

### State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority-Karnataka

(Constituted by MoEF, Government of India, under section 3(3) of E(P) Act, 1986)

#### Proceedings of the 226<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> October 2022 at 12:00 PM at Room No. 709, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Gate IV, M.S Building, Bangalore-560001.

#### Members present: -

- 1. Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha -
- 2. Shri. K. N. Shivalinge Gowda -
- 3. Shri, Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS -

Chairman, SEIAA Member, SEIAA Member Secretary, SEIAA

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The subjects discussed and the decisions made on each of the agenda points are as follows:

#### 226.1. Fresh Projects (Recommended for EC):

#### **Construction Projects:**

226.1.1. Residential Apartment Project at Sy.Nos.70/1A, 70/1B, 71(Part), 73(Part) & 74/1 of Ammannikere Bellandur Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. Puravankara Projects Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/291265/2022 (SEIAA 117 CON 2022).

M/s. Puravankara Limited have proposed for construction of Residential Apartments Project on a plot area of 16,084.73Sqm. The total built up area is 49,618.28Sqm. The proposed project consists of 309 Residential Apartments in 3 Towers Tower A: B+Stilt+12UF, Tower B: Stilt+12UF, Tower C: Stilt+12UF. Total water consumption is 211 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 169 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 225 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 139.5 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	M/s. Puravankara Limited #130/1, Ulsoor Road, Bengaluru -42

Room No. 706, 7th Floor, 4th Gate, M.S. Building, Bangalore - 560 001 Phone : 080-22032497 Fax : 080-22254377 Website : http://environmentclearance.nic.in http://seiaa.karnataka.gov.in e-mail : msseiaakarnataka@gmail.com

Dated 27th October 2022

		M/s. Puravankara Limited
		Sy.No:70/1A,70/1B,70/2,70/3,71,73 & 74/1 of
2	Name & Location of the Project	Ammannikere Bellandur Village, Varthur Hobli,
		Bangalore
3	Type of Development	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Residential Apartment / Villas	309 Residential Apartments
	/ Row Houses / Vertical	
a.	Development / Office / IT/	
	ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital	
	/other	· ····································
b.	Residential Township/ Area	-
	Development Projects	Renewal
4	New/ Expansion/	Kenewai
	Modification/ Renewal	
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the	
	vicinity of project site	1 (00.4 1700
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	16084.73Sqm
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	49618.28Sym
8	Permissible	(2.5)
0	Proposed	(2.499)
- · · ·	*··· · ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	Tower A: B+Stilt+12UF
	Building Configuration [ Number of Blocks / Towers /	Tower B: Stilt+12UF
9	Wings etc., with Numbers of	Tower C: Stilt+12UF
	Basements and Upper Floors	
	Number of units/plots in case of	309Residential Apartments
	Construction/Residential	sorresidential Apartments
10	Township/Area Development	
	Projects	
11	Height Clearance	HAL NOC Dated:08.06.2019
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	139.5crore
·· ·	Disposal of Demolition waster	Reused in the site and handed over to
13	and or Excavated earth	authorized vendor
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	3967.62Sqm
b.	Kharab Land	NalaKharab: 101.17 Sqm
0.		Kharab: 202.34Sqm

۲.

#### Dated 27th October 2022

					Area in Sqm
		Total Green belt	Green Area t	otal	5279.52
		for projects under 8(a) of the	On Mother ea		3742.07
	с.	schedule of the EIA notification,	podium		159.26
		2006 ( i ) on Mother Earth	On driveway	& narking	1378.19
		(ii) On podium	On unveway		157 0.17
	d.	Internal Roads			
	<u>е</u> .	Paved area	1381.7sqm		
		Others Specify ( services-incl	307.43sqm		
	f.	STP & UG sump)	oor nooqui		
		Parks and Open space in case of	-		
[	g.	Residential Township/ Area			
	0	Development Projects			
	h.	Total	16,084.73sqm		
	15	WATER	<b>_</b>		
	I.	Construction Phase			
	a.	Source of water	Tanker		
	1	Quantity of water for	5 KLD		
	b.	Construction in KLD			
		Quantity of water for Domestic	4.5KLD		
	С.	Purpose in KLD			
	d.	Waste water generation in KLD 4.3 KLD			
		Treatment facility proposed and	Mobile STP of	5 KLD propose	d
	е.	scheme of disposal of treated			
		water			
	II.	Operational Phase			
		Total Requirement of Water in	Fresh	139.8 KLD	
	a.	KLD	Recycled	71.07KLD	
			Total	211KLD	
	<u>b</u> .	Source of water	BWSSB + Recy	vcled water	
	C.	Waste water generation in KLD	169 KLD		
	d.	STP capacity	225 KLD		
	e.	Technology employed for	MBR technolo	ву	
		Treatment		L:	Cardania
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess	Keused in flus	hing ( 71KLD)&	Gardening
	1/	treated water if any			
┝	16	Infrastructure for Rain water harv	225 KLD		
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	225 KLU		
	<u> </u>	No's of Ground water recharge	21		
	b.	pits	<b>41</b>		
1		1 1 1 1 2	1		

Drafted by

3

ļ

Dated 27th October 2022

4

ł

17	Storm water management plan	600mm wide storm water drain all around the project Excess surface storm water runoff-170 cum Proposed surface storm water sump capacity 60cum Proposed Terrace water sump capacity-200cum
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	
I.	Construction Phase	
a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	20kg/day -Collected separately & handed over to Authorized recyclers
II.	Operational Phase	
a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	370.8Kg/day- we are proposing Organic waste converter of 400 Kg/day. The waste is converted to manure which will be used for gardening.
b.	Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	247.2Kg/day- Collected separately & handed over to Authorized recyclers
с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	NA
d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Since the project is residential, E -waste generation is minimal. Hence E -waste collection will be places as bin the basement for safe collection & will be disposed to authorized vendors.
19	POWER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	1744 KVA
b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2X 320 KVA + 1 x 380 KVA+1 x 500 KVA
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	CNG/Diesel
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007	Total energy saving in% is 22.14% Solar – 2.58%
20	PARKING	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	Parking required-352 No. Provided-490 No
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the	D - Fair

Drafted by Ken

/

Drafted by **t**e

Dated 27th October 2022

5

	connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	
С.	Internal Road width (RoW)	8mtr
21	CER Activities Proposed	Rs. 139 lakhs
22	EMP	
	Construction phase	55 lakhs
	Operation Phase	300 lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment building in an area earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for natural drains and foot kharab as per village map, sensitive zone as per RMP of BDA and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that there is a primary drain in northeastern side, for which buffer of 50mtrs from the center is proposed and as per BBMP storm water division letter dated 21.09.2021, the tertiary drain in west side do not attract any buffer and only the drain kharab area to be left as it is, in which no development activities to be proposed. For sensitive zone proponent informed that the proposed project area, had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA dated 22.09.2015. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed 225cum capacity of tank/sump for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of capacity 370cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 21nos recharge pits within the project area. Further the committee informed the proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and manage excess drainage water within the site area and also to look into the possibilities for providing additional entry/exit in the proposed project, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow a total of 205 trees in the proposed project area and would provide charging facility for electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits and committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits and also agreed to comply with ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning

regulations and to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

## The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall rework and resubmit wastewater generation considering 90% of water consumption as against 80% considered now.
- 2. The project proponent shall submit a detailed scheme for Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) with BNR system.
- 3. The project proponent shall submit scheme for treatment of harvested rain water and revised water balance considering utilization of harvested rain water for domestic purpose.
- 4. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 5. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 6. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 7. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 8. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 9. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.
- 10. The PP Shall look into the possibilities for providing additional entry/exit in the proposed project

#### Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.

Drafted by ken

7

2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.

3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

#### 226.1.2. High-rise Residential Project - "Yamuna Sky City" Project at Kulai Village, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District by M/s.Yamuna Homes and Designs Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/286578/2022 (SEIAA 110 CON 2022)

M/s Yamuna Homes and Designs Private Limited have proposed for construction of Proposed High-rise Residential Project – "Yamuna Sky City" Project on a plot area of 14,596.12 Sq.m. The total built up area is 97,765.57 Sq.m. The proposed project consists of 293 units Single Tower of Ground + 60 Floors + Terrace. Total water consumption is 378 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 234 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 330 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 230.00 Crores.

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Name: Mr. Purushotham Shetty(Owner) Address: Yamuna Homes and Designs Private Limited Nalapad Building, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, Kadri Temple Road, Mallikatte, Mangalore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada – 575002
2	Name & Location of the Project	Name:Proposed High-rise Residential Project – "Yamuna Sky City" Location:At Sy. Nos. 27/17A, 27/16, 27/17B, 27/19C, 27/19A, 27/19B, 27/18, 28/2, 28/3, 28/4, 28/5, 28/6, 28/7, 28/8 of Kulai Village, Mangalore, Dakshina Kannada
3	Type of Development	
a.	Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other	Residential Buildings. Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006
b.	Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	Not applicable

Details of the project are as follows:

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

SI. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
4	New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal	New
5	Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site	NA
6	Plot Area (Sqm)	14,596.12 Sq.m
7	Built Up area (Sqm)	97,765.57 Sq.m
8	FAR • Permissible • Proposed	5.50(Permissible FAR 2.5, Premium FAR 1.5, TDR 1.5)(Premium FAR and TDR to be purchased) 4.88
9	Building Configuration [ Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors]	Single Tower of Ground + 60 Floors + Terrace
10	Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects	293 units
11	Height Clearance	AAI NoC Dated:01.07.2022
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 230 Cr.
13	Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth	No construction of basement is involved in proposed High rise Buildings; the additional earth excavated for footing if any will be used forlevelling of site and internal road construction.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
a.	Ground Coverage Area	6,844.83 Sq.m
b.	Kharab Land	
с.	Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006	3,000 Sq.m
d.	Internal Roads	2,871.29 Sq.m
<u>e</u> .	Paved area	
<b>f</b> .	Others Specify	Civic Amenities: 1,880.00
g.	Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects	NA
Drafted by	in )	

Drafted by Ken

•

Dated 27th October 2022

ł

Sl.		PARTICULARS		INFORMATION
No h. Total 1		14 506 100		
		WATER	14,596.12Sq.1	<u>n</u>
15				
	I.	Construction Phase	0	
-	a.	Source of water		vailable at Site
	b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD		uring peak construction
	с.	Quantity of water for Domestic Purposes in KLD	11.3KLD	
	d.	Wastewater generation in KLD	9.0KLD	
		Treatment facility proposed and	STP at site an	nd reuse of treated water for
	e.	scheme of disposal of treated	flushing, hor	rticulture and miscellaneous
		water	washing.	
	II.	Operational Phase		, ,, <u>,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,</u>
			Fresh	184KLD
	<b>a</b> .	Total Requirement of Water in	Recycled	193 KLD
		KLD	Total	378 KLD
	b.	Source of water	Mangalore C	City Corporation (MCC) Supply
	c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	234 KLD	
	d.	STP capacity	330KLD	
	e.	Technology employed for Treatment	SBR Technol	logy
	f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any	UGD line of	MCC available at Site
16	5	Infrastructure for Rain water harv	resting	_
	a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off		for roof top rain water harvesting
	b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	3 Nos. of RW	VH wells
17	7	Storm water management plan	monsoon per soil dump perimeter dr after particul All potential whitewashes solvents, etc. impervious warehouse v of rainwater	truction activities will be after eriod. Water accumulated on the will be locally drained in the rain using small capacity pumps late settlement. contaminants such as lime, paints, s, shuttering lining, grease, oil, will be decanted/ handled on the PCC floor of the warehouse. The vill be closed type with no chance meeting the material. r to be collected in tank of capacity

Dated 27th October 2022

	51. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
			40cum and excess to be harvested in 3no of RWH wells
1	18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I.	Construction Phase	
	а.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<ul> <li>30 kg/day</li> <li>Domestic Waste - Biodegradable waste will be composted and rest shall be sent to MSW site.</li> <li>Construction waste - will be segregated and reused at site for internal road construction.</li> <li>Plastic, paper, tins, containers etc will be sold to recyclers.</li> <li>Proper facility for temporary storage at a designated area for construction wastes will be made at Brainst eiter</li> </ul>
	II.	Operational Phase	made at Project site.
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	409kg/day - After segregation, biodegradable waste shall be composted in an Organic Waste Convertor (OWC) and will be used as manure at the Project site.
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<ul> <li>327kg/day - Recyclable waste shall be sold to recyclers.</li> <li>82 kg/day of Non-biodegradable waste. Send to Common Solid Waste Management Facility</li> </ul>
	с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Negligible. Used oil from the DG sumps (occasional) shall be sold to registered waste oil recyclers.
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Negligible. E waste will be stored at a designated place and sold to registered recyclers.
	9	POWER	
	a.	Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase	4,920KW from MESCOM
	b.	Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply	2 DG SETS of 1,850 KVA each
	с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD – 740 l/hr

Drafted by

Y

2

10

Drafted by te

Dated 27th October 2022

11

٨

Sl. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
d.	Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy and compliance to Karnataka ECBC guidelines	<ul> <li>Sound design of each flat for maximum natural ventilation and illumination</li> <li>Use of better specification illuminators, activity specific luminaries, LED illuminators.</li> <li>2.7% of total power requirement shall be met through solar panel installations.</li> <li>Lighting controllers like dimmer and occupancy sensors are also proposed to conserve energy during non-occupancy.</li> <li>Energy efficient motors and transformers, LEDs, Solar lights, solar water heaters etc. will be used.</li> <li>Approx. 24% of Energy saving through solar installations and usage of LED, energy efficient motors, fixtures etc.</li> </ul>
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per norms	569 ECS
b.	Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report	C & D
c.	Internal Road width (RoW)	5.50 m to 8 m
21	CER Activities	For Construction of additional two class room with infrastructure to Govt. Primary School, Baikampady village, construction of six toilets and drinking water facility to GHPS, Chitrapura village and Plantation and maintenance of plantation on the median on NH 66 for a length of 1.5kms (Baikampdy junction to Honnakatte junction)
22	EMP • Construction phase	Construction Phase Cost.Rs. :188Lakhs Operation Phase
	Operation Phase	Capital cost Rs. : 525 Lakhs Operational cost Rs. : 158Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential high rise building in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per Mangalore City Corporation.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification with respect to distance from sea and CRZ clearance, provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area and provisions for biogas plant. The proponent informed the committee that the proposed project is at a distance of 240mtrs from shoreline and CRZ clearance is obtained from KSCZMA, dated 23.08.2022. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 120cumstorage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 40cumcapacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 3nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area and agreed to install biogas plant in the proposed project. Further the committee informed the proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water and to look into the possibilities of installing desalination plant, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed to grow 190 trees in the project site area. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The PP shall submit proposal for chemical free disinfection in the STP.
- 2. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the

Drafted by Le-

Dated 27th October 2022

proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.

- 3. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 4. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 5. The project proponent shall submit scheme for treatment of harvested rain water and revised water balance considering utilization of harvested rain water for domestic purpose]
- 6. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

#### Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall strictly adhere to the Conditions imposed by CRZ.

#### 226.1.3. Residential Apartment Building Project at Padavu Vilage, Manglore Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District by M/s. Northern Sky Properties Pvt. Ltd. & Others - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/273932/2022 (SEIAA 66 CON 2022)

M/s Northern Sky Properties Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 6,061.60sqm. The total built up area is 41,283.66sqm. The proposed project consists of 155 units in Single Tower of Basement + Lower Ground + Upper Ground + 35 Floors + Terrace Floor + Upper Terrace Floor. Total water consumption is 153 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 117.36 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 130 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 59.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Project Proponent	Name: Northern Sky Properties Pvt. Ltd. Mr. Dheeraj Amin (Managing Director and Authorized Signatory)
Drafted b	v kei	
_		

Dated 27th October 2022

College, Hampankatta, Mangaluru-575001         Name:         Proposed Residential Apartment Building, 'Excelsa'         Location:         Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         60/5A1AP4-P1,         Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         60/5A1AP8,         Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         60/5A1AP9,         Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
2       Name: Proposed Residential Apartment Building 'Excelsa' Location: Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP4-P1, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1P5, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5A1P5, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC			Address: 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, J V Building, Opp. Govt.
2Proposed Residential Apartment Building, 'Excelsa' Location: Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP4-P1, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP4-P1, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP4, et al. Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no.			College, Hampankatta, Mangaluru-575001
2       Name & Location of the Project       Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         2       Name & Location of the Project       Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         2       Name & Location of the Project       Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         2       Name & Location of the Project       Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC         6       60/5A1AP4, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC       60/5A1AP7,         Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC       60/5A1AP7,         Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC       60/5A1AP9,         Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2       Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2         Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2       Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2         Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2       Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2         Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2       Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2         Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2       Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2         3       Type of Development       Category 8(a) Building and Construction         a.       Development / Office / IT/       Residential Apartment / Villas /         a.       Development Projects       Not applicable         b.       Residential Township/ Area       Not applicable         b.       New/ Expansion/ Modification/       New			Name:
2       Name & Location of the Project       Location: Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP & 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP & 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P			Proposed Residential Apartment Building -
2Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP4-P1, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP & 60/5A1AP11, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 &			* *
2       Name & Location of the Project       60/5A1AP4-P1, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP & 60/5A1AP11, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & S			Location:
2       Name & Location of the Project       60/5A1AP4-P1, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP & 60/5A1AP11, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1			Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC
2Name & Location of the Project60/5A1AP & 60/5A1AP11, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>60/5A1AP4-P1,</td>			60/5A1AP4-P1,
2Name & Location of the Project60/5A1AP & 60/5A1AP11, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC</td>			Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC
2       Name & Location of the Project       60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1P5, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 New Houses / Vertical A New Houses / Vertical A New / Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal         4       New / Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal       New         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>· · · •</td></t<>			· · · •
2       Name & Location of the Project       60/5A1AP8, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1P5, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Not applicable         4       Residential Township/ Area Development Projects       Not applicable         5       New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal       New         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.06sqm         8			Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC
60/5A1AP7, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1P5, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60-5B13Type of Development Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical a. Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /otherResidential Apartment Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 20064Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNew6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8• Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	2	Name & Location of the Project	
Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1P5, Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Not applicable4Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable New5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site 6NA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8FAR • Permissible 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR,			
Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC 60/5A1AP9, Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60-5B13Type of DevelopmentResidential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical a. Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /otherResidential Apartment Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006b.Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4New/ Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8Permissible • Proposed5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC
Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60-5B1aResidential Apartment / Villas / Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsResidential Township/ Area Development ProjectsbResidential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4New / Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			Sy.no. 60/5A1A1(P) - As per RTC
Sy.no. 60/5B1P (P) - As per RTC 60/5B1P2 & Sy.no. 60-5B13Type of Development3Type of Development / Villas / Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical a. Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / otherResidential Apartment Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006b.Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4New/ Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8• Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			, .
3Type of Development3Type of Development4Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / otherResidential Apartment Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 20064Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4New/ Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8• Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / VerticalResidential Apartment Category 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006a.Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall / Hotel / Hospital /otherProjects as per EIA Notification, 2006b.Residential Township / Area Development ProjectsNot applicablecNew / Expansion / Modification / RenewalNew5Water Bodies / Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8• Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /otherCategory 8(a) Building and Construction Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006b.Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8FAR • Permissible • Proposed5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	3	Type of Development	
a.       Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other       Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006         b.       Residential Township/ Area Development Projects       Not applicable         4       New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal       New         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be		Residential Apartment / Villas /	Residential Apartment
a.       Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other       Projects as per EIA Notification, 2006         b.       Residential Township/ Area Development Projects       Not applicable         4       New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal       New         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be		-	Category 8(a) Building and Construction
/otherNot applicableb.Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4New/ Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8FAR • Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	a.		
b.Residential Township/ Area Development ProjectsNot applicable4New/ Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8FAR • Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
0.       Development Projects       11         4       New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal       New         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
4New/ Expansion/ Modification/ RenewalNew5Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project siteNA6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8FAR • Permissible • Proposed• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	Ь.	1 17	Not applicable
4       Renewal       New         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	Ĺ		
Kenewal       NA         5       Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site       NA         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	4		New
9       vicinity of project site         6       Plot Area (Sqm)       6,061.60sqm         7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
6Plot Area (Sqm)6,061.60sqm7Built Up area (Sqm)41,283.66sqm8FAR• 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be	5		NA
7       Built Up area (Sqm)       41,283.66sqm         8       FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4 Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
FAR       • 5.90(2.5 permissible FAR, 0.4         8       • Permissible         • Proposed       1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
<ul> <li>Permissible</li> <li>Proposed</li> <li>Proposed</li> <li>Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be</li> </ul>	7	Built Up area (Sqm)	41,283.66sqm
<ul> <li>8 Permissible</li> <li>Proposed</li> <li>Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR, 1.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be</li> </ul>		<b>FAD</b>	
Proposed     I.5 TDR)(premium FAR and TDR to be			
	8		Amalgamation FAR, 1.5 premium FAR,
purchased)		<ul> <li>Proposed</li> </ul>	
			purchased)
afted by ten 14	-	N	

I

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

15

<u>\_r\_</u>

ť

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
		• 4.68
		City In Transmit & Lawrence Crowned
	Building Configuration [ Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc.,	Single Tower of Basement + Lower Ground + Upper Ground + 35 Floors + Terrace Floor
9	with Numbers of Basements and	+ Upper Terrace Floor
	Upper Floors]	
	Number of units/plots in case of	155
10	Construction/Residential	
10	Township/Area Development	
	Projects	As your CCZM Mangalara Parmissihla
		As per CCZM Mangalore Permissible Height: 150 m and Proposed Height: 113.75
11	Height Clearance	5
	Project (Bally Crores)	m Rs. 59 Cr.
12	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Earthwork will involve excavation of
		3596.00 Cu.m for the construction of
	Disposal of Demolition waster	basement. Total excavated material of
13	and or Excavated earth	4512.50 Cu.m (Including demolition waste)
		will be utilized for leveling of site and
		construction of roads within the boundary.
14	Details of Land Use (Sqm)	
<b>a</b> .	Ground Coverage Area	835.65Sq.m
b.	Kharab Land	
	Total Green belt on Mother Earth	
	for projects under 8(a) of the	
C.	schedule of the EIA notification,	
	2006	
<u>d</u> .		3,571.22Sq.m
<u>e.</u>	Paved area	Area Left for Road Widening: 175.41 (2.89%)
		Area for Civic amenities and Public Utilities:
<b>f</b> .	Others Specify	767.64 (12.66%)
	Parks and Open space in case of	
	The state of a state of the sta	
g.	Development Projects	
h.		6,061.60Sq.m
15	WATER	
I.		
a.		Open well available at site

-----

Dated 27th October 2022

<u>l.No</u>			INFORMATION
b.	Quantity of water for Construction in KLD	24	
c.	Quantity of water for Domestic	6KLD	
d.		4.7KLD	
	Treatment facility proposed and	<u></u>	MCC after treatment
e.	scheme of disposal of treated water		ince and iteament
II.	Operational Phase	·	
	Total Requirement of Minton	Fresh	92KLD
a.	Total Requirement of Water in KLD	Recycled	61KLD
	KLU	Total	153KLD
<b>b</b> .	Source of water		ity Corporation (MCC) Supply
c.	Wastewater generation in KLD	117.36KLD	ty corporation (NICC) Supply
đ.	STP capacity	130KLD	
	Technology employed for		
е.	Treatment		
f.	Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any		MCC available at Site
16	Infrastructure for Rain water harve	sting	
a.	Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off	67Cu.m	
b.	No's of Ground water recharge pits	25Nos. of RW	'H Pits
17		major constru during rainy the soil dump perimeter dra after particula All potential paints, whit grease, oil, so handled on the construction t will be close rainwater meet Runoff water	loss of soil during monsoon action activities will be avoided season. Water accumulated or o will be locally drained in the in using small capacity pumps ate settlement. contaminants such as lime sewashes, shuttering lining olvents, etc. will be decanted/ a impervious PCC floor of the he warehouse. The warehouse ed type with no chance of eting the material. to be collected in tanks of ty and excess to be harvested
18	WASTE MANAGEMENT	·	
fted by	kei		16

Dated 27th October 2022

51.	No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
	Ī.	Construction Phase	
	a.	Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<ul> <li>Domestic Waste (13 kg/day) – Biodegradable waste will be composted and rest shall be sent to MSW site.</li> <li>Construction &amp; Demolition Waste – 1651 MT shall be segregated and stored in designated areas of the Project site. The concrete and cement mortar wastes shall be processed in-situ and reused in site as per the provisions of C&amp;D Waste Management Byelaws of MCC. The untreatable C&amp;D Waste shall be transported to the centralized C&amp;D Waste processing yard of MCC, through the authorized vendors of MCC. The inert shall be disposed to landfill sites approved by MCC.</li> <li>Plastic waste – to be sold to recyclers.</li> </ul>
	II.	Operational Phase	L L
	a.	Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	173.75kg/day - After segregation, biodegradable waste shall be composted in an Organic Waste Convertor (OWC) and will be used as manure at the Project site
	b.	Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	<ul> <li>90.89kg/day - Recyclable waste shall be sold to recyclers. Non-biodegradable will be sent to Common Solid Waste Management Facility.</li> <li>5.21 kg/day - Send to Common Solid Waste Management Facility</li> <li>48.26 kg/day - Recyclable waste shall be sold to recyclers</li> </ul>
	с.	Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	Used oil from the DG sumps (occasional) shall be sold to registered waste oil recyclers.
	d.	Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms	3.64 kg/day. E waste will be stored at a designated place and sold to registered recyclers.
	19	POWER	
	a.	Total Power Requirement -	475kW from MESCOM

Drafted by

- 17 - 17 - 1

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

18

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
	Operational Phase	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Numbers of DG set and capacity	A DG set of 400 kVA + A DG set of 320 kVA
<b>b</b> .	in KVA for Standby Power	
	Supply	
с.	Details of Fuel used for DG Set	HSD - 155 l/hr
		Applied for IGBC Green building
		certification. Building construction as per
		IGBC Green Homes Requirements.
	Energy conservation plan and	<ul> <li>Use of better specification illuminators,</li> </ul>
	Percentage of savings including	activity specific luminaries, LED
<b>d</b> .	plan for utilization of solar	illuminators and solar lights as far as
	energy and compliance to	practicable.
	Karnataka ECBC guidelines	<ul> <li>Energy efficient motors and</li> </ul>
{		transformers will be used.
		• 21.4% of Energy saving by using Solar
		and LED equipment.
20	PARKING	
a.	Parking Requirement as per	257 ECS + 43 Two Wheelers
	norms	
	Level of Service (LOS) of the	C & D
<b>b</b> .	connecting Roads as per the	
	Traffic Study Report	
C.	Internal Road width (RoW)	6 m
21	CER Activities	Installation of Solar Panels and Sanitary
		Facilities for Padavu Govt. School
		Plantation and Maintenance, Avenue
		Plantation for a stretch of 1 km from Clock
		tower to KMC, Mangalore for 5 years per
		month
22	EMP	Construction Phase
		Cost Rs. :72Lakhs
	Construction phase	Operation Phase
	Operation Phase	Capital cost Rs. : 327 Lakhs
		Operational cost Rs. : 97Lakhs

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of Residential high rise building in an area which is earmarked for mixed use as per Mangalore City Corporation.

Dated 27th October 2022

The committee during appraisal sought provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that for harvesting rain water, they have proposed 67cum storage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 40cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 25nos recharge pits are proposed within the project site area. Further the committee informed the proponent to install smart metering for individual units for conservation of water, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed to grow total of 95 trees in the project site area. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers/setbacks as per zoning regulations and harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall explore the possibility of installing smart meter for water conservation.

19 Drafted by K

#### Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

#### Mining Projects:

#### 226.1.4. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (6-00 Acres) by M/s. Bukkasagara Vaddammadevi Bhovi Vaddara Kallukutikara Sangha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/400490/2022 (SEIAA 217 MIN 2022)

M/s. Bukkasagara Vaddammadevi Bhovi have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 240 of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (6-00 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.N	PARTICULARS	INFORMAT	ION
0			
1	Name & Address of the	M/s. Bukkasagara Vaddai	nmadevi Bhovi
	Projects Proponent	Vaddara Kallukutikara Sa	ngha
2	Name & Location of the	Building Stone Quarry Pro	pject at Sy. No. 240
	Project	of Bukkasagara Village,	
		Vijayanagara District (6-00	-
		A N 15° 21' 21.83480"	E 76° 30' 57.44190"
		B N 15° 21' 22.35937"	E 76° 31' 02.09017"
		C N 15° 21' 25.17375"	E 76° 31' 05.83846'
		D N 15° 21' 19.68045"	E 76° 31' 05.24554"
		E N 15° 21' 19.14080'	E 76° 30' 57.90329"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion /	New	
	Modification / Renewal		
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government	
	Government Revenue, Gomal,		
	Private / Patta, Other]		
6	Area in Acres	6-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric	25,000 Tones/annum (incl	uding waste)

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

	Top //	Tum) Don A		
0	· ·	Cum) Per A		Do 0.497 Crosse (Do .497 Lol/bo)
8		Cost (Rs. Ir		Rs. 0.487 Crores (Rs. 487 Lakhs)
9		Quantity o	-	8,00,812 Tonnes (including waste)
		/- Cu.m / To		
10		ted Quantit	<i>a</i>	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)
	Annun	n - Cu.m / 🛛	ſon	
11	CER Activities:			
	Year	Combined (	Corporate Environ	mental Responsibility (CER) Activities
	1st	Providing se	olar power panels	at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	2nd	Conducting	E-waste drive car	npaigns in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	3rd	The propon village	ent proposes to d	listribute nursery plants at GHPS school at Bukkasagara
	4th	Rain water	harvesting pits at	GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	Sth	Health cam	p in GHPS school	at Bukkasagara village
12	ЕМР В	udget	Rs. 1.47 Cron cost)	res (Capital Cost) & 47.04 Lakhs (Recurring
13	Forest	NOC	03.05.2021	
14	Quarry	v plan	31.03.2022	
15	Cluster	·		
	certific	ate		
16	Reven	ue NOC	06.08.2021	
17	Notific	ation	18.11.2021	
18	JIR	<u>"</u>	29.06.2020	
19	Public	Hearing	09.09.2022	
20	LoI	V	29.01.2022	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for new Building Stone quarry with manual method of mining. As per the cluster the project was categorized as B1 and combined ToR was issued by SEIAA on 25.07.2022 for file no's SEIAA 217 MIN 2022 along with SEIAA 214 MIN 2022, SEIAA 215 MIN 2022, SEIAA 216 MIN 2022 and SEIAA 213 MIN 2022 and combined Public Hearing was conducted on 09.09.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and also informed the proponent to

21 Drafted by 🕊

Dated 27th October 2022

comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed the committee that they had carried out combined EMP and EIA for the ToR issued and had also submitted an undertaking for a combined production of 1,25,000 TPA and to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the five leases. Further the committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved from competent authority for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 8,00,812 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA with a condition to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases as per combined ToR, as it is a cumulative responsibility of all the leases to strictly implement EMP, to issue Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 25,000tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases.

226.1.5. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (8-00 Acres) by M/s.Bovivaddara Kallukutikara

Drafted by 22

# Sangha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/400644/2022 (SEIAA 216 MIN 2022)

M/s. Bovivaddara Kallukutikara Sangha have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Project at Sy. No. 240 of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapet Taluk, Vijayanagara District (8-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s.Bovivaddara Kallukutikara Sangha	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Tal Vijayanagara District (8-00 Acres)	
		A N 15° 21' 25.1738' E 76° 31' 05.838	5"
		B N 15° 21' 26.4759" E 76° 31' 07.357	2*
		C N 15° 21' 26.5911" E 76° 31' 11.663	2*
		D N 15° 21' 20.7900" E 76° 31' 11.214	9*
		E N 15° 21' 19,6805" E 76° 31' 05.245	5"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government	
6	Area in Acres	8-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.487 Crores (Rs. 487 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	10,60,208 Tonnes (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)	
11	CER Activities:	<u>-1 </u>	

Drafted by

1...

23

Dated 27th October 2022

	Year	Combined	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) Activities
	1st		olar power panels at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	2nd		E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	3rd	The propo village	nent proposes to distribute nursery plants at GHPS school at Bukkasagara
	4th	Rain water	harvesting pits at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	5th	Health cam	p in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
12	EMP Bu	ıdget	Rs. 1.47 Crores (Capital Cost) & 47.04 Lakhs (Recurring
		-	cost)
13	Forest N	NOC	03.05.2021
14	Quarry	plan	31.03.2022
15	Cluster	T	06.04.2022
	certifica	ite	
16	Revenu	e NOC	06.08.2021
17	Notifica	tion	18.11.2021
18	JIR		29.06.2020
19	Public H	Hearing	09.09.2022
20	LoI		29.01.2022

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for new Building Stone quarry with manual method of mining. As per the cluster the project was categorized as B1 and combined ToR was issued by SEIAA on 25.07.2022 for file no's SEIAA 216 MIN 2022 along with SEIAA 214 MIN 2022, SEIAA 215 MIN 2022, SEIAA 213 MIN 2022 and SEIAA 217 MIN 2022 and combined Public Hearing was conducted on 09.09.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and also informed the proponent to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed the committee that they had carried out combined EMP and EIA for the ToR issued and had also submitted an undertaking for a combined production of 1,25,000 TPA and to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the five leases. Further the committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved from competent authority for which the proponent agreed.

Drafted by 🛃

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 10,60,208 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA with a condition to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases as per combined ToR, as it is a cumulative responsibility of all the leases to strictly implement EMP, to issue Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 25,000 tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

### Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases.
- 226.1.6. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (8-50 Acres) by M/s.Shree Siddarameshwara Hardhika Hindulida Vargagala Kallukutikara Sangha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/400627/2022 (SEIAA 214 MIN 2022)

M/s.Shree Siddarameshwara Hardhika Hindulida Vargagala Kallukutikara Sangha have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone in Govt Land at Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (8-50 Acres).

25 Drafted by Ke-

Dated 27th October 2022

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.N 0	PARTICUL	ARS	INFORMATION
	Name & Address		M/s.Shree Siddarameshwara Hardhika
	Projects Proponer	lt	Hindulida Vargagala Kallukutikara Sangha
3	Type Of Mineral		Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion		New
5	Modification / Re		
5	Type of Land [For Government Reve		Government
	Gomal, Private / Other]	ratta,	
6	Area in Acres		8-50 Acres
7	Annual Productio	n (Metric	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)
	Ton / Cum) Per A	· ·	
8	Project Cost (Rs. I	n Crores)	Rs. 0.487 Crores (Rs. 487 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity	of mine/	12,04,329 Tonnes (including waste)
	Quarry-Cu.m / 1	on	
10	Permitted Quanti	ty Per	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)
	Annum - Cu.m /	Ton	
11	<b>CER Activities:</b>		
	Year Combined	lorporate Envi	ronmental Responsibility (CER) Activities
	1st Providing s	olar power par	nels at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	2nd Conducting	E-waste drive	campaigns in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	3rd The propor	ent proposes i	to distribute nursery plants at GHPS school at Bukkasagara
	village		
	4th Rain water	harvesting pit	s at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	5th Health cam		ool at Bukkasagara village
12	EMP Budget		Crores (Capital Cost) & 47.04 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	03.05.202	
14	Quarry plan	31.03.202	
15	Cluster certificate	06.04.202	
16	Revenue NOC	06.08.202	
17	Notification	18.11.202	1
18	JIR	29.06.2020	)
19	Public Hearing	09.09.2022	2
20	LoI	29.01.2022	2

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by

The proposal is for new Building Stone quarry with manual method of mining. As per the cluster the project was categorized as B1 and combined ToR was issued by SEIAA on 25.07.2022 for file no's SEIAA 214 MIN 2022 along with SEIAA 213 MIN 2022, SEIAA 215 MIN 2022, SEIAA 216 MIN 2022 and SEIAA 217 MIN 2022 and combined Public Hearing was conducted on 09.09.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and also informed the proponent to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed the committee that they had carried out combined EMP and EIA for the ToR issued and had also submitted an undertaking for a combined production of 1,25,000TPA and to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the five leases. Further the committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved from competent authority for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 12,04,329tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA with a condition to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases as per combined ToR, as it is a cumulative responsibility of all the leases to strictly implement EMP, to issue Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 25,000tonns/Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

#### Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases.
- 226.1.7. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (5-50 Acres) by M/s.Karisiddeshwara Hardhika Hindulida Vargagala Kallukutikara Sangha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/400618/2022 (SEIAA 213 MIN 2022)

M/s. Karisiddeshwara Hardhika Hindulida Vargagala Kallukutikara Sangha have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Project at Sy. N.o 240 of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (5-50 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.N 0	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s.Karisiddeshwara Hardhika Hindulida Vargagala Kallukutikara Sangha
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. N.o 240 of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (5-50 Acres)
		A N 15° 21' 38.2442" E 76° 31' 11.2917"
		B N 15° 21' 22.3594" E 76° 31' 14.8668"
		C N 15° 21' 32.6769" E 76° 31' 14.0437"
1		D N 15° 21' 33.7341" E 76° 31' 10.6102"
		E N 15° 21' 33.3902" E 76° 31' 08.1765"
		F N 15° 21' 35.6987" E 76° 31' 08.4723"
		G N 15° 21' 36.7559" E 76° 31' 11.0102"
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government
6	Area in Acres	5-50 Acres
7	Annual Production (Metric	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)

ŧ.

Dated 27th October 2022

·				
	_ <del></del>	Cum) Per An		
8		Cost (Rs. In		Rs. 0.487 Crores (Rs. 487 Lakhs)
9	Proved	Quantity of	mine/	8,41,341 Tonnes (including waste)
	Quarry	<u>- Cu.m / To</u>	<u>n</u>	
10	Permitt	ed Quantity	Per	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)
	Annum	- Cu.m / To	on	
11	CER			
	Activiti	ies:		
	Year	Combined C	orporate Er	vironmental Responsibility (CER) Activities
i i	1st			oanels at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	2nd	Conducting	E-waste dri	ve campaigns in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	3rd	The propon	ent propose	es to distribute nursery plants at GHPS school at Bukkasagar;
		village		
	4th	Rain water l	arvesting j	pits at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village
	5th	Health camp		chool at Bukkasagara village
12	EMP Bu	udget	Rs. 1.47	Crores (Capital Cost) & 47.04 Lakhs (Recurring
		_	cost)	
13	Forest N			21
14	Quarry	plan	31.03.20	22
15		certificate	06.04.20	22
16	Revenu	le NOC	06.08.20	21
17	Notifica	ation	18.11.20	21
18	JIR		29.06.20	20
19	Public	Hearing	09.09.20	22
20	LoI		29.01.20	22

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for new Building Stone quarry with manual method of mining. As per the cluster the project was categorized as B1 and combined ToR was issued by SEIAA on 25.07.2022 for file no's SEIAA 213 MIN 2022 along with SEIAA 214 MIN 2022, SEIAA 215 MIN 2022, SEIAA 216 MIN 2022 and SEIAA 217 MIN 2022 and combined Public Hearing was conducted on 09.09.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and also informed the proponent to

29 Drafted by

comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed the committee that they had carried out combined EMP and EIA for the ToR issued and had also submitted an undertaking for a combined production of 1,25,000TPA and to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the five leases. Further the committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved from competent authority for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 8,41,341tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA with a condition to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases as per combined ToR, as it is a cumulative responsibility of all the leases to strictly implement EMP, to issue Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 25,000tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

Drafted by

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases.
- 226.1.8. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (7-50 Acres) by M/s. Shree Veerabhadreshwara

# Kallukutikara Sangha - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/402405/2022 (SEIAA 215 MIN 2022)

M/s. Shree Veerabhadreshwara Kallukutikara Sangha have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Project at Sy. No. 240 of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (7-50 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

e & Address of the ects Proponent he & Location of the ect ect	M/s. Shree Veerabhadreshwara Kallukutikara SanghaBuilding Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 240 of Bukkasagara Village, Hosapete Taluk, Vijayanagara District (7-50 Acres)AN 15° 21' 39.5639'E76° 31' 19.3848'' EBN 15° 21' 38.7993'CN 15° 21' 29.8210'E76° 31' 22.6225'' ECN 15° 21' 31.1840''BN 15° 21' 31.1840''E76° 31' 18.8921''
ects Proponent ne & Location of the ect e Of Mineral	Sangha           Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 240 of           Bukkasagara         Village, Hosapete Taluk,           Vijayanagara District (7-50 Acres)           A         N 15° 21'39.5639"           B         N 15° 21'39.7639"           B         N 15° 21'39.793"           C         N 15° 21'29.8210"           D         N 15° 21'31.1840"
ne & Location of the ect e Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 240 of         Bukkasagara       Village, Hosapete Taluk,         Vijayanagara District (7-50 Acres)         A       N 15° 21' 39.5639*         E 76° 31' 19.3848*         B       N 15° 21' 39.7993*         C       N 15° 21' 29.8210*         E 76° 31' 23.3187*         D       N 15° 21' 31.1840*
	A         N 15° 21' 39.5639"         E 76° 31' 19.3848"           B         N 15° 21' 38.7993"         E 76° 31' 22.6225"           C         N 15° 21' 29.8210"         E 76° 31' 23.3187"           D         N 15° 21' 31.1840"         E 76° 31' 18.8921"
	B         N 15° 21' 38.7993*         E 76° 31' 22.6225*           C         N 15° 21' 29.8210*         E 76° 31' 23.3187*           D         N 15° 21' 31.1840*         E 76° 31' 18.8921*
	C         N 15° 21' 29.8210"         E 76° 31' 23.3187"           D         N 15° 21' 31.1840"         E 76° 31' 18.8921"
	D N 15° 21' 31.1840" E 76° 31' 18.8921"
	Building Stone Quarry
lification / Renewal	New
e of Land [Forest, ernment Revenue, nal, Private / Patta,	Government
er]	
a in Acres	7-50 Acres
ual Production (Metric / Cum) Per Annum	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)
ect Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.487 Crores (Rs. 487 Lakhs)
ved Quantity of mine/	8,56,336 Tones (including waste)
mitted Quantity Per	25,000 Tones/annum (including waste)
į	/ Cum) Per Annum ect Cost (Rs. In Crores) ved Quantity of mine/ rry- Cu.m / Ton

Drafted by ke

31

Dated 27th October 2022

	Year	Combined Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) Activities			
	1st		Providing solar power panels at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village		
	2nd	Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village			
	3rd	The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village Rain water harvesting pits at GHPS school at Bukkasagara village			
	4th				
	Sth		p in GHPS school at Bukkasagara village		
12	EMP Budget		Rs. 1.47 Crores (Capital Cost) & 47.04 Lakhs (Recurring		
			cost)		
13	Forest N	VOC	03.05.2021		
14	Quarry plan		31.03.2022		
15	Cluster certificate		06.04.2022		
16	Revenue NOC		06.08.2021		
17	Notification		18.11.2021		
18	JIR		29.06.2020		
19	Public Hearing		09.09.2022		
20	LoI		29.01.2022		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for new Building Stone quarry with manual method of mining. As per the cluster the project was categorized as B1 and combined ToR was issued by SEIAA on 25.07.2022 for file no's SEIAA 215 MIN 2022 along with SEIAA 213 MIN 2022, SEIAA 214 MIN 2022, SEIAA 216 MIN 2022 and SEIAA 217 MIN 2022 and combined Public Hearing was conducted on 09.09.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per standard norms &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation and also informed the proponent to comply with the observations/requests in Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent informed the committee that they had carried out combined EMP and EIA for the ToR issued and had also submitted an undertaking for a combined production of 1,25,000TPA and to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the five leases. Further the committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved from competent authority for which the proponent agreed.

Drafted by **ke**~

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 8,56,336tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA with a condition to implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases as per combined ToR, as it is a cumulative responsibility of all the leases to strictly implement EMP, to issue Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 25,000tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### **Additional Conditions:**

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall implement the combined EMP in coordination with all the leases.

#### 226.1.9. "Firozabad-2 Sand Block Project at Firozabad Village, Kalburgi Taluk, Kalburgi District (12-00 Acres) by M/s. Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/289182/2022 (SEIAA 101 MIN 2022)

M/s. The Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of "Firozabad-2 Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos. 47 & 48 of Firozabad Village, Kalburgi Taluk, Kalburgi District (12-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION		
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. The Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd.		
rafted by	Len J	$ \begin{bmatrix} 33 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} $		

(

Dated 27th October 2022

2	Name & Location of the Project		"Firozabad-2 Sand Block Project at Sy. Nos. 47 & 48 of Firozabad Village, Kalburgi Taluk, Kalburgi District (12-00 Acres)			
			Latitude	Longitude		
			N 17°05' 13.4"	E 76'45' 54.6"		
			N 17'05' 11.8"	E 76'45' 52.9"		
			N 17"05" 24.6"	E 76°45' 46.5"		
			N 17'05' 33.3"	E 76'45' 47.1"		
			N 17°05' 34.8''	E 76'45' 47.8"		
			N 17'05' 33.5"	E 76'45' 49.8"		
			N 17"05" 32.6"	E 76'45'49.4"		
			N 17'05' 25.1"	E 76*45*48.9"		
	1					
2						
$\frac{3}{4}$	Type Of Mineral New / Expansion		Sand Block			
4	-	•	New			
5	Modification / Renewal Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal,		GovernmentLand			
÷						
	Private / Patta, Of	•	·			
6	Area in Acres	1	12-00 Acres			
7	Annual Productio	n (Metric	69,397 Tons/ Annum (i	including waste)		
	Ton / Cum) Per A	•				
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 1.82 Crores (Rs. 182	Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity Quarry- Cu.m / T	of mine/	69,397 Tons (including			
10			62,457 Tons/ Annum (	excluding waste)		
			certain roles relation (excluding waste)			
11	CER Activities:		u			
	Year Corporate Environmental Re		Responsibility (CER)	, monomentation		
		Providing solar power panels to GHPS school at Firozabad village				
	1 1	Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder				
		Rain water harvesting pits in GHPS school at Firozabad village				
		Plantation in GHPS school at Firozabad village				
			nool at Firozabad village			
12						
12	EMP Budget		khs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7	.80 Lakhs (Recurring		
13	cost) Forest NOC 15.12.2021		• )			
	Quarry plan 11.11.2020			·		

Drafted by

11\_\_\_\_\_

15	Cluster Certificate	16.08.2022	
16	Notification	05.08.2020	
17	DTF	31.07.2020	
18	Irrigation NoC	15.12.2021	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for River Bed Sand Mining. As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 12-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1206 meters connecting the lease area to the all-weather black topped roadand the committee informed that the mining operation should be commenced after cement concreting the approach road as per standard normsand the committee informed the proponent to grow trees all along the approach road and in the banks of the river, to strictly implement bund protection works, dust mitigation measures and not to use any machinery for sand mining and also not to carry out in-stream miningand the proponent agreed for all. Proponent informed the committee that they had obtained DMG approved replenishment report for the proposed sand quarry considering the catchment area and rain fall details.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. In the proposed project, the proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring guidelines 2020. Further Committee informed the proponent, to implement wildlife conservation plan after getting it approved by competent authority and to comply with the observations/requests in the Public Hearing, for which the proponent agreed.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 69,397Tonnes per annum (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 69,397 Tones/ Annum (including waste)for 5 years, after due replenishment every year and with a condition to abide by the Sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines 2020.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by 🔽

Dated 27th October 2022

36

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 3. In case the replenishment is lower than the approved rate of production, then the mining activity / production levels shall be decreased / stopped accordingly till the replenishment is completed.
- 4. The proponent shall stabilize the river bank with waste materials like pebbles and planting the khus grass and suitable plant species.

#### 226.1.10. Black Granite Quarry Project at Kajikallahalli Village, Kolar Taluk, Kolar District (0-30 Acres) (Q.L.NO: 010/95-96) by Sri Usman Shareef - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/290825/2022 (SEIAA 381 MIN 2022)

Sri Usman Shareef have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Black Granite Project at Sy. No.37 of Kajikallahalli Village, Kolar Taluk, Kolar District (0-30 Acres) (Q.L.NO: 010/95-96)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Usman Shareef
2	Name & Location of the Project	Black Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No.37 of Kajikallahalli Village, Kolar Taluk, Kolar District (0-30 Acres) (Q.L.NO: 010/95-96)

Drafted by te

Dated 27th October 2022

			C.P	Latitude	Longitude
			A	N 13° 6' 38.96"	E 78 ° 00 15.04"
			B	N 13° 6' 37.08"	E 78° 00'12.31"
			C C	N 13°6' 37.91"	E 78° 00'11.54″
				N 13° 6' 39.06'	E 78 "00"12.75"
			D		175 00 1275
3	Type Of Minera		Black Granite	Quarry	
4	New / Expansi	•	Renewal		
	Modification /				
5	Type of Land [F		Government		
	Government Re	, ,			
	Private / Patta,	Otherj			
6	Area in Acres		0-30 Acres		
7	Annual Produc	•		irst year (including	
	Ton / Cum) Per Annum		4250cum for second year (including waste)		
			3875cum for third year(including waste)		
			3500cum for fourth year(including waste)		
8	Project Cost (R			s (Rs. 30 Lakhs)	
9	Proved Quantit		21,300 Cum (i	ncluding waste)	
ļ	Quarry- Cu.m				
10	Permitted Quar	-		irst year(excluding	
	Annum - Cu.m	/ Ton		econd year (exclud	
Ì				ird year (excluding	
				urth year (excludi	
11	CER Activitie	s: Propose to t	akeup addition	nal plantation of (	SOU NO. IOCALLY
				ch road from qua	ary location to
-		nd near public		N & Do 7 291 altho	(Pocurring cost)
12	EMP Budget		is (Capital Cost	t) & Rs. 2.38Lakhs	
13	Forest NOC	06.01.2015	·	<u> </u>	
14	Quarry plan	08.08.2022			
15	Cluster	08.08.2022			
	Certificate				
16	Revenue	01.06.2016			
17	JIR	18.05.2015			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022for the present proposal

Drafted by Ke

[-

37

Dated 27th October 2022

and the committee at the time of appraisal sought point wise clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant,

• Lease book cover page which is uploaded has no sign from Department of Mines and geology and looks like someone has typed it in a page and are trying to give misinformation.

The proponent informed that, the lease was executed in the year 1996, for five years. The cover page of the lease book during granting of lease is hand written by DMG and submitted a copy of entire lease book with details of lease holder name, lease date, village Sy no. etc.

• In audit report dispatch is less than production so the details of excess production done and the whereabouts of those blocks needs to surveyed using drone and volume must be estimated. So, we kindly request you to consider the above objections and do the needful

The proponent informed that, as per production and dispatch report(audit report) issued by DMG, total production carried out during the lease period 1995-96 to 2000-01 is 47.338cum and quantity dispatched is 19.31cum and the remaining balance of about 28cum is at the quarry site.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project.

The proposal is for renewal of the earlier lease granted on 01.02.1996. As per the cluster sketch there isno other lease within 500 meter radius from the present lease and the total area of the present lease is 0-30 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2 and submitted DMG approved audit report till 2021-22 dated 13.09.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 650 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 21,300 Cum (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, estimated the life of the mine as 4 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 4,625cum for first year (including waste), 4,250cum for second year (including waste), 3,875cum for third year(including waste) and3,500cum for fourth year (including waste).

Drafted by 38

Dated 27th October 2022

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

### 226.1.11. Building Stone Quarry Project at Telasangh Village, Athani Taluk, & Belagavi District (8-00 Acres) by M/s. Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd. -Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/284360/2022 (SEIAA 390 MIN 2022)

M/s. Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy Nos.709/1,709/2,709/3 of Telasangh Village, Athani Taluk, & Belagavi District

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.				
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy Nos.709/1,709/2,709/3 of Telasangh Village,				
		Athani Taluk, & Belagavi District (8-00 Acres)				

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

				A	N 16* 46 '15.7987*	E75* 18' 33.8995"		
				8	N 16" 46' 26.1006"	E75" 18' 32.3992"		
				c	N 16" 46' 18.3001"	E75* 18' 39.9991"		
				0	N 16* 46' 17.6989"	E75* 18' 37.7002"		
				E	№ 16* 46 *16.3001*	E75* 18' 37.9995"		
				TBM1	N 16" 46' 20.2925"	E75* 18' 33.2937"		
				TBM2	N 16" 46 '26.2375"	E75* 18' 32.5565"		
					1	I I		
3	Type O	f Minerai	l .	Building	Stone Quarry			
4		Expansic	m /	New				
	Modific							
	Renewa							
5	Type of Land [Forest,			Patta			_	
	Government Revenue,							
	-	Private /	,					
	Patta, O							
6	Area in			8-00 Acr				
7		Product		7,00,000Tones (Recovery) + 36,842.1 Tones (Waste)				
	•	Ton / Cu	um)		for 1 <sup>st</sup> Year & 9,00,000 Tones (Recovery) + 47,368.42			
	Per Annum			Tones (Waste) for 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year.				
8	Project ( Crores)	Cost (Rs.	In	Rs. 2.00 (	Crores (Rs. 200 Lak	ihs)		
9	<u> </u>	Quantity	of of	17.05.263	Tonnes (including	z waste)		
		Quarry- (			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5		
	/ Ton			:				
10	Permitte	ed Quan	tity	7,00,000	Tones (Recovery) f	or 1 <sup>st</sup> Year & 9,00,000		
	Per Ann	um - Cu	.m /					
	Ton		•		<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>			
11	CER Ac	tivities:	Constru	uction of a	additional room to	near by Govt. Schoo	1.	
	Year				ntal Responsibilit			
	lst				and Maintainan	ce on both sides	of	
		Algal I				<u> </u>		
	2nd	Plantat   Algal l			and Maintainan	ce on both sides	of	
		Check						
12	EMP Bu			40 Lakhs	(Capital Cost) &13	10 Lakhs (Recurring		
		<u> </u>	cost)					
13	Forest N	10C	18.06.2	2022		0		
			ħ				57	
			1	1 -		N 1.	$\sim$	
Drafted by	Ken -		)	v		1  4	0	
						1		
						<u>``</u>		
						1		

,

Dated 27th October 2022

14	Quarry plan	25.08.2022	
15	Cluster certificate	26.08.2022	
16	Revenue NOC	27.05.2022	
17	Notification	17.08.2022	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13th October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022 for the present proposal and the committee at the time of appraisal sought clarification for the following observation from the project Proponent and Consultant,

• There is a nala inside the site if we consider village map and proper buffer is not left as we can see from the conceptual plan that there are benches provided in the area where nala is flowing. In production plan the nala is shown but buffer is not given.

The proponent informed that, as per village map the nala portion is left as it is and in the approved mining plan, quantity is calculated leaving the nala portion. Further the informed that as per revenue records only A-Kharab is present in proposed site area and during land conversion, D.C had converted the A-kharab portion to industrial stone mining, hence as per revenue records there is no B-Kharab area in the proposed site area.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 8-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 400 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 17,05,263 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 2 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend

41 Drafted by Ke-

42

the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 7,00,000 Tones (Recovery) + 36,842.1 Tones (Waste) for 1<sup>st</sup> Year & 9,00,000 Tones (Recovery) + 47,368.42 Tones (Waste) for 2<sup>nd</sup> Year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The details are as follows:

01	There is a nala inside the site if we consider village map and proper buffer is not left as we can see from the conceptual plan that there are benches provided in the area where nala is flowing. In production plan the nala is shown but buffer is not given.	The Same matter has been examined by the SEAC and after examination they have recommended the proposal.
02	In the Joint Inspection report it is mentioned that 2 guntas must be left as non-blasting zone and remaining 7 acres 38 guntas must be considered for blasting but this is not indicated in the mining plan and there is no mention of this in the mining plan. Revised mining plan must be prepared and uploaded considering the Joint Inspection report and must be approved and uploaded before issuing environmental clearance.	The copy of the e-mail was served on 27 <sup>th</sup> October 2022 to the project Consultant, for which the Consultant on 27 <sup>th</sup> October 2022, submitted replies to the complaint received. The consultant informed that quarry plan has been prepared as per the joint inspection report. Accordingly, out of 8-00 Acres, 7 acres 38 guntas considered for blasting and 2 guntas area will be used for non-blasting. Further, the same information is furnished in the last para of introduction and the same is uploaded in PARIVESH portal.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory copridor).

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.12. Grey Granite Quarry Project at Vyasanandihal Village, Maski Taluk, Raichur District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Ramanna - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291333/2022 (SEIAA 393 MIN 2022)

Sri Ramanna have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No. 69 of Vyasanandihal Village, Maski Taluk, Raichur District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

51.No.	PARTICULARS		INFORMA	TION	
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Ramanna			
2	Name & Location of the Project	Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No. 69 of Vyasanandihal Village, Maski Taluk, Raichur District (4-00 Acres) Co-Ordinates in bddd°mm.mmm' Datum: WGS 84 Latitude Longitude			
			N15º 58' 36.90"	E76º 27' 49.90"	
1		B	N15º 58' 38.10"	E76º 27' 44.90"	
		C	N15º 58' 41.20"	E76º 27' 46.60"	
		D	N15º 58' 40.40"	E76º 27' 51.20"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Grey	Granite Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	New			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Patta			
6	Area in Acres	4-00	Acres		

Drafted by ken

43

Dated 27th October 2022

7	Annual Production (Metric	5,000 Cum/annum (including waste)		
	Ton / Cum) Per Annum	, ,,		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.82 Crores (Rs. 82 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	1,72,000 Cum (including waste)		
	Quarry-Cu.m / Ton			
10	Permitted Quantity Per	1,500 Cum/annum (Recovery), 1,000		
	Annum - Cu.m / Ton	Cum/annum (Khandas) and 2,250 Cum/annum		
		(Building Stone),		
11	CER Activities: To provide ra	in water harvesting structure in M		
	Year Corporate Environme	ental Responsibility (CER)		
		approach road and SH. 2000 Nos		
	to 5 <sup>th</sup> Watering and Mainte	nance ever year		
	year			
12		ths (Capital Cost) & Rs. 16.68 lakhs (Recurring		
	cost)			
_13	Forest NOC 16.11.2016			
14	Quarry plan 30.08.2022			
15	Cluster 30.08.2022	30.08.2022		
	Certificate			
16	Revenue 21.04.2017	21.04.2017		
17	DTF 21.06.2021			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13th October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 01 lease is exempted from cluster as the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the present lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 820 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 1,72,000 Cum (including waste) and

Drafted by Ken

estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,000 Cum/Annum (including waste), i.e, 1,500 Cum/annum (Recovery), 1,000 Cum/annum (Kandas) and 2,250 Cum/annum (Building Stone), 250 Cum/annum (waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.13. Building Stone Quarry Project at Arakere Village, Arasikere Taluk & Hassan District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Gnanamurthy - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291012/2022 (SEIAA 385 MIN 2022)

Sri Gnanamurthy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 180 (Part) of Arakere Village, Arasikere Taluk & Hassan District (3-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

SI.N o	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	Sri Gnanamurthy				
Stafted by	Ken	l	1	45		
-				- f-		

Dated 27th October 2022

46

2	Name & Location of	the			ct at Sy. No. 180 (Part)	
	Project		of Arakere Village, Arasikere Taluk & Hassan District (3-00 Acres)			
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
			A	N 13° 21′ 16.5″	E 76° 08' 03.4"	
			B	N 13° 21′ 18.1″	E 76° 08' 06.3"	
			C C	N 13° 21′ 14.9″	E 76° 08' 08.5"	
			D	N 13° 21′ 13.3″	E 76° 08' 05.6"	
3	Type Of Mineral		Building S	tone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion /		New		-	
	Modification / Rene					
5	Type of Land [Fores		Governme	nt		
	Government Revent	•				
	Gomal, Private / Patta,					
	Other]		<u> </u>			
6	Area in Acres		3-00 Acres			
7	Annual Production		1,02,065 Tones/annum (Recovery), 2,083			
	Ton / Cum) Per Ani	າແມ	Tones/annum (Waste) & 63,484 Tones - Graval for			
8	Project Cost /Pa In (		1 <sup>st</sup> Year.			
<u>0</u> 9	Project Cost (Rs. In C Proved Quantity of p		Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs)			
7	Quarry- Cu.m / Tor	•	7,16,018 Tones (including waste)			
10	Permitted Quantity		1.02.045 Tz	man (annum (Daar		
10	Annum - Cu.m / To		1,02,065 Tones/annum (Recovery), 2,083			
			Tones/annum (Waste) & 63,484 Tones - Graval for 1 <sup>st</sup> Year.			
11	CER Activities: Pre	pose to		ditional plantatio	n of 300 No. locally	
	suitable trees, on h	oth side	es of the a	pproach road from	m quarry location to	
	Arakere and near pu	blic plac	es.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in quarty rotation to	
12	EMP Budget			pital Cost) &4.66 I	akhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	28.09.20				
14	Quarry plan	12.08.20	)22			
15	Cluster certificate	12.08.20				
16	Revenue NOC	08.07.20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
17	Notification	29.07.20				

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022 for the present proposal

Drafted by te

and the committee at the time of appraisal sought clarification for the following observation from the project Proponent and Consultant,

• If we go through the google image of the site there is a household and poultry farm within 150m from the site. If mining is allowed with blasting and other operations the people living in the household and the livelihood of the poultry farm works will be effected.

The proponent informed that, a poultry farm is at a distance of about 258mtr on the west side and a nonfunctioning brick manufacturing unit, owned by him at a distance of 180mtr in northern side.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there are 12 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 11 leases are exempted from cluster as the EC was granted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the present lease is 3-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 360 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 7,16,018 Tones(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 7 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,02,065 Tones/annum (Recovery), 2,083 Tones/ annum (Waste) & 63,484 Tones(Gravel)for 1<sup>st</sup> Year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The details are as follows;

• If we go through the google image of the site there is a household and poultry farm within 150m from the site. If mining is allowed with blasting and other operations the people living in the household and the livelihood of the poultry farm works will be effected.

47 Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

• If at all the brick manufacturing unit is 150m towards north 200m buffer must still be given from the site as blasting is involved and hence the mining plan and other details must be revised and uploaded.

The same issues have been examined by the SEAC and after examination they have recommended the proposal. The Authority decided to accept the proceedings of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.14. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bagewadi Village, Belagavi Taluk & District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Uday Shivakumar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/290845/2022 (SEIAA 382 MIN 2022)

Sri Uday Shivakumar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 369/\*/1 of Bagewadi Village, Belagavi Taluk & District (4-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Uday Shivakumar
	Projects Proponent	
2	Name & Location of the	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 369/*/1
	Project	of Bagewadi Village, Belagavi Taluk & District (4-
	-	00 Acres)

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude		
			A	N 15' 46' 58.1042"	E 74* 36' 25.5013"		
			В	N 15* 47' 00,7993"	E 74* 36' 25.4003"		
	·		С	N 15* 47* 04.9993"	E 74° 36' 28.8999"		
			D	N 15* 47' 04.0002"	E 74° 36' 29.8005"		
			E	N 15* 46' 58,0001"	E 74* 36' 27.8999"		
3	Type Of Mineral		Building	Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion		New				
	Modification / R	enewal					
5	Type of Land [Fo	orest,	Patta				
	Government Rev	•					
	Gomal, Private /	Patta,					
	Other]						
6	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres	-			
7	Annual Producti			Tonnes/ Annum			
	(Metric Ton / Cu	ım) Per	Tones/annum(waste), 12,720 Tonnes/annum				
	Annum		(Murram)				
8	Project Cost (Rs.	In	Rs. 0.40 C	rores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)	<u> </u>		
	Crores)						
9	Proved Quantity	of	6,83,011 Tonnes (including waste)				
	mine/ Quarry- Cu.m /						
	Ton						
10	Permitted Quant	-	1,33,870	Tonnes/Annum	(Recovery), 2,732		
	Annum - Cu.m /	Ton	Tones/annum(waste) and 12,720 Tonnes(Murram) during first year.				
			during fir	st year.			
11	CER Activities:				· · · · · · ·		
	Propose to takeup additional plantation of 600 No. locally suitable trees, on						
		e approac	h road fror	n quarry location to	o Bagewadi and near		
	public places.						
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.7	5 Lakhs (Ca	pital Cost) &5.43 La	khs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NOC	08.03.20	22				
14	Quarry plan	22.07.20	22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
15	Cluster	22.07.20	22				
	certificate						
1/	Revenue NOC	09.02.2022					
16	Incremacino e	07.02.20					

Drafted by

2

**49** r t

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 750 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 6,83,011 Tones(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 5 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,33,870 Tones/Annum (Recovery), 2,732 Tones/annum(waste) and 12,720 Tones (Murram) for first year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by Ke

# 226.1.15. Building Stone Quarry Project at Siddapura Village, Chitradurga Taluk & District (5-24 Acres) by Sri Sujay S Malige - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/290787/2022 (SEIAA 380 MIN 2022)

Sri Sujay S Malige have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 67/1 & 67/4 of Siddapura Village, Chitradurga Taluk & District (5-24 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMAT	ION		
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Sujay S	6 Malige			
	Projects Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the			ct at Sy. Nos. 67/1 &		
	Project			Chitradurga Taluk &		
			-24 Acres)			
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude		
		A	N 14* 13' 51.5836"	E 76" 20' .35.0534"		
		B	N 14* 13' 49.6486"	E 76° 20' 42.2620"		
		C	N 14* 13' 46.4087"	E 76° 20' 41.1490"		
		D	N 14" 13' 48.2860"	E 76* 20' 34.1520"		
1		E	N 14* 13' 49.5769"	E 76° 20′ 34.8321″		
3	Type Of Mineral	Building	Stone Quarry			
4	New / Expansion /	New				
	Modification / Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta				
	Government Revenue,					
	Gomal, Private / Patta,					
	Other]					
6	Area in Acres	5-24 Acres				
7	Annual Production		, ,	luding waste) - I year		
	(Metric Ton / Cum) Per	1,18,718 Tones/ Annum (including waste) - II year				
	Annum	1,11,906 Tones/ Annum (including waste) - III year				
<b>!</b>		· · ·	Tones/ Annum (i	including waste) – IV		
		year 98,282 To	ones/ Annum (inclu	ding waste) – V year		
8	Project Cost (Rs. In		rores (Rs. 45 Lakhs)			
	Crores)		· · · · · ·			
9	Proved Quantity of	10,99,359	Tones (including wa	aste)		
	mine/ Quarry- Cu.m /		. –			
	Ton					

Drafted by Ke-

51

Dated 27th October 2022

10	Permitted Quar Annum - Cu.m	/ Ton	1,19,254 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) - I year 1,12,782 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) - II year 1,06,310 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) - II year 99,840 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) - IV year 93,368 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) - V year
11		up additiona	al plantation of 1000 No. locally suitable trees, on road from quarry location to Siddapura and near
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 20.05 La	khs (Capital Cost) & 5.93 Lakhs (Recurring cost)
13	Forest NOC	05.07.2022 &	
14	Quarry plan	12.08,2022	
15	Cluster certificate	13.09.2022	
16	Revenue NOC	04.05.2022	
17	Notification	12.07.2022	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022 for the present proposal and the committee at the time of appraisal sought point wise clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant,

• In the land conversion order schedule, it is written that towards south of Sy. No. 67/4 there is school area and also if we go through google image there is households within 85mtr from the site towards east.

The proponent informed that, as per land conversion order schedule, land in the southern side is mentioned as school area, but presently there is no existing school building. However, in the eastern side there are few unused and abandoned industrial sheds at a distance of 102 mtrsfrom the project site and for the past few years no human activities is observed.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project. As per the cluster sketch there are 03 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 01 lease is exempted from cluster as the EC wasgranted prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of the remaining leases including the present lease is 5-24 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

Drafted by ker

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 10,99,359 Tones(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 10 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,25,530 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – I year, 1,18,718 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – I year, 1,18,718 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – II year, 1,11,906 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – III year, 1,05,094 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – IV year and 98,282 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – V year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. Further, the Authority noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The details are as follows;

- In the land conversion order schedule it is written that towards south of Sy no 67/4 there is school area and also if we go through google image there is households within 85 m from the site towards east.
- If at all said industrial sheds which is 85m towards is unused it does not mean the owner of the shed will not use it again and hence as it is an industrial shed there will be damage to the shed due to the blasting activities done by the proponent in his mining site. Buffer of 200m must be left from the site.

The Authority perused the documents and decided to refer the file back to SEAC to reexamine the proposal in the light of the complaints received and take appropriate decision after seeking necessary clarification on their recommendation with substantiating documents on the above points.

226.1.16. Grey Granite Quarry Project at Killarahatti Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur District (4-20 Acres) by Sri Shivakumar S/o Sanganagowda Patil -Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/291361/2022 (SEIAA 392 MIN 2022)

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

Sri Shivakumar S/o Sanganagowda Patil have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Grey Granite Quarry Project at Sy. No. 96/\*/2 of Killarahatti Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur District (4-20 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

51.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Shi	vakumar S/oSangan	agowda Patil		
<b>_</b>	Projects Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the		t at Sy. No. 96/*/2 of			
	Project	Killara	hatti Village, Lingas	ugur Taluk, Raichur		
		District (4-20 Acres)				
			Co-Ordinates in hdo	dd°mm.mmm'		
	1		Datum: WO	<u>GS 84</u>		
			Latitude	Longitude		
		0	N16º 02' 45.55"	E76º 21' 48.84"		
		Α	N16* 02' 43.70"	E76º 21' 47.50"		
		В	N16º 02' 45.88"	E76º 21' 43.55"		
		С	N16" 02' 41.04"	E76º 21' 42.25"		
			N16* 82' 39.38"	E76" 21" 45.93"		
3	Type Of Mineral	Grey Granite Quarry				
4	New / Expansion /	New				
	Modification / Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Patta				
	Government Revenue, Gomal,					
	Private / Patta, Other]					
6	Area in Acres	4-20 A				
7	Annual Production (Metric	2,610	•	ecovery), 1,740		
	Ton / Cum) Per Annum			2,610 Cum/annum		
			ing Stone), 1,740 Cum			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		0 Crores (Rs. 290 Lak			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/	2,94,00	0 Cum (including wa	aste)		
10	Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	0.010	<u> </u>			
10	Permitted Quantity Per	2,610		ecovery), 1,740		
	Annum - Cu.m / Ton	· ·		l 2,610 Cum/annum		
11	CER Activities:		ing Stone)			
11	CEN ACUVIDES:					
	*					

Drafted by

 $\sim$ 

Drafted by Ken

Dated 27th October 2022

	Year	Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER)		
	1 <sup>st</sup> to 5 <sup>th</sup> year	antation of side of approach road 1000 Nos atering and Maintenance ever year neck Dam		
12	EMP Bud	get Rs. 24.06 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.85Lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NC	C 13.11.2017		
14	Quarry pl	an 06.05.2022		
15	Cluster Certificate	30.08.2022		
16	Revenue	26.09.2018		
17	DTF	21.06.2021		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 02 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 01 lease is exempted from cluster as the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the present lease is 4.5 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 625 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 2,94,000 Cum (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be co-terminus with the lease period. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 8,700cum/annum (including waste), i.e 2,610 Cum/annum (Recovery), 1,740 Cum/annum (Khandas) and 2,610 Cum/annum (Building Stone), 1,740 Cum/annum (waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Dated 27th October 2022

١L

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

### 226.1.17. Building Stone Quarry Project at Belur Village, Talikoti Taluk, Vijayapura District (4-00 Acres) (1.61 Ha) by Sri Motilal L. Chavan - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/208254/2021 (SEIAA 317 MIN 2021)

Sri Motilal L. Chavan have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.Nos. 23/15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 & 24 of Belur Village, Talikoti Taluk, Vijayapura District (4-00 Acres) (1.61 Ha).

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of	Sri Motilal L. Chava	n		
	the Projects Proponent				
2	Name & Location of	Building Stone Qua	urry Project at Sy.Nos. 23/15, 16,		
	the Project		23 & 24 of Belur Village, Talikoti		
			District (4-00 Acres) (1.61 Ha)		
		Latitude	Longitude		
		N 16°30'32.48"	E 76°23'44.44″		
		N 16°30'32.80"	E 76°23'49.75″		
		N 16°30'29.03"	E 76°23'43.02"		
		N 16°30'29.22"	E 76°23'48.08"		
rafted by	÷ M		56		

Dated 27th October 2022

57

3	Type Of Minera	al	Building Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansi		New	
	Modification /			
	Renewal			
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Patta	
	Government Re	•		
	Gomal, Private	/		
	Patta, Other]			
6	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres	
7	Annual Produc		52,061 Cum/annum (including waste)	
	(Metric Ton / C	Cum)		
	Per Annum			
8	Project Cost (R	s. In	Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs)	
	Crores)			
9	Proved Quantity of		5,24,394 Cum (including waste)	
	mine/ Quarry- Cu.m			
	/ Ton	- •-		
10	Permitted Quar	<b>J</b>	47,328 Cum/annum(excluding waste)	
	Per Annum - C	.u.m /		
	Ton	<b>T</b>	at the information for dilities to mean by Court School in	
11			vide infrastructure facilities to near by Govt. School in	
		Belur village and to grow additional of 1500 trees all along the approach road to the mining area.		
12	EMP Budget		9Lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 3.00Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
12	Forest NOC			
		04.02.2021		
14	Quarry plan	17.02.2021		
15	Cluster	17.02.20	JZ1	
10	certificate	20.01.0	201	
16	Revenue	20.01.20		
17	Conversion	15.07.20	JZ1	
	Order	15.00.04	001	
18	Notification	15.03.20	JZ1	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The committee initially noted the complaint received through email (parisaraarakshanaavedike@gmail.com) on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022 for the present proposal and the committee at the time of appraisal sought point wise clarification for the following observations from the project Proponent and Consultant,

Drafted by La-

• site is worked after 2015 as may be seen from google images and also it looks like the applicant has already setup crusher towards west and some transportation activities area taking place.

The proponent informed that, mining activity was illegally carried out in the proposed area and DMG has issued notice imposing penalty of Rs. 50,000, dated 13.01.2021. The penalty amount was remitted to DMG on 16.03.2021. (Challan Ref. no. CR0321085300395031)

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the project. The proposal was initially considered in 272<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting and the committee had deferred the project, as there was a nala as per the village map in the proposed project area.

The proponent in the present meeting informed that as per Tahsildar letter dated 27.06.2022 and as per RTC of the proposed survey numbers, there is no nala and B-Kharab. The committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project.

As per the cluster sketch there is no other lease in a radius of 500mtr from the said lease and the area of the said lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 660 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 5,24,394 Tones(including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 10 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,061 Cum/annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief-Wild Life/

Drafted by Ke

Dated 27th October 2022

Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

# Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.18. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nitte Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (1-50 Acres) (QL No. 365) by Sri Naveen Chandra Jain - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/273695/2022 (SEIAA 243 MIN 2022)

Sri Naveen Chandra Jain have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project Sy. No. 361/P1, Nitte Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (1-50 Acres) (QL No. 365)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION				
1	Name & Address of the	Sri Naveen Chandra Jain				
	Projects Proponent					
2	Name & Location of the	<b>V</b>	rry Project Sy. No. 361/P1,			
	Project	Nitte Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (1-50 Acres) (QL No. 365)				
		Lotitude	Longitude			
		N 13° 10' 02.3"	E 74° 57' 23.4"			
		N 13° 10'05.6"	E 74° 57' 23.4"			
1		N 13° 10' 05.7"	E 74° 57' 25.4"			
		N 13° 10'02.4"	E 74° 57° 25.4″			
3	Type Of Mineral	<b>Building Stone Quarr</b>	ÿ			
4	New / Expansion /	Expansion				
	Modification / Renewal					
5	Type of Land [Forest,	Government				
	Government Revenue,					
	Gomal, Private / Patta,					
	Other]					
6	Area in Acres	1-50 Acres				
7	Annual Production (Metric	2,58,332 Tones/ Ann	um (including wast <u>e)</u>			
Drafted by	ei M		1 59 <u>/</u>			

Dated 27th October 2022

	Ton / Cur	n) Per Annum			
8	Project Co	st (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.87 Crores (Rs. 87.18 Lakhs)		
9	Proved Qu	antity of mine/	2,58,332 Tones (including waste)		
	Quarry-C	u.m / Ton			
10	Permitted	Quantity Per	2,35,294 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)		
	Annum - O	Cu.m / Ton			
11	CER Activ	vities:			
	Year	Corporate Env	ironmental Responsibility (CER)		
	1 <sup>st</sup>	Providing solar power panels, Rainwater harvesting pits			
		and Health car	mps to GHPS school at Nitte village		
12	EMP Budg	get Rs. 33.921	akhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 9.22 lakhs (Recurring cost)		
13	Forest NO	C 09.03.2012			
14	Quarry pla	an 16.05.2022			
15	Cluster	17.05.2022			
	certificate				
16	DTF	13.06.2013			
17	Audit Rep	ort 17.05.2022	17.05.2022		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 282<sup>nd</sup>SEAC meeting and the committee had deferred the project as the committee observed that as per Forest NoC dated 09.03.2012, the survey number of the proposed project area was not clear regarding, whether the proposed project area is within the deemed forest area or out of the deemed forest area.

In the present meeting the proponent had submitted reply letter under RTI Act, from forest department dated 28.09.2022, informing that as per the G.O dated 05.05.2022, the proposed Sy. No. 361/P1 of Karkala Taluk, Nitte village is not included in the Deemed forests list. The committee accepted the reply and appraised the project.

The proposal is for expansion, where in earlier EC was issued by SEIAA on 10.03.2015 and the lease was granted on 03.02.2022. The proponent had submitted certified compliance report from KSPCB dated 20.06.2022 and audit report certified by DMG dated 17.05.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 648 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarrying operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms before

Drafted by ki

carrying out the expansion quantity &should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee by considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,58,332Tones (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine to be 1year. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,58,332Tones/ Annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.19. Building Stone Quarry Project at Motakadahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (3-20 Acres) by M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/236492/2021 (SEIAA 592 MIN 2021) : Expansion

M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy No. 39 of Motakadahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (3-20 Acres)

61 Drafted by ke

¢2

.

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No.	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
2	Name & Location of the Project				
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
		A	N 13°10'44.7"	E 77°53'41.9"	
		B	N 13°10'48.0"	E 77°53'43.3"	
		C	N 13°10'50.7"	E 77°53'40.3"	
		D	N 13°10'50.4"	E 77°53'39.6"	
		E	N 13°10'47.2"	E 77°53'38.9"	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building S	tone Quarry		
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government			
6	Area in Acres	3-20 Acres	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum	2,55,097 Te	onnes/ Annum (inclu	ıding waste)	
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)	Rs. 0.40 Cr	ores (Rs. 40 Lakhs)	· · · ·	
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton	12,79,235 Tonnes (including waste)			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton	2,49,995 To	onnes/ Annum (exch	uding waste)	
11	CER Activities:Propos on both sides of the a and near public places	se to grow additionally 500 No. locally suitable trees, pproach road from quarry location to Motakadahalli			

Drafted by ker

	To Construct additional class room, compound wall and to provide drinking water facilities to nearby Govt. school.					
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 16.25 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &3.90 Lakhs (Recurring cost)				
13	Forest NOC	28.09.2015				
14	Quarry plan	23.07.2021				
15	Cluster certificate	30.08.2021				
16	CCR from KSPCB	29.03.2022				
17	Audit Report	19.07.2021				

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 282<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting and as the proponent was absent the committee had deferred the project.

In the present meeting, the committee noted that the proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 24.09.2015. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 29.03.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 12,79,235 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,55,097 tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

63 Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

### **Additional Conditions:**

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

### 226.1.20. Building Stone Quarry Project at Chokkasandra Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore rural (1-18 Acres) by M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/236963/2021 (SEIAA 595 MIN 2021) : Expansion

M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 23 of Chokkasandra Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural (1-18 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION			
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Vishw	va Vinayaka Build-T	ech	
2	Name & Location of the Project		t at Sy. No. 23 o te Taluk, Bangaloro		
		P. No.	Latitude	Longitude	
		A	N 13°11'06.3"	E 77°54'34.3"	
		B	N 13°11'04.3"	E 77°54'35.0"	
		C	N 13°11'03.2"	E 77° <b>54'31.5</b> "	
		D	N 13°11'04.7"	E 77°54'31.2"	
		E	N 13°11'04.5"	E 77°54'31.7"	
		F	N 13°11'05.3"	E 77 <b>°54'32.3</b> "	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building St	one Quarry		
brafted by	tei M			64	

Dated 27th October 2022

4	New / Expansio	n /	Expansion			
4	Modification / R		Expansion			
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		Government			
6	Area in Acres		1-18 Acres			
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		81,635 Tones/ Annum (including waste)			
8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.25 Crores (Rs. 25 Lakhs)			
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		4,08,702 Tones (including waste)			
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		80,002Tones/ Annum (excluding waste)			
11	CER Activities: on both sides of near public place	<b>CER Activities:</b> Propose to grow additionally 500 No. locally suitable trees, on both sides of the approach road from quarry location to Motakadahalli and near public places. To Construct additional class room, compound wall and to provide drinking water facilities to nearby Govt. school.				
12	EMP Budget		52 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 3.02 Lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NOC	10.04.2				
14	Quarry plan	23.07.2	021			
15	Cluster certificate	23.08.2021				
16	CCR from KSPCB	29.03.2	29.03.2022			
17 .	Audit Report	19.07.2	022			

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 282<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting and as the proponent was absent the committee had deferred the project.

In the present meeting, the committee noted that the proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 28.12.2015. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 29.03.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the

Drafted by Le

quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 4,08,702 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 81,635 tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

### 226.1.21. Building Stone Quarry Project at Motakadahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (1-20 Acres) by M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech -Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/237074/2021 (SEIAA 596 MIN 2021) : Expansion

M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 23 of Chokkasandra Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural (1-20 Acres)

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

Details of the project are as follows:

Sl.No	PARTIC	ULARS		INFORMATI	ON
1	Name & Addre	ss of the	M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech		
	Projects Propor	e <b>n</b> t			<u>-</u>
2	Name & Location of the Project		Building	Stone Quarry Proje	ect at Sy. No. 23 of
			Chokkas	0.	Hosakote Taluk,
			I 4 1	e Rural (1-20 Acres)	
			P. No.	Latitude	Longitude
			A	N 13°10'35.6"	E 77°53'45.5"
			B	N 13°10'36.6"	E 77° <b>53'44.3</b> ″
			C	N 13°10'39.6"	E 77°53'42.9"
			D	N 13°10'40.0"	E 77°53'43.3"
			F	N 13°10'37.2"	E 77°53'45.8"
3	Type Of Minera	al	Building	Stone Quarry	
4	New / Expansi		Expansio		
_	Modification /				
5	Type of Land [Forest,		Government		
	Government Revenue,				
	Gomal, Private / Patta,				
	Other]				
6	Area in Acres		1-20 Acr		
7	Annual Produc Ton / Cum) Pe	•	77,690 To	onnes/ Annum (inc	luding waste)
8	Project Cost (R		Rs. 0.25	Crores (Rs. 25 Lakh	s)
9	Proved Quanti		3,89,372	Tonnes (including v	waste)
	Quarry-Cu.m	-			
10	Permitted Qua	ntity Per	76,136 T	onnes/ Annum (exc	cluding waste)
	Annum - Cu.m	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11				ionally 500 No. loca	
	on both sides of the approach road from quarry location to Motakadahalli				
				ditional class roon	
				to nearby Govt. sch	
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 10.87 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 2.37 Lakhs (Recurring cost)			
13	Forest NOC	10.04.2015			
14	Quarry plan	23.07.2021			
15	Cluster	23.08.2021			

\_\_\_\_\_

Drafted by

67

L

	certificate		
16	CCR from KSPCB	29.03.2022	
17	Audit Report	19.07.2022	

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 282<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting and as the proponent was absent the committee had deferred the project.

In the present meeting, the committee noted that the proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 24.09.2015. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG and CCR from KSPCB dated 29.03.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 850 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 3,89,372 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of mine to be 5years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 77,690 tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor),

Drafted by ke

Dated 27th October 2022

69

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

#### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.22. Building Stone Quarry Project at Motakadahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (7-00 Acres) by M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech -Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/237104/2021 (SEIAA 597 MIN 2021)

M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy No. 39 of Motakadahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (7-00 Acres)

Details of the project are as follows:

Drafted by Ke.

Sl.No	PARTICULARS		INFORMATIC	DN
1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Vishwa Vinayaka Build-Tech		
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy No. 39 of Motakadahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (7-00 Acres)		
		P.No.	Latitude	Longitude
		A	N 13°10'37.8"	E 77°53'37.6"
		B	N 13°10'39.9"	E 77°53'29.8"
		C	N 13°10'43.4"	E 77°53'31.0"
		D	N 13°10'41.6"	E 77°53'38.5"
3	Type Of Mineral Building Stone Quarry		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4	New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal	Expansion		
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]	Government		
6	Area in Acres	7-00 Acres		
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		ding waste)	

Dated 27th October 2022

8	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 0.70 Crores (Rs. 70 Lakhs)
9	Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton		25,15,069 Tonnes (including waste)
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton		4,92,541 Tonnes/ Annum (excluding waste)
11	both sides of the approach near public places.To Cons		b grow additionally 500 No. locally suitable trees, on h road from quarry location to Motakadahalli and struct additional class room, compound wall and to ilities to nearby Govt. school
12	EMP Budget	Rs. 19.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 5.05 Lakhs (Recurring cost)	
13	Forest NOC	25.03.2015	
14	Quarry plan	23.07.2021	
15	Cluster certificate	30.08.2021	
16	CCR from KSPCB	29.03.20	22

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 282<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting and as the proponent was absent the committee had deferred the project.

In the present meeting, the committee noted that the proposal is for expansion, for which EC was earlier issued by SEIAA on 16.11.2015. The proponent submitted audit report till 2021-22 certified by DMG dated 19.07.2022 and CCR from KSPCB dated 29.03.2022.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the proposed expansion in quantity should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC standard norms and should grow trees all along the approach road and to comply with the observations of KSPCB in the CCR, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 25,15,069 tones (including waste) and estimated the life of

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

mine to be 5years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 5,02,593 tones/Annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

### Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

# 226.1.23. Building Stone Quarry Project at Guguttahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (4-00 Acres) by M/s. Dilip Buildcon Limited -Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/400530/2022 (SEIAA 397 MIN 2022)

M/s. Dilip Buildcon Limited have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 31 of Guguttahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (4-00 Acres).

Details of the project are as follows:

S1.N	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION	
<u>o</u> 1	Name & Address of the Projects Proponent	M/s. Dilip Buildcon Limited	
2	Name & Location of the Project	Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. No. 31 of Guguttahalli Village, Hosakote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District (4-00 Acres)	
3	Type Of Mineral	Building Stone Quarry	
Drafted b	with the		

Dated 27th October 2022

4		/ Expansio newal	n / Modification	New	
5	Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other]		venue, Gomal,	Government	
6	Area	Area in Acres		4-00 Acres	
7	Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum		•	2,04,082 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – I year 5,10,204 Tones/ Annum (including waste) – II year	
8	Projec	Project Cost (Rs. In Crores)		Rs. 1.32 Crores (Rs. 132 Lakhs)	
9	Prove	oved Quantity of mine/ uarry- Cu.m / Ton		15,01,012 Tones (including waste)	
10	Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton			2,00,000 Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) – I year 5,00,000Tones/ Annum (excluding waste) – II year	
11	CER Activities:				
	Year	Corporate	nvironmental Responsibility (CER)		
	1st	Providing solar power panels to the GLPS school at Guguttahalli Village			
	2nd	Rain water harvesting pits and Health camp in GLPS school at Guguttahalli Village			
12				Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.62 lakhs (Recurring	
13			02.09.2021		
14	Quarry plan		05.09.2022		
15	Cluster 06.09.2022 certificate				
16	Revenue 25.08.2021		25 08 2021		

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

As per the cluster sketch there are 06 leases including the present lease within 500 meter radius from this lease out of which 05 lease is exempted from cluster as the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the present lease is 4-00 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 170 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the committee informed that the quarking

Drafted by

operation should be commenced after asphalting the approach road to the quarry and road leading to crusher as per IRC standard norms & should grow trees all along the approach road during the first year of operation, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and the committee as per the approved quarry plan, recommended the proposal for proved mineable reserve of 15,01,012 Tons (including waste) and estimated the life of the quarry as 2 years. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,04,082 Tones/ Annum (including waste) for I year and 5,10,204 Tones/ Annum (including waste) for II year.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

## Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

## **Industry Projects:**

226.1.24. Expansion Of Sugar Plant Capacity From 10,000 Tcd To 12,000 Tcd Along With Existing Cogeneration Plant Of <u>60</u> Mwhr at Saundatti village & Yadrav village falling under the revenue limits of Raibag Taluku, Belagavi District by M/s. Shivashakti Sugar Limited - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND2/402735/2022 (SEIAA 25 IND 2022) : Expansion.

Drafted by 🕊

74

M/s. Shivashakti Sugars Limited have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Expansion of Sugar Plant capacity from 10,000 TCD to 12,000 TCD along with existing Cogeneration plant of 60 MWhr" at Survey Nos. 177 (Part), 178/1A, 178/1B & 178/2 of Saundatti village & Survey Nos. 5/1, 6/1A, 6/1B, 6/2A, 6/2B, 7/2, 95/3, 98/1A, 98/1B-1, 98/1C, 98/2, 99/1, 99/2, 99/3 & 99/4 & parts of Yadrav village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi district, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

Drafted by 14

S. No	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION						
1.	Name & Address of	Dr. Prabhakar B. Kore						
	the Project Proponent	CTS No. 4094/1B1 & CTS No. 4094/1B2, 2nd Floor,						
		Adarsha Central Mall, College Road, Belagavi,						
		Karnataka. Pin: 590 001.						
2.	Name & Location of	s. Shivashakti Sugars Limited						
	the Project	Survey Nos. 177 (Part), 178/1A, 178/1B & 178/2 of Saundatti village & Survey Nos. 5/1, 6/1A, 6/1B,						
		6/2A, 6/2B, 7/2, 95/2, 95/3, 98/1A, 98/1B-1, 98/1C, 98/2, 99/1, 99/2, 99/3 & 99/4 & parts of Yadrav						
		village, Raibag Taluk, Belagavi district, Karnataka						
3.	Co-ordinates of the Project Site	16°31'40.03"N, 74°43'25.27"E						
<b>4</b> .	Type of Development							
	as per schedule of	Notification, 2006.						
	EIA Notification,	- r ···································						
	2006 with relevant							
	serial number	60 MWhr"						
5.	New/ Expansion/							
	Modification/	Expansion						
	Product mix change							
6.	Location and Environm							
	Particulars	Details						
	Nearest Highway	NH-160-5.23 Km (NW)						
	Nearest Railway static							
	Nearest Airport	Kolhapur airport - 47.63 km (NW)						
		Belagavi airport - 73.48 km (S)						
		Arka Halla - 2.59 km (SW)						
		Krishna River - 3.63 kms (NW)						
	Nearest Water body	Peeranakodi Lake – 4.6 km (SW)						
		Hulyal Keri - 5.54 km (SE)						
		Raybag Rajwada Keri - 7.41 km (SE)						
	Nearest Village	Soundattiwadi - 30 m (NW)						
		Yadrav- 248 m (SE)						

	1 <b></b>			1	7/ 11		(175)						
						vadi – 1.8 l	• • •		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
					Saundatti - 2.03 km (NW) Nava Diggewadi - 2.04 km (NE)								
					••								
					Nandikurli – 3.05 kms (SW)								
		arest Town/(			Nasalapur- 3.16 kms (W)								
		smic Zone	Lity		Belagavi – 78.0 kms (SW)								
	Jer	sinc zone			Seismic zone-II as per IS-1893 (Part-1) – 2002 Karnataka-Maharashtra Interstate boundary – 9.6 km								
	Inte	erstate bound	lary		(NW)								
7.	Plot	Area (Acre)			<u>`</u>	97.15 Acres							
8.	Grou	and Coverag	e Area		72,434 s	qm		- <u></u>					
9.	Com	ponent of			Sugar	Plant wi	th cap	acity 12,0	00 TCD and				
		elopments				ration plar							
10.	Proje	ect cost (Rs. I	n Cror	es)			•	•	27.28 Crores &				
	↓			_	Propos	ed - 249.30	) Crores	s)					
11.	Details of Land Use (Acre)												
	SI.	No			nd Use			Area in s	qm				
		a.				verage Are	ea	72,434	]				
		<u>b.</u>		-	eenbelt A	rea		1,29,951					
		C.			rking			97,618					
		d		Roa				82,500					
		е.		<u> </u>	en Space			10730.4					
				То									
12.													
12.	Raw	material wit	h quai						·····				
12.	SI			Q	uantity ii	n MT/day	T —	_	Mode of				
12		material wit Raw Material	th quai	Qı	uantity ii		Tota 1	Source	Mode of Transportat ion				
	SI N	Raw		Qı Ex	uantity ii	n MT/day Propose	T —	Source Agricult ural Fields	Transportat				
	SI N o	Raw Material Sugar	Unit	Qu Ex 10	uantity ii isting	n MT/day Propose d	Tota 1 1200	Agricult ural	Transportat ion Tractors/Tr ucks/ Bullock				
12.	Sl N o 1	Raw Material Sugar Cane	Unit TPD TP	Q1 Ex 10 56	uantity in isting 000	n MT/day Propose d 2000 112 to	Tota 1 1200 0 672 to	Agricult ural Fields Local	Transportat ion Tractors/Tr ucks/ Bullock Carts				
12	SI N 0 1	Raw Material Sugar Cane Lime Caustic Soda	Unit TPD TP M TP	Q1 Ex 10 56 12	uantity in isting 000 0 to 600	n MT/day Propose d 2000 112 to 120 2.4 to	Tota 1 1200 0 672 to 720 14.4 to	Agricult ural Fields Local Market Local	Transportat ion Tractors/Tr ucks/ Bullock Carts By Trucks				

Drafted by

		Powder	М		0.8	to 4.5	Market					
	6	Boiler Chemicals (Antiscale nts)	TP M	Boiler Chemical s (Antiscale nts)	TPM	3 to 4	Local Market	By Trucks				
	7	Lubricants	KL/ M	Lubricant s	KL/M	10 to 12	Local Market	By Trucks				
13.	tran	le of sportation of erial and stor ity		Transportation is through trucks, Tractors and Bullock Carts. It will be stored in godowns								
14.	Pow	ver Requirem	ent	Total - 18 M Existing - 15 Proposed - 3	5 MWHr							
15.	WA	TER										
I		ing Construc	tion P		lase							
a		rce of water	_	Recycled water from the industry								
b.		ntity of water struction in K		100 KLD								
C.		ntity of water nestic Purpos		5.0 KLD								
d.		tewater eration in KL	D.	4.25 KLD								
е.	Trea prop	itment facility posed and sch isposal of trea	/ neme	Domestic sewage will be sent to septic tank followed by soak pit.								
II	Dur	ing Construct	tion P	hase				···· •••				
a.		rce of water					River thro	ugh Karnataka				
b.		ll Requiremer er in KLD	nt of	Total Fresh Recycled		718 H	KLD KLD KLD					
С.		uirement of w ndustrial	vater	Total Fresh	· <u> </u>			KLD				
		pose / produ	ction	Recycled				KLD				
d	Req	uirement of w	vater	Total			40 K	LD				
O <b>rafted</b> by	ki	_	$\mathbf{x}$		-		7	76				

Dated 27th October 2022

	for do	mest	ic purpose	Fres	h		40 KLD				
	in KLI	)		Recy	ycled			-			
	Waste	wate	r	Indi	ustrial			1460 KLD			
	genera	tion	in KLD	Don	nestic			34 KLD			
	1			Tota	ની		1494 KLD				
•	ETP/ S	STP	capacity	ETP	•			1500 KLD			
				STP 50 KLD							
16.	Infrast	ruct	ure for	Collection tank - 500 cum and storage tank of 6000							
	Rainw	ater	harvesting	cum	cum						
17.	Storm manag		er ent plan	500 stor	The storm water will be collected in collection tank of 500 cum along with rooftop rainwater and it will be stored in storage tank of 6000 cum. The water will be used for irrigation and washing in the plant						
18.	Air Po	linti		user							
			Air pollutio	n							
•	Sl. No. Stack attached				Type Heig			-			
	1	Boi	iler – 120 TP	H	Bagass e	90 m					
	2	2 Boiler – 85 TPH			Bagass e	AGL	ı	Electrostatic Precipitator			
	3 Boiler – 130 TPI			H	Bagass e	92 m AGL	-	Electrostatic Precipitator			
	4	DC	Set - 625 K	VA	HSD	6 m ARL		Acoustic Enclosures			
	5	DC	G Set - 625 K	VA	A HSD		,	Acoustic Enclosures Acoustic Enclosures			
	6	DC	G Set - 500 K	VA	HSD	6 m ARL					
).	Comp	ositi	on of Emissi	ons				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	S. No	<b>D.</b>	Source of I					stituents to be controlled			
		l	Boiler - 120			-		, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>			
	í — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	2	Boiler – 85		_			, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>			
		3.	Boiler - 130					, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>			
		<u>4.</u>	DG Set - 62		-			, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>			
		5.	DG Set - 62	<u>25 KV</u>	/A			, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>			
		б.	DG Set - 5	00 KV	<u>/A</u>		PM.	, SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>			
19.	Noise		ution			DG Sets (2X625 KVA & 1X500 KVA)					
19. a.	Noise	Poll es of	ution Noise	DG	Sets (2X6	25 KV	'A &	1X500 KVA)			

Drafted by ke

77

Dated 27th October 2022

78

		e pollution in				•					
20.	(dbA EMF	<u> </u>									
20.				Amount in lakhs							
	S. N o.	Description	Description Wain to be a constrained with the second seco			Maintenanc 60 e cost	Investment cost	Maintenanc e cost			
	1	Pollution Control equipmen such as Electrostati Precipitator, Dust collection silos, acoustic enclosure, etc.		20.78	-	-	400	20.78			
	2	Effluent Treatment Plant 1500 KLD & proposed Sewage Treatment Plant - 50 KLD	1	10	200	20	350	30			
	3	Rainwater Harvesting system	80	2	50	2	130	4			
	4	Green Belt Development	5	10	3	2	8	12			
	5	Occupational health and safety	l 30	10	5	3	35	13			
	6	Storm water drains and fire management	50	5	-	-	50	5			
	8	Corporate Environmenta Responsibility	1 -	-	30	-	30	-			
	9	Environment managemen Cell	t 20	4.01	10	10	30	14.01			
		TOTAL	735	61.79	298	37	1033	98.79			
21.	CER	Activities Proposed Developm Halla by p	ent of g	reenbel			banks	of Arl			
		Distributio	n of ess	ential su	- Ipplies	and	-				

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by ke

Dated 27th October 2022

The proposal is for expansion in production of existing sugar plant capacity from 10,000TCD to 12,000TCD. The proponent informed the committee that the earlier EC was issued by SEIAA on 14.03.2017 for expansion sugar plant capacity from 4,800TCD to 10,000TCD and cogeneration plant from 15MWhr to 60MWhr and now the proposal is for expansion of production capacity to 12,000TCD, as per O.M issued by MoEF&CC dated 11.04.2022. Proponent informed the committee that based on the said O.M, they had proposed for expansion of 20percent in production capacity within the existing premises and had submitted EIA/EMP report as per standard ToR and Certified Compliance Report for earlier EC from MoEF&CC dated 24.08.2022. Proponent further informed that as per that O.M, for the proposed expansion of 20percent in production capacity within the existing premises, requirement of fresh public hearing is not needed, as public hearing has already been conducted on 12.08.2016 and was considered by SEIAA while issuing EC.

The committee initially sought clarifications for non-compliance for EC conditions in the CCR issued by MoEF&CC on 24.08.2022 and approval letter from sugar Commissioner for proposed expansion. The proponent submitted clarification for the non-compliance in CCR and informed as below,

- 1. With respect to installation of Online Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems (OCEMS) at co-gen stacks, proponent had submitted an undertaking to MoEF&CC on 23.07.2022 for installing OCEMS and informed that purchase order has already been placed to the vendor waiting for the installation.
- 2. With respect to CSR activities, proponent informed that CSR activities worth 4.21Cr is implemented and due to covid lock down and restrictions they were not able to completely implement 11Cr. The proponent submitted a copy of time bound action plan and activities till the year 2026 submitted to Regional Office of MoEF&CC on 23.07.2022.

The proponent further submitted approval letter from Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar, dated 28.06.2022, for expansion from 10,000TCD to 18,000TCD.

The committee accepted the clarification and appraised the project. The committee informed the proponent to comply with the observations in CCR for earlier EC issued by MoEF&CC, for which the proponent agreed.

The proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all parameters are found to be within permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken up to ensure that the parameters are maintained within permissible limits.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to carry out additional plantation and

Drafted by Ke-

incorporate all mitigative measures in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with condition to strictly comply with the non-compliances mentioned in the certified compliance report of MoEF&CC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority after detailed discussion decide to clear the proposal with a condition to comply non-compliances mentioned in the certified compliance report of MoEF&CC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 3. The PP shall install Online Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems (OCEMS) at cogen stacks.
- 4. The PP shall implement the CSR activities as directed by the MoEF&CC.

# Additional Condition:

- 1. The proponent shall carryout analysis and record the characteristics of the USB digester sludge for its microbial activity on annual basis to correlate its performance and assess its efficiency.
- 2. The project proponent shall undertake a bench scale study for extraction of wax from press mud and later on use the findings of the bench scale study for pilot plant adaptation.
- 226.1.25. Establishment of Sugarcane crushing plant with installed capacity of 1500 TCD (Operating Capacity 1290 TCD) To Produce 55 KLPD Capacity Ethanol/ Rectified Spirit/ Extra Neutral Alcohol Based on "C"/"B" Heavy Molasses/ Sugarcane Juice/ Syrup at Holkunda, Taluka Kamalapur and District Kalaburagi by M/s. King Rudra Sugars Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND2/47993/2019 (SEIAA 01 IND 2020).

M/s. King Rudra Sugars Limited have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Establishment of Sugarcane crushing plant with installed capacity Of 1500 TCD (Operating Capacity 1290 TCD) To Produce 55 KLPD Capacity Ethanol/Rectified

Drafted by ke-80

Dated 27th October 2022

Spirit/Extra Neutral Alcohol Based On "C"/"B" Heavy Molasses/Sugarcane Juice/Syrup At Holkunda, Taluka and District Kalaburagi, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

1. 10	PARTICULARS	INFORMATION						
	Name & Address of the	Mr.Shivaraj Ru	drashetty Patil,	(Chairman &				
	Project Proponent	Managing Director)						
		Address: A/p #1124/17A, Opp. V. G. Women's						
	9 1	College, Aiwan-E-Shahi, Kalaburagi-585102,						
		Karnataka		· <b>.</b>				
	Name & Location of the	Establishment	of Sugarcane cru	ishing plant with				
	Project	installed capac	ity Of 1500 TCD	(Operating				
		Capacity 1290	TCD) To Produc	e 55 KLPD				
		Capacity Ethar	nol/Rectified Sp	irit/Extra Neutral				
		Alcohol Based	On "C"/"B" He	avy				
		Molasses/Sug	arcane Juice/Sy	up.				
			Taluka and Dist					
			King Rudra Sug	ars Limited.				
	p-ordinates of the Project Site	Longitude 76°						
		Latitude 17°30		-la E(a) and E(i) an				
	Type of Development as per	The project fa	lis under schedi	ile 5(g) and 5(j) an				
	schedule of EIA Notification,							
	2006 with relevant serial							
	number							
	New/ Expansion/	ew						
	Modification/ Product mix							
	change	Location:	Latitude:					
			17°30'39.37					
			"N					
		1	Longitude:					
			76°58'6.79"					
			E					
	Environment Sensitivity	Nearest	Holkunda	: 2 km in NE				
	Environmente Sensite . Ly	Village:						
		Nearest	Kalaburagi	: 25 km in SW				
		town /						
		City:						
		Nearest	NH 218	: 3 Km in NW				
		National	(Hubballi					
		1 100000000						
				4				
		1	<u> </u>	21				
Taft	ed by Ken			81				

Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for s along with ETP/ se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	: 26 km in SW : 05 km in W : 24 km in SSW : 4 km in S Sugar , co-gen and /STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ao	Railway Station: earest Airport: earest Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	b. Mahagaon Kalaburagi Bennithora Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for s along with ETP/ se (Acre) 0 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	: 05 km in W : 24 km in SSW : 4 km in S						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details c 4.32 Ac	Station: earest Airport: earest Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Kalaburagi Bennithora Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for s along with ETP/ Se (Acre) 0 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	: 24 km in SSW : 4 km in S						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details c 4.32 Ac	earest Airport: earest Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Kalaburagi Bennithora Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for s along with ETP/ Se (Acre) 0 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	: 24 km in SSW : 4 km in S						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details c 4.32 Ac	Airport: earest Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Kalaburagi Bennithora Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for s along with ETP/ Se (Acre) 0 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	: 24 km in SSW : 4 km in S						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details c 4.32 Ac	Airport: earest Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Bennithora Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for st along with ETP/ Se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	:4 km in S						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	earest Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for along with ETP/ Se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	Sugar , co-gen and						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Sei 3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Water Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Reservoir Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for along with ETP/ Se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	Sugar , co-gen and						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Body: ismicity: Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	Seismic Zone III 7599 Ha) It up area for s along with ETP/ se (Acre) 0 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	sugar , co-gen and /STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	III 7599 Ha) It up area for stalong with ETP/ se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	Sugar , co-gen and /STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	III 7599 Ha) It up area for stalong with ETP/ se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	Sugar , co-gen and STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	3.88 Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Acres (17. posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	III 7599 Ha) It up area for stalong with ETP/ se (Acre) 9 sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	sugar , co-gen and (STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	It up area for stalong with ETP/ se (Acre) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	sugar , co-gen and /STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Built Up area (Sqm) mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Prop dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	posed bui tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	It up area for stalong with ETP/ se (Acre) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	sugar , co-gen and /STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
mponent of development roject cost (Rs. In crores) Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	dist ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	tillery unit Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	se (Acre) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)	/STP of 25000 m <sup>2</sup>						
Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	ts NA 150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	se (Acre) ) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)							
Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	150 Details o 4.32 Ac	Crores of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)							
Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Details of 4.32 Ac	of Land Us cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)							
Ground Coverage Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	4.32 Ac	cres (17500 2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	) sq. m) res(10000 sq. m)							
Area Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D		2.47 Acr 6.92 Acr	res(10000 sq. m)							
Internal Road Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Bank,	6.92 Acr		<u> </u>						
Cane Yard C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Bank,	6.92 Acr								
C type quarters, Canteen Green belt and R&D	Bank,		6.92 Acres (28000 sq. m)							
Canteen Green belt and R&D	Bank,									
Green belt and R&D			cres( 2500 sq. n	n including bagasse						
		yard)	·							
		14.48 Acres (58610 sq. m)								
Open Land		13.09 Acres (52989 sq. m)								
B,E,F Quarters										
Pump house		1.98 Acres( 8000 sq. m , ETP/STP and water								
		reservoir)								
Total		43.88 Ac	<u>res (177</u> 599 sq. m							
	y and th	<u>eir source</u>								
ör.										
No Raw material	Source	۵	Quantity (TPD)	Mothod of here						
	Joure	C	Quantity (IFD)	Method of transp						
		<u> </u>								
-										
			3500	By tweeles to at						
0	Local a	area		By trucks, tractors bullock carts						
			1500	VULUCK CAFTS						
production										
Ì	w material with quantit r. Raw material Sugar Cane crushing capacity sugarcane for Ethanol	w material with quantity and the formatterial with quantity and the formatterial source and the formatterial sugar Cane crushing capacity sugarcane for Local techanol Local techanol techanol sugar canada and the formatterial sugar canada and th	w material with quantity and their source r. Raw material Source Sugar Cane crushing capacity sugarcane for Local area Ethanol	w material with quantity and their source         r.       Raw material       Source       Quantity (TPD)         Sugar Cane       Sugar Cane       3500         crushing capacity       sugarcane for       Local area       1500						

2	Fuel (1*90 TPH boile						
	Bagasse		wn Sugar	864		Convey	or
	illery Unit	ינ	Jnit				· · ·
	'C' Molasses			64			
	Or			л			
	B' Heavy Molasses		_	67			_
	Or		)wn Sugar	r		rough Cl	
	Sugar Juice	L	Jnit	46		ipeline/T	anker/ Co
	Or			r			
	Sugar Syrup			78			
			Fuel (fo	or 1*15 T	PH boiler)		
	agasse	wı	n industry	44		onveyor	
fode of	f transportation of Rav	v	Raw material	s will b	e received l	y road w	ays only.
	rial and storage facility				facility will		
+		<u> </u>	Unit		Power require		Sou
Do	wer Requirement		Sugar Unit		4.0		Own 14.5 MV generation Po
	wei Kequiteinent		Co-generation Po	wer Plant	1.9		22 TPH incin
			Distillery Unit				
ATER	onstruction Phase						
	Source of water		ug well				-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		)#	for t				
	Quantity of wate Construction in KLD		ion p				
<u>⊢</u>	Quantity of wate	_	for				
	Domestic Purpose in						
	Wastewater general						<b> </b>
	KLD						
	Treatment facility pr	op			owed by so		
	and scheme of disp			t shall b	e used for t	ree planta	ation.
ļ ļ	treated water	_					
	perational Phase				_		
	ource of water		ug well recycle		tiary treated	i effluent	being
	otal Requirement of W KLD	ate	er in esh		8		_
			ecycled	- 197			
			ptal	\$05			
c.	Requirement of wa	itei	for		458		
	industrial purpos	se	/ Fresh				
	production in KLD					<u>-</u>	
			Recycled	42	297		
ed by	<u> </u>					-	83
						$\overline{(}$	

						Total	4	1755					
	d.	Requ	irem	ient of wate	er for		L	50					
		-		purpose in		Fres	'n	· · ·					
						Recycle	ed -	-					
						Total	5	50					
	e.			ter generati	on in		ustrial	1880					
		KLD	)			efflu							
ļ						Domes							
ĺ		Í				sewage		<u> </u>					
	IP/ STP capacity					ptal	2						
								neration E					
								Distillery		600 K	(LD and		
•								ll be Provi					
						Spentwash treatment: Incineration boiler which is being amended to MEE and dryer.							
6	   Iné			or Rain wa									
0		vesting		u ram wa		anwate	T IS COLLEC	ted from r	oortop	surtac	e area of		
	""	result			וס ק	otonii al	of the in	al annual F	samwat	er Ha	rvesting		
						his chal	li ba 🕬	ndustry is ilized for	0240.87 mandan	m°p in∼′-	er year.		
					- 11 1. 1.	welonm	n De Uli Dent/arce	und water	garder	ung/g	reendelt		
						development/ground water recharge through well.							
	-		_			Separate drains of minimum 0.45m*0.60 m are							
.7	Storm water management					provided for the collection and disposal of storm							
	plan							'Allection a	nd dier				
	I	11			w	ater from	n the ind	ustry prem	nd disj vises	oosar (	or storm		
8	<u> </u>				w	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem	nd disj lises				
8	ir Po		<b>S</b> .	 	w	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem	nises	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution	S. No		Type Fuel	ater from	Height meter	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	nark			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	or storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	or storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	>r storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	>r storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	>r storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	>r storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	>r storm		
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
8	ir Po a.	llution Source Air	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
	ir Po	ollution Source Air pollutic	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
	ir Po a.	ollution Source Air pollutic	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·	84		
	ir Po	ollution Source Air pollutic	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			
	ir Po	ollution Source Air pollutic	No		w Type	ater from	m the ind	ustry prem in APC	uises Rei	·			

Dated 27th October 2022

			1	1*90 TPH Boiler	Sugar & Cogener n Divisi		atio	Bagasse	70	ESP	ESP shall be provided APC		
			2	*15 TPH loiler		istillery ivision		lagasse			equipment		
				nposition of issions	f		и, SO	2, NOx					
9	Nois	se Pollu	ition		•								
	purces of Noise T				Th	he major sources of noise pollution sources are							
		pollu	ution	L				eam exhau and DG sei	F	rator, Ca	ne Cutters,		
	Expected level Noise pollution db												
0	onst	EMP onstruction phase peration Phase.			μ	pital Cost: 18.85 Cr curring Cost: 2.28 Cr.							
1		Activiti				5 Crores							

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> July 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Background of the project: ToR was issued by SEIAA on 14.05.2020 for establishing sugarcane crushing plant with capacity of 1500TCD (operating capacity 1290TCD) to produce 55KLPD Ethanol based on sugarcane juice/syrup on anaerobic digester followed by MEE and incineration and Corrigendum to ToR was issued by SEIAA on 26.08.2020 for addition of Rectified Spirit (RS)/Extra Neutral Alcohol (ENA)-55KLD with a total of three products namely Ethanoi, Rectified Spirit and Extra Neutral Alcohol(ENA) and for one product at a time.

Raw materials used are Sugarcane juice/Syrup, "C''/B'' Heavy Molasses and Spentwash treatment through incineration. Public hearing was conducted on 09.11.2021 atHolkunda Village, Kamlapur Tehsil, Kalaburgi District wherein 51nos of people attended public hearing.

The proposal was initially considered in 277<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting. The committee had deferred the appraisal as the committee observed that there were Archeological/Historical places situated nearby for which the proponent needed to submit NOC from Archeological Dept.

The proposal was again considered in 280<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting. The proponent had submitted the clarification from Archeological Department and informed the committee

85 Drafted by

that as per the clarification given by Archeological Dept. the limit of the prohibited area from the protected monuments is 300mtrs and the proposed site area is at a distance of 2.1km from the said monument and hence does not require NoC from Archeological Dept.The committee further sought details regarding source of water for the proposed project and permission from Directorate of Sugars, for which the proponent informed, that the water for the proposed project is sourced through pipe lines from around 3kms which would be laid in farmers land. The committee opined that as the source of water is 3km away from the project site, it was necessary to have site visit to the project area so as to evaluate the socio economic and environment impact of the proposed project.

The committee after discussion decided to defer the project appraisal to have site visit. The sub-committee had inspected the site on 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> of July 2022 in the chairmanship on Shri Nanda Kishore, Member, SEAC and had submitted site inspection report, for which the proponent has to comply/reply for the observations of the sub-committee.

In the present meeting the proponent had submitted point wise compliance for the site inspection observations,

1. Source of water is in the form of well in Proponent field. MOU should be made between Pvt. Ltd. company and Land owner for the continuous supply of water unconditionally with a clause that if proponent resigns company he should supply water till the alternate arrangements. Copy of MoU should be submitted.

The proponent had submitted MoU and Undertaking for the supply of well water for the industrial and domestic use of the proposed project at 508cum per day, even if the Industry is sold to any other party.

2. Land documents of the field where well is located should be submitted along with clear pictures of well

The proponent submitted land documents (RTC) of the well area and a site photograph of the same.

- 3. Industrial layout plan clearly demarking all the provisions should be submitted The proponent submitted the industrial layout plan clearly demarking all the provisions.
- 4. There is no proper road and facilities, hence clear plan should be submitted The proponent submitted the layout plan and undertaking to improve approach road.
- 5. Around proposed site active agricultural practice is on, industrial activity may effect adversely on agriculture practice

Drafted by Ke 86

The proponent informed that all the pollution control measures such as ETP, PC shall be taken by the industry by adopting zero liquid discharge.

- 6. Details of Yeast and enzymes used for process should be given. The proponent informed that Saccharomyces cerevisiae shall be the yeast propagated in its own laboratory.
- 7. Details on solar energy for the plant need to be submitted.
- The proponent informed that Solar Energy panel shall be provided in the roof of the admin building and go-down. All the street lights shall be LED backed by Solar energy.
- 8. Pvt. Ltd. incorporation certificate need to submitted, since there were lot of negative complaints by villagers during our inspection. The proponent submitted the incorporation certificate dated 11.09.2020
- As the approaching road is not good, it is to be strengthened so as to avoid the eruption of the dust.
   The proponent submitted undertaking to develop approach road as per standards.
- 10. Underneath of the plain land proposed for the erection the sugar factory plenty of stones are hidden. Suitable proposal should be given to manage that huge amount of stones.
- Care must be taken not to destroy the biodiversity of the area from where water is drawn to the project.
   The proponent informed that they would strictly follow the pollution control norms, so that there will be no effect on the biodiversity.
- 12. The total water required for the project will be drawn from a distance of 3 km 12) (approximately) from an open well. The Sub-Committee members visited the open well site.

The proponent agreed.

13. The Sub-Committee members observed that Bahamani Tombs of Holkunda Village is almost more than 2 kms away from Project site. The proponent agreed.

The committee after discussion accepted the compliance/replies submitted by the proponent and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental clearance with condition to, 1. Obtain CGWA permission for using Ground Water, 2. To obtain consent from respective land owners for installing pipe, 3. To widen and

87 Drafted by Ke \_\_\_\_

88

strengthen the approach road as per standard norms, 4. To strictly provide minimum 33% of green belt area on natural earth and 5. To comply with the public hearing requests of the public.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority perused the documents and it is observed that proposed water source is from openwell which is located at a distance of 3 KM and it is also observed that there is no permanent water source / approach road for the said project. Since the proposed project is water intense industry, any dependency on open well source may not be reliable. Therefore, the authority is of opinion that the project authorities shall explore the possibility of other sources of water for the proposed project.

After detailed discussion it is also opined that it is better to seek clarification /opinion of the Sugar Commissioner with regard to feasibility of the project.

Therefore, the Authority after discussion decided to refer the proposal to SEAC for reappraisal and also directed to get opinion of Sugar Commissioner with regard to feasibility of the project mainly with respect to the availability and feasiblity of a sustainable water resource required to operate and maintain the industry.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was earlier considered in 284<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and the proceedings of 284<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting is as follows,

"The proposal was considered in 280<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting and the committee had recommended the proposal to SEIAA for issued of EC. SEIAA in its 222<sup>nd</sup> meeting had referred back the proposal to SEAC for reappraisal informing that,

"The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority perused the documents and it is observed that proposed water source is from open well which is located at a distance of 3 KM and it is also observed that there is no permanent water source / approach road for the said project. Since the proposed project is water intense industry, any dependency on open well source may not be reliable. Therefore, the authority is of opinion that the project authorities shall explore the possibility of other sources of water for the proposed project."

"Therefore, the Authority after discussion decided to refer the proposal to SEAC for reappraisal and also directed to get opinion of Sugar Commissioner with regard to feasibility of the project mainly with respect to the availability and feasibility of a sustainable water resource required to operate and maintain the industry."

The proponent in the present meeting had carried out hydrogeological survey of the proposed area and informed the committee that as per Sugar Commissioner letter dated 13.09.2022, feasibility of project based on the availability of water for not

Drafted by te-

Dated 27th October 2022

pertain to Sugar Directorate and informed to get opinion of water resource department for availability of water.

The committee noted the reply given by the proponent. The committee after discussion and deliberation decided to defer the project and informed the proponent to get NoC from KGWA for the feasibility of project with respect to availability of sustainable water source in order to operate and maintain the industry."

In the present meeting, the proponent informed that they had obtained permission for drilling well for extraction of groundwater for Industrial / Commercial / Entertainment use from Karnataka Ground Water Authority letter dated 10.10.2022 and the committee noted that KGWA has permitted to extract 508 cum/day of water for 365 days (not exceeding 185420 cum per year) of groundwater through four borewells and one dug well.

In view of the letter from Karnataka Ground Water Authority permitting to extract ground water to meet their water requirement, the committee after discussion and deliberation reiterated its earlier decision taken in 280<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting and recommended the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

# Additional Condition:

Drafted by **k** 

- 1. The proponent shall carryout analysis and record the characteristics of the USB digester sludge for its microbial activity on annual basis to correlate its performance and assess its efficiency.
- 2. The project proponent shall undertake a bench scale study for extraction of wax from press mud and later on use the findings of the bench scale study for pilot plant adaptation.

# 226.2. <u>Recommended for Review:</u>

# 226.2.1. Proposed 60 KLPD (Juice/ Syrup based) distillery, 6 TPD Bio CNG, 20 TPD fertilizer powder, 42 TPD CO2, captive Power generation of 3 KW/hr at Sy. Nos. 106/2 (P), 106/3,109/1& 109/3 of Alagawadi Village, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/IND2/48888/2019 (SEIAA 47 IND 2019)

Environmental Clearance has been issued letter No. SEIAA47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 for Establishment of Proposed 60 KLPD (Juice/ Syrup based) distillery, 6 TPD Bio CNG, 20 TPD fertilizer powder, 42 TPD CO<sub>2</sub>, captive Power generation of 3 MW/hr at Sy No. 106/2 (P), 106/3, 109/1 & 109/3 Alagawadi Village, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., 150/6, Vidya Nagar, Gokak Road, Harugeri, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka, 591220.

M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd, vide letter dated 13.12.2021 and 21.02.2022 requesting this Authority to restraining of EC No. SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd, have stated that M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., is located just their unit with in a radius of 1 KM. As per the Sugar Cane (Control) Order, 1966, a minimum distance of 15 Kms Radious must be there between two sugar units where Sugar Cane Crushing activities will be taken up.

Further, stated that M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd, is having valid IEM for establishment of Sugar plant whereas M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., does not have any valid IEM or any distance certificate which is mandatory to go for Sugar Cane Crushing to produce Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup to use the same as raw material/Feed Stock for Distillery Unit.

Further stated that the Ministry of the Consumer Affairs, Food and public Distribution made clarification regarding production of ethanol from Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup by standalone distilleries vide F No.4/1/2018- (BP&E) (Part) dated 13.01.2022 statin that only Sugar mills can produce Sugar Juice/Syrup required for Ethanol production and Khandasari units are not allowed to produce sugar Juice/Syrup.

In this regard, Commissioner for cane Development and Director of Sugar Wrote a letter to SEIAA stating that M/s Askins Bio Fuels Pvt. Ltd, is not eligible to utilize Sugarcane Juice-Syrup for production of ethanol and requested to cancel the EC SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

Drafted by

In this regard, M/s Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd,. requested to Withdraw the EC issued vide SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

The Authority perused the request made as above and felt that it is essential to make a proper assessment of facts to place on record. Under these circumstances the Authority decided to refer the matter to SEAC to go through the facts of the case and the complaint received comprehensively and submit the factual report as early as possible.

Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 for Establishment of Proposed 60 KLPD (Juice/ Syrup based) distillery, 6 TPD Bio CNG, 20 TPD fertilizer powder, 42 TPD CO<sub>2</sub>, captive Power generation of 3 MW/hr at Sy No. 106/2 (P), 106/3, 109/1 & 109/3 Alagawadi Village, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., 150/6, Vidya Nagar, Gokak Road, Harugeri, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka, 591220.

SEIAA has made the following observations.

"M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. vide letter dated 13.12.2021 and 21.02.2022 requesting this Authority to restraining of EC No. SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.

M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. have stated that M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., is located just their unit within a radius of 1 KM. As per the Sugar Cane (Control) Order, 1966, a minimum distance of 15 Kms radious must be therebetween two sugar units where Sugar Cane Crushing activities will be taken up.

Further, stated that M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. is having valid IEM for establishment of Sugar plant whereas M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., does not have any valid IEM or any distance certificate which is mandatory to go for Sugar Cane Crushing to produce Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup to use the same as raw material/Feed Stock for Distillery Unit.

Further stated that the Ministry of the Consumer Affairs, Food and public Distribution made clarification regarding production of ethanol from Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup by standalone distilleries vide F No.4/1/2018- (BP&E) (Part) dated 13.01.2022 stating that only Sugar mills can produce Sugar Juice/Syrup required for Ethanol production and Khandasari units are not allowed to produce sugar Juice/Syrup.

91 Drafted by Ke-

In this regard, Commissioner for cane Development and Director of Sugar Wrote a letter to SEIAA stating that M/s. Askins Bio Fuels Pvt. Ltd., is not eligible to utilize Sugarcane Juice-Syrup for production of ethanol and requested to cancel the EC SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

In this regard, M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. requested to Withdraw the EC issued vide SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

The Authority perused the request made as above and felt that it is essential to make a proper assessment of facts to place on record. Under these circumstances the Authority decided to refer the matter to SEAC to go through the facts of the case and the complaints received comprehensively and submit the factual report as early as possible."

The Committee also noted the letters of 03/01/2022 & 11/02/2022 written by Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar, GoK and addressed respectively to Chief Director of Sugar, GoI and to Chief Environmental Officer, KSPCB. In the said letter Commissioner informed about violation of Section 2(c)6A of Sugar Amendment Order, 2018 and asking KSPCB to cancel the CFE issued to M/s Askins Biofuel Pvt. Ltd.

During appraisal the proponent informed that the project proposal will not come under sugar industry category and requested the committee to give some more time to submit the justification for the same.

The committee considered the request made by proponent and decided to defer the proposal till the submission of the clarification by the proponent.

Background: Proceedings of 276<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting Held on 12<sup>th</sup>& 13<sup>th</sup> April 2022 is reproduces below.

"Environmental Clearance has been issued vide letter No. SEIAA47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 for Establishment of Proposed 60 KLPD (Juice/ Syrup based) distillery, 6 TPD Bio CNG, 20 TPD fertilizer powder, 42 TPD CO<sub>2</sub>, captive Power generation of 3 MW/hr at Sy No. 106/2 (P), 106/3, 109/1 & 109/3 of Alagawadi Village, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District by M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., 150/6, Vidya Nagar, Gokak Road, Harugeri, Raybag Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka, 591220.

Drafted by ka\_\_\_\_

Dated 27th October 2022

SEIAA has made the following observations.

"M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. vide letter dated 13.12.2021 and 21.02.2022 requesting this Authority to restraining of EC No. SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.

M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. have stated that M/s Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., is located just their unit within a radius of 1 KM. As per the Sugar Cane (Control) Order, 1966, a minimum distance of 15 Kms radious must be therebetween two sugar units where Sugar Cane Crushing activities will be taken up.

Further, stated that M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. is having valid IEM for establishment of Sugar plant whereas M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd., does not have any valid IEM or any distance certificate which is mandatory to go for Sugar Cane Crushing to produce Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup to use the same as raw material/Feed Stock for Distillery Unit.

Further stated that the Ministry of the Consumer Affairs, Food and public Distribution made clarification regarding production of ethanol from Sugar Cane Juice/Syrup by standalone distilleries vide F No.4/1/2018- (BP&E) (Part) dated 13.01.2022 stating that only Sugar mills can produce Sugar Juice/Syrup required for Ethanol production and Khandasari units are not allowed to produce sugar Juice/Syrup.

In this regard, Commissioner for cane Development and Director of Sugar Wrote a letter to SEIAA stating that M/s. Askins Bio Fuels Pvt. Ltd., is not eligible to utilize Sugarcane Juice-Syrup for production of ethanol and requested to cancel the EC SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 issued to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

In this regard, M/s. Alagawadi Bireshwara Sugars Pvt. Ltd. requested to Withdraw the EC issued vide SEIAA 47 IND 2019 dated 28.08.2020 to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd.,

The Authority perused the request made as above and felt that it is essential to make a proper assessment of facts to place on record. Under these circumstances the Authority decided to refer the matter to SEAC to go through the facts of the case and the complaints received comprehensively and submit the factual report as early as possible."

The Committee also noted the letters of 03/01/2022 & 11/02/2022 written by Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar, GoK and addressed respectively to Chief Director of Sugar, GoI and to Chief Environmental Officer, KSPCB.

Drafted by

Drafted by Ke

Dated 27th October 2022

In the said letter Commissioner informed about violation of Section 2(c)6A of Sugar Amendment Order, 2018 and asking KSPCB to cancel the CFE issued to M/s Askins Biofuel Pvt. Ltd.

During appraisal the proponent informed that the project proposal will not come under sugar industry category and requested the committee to give some more time to submit the justification for the same.

The committee considered the request made by proponent and decided to defer the proposal till the submission of the clarification by the proponent."

The proposal was considered in the present meeting based on the Orders of Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar dated 25.07.2022 and request letter of M/s Alagawadi Bireshwar Sugars Pvt. Ltd.

For the present meeting M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. had sent letter dated 12.10.2022, requesting to consider this subject in next SEAC meeting.

The committee in the present meeting considered the subject based on the Orders of Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar dated 25.07.2022, where in it is mentioned that,

The Member Secretary, State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) is requested as under,

M/s. Askin Bio Fuels private Limited is not eligible to utilize the sugarcane juice/syrup for production of Ethanol. There is no provision to issue Environment Clearance (EC) by considering the parameters viz. Sugarcane juice/ syrup to M/s Askins Bio Fuels Pvt. Ltd. Hence in view of this the EC issued may be reviewed.

The committee noted that M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. has obtained EC from SEIAA on 28.08.2020 for establishment of 60KLPD (Juice / Syrup based) distillery, where the raw material is sugarcane juice, but as per Orders of Commissioner for Cane Development and Director of Sugar dated 25.07.2022, it appears that M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. is not eligible to use sugarcane juice / syrup for production of ethanol. In the above context the committee after discussion and deliberation decided to withdraw the EC issued to M/s. Askins Biofuels Pvt. Ltd. and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for further necessary action.

Dated 27th October 2022

The Authority perused the proceedings and it was observed that the decision has been taken in the absence of the project proponent. The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC and directed SEAC to provide an opportunity to the project proponent to explain their position in the matter.

# 226.3 Additional Agenda (With the Permission of Chair)

## (Miscellaneous Projects):

226.3.1. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. Basavarajaiah. B, for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 59 (P) of Sulivara Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by DEIAA Bengaluru Urban District over an extent of 1-36 acres in favour of M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher - (SEIAA 08 MISC 2022).

Environmental Clearance has been issued by DEIAA, Bengaluru Urban District vide letter No. DEIAA/BNGU/ /2016-17 dated 23.09.2017 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy. No. 59 (P) of Sulivara Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District to Sri. Basavarajaiah. B.

M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher vide letter dated 18.02.2022 have requested for transfer of EC granted to Sri. Basavarajaiah. B. in favour of M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher as quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher through the Dept of Mines and Geology.

The Letter has been addressed to District Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District on 28.02.2022 to Procure Original File. Accordingly, Original file has been issued by this office on 17.10.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Sri. Saraswathi Stone Crusher relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. Basavarajaiah. B.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.

Drafted by k

96

226.3.2. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. R Raghavendra for quarrying of Building Stone / M-Sand in Sy No, 29 (P), Huluvenahalli Village, Bangalore South Taluk, bengalore Urban District, Karnataka in favor of M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit. (SEIAA 39 MISC 2022).

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA Bengaluru Urban District vide letter No. DEIAA/BNGU/2016-17 dated 23.09.2017 for quarrying of Building Stone / M-Sand in Sy No, 29 (P), Huluvenahalli Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Karnataka to Sri. R Raghavendra.

M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit vide letter dated 30.06.2022 have requested for transfer of EC granted to Sri. R Raghavendra. In favour of M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit as the quarry lease has been transferred to M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Letter has been addressed to District Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District on 04.07.2022 to Procure Original File. Accordingly, Original file has been issued by this office on 17.10.2022.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit and decided to transfer the EC in favour M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Cheluva Narayanaswamy Stone Crusher & Manufacturing Sand Unit relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. R Raghavendra)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.

Drafted by Ka-

- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 226.3.3. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. D S Manjunatha for quarrying of Building Stone/ M-Sand at Sy No. 130 of Dodderi Village & Sy No. 129 of Chikkanahalli Village, Bangalore South Taluk & Bangalore Urban District by DEIAA Bengaluru Urban District in total extent of Iand 2-00 Acres in favor of Smt Asha G S. (SEIAA 31 MISC 2022).

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project by DEIAA Bengaluru Urban District vide letter No. DEIAA/BNGU/2016-17 dated 07.12.2018 for quarrying of

Dated 27th October 2022

Building Stone/ M-Sand at Sy No. 130 of Dodderi Village & Sy No. 129 of Chikkanahalli Village, Bangalore South Taluk & Bangalore Urban District to Sri. D S Manjunatha.

Smt Asha G S. (Legal heir), W/o. Late. Sri. D S Manjunatha vide letter dated 09.05.2022 have informed that her husband Sri. D S Manjunathadied on 18.04.2021 and therefore The Department of Mines and Geology vide order dated 07.06.2021 have held Smt Asha G S. as the legal heir for continuing the quarrying business of Sri. Manjunatha Granites due to his demise. Smt Asha G S., W/o. Late. Sri. D S Manjunatha have requested this Authority for transfer of Environment Clearance dated 07.12.2018 granted by DEIAA, Bengaluru Urban District in favour of her husband Sri. D S Manjunatha to her name to facilitate continuing the quarry business.

The Letter has been addressed to District Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District on 25.05.2022 to Procure Original File. Accordingly, Original file has been issued by this office on 17.10.2022.

The Authority after discussion decided to transfer the EC dated 07.12.2018 in favour of Smt Asha G S. (Legal heir), W/o. Late. Sri. D S Manjunatha subject to the following conditions

1. Notorized Copy of EC

Drafted by 🛌

- 2. Notorized copy of the Death certificate of Late Sri. D S Manjunatha.
- 226.3.4. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to Sri. C H Selvaraj for Quarrying of Grey Granite at Sy. No. 9 in Gudshihalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District in favor of Sri. Manjunatha Granites. -SEIAA 290 MIN 2019.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this roject vide letter No. SEIAA 290 MIN 2019 dated 13.08.2019 for quarrying of Grey Granite at Sy. No. 9 in Gudshihalli Village, Chikkaballapura Taluk & District to Sri. C H Selvaraj.

Sri. Manjunatha Granites vide letter dated 15.08.2022 requested for transfer of EC granted to Sri. C H Selvaraj in favour of Sri. Manjunatha Granites as the quarry lease has been transferred to Sri. Manjunatha Granites through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by Sri. Manjunatha Granites and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Manjunatha Granites subject to the following conditions

#### Dated 27th October 2022

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri. Manjunatha Granites relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. C H Selvaraj)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 226.3.5. Request for transfer of Environmental Clearance granted to M/s Jubilee Granites India Pvt, Ltd and subsequently transfer to M/s Nandini Stone Impex for quarrying of Black Granite at Sy No.335/1, 336/1, 336/2,337 & 339/1 Of Terakanambi Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagara District in favour of Sri. Kamleshkumar G Patel S/o Sri. Gopaldas Chhagan Bhai Kundaliya – SEIAA 16 MIN 2015.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No SEIAA 16 MIN 2015 for quarrying of Black Granite at Sy No.335/1, 336/1, 336/2,337 & 339/1 Of Terakanambi Village, Gundlupet Taluk, Chamarajanagara District to M/s Jubilee Granites India Pvt, Ltd and subsequently transfer to M/s Nandini Stone Impex on 22.08.2022.

Now, M/s Nandini Stone Impex vide letter dated 21.10.2022 requested for transfer of EC in favour of Sri. Kamleshkumar G Patel S/o Sri. Gopaldas Chhagan Bhai Kundaliya as the quarry lease has been transferred to Sri. Kamleshkumar G Patel S/o Sri. Gopaldas Chhagan Bhai Kundaliya through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Nandini Stone Impex and decided to transfer the EC in favour Sri. Kamleshkumar G Patel S/o Sri. Gopaldas Chhagan Bhai Kundaliya subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of Sri. Kamleshkumar G Patel S/o Sri. Gopaldas Chhagan Bhai Kundaliya relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Nandini Stone Impex)
- *ii.* Notarised Copy of EC.
- *iii.* Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 226.3.6. Proposed Development of commercial Building "Prestige Tech Zone" At Sy. No's, 30/2, 31, 32/1, 32/2, 36/1, 38, 39/1, 39/2, 39/3, 40/1, 40/2, 40/3 of Kundalahalli village, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore

Drafted by ke

Dated 27th October 2022

# by M/s. Prestige Office ventures – SEIAA 51 CON 2020 – Request for issue Corrigendum to ToR.

Terms of Reference letter has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 51 CON 2020 dated 17.04.2020 for Proposed Development of commercial Building "Prestige Tech Zone" At Sy. No's 30/2, 31, 32/1, 32/2, 36/1, 38, 39/1, 39/2, 39/3, 40/1, 40/2, 40/3 of Kundalahalli village, K.R. Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore to M/s. Prestige Office ventures.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 09.09.2022 have requested this Authority for issue amendment to ToR due to change in plan plot area has been reduced from 84,765.78 Sqm to 75,120.67 Sqm and BUA reduced from 2,38,083.92 Sqm to 2,36,436.73 Sqm. The Building configuration has been changed from 5 Blocks having 3B+G+6UF and 3 amenity buildings with 2B+G+1F to Building 1,2,3 & 4 having 2B + G + 6 UF.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents, after discussion decided to issue corrigendum to ToR as requested by the project proponent.

# 226.3.7. Construction of mall project called "Mantri Mall" at Municipal No. 5 & 5/1, Koramangala Village, Bangalore South, Bangalore by M/s. Mantri Habitats Pvt. Ltd. – SEIAA 171 CON 2011 – Request for issue corrigendum to EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 171 CON 2021 dated 17.05.2012 for Construction of mall project called "Mantri Mall" at Municipal No. 5 & 5/1, Koramangala Village, Bangalore South, Bangalore to M/s. Mantri Habitats Pvt. Ltd.

The Project proponent vide letter dated 27.10.2022 request for issue amendment to EC dated 17.05.2012 as change in plan BUA has been increased from 42,711.93 Sqm to 44,104.55 Sqm and no change in building configuration only changing usage of  $4^{th}$  5<sup>th</sup> & 6<sup>th</sup> Floor from Multiplex to Office purpose.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents, after discussion decided to issue corrigendum to EC as requested by the project proponent.

Drafted by

Dated 27th October 2022

# 226.3.8. Payment of legal Charges to Shri D Nagaraj Advocate - regarding.

Shri D Nagaraj Advocate of the Authority have submitted a letter dated 29.03.2022 and 17.05.2022 along with detail of the case attended, professional charges, appearance charges and the travelling charges that need to be reimbursed. He has claimed Rs.25,000/-, Rs.25000/- and Rs,25,000/- towards the professional charges of the cases pertaining to the W. P No. 15525/2021, W. P No. 6650/2020 and W. P No. 1547/2022 filed before Hon'ble Court. The total amount claimed by Shri D Nagaraj Advocate is Rs.75,000/-

The Authority perused the above details and decided to accord approval for payment of legal charges as mentioned above to the advocate, Shri D Nagaraj

Meeting concluded with thanks to the Chair.

(Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha) Chairman, SEIAA, Karnataka

(K. N. Shivalinge Gowda) Member, SEIAA, Karnataka

Vijay Mohan Raj V, 175) Member Secretary, SEIAA, Karnataka

