

### Minutes of 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 Meeting Dated 23/02/2024

The 837<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC-1 was held in the Directorate of Environment, U.P. through dual-mode (physically/virtually) at 10:00 AM on 23/02/2024. Following members participated in the meeting:

- |    |                            |                          |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Shri Rajive Kumar,         | Chairman, SEAC           |
| 2. | Dr. Ratan Kar,             | Member, SEAC-1           |
| 3. | Dr. Brij Bihari Awasthi,   | Member, SEAC-1           |
| 4. | Shri Umesh Chandra Sharma, | Member, SEAC-1           |
| 5. | Shri Ashish Tiwari,        | Member-Secretary, SEAC-1 |
| 6. | Dr. S.K. Goyal,            | Member, NEERI            |

The Chairman welcomed the members to the 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC-1 meeting which was conducted via dual-mode (virtually/physically). Nodal Officer, SEAC-1 informed the committee that the agenda has been approved by the Member Secretary, SEAC-1/Director, Directorate of Environment.

1. **Building stone Sand Stone Mining Project at Arazi No.- 732, Sl. No.- 37, Village- Bhagauti Dei, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Shri Vijeta Singh, Area 0.910 ha., 8619/7458/SIA/UP/MIN/456473/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Building Stone / Sandstone Mining Project at Arazi No.- 732, S. No.- 37, Village- Bhagauti Dei, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur (U.P.), (Leased Area 0.910 Ha).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 382/Parya/SEIAA/7458/2022 Dated 24/03/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 06/10/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 23/12/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/456473/2023		
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8619/7458		
3.	Name of Proponent	Prop. Smt Vijeta Singh		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent	R/o Patel Naga, Garoudi, Thana- Adalhat Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh		
5.	Name of Project	Bhagauti Dei Building Stone / Sandstone Mining Project		
6.	Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Arazi No. 732, S. No. 37		
7.	Name of Village	Bhagauti Dei		
8.	Tehsil	Chunar		
9.	District	Mirzapur		
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone/ Sandstone		
11.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	0.910 Ha		
12.	Max & Min mRL within lease area	Max- 107.0 mRL and Min- 99.0 mRL		
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	<b>Pillar No</b>	<b>Latitude (N)</b>	<b>Longitude (E)</b>
		A	25° 03'17.18"N	82°59'23.96"E
		B	25° 03'17.17"N	82°59'29.31"E
		C	25°03'15.28"N	82°59'29.37"E
		D	25° 03'15.18"N	82°59'23.93"E
14.	Total Geological Reserves	2,72,099 Cum		
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	1,46,997Cum		
16.	Total Proposed Production(in five year)	1,36,500 Cum		

17.	Proposed Production/year	27,300 Cum/year	
18.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	Maximum 20 years	
19.	No. of workers	24	
20.	Type of Land	Government waste land	
21.	Ultimate depth of mining	24	
22.	Nearest metalled road from site	1.0 km	
23.	Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)
		Drinking	0.24
		Suppression of dust	4.08
		Plantation	9.10
		Others	0.24
		Total	5.47
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.1922, Valid Upto 09 September 2026.	
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No	
26.	Details of 500 m Cluster Map &certificate issued by Mining Officer	Yes, certified, 2265/Khanij/2022-23 Dated 30/11/2022	
27.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Yes, given in the DSR 2855/Khanij/DSR/2023 Dated 04/02/2023	
28.	Proposed EMP cost	EMP Recurring Cost =Rs. 6,11,000/-	
29.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	Length: 340 m, width: 6 m	
30.	No. of Trees to be Planted	910 plants	
31.	Monitoring Period	March, 2023 to May, 2023	

**5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:**

S. No.	Activity	Capital Cost (in Rs.)
1	Installtion of Computer in Primary School of Village-Bhagautidie	70,000
2	Distribution of school bags and books in Primary School of Village-Bhagautidie	11,000
3	School Bench in Primary School of Village-Bhagautidie	12,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>93,000</b>

Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 21/02/2024 mentioning is as follows:

- I, Ankur Sharma, S/o Lalit Mohan Sharma is EIA Coordinator of Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.
- I have prepared EIA/EMP project for the proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/456473/2023), File No. 8619-7458 of Building Stone / Sandstone Mining Project at Arazi No.- 732, S. No.- 37, Village-Bhagauti Dei, Tehsil- Chunar, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.), (Leased Area 0.910 Ha) with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with application/EIA/EMP project preparation are true and correct.
- I certify that this project has been uploaded for first time on Parivesh portal.

6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 01**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in

consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.

10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.

**2. “Building stone(Sandstone)” Project at Arazi No.-21/2,Village- Latifpur, Tehsil-Chunar, District-Mirzapur, Shri Dildar, Area: 0.809 ha., 8621/7276/SIA/UP/MIN/456501/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s AWS Envirotech (OPC) Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Building Stone (Sandstone) Mine from Gata No.- 21/2, Village- Latifpur, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, Sanctioned Lease Area- 0.809 ha., (Area: 0.809 Ha).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 347/Parya/SEIAA/7276/2022, dated 17/02/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 09/11/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 23/12/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/456501/2023
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8621/7276
3.	Name of Proponent	Shri Dildar
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent	R/o- Near Nai Bazar Chauraha, Ahraura, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh
		E-mail Id- dildarmohanlal5418@gmail.com
5.	Name of Project	“Building stone (Sandstone) Mine” at Village- Latifpur, Gata No. 21/2, Lease Area- 0.809 Ha., Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, Project Proponent- Shri Dildar
6.	Project Location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Gata No.- 21/2, Village- Latifpur, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh
7.	Name of Village	Latifpur
8.	Tehsil	Chunar
9.	District	Mirzapur
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone (Sandstone)
11.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	0.809 ha.

12.	Max. & Min mRL within lease area	Highest mRL is 128.80 mRL & Lowest is 126.80 mRL																	
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	<b>Coordinates of the sanctioned lease area</b> <table><tr><th>Pillars</th><th>N</th><th>E</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>24°59'13.10"N</td><td>83°01'11.24"E</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>24°59'13.91"N</td><td>83°01'15.66"E</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>24°59'10.95"N</td><td>83°01'16.61"E</td></tr><tr><td>D</td><td>24°59'11.63"N</td><td>83°01'12.66"E</td></tr></table>			Pillars	N	E	A	24°59'13.10"N	83°01'11.24"E	B	24°59'13.91"N	83°01'15.66"E	C	24°59'10.95"N	83°01'16.61"E	D	24°59'11.63"N	83°01'12.66"E
Pillars	N	E																	
A	24°59'13.10"N	83°01'11.24"E																	
B	24°59'13.91"N	83°01'15.66"E																	
C	24°59'10.95"N	83°01'16.61"E																	
D	24°59'11.63"N	83°01'12.66"E																	
14.	Total Geological Reserves	3,16,623 m <sup>3</sup>																	
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	1,27,080 m <sup>3</sup>																	
16.	Total Proposed Production (in five years)	121,350 m <sup>3</sup> (in 5 years)																	
17.	Proposed Production/year	24,270 m <sup>3</sup> /Year																	
18.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	5 Years																	
19.	No. of workers	52																	
20.	Type of Land	Govt. Land																	
21.	Ultimate Depth of Mining	28 m (Upto 100 mRL)																	
22.	Nearest metalled road from site	50 m																	
23.	Water Requirement	<b>PURPOSE</b>	<b>REQUIREMENT (KLD)</b>																
		Drinking	0.52 KLD																
		Suppression of dust	0.40 KLD																
		Plantation	0.60 KLD																
		Others (if any)	-																
		<b>Total</b>	<b>1.52 KLD</b>																
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No. and period of validity.	<b>AWS Envirotech (OPC) Pvt. Ltd.</b> <b>Certificate no. NABET/EIA/2225/IA 0097(Rev.01)</b> <b>Valid Till July 14, 2025</b>																	
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No																	
26.	Details of 500 m Cluster Certificate verified by Mining Officer	Vide Letter No.- 1619/khanij/2022-23 Dated- 13.09.2022																	
27.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Approved DSR vide dated: 22.06.2019, at Pg.No. 56, Sl. No. 111, In Corrigendum vide letter no. 2348/Khanij/DSR/2022 dated on 12.12.2022																	
28.	Proposed EMP cost	EMP Cost is Rs. 6.35 Lakhs as capital cost and Rs. 3.1 Lakhs per annum as recurring cost																	
29.	Length and Breadth of Haul Road	100m & 6 m																	
30.	No. of Trees to be Planted	300																	
31.	Monitoring Period	December 2022 - February 2023 (Winter Season)																	

5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

Year	Details of work to be taken up	Amount allocated in Lakhs (Rs.)
First year	Installation of 3 solar Street light along village road in Latifpur village, free half yearly health check-up camp for residents of Latifpur village.	<b>Total 8.8 lakhs in 5 years</b> (Cost per unit- Rs. 40000/-Solar street light assembly, Rs. 30000/Hand pump establishment, Rs 50000/-Health check up camp)
Second year	Installation of 3 hand pumps in Latifpur village and free half yearly health check-up camp for residents of Latifpur village.	
Third year	Installation of 2 solar Street light along village road in Latifpur village, free half yearly health check-up camp for residents of Latifpur village.	
Fourth year	Installation of 3 hand pumps in Latifpur village and free half yearly health check-up camp for residents of Latifpur village.	
Fifth year	Free half yearly health check-up camp for residents of Latifpur village.	
Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.		

6. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.

7. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
8. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
9. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
10. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 02/01/2024 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Sandeep Kumar, S/o Shri R.K. Verma is EIA Coordinator of M/s AWS Envirotech (OPC) Pvt. Ltd.
2. I have prepared EIA report for the Building Stone (Sandstone) Mine from Gata No.- 21/2, Village- Latifpur, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, Sanctioned Lease Area- 0.809 ha., (Area: 0.809 Ha) with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with Application/EIA are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project has been uploaded for this first time on Parivesh portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/ NABET.

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 02**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.

5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.
10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.

3. **Building stone Sand Stone Mining Project Gata No.- 1१, Sl. No. 57, Village- Sonpur, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Shri Deepak Singh, Area 0.809 ha., 8625/7496/SIA/UP/MIN/456695/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project at Gata No 1१, Kramank No. 57, Village- Sonpur, Tehsil- Chunar, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.) Prop. Shri Deepak Singh (Leased Area 0.809 Ha).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 184/Parya/SEIAA/7496/2022 Dated 18/07/2023.

3. The Public Hearing was organized on 30/09/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 26/12/2023.

4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/456695/2023		
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8625/7496		
3.	Name of Proponent	Prop. Shri Deepak Singh		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent	R/o Kharka, Adhwar, District- Mirzapur, and State-Uttar Pradesh		
5.	Name of Project	Sonpur Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project		
6.	Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Gata No 1१, Kramank No. 57		
7.	Name of Village	Sonpur		
8.	Tehsil	Chunar		
9.	District	Mirzapur		
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone/ Sandstone		
11.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	0.809 Ha		
12.	Max & Min mRL within lease area	Max- 118 mRL and Min- 111 mRL		
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillar No	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
		A	25° 03'05.9"N	82°59'23.6"E
		B	25° 03'06.1"N	82°59'27.3"E
		C	25°03'03.3"N	82°59'27.2"E
		D	25° 03'03.2"N	82°59'23.7"E
14.	Total Geological Reserves	3,30,360 Cum		
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	1,85,251 Cum		
16.	Total Proposed Production(in five year)	1,21,350 Cum		
17.	Proposed Production/year	24,270 Cum/year		
18.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	Maximum 20 years		
19.	No. of workers	23		
20.	Type of Land	Government waste land		
21.	Ultimate depth of mining	42		
22.	Nearest metalled road from site	1.0 km		
23.	Water Requirement	PURPOSE		REQUIREMENT (KLD)
		Drinking		0.23
		Suppression of dust		3.22
		Plantation		0.809
		Others		0.23
		Total		4.49
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.1922, Valid Upto 09 September 2026.		
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No		
26.	Details of 500 m Cluster Map &certificate issued by Mining Officer	Yes, certified, 2266/Khanij/2022-23 Dated 30-11-2022		
27.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Yes, given in the DSR Page No. 10		
28.	Proposed EMP cost	EMP Recurring Cost =Rs. 6,09,000/-		
29.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	Length: 268 m, width: 6 m		
30.	No. of Trees to be Planted	809 plants		
31.	Monitoring Period	March, 2023 to May, 2023		

5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

Sl. No.	Activity	Capital Cost (in Rs.)	Quantity
1	Provide drinking water facility in surrounding villages by installing water tanks in surrounding villages-Sonpur	30,000	Tank of 10,000 Capacity
2	Solar lamp distribution in Village-Sonpur	20,000	10
3	Installation of Computer in nearby School of Village Sonpur	41,000	1
TOTAL (in life time)		<b>91,000</b>	



Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.

6. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
7. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
8. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
9. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
10. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 21/02/2024 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Ankur Sharma, S/o Lalit Mohan Sharma is EIA Coordinator of Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.
2. I have prepared EIA/EMP project for the proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/456695/2023), Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project at Gata No 1१, Kramank No. 57, Village- Sonpur, Tehsil- Chunar, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.) Prop. Shri Deepak Singh (Leased Area 0.809 Ha) with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with application/EIA/EMP project preparation are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project has been uploaded for first time on Parivesh portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 03**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @ 1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.

3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
  4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
  5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
  6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
  7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
  8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
  9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.
  10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
  11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
  12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
  13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
  14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
  15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
  16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.
4. **Building stone Sand Stone Mining project at Gata No.- 1537 Mi./9, Sl. No.- 10, Village-Bahuti, Tehsil- Marihan, District: Mirzapur, Shri Dashrath Yadav, Area: 1.214 ha., 8627/7952/SIA/UP/MIN/456671/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.1922. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Bahuti Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project at Gata No.- 1537mi/9, Sl. No.- 10, Village- Bahuti, Tehsil- Marihan, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.) Prop. Shri Dashrath Yadav (Leased Area 1.214 Ha)
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 201/Parya/SEIAA/7952/2023 Dated 21/08/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 10/11/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 27/12/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/456671/2023		
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8627/7952		
3.	Name of Proponent	Prop. Shri Dashrath Yadav		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent	R/o Kotwa Pandey, Tehsil- Sadar, District- Mirzapur, and State-Uttar Pradesh		
5.	Name of Project	Bahuti Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project		
6.	Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Gata No 1537mi/9, Sl. No. 10		
7.	Name of Village	Bahuti		
8.	Tehsil	Marihan		
9.	District	Mirzapur		
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone/ Sandstone		
11.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	1.214 Ha		
12.	Max & Min mRL within lease area	Max- 166.0 mRL and Min- 163.0 mRL		
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillar No	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
		A	24° 59'52.70"N	82°32'28.10"E
		B	24° 59'52.00"N	82°32'33.80"E
		C	24° 59'49.70"N	82°32'33.30"E
		D	24° 59'50.00"N	82°32'27.50"E
14.	Total Geological Reserves	3,61,029 Cum		
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	2,00,184 Cum		
16.	Total Proposed Production(in five year)	1,50,000 Cum		
17.	Proposed Production/year	30,000 Cum		
18.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	Maximum 10 years (Mining Plan period for 5 years)		
19.	No. of workers	24		
20.	Type of Land	Government waste land		
21.	Ultimate depth of mining	30		
22.	Nearest metalled road from site	1.0 km		
23.	Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)	
		Drinking	0.24	
		Suppression of dust	5.11	
		Plantation	1.22	
		Others	0.24	
		Total	6.81	
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.1922, Valid Upto 09 September 2026.		
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No		
26.	Details of 500 m Cluster Map &certificate issued by Mining Officer	Yes, certified, 4045/MMC-30/2022-23, dated- 17/04/2023		
27.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Yes, given in the DSR 4160/Khanij/DSR/2023, Dated 26/04/2023		
28.	Proposed EMP cost	EMP Recurring Cost =Rs. 6,29,000/-		
29.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	Length: 426 m, width: 6 m		
30.	No. of Trees to be Planted	1214 plants		
31.	Monitoring Period	March, 2023 to May, 2023		

5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

Sl. No.	Activity	Capital Cost (in Rs.)
1	Construction of Public Ladies and gents Toilets	80,000
2	School bags and books distribution in nearby Primary School	25,000
3	Hand sanitizer, mask, gloves distribution	6,000

<b>TOTAL (in life time)</b>	<b>1,11,000</b>
Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.	

6. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
7. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
8. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
9. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
10. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 21/02/2024 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Ankur Sharma, S/o Lalit Mohan Sharma is EIA Coordinator of Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.
2. I have prepared EIA/EMP project for the proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/456671/2023), Bahuti Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project at Gata No.- 1537mi/9, Sl. No.- 10, Village- Bahuti, Tehsil- Marihan, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.) Prop. Shri Dashrath Yadav (Leased Area 1.214 Ha) with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with application/EIA/EMP project preparation are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project has been uploaded for first time on Parivesh portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 04**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than

- the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
  4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
  5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
  6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
  7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
  8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
  9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.
  10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
  11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
  12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
  13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
  14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
  15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
  16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.
5. **Building stone Sand Stone Mining Project at Gata No.- 1, Sl. No.- 36, Village- Rampur Dhabahi, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Smt. Nilama Singh, Area 1.010 ha., 8635/7599/SIA/UP/MIN/457111/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and

presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Rampur Dhabahi Building stone/Sandstone Mining project at Gata No. 1, S. No. 36, Village- Rampur Dhabahi, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur (U.P.), (Leased Area 1.01 Ha).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 48/Parya/SEIAA/7599/2022 Dated 23/05/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 06/10/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 29/12/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/457111/2023		
2.	File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8635/7599		
3.	Name of Proponent	Prop. Smt Nilma Singh		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent	R/o Choukiya, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh.		
5.	Name of Project	Rampur Dhabahi Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project		
6.	Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Gata No. 1, S. No. 36		
7.	Name of Village	Rampur Dhabahi		
8.	Tehsil	Chunar		
9.	District	Mirzapur		
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone/ Sandstone		
11.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	1.01 Ha		
12.	Max & Min mRL within lease area	Max- 111.0 mRL and Min- 104.0 mRL		
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillar No	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
		A	25° 02'38.9"N	82°58'34.4"E
		B	25° 02'39.7 "N	82°58'38.9"E
		C	25°02'36.8"N	82°58'39.0"E
		D	25° 02'37.6"N	82°58'37.4"E
		E	25° 02'36.4"N	82°58'35.1"E
14.	Total Geological Reserves	3,17,616 Cum		
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	1,60,408 Cum		
16.	Total Proposed Production(in five year)	1,51,500 Cum		
17.	Proposed Production/year	30,300 Cum		
18.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	Maximum 10 years		
19.	No. of workers	20		
20.	Type of Land	Government waste land		
21.	Ultimate depth of mining	30		
22.	Nearest metalled road from site	0.80 km		
23.	Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)	
		Drinking	0.20	
		Suppression of dust	3.36	
		Plantation	1.01	
		Others	0.20	
		Total	4.77	
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.1922, Valid Upto 09 September 2026.		
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No		
26.	Details of 500 m Cluster Map &certificate issued by Mining Officer	Yes, certified, 2586/Khanij/2023-24 Dated 07/01/23		
27.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Yes, given in the DSR Page no. 68 Serial No. 177		
28.	Proposed EMP cost	EMP Recurring Cost =Rs. 5,90,000/-		
29.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	Length: 280 m, width: 6 m		
30.	No. of Trees to be Planted	1010 plants		
31.	Monitoring Period	October 2022 to December 2022		

**5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:**

Sl. No.	Activity	Capital Cost (in Rs.)	Quantity
1	Provide drinking water facility in surrounding villages by installing water tanks in surrounding villages, Rampur Dhabahi	25,000	1 water tank capacity of 1000L
2	Solar lamp distribution	20,000	10
3	Installation of Computer in nearby School of Village Rampur Dhabhi	37,000	1
TOTAL (in life time)		82,000	
Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.			

6. The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
7. This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
8. The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
9. There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
10. The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 21/02/2024 mentioning is as follows:

1. I, Ankur Sharma, S/o Lalit Mohan Sharma is EIA Coordinator of Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.
2. I have prepared EIA/EMP project for the proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/456671/2023), Rampur Dhabahi Building stone/Sandstone Mining project at Gata No. 1, S. No. 36, Village- Rampur Dhabahi, Tehsil- Chunar, District- Mirzapur (U.P.), (Leased Area 1.01 Ha) with my team.
3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with application/EIA/EMP project preparation are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project has been uploaded for first time on Parivesh portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 05**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.

2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.
10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.



**6. “Building Stone, Granite Khanda, Gitti, Boulder Deposit” located at Araj No.- 93 (Khand No. - 10), Village- Bari, Tehsil- Sadar & District Mahoba, Shri Islam Uddin, Area- 1.012 Ha., 8637/7931/SIA/UP/MIN/457193/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P). Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for “Building Stone, Granite Khanda, Gitti, Boulder Deposit” at Araj No.- 93 (Khand No. - 10), Village- Bari, Tehsil- Sadar & District Mahoba, U.P., (Leased Area- 1.012 Ha.).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 171/Parya/SEIAA/7931/2023 dated 07/07/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 27/10/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 30/12/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent.				
1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/457193/2023		
2.	File no. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8637-7931		
3.	Name of proponent	Shri Islam Uddin S/o- Shri Shamsuddin		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent.	Mo. Uprash, Kasba & Thana- Maudaha, Dist: Hamirpur (U.P.)		
5.	Name of Project	Building Stone, Granite Khanda, Gitti, Boulder Mining Project		
6.	Project location (Gata No.)	Arazi No. 93 (Khand – 10)		
7.	Name of Village	Bari		
8.	Tehsil	Sadar		
9.	District.	Mahoba		
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone, Granite Khanda, Gitti, Boulder		
11.	Sanction Lease Area (in Ha.)	1.012 Ha.		
12.	Max. & Min mRL within lease area	0.8 Ha. (Safety Margin 0.212 Ha.)		
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)			
		Pillar	Latitude(N)	Longitude(E)
		A	25°24'55.83"N	79°55'11.75"E
		B	25°24'55.58"N	79°55'15.94"E
		C	25°24'51.30"N	79°55'15.40"E
		D	25°24'54.22"N	79°55'11.83"E
	E	25°24'54.40"N	79°55'12.01"E	
14.	Total Geological Reserves	2,41,990 m <sup>3</sup>		
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	1,70,170 m <sup>3</sup>		
16.	Total Proposed Production (in five year)	1,61,920 m <sup>3</sup> for 5 years		
17.	Proposed production / year	32,384 m <sup>3</sup> per year		
18.	Sanction Period of Mine lese	10 years		
19.	No. of workers	Approximately 35 workers		
20.	Type of Land	Government Land (Rocky )		
21.	Ultimate depth of mining	30 m as per approved mining plan		
22.	Nearest metalled road from Site	NH34 which is running about 8.4 Km away in East direction.		
23.	Water requirement	PURPOSE		REQUIREMENT
		Drinking water		0.45
		Dust suppression		3.42
		Plantation		2.0
		Others (if any)		-
		Total		5.87
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No. and period of validity.	Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P) Certificate No. NABET/ EIA/ 1922/RA 0200		

		(Rev01) and valid upto 18 <sup>th</sup> May, 2024
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court.	No
26.	Detail of 500 m Cluster certificate verified by mining officer	Letter No. 3112/एम०एम०सी०-30/2022-23 Date-17/02/2023
27.	Detail of Lease Area in approved DSR	DSR report Serial No.97
28.	Proposed EMP cost	Rs 14,88,745/-
29.	Length and breadth of Haul Road	380 m length and 6.0 m width
30.	No. of Trees to be planted.	2000
31.	Monitoring Period.	1 March 2023 to 31 May 2023

**5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Estimated Cost	Numbers/ Quantity	Total Cost
1	Distribution of Wooden Furniture (Bench & chair) For students of primary school	Rs. 4000 per piece@1 chair&table Total 10 piece	-	40,000
2	Installation of Solar light	Rs. 20,000 per Solar light	Rs. 20,000 × 1 Solar light	20,000
3	Health Check-up camps for the workers.	Six monthly		50,000
4	Haul Road Maintenance			50,000
Total CER Budget				1,60,000/-

Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 29/11/2023 mentioning is as follows:

- I, Brij Mohan Singh Negi is EIA Coordinator of M/s Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P.), Accreditation Certificate No. NABET/ EIA/ 1922/RA 0200 (Rev 01), valid till-18/05/2024.
- I have prepared EIA report for the Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/432269/2023, (FILE NO.- 7952) Prop. - Shri Islam Uddin S/o - Shri Shamshudin R/O- Mo. Upraush, Kasba & Thana- Maudaha, District- Hamirpur (U.P.), proposed "Building Stone, Granite Khanda, Gitti, Boulder Mining Project of Araj No. 93 (Khand - 10) Village-Bari, Tehsil- Sadar District- Mahoba, Uttar Pradesh with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no Mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I have satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for EIA/EMP presentations are true and correct.
- I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal.
- I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
- I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report. (if and as required)
- The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 06**

The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.
10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.

11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.

**7. Building stone Sand Stone Mining project at Arazi No.- 732 (Sl. No.- 33) Village- Bhagautidei, Tehsil- Chunar, District: Mirzapur, Shri Rajesh Bhai Patel, M/s Shri RBP Industries Private Limited, Area: 1.01 ha., 8643/7094/SIA/UP/MIN/457277/2023**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Building Stone (Sandstone) Mining project at Arazi No.-732, Sl. No.- 33, Village- Bhagautidei, Tehsil- Chunar, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.), (Leased Area 1.01 Ha).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 149/Parya/SEIAA/7094/2022 Dated 04/08/2022.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 12/06/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 31/12/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/457277/2023		
File No. allotted by SEIAA, UP	8643/7094		
Name of Proponent	M/s Shri RBP Industries Private Limited Prop. Shri Rajesh Bhai Patel		
Full correspondence address of proponent	R/o Ramrasahi, Patihata, District- Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh.		
Name of Project	Bhagautidei Building Stone/Sandstone Mining Project		
Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Arazi No.732, Sl. No. 33		
Name of Village	Bhagautidei		
Tehsil	Chunar		
District	Mirzapur		
Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone/ Sandstone		
Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	1.01 Ha		
Max & Min mRL within lease area	Max- 110.0 mRL and Min- 104.0 mRL		
Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillar No	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
	A	25° 03'24.6"N	82°59'36.1"E
	B	25° 03'24.5"N	82°59'38.2"E
	C	25° 03'18.1"N	82°59'39.2"E
	D	25° 03'18.1"N	82°59'37.1"E
Total Geological Reserves	3,81,375 Cum		
Total Mineable Reserves	92,865 Cum		
Total Proposed Production(in five year)	50,500 Cum		
Proposed Production/year	10,100 Cum		
Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	Maximum 20 years		

No. of workers	32	
Type of Land	Government waste land	
Ultimate depth of mining	24	
Nearest metalled road from site	0.8 km	
Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)
	Drinking	0.32
	Suppression of dust	3.20
	Plantation	2.02
	Others	0.32
	Total	5.86
Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No and period of validity.	Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.1922, Valid Upto 09 September 2026.	
Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No	
Details of 500 m Cluster Map &certificate issued by Mining Officer	Yes, certified, 3514/Khanij/2022 Dated 22/03/2022	
Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Yes, given in the DSR Page no. 18 Serial No. 33	
Proposed EMP cost	EMP Recurring Cost =Rs. 5,18,000/-	
Length and breadth of Haul Road	Length: 265 m, width: 6 m	
No. of Trees to be Planted	1010 plants	
Monitoring Period	October 2022 to December 2022	

**5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:**

Sl. No.	Activity	Capital Cost (in Rs.)	Quantity
1	Installation of White Board in Primary School of Village Bhagautidie	80,000	1
2	Solar lamp distribution	32,000	16
3	Installation of Computer in nearby School of Village Bhagautidie	31,000	1
TOTAL (in life time)		1,43,000	
Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.			

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 21/02/2024 mentioning is as follows:

- I, Ankur Sharma, S/o Lalit Mohan Sharma is EIA Coordinator of Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd.
- I have prepared EIA/EMP project for the proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/457277/2023), Building Stone (Sandstone) Mining project at Arazi No.-732, Sl. No.- 33, Village- Bhagautidei, Tehsil- Chunar, and District- Mirzapur (U.P.), (Leased Area 1.01 Ha). with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information submitted along with application/EIA/EMP project preparation are true and correct.
- I certify that this project has been uploaded for first time on Parivesh portal.
- I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and hard copy/presentation submitted which will be submitted after acceptance of application.

7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 07**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @ 1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.

10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.

**8. Resort & Hotel project at Khasra No.-29, 30, 27, 23, 19, 18, 17, 16, 26, 20, 22, 25 Mauja Myapur, Fatehabad Road, District-Agra, Shri Puran Chand Dawar, M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited., 8645/SIA/UP/INFRA2/457341/2024**

An application dated 01/01/2024 (Proposal No. SIA/UP/ INFRA2/ 457341/2024) was submitted by the project proponent M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited for environmental clearance of “Proposed construction of Resort & Hotel project at Khasra No.-29, 30, 27, 23, 19, 18, 17, 16, 26, 20, 22, 25 Mauja Myapur, Fatehabad Road, District- Agra (UP)”.

In compliance of Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 08/12/2021 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 13381/1984, NEERI has nominated Dr. S.K. Goyal as a representative/member in SEAC, U.P. to appraise the proposal under the TTZ area. The above project proposal comes under the TTZ area and Dr. S.K. Goyal, representative of NEERI was present during the meeting through video conferencing for the appraisal of this project.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Environmental and Technical Research Centre. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged:-

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Resort & Hotel project at Khasra No.-29, 30, 27, 23, 19, 18, 17, 16, 26, 20, 22, 25 Mauja Myapur, Fatehabad Road, District- Agra, U.P. by M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited.
2. Salient features of the project:

Name and Location of the Project	Proposed Resort & Hotel project at Khasra No-29, 30, 27, 23, 19, 18, 17, 16, 26, 20, 22, 25 Mauja Myapur, Fatehabad Road, Agra, Uttar Pradesh .
Developers of the project	M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited
Total Plot Area (as per site)	40445.84 Sqm
Net Plot Area	39545.19 sqm . (3.9545 Hectares approx)
Built-up Area	63768.79 sq.m
Power Requirement & Source	Power requirement will be 3111 KVA which will be tapped From State Electricity Board.
Power Backup	Backup Genset will be used 4 Nos @ (1010 KVA).
Total Parking Proposed	820 ECS
Solid Waste to be Generated	Approx. 441.3 kg /Day

	Horticulture Waste : 24.9 kg /Day
Total Project Cost	Rs. 358.48 Crore
Solar Lights	Solar based lighting (10 % of total power requirement) will be provided.
Facilities	Resort & Hotel project ( Basement + Ground Floor + 14 Floor )
Detail Area Statement with no. of dwelling units/shops & building height	Resort & Hotel project (No. of Bays – 150 Nos & No. of Cottage – 14 Nos).No. of Bays of Tower Blocks – 270 Nos (Basement + Ground Floor + 14 Floor) Basement - One Number
Maximum Height	Approx. 60.0 m
Water Requirement & Source	Fresh water: 255.38 KLD from municipal supply Reuse of treated effluent as received from the STP: 87.95 KLD Total water requirement: 343.33 KLD
Sewage treatment & disposal	The waste water generated from resort & hotel complex (i.e., 270.25 KLD) would be treated in the STP of capacity 325 KLD. Recycled water shall be used after tertiary treatment i.e., 65.95 KLD water for flushing, 10 KLD for HVAC Cooling, 5 KLD for Genset Cooling and 7 KLD for greenbelt.
Connectivity	The proposed site is well connected with Road, Rail and Air networks. It is part of Agra City. Agra Fort Railway Station – 8.0 km, SH-56 (Fatehabad Road) – 0.27 km in west direction, Inner Ring Road – 1.24 km in South East and Agra Airport – 12 km in west direction from project site.

3. Detailed area statement:

Particulars	Details	Unit
Total Plot Area (As per Document)	41916	Sq.m.
Total Plot Area (As per Site)	40445.84	Sq.m.
Area For Road Widening	2370.81	Sq.m.
Net plot Area	39545.19	Sq.m.
Permissible Ground Coverage (@40%)	15818.07	Sq.m.
Proposed Ground Coverage	15329.5	Sq.m.
Permissible F.A.R. Area	98862.97	Sq.m.
Proposed F.A.R. Area	46717.63	Sq.m.
Proposed Non F.A.R. Area	17051.16	Sq.m.
Proposed Total Built-Up Area	63768.79	Sq.m.
Proposed Parking Area	46717.63	Sq.m.
Required Parking @ 1.0 per 100 sqm FAR area	467	ECS
Proposed Parking as per layout	820	ECS
Required Green Area (@ 10 % of total plot area)	3954.519	Sq.m.
Proposed Green Area (@ 10.80 % of total plot area)	4273.77	Sq.m.
Maximum Height	60	Mtrs
Required number of trees (1 tree/80 sqm)	54	Nos.
Proposed no. of tree to be planted	65	Nos.
Rain water Harvesting pit proposed	10	Nos.

4. During operation phase, the agency will use municipal water supply. Necessary permission will be obtained from competent authority. The total quantity of water requirement for the proposed resort & hotel building is 343.33 KLD out of which the Fresh Water requirement of 255.38 KLD would be met from municipal supply. 270.25 KLD waste water will be generated from the project which shall be treated in a Sewage Treatment Plant. Recycled water shall be used after tertiary treatment i.e., 65.95 KLD water for flushing, 10 KLD for HVAC Cooling, 5 KLD for Gas fired generator set Cooling and 7 KLD for greenbelt.

5. Parking Details:

Particulars	Details
<b>Parking Required - 46717.63/100</b>	<b>467.2 ECS</b>
Proposed Car Parking	465
Proposed Two Stack Parking	110
Proposed Three Stack Parking	245
Total Parking Proposed	<b>820</b>

6. Solid waste details:

S.No.	Location	Population /Area	Kg per capita	Waste generated
-------	----------	------------------	---------------	-----------------



			per day	(kg/day)
1	Guest Rooms (1 <sup>st</sup> to 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor)	840	0.25	210
2	Staff	650	0.15	97.5
3	Visitors including Banquet Hall	656	0.15	98.4
4	Suites/Cottages	42	0.25	10.5
	Total	2188		416.4
5	Horticulture Waste (Area-6706.56 sqm) or 1.66 Acres @ 15kg/acre/day	1.66	15	24.9
	Grand Total			441.3 or 0.4413 MT/day

7. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

Sr.No.	CER Activities	Year wise budget		
		01 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Total (in Lakhs)
1	Adoption of village School for improvement in infrastructure and facility like (Solar Light, Drinking Water Facility, Toilets, Laptop & Computers etc) and smart classes in village :.	70	68	138
2	Sensor based Solar light (400 Nos) distribution in village :	32	30	62
3	Plantation in nearby areas	25	28	53
4	Creation of Rain Water harvesting system in Government Offices, School, Panchayat Bhawan etc. in village :,	53	53	106
	Total	180	179	359
	Net Amount			359

8. The project proposal falls under category-8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).
9. In compliance to Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated December 08, 2021 NEERI has prepared a Sectoral Guidelines for different category of Industries / Project / Activities falling in the TTZ area. The project proponent submitted the point wise compliance of TTZ sectoral guidelines (Box-1) conditions and air pollution score (as per the guidelines) is as follows:

Score A-1	Applicability of Group A1D	15
Score A-2	Absence of Group A2F1 & A2F2	Zero
Total Air Pollution Score		15

10. The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 23/02/2023 mentioning as follows:

- I, Anubhav Singh, S/o Shri Bhupendra Singh is EIA Coordinator of M/s Environmental and Technical Research Centre.
- I have prepared the Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan for Resort & Hotel project at Khasra No.-29, 30, 27, 23, 19, 18, 17, 16, 26, 20, 22, 25 Mauja Myapur, Fatehabad Road, District- Agra, U.P., M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no construction activity for proposed project has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I am satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for EC application presentations are true and correct.
- I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal.
- I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
- The Form-1, Form-1A and Conceptual Plan report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

#### **INFORMATION AND CHRONOLOGICAL HISTORY OF PREVIOUS APPLICATION:**

The chronological history of the project earlier getting Environmental Clearance and its withdrawal is given below:-

- The project proponent submitted an online application on Parviesh Portal for the environmental clearance of “Proposed 5-Star Resort & Hotel Project “Shree Goverdhan Resort & SPA” at Village - Myapur, Agra, U.P., M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited” vide proposal no. SIA/UP/MIS/238485/2021, dated 13/11/2021.
- The matter was listed for appraisal in 617<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 06/01/2022 and the committee decided that in view of Hon’ble Supreme Court judgment dated 08/12/2021 in the matter of M.C. Mehta Vs. Union of India & Ors. the matter may be taken up only after nomination of representative of NEERI in SEAC meeting.
- In compliance of Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 08/12/2021 Dr. Atul N. Vaidya, Director, NEERI vide letter dated March 15, 2022 nominated Dr. S.K. Goyal, Chief Scientist & Head, CSIR-NEERI, Delhi Zonal Center as representative in meeting of SEAC regarding the matters related to TTZ area.
- After nomination of NEERI Member the matter was again listed in 642<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 06/04/2022 and Dr. S.K. Goyal, representative of NEERI was present during the meeting through video conferencing. The committee discussed the matter in the meeting and recommended to grant the environmental clearance on the project proposal along with EC conditions.
- Subsequently, the matter was discussed by the SEIAA in its 592<sup>nd</sup> meeting dated 27/04/2022 and agreed with the recommendation of SEAC with some additional EC conditions.
- Environmental clearance for the project was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide EC Identification no. EC22B038UP177937, dated 06/05/2022.
- A complaint letter dated 17/06/2022 of Dr. Sharad Gupta was received through email and the SEIAA referred back the letter to SEAC-1 for review/comments.
- The committee discussed the above complaint letter in its 679<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 16/08/2022 and decided that the complaint letter should be sent to project proponent/consultant to submit para wise reply, so that the SEIAA/SEAC may take further action accordingly.
- Subsequently, the matter was discussed in 650<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 19/09/2022 and decided that:
  - a) *The project falls in TTZ area and till the time the issue is resolved, EC to the said project be kept in abeyance and a letter to this effect be sent to DM, Agra; VC, Agra Development Authority; and MS, SPCB to ensure that no work is carried during the period EC is kept in abeyance and in case PP commences the work, it should be stopped and legal action should be initiated against the PP.*
  - b) *SEAC-1 to finalize their recommendation and comments within 15 days and send a self-speaking recommendation to SEIAA MS, SEIAA to send a reply to MoEFCC, GoI, New Delhi in reference to their letter no. Q-17012/7/2022-CPA dated 27.06.2022*
- In view of above decision, the SEIAA in its letter no. 324/Parya/SEIAA/6680/2020, dated 30/12/2022 kept the EC Identification no. EC22B038UP177937, dated 06/05/2022 in abeyance till further orders.
- The project proponent M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited submitted an online application on Parivesh Portal (vide proposal no. SIA/UP/INFRA2/447163/2023) for the expansion of “5- Star Resort & Hotel Project “Shree Goverdhan Resort & SPA” at Village - Myapur, Agra, U.P.” and the matter were listed in 806<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 03/11/2023. The committee decided as follows:  
*“The project proponent along with their consultant M/s Environmental Technical and Research Centre present before SEAC and explained the above matter. The committee discussed the matter and noted that the SEIAA kept the EC Identification no. EC22B038UP177937 dated 06/05/2022 for proposed 5-Star Resort & Hotel Project “Shree Goverdhan Resort & SPA” at Village - Myapur, Agra, U.P. in abeyance till further orders. Hence, the SEAC opined that the expansion of the project is not maintainable till environmental clearance is in abeyance.”*  
*The reply of complaint of Shri Sharad Gupta is still pending at project proponent level therefore, the project proponent was directed to provide reply on the complaint of Dr. Sharad Gupta so that SEAC may examine the whole issue and forwards its recommendation to SEIAA for disposal.*
- The matter was again listed in 810<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 20/11/2023 and the decision was- *“The project proponent along with their consultant M/s Environmental Technical and Research Centre present before SEAC and submit the reply of complaint dated 17/06/2022. SEAC observed that the reply dated 16/11/2023 was not exhaustive and para wise. The project proponent/consultant told that*

*they will give para wise reply of the complaint at the earliest. NEERI representative could not join the meeting due to his pre-occupation. The committee decided to take up the case on 30/11/2023.”*

- Further, the matter was discussed in 811<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 30/11/2023 and the SEAC noted that project proponent vide its letter dated 28/11/2023 submit the point wise reply of the complaint made by Dr. Sharad Gupta. Details of point wise reply as follows:

Point No	Applicants' contention	Reply
(1)	In context to above, this is to inform you that State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Uttar Pradesh has issued Environmental Clearance (EC) having EC Identification No. EC22B038UP177937 for proposed 5-Star Resort & Hotel project “Shree Goverdhan Resort & SPA” which lies in District Agra, Uttar Pradesh within Taj Trapezium Zone a notified Eco-Sensitive Zone (ESZ). As detail mentioned in aforesaid Environmental Clearance (EC) dated 06.05.2022, this ‘Shree Goverdhan Resort & SPA’ will be having capacity of 164 (Rooms & Suites) and wastewater generated from hotel complex-141 KLD. A copy of aforesaid EC dated 06.05.2022 is marked and annexed herewith as Annexure No. 1 (Pg. No. 7-17).	<p>That it is not denied that the SEIAA, U.P. has granted an Environmental Clearance on 06/05/2022 vide E.C. identification number EC22B038UP177937 for the proposed 5 Star Resort and Hotel project at Agra within the Taj Trapezium Zone.</p> <p>It is however denied that the Taj Trapezium zone has been notified as ‘Eco-sensitive zone’. Eco sensitive zones are normally notified around National Parks and Sanctuaries to act as a transition zone from areas of high protection to areas involving lesser protection with the activities in the Eco sensitive zone being of a regulatory nature rather than prohibitive nature, unless otherwise required.</p> <p>Eco sensitive zones are declared by the MoEF and CC, Government of India in exercise of its powers conferred under sub section (1) and clause (V) and (XIV) of sub section (2) of section 3 of the Environment Protection Act, 1986 read with sub rule (3) of rule 5 of the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986. The said provisions of the Act authorise the Central Government to take measures regarding restriction of areas in which any industries, operation or process or class of industries, operations or processes shall not be carried out or shall be carried out subject to certain safeguards.</p> <p>The rules (E.P. Rules 1986 sub rule 3 of Rule 5) provide that while prohibiting or restricting the location of industries and carrying on the processes and operations in an area the Ministry shall duly notify in the official gazette, after proper notice of intent. Provisions of sub rule (3) of rule 5 of the E.P. Rules are being reproduced below for ease of reference.</p> <p><i>“(3) (a) Whenever it appears to the Central Government that it is expedient to impose prohibition or restrictions on the locations Of an industry or the carrying on of processes and operations in an area, it may by notification in the Official Gazette and in such other manner as the Central government may deem necessary from time to time, give notice of its intention to do so.</i></p> <p><i>(b) Every notification under clause (a) shall give a brief description of the area, the industries, operations, processes in that area about which such notification pertains and also specify the reasons for the imposition of prohibition or restrictions on the locations of the industries and carrying on of process or operations in that area.</i></p> <p><i>(c) Any person interested in filing an objection against the imposition of prohibition or restrictions on carrying on of processes or operations as notified under clause (a) may do so in writing to the Central Government within sixty days from the date of publication of the notification in the Official Gazette.</i></p> <p><i>(d) The Central Government shall within a period of one hundred and twenty days from the date of publication of the notification in the Official Gazette consider all the objections received against such notification and may 10 [within one hundred and 11 [eighty] days from such day of publication] impose prohibition or restrictions on location of such industries and the carrying on of any process or operation in an area.”</i></p>

		<p>To the best of understanding, no notification has been issued by the MoEF and CC declaring the Taj Trapezium zone as a notified Eco sensitive zone under provisions as above and the Taj Trapezium zone is not a notified Eco Sensitive Zone.</p> <p>c. That it is not denied that the proposal involved the provision of 164 (Rooms and Suites) and a generation of 141 KLD of sewage effluents.</p>
(2)	<p>In reference to above, this is to mention that as per notification dated 07.03.2016 issued by Central Pollution Control Board for classification of industrial sectors, the hotels having waste water generation more than 100 KLD are categorized as 'Red Category' industrial sector. Aforesaid notification also clearly provisioned that "No Red category industries shall normally be permitted in the ecologically fragile area/protected area". A copy of aforesaid notification dated 07.03.2016 is marked and annexed herewith as Annexure No. 2 (Pg. No. 18- 75).</p>	<p>That it is not denied that Hotels having overall waste water generation @100 KLD and more were earlier included under the Red Category of Industries by the CPCB in its directions of 07-03-2016 issued to the Chairman of the State Pollution Control Board/Pollution Control Committees.</p> <p>It is however denied that the said document of 07-03-2016 is a notification issued by the CPCB. Instead, it is a direction issued by the CPCB under section 18(1)b of the Water and Air Acts regarding harmonisation of classification of industrial sectors under Red/Orange/Green and White Categories which is addressed to the Pollution Control Boards (for States) and Pollution Control Committees (for Union Territories). The said direction has not been issued to the SEIAA/SEAC.</p> <p>That the contention of the applicant that the notification has provisioned that "No Red Category Industries shall normally be permitted in the ecologically fragile area/protected area" is strongly denied as being incorrect, by way of the fact that firstly the document of 07-03-2016 is not a notification but a direction and secondly because the direction nowhere provisions that "No Red category industries shall normally be permitted in the ecologically fragile area/protected area". The following is a list of directions issued through the said document:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the SPCBs and PCCs shall adopt the Revised Criteria of categorization of industrial sectors as detailed in table nos. FL F2, F3 and F4 and Revised Lists of Red, Orange, Green and White categories of industrial sectors, presented at table no. G2, G3, G4 and G5 respectively, in the 'Final Report ' as attached herewith immediately.</li> <li>ii. That all pending applications for consideration of "Consent to Establish" and "Consent to Operate" and future such applications shall be processed as per revised criteria.</li> <li>iii. That the SPCBs and PCCs will provide the list of industries identified in each category existing in the State which have been considered for grant of consents. SPCBs/ PCCs will forward the list of such industries before 31.05.2016 and the same will be uploaded on the websites of respective SPCB/ PCC.</li> <li>iv. That the 'Revised Lists of Red, Orange, Green and White category of industrial sectors' shall be used by the SPCBs and PCCs for Consent Management and inventorisation of industries under Red, Orange, Green and White categories. Siting of industries shall be only in conforming areas. SPCBs / PCCs shall evolve sector specific plans for control of pollution and industrial surveillance for verifying compliance.</li> <li>v. That the SPCBs and PCCs shall revise / prepare the inventory of Red, Orange, Green and White categories of industries operating in their jurisdiction based on the revised criteria specified in the Final Report and submit the same to CPCB within 90 days i.e., before 30.05.2016 in hard copy as well as soft copy.</li> <li>vi. That the listed category of industries or those identified later-on under different categories shall not be linked to sanction of</li> </ol>

		<p>loan / finance or bank proceedings.</p> <p>vii. That any further addition of any new or left-over industrial sector and their categorization which is not listed in the revised list of Red, Orange, Green and White industrial sectors, shall be done at the level of concerned SPCB /PCC following revised criteria &amp; guidelines as detailed in the attached document and no concurrence of CPCB shall normally be required. It is further clarified that while categorizing the industries, fractional numbers shall be rounded off to nearest integer.</p> <p>The statement that ‘No Red category industries shall normally be permitted in the ecologically fragile area/protected area’ as quoted by the applicant is not a part of the main document nor a part of the directions but funds mention only as one of the salient features of the Re-categorization exercise within the executive summary. Not being a part of the main report or the directions issued by the CPCB in continuation and with reference to the Final Document, the provision does not form a statement accepted by the CPCB and is not applicable. Moreover, TTZ has not been classified or notified as an Ecological fragile area/protected area. (Kindly also like to see para 1b as above).</p>
(3)	<p>In addition to it on dated 08.09.2016, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, (Government of India) imposed “Ad-hoc Moratorium on the expansion and setting up of new industry except white category to control air pollution” in entire Taj Trapezium Zone which is still effective. A copy of Minutes of the meeting held on 08.09.2016 at MoEF&amp;CC is marked and annexed herewith as Annexure No. 3 (Pg. No. 76- 81).</p>	<p>a. That it is denied that on 08-09-2016 the Ministry of Environment Forests and Climate Change, GOI imposed an “Ad-hoc moratorium on the expansion and setting up of new industry except under the white category to control Air Pollution in the entire TTZ. It is submitted that the said observation was one of the recommendations of the meeting held on 08-09-2016 and not a specific direction issued as either a direction or a notification imposing the moratorium.</p> <p>b. That the contention of the applicant that the so called “Ad-hoc moratorium on the expansion and setting up of new industry except white category to control air pollution” is still effective is strongly denied. The orders dated 08-12-2021 passed by the Honourable Supreme Court in writ petition (Civil) No. 13381 of 1984, M.C. Mehta vs Union of India and others as quoted by the applicant clearly state that, “in respect of industrial units where the Air Pollution score as per CPCB and UPPCB is between 11 to 20, sectoral Guidelines shall be obtained from NEERI. No industrial units shall be cleared by the State till sectoral guidelines are Obtained from NEERI. For those industrial units having Air Pollution More than 20, the concurrence/opinion of NEERI Will has to be obtained.” This order itself establishes that there is no existing Ad-hoc moratorium on setting up industries in the TTZ area and industries could be established after obtaining the concurrence/opinion of NEERI as per procedures laid down in the order (In the matter 13381 of 1984). Moreover, the CPCB has recognised ‘Hotels as a nonindustrial activity. Kind attention in this regard is also drawn to letter no. F. No. H-11012/10/2015-CPA dated 13-12-2019 issued by the MoEF and CC (Annexure-1). The said letter clarifies that, “ In this context, the undersigned is directed to inform that in view of the directions passed by the Honourable Supreme court in the aforesaid matter the decision taken during a meeting held on 08-09-2016 regarding Ad-hoc moratorium on expansion and setting up of new industry (except white category) to control Air Pollution by TTZ authority, stands revised to the extent that the project/activities in TTZ eligible as per the directions of the Honourable Supreme court dated 06-12-2019 (Supra) May be considered. The allegation of the applicant that there</p>

		is an existing ad-hoc moratorium on the setting up of new industry except white, is strongly denied in view of the existing procedures of obtaining the concurrence/opinion of NEERI as per the orders of the Honourable Supreme court passed on 06-12- 2019 and 08-12-2021 before allowing industrial units to operate. Hotels are a non-industrial activity.
(4)	<p>Further in this reference take a note from the Order dated 06.12.2019 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 13381 of 1984, titled as "M.C. Mehta Vs Union of India and Ors.", in which it has been mentioned regarding establishing industrial units in TTZ as follows :-</p> <p>Para No. 1 to 7 XXXXXX</p> <p>8. As regards permission for establishing non-polluting industrial units, it appears to us that only those small, micro and macro level industries which are both nonpolluting and eco-friendly and which have necessary clearances from all statutory authorities as well as concurrence of the Central Empowerment Committee and NEERI, can be setup within the notified industrial area.</p> <p>A copy of aforesaid order dated 06.12.2019 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court is marked and annexed herewith as Annexure No. 4 (Pg. No. 82- 88).</p> <p>In context to above, it is to refer that through the order dated 08.12.2021 passed in W.P. Civil No. 13381 of 1984 Hon'ble Supreme Court of India once again directions regarding the industrial units falling in TTZ area that:</p> <p>This Interlocutory Application is filed for certain clarifications/ modification of the Order dated 06.12.2019. By an Order dated 29.01.2021, clarification sought in prayer clauses (a),(b) and(c) were made by this Court. In respect of the clarification of the Order dated 06.12.2019 pertaining to the concurrence with the Central Empowered Committee (CEC) and opinion of NEERI as mentioned in paras 8 and 9 of the Order, learned Amiens Curiae in consultation with Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the State of</p>	<p>Matter of records as pertaining to orders of the Honourable Supreme Court passed on 06-12-2019 and 08-12-2021.</p>

	<p>Uttar Pradesh submitted a note. It has been agreed that a representative of NEERI shall be included as a Member in the Environmental Appraisal Committee (EAC) and State Environmental Appraisal Committee (SEAC) constituted by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for dealing with industrial units falling in TTZ Area.</p> <p>In respect of industrial units where the Air Pollution Score as per CPCB and UPPCB is between 11 to 20, sectorial guidelines shall be obtained from NEERI. No industrial units shall be cleared by the State till sectorial guidelines are obtained from NEERI. For those industrial units having Air Pollution more than 20, the concurrence/opinion of NEERI will have to be obtained.</p> <p>In case of the need for felling trees to set up industrial units/projects, the matter shall be referred to the CEC for its opinion/concurrence. Where there is no need for felling of trees, a declaration shall be obtained from the industrial units/projects to that effect. The declaration shall be accompanied by an affidavit and photograph of the site along with the Key Plan of the site.</p> <p>The said declaration along with the other documents shall be forwarded to the concerned District Forest Officer. In case, incorrect information has been given by the declarant, suitable legal action shall be taken.</p> <p>A copy of aforesaid order dated 08.12.2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p>	
(5)	<p>It is important to bring your kind notice that in compliance of aforesaid Order dated 08.12.2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court, "Sectorial Guidelines" are still awaited till date from NEERI as information provided by TTZ Authority, Regional Office UPPCB Agra, Regional Office UPPCB Firozabad, Regional Office UPPCB Mathura and Regional Office UPPCB Aligarh in lieu of RTI applications.</p>	<p>It is denied that the issue of the Environmental clearance by the SEIAA (U.P.) on 06-05-2022, before the issue of sectorial guidelines by NEERI is a violation of the order dated 08-12-2022 (wrongly mentioned so instead of 08-12-2021 by applicant) by the Honourable Supreme Court. The Following submission are being humbly made in this regard:</p> <p>a. Through its letter no. D/09/2020 dated 31-12-2020, the Director NEERI has advised the TTZ authority as follows, "Thus it is clear from para 8 and 9 of the Honourable Supreme Court that concurrence of NEERI is required only in case of industries. Further, Central Pollution Control Board vide its direction no. B-29016/ROGW/IPCVI/020-21 dated 30-04-2020 has directed to consider nonindustrial operation, which</p>

<p>Copies of aforesaid RTI Applications along with their replies is marked.</p> <p>It is submitted that as “Sectorial Guidelines” are still awaited from NEERI in compliance of Order dated 08.12.2021 passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court; State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Uttar Pradesh has issued Environmental Clearance (EC) to above mentioned proposed 5-star Hotel project ‘Shree Goverdhan Resort &amp; SPA” at Fatehabad Road, Agra in Taj Trapezium Zone which is clear cut violation of aforesaid order dated 08.12.2022 passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court.</p>	<p>therefore may be decided at the Department levels itself. It has also been advised that “In view of the above, only the industrial projects having high air pollution potential may be referred to NEERI for concurrence. Further, it will be desirable that NEERI representative may be included as a member in the Environmental Appraisal Committee of MoEF and CC on the TTZ related matters wherein the projects are appraised in a holistic and time bound manner, rather than separately reviewing the project, as applicable.”The above advice by NEERI clearly suggests that only industrial projects have to be referred for concurrence and that a representative from NEERI should be included in the EAC to facilitate holistic and time bound disposal. Hotels have been classified as a Non-Industrial operation’ by the CPCB and thus the procedures applicable to ‘Industry’ do not apply to Hotels. Kind attention is drawn in this connection to the directions issued under section 18(i)b of the Water Act 1974 and the Air Act 1981 by the Central Pollution Control Board on 30-04-2020. The said direction states that the CPCB constituted a committee on 17-02-2020 to deal with matters related to categorisation of industries and the committee after considering representations has segregated the list of non-industrial operations (Activities/Facilities/Infrastructure services), that were earlier covered under the classification of industrial sectors in CPCB’s document on categorisation. CPCB has given the list of such non industrial operations and included Hotels having overall waste water generation 100 KLD or more (earlier classified as Red); Hotels (&lt; 3 star) or hotels having &gt;20 rooms and less than 100 rooms (earlier classified as orange)) and Hotels up to 20 rooms and without boilers (earlier classified as Green) within the list of non-industrial operations (Activities/ Facilities/Infra structure/ services).</p> <p>Under these circumstances, being a non-industrial operation, the orders of the Honourable Supreme Court and consequently the procedures worked out for setting up of ‘industry’ within the TTZ zone were not applicable on the Hotel and there was no requirement of availing a concurrence/opinion of NEERI in the matter.</p> <p>It is submitted that despite the procedures applicable to ‘Industry’ not being applicable to ‘non-industrial operations’ a representative of NEERI (Dr. S.K. Goyal) was included in the meeting of the SEAC held on 06-04-2022 and the representative concurred with the recommendations of the committee to grant Environmental Clearance to the Project. It is reiterated that the Honourable Supreme Court in its orders of 08-12-2021 has observed that, “It has been agreed that a representative of NEERI shall be included as a Member in Environmental Appraisal Committee (EAC) and State Environment Appraisal Committee (SEIAA) constituted by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for dealing with industrial units falling in the TTZ area.”</p> <p>b. Regarding the issue of the E.C. being violative of directions because the sectoral guidelines were not notified, it is brought to kind notice that the orders of the Honourable Supreme Court State that “In respect of industrial units where the Air Pollution Score as per CPCB and UPPCB is between 11 to 20, sectoral guidelines shall be obtained from NEERI. No industrial units shall be cleared by the State till sectoral guidelines are obtained from NEERI. In this connection, the following is being humbly submitted:</p>
--	---



		<p>i. The orders of the Honourable Supreme Court are applicable only to industrial units. The project in question, a Hotel, is a non-industrial activity as classified by the CPCB and does not attract the provisions applicable to Industry and hence there has been no violation in this regard.</p> <p>As submitted earlier, representative of NEERI was included in the State Environmental Appraisal Committee who gave his concurrence to the recommendations to grant E.C. to the project as proposed. In view of the above, because Hotels are a non industrial activity and the orders of the Honourable Supreme Court are only applicable to 'Industrial Units' and because a representative of NEERI was a member of the SEAC meeting held on 06-04-2022 therefore there has been no violation of the orders of the Honourable Supreme court in the matter of Sectoral Guidelines.</p>
(6)	<p>Whereas, all concern members of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Uttar Pradesh and Members of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC- I) along with Dr. S.K. Goyal, (Chief Scientist &amp; Head, CSIR-NEERI, Delhi Zone Centre) who has represented in 642<sup>d</sup> meeting of SEAC-1 held on 06.04.2022 on behalf of NEERI in compliance of above mention Order dated 08.12.2021 where it was mentioned that "It has been agreed that a representative of NEERI shall be included as a Member in the Environmental Appraisal Committee (EAC) and State Environmental Appraisal Committee (SEAC) constituted by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change for dealing with industrial units falling in TTZ Area" he has to submit reports in Hon'ble Supreme Court in various cases related to TTZ area, seems knowingly ignored the directions as "No industrial units shall be cleared by the State till sectorial guidelines are obtained from NEERI" issued by Hon'ble Supreme Courts in the same.</p> <p>Order dated 08.12.2021, is one of the Government/NEERI official of the aforesaid State Environmental Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was very much aware about the aforesaid restrictions imposed in Taj Trapezium Zone by Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as MoEF, members of both SEAC-1 &amp; SEIAA, U.P. and their concerned departments/ authorities were</p>	<p>There has been no avoidance by the SEAC of the directions issued by the Honourable Supreme Court which state that "No Industrial units shall be cleared by the state till sectorial guidelines are obtained from NEERI". It is reiterated that 'Hotels' are classified as a non-industrial activity and the orders are applicable only on Industrial units. The directions of the Honourable Supreme Court have not been ignored.</p> <p>Further, the representative of NEERI, for the purposes as SEAC member, has been assigned a very specific scope which does not involve submitting reports to the Honourable Supreme Court in various cases related to TTZ areas. In this connection kind attention is drawn to letter no. Q-17012/7/2021-CPA dated 21-03-2022 issued by the MoEF and CC, Government of India through which the minutes of a meeting held on 09-03-2022 have been circulated for necessary action.</p> <p>At para 6(1) of the aforesaid minutes, it has been decided that the NEERI representative shall give his concurrence/opinion to projects to be located within the TTZ area and if the NEERI representative feels that there is need for any further examination be may refer to the Director NEERI for opinion. It is nowhere provided that the NEERI representative in the SEAC shall submit reports in the Honourable Supreme Court in various cases related to TTZ area. The allegation that there was a willful ignorance and consequent violation of directions is wrong and baseless.</p>

	also very much aware of Hon'ble Supreme Court orders related to TTZ.	
(7)	Prayer You are requested to cancel/withdraw environmental Clearance (EC) dated 06.05.2022 granted to above said Red Category hotel project in TTZ by defying the aforesaid Order dated 08.12.2021 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court; issue SOP as well as directions that "No Environmental Clearance (EC) will be issued by SEIAA, U.P. until 'Sectoral Guidelines' are notified by NEERI in compliance of aforesaid Order dated 08.12.2021" and take appropriate action against the erring officials who have issued Environmental Clearance (EC) to above said hotel project by defying/ignoring the orders passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court.	Prayer In what has been stated above, it is humbly submitted that the action of the SEIAA in putting the E.C. issued vide no. EC22B038UP177937 dated 06-05-2022 in abeyance has been unfair, unjust and harsh on the project proponents. There has been no violation of the orders of the Honourable Supreme Court which apply to Industrial units only and the appraisal and consequent grant of E.C. by the SEIAA has been in conformity to prescribed provisions. It is humbly prayed that the orders issued vide letter no. 324/Parya/SEIAA/6680/2020 dated 30-12-2022 putting the E.C. no. EC22B038UP177937 dated 06-05-2022 in abeyance may kindly be withdrawn and the E.C. revived so that the project proponents may take further necessary steps to initiate setting up the project.

The committee discussed the matter in depth and opined as follows:

1. In point number 01 of the above table, the clarification from Forest Department may be taken on "whether the project site lies within Eco Sensitive Zone or Not?".
2. In point number 2, 3, 4 & 5 of the above table, the opinion of TTZ Authority may be obtained.

SEIAA may like to take note of SEAC-1 opinion and (if agrees) may send letter to Forest Department and TTZ Authority.

- On 28/12/2023, project proponent submitted an application for withdrawal of this proposal.
- The matter was discussed in 787<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 29/12/2023 wherein:

*"SEIAA noted the comments of SEAC. SEIAA also gone through the letter of project proponent dated 28.12.2023 regarding withdrawal of EC issued to 5-Star Resort & Hotel project at Khasra No.-29, 30, 27, 23, 19, 18, 17, 16, 26, 20, 22, 25 Mauja Myapur, Fatehabad Road, District-Agra, Shri Puraan Chand Dawar, M/s Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited due to change in proposal. SEIAA opined that application should be made online."*

- In view of above, the project proponent submitted online EC withdraw application vide letter dated 04/01/2024 and the letter put up in 788<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 05/01/2024 wherein:

*"SEIAA gone through the letter of the project proponent dated 04.01.2024 regarding the above subject and noted that due to significant change/modification in project proposal, project proponent has decided to withdraw the proposal which is also submitted online. Hence SEIAA agreed to cancel the EC issue to the above project vide letter no. EC22B038UP177937 file no. 6680 date of issue 06.05.2022."*

**SEAC Deliberation:**

- **SEAC asked about the status of previous EC granted and why new fresh application has been made?**

- PP / Consultant replied that, Earlier Environmental clearance has been granted on 06<sup>th</sup> May 2022 vide EC identification no: EC22B038UP177937. Then a complaint was received on 17<sup>th</sup> June 2022 from Dr Sharad Gupta. Reply against the complaint was submitted on 16.11.2023 & 28.11.2023. Further due to major change in planning, request for withdrawal of EC has been submitted to SEIAA, which was Accepted on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2024.
- Due to major change in planning, fresh application has been submitted. Changes in planning are as follows :
  1. The built-up area is being increased from 25776 sqm to 63768.79 sqm.
  2. The ground coverage area will be increased from 13033 sqm to 15329.5 sqm and the height of the building will be increased from 14.25 m to 60 m.
  3. FAR area will be increased from 19964 sqm to 46717.63 sqm.
- Construction work for the proposed project has not started at the site as of today.

➤ **SEAC asked what is the distance of notified Eco-Sensitive Zone (ESZ) from the project site ?**

PP/ Consultant replied that, the nearest Eco - sensitive zone from the project site is Sur Sarover taal which is 15.90 km in North west direction. Screen shot of distance from Eco sensitive zone is provided by consultant. During the discussion, it is however denied that the Taj Trapezium zone has been notified as 'Eco-sensitive zone'. Eco sensitive zones are normally notified around National Parks and Sanctuaries to act as a transition zone from areas of high protection to areas involving lesser protection with the activities in the Eco sensitive zone being of a regulatory nature rather than prohibitive nature, unless otherwise required.

➤ **SEAC asked what is classification of industrial sectors as per Central Pollution Control Board notification.**

PP/ Consultant replied that, as per CPCB direction dated April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020 vide letter no : B-29016/ROGW/IPC-VI/2020-21, Hotel projects generating waste water more than 100 KLD is classified under Non Industrial Operations, Hence there is no restriction of hotel project in TTZ area.

➤ **SEAC asked information about the status of "Ad-hoc Moratorium on the expansion and setting up of new industry except white category to control air pollution" in entire Taj Trapezium Zone imposed by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change on dated 08.09.2016.**

PP/ Consultant replied that, it is denied that on 08-09-2016 the Ministry of Environment Forests and Climate Change, GOI imposed an "Ad-hoc moratorium on the expansion and setting up of new industry except under the white category to control Air Pollution in the entire TTZ. It is submitted that the said observation was one of the recommendations of the meeting held on 08-09-2016 and not a specific direction issued as either a direction or a notification imposing the moratorium.

The orders dated 08-12-2021 passed by the Honourable Supreme Court in writ petition (Civil) No. 13381 of 1984, M.C. Mehta vs Union of India and others as quoted by the applicant clearly state that, "in respect of industrial units where the Air Pollution score as per CPCB and UPPCB is between 11 to 20, sectoral Guidelines shall be obtained from NEERI. No industrial units shall be cleared by the State till sectoral guidelines are obtained from NEERI. For those industrial units having Air Pollution More than 20, the concurrence/opinion of NEERI will have to be obtained." This order itself establishes that there is no existing Ad-hoc moratorium on setting up industries in the TTZ area and industries could be established after obtaining the concurrence/opinion of NEERI as per procedures laid down in the order (In the matter 13381 of 1984). Moreover, the CPCB has recognised 'Hotels as a nonindustrial activity. Kind attention in this regard is also drawn to letter no. F. No. H-11012/10/2015-CPA dated 13-12-2019 issued by the MoEF and CC. The said letter clarifies that, " In this context, the undersigned is

directed to inform that in view of the directions passed by the Honourable Supreme court in the aforesaid matter the decision taken during a meeting held on 08-09-2016 regarding Ad-hoc moratorium on expansion and setting up of new industry (except white category) to control Air Pollution by TTZ authority, stands revised to the extent that the project/activities in TTZ eligible as per the directions of the Honourable Supreme court dated 06-12-2019 (Supra) May be considered. The allegation of the applicant that there is an existing ad-hoc moratorium on the setting up of new industry except white, is strongly denied in view of the existing procedures of obtaining the concurrence/opinion of NEERI as per the orders of the Honourable Supreme court passed on 06-12- 2019 and 08-12-2021 before allowing industrial units to operate. Hotels are a non-industrial activity.

As per the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court vide orders dated December 6, 2019 and December 8, 2021 in the matter of writ petition (civil) no 13381/1984 M.C Mehta V/s Union of India & Ors, NEERI had prepared the Sectoral guidelines for the proposed industrial unit / activities with air pollution score between 11 & 20 and above falling under TTZ area which is further finalised by Ministry of Environment Forest and climate Change on dated 01<sup>st</sup> December 2022 vide file no Q – 17012/1/2022-CPA. It is also mentioned that separate guidelines for main air pollution industrial sectors such as glass manufacturing unit, foundry units and stone crusher unit will be prepared by NEERI.

➤ **SEAC asked whether issues raised in the complaint of Dr. Sharad Gupta has been addressed in this proposal?**

PP/Consultant replied that Dr. Sharad Gupta made a complaint in their previous proposal of “Shree Goverdhan Awas Private Limited”. This proposal has been already withdrawn by project proponent, therefore, the complaint has no locus standia. However, all the relevant issues raised by Dr. Sharad Gupta have been properly addressed in this proposal.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO-08**

**After the detailed deliberation, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the above project proposal along with standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

**Specific Conditions:**

1. Compliance of TTZ sectoral guidelines (Box-1) should be followed by project proponent.
2. Project proponent adhere to comply the order(s)/directions issued by Hon'ble Court(s)/TTZ Authority/ Competent authorities from time to time.
3. Project proponent should ensure that no effluent/waste water shall be discharged in the River Yamuna.
4. The project proponent shall have adequate space for parking of staff as well as for the visitors, and parking shall not be done on the roads, causing traffic disturbance.
5. Proponent shall also submit copy of 06 monthly compliance reports to CSIR-NEERI, Delhi at Scientist & Head, CSIR-NEERI Delhi Zonal Centre, A 93/94, Naraina Industrial Area, Phase I, New Delhi-110028.
6. Roof top rain water harvesting should be ensured and used for different purposes in the project.
7. Organic waste convertor should be installed.
8. Maximum solar potential should be used to minimize the power consumption.
9. The project proponent shall obtain forest clearance under the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1986, in case of the diversion of forest land for non-forest purpose involved in the project.

10. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
11. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of "Single use of Plastic" (SUP).
12. In compliance to Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.
13. The proponent should provide the sufficient electric vehicle charging points as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
14. The project proponent should develop green belt in the housing scheme as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.
15. Project proponent should invest the CER amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
16. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same.
17. Excess STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the prior permission of the concerned authority.
18. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water. The project proponent will submit revised water mass balance in the light of above to the directorate of Environment and the concerned regulatory authorities.
19. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
20. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.
21. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 5 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.

**Note: The SEAC-1 also opined that the copy of EC should also be sent to TTZ Authority for information and necessary action (if required).**

9. **"Building Stone, Khanda & Gitti, Boulder Mining Project" at Arazi No.- 1097, Khand No.- 03 Village- Utayan, Tehsil Sadar & District- Mahoba, Shri Bhanu Gupta, Area 1.214 Ha., 8089/6447/SIA/UP/MIN/440116/2023**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier listed in 810<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 20/11/2023 and the project proponent/consultant did not appear in the meeting and the committee decided that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 23/02/2024.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P). Based on the documents

submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for “Building Stone, Khanda & Gitti, Boulder Mining Project” at Arazi No. 1097, Khand No.-03 Village- Utian, Tehsil-Sadar & District- Mahoba, U.P., (Leased Area 1.214 Ha.).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 450/Parya/SEIAA/6447/2021, dated 08/01/2021.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 02/02/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 11/08/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1. On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/440116/2023		
2. File No. allotted by SEIAA, U.P	8089-6447		
3. Name of Proponent	M/s Betwa Pulses and Warehouse Pvt. Ltd. Proponent- Mr. Bhanu Gupta S/o Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta		
4. Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile no.	R/o- 50 Gita Colony, Dalbazar Lashkar, Gwalior, (M.P.)		
5. Name of Project	Building Stone, Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti) Mining Project		
6. Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Arazi No. 1097 (Khand No. – 03),		
7. Name of River	NA		
8. Name of Village	Utian		
9. Tehsil	Sadar		
10. District	Mahoba (U.P.)		
11. Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone, Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti) Mining Project		
12. Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	1.214 Ha.		
13. Mineable Area (in Ha.)	1.0226 Ha. (Safety Margin 0.1914 Ha. )		
14. Zero level mRL	NA		
15. Max. & Min mrl within lease area	Maximum & Minimum mRL is 167 & 153 mRL respectively.		
16. Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Pillar	Latitude(N)	Longitude(E)
	A	25°24'24.45"N	79°56'2.37"E
	B	25°24'26.72"N	79°56'5.80"E
	C	25°24'19.71"N	79°56'4.95"E
	D	25°24'19.39"N	79°56'4.23"E
17. Total Geological Reserves	8,30,519 m <sup>3</sup>		
18. Total Mineable Reserves	222778.8 m <sup>3</sup> for 5 years		
19. Sanction Quantity as per LOI	36,420 m <sup>3</sup> per year as per LOI		
20. Total Proposed Production (in five year)	1,82,100 m <sup>3</sup> in five year		
21. Proposed Production/year	36,420 m <sup>3</sup> per year		
22. Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	10 Years as per LOI		
23. Total proposed quantity for 10 years	3,64,200 m <sup>3</sup> for lease period of 10 years as per LOI		
24. Method of Mining	Open cast, Semi- Mechanized		
25. No. of working days	250		
26. Working hours/day	8 hours/day		
27. No. Of workers	34		
28. No. Of vehicles movement/day	12-14 Vehicles movement/day		
29. Type of Land	Building Stone(Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti) Mining Project		
30. Ultimate Depth of Mining	24 m for 5 years as per approved mining plan		
31. Nearest metalled road from site	NH-76 is about 6.21 km towards South-East direction from the project site.		
32. Water Requirement	PURPOSE	REQUIREMENT (KLD)	
	Drinking water	0.44 KLD	
	Dust suppression	2.07 KLD	
	Plantation	2.0 KLD	
	Others (if any)	-	

	Total	4.5 KLD approx
33. Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No And period of validity.	Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P) Certificate No. NABET/ EIA/ 1922/RA 0200 (Rev01) Valid up to 21 January, 2024	
34. Any litigation pending against the project or land in any court	No	
35. Details of 500 m Cluster Map & certificate issued by Mining Officer	Letter No. 4054/ एम०एम०सी०/2019-20 Date- 28/04/2020	
36. Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	In DSR page no 83 , serial no -22	
37. Proposed EMP cost	Rs. 15,96,730/-	
38. Proposed Total Project cost	Rs. 80,00,000/-	
39. Length and breadth of Haul Road	230 m length and 6.0 m width	
40. No. of Trees to be Planted	2000	
41. Monitoring period of baseline data	1st October 2020 to 31st December 2020	

5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

S.N.	Particulars	Estimated Cost	Numbers/Quantity	Total Cost
1	Installation of hand pump in primary school of village Utiyan	Rs. 80000 each	2 nos.	1,60,000
2	Health Check-up camps for the workers in village Utiyan	Twice in a year		1,00,000
3	Haul Road Maintenance	-	-	50,000
4	Construction of (1 Male & 1 Female) Toilet in village Utiyan	Rs. 40,000 per Toilet	2 Toilet	80,000
5	Installation of solar street light	Rs. 20,000 per solar street light	5 solar street light	1,00,000
	Total			Rs. 4,90,000

Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category-1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 09/09/2023 mentioning is as follows:

- I, Brij Mohan Singh Negi EIA Coordinator of M/s Environmental Research and Analysis, Lucknow (U.P.), Accreditation Certificate No. NABET/ EIA/ 1922/RA 0200 (Rev 01), valid till- 18/05/2024.
- I have prepared final EIA report for the Proposal No. SIA/UP/MIN/440116/2023, (FILE No.- 8089-6447) M/s Betwa Pulses and Ware housing Pvt. Ltd., Mr. Bhanu Gupta S/o – Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta R/o - 50 Gita Colony, Dalbazar Lashkar, District: Gwalior (M.P.) proposed “Building Stone, Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti) Mining Project” located at Arazi No. 1097 (Khand - 03), (Area 1.214 Ha.) in Village: Utiyan, Tehsil: Sadar, District: Mahoba, Uttar Pradesh with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no Mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I have satisfied with that all the necessary data/information required for EIA/EMP presentations are true and correct.
- I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on Parivesh Portal.
- I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on Parivesh Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.

7. I state that all the TOR points have been complied and all the issues raised during public hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 09**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.
9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.



10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.

10. **“Ordinary Soil Excavation Project” at Gata No.-163, Village-Bhokapur, Tehsil-Sarojani Nagar, District-Lucknow, Shri Prakash Chandra Shukla, Area: 0.9950 Ha., 8321/SIA/UP/MIN/446483/2023**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 10**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

11. **Expansion of Housing Complex at Village- Moazzamnagar, Kabirpur, Dularmau, Sultanpur Road, District- Lucknow, Shri S.S. Yadav, M/s Shree Hari Barter Ltd., 8377/7989/SIA/UP/INFRA2/449530/2023**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier listed in 810<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 20/11/2023 and the project proponent/consultant did not appear in the meeting and the committee decided that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 23/02/2024.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Perfact Enviro Solutions Pvt. Ltd. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for Expansion of Housing Complex at Village-Muajjamnagar, Mohanlalganj, Lucknow, U.P., M/s Shree Hari Barter Ltd.
2. Environmental Clearance for the existing project was issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India vide F. No. 21-146/2017-IA-III dated 28/07/2017 for plot area 70,023.30 m<sup>2</sup> and built-up area of 1,76,858.14 m<sup>2</sup> for development Sector A, B & C.
3. Consent to Establish (CTE) has been obtained from UPPCB vide F.No. H12199/C-5/NOC-910/Lucknow/2017/13 dated 17/11/2017.

4. Certified Compliance report for existing environmental clearance has been issued by IRO, MoEF&CC, Lucknow vide File No. IV/ENV/UP/Con-84/418/2017/138, dated 11/01/2024.
5. Cost of the project after expansion will be Rs. 360.0 Cr.
6. Land use details:

Particulars	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)
Ground Coverage	10,138.41	15.00
Green area	13,471.93	20.00
Road & Open Areas	43,978.67	65.00
Net Plot area	67,589.00	100.00

7. Comparative area details of existing and expansion proposal:

Particulars	Unit	As per EC granted on 28.07.2017	Constructed Area in Sector - C	Proposed to be constructed (Sector A & Sector B)	After Expansion	Remarks
Total Plot Area	m <sup>2</sup>	70,023.30	13,150.30	56,873.0	70,023.30	No change
Road Widening	m <sup>2</sup>	2,434.30	-	2,434.30	2,434.30	
Net Plot area	m <sup>2</sup>	67,589.00	13,150.30	54,438.70	67,589.00	
Ground Coverage (Proposed)	m <sup>2</sup>	12,115.5	2,750.0	7,388.4	10,138.4	Decrease
F.A.R AREA						
F.A.R Permissible	m <sup>2</sup>	1,65,649.92				No change
F.A.R Area- Residential Tower	m <sup>2</sup>	1,64,595.1	37,419.01 (5 no. of towers, 556 DU)	1,00,392.75	1,37,811.76	Decrease
Area under convenience shopping & Kiosks (17 no. )	m <sup>2</sup>		-	3,109.37	3,109.37	
Multi Purpose Hall	m <sup>2</sup>		-	450.00	450.00	
Subtotal A	m <sup>2</sup>				1,41,371.13	
Area free from FAR( Social infrastructure area)						
School	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	1200.00	1200.00	Increase
Clubs (2 nos)	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	544.34	544.34	
Temple	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	150.00	150.00	
Sub Total area - B	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	1894.34	1894.34	
Area under Amenities- C						
STP area	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	792.00	792.00	Increase
Substation	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	467.00	467.00	
Sub Total Area-C	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	1,259.00	1,259.00	
Non FAR Area-D						
Balcony/ refuge Area	m <sup>2</sup>	10,111.8	3,606.93	12,231.57	15,838.50	Increase
Stilt Area	m <sup>2</sup>	2151.27	2,749.87	3,971.32	6,721.19	
Basement Area	m <sup>2</sup>	-	-	24,270.12	24,270.12	
Built-up Area (A+B+C+D)	m <sup>2</sup>	1,76,858.14	43,775.810	1,47,578.47	1,91,354.28	
Green area	m <sup>2</sup>	13,471.925 (20%)	2,552.732	Natural Ground: 8236.98 Podium green: 2682.24	13,471.93	No change
Open and Road Area	m <sup>2</sup>	42,001.54	-	-	43,978.67	Increase
Floors	No	Sector A: S+21 Sector B: S+14 Sector C: S+14	Sector-C : S+14	Sector A and B : S+25	Sector A and B : S+25 Sector-C : S+14	Increase
Tower/Blocks	No	16 No. 5 in Sector A, 6 in Sector B & 5 in Sector C.	5 towers	9 tower (5 in Sector B and 2 in Sector A EWS & LIG) & school and Multi Purpose Hall facility	14 tower (5 in Sector B, 2 in Sector A EWS & LIG and 5 in sector C) & school and Multi	Decrease

					Purpose Hall facility	
Basement	Lvl	-	-	1 basement	1	<b>Increase</b>
Height of Building	m	65.73	-	-	81.20	<b>Increase</b>
Particulars	Unit	As per EC granted on 28.07.2017	Constructed in Sector -C	Proposed to be constructed (Sector A & Sector B)	After Expansion	<b>Remarks</b>
Dwelling Units	No.	2516	556	995	1551	<b>Decrease</b>
EWS/LIG	No.	-	-	200	200	<b>Increase</b>
Total population	No.	16,623	-	-	10,957	<b>Decrease</b>
Activities in Complex		Dwelling Units (Affordable Housing), Commercial Building, inter college and guest house	Dwelling Units	Dwelling Units (Affordable Housing), Multi Purpose Hall, convenience shopping, school, temple, swimming pool	Dwelling Units (Affordable Housing), Multi Purpose Hall, convenience shopping, school, temple, swimming pool	-

**8. Comparative services details of existing and expansion proposal:**

Particulars	Unit	As per EC	Total After Expansion
Water Requirement	KLD	1229	850
Fresh Water Demand	KLD	-	571
Waste Water Generation	KLD	1028	660
STP Capacity	KLD	1115 & 620	3 Modules (260, 370 & 525) (370 KLD already installed)
Treated Water Generation	KLD	-	594
Treated Water Reuse	KLD	442	279
Excess treated water	KLD	566	315
Solid Waste Generation	Kg/day	6276	4131
Biodegradable Waste	kg/day	4393	2479
Non-Biodegradable Waste	Kg/day	1883	1652
Power load	KW	7610.0	7610.0
DG sets	KVA	2 x 100 1 x 82.5 1 x 250	2 x 100, 1 x 82.5 1 x 250
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>76.1 KW of solar panels i.e. 1 % of total electric load will be provided. Solar panels will be installed on the roof for the same.</li> </ul>			
Parking Required	ECS	629 ECS 1258 Scooters	1706
Parking Provision	ECS	643 ECS 1941 Scooters	1706
Rain water Harvesting pits	No.	17	1 collection tank & 17 pits (3 pits are constructed)

**9. Landscape plan:**

- Total green area developed will be 13,471.93 m<sup>2</sup> (i.e. 20 % of plot area) with trees and plants.
- Number of trees proposed = 845

**10. Water calculation details:**

Particular	Population	Factor in LPCD	Requirement in KLD	Domestic demand in KLD	Flushing demand in KLD	Waste water in KLD
<b>Residential towers</b>						
Resident	8155	86	701	530	171	
Staff	200	45	9	5	4	
Visitor	816	15	12	4	8	
<b>Convenient shopping</b>						

Staff	104	45	5	3	2	
Visitor	933	15	14	5	9	
High school	500	45	23	13	10	
Banquet Hall	250	15	4	1	3	
Sub total	10,958		768	561	207	
waste water generation				449	207	656
Gardening			67			Nil
Water Body			10			Nil
Misc.			5			4
Total			850			660

## 11. Waste generation details:

- Total quantity of wastewater generated will be 660 KLD which will be treated in 3 no. of Sewage Treatment Plants of 260 KLD, 525 KLD and 370 KLD. 594 KLD of treated water will be generated out of which 279 KLD of water will be reused within the project for flushing, gardening & Misc. purposes.
- Excess treated water of 315 KLD will be discharged into the sewer line after meeting the discharged standards.

## 12. Solid waste generation details:

Type of Waste	Category	Disposal Method	Total Waste (kg/day)
Biodegradable	Organic waste	The waste will be treated in an organic waste converter and converted to manure.	2479
Non- Biodegradable	Recyclable	Approved recycler	1239
	Plastic Waste	Approved recycler	413
Total			4131

## 13. Parking details:

Parking Provision	Parking Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Factor	Parking (ECS)
Stilt parking in Sector-A	1,708	28	61
Open parking in Sector-A	3,197	23	139
Basement parking in Sector A	7,168	32	224
Stilt parking in Sector-B	2,800	28	100
Open parking in Sector-B	4,186	23	182
Basement parking in Sector B	12,480	32	390
Stilt parking in Sector-C	2,750	28	98
Open parking in Sector-C			512
Total Parking			1706

## 14. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

Social Activity proposed in Mozzamnagar Village	Proposed to be spent Capital Cost (Rs. in Lacs)
Installation of Solar Lights	30.0
Plantation of Trees	20.0
Total	50.0

## 15. The project proposal falls under category-8(b) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 22/02/2024 mentioning is as follows:

- I, Akta Chugh, D/o Ramprakash Ahuja is EIA Coordinator of M/s Perfact Enviro Solutions Pvt. Ltd.
- I have prepared the EIA/EMP report for the proposal in name of Expansion of Housing Complex at Village-Muajjamnagar, Mohanlalganj, Lucknow, U.P., M/s Shree Hari Barter Ltd. with my team.
- I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no construction activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
- I am satisfied that all the necessary data/ information submitted along with application/EIA/EMP report are true and correct.

5. I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on PARIVESH Portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on PARIVESH Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. The EIA/EMP Report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 11**

**The committee discussed the matter and recommended grant of environmental clearance on the proposal as above alongwith standard environmental clearance conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC, GoI and following specific conditions:**

**Specific Conditions:**

1. Project proponent is advised to explore the possibility and getting the cement in a closed container rather through the plastic bag to prevent dust emissions at the time of loading/unloading.
2. Project proponent should ensure that there will be no use of "Single use of Plastic" (SuP).
3. In compliance to Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 13/01/2020 in IA no. 158128/2019 and 158129/2019 in Writ petition no. 13029/1985 (MC Mehta Vs. GoI and others) anti-smog guns shall be installed to reduce dust during excavation.
4. The project proponent shall comply the use of fuel for backup power as per guidelines by "Commission of Air Quality Management in NCR", directions of courts and CPCB shall be strictly adhered regarding DG sets (cleaner fuel as PNG/CNG/permissible fuel in NCR). The project proponent shall follow the orders/guidelines issued by Hon'ble Court(s)/Competent authorities from time to time.
5. The project proponent will ensure that there is no mismatch/deviation between the project proposal submitted to SEIAA for environmental clearance and maps/drawings were approved by concerned development authority. In case of any mismatch/deviation, amended environmental clearance will be obtained by project proponent. In case of failure, the granted environmental clearance shall automatically deem to be cancelled.
6. The project proponent shall ensure that the project site does not attract/infringe any buffer zone, wetland zone etc. of no activity identified/declared under law.
7. Criteria/ norms provided by competent Authority regarding the seismic zone be followed for construction work. Provision of alarm system, to timely notify the residents, in case of occurrence of earthquake/other natural disasters/fire should be provided. A well defined evacuation plan should also be prepared and regular mock drills should be arranged for the residents. Rise of stairs should be constructed in a way, so that it should provide smooth movement.
8. The project proponent should develop green belt in the said project as per the plan submitted and also follow the guidelines of CPCB/Development authority for green belt as per the norms. The project proponent will prepare working plan of plantation/green belt development showing type of plant species and their spacing in consultation with subject expert/ forest department and submit to the forest department and concerned regulatory authority and ensure their survival and sustainability.
9. The proponent should provide electric vehicle charging facility as per the requirements at ground level and allocate the safe and suitable place in the premises for the same.
10. Project proponent should invest the CSR amount as per the proposal and submit the compliance report regularly to the concerned authority/Directorate of environment.
11. Proponent shall provide the dual pipeline network in the project for utilization of treated water of STP for different purposes and also provide the monitoring mechanism for the same. STP treated water not to be discharged outside the premises without the permission of the concerned authority.
12. The project proponent will ensure full exploitation of potential of rain water harvesting for storage and recharging and also treated wastewater in order to reduce the withdrawal of fresh water and accordingly use the three sources of water supply namely stored rain water, treated wastewater

and the fresh water. The project proponent shall also provide a flow measuring device along with flow integrator for monitoring the various sources of water supply namely fresh water, treated waste water and stored harvested rain water.

13. The project proponent will ensure the quality of construction water as per standards and specifications of relevant codes in order to prevent possible corrosion in concrete, reinforcements and other structural components in order to avoid adverse social and environmental impacts.
14. The project proponent will ensure exploitation of maximum possible potential of solar energy generation in the proposed project area and prefer to use it instead of conventional electricity in order to reduce the Green House Gas Emission causing climate change.
15. The project proponent will make necessary arrangement to get Structural auditing conducted by an expert institution once in 05 years during life span of the building to ensure safe life of the residents and prevent environmental and social hazards.
16. The project proponent shall plan for storm water management drained with appropriate slope and length so that the flood water could get a passage to release in a short span of time.

**Standard Environmental Clearance Conditions prescribed by MoEF&CC:**

1. Statutory compliance:
  1. The project proponent shall obtain all necessary clearance/ permission from all relevant agencies including town planning authority before commencement of work. All the construction shall be done in accordance with the local building byelaws.
  2. The approval of the Competent Authority shall be obtained for structural safety of buildings due to earthquakes, adequacy of firefighting equipment etc as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightning etc.
  3. The project proponent shall obtain forest clearance under the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1986, in case of the diversion of forest land for non-forest purpose involved in the project.
  4. The project proponent shall obtain clearance from the National Board for Wildlife, if applicable.
  5. The project proponent shall obtain Consent to Establish / Operate under the provisions of Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 from the concerned State Pollution Control Board/ Committee.
  6. The project proponent shall obtain the necessary permission for drawl of ground water / surface water required for the project from the competent authority.
  7. A certificate of adequacy of available power from the agency supplying power to the project along with the load allowed for the project should be obtained.
  8. All other statutory clearances such as the approvals for storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department shall be obtained, as applicable, by project proponents from the respective competent authorities.
  9. The provisions of the Solid Waste (Management) Rules, 2016, e-Waste (Management) Rules, 2016, and the Plastics Waste (Management) Rules, 2016 shall be followed.
  10. The project proponent shall follow the ECBC/ECBC-R prescribed by Bureau of Energy Efficiency, Ministry of Power strictly.
2. Air quality monitoring and preservation:
  1. Notification GSR 94(E) dated 25.01.2018 of MoEF&CC regarding Mandatory Implementation of Dust Mitigation Measures for Construction and Demolition Activities for projects requiring Environmental Clearance shall be complied with.
  2. A management plan shall be drawn up and implemented to contain the current exceedance in ambient air quality at the site.

3. The project proponent shall install system to carryout Ambient Air Quality monitoring for common/criterion parameters relevant to the main pollutants released (e.g. PM10 and PM25) covering upwind and downwind directions during the construction period.
4. Construction site shall be adequately barricaded before the construction begins. Dust, smoke & other air pollution prevention measures shall be provided for the building as well as the site. These measures shall include screens for the building under construction, continuous dust/ wind breaking walls all around the site (at least 3 meter height). Plastic/tarpaulin sheet covers shall be provided for vehicles bringing in sand, cement, murram and other construction materials prone to causing dust pollution at the site as well as taking out debris from the site.
5. Sand, murram, loose soil, cement, stored on site shall be covered adequately so as to prevent dust pollution.
6. Wet jet shall be provided for grinding and stone cutting.
7. Unpaved surfaces and loose soil shall be adequately sprinkled with water to suppress dust.
8. All construction and demolition debris shall be stored at the site (and not dumped on the roads or open spaces outside) before they are properly disposed. All demolition and construction waste shall be managed as per the provisions of the Construction and Demolition Waste Rules 2016.
9. The diesel generator sets to be used during construction phase shall be low sulphur diesel type and shall conform to Environmental (Protection) prescribed for air and noise mission standards.
10. The gaseous emissions from DG set shall be dispersed through adequate stack height as per CPCB standards. Acoustic enclosure shall be provided to the DG sets to mitigate the noise pollution. The location of the DG set and exhaust pipe height shall be as per the provisions of the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) norms.
11. For indoor air quality the ventilation provisions as per National Building Code of India.
3. Water quality monitoring and preservation:
  1. The natural drain system should be maintained for ensuring unrestricted flow of water. No construction shall be allowed to obstruct the natural drainage through the site, on wetland and water bodies. Check dams, bio-swales, landscape, and other sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDS) are allowed for maintaining the drainage pattern and to harvest rain water.
  2. Buildings shall be designed to follow the natural topography as much as possible. Minimum cutting and filling should be done.
  3. Total fresh water use shall not exceed the proposed requirement as provided in the project details.
  4. The quantity of fresh water usage, water recycling and rainwater harvesting shall be measured and recorded to monitor the water balance as projected by the project proponent. The record shall be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEF&CC along with six monthly Monitoring reports.
  5. A certificate shall be obtained from the local body supplying water, specifying the total annual water availability with the local authority, the quantity of water already committed, the quantity of water allotted to the project under consideration and the balance water available. This should be specified separately for ground water and surface water sources, ensuring that there is no impact on other users.
  6. At least 20% of the open spaces as required by the local building bye-laws shall be pervious. Use of Grass pavers, paver blocks with at least 50% opening, landscape etc. would be considered as pervious surface.

7. Installation of dual pipe plumbing for supplying fresh water for drinking, cooking and bathing etc and other for supply of recycled water for flushing, landscape irrigation car washing, thermal cooling, conditioning etc. shall be done.
8. Use of water saving devices/ fixtures (viz. low flow flushing systems; use of low flow faucets tap aerators etc) for water conservation shall be incorporated in the building plan.
9. Use of water saving devices/ fixtures (viz. low flow flushing systems; use of low flow faucets tap aerators etc) for water conservation shall be incorporated in the building plan.
10. Water demand during construction should be reduced by use of pre-mixed concrete, curing agents and other best practices referred.
11. The local bye-law provisions on rain water harvesting should be followed. If local byelaw provision is not available, adequate provision for storage and recharge should be followed as per the Ministry of Urban Development Model Building Byelaws, 2016. Rain water harvesting recharge pits/storage tanks shall be provided for ground water recharging as per the CGWB norms.
12. A rain water harvesting plan needs to be designed where the recharge bores of minimum one recharge bore per 5,000 square meters of built up area and storage capacity of minimum one day of total fresh water requirement shall be provided. In areas where ground water recharge is not feasible, the rain water should be harvested and stored for reuse. The ground water shall not be withdrawn without approval from the Competent Authority.
13. All recharge should be limited to shallow aquifer.
14. No ground water shall be used during construction phase of the project.
15. Any ground water dewatering should be properly managed and shall conform to the approvals and the guidelines of the CGWA in the matter. Formal approval shall be taken from the CGWA for any ground water abstraction or dewatering.
16. The quantity of fresh water usage, water recycling and rainwater harvesting shall be measured and recorded to monitor the water balance as projected by the project proponent. The record shall be submitted to the Regional Office, MoEF&CC along with six monthly Monitoring reports.
17. Sewage shall be treated in the STP with tertiary treatment. The treated effluent from STP shall be recycled/re-used for flushing, AC make up water and gardening. As proposed, not related water shall be disposed in to municipal drain.
18. No sewage or untreated effluent water would be discharged through storm water drains.
19. Onsite sewage treatment of capacity of treating 100% waste water to be installed. The installation of the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) shall be certified by an independent expert and a report in this regard shall be submitted to the Ministry before the project is commissioned for operation. Treated waste water shall be reused on site for landscape, flushing, cooling tower, and other end-uses. Excess treated water shall be discharged as per statutory norms notified by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. Natural treatment systems shall be promoted.
20. Periodical monitoring of water quality of treated sewage shall be conducted. Necessary measures should be made to mitigate the odor problem from STP.
21. Sludge from the onsite sewage treatment, including septic tanks, shall be collected, conveyed and disposed as per the Ministry of Urban Development, Centre Public Health and Environmental Engineering Organization (CPHEEO) Manual on Sewerage and Sewage Treatment Systems, 2013.
4. Noise monitoring and prevention:
  1. Ambient noise levels shall conform to residential area/commercial area/industrial area/silence zone both during day and night as per Noise Pollution (Control and Regulation) Rules, 2000.



Incremental pollution loads on the ambient air and noise quality shall be closely monitored during construction phase. Adequate measures shall be made to reduce ambient air and noise level during construction phase, so as to conform to the stipulated standards by CPCB / SPCB.

2. Noise level survey shall be carried as per the prescribed guidelines and report in this regard shall be submitted to Regional Officer of the Ministry as a part of six-monthly compliance report.
3. Acoustic enclosures for DG sets, noise barriers for ground-run bays, ear plugs for operating personnel shall be implemented as mitigation measures for noise impact due to ground sources.
5. Energy Conservation measures:
  1. Compliance with the Energy Conservation Building Code (ECBC) of Bureau of Energy Efficiency shall be ensured. Buildings in the States which have notified their own ECBC, shall comply with the State ECBC.
  2. Outdoor and common area lighting shall be LED.
  3. Concept of passive solar design that minimize energy consumption in buildings by using design elements, such as building orientation, landscaping, efficient building envelope, appropriate fenestration, increased day lighting design and thermal mass etc. shall be incorporated in the building design. Wall, window, and roof u-values shall be as per ECBC specifications.
  4. Energy conservation measures like installation of CFLs/ LED for the lighting the area outside the building should be integral part of the project design and should be in place before project commissioning.
  5. Solar, wind or other Renewable Energy shall be installed to meet electricity generation equivalent to 1% of the demand load or as per the state level/ local building bye-laws requirement, whichever is higher.
  6. Solar power shall be used for lighting in the apartment to reduce the power load on grid. Separate electric meter shall be installed for solar power. Solar water heating shall be provided to meet 20% of the hot water demand of the commercial and institutional building or as per the requirement of the local building bye-laws, whichever is higher. Residential buildings are also recommended to meet its hot water demand from solar water heaters, as far as possible.
6. Waste Management :
  1. A certificate from the competent authority handling municipal solid wastes, indicating the existing civic capacities of handling and their adequacy to cater to the M.S.W. generated from project shall be obtained.
  2. Disposal of muck during construction phase shall not create any adverse effect on the neighboring communities and be disposed taking the necessary precautions for general safety and health aspects of people, only in approved sites with the approval of competent authority.
  3. Separate wet and dry bins must be provided in each unit and at the ground level for facilitating segregation of waste. Solid waste shall be segregated into wet garbage and inert materials.
  4. Organic waste compost/ Vermiculture pit/ Organic Waste Converter within the premises with a minimum capacity of 0.3 kg /person/day must be installed.
  5. All non-biodegradable waste shall be handed over to authorized recyclers for which a written tie up must be done with the authorized recyclers.
  6. Any hazardous waste generated during construction phase, shall be disposed off as per applicable rules and norms with necessary approvals of the State Pollution Control Board.

7. Use of environment friendly materials in bricks, blocks and other construction materials, shall be required for at least 20% of the construction material quantity. These include Fly Ash bricks, hollow bricks, AACs, Fly Ash Lime Gypsum blocks, Compressed earth blocks, and other environment friendly materials.
8. Fly ash should be used as building material in the construction as per the provision of Fly Ash Notification of September, 1999 and amended as on 27th August, 2003 and 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2016. Ready mixed concrete must be used in building construction.
9. Any wastes from construction and demolition activities related thereto shall be managed so as to strictly conform to the Construction and Demolition Rules, 2016.
10. Used CFLs and TFLs should be properly collected and disposed off/sent for recycling as per the prevailing guidelines/ rules of the regulatory authority to avoid mercury contamination.
7. Green Cover:
  1. No tree can be felled/transplant unless exigencies demand. Where absolutely necessary, tree felling shall be with prior permission from the concerned regulatory authority. Old trees should be retained based on girth and age regulations as may be prescribed by the Forest Department. Plantations to be ensured species (cut) to species (planted).
  2. A minimum of 1 tree for every 80 sqm of land should be planted and maintained. The existing trees will be counted for this purpose. The landscape planning should include plantation of native species. The species with heavy foliage, broad leaves and wide canopy cover are desirable. Water intensive and/or invasive species should not be used for landscaping.
  3. Where the trees need to be cut with prior permission from the concerned local Authority, compensatory plantation in the ratio of 1:10 (i.e. planting of 10 trees for every 1 tree that is cut) shall be done and maintained. Plantations to be ensured species (cut) to species (planted). Area for green belt development shall be provided as per the details provided in the project document.
  4. Topsoil should be stripped to a depth of 20 cm from the areas proposed for buildings, roads, paved areas, and external services. It should be stockpiled appropriately in designated areas and reapplied during plantation of the proposed vegetation on site.
8. Transport:
  1. A comprehensive mobility plan, as per MoUD best practices guidelines (URDPFI), shall be prepared to include motorized, non-motorized, public, and private networks. Road should be designed with due consideration for environment, and safety of users. The road system can be designed with these basic criteria.
    - a. Hierarchy of roads with proper segregation of vehicular and pedestrian traffic.
    - b. Traffic calming measures.
    - c. Proper design of entry and exit points.
    - d. Parking norms as per local regulation.
  2. Vehicles hired for bringing construction material to the site should be in good condition and should have a pollution check certificate and should conform to applicable air and noise emission standards be operated only during non-peak hours.
  3. A detailed traffic management and traffic decongestion plan shall be drawn up to ensure that the current level of service of the roads within a 05 kms radius of the project is maintained and improved upon after the implementation of the project. This plan should be based on cumulative impact of all development and increased habitation being carried out or proposed to be carried out by the project or other agencies in this 05 Kms radius of the site in different scenarios of space and time and the traffic management plan shall be duly validated and certified by the State Urban Development department and the P.W.D./ competent authority for road augmentation and shall also have their consent to the implementation of components of the plan which involve the participation of these departments.
9. Human health issues :

1. All workers working at the construction site and involved in loading, unloading, carriage of construction material and construction debris or working in any area with dust pollution shall be provided with dust mask.
  2. For indoor air quality the ventilation provisions as per National Building Code of India.
  3. Emergency preparedness plan based on the Hazard identification and Risk Assessment (HIRA) and Disaster Management Plan shall be implemented.
  4. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
  5. Occupational health surveillance of the workers shall be done on a regular basis.
  6. A First Aid Room shall be provided in the project both during construction and operations of the project.
10. Corporate Environment Responsibility:
1. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions contained in this Ministry's OM vide F.No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018, as applicable, regarding Corporate Environment Responsibility.
  2. The company shall have a well laid down environmental policy duly approved by the Board of Directors. The environmental policy should prescribe for standard operating procedures to have proper checks and balances and to bring into focus any infringements/deviation/violation of the environmental / forest / wildlife norms / conditions. The company shall have defined system of reporting infringements / deviation / violation of the environmental / forest / wildlife norms / conditions and / or shareholders / stake holders. The copy of the board resolution in this regard shall be submitted to the MoEF&CC as a part of six-monthly report.
  3. A separate Environmental Cell both at the project and company head quarter level, with qualified personnel shall be set up under the control of senior Executive, who will directly to the head of the organization.
  4. Action plan for implementing EMP and environmental conditions along with responsibility matrix of the company shall be prepared and shall be duly approved by competent authority. The year wise funds earmarked for environmental protection measures shall be kept in separate account and not to be diverted for any other purpose. Year wise progress of implementation of action plan shall be reported to the Ministry/Regional Office along with the Six Monthly Compliance Report.
11. Miscellaneous:
1. The project proponent shall prominently advertise it at least in two local newspapers of the District or State, of which one shall be in the vernacular language within seven days indicating that the project has been accorded environment clearance and the details of MoEFCC/SEIAA website where it is displayed.
  2. The copies of the environmental clearance shall be submitted by the project proponents to the Heads of local bodies, Panchayats and Municipal Bodies in addition to the relevant offices of the Government who in turn has to display the same for 30 days from the date of receipt.
  3. The project proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the stipulated environment clearance conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and update the same on half-yearly basis.
  4. The project proponent shall submit six-monthly reports on the status of the compliance of the stipulated environmental conditions on the website of the ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change at environment clearance portal.
  5. The project proponent shall submit the environmental statement for each financial year in Form-V to the concerned State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986, as amended subsequently and put on the website of the company.
  6. The project proponent shall inform the Regional Office as well as the Ministry, the date of financial closure and final approval of the project by the concerned authorities, commencing the land development work and start of production operation by the project.

7. The project authorities must strictly adhere to the stipulations made by the State Pollution Control Board and the State Government.
  8. The project proponent shall abide by all the commitments and recommendations made in the EIA/EMP report, commitment made during Public Hearing and also that during their presentation to the Expert Appraisal Committee.
  9. No further expansion or modifications in the plant shall be carried out without prior approval of the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC).
  10. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data may result in revocation of this environmental clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
  11. The Ministry may revoke or suspend the clearance, if implementation of any of the above conditions is not satisfactory.
  12. The Ministry reserves the right to stipulate additional conditions if found necessary. The Company in a time bound manner shall implement these conditions.
  13. The Regional Office of this Ministry shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (s) of the Regional Office by furnishing the requisite data / information/monitoring reports.
  14. The above conditions shall be enforced, inter-alia under the provisions of the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and Rules and any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / High Courts and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.
  15. Any appeal against this EC shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
12. **Jaypee Greens Sports City East (Part- I & II), Gautam Buddha Nagar, Ashok Khera., 5887/5528/SIA/UP/MIS/57019/2020**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 12**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

13. **Jaypee Greens Sports City East (Part III), Gautam Buddha Nagar, Ashok Khera., 5835/5529/SIA/UP/MIS/56579/2020**

**RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 13**

The project proponent/consultant did not appear. The committee discussed and deliberated that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The file shall not be treated as pending at SEAC. The matter will be discussed only after submission of online requests on prescribed online portal.

**14. “Building Stone (Granite Khanda, Boulder, Ballast)” Mining Project at Araj No.- 93 (Khand No.- 25) Village: Bari, Tehsil: Sadar, District: Mahoba, Smt. Prema Devi, Area: 1.012 Ha., 8455/7884/SIA/UP/MIN/451494/2023**

The Secretariat informed the committee that the matter was earlier listed in 817<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 18/12/2023 and the project proponent/consultant did not appear in the meeting and the committee decided that the project file should be closed and be opened only after request from the project proponent. The project proponent submitted their request through online Parivesh Portal and the matter was listed in 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 23/02/2024.

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Globus Environment Engineering Services. Based on the documents submitted and presentation made by the project proponent along with the consultant, the following facts have emerged: -

1. The environmental clearance is sought for “Building Stone (Granite Khanda, Boulder, Ballast)” Mining Project at Araj No. 93 (Khand No.- 25) Village: Bari, Tehsil: Sadar, District: Mahoba, U.P., (Leased Area: 1.012 Ha.).
2. The Terms of Reference in the matter were issued by SEIAA, U.P vide Letter No. 169/Parya/SEIAA/7884/2023, dated 16/07/2023.
3. The Public Hearing was organized on 21/09/2023. Final EIA report submitted by the project proponent on 06/11/2023.
4. Salient features of the project as submitted by the project proponent:

1.	On-line proposal No.	SIA/UP/MIN/451494/2023																		
2.	File no. allotted by SEIAA,UP	8455-7884																		
3.	Name of Proponent	Smt. Prema Devi W/o Shri Amar Singh																		
4.	Full correspondence address of proponent and mobile no.	R/O: 24, Manjhupur, Saiyyad Bada, District: Hamirpur, Uttar Pradesh Pin Code: 210301																		
5.	Name of Project	Building Stone (Granite Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti)) Mine																		
6.	Project location (Plot/Khasra/Gata No.)	Araj No. 93, Khand No. 25																		
7.	Name of Village	Bari																		
8.	Tehsil	Sadar																		
9.	District	Mahoba, U.P.																		
10.	Name of Minor Mineral	Building Stone (Granite Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti))																		
11.	Sanctioned Lease Area (in Ha.)	Total Lease Area: 1.012 ha																		
12.	Max. & Min mRL within lease area	Highest Point :176mRL Lowest Point :166mRL																		
13.	Pillar Coordinates (Verified by DMO)	Sanction Lease Area Co-ordinate																		
		<table> <tr> <th>Pillars</th><th>Pillars</th><th>Pillars</th></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>25°24'56.58"N</td><td>79°54'47.76"E</td></tr> <tr> <td>B</td><td>25°24'56.60"N</td><td>79°54'49.19"E</td></tr> <tr> <td>C</td><td>25°24'56.73"N</td><td>79°54'50.26"E</td></tr> <tr> <td>D</td><td>25°24'51.16"N</td><td>79°54'47.79"E</td></tr> <tr> <td>E</td><td>25°24'52.15"N</td><td>79°54'45.98"E</td></tr> </table>	Pillars	Pillars	Pillars	A	25°24'56.58"N	79°54'47.76"E	B	25°24'56.60"N	79°54'49.19"E	C	25°24'56.73"N	79°54'50.26"E	D	25°24'51.16"N	79°54'47.79"E	E	25°24'52.15"N	79°54'45.98"E
Pillars	Pillars	Pillars																		
A	25°24'56.58"N	79°54'47.76"E																		
B	25°24'56.60"N	79°54'49.19"E																		
C	25°24'56.73"N	79°54'50.26"E																		
D	25°24'51.16"N	79°54'47.79"E																		
E	25°24'52.15"N	79°54'45.98"E																		
14.	Total Geological Reserves	2,96,640 m <sup>3</sup>																		
15.	Total Mineable Reserves	1,69,951 m <sup>3</sup>																		
16.	Total Proposed Production (in five years)	1,61,920 m <sup>3</sup>																		
17.	Proposed Production/year	32,384 m <sup>3</sup> per annum																		
18.	Sanctioned Period of Mine lease	10 Years																		
19.	No. Of Workers	33																		
20.	Type of Land	State Government Land																		
21.	Ultimate Depth of Mining	30 m (176mRL – 146 mRL) (Source: Approved Mining Plan)																		
22.	Nearest metalled road from site	430 m																		

23.	Water Requirement	Purpose	Requirement (KLD)
		Drinking	0.165
		Suppression of Dust	3.010
		Plantation	4.0
		Total	7.175
24.	Name of QCI Accredited Consultant with QCI No. and period of validity.	GLOBUS ENVIRONMENT ENGINEERING SERVICES Certificate No. NABET/EIA/2124/RA0245, Validity Till August 24/2024	
25.	Any litigation pending against the project or hand in any court	No	
26.	Details of 500 m Cluster Map & certificate issued by Mining Officer	Cluster certificate issued by DMO (Mining Section), Mahoba Letter No. 2818/ एम. एम. सी -30/2022-23, Date – 17-01-2023	
27.	Details of Lease Area in approved DSR	Page No.- 88, Sr. No. 112	
28.	Proposed EMP Cost	Rs. 25.91 Lakhs	
29.	Length and width of Haul Road	Haul Road Length 430 m & Width 7 m	
30.	No. of Trees to be Planted	2000 Trees	
31.	Monitoring Period	March 2022 to May 2022	

5. Action Plan as per Ministry's O.M. dated 30/09/2020:

Concerns raised during the Public Hearing	Physical activity to be done	Unit of measurement			Tentative Budget (in Rs.)
		1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	
Infrastructure Development	Distribution of Computers in school	Government School Dharaun	--	--	96,000
Health	Health checkup camp and general medicine distribution in the Presence of Qualified Doctors.	--	--	(Village-Bari)	1,00,000
	Distribution of wheel chair and stretcher in Community Health Center	--	Wheel Chair- Rs. 7000 x 2 Stretcher – Rs.8000 x 2 (CHC, Kabrai, )	--	30,000
	Distribution of wheel chair and stretcher in District hospital	--	Wheel Chair- Rs. 7000 x 2 Stretcher – Rs.8000 x 2 (Mahoba)	--	30,000
Total C.E.R					Rs. 2,56,000
Note : The above action plan will be implemented during project implementation phase. Zero date will start from the date of start for the proposed project.					

- The mining would be restricted to unsaturated zone only above the phreatic water table and will not intersect the ground water table at any point of time.
- This project does not attract any of the general conditions applicable on mining projects specified in EIA Notification 14/09/2006.
- The mining operation will not be carried out in safety zone of any bridge or embankment or in eco-fragile zone such as habitat of any wild fauna.
- There is no litigation pending in any court regarding this project.
- The project proposal falls under category–1(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended).

The consultant (EIA Coordinator) also submitted an affidavit dated 09/09/2023 mentioning is as follows:

- I Mr. Akhilesh Gupta S/o Sri. Omkar Gupta is EIA Coordinator of M/s Globus Environment Engineering Services.
- I have prepared the EIA/EMP report for the Proposal (SIA/UP/MIN/451494/2023) in Name of Building Stone (Granite Khanda, Boulder, Ballast (Gitti)) Mining Project at Araj No. 93, Khand

No. 25 of Area: 1.012 Ha, Village: Bari, Tehsil: Sadar, District: Mahoba, State: Uttar Pradesh with my team.

3. I have personally visited the site of proposal and certify that no Mining activity has been undertaken on the project site for the present proposal.
4. I am satisfied that all the necessary data/ information submitted along with project proposal are true and correct.
5. I certify that this project proposal has been uploaded for the first time on PARIVESH Portal.
6. I certify that there will be no mismatch between information/data provided on the online application submitted on PARIVESH Portal and the hard copy/presentation which will be submitted after acceptance of application.
7. I state that all the TOR Points have been complied and all the issues raised during Public Hearing have been properly addressed in EIA report.
8. The EIA/EMP Report for the Proposal is prepared by my team as per guidelines laid down by QCI/NABET.

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 14**

**The committee discussed the matter and noted that Project proponent/consultant informed that this DSR was finalized by the Department of Geology and Mining, U.P. (DGM, UP) before 04/12/2023 as per the prevailing order. Project proponent/consultant further submitted that lease was auctioned and proposal for EC have been uploaded on Parivesh Portal. In view of above submission the proposal is being technically appraised. However, the committee recommended grant of environmental clearance for the project proposal and this EC must be subject to any order passed by Hon'ble Courts and to any guidelines issued by MoEF&CC, Govt. of India. The committee also stipulated following specific conditions:**

1. The environmental clearance will be co-terminus with the validity of the lease period mentioned in the LoI or co-terminus with the validity of current mine plan whichever is earlier, after this period the EC will automatically become null and void.
2. Project Proponent should submit action plan for carrying out plantation at least @1,000 plants / ha of lease area. In this case, PP should prepare a plan, duly approved either by Forest Department or District plantation committee, for planting at least (as per the project) plants, either on government land or community land, within a periphery of 5 km from the boundary of the lease area along with provisions for maintenance for 5 years. Survival of plants should not be less than the survival rate notified by Uttar Pradesh Forest Department otherwise it will be treated as violation of EC condition.
3. The project proponent shall install solar lights in their project area to minimise the consumption of electricity.
4. During the submission of 6 monthly compliance reports, the project proponent should make sure that the periodically taken site photographs should also be annexed along with the compliance report.
5. Preference should be given to indigenous local species as per the consultation of the local district Forest Officer/Expert Agency in this field.
6. The maximum height of the bench should be 06 meters and the width of the bench should be at least twice the height of the bench as per the mine plan approval letter by DGM, U.P
7. In case the blasting is proposed during a mining operation, the project proponent needs to assess its impact on the displacement of human beings/wild animals/birds/other species, and the suitable measures proposed and taken for their rehabilitation and resettlement need to be clearly described in first 6 monthly compliance report.
8. The project proponent shall submit a final mine closure plan/Exit protocol for rehabilitation of mined-out land to match its surrounding land use 3 years before the closure of the mine to

SEIAA, UP and Department of Mines and Geology, UP for approval. The project proponent shall ensure the implementation of the approved plan under the supervision of the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

9. The project proponent shall plan and implement collection drain and siltation basins of adequate size to arrest the silt and sediment flow from the quarry area. The surface runoff rainwater harvesting and other water conservation measures on a long-term basis are to be taken in consultation with the Central/State Groundwater Board. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the haulage area, roads, and green belt development, etc.
10. The project proponent shall take all suitable measures to prevent pollution of groundwater and nearby water bodies in consultation with the State Pollution Control Board and consent to operate (if applicable) should be obtained from the State Pollution Control Board before the start of production from the mine.
11. Link Road from the quarry site to the main road shall be constructed as an all-weather road with blacktopping and maintained by the project proponent.
12. Vehicular emissions should be kept under control and regularly monitored. Suitable measures shall be taken for proper maintenance of vehicles used in a quarry operation and transportation.
13. The project proponent should explore the possibilities of rainwater harvesting.
14. Agreement/ Consent between project proponent and competent authority/ landowner for haulage road from lease site to link road.
15. Latest technology (water sprinklers/ tankers) to be adopted for mitigating dust at source points in lease area and haulage road during operational activity/vehicular movement.
16. As per the proposed plan, plantation with area specific plant species, number of plants to be Planted and report of green belt development to be submitted to the Forest Department, UPPCB and Directorate of Environment, UP.

15. **Sand/Morrum Mining Project at Sukhani River, Gata No. 1984 Mi & 01, Village: Dhawakar/Sitaura, Tehsil Mauranipur & District: Jhansi, Shri Dinesh Kumar Rajpoot., 6545/5579/SIA/UP/CMIN/307627/2023**

#### **RESOLUTION AGAINST AGENDA NO. 15**

The consultant informed the committee that they are strictly following the rules, regulations and other instructions of QCI/NABET. A presentation was made by the project proponent along with their consultant M/s Globus Environment Engineering Services. The project proponent informed the committee that the environmental clearance for the above project was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide EC Identification no. EC21B001UP143315, dated 30/11/2021 for the mining leased area 9.0 ha with mining quantity 35,000 m<sup>3</sup>/annum.

The project proponent submitted an online amendment application regarding change of mining quantity from 35,000 m<sup>3</sup>/annum to 14,080 m<sup>3</sup>/annum in environment clearance letter issued by SEIAA, U.P. During the presentation the committee observed that the project proponent has not been produced letter issued by District Magistrate/Mining Department regarding availability of sand/morrum at the mining lease area. Hence, the committee advised the project proponent to contact the Mining Department for any reduction of mining quantity.

The matter will be discussed after receipt of above information on prescribed portal.



16. परियोजना “Rishita Mulberry Heights Group Housing No 1 Pocket-6, Sector-C at Sushant Gold Hi-Tech Township, District-Lucknow” का संयुक्त समिति की स्थल निरीक्षण आख्या के सम्बन्ध में।

The Secretariat informed the committee that the chronology of the project proposal is as follows:

1. The environment clearance for the project proposal was issued by SEIAA, U.P. vide its letter no. 222/Parya/SEIAA/5381-4624/2019, dated 28/07/2020.
2. A legal notice dated 14/02/2023 of Shri Arvind Kumar Rai, Advocate High Court, Allahabad/National Green Tribunal, New Delhi on behalf of Shri Satyendra Pandey, President, NGO, “Paryavaran Sena” has been received through email and legal notice put up in 728<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 17/02/2023 wherein:

*“Nodal Officer, SEAC-1 put up the legal notice of Mr. Arvind Kumar Rai, Advocate High Court Allahabad/ National Green Tribunal, New Delhi dated 14-02-2023 addressed to Chairman, SEIAA, U.P. regarding “Cancellation of the erroneous Environment Clearance granted in the favor of Group housing Project “Rishita Mulberry Heights”, Group Housing, Pocket-6, Sector-C, Hitech Township, District-Lucknow, UP, M/s Rishita Developers Pvt. Ltd.”*

*The SEAC has gone through the legal notice dated 14-02-2023 .There are very serious issues raised in the legal notice. However, to give proper opportunity, SEAC opines that project proponent Mr. Sudhir Kumar Agarwal, M/s Rishita Developers Pvt. Ltd. and consultant M/s Sawen Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. should be asked to appear before the SEAC -1 and explain about the facts mentioned in the legal notice. Mr. Arvind Kumar Rai (himself or through its representative) may also be requested to present & put up his point of view before SEAC-1 and assist the committee in arriving at correct factual situation.*

*Since in this legal notice Mr. Arvind Kumar Rai has also mentioned about SEIAA and SEAC therefore, it is also requested that one representative of SEIAA may also be present. Separate minutes may be issued immediately. The date may be fixed by Secretariat in consultation with SEIAA at the earliest.*

3. Subsequently, the matter was taken by SEIAA in its 698<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 23/02/2023 and decided is as follows:

*“SEIAA gone through the legal notice and recommendation of SEAC-1 stating that- to give proper opportunity, SEAC opines that project proponent Mr. Sudhir Kumar Agarwal, M/s Rishita Developers Pvt. Ltd. and consultant M/s Sawen Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. should be asked to appear before the SEAC-1 and explain about the facts mentioned in the legal notice. Mr. Arvind Kumar Rai (himself or through its representative) may also be requested to present & put up his point of view before SEAC-1 and assist the committee in arriving at correct factual situation.*

*SEIAA opined that instead of one representative of SEIAA, SEAC-2 Chairman and Members may be requested to join the meeting and look in to the complaint and submit their recommendation/ Factual report/ action to be taken before SEIAA, till then:-*

1. *EC issued vide letter no. 222/parya/SEIAA/5381-4624/2019 dated 28.07.2020 be kept in abeyance till further order.*
2. *Issue show cause notice to the project proponent /consultant along with the copy of the said letter asking them to submit their reply letter within 15 days clearly explaining as to why EC issued to them vide letter no. 222/parya/SEIAA/5381-4624/2019 dated 28.07.2020 should not be cancelled.*

3. *Send a letter to DM, VC, Lucknow Development Authority that no construction be carried out till the time EC kept in abeyance.*
4. *A committee shall be constituted comprising of a member nominated by Member Secretary UPPCB, Shri T. U. Khan, Shri Umesh Chand Sharma and a representative of LDA, the committee shall inspect the site and submit its report to SEIAA."*
4. In compliance of above decision, the SEIAA issued a letter dated 21/03/2023 to the Members of Joint Committee to inspect the project site and submit their report for further necessary action.
5. The SEIAA keep the environmental clearance letter dated 28/07/2020 in abeyance till further orders vide its letter no. 188/Parya/SEIAA/5381-4624/2019, dated 10/08/2023.
6. The complainant Shri Satyendra Kumar Pandey on whose behalf of Advocate Arvind Kumar Rai sent a legal notice has made an affidavit dated 30/09/2023 stated as under:

“मैं सत्येन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय पुत्र श्री कमल कुमार पाण्डेय, उम्र लगभग 40 वर्ष निवासी ग्राम-कंजिया, पोस्ट, अटरामपुर, तहसील सोरांव प्रयागराज को निवासी हूँ तथा पर्यावरण सेना में प्रयागराज जनपद का जिला सेनाध्यक्ष भी हूँ। पर्यावरण में जुड़ी गतिविधियों में विशिष्ट रूप से सक्रिय रहता हूँ।

यहाँ पर यह बताना है कि दिनांक 14.03.2023 को रिशिता डेवलपर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड से सम्बन्धित एक नोटिस मेरे पते पर आई। उसे पढ़ने पर ज्ञात हुआ कि श्री अरविन्द कुमार राय, एडवोकेट द्वारा लीगल नोटिस श्री सत्येन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय की ओर से किया जा रहा है। मैं शपथ पूर्वक अवगत कराना चाहता हूँ कि “रिशिता मलबरी हाईट्स ग्रुप हाउसिंग प्रोजेक्ट-6 सेक्टर-सी हाईटेक टाउनशिप जिला लखनऊ उ०प्र०” के सम्बन्ध में किसी भी प्रकार का नोटिस देने को अधिकार (Authorization) किसी को नहीं दिया गया है।

1. यह स्पष्ट करना है कि मेरा “रिशिता डेवलपर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड” की किसी भी परियोजना से कोई शिकायत नहीं है। यह बताना जरूरी है कि इस सब से मेरा कोई सरोकार भी नहीं है। अतः यह निवेदन है कि मेरे नाम से रिशिता डेवलपर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड को भेजे गये लीगल नोटिस दिनांकित 14.02.2023 को न मेरे द्वारा भिजवाया गया है और न ही मैंने श्री अरविन्द कुमार राय, एडवोकेट को कोई प्राधिकार दिया है। अतः उक्त नोटिस को अशक्त एवं शून्य (Null and Void) माना जाये।”
7. The members of joint committee inspect the project site on 18/10/2023 and submit their report on 19/02/2024. The inspection report put up by the Secretariat in its 837<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 23/02/2024 wherein:

“एस०ई०आई०ए०ए० की 698वीं बैठक दिनांक 23.02.2023 के क्रम में निर्गत पत्र दिनांक 21.03.2023 के अनुपालन में गठित संयुक्त समिति द्वारा दिनांक 18.10.2023 को परियोजना स्थल का निरीक्षण किया गया। निरीक्षण के समय समिति के निम्न सदस्य उपस्थित रहे:-

1. श्री टी०यू० खान, सदस्य, एस०ई०ए०सी०-2
2. श्री यू० सी० शर्मा, सदस्य, एस०ई०ए०सी०-1
3. डॉ० राम करन, मुख्य पर्यावरण अधिकारी, उ०प्र० प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड, लखनऊ।
4. श्री संजय जिंदल, अधिशसी अभियंता, हाईटेक इन्टीग्रेटेड, लखनऊ विकास प्राधिकरण, लखनऊ।
5. श्री अतुल शर्मा, अवर अभियंता, हाईटेक इन्टीग्रेटेड, लखनऊ विकास प्राधिकरण, लखनऊ।

स्थलीय निरीक्षण के समय परियोजना प्रतिनिधि के रूप में श्री सुधीर अग्रवाल मैनेजिंग डायरेक्टर व अन्य उपस्थित थे। प्रश्नगत परियोजनाओं को Consent to Establish (CTE) उ०प्र० प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड, द्वारा दिनांक 01.07.2020 को निर्गत की गयी है। परियोजनाओं को एस०ई०आई०ए०ए० द्वारा पर्यावरणीय स्वीकृति दिनांक 28.07.2020 को जारी की गयी। स्थलीय निरीक्षण के समय परियोजना स्थल पर निर्माण कार्य होता हुआ पाया गया। स्थल पर 03 टावर का कार्य पूर्ण हो चुका है, जिनका कम्प्लीशन सर्टिफिकेट, विकास प्राधिकरण, लखनऊ द्वारा परियोजना को प्रदान कर दिया गया है।

स्थल निरीक्षण के समय परियोजना प्रस्तावक द्वारा श्री सत्येन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय पुत्र श्री कमल कुमार पाण्डेय निवासी-प्रयागराज को नोटराइज्ड शपथ पत्र दिनांक 30.09.2023 की प्रति उपलब्ध करायी गयी जिसमें निम्नवत् शपथ ली गयी है :-

“मैं सत्येन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय पुत्र श्री कमल कुमार पाण्डेय, उम्र लगभग 40 वर्ष निवासी ग्राम-कंजिया, पोस्ट, अटरामपुर, तहसील सोरांव प्रयागराज को निवासी हूँ तथा पर्यावरण सेना में प्रयागराज जनपद का जिला सेनाध्यक्ष भी हूँ। पर्यावरण में जुड़ी गतिविधियों में विशिष्ट रूप से सक्रिय रहता हूँ।

यहाँ पर यह बताना है कि दिनांक 14.03.2023 को रिशिता डेवलपर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड से सम्बन्धित एक नोटिस मेरे पते पर आई। उसे पढ़ने पर ज्ञात हुआ कि श्री अरविन्द कुमार राय, एडवोकेट द्वारा लीगल नोटिस श्री सत्येन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय की ओर से किया जा रहा है। मैं शपथ पूर्वक अवगत कराना चाहता हूँ कि “रिशिता मलबरी हाईट्स ग्रुप हाउसिंग प्रोजेक्ट-6 सेक्टर-सी हाईटेक टाउनशिप जिला लखनऊ उ०प्र०” के सम्बन्ध में किसी भी प्रकार का नोटिस देने को अधिकार (Authorization) किसी को नहीं दिया गया है।

यह स्पष्ट करना है कि मेरा “रिशिता डेवलपर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड” की किसी भी परियोजना से कोई शिकायत नहीं है। यह बताना जरूरी है कि इस सब से मेरा कोई सरोकार भी नहीं है। अतः यह निवेदन है कि मेरे नाम से रिशिता डेवलपर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड को भेजे गये लीगल नोटिस दिनांकित 14.02.2023 को न मेरे द्वारा भिजवाया गया है और न ही मैंने श्री अरविन्द कुमार राय, एडवोकेट को कोई प्राधिकार दिया है। अतः उक्त नोटिस को अशक्त एवं शून्य (Null and Void) माना जाये।”

प्रश्नगत परियोजना के विरुद्ध जो शिकायत की गयी है वह Consent to Establish (CTE) एवं पर्यावरण सहमति निर्गत होने के लगभग 03 वर्ष बाद की गयी है। एन०जी०टी० एक्ट, 2010 के सेक्शन 16 के अनुसार पर्यावरण सहमति की अपील 30 दिन के अन्दर किये जाने का प्रावधान है। उक्त के अतिरिक्त शिकायतकर्ता श्री सत्येन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय द्वारा स्वयं यह शपथ पत्र देना कि प्रश्नगत शिकायत करने हेतु किसी को प्राधिकार नहीं दिया गया है या शिकायत उसके द्वारा नहीं करवाई गई है, अतः इससे शिकायत की वैधानिकता स्वतः समाप्त हो गयी है।

यद्यपि संयुक्त समिति द्वारा परियोजना स्थल पर निर्माण कार्य प्रारम्भ करने की भी जाँच की गयी। तत्सम्बन्धी अभिलेख परियोजना प्रस्तावक द्वारा उपलब्ध कराते हुए अवगत कराया गया है कि परियोजना का निर्माण कार्य सितम्बर 2020 के उपरान्त ही प्रारम्भ किया गया था। जिसके साक्ष्य के रूप में निर्माण कार्य हेतु प्रथम वर्कआर्डर दिनांकित 15.09.2020 जो श्री विपिन कुमार मिश्रा के पक्ष में निर्गत है, की प्रति संयुक्त समिति को उपलब्ध करायी गयी। उक्त वर्क आर्डर दिनांक 15.09.2020 के उपरान्त किये गये अन्य वर्क आर्डर की प्रतियाँ भी उपलब्ध करवायी गयी है।

उल्लेखनीय है कि परियोजना को दिनांक 01.07.2020 में उ०प्र० प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड, द्वारा Consent to Establish (CTE) निर्गत की गयी है, जो बोर्ड द्वारा स्थल निरीक्षण के उपरान्त प्रदान की जाती है। निर्गत Consent to Establish (CTE) दिनांक 01.07.2020 में निर्माण कार्य प्रारम्भ किए जाने को कोई उल्लेख नहीं किया गया है। अतः निर्माण कार्य हेतु वर्क आर्डर एवं उ०प्र० प्रदूषण नियंत्रण बोर्ड, द्वारा निर्गत Consent to Establish (CTE) से स्पष्ट है कि परियोजना प्रस्तावक द्वारा सितम्बर, 2020 के उपरान्त कार्य प्रारम्भ किया गया।

परियोजना प्रस्तावक द्वारा परियोजना के अप्रैजल/अनुमोदन के समय No Construction Affidavit एस०ई०ए०सी०/एस०ई०आई०ए०ए० के समक्ष प्रस्तुत किया गया था। स्थल निरीक्षण के समय परियोजना प्रस्तावक को एस०ई०आई०ए०ए० द्वारा निर्गत पर्यावरण सहमति में लगाई गई शर्तों के अनुपालन ससमय किये जाने के निर्देश दिए गए। परियोजना प्रस्तावक द्वारा यह अवगत कराया गया कि पर्यावरण सहमति में अधिरोपित शर्तों का अनुपालन ससमय किया जायेगा। आख्या अग्रिम आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।

**The committee has gone through the above joint committee report and opined that it is evident from the report that the construction work was not started before issuance of environmental clearance. In view of above facts and circumstances the committee is of the opinion that the complaint/legal notice made by Advocate Arvind Kumar Rai is totally baseless and has no merits. Hence, the committee recommended to restore the environmental clearance letter no. 222/Parya/SEIAA/5381-4624/2019, dated 28/07/2020 issued by SEIAA, U.P. in favour of “Rishita Mulberry Heights Group Housing No 1 Pocket-6, Sector-C at Sushant Gold Hi-Tech Township, District-Lucknow”.**

**17. Expansion of Integrated Paints plant at Plot No.- B4 & B5 Sandila Industrial Area, District Hardoi, Shri Dipankar Nag, M/s Berger Paints India Ltd., File No. 7415/6477 Proposal No. SIA/UP/IND3/408462/2022.**

The project proponent informed the committee that:

1. The project proponent submit the online application dated 01/12/2022 (Proposal no. SIA/UP/IND3/408462/20212) for grant of terms of reference (TOR) for the project proposal Expansion of Integrated Paints plant at Plot No. B4 & B5 Sandila Industrial Area, District Hardoi, Shri Dipankar Nag, M/s Berger Paints India Ltd.
2. The standard terms of reference in the matter were issued through online Parivesh Portal on 11/08/2021.
3. EIA report submitted by the project proponent through online Parivesh Portal on 01/12/2022.
4. The matter was listed in 715<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 06/01/2023 for EIA presentation and recommended to grant the environmental clearance along with general and specific conditions.
5. Subsequently, the matter was taken by SEIAA in its 710<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 25/03/2023 and directed the project proponent to submit information regarding the project.
6. The matter was again listed in 761<sup>st</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 30/09/2023 and observed as follows:

*"SEIAA gone through MoEFCC letter no VII/Env/SCL-UP/2474/198 dated 26.07.2023 regarding the above subject and the reply of the project proponent dated 31.07.2023. SEIAA opined to refer the matter to SEAC for review/comments."*

7. As per the decision of SEIAA and the matter was listed in 819<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 20/12/2023 wherein:

*"The committee has gone through the MoEF&CC letter dated 26/07/2023 and reply submitted by the project proponent vide letter dated 31/07/2023 and opined that the reply submitted by PP is not satisfactory. Hence, the committee directed the project proponent to obtain closure report from MoEF&CC and submit to SEIAA for further consideration of the matter."*

**The committee discussed the matter and observed that the closure report is still pending, hence, the committee advised the project proponent to submit closure report from IRO, MoEF&CC, Lucknow.**

**(Dr. Brij Bihari Awasthi)**  
**Member**

**(Umesh Chandra Sharma)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. Ratan Kar)**  
**Member**

**(Ashish Tiwari)**  
**Member-Secretary, SEAC**

**(Dr. S.K. Goyal)**  
**Member, NEERI**

**(Rajive Kumar)**  
**Chairman**

**Nodal, SEAC-1**

MoM prepared by Secretariat in consultation with  
Chairman & Members on the basis of decisions  
taken by SEAC-1 during the meeting.