Member
8.	Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mandal	Member
9.	Shri Meraj Uddin,	Member

The Chairman welcomed the members to the 351<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting.

The SEAC unanimously took following decisions on the agenda points discussed:

- 1. Group Housing Project "Gaur Atulyam" at Plot No.- GH-01, Sector-Omnicon- I, Greater Noida, District- Gautam Budha Nagar, U.P., M/s U.P. Township Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. File No.4224/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/ NCP/25068/2018)**

#### **Resolution against agenda no-01**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 30/05/2018 and was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 29/06/2018 and directed as follows:

“The SEIAA noted that EC was granted for the above project in 2013 by SEIAA. Project proponent has submitted the compliance report of EC from MoEF dated 24-04-2018 in which it is also mentioned that the project is under construction. SEIAA also noted that project proponent has applied for the expansion. According to recommendation of SEAC “The committee will carry out a visit and in any circumstances if violation observed the case will be treated as under violation of E.P. Act.” No ground/comments have been given as to why it is being referred to/suspected as violation case. The matter is being referred back to SEAC for complete comments.”

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. A joint inspection was undertaken by Shri R.P.S. Sangu, Member, SEAC, Shri R.C. Kataria, Member, SEAC and Shri Devendra Singh, Deputy Director, Directorate of Environment,

Lucknow on 17/07/2018. As per inspection report dated 17/07/2018, no construction was observed for proposed extension regarding the project proposal.

The committee discussed on the inspection report and revealed that no violation has been made in this regard and hence, recommends to issue terms of reference (TOR) for the preparation of Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) regarding the project:

**Additional TORs :**

1. Kaccha pond for surface water recharge with proper fencing should be provided.
2. Master plan of the area showing proposed project. Permissible uses of the proposed site as per zoning regulation.
3. Allotment letter from concerned development authority.
4. All approved drawings/maps alongwith approved services plans.
5. Structural design certificate signed by architect and vetting authority should be submitted. All structural design drawings should be signed by architect and counter signed by vetting authority.
6. Area details showing proposed uses as residential, commercial, parks, parking, roads, other services, facilities of the project also in percentage.
7. Physical features within 30 m of the project sites with their ownership.
8. Complete Details of facilities to be developed by the project proponent i.e. for which environment clearance is sought.
9. Use of reflecting paints on roof top and side walls.
10. Details of rain water harvesting are to be given.
11. Provision of 100% solar lighting along the road site, stair cases, common places.
12. Plan for EWS / LIG housing provision as per Development Authority bye-laws.
13. Examine in detail the proposed site with reference to impact on infrastructure covering water supply, storm water drainage, sewerage, power, etc., and the disposal of treated/raw wastes from the complex on land/water body and into sewerage system. Consider soil characteristics and permeability for rainwater harvesting proposals, should be made to prevent ground water contamination. Maximize use of treated water by recycling and utilization of rainwater.
14. Water requirement and water management plan along with necessary permissions for discharge.
15. Hydro-geological investigations be carried out and obtain permission from Central Ground Water Authority for withdrawal of ground water.
16. Make provision for safety against failure in the operation of wastewater treatment facilities. Identify acceptable outfall for treated effluent.
17. Details of green area as a measure for mitigation of dust and noise and buffer between habitation and proposed project. Landscape plan, green belts and open spaces may be described separately.
18. Study the existing flora and fauna of the area and the impact of the project on them.
19. Section of all internal roads should be provided. Right of way and carriage way width should be clearly marked on the map. Avoid entry/exit at point of junction of roads.

Traffic movement plan in and out should be shown.

20. Examine existing crèche, education, health facilities, police, post Office, Banks and other services and make adequate provisions in the proposal.
21. Assess soil erosion in view of the soil characteristics, topography and rainfall pattern.
22. Application of renewable energy/alternate energy, such as solar and wind energy may be described including solar water heating in the guidelines for entrepreneurs.
23. Consider solid wastes, including e-waste in addition to other solid wastes and their disposal.
24. Identification of recyclable wastes and waste utilization arrangements may be made.
25. Explore possibility of generating biogas from biodegradable wastes.
26. Arrangements for hazardous waste management may be described as also the common facilities for waste collection, treatment, recycling and disposal of all effluent, emission and refuse including MSW, biomedical and hazardous wastes. Special attention should be made with respect to bird menace.
27. Provisions made for safety in storage of materials, products and wastes may be described.
28. Disaster management plan should be prepared.
29. Traffic management plan including parking and loading/unloading areas may be described. Traffic survey should be carried out both on weekdays and weekend.
30. Parking provision is to be made for higher ECS worked out either as per state bye-laws or construction manual of the MoEF. Additional parking more than required nos. as per norms will not be permitted.
31. Exclusive Parking area in the basement (excluding other facilities) and surface is to be clearly mentioned.
32. Use of local building materials should be described.
33. Consider provision of DG Flue Gas emissions to be treated in a scrubber. Stack details with provisos of sampling port for monitoring be described. Power backup should be restricted to 50-60 % of power requirement. Plan should be revised and submitted.
34. Work out MGLC for the combined capacity of DG sets.
35. Provide for conservation of resources, energy efficiency and use of renewable sources of energy in the light of ECBC code.
36. Application of resettlement and rehabilitation policy may be described. Project affected persons should be identified and rehabilitation and resettlement plan should be prepared.
37. Examine separately the details for construction and operation phases both for Environmental Management Plan and Environmental Monitoring Plan.
38. Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) shall be by the project proponent and the details of the various heads of expenditure to be submitted as per the guidelines provide in the recent CER notification No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated 01/05/2018. A copy of resolution of board of directors shall be submitted to the authority. A copy of resolution as above shall be submitted to the authority along with list of beneficiaries with their mobile nos./address.

39. Required no of trees should be proposed @ 01 tree/80 sqm, submit the plan.
40. Project falling within 10 Km. area of Wild Life Sanctuary is to obtain a clearance from National Board Wild Life (NBWL) even if the eco- sensitive zone is not earmarked.
41. Declare/submit the running cost of STP and other environmental management services (e.g., Municipal Solid Waste Disposal, Green belt Maintenance, Water Management etc.) in the proposals which are to be included in the allotment letters. Vendors should be identified for Municipal Solid Waste Management and submitted.
42. The proponent will submit the schedule of monitoring/data collection programme to the Office of Directorate, Member Secretary, UP Pollution Control Board and District Magistrate of related District.

**General Guidelines:**

- a. A legal affidavit by the Project proponent on Rs. 100/- non-judicial Stamp Paper, duly attested by Public Notary, stating that:
  - I. "There is no litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up (please give name & ownership etc. of the project) and that for any such litigation what so ever, the sole responsibility will be borne by the Project proponent."
  - II. "No activity relating to this project (i.e. name of the project) including civil construction has been undertaken at site except fencing of the site to protect it from getting encroached and construction of temporary shed(s) for the guard(s). (if fencing has not been done, then the same may be deleted).
  - III. "I/We hereby give undertaking that the data and information given in the application, enclosures and other documents are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I/We am/are aware that if any part of the data and information submitted is found to be false or misleading at any stage, the project will be rejected and clearance given, if any to the Project will be revoked at our risk and cost."
  - IV. Project does not fall under any buffer zone of no-development as declared /identified under any law.
- b. Another legal affidavit by the consultant stating "(a) that the prescribed TORs have been complied with (to be deleted if not applicable) & (b) that details and the data presented are factually correct", as per MoEF circular dated 04.08.2009 is also to be submitted along with EIA.
- c. Current, site photographs viewing towards the project area from four directions indicating date of photograph taken, direction from which taken, name of the project, and signature of Project proponent along with consultant with seal should be submitted, so as to ensure that no construction has been started before the grant of EC.
- d. EIA should strictly follow the guidelines prescribed in annexure-III to the EIA notification of 2006 and the Methods of Monitoring and analysis (Annexure-IV): Guidance for assessment of representativeness and reliability of baseline environmental attributes detailed under EIA manual January, 2001 and other guidelines in the matter.

- e. The status of accreditation of the EIA consultant with NABET/QCI shall be specifically mentioned. The consultant shall certify that his accreditation is for the sector for which this EIA is prepared.
- f. On the front page of EIA/EMP reports, the name of the consultant/consultancy firm along with their complete details including their accreditation, if any shall be indicated.
- g. While submitting the EIA/EMP reports, the name of the experts associated with/involved in the preparation of these reports and the Name of laboratory through which the samples have been got analyzed should be stated in the report. It shall clearly be indicated whether said laboratory is accredited by NABL or approved under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Please refer MoEF office memorandum dated 4th August, 2009, as amended). The name project leader of the EIA study shall also be mentioned.
- h. The EIA document shall be printed on both sides, as far as possible.

The Information's no (a I , II, III & c) asked under the general guidelines is to be submitted within 15 days from the date of receipt of the letter and remaining of the information's is to be submitted along with the EIA.

**2. River Bed Sand Mining Project from Banganga River for located at Gata No.- 1/331, 1/7 (Area 6.78 Ha) Village- Komar, Tehsil- Shohratgarh, District-Siddharth Nagar, U.P., Lease Area: 6.78 ha. File No.4170/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/MIN/73788/2018)**

**Resolution against agenda no-02**

The committee noted that the environmental clearance in the matter was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide letter no. 78/Parya/SEAC/4170/2018 dated 14/05/2018. The project proponent vide letter dated 17/05/2018 has informed that there is some typographical error in environmental clearance letter dated 14/05/2018 and requested to correct the same. The matter listed in SEAC meeting dated 07/06/2018 and the SEAC recommended to replace the contents is as follows:

1.	Name of proponent	To, Gaurav Jaiswal, S/o Shri Mohan Lal Jaiswal
2.	Total Mineable Reserves in LOI	1,22,000 m <sup>3</sup> /year

After the recommendation of SEAC the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 02/07/2018 and directed as follows:

"The SEIAA agreed with the recommendations of the SEAC to correct the address for correspondence as per amended address submitted by project proponent. For total mineable reserve SEIAA noted that there is no typographical mistake. Project proponent has submitted an affidavit dated 17-04-2018 in which at point no- 18 total mineable reserves is mentioned as 63929 m<sup>3</sup>/year and proposed production/year is mentioned as 37,023m<sup>3</sup>. The matter is referred back to SEAC for clear recommendation regarding mining reserve according LOI and total production/year."

As per the direction of SEIAA the matter listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The committee went through the letter of intent dated 27/02/2018, issued by District Magistrate wherein, the quantity has been mentioned as 1,22,000 m<sup>3</sup>. Supplementary affidavit has to be submitted to SEIAA by the project proponent in this regard.

**3. River Ordinary Sand Mining at Khand No.- 09, Village- Jagdishpur, Tehsil- Bara, District-Allahabad, U.P. Leased Area- 14.82 (6.00 ha) M/s Sakshi Construction Company. File No.4314/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/MIN/75076/2018)**

**Resolution against agenda no-03**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 07/06/2018 and directed as follows:

“The project proponent informed that the 90% of the lease area is under active water channel. Project proponent demands the permission from mining in active water channel which is not possible as per SSMMG, 2016.

The committee opined that the conditions desired by the project proponent for grant of environmental clearance may not be possible. The matter is referred to SEIAA for taking the final decision. The request letter from project proponent in original is placed in file.”

After the recommendation of SEAC the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 02/07/2018 and directed as follows:

The SEIAA gone through the recommendation of SEAC The SEIAA is of the opinion that as per the minutes of joint meeting of SEIAA and SEAC dated 07-06-2018 a sub-committee comprising of 2-3 member of SEAC, concerned RO, UP Pollution Control Board and District mining officer may be constituted to inspect the site and to give its recommendation regarding reduction of minable reserve from LOI as early as possible. Hence, the matter is referred back to SEAC.

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The SEAC nominated Dr. Pramod Kumar Mishra, Member SEAC as a Chairman of the inspection subcommittee. The inspection team may inspect the project site after the monsoon alongwith the other members of the subcommittee nominated by the authority.

**4. River Bed Sand/Morrum Mining in River Kane from Gata No.-99/3, 99/22, 100/7, 101/5, 103/10, 104/2, 104/5,Vill.- Sindhan Kalan, Tehsil-Pailani, Distt.- Banda. File No.3872/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/MIN/70976/2017)**

**Resolution against agenda no-04**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 07/06/2018 and directed as follows:

“The project proponent, complainant and District Administration/Mining Department representative should be called with all relevant documents to explain their version before the committee. The Chairman, SEAC directed to fix the date and initiate accordingly.”

After the recommendation of SEAC the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 02/07/2018 and directed as follows:

“The SEIAA gone through the recommendation of SEAC in which it is mentioned “The project proponent, complainant and District Administration/Mining Department representative should be called with all relevant documents to explain their version before the committee. The Chairman, SEAC directed to fix the date and initiate accordingly”. The SEIAA also gone through the file/document and noted that Project proponent has been issued EC dated 12-01-2018 and its amendment dated 26-02-2018. The SEIAA also noted that no complaint is available in the file after that. Hence, it is not clear that what for the representative of District Administration/Mining Department, complainant and project proponent is being called. Hence, the matter is referred back to SEAC for review.”

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The project proponent, complainant (complaint dated 01/06/2018) and District Administration/Mining Department, representative did not appear. Hence, reminder letter as mentioned in minutes uploaded may be sent.

**5. Regarding Misuse and misrepresentation of Name of M/s Voyants Solutions Pvt. Ltd. (VSPL) Consulting firm, Gurugram, Haryana by other consultant.**

**Resolution against agenda no-05**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEIAA meeting dated 10/07/2018 and directed as follows:

“The SEIAA gone through the letter of M/s Voyants Solutions Pvt. Ltd.(VSPL) Consulting firm, Gurugram, Haryana regarding Misuse and misrepresentation of Name dated 26/06/2018. SEIAA opined that matter should be referred to SEAC for comments.”

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The committee had gone through the complaint letter no. VSPL/EMS/18-19/SEIAA/Jun/08600 2(2) dated 26/06/2018 and found that the grant of remedy to the complainant is beyond the purview of SEAC. The complainant should approach to the competent authority for the same.

**6. Proposed "Cement Grinding Unit" Project at Village- Dhauhan, Pargana- Saktesgarh, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, U.P. M/s Uddya Cement Pvt. Ltd. File No.4184/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/IND/ 22440/2018)**

**Resolution against agenda no-06**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 08/06/2018 and recommended to issue terms of reference (TOR) for the preparation of Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) regarding the project. After the recommendation of SEAC the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 04/07/2018 and directed as follows:

“The SEIAA noted that SEAC has recommended TOR for the above projects. The SEIAA also noted that the above project at SI no- 15 was already taken up in its meeting dated 29-06-2018 wherein SEIAA was of the opinion that a sub-committee comprising of Member of SEAC, RO, UPPCB and representative of DFO should be formed to inspect the site and

submit its report to SEIAA. The SEIAA is also of the opinion that the same sub-committee comprising of Member of SEAC, RO, UPPCB and representative of DFO shall also inspect the site of the project mentioned as the Sl. No- 16 which is in the same village and submit its report to SEIAA."

The matter was again listed in SEIAA meeting dated 10/07/2018 and directed as follows:

"The SEIAA gone through the letter of Prakriti Consultant dated 06/07/2018 regarding TOR presentation of Cement Grinding Unit on dated 08/06/2018 in 344th SEAC meeting serial no- 15 & 16. SEIAA opined that matter should be referred to SEAC."

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The SEAC went through the letter no. 349/Parya/M/s Udhyam Cement/Mirzapur/2018 dated 29/06/2018. Inspection report regarding the matter has not been received by SEAC and it is not available in the file. It is not clear that what is expected at the SEAC level, recommendations of SEAC can only be possible only after receiving the inspection report.

**7. "Cement Grinding Unit" Project at Village- Dhauhan, Pargana- Saktesarh, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, U.P. M/s J.H.V. Steels Ltd. File No. 4189/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/IND/ 23478/2018)**

**Resolution against agenda no-07**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 08/06/2018 and recommended to issue terms of reference (TOR) for the preparation of Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) regarding the project. After the recommendation of SEAC the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 04/07/2018 and directed as follows:

"The SEIAA noted that SEAC has recommended TOR for the above projects. The SEIAA also noted that the above project at Sl no. 15 was already taken up in its meeting dated 29-06-2018 wherein SEIAA was of the opinion that a sub-committee comprising of Member of SEAC, RO, UPPCB and representative of DFO should be formed to inspect the site and submit its report to SEIAA. The SEIAA is also of the opinion that the same sub-committee comprising of Member of SEAC, RO, UPPCB and representative of DFO shall also inspect the site of the project mentioned as the Sl. No- 16 which is in the same village and submit its report to SEIAA."

The matter was again listed in SEIAA meeting dated 10/07/2018 and directed as follows:

"The SEIAA gone through the letter of Prakriti Consultant dated 06/07/2018 regarding TOR presentation of Cement Grinding Unit on dated 08/06/2018 in 344th SEAC meeting serial no- 15 & 16. SEIAA opined that matter should be referred to SEAC."

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The proponent was present during the presentation. Why the case has been referred back to SEAC, it is not clear.



8. पत्रांक संख्या-54/पर्या./एस.ई.ए.सी./4012/ 2018, दिनांक 07.05.2018 द्वारा जारी पर्यावरण स्वच्छता प्रमाण पत्र पर आपत्ति विषयक श्री विकास त्रिपाठी, 79बी/2डी/1, शिवकुटी, तेलियरगंज, इलाहाबाद के पत्र, दिनांक 09.07.2018 पर विचार-विमर्श। File No. 4012/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/MIN/ 72241/2018)

**Resolution against agenda no-08**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEIAA meeting dated 24/07/2018 and directed as follows:

“The SEIAA gone through the Letter of विकास त्रिपाठी, 79बी/2डी/1, शिवकुटी, तेलियरगंज, इलाहाबाद, दिनांक 09.07.2018 विषयक “पत्रांक सं० 54/पर्या०/एस०ई०ए०सी०/ 4012/2018 दिनांक 07.05.2018 द्वारा जारी पर्यावरण स्वच्छता प्रमाण पत्र पर आपत्ति” The SEIAA opined that matter should be referred to SEAC for comments.”

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The committee had gone through the matter and recommended to refer the compliant alongwith the copy of cluster certificate to Director, Geology and Mining, U.P. and District Magistrate/Chairman, DEIAA, Allahabad for verification (area in question in compliant) as per the decision taken in the joint meeting of SEIAA, SEAC and Director, Geology and Mining. The matter shall be considered after receiving the inspection report.

9. मा० एन.जी.टी. में विचाराधीन अपील संख्या- 53/2018 के सम्बन्ध में समिति द्वारा विचार-विमर्श।

**Resolution against agenda no-09**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 22/06/2018 and directed as follows:

“The committee was informed that an appeal No. 53 of 2018: Naresh Kumar V/s Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change & Ors. has been filled by Naresh Kumar, S/o Shri Sovran Singh, R/o 140, Mirzamandi-Kalpi, District- Jalaun, Uttar Pradesh before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi, sitting at New Delhi. The petitioners have made following prayer before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, New Delhi:

- (A) Quash the Environmental Clearance dated 08.03.2018 issued by the respondent No. 3 in favor of the respondent No. 7 and the recommendation at serial No. 6 of the meeting dated 22.02.2018 of the respondent No. 4, as contained in Annexure No. 8 and 7.
- (B) To take strict action against the respondent No. 3, 4, and 6 for issuance of faulty and illegal Environmental Clearance.
- (C) To take strict action against the respondent No. 7 and the agency submitting the project report for submitting false and incorrect information before the respondent No. 3 and 4.
- (D) To restrain the respondent No. 4 and 5 from allowing the respondent No. 7 from carrying out mining activity, till fresh Mining Plan and fresh Environmental Clearance is not issued to the respondent No. 7 after re assessing the mineable area and mineable quantity of the are leased out to respondent No. 7; and Pass any such other orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case.

The committee went through the writ and consequently to the narration, brief facts in Appeal No. 53 of 2018: Naresh Kumar Vs. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and Ors. Prepared and presented by the Secretariat before the committee. The committee agreed

and approved the subjective matter of the narration to be filed before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, New Delhi as an affidavit on behalf of Chairman, State Environment Assessment Committee, U.P., respondent No. 4.”

After the recommendation of SEAC the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its meeting dated 24/07/2018 and directed as follows:

“The SEIAA gone through the SEAC recommendations regarding the matter and found that no specific comments have been included in the recommendation. Hence, the matter is referred back to SEAC.”

As per the direction of SEIAA, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018. The committee approved the draft affidavit in the above mentioned matter prepared by the Standing Council, Shri Ankit Verma and posted by Regional Office, Noida on dated 12/07/2018. The affidavit has already been submitted in Hon'ble National Green Tribunal on dated 23/07/2018. The copy of the same is available in the respective file. If any other action desired by SEIAA, it must be clearly illustrated.

**10. Proposed Group Housing Project “Eros Sampooram” at Sector-2, Greater Noida, District-Gautam Budha Nagar, U.P., M/s Ajay Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. File No. 540/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/NCP/ 26255/2011)**

**Resolution against agenda no-10**

The committee noted that the matter was earlier discussed in SEAC meeting dated 22/06/2018 and directed as follows:

“The project proponent through E-mail dated 22/06/2018 has informed that due to hearing of court case, we were unable to attend the scheduled SEAC meeting dated 22/06/2018 and requested to defer the matter in next SEAC meeting. The committee discussed the matter and directed to defer the matter in next SEAC meeting.”

As per the direction of SEAC, the matter was listed in SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2018.

The committee discussed the matter in view of EIA notification dated 14/09/2006 (as amended thereof) also notification No. S.O. 2944(E), dated 14/09/2016 and recommended to extend the validity of Environmental Clearance letter dated 27/01/2011 for the period of 03 years i.e. 27/01/2018 to 26/01/2021. All the contents of Environmental Clearance letter no. 545/540/SEAC/ 2010 dated 27/01/2011 shall remain same.

**11. Extension of Validity Period of Group Housing Project “The Hyde Park” at Plot No.3, Sector-78, Noida of M/s IITL-Nimbus the Hyde Park, Noida. File No. 441/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/NCP/27693/2011)**

**Resolution against agenda no-11**

As per the request made by the project proponent vide letter dated 20/08/2018 for the subject above, the committee discussed the matter in view of EIA notification dated 14/09/2006 (as amended thereof) also notification No. S.O. 2944(E), dated 14/09/2016 and recommended to extend the validity of Environmental Clearance letter dated 10/05/2011 for the period of 03 years i.e. 10/05/2018 to 09/05/2021. All the contents of Environmental Clearance letter no. 1125/SEAC/ 441/2010/DD(S) dated 10/05/2011 shall remain same.

**12. Amendment in Environment Clearance for Group Housing Project “Sikka Kaamya Green” at Plot No-GH-02B, Sector-10, Greater Noida. File No. 2559/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/NCP/27835/2015)**

**Resolution against agenda no-12**

The committee noted that the case is of expansion nature but the project proponent had applied for amendment in EC. Hence, the committee advised the project proponent to apply in the respective reasons i.e. for expansion of the project. This file no. 2599 is closed.

The matter will be discussed only after submission of online as fresh for expansion project on prescribed portal.

**13. Validity Extension & Revision of existing EC of Residential Complex (Eco Village- II) Project at Plot No- GH-01, Sector – 16B, Greater Noida, District- Gautama Budh Nagar, U.P. File No. 633/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/NCP/28026/2015)**

**Resolution against agenda no-13**

As per the request made by the project proponent vide application dated 03/07/2011 for the subject above, the committee discussed the matter in view of EIA notification dated 14/09/2006 (as amended thereof) also notification No. S.O. 2944(E), dated 14/09/2016 and recommended to extend the validity of Environmental Clearance letter dated 07/07/2011 for the period of 03 years i.e. 07/07/2018 to 06/07/2021. Till then all the contents of Environmental Clearance letter no. 1673/SEAC/ 663/2010/JDCA dated 07/07/2011 shall remain same.

The committee also noted that major revision has been proposed regarding the said project. The committee discussed the matter and directed the project proponent to apply as fresh alongwith the modification required under 8(b) category.

**14. EC for Group Housing Project “Alaknanda Enclave” at Plot No-G-2 & G-3, Sector-3, Avadh Vihar Yojna (Sultanpur Road) Tehsil & Distt-Lucknow. File No. 2734/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/NCP/28567/2016)**

**Resolution against agenda no-14**

As per the request made by the project proponent vide letter dated 16/07/2018 for the subject above vide which it was informed that the Environment Clearance was granted to the said project through letter no. 22/Parya/SEAC/2734/2015/OSD(T) dated 13/05/2016 wherein the specific condition no. 7 has been mentioned as “For the treatment for total sewage, a full-fledged STP is to be provided with 20% more capacity than waste water generated during operation phase. 100% waste water is to be treated in captive STP conforming to prescribed standards of receiving body for designated use. Monitoring of STP to be done daily till its stabilization.” whereas the amendment is required as below:

“The waste water generated at time of 663 KLD and shall be treated in CSTP. A CSTP of 60 MLD capacity is proposed for the whole residential township of Avadh Vihar Yojna, Lucknow for an area of 1065 acres spreading over.”

The committee recommended the amendment as above and also directed the project proponent 100% waste water should be treated in CSTP conforming to prescribed standards of receiving body for designated use, monitoring of CSTP to be done daily till its stabilization.

All the contents of Environmental Clearance letter no. 22/Parya/SEAC/ 2734/2015/OSD(T) dated 13/05/2016 shall remain same.

**15. Amendment in Environment Clearance for Commercial Project “Galaxy Blue Sapphire Plaza” at Plot no. C-03, Sector-4, Greater Noida, District- Gautama Budh Nagar, U.P. File No. 3651/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/NCP/28611/2018)**

**Resolution against agenda no-15**

The committee noted that the environmental clearance in the matter was issued through letter no.136/Parya /SEAC/3651/2018 dated 11/06/2018. The project proponent has made an application vide letter dated 26/06/2018 wherein mentioning the resolution passed at the meeting of board of directors of the M /s GLD Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. held on 06/09/2017, in the meeting it was resolved that the name of the proposed commercial project “Galaxy Sapphire Street” at plot no. C-03, Sector-4, Greater Noida, District- Gautama Budh Nagar, U.P. has been changed to “Galaxy Blue Sapphire Plaza” at Plot no. C-03, Sector-4, Greater Noida, District- Gautama Budh Nagar, U.P. and the project will be recognized by the changed name only in the future too. However, certified copy of resolution shall be submitted in this regard. Further, that the facts reflected in the granted EC letter are almost different to the fact provided. The committee discussed the matter and observed that the data mentioned in the EC letter was wrongly but inadvertently put in the same. The corrected information and data is as below:

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Environment Clearance for Commercial Project “Galaxy Blue Sapphire Plaza” at Plot no. C-03, Sector-4, Greater Noida, District- Gautama Budh Nagar, U.P.
2. Salient feature details:

Description	Existing
Plot Area	25661 sqm
Built-up Area	178681.846 sqm
Green Area	6242.701 sqm
Total Water Requirement	1249 KLD
Fresh Water Requirement	385 KLD
Wastewater Generation	910 KLD
Capacity of STP	1100 KLD
Solid Waste Generation	7510 kg/day
Parking Required & Provided	2020 ECS & 2260 ECS
Power Demand & Source	5736 KVA (Source: PVVNL)
Back up	4500 KVA (3 X 1500 kVA) + Stand By: (2 X 1500 +1 X 500 kVA)
RWH Pits & RWH Tank	4 & 1

3. Area details:

S.No.	Description	Area (sqm)
1.	Plot Area	25661
2.	Permissible GC (@40% of plot area)	10264.4
3.	Proposed GC (@39.992% of plot area)	10262.29
4.	Permissible MLCP GC (@5% of plot area)	1283.05
5.	Proposed MLCP GC (@4.817% of plot area)	1236.176
6.	Total Permissible GC with MLCP (@45% of plot area)	11547.45
7.	Total proposed GC with MLCP (@44.809% of plot area)	11498.466
8.	Permissible FAR (@400% of plot area)	102644
9.	Proposed FAR (@398% of plot area)	102137.761
10.	Service Area (@15% of permissible FAR)	15396.6

11.	Proposed Service area	8867.254
12.	Basement1 (Non FAR)	9618.042
13.	Basement 2	21191.131
14.	Basement 3	21067.194
15.	Service Floor Area	15800.464
16.	Open Area	12383.093
17.	Green Area (@50.41% of open area)	6242.701
18.	Built-up	178681.846
19.	Max. Height of the Building	95.1 m

4. Water requirement details:

Category	Population/Area	Water standards (LPCD)	Water Req	Fresh	Recycled
Staff	17953	30	539	162	377
Visitors-Retail	15397	15	231	69	162
Visitors-Banquet	1662	70	116	35	81
Hotel-Guest	494	180	89	62	27
<b>Total Domestic Water Requirement</b>			<b>975</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>647</b>
Landscape (sqm)	6242.701	1 l/sq m	6	0	6
HVAC (TR)-11 hours	2036	10.5 l/hr/Tr	235	24	211
DG cooling (kVA)-8 hours	4500	0.9 l/hr/kVA	33	33	0
<b>Total</b>			<b>1249</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>864</b>

Category	Quantity (KLD)
<b>Sewage Generated (80% fresh water for domestic use +100% flushing water)</b>	<b>910</b>
<b>Capacity of STP</b>	<b>1100</b>
<b>Recovered Water from STP (95% of Waste Water)</b>	<b>864</b>
• Flushing	647
• Landscaping	6
• HVAC cooling	211

5. Solid waste generation details:

S. No.	Category	Capacity (Nos.)/Area	Waste Generation (Kg/day/capita)	Waste generated (kg/day)	Compostable (kg/day)	Recyclable (kg/day)	Inert (kg/day)
1	Staff	17953	0.25	4488.25	2692.95	1346.475	448.825
2	Visitors	15397	0.15	2309.55	1385.73	692.865	230.955
3	Hotel-Guest	494	0.6	296.4	177.84	88.92	29.64
4	Visitors banquet/F & b area/entertainment area	1662	0.25	415.5	249.3	124.65	41.55
5	Landscape (acres)	1.54 acres	0.2 kg/acre/day	0.308	0.308	0	0
<b>Total waste Generated</b>				<b>7510</b>	<b>4506</b>	<b>2253</b>	<b>751</b>

6. The project proposal falls under category–8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

16. रिट पिटीशन पी0आई0एल0 सिविल सं0—4740 आफ 2018 साहबलाल बनाम यूनियम आफ इण्डिया व अन्य के संबंध में | File No. 3996/Proposal No. (SIA/UP/MIN/ 72290/2018)

**Resolution against agenda no-16**

The Mining Officer, Hardoi, OC, Mining, Hardoi and the applicant Sahab Lal (Petitioner of PIL Civil/4740/2018 did not appear during the presentation. The committee deliberated that the case shall be considered after the affirmation of the presence of the applicant and other Officers.

17. के0 डब्लू सृष्टि सोसाइटी, राजनगर एक्सटेन्शन, गाजियाबाद के इन्वायरमेंट क्लीयरेंस की शर्तों के विपरीत अवैध निर्माण के संबंध में श्री वीरेन्द्र इन्दौलिया के शिकायती पत्र दिनांक 13-7-18 के संबंध में | File No. 612

**Resolution against agenda no-17**

The committee noted that the compliant letter of Mr. Virendra Indaulia, J-905, K.W. Srishti, Rajnagar Extension, Ghaziabad dated 13/07/2018 has been received at the Secretariat mentioning the grievances of non compliance of environment clearance conditions imposed through the said environment clearance letter no. 1900/612/Parya/SEAC/AD(H)/2010-11 dated 30/08/2011. The committee was also informed by the Secretariat during the meeting that an application dated 06/04/2018 regarding the expansion in the said project has also been received. The committee discussed the matter and deliberated that since it is a case of expansion then certified compliance report as per MoEF Circular No. J-11013/6/2010-IA.II(Part) dated 07/09/2017 will be also needed to appraise the proposed expansion project. The certified compliance report issued by the competent authority will give the clear picture of compliance of the imposed conditions and the status of the project. The compliant letter dated 13/07/2018 may be referred to Regional Office, MoEF, Lucknow and UP Pollution Control Board, Lucknow for information and necessary action. The matter shall be considered chronologically and after the receiving of certified compliance report.

**(Dr. Sarita Sinha)**  
Member

**(Dr. Arvind Mathur)**  
Member

**(Dr. Virendra Mishra)**  
Member

**(Dr. Pramod Kumar Mishra)**  
Member

**(Dr. Richpal Singh Sangu)**  
Member

**(Dr. Ranjeet Kumar Dalela)**  
Member

**(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mandal)**  
Member

**(Shri Meraj Uddin)**  
Member

**(Dr. (Prof.) S. N. Singh)**  
Chairman