

MINUTES OF 49th MEETING OF STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, CHANDIGARH (UT) HELD ON 26th SEPTEMBER'2022 AT 10:30 AM, IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 2ND FLOOR, PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SECTOR 19 B, CHANDIGARH FOR APPRAISAL OF THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS:

“Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board”.


A meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee, Union Territory, Chandigarh was held on 26th September'2022 at 10.30 AM, in the Conference Hall, 2nd Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19 B, Chandigarh under the chairmanship of Prof. Siby John, Department of Civil Engineering, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh.

Following members of SEAC, Chandigarh (UT) were present in the meeting:

1. Prof. Naval Kishore, Ex Professor
Department of Geology,
Panjab University
Chandigarh-160014. Member
2. Dr. Suman Mor,
Associate Professor, Dept. of Environment Studies, Panjab University,
Chandigarh. Member
3. Sh. Vivek Pandey,
Scientist E, Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee (CPCC),
Chandigarh. Member
4. Prof. Haripada Bhunia, Professor,
Department of Chemical Engineering, Thapar University,
C-101, Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Patiala -147004. Member
5. Sh. Brij Bhushan, Scientist 'SD',
Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration. Secretary

Following members were on leave of absence:

1. Prof. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia,
Ex Professor, Department of Botany, Panjab University,
Chandigarh-160014 Member
2. Prof. Sangeeta Bagga Mehta,
Principal, Chandigarh College of Architecture, PEC Campus, Sector-12,
Chandigarh. Member
3. Dr. Neeraj Khatri,
Principal Scientist & Head, IMTECH, Sector-39 A,
Chandigarh. Member
4. Dr. Sanjay Sharma, Professor & Head,
Civil Engineering Department, NITTTR Campus,
Sector-26, Chandigarh. Member



At the outset, the Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh welcomed all the members of the committee and apprised the committee members about brief of the project proposal and its status, which was to be considered by State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), U.T. Chandigarh.

The item was re-considered for the EC based on the reply submitted by the Project Proponent (PP) in compliance to the Additional Details Sought (ADS) raised during the 48th meeting of SEAC and the Final EIA Report submitted in compliance to the Terms of Reference issued by SEIAA, Chandigarh, vide Letter No. ED-2022/EC/751 dated 05.05.2022. The documents bearing No HB/EE-IV/2022/1039 dated 09.09.2022 along with 06 Nos of annexures and No. CEO/CE/2022/1263 Dated 15.09.2022 were admitted on record.

The Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh, then invited the Project Proponent for making the presentation. The team of Chandigarh Housing Board (PP) headed by Sh. Ajay Grover, SE II along with the Project Consultant, Eco-Laboratories and Consultant Private Ltd. made the presentation of the project.

Based on the presentation and responses to the queries raised by the members, following observations (which were made known to the Project Proponent during the presentation itself) were made by the committee:

1. As per the existing regulations and relevant NGT orders formal permission from the Competent Authority is a pre-requisite for considering the proposal of diversion of natural water course in the project site. The PP submitted the letter No. 1263 dated 15.09.2022 through the CEO, Chandigarh Housing Board, stating that the requisite permission for channelisation of said nallah has been accorded at the 425th Board meeting Dated 08.03.2022 (confirmed by the PP in the meeting) held under the chairmanship of Advisor to U.T. Administrator cum Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board. **However, the formal permission, from the competent authority, for diversion of the Barsati Nallah is not presented.**
2. Regarding the construction of the artificial channel (open RCC drain) to divert the natural water course, it was clarified vide letter No. 1263 dated 15.09.2022 referred above by the PP that the cost of construction for part of the open RCC drain from Plot No. 1 to Sukhna Choe and boundary of Plot No. 6 & 7 to end of the Plot No. 8, area falling in Haryana will be borne by Chandigarh Housing Board in case any eventuality regarding non-incurrence of the cost by Haryana Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP). **However, the annexure bearing No 13554 Dated 27.01.2022 mentions some litigation which need to be resolved before the project is initiated. Also, in the event of non-completion of construction in the state of Haryana due to the land under litigation, the fate of the project diverting the Barsati Nallah, be re-assessed.**
3. In relation to the abstraction of ground water, the PP stated that there will be no abstraction of ground water by the PP. The PP has got permission from the Engineering Department,

3re     


Chandigarh Administration, for supply of fresh water for this project vide letter No. PH8/2022/3973 dated 18.04.2022 from its existing booster near Hotel Lalit. However, going by the item No 15 of the EC application submitted by the PP the source is said to be groundwater. **Therefore, in case of any groundwater abstraction at any stage of the project, requisite permission from the CGWA/SGWA may be obtained in accordance with the Ministry of Jal Shakti Notification No S.O. 3289 (E) Dated 24 September 2020.**


The SEAC, places on record its concern and anguish on the callous approach of the PP in not submitting the proper documents even after repeated requests. Therefore, SEAC unanimously resolves to forward the case to the SEIAA for further necessary action.


Enclosed: All the MOMs of previous meetings pertaining to the mentioned project proposal. (MOM dated 09.12.2021, 04.03.2022, 25.04.2022 and 25.08.2022)

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


Prof. Haripada Bhunia, 26/9/22
Member


Prof. Naval Kishore,
Member


Dr. Sumar Mor
Member


Sh. Vivek Pandey
Member


Sh. Brij Bhushan
Secretary


Prof. Siby John
Chairman

MINUTES OF 48th MEETING OF STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, CHANDIGARH (UT) HELD ON 25th AUGUST 2022 AT 11:00 AM, IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 2ND FLOOR, PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SECTOR 19 B, CHANDIGARH FOR APPRAISAL OF THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS:

1. "Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board".
2. "Bus Depot-cum-Workshop" at Raipur Kalan, Chandigarh, located at Phase III, Industrial Area, Raipur Kalan, Chandigarh.

A meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee, Union Territory, Chandigarh was held on 25th August 2022 at 11.00 AM, in the Conference Hall, 2nd Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19 B, Chandigarh under the chairmanship of Prof. Siby John, Department of Civil Engineering, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh.

Following members of SEAC, Chandigarh (UT) were present in the meeting:

1. Dr. Sanjay Sharma, Professor
Civil Engineering Department, NITTTR Campus,
Sector-26, Chandigarh. Member
2. Prof. Naval Kishore, Ex Professor
Department of Geology,
Panjab University
Chandigarh-160014. Member
3. Dr. Suman Mor,
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Environment Studies, Panjab University,
Chandigarh. Member
4. Dr. Neeraj Khatri,
Principal Scientist & Head, IMTECH, Sector-39 A,
Chandigarh. Member
5. Sh. Vivek Pandey,
Scientist E, Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee (CPCC),
Chandigarh. Member
6. Sh. Brij Bhushan, Scientist 'SD',
Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration. Secretary

Following members were on leave of absence:

1. Prof. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia,
Ex Professor, Department of Botany, Panjab University,
Chandigarh-160014. Member
2. Prof. Sangeeta Bagga Mehta,
Principal, Chandigarh College of Architecture, PEC Campus, Sector-12,
Chandigarh. Member
3. Prof. Haripada Bhunia, Professor, Member

Department of Chemical Engineering, Thapar University,
C-101, Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Patiala-147004.

At the outset, the Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh welcomed all the members of the committee and apprised the committee members about brief of the projects mentioned at Sr. No.1 and 2 above, which were to be considered by State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), U.T. Chandigarh.

Project 1: "Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board".

The item was considered for the EC on the basis of the application submitted by the Project Proponent (PP) and the Final EIA Report submitted in compliance to the Terms of Reference issued by SEIAA, Chandigarh, vide Letter No. ED-2022/EC/751 dated 05.05.2022.

Dr. Sanjay Sharma, Member was requested, in the interest of fairness, to recuse himself from the item, as he was found had acted as a consultant at the project proposal level.

The Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh then invited the Project Proponent for making the presentation. The team of Chandigarh Housing Board (PP) headed by Sh. Ajay Grover, SE II along with Mr. Sandeep Garg and Ms. Simran, Project Consultant, Eco-Laboratories and Consultant Private Ltd. made the presentation of the project.

During the presentation, the committee members raised queries on the different aspects of the project. Based on the presentation and responses to the queries raised by the members, following observations (which were made known to the Project Proponent during the presentation itself) were made by the committee:

1. The committee expressed its concern and anguish on the fact that the information presented during the meeting were at variance from the information/data originally submitted in the project proposal against which the ToR was issued.
2. In the EC application submitted by the PP, under item at Sr. No. 29, requisite permission from Competent Authority for the realignment of the natural water course (*'Barsati Nallah'*) was stated to have been obtained. However, the document uploaded/submitted (letter No.53 Dated 18 August 2020) purported to be the permission was only an in-house committee notified by the Project Proponent. No formal permission from the competent authority, whatsoever, was found submitted. It was observed that as per the existing regulations and relevant NGT orders formal permission from the Competent Authority is a pre-requisite for considering the proposal of diversion of natural water course in the project site.

It was also viewed with concern that the PP had been given the opportunity to prove their claim of the recent emergence of the *'Barsati Nallah'*; through a proper scientific study; but the PP has opted not to do so. Therefore, the PP could not establish their point of

Contd...


'Insignificant impact of the diversion of the natural water course'. Also, no hydrological study to assess the environmental impact of the proposed diversion of the natural water course is found.

3. Regarding the construction of the artificial channel to divert the natural water course, it was proposed vide reply of Specific conditions /TOR by SEAC at Sr. No. 1 that part of the open RCC drain from Plot No. 1 to Sukhna Choe and boundary of Plot No. 6 & 7 to end of Plot No. 8 will be constructed and maintained by the Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP), Govt of Haryana. However, no clear cut commitment from Department concerned of Haryana Govt. was presented on record. A clear cut commitment from the Department concerned is required for construction and maintenance of storm water drain from Haryana Govt. for the part of diversion channel falling under the jurisdiction of Haryana state.
4. In all of the SEAC and SELAA meetings held at the TOR stage, the project proponent (PP) had consistently asserted that there would be no ground water abstraction for the proposed project. Despite that, the application submitted for Environmental Clearance stated the source of water as Tube-Well (groundwater). As per standing regulations (letter No. F.No. 22-34/2018-IA.III dated 04.01.2019 of MOEF&CC, Notification dated 09.12.2016 issued by MOEF&CC), the approval from the competent authority along with the quantity of groundwater abstracted is required for the proposed water source.
5. As mentioned in the report, the treated sewage will be discharged into the Municipal Corporation sewer line. Even after repeated instructions by the SEAC, the PP had not submitted permission from the MCC in this regard indicating the capacity of the STPs to which the proposed discharge is admitted. The committee also viewed that the proposed project is expected to have 02 STPs of 300 KLD capacity each, discharging the treated wastewater into the sewer line. Report in this context is required to be certified by an independent expert from a government agency, specifically mentioning the effect on performance of receiving common STP, if any.
6. The results of the baseline data on groundwater quality, as presented, seemed unreliable and unsatisfactory. This needs to be explained scientifically.
7. Similarly, results for air quality presented in the EIA report indicated marginal increment in the pollutant concentration using AERMOD model. However, the results of the baseline data, as presented, indicated that the observed values exceeded the permissible limit; indicating that the values for PM10 and PM2.5 will be more than the permissible limits during the construction and operation phases. Therefore, a detailed plan on air pollution control/mitigation measures is required to be submitted for both construction and operation stage.

Contd...

8. Relocating of 66 kVA substation is proposed in the report of M/s Aton Engineers, Mohali, Punjab for which no information has been submitted earlier. The PP verbally committed that it was written mistakenly and that no such activity was being proposed. The report must be amended to reflect this and then resubmitted.
9. Wildlife Clearance from the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife to be submitted.
10. The Central Ground Water Board's letter No. (156) CHD/NWR/S&I/2022-298 Dated 02.06.2022 regarding recommendation for five rainwater recharge pits with two injection wells in each structure needed a technically sound design. It was once again highlighted that the proposed groundwater recharge facilities should be technically designed and sound taking into account the level of the water table in that area, as was previously pointed out by the SEAC. The water from roof top rain water harvesting is proposed to be used for recharge of aquifers; whereas the proposed project site is adjacent to Sukhna Choe. Therefore, the proposal needs to be relooked for aquifer recharge considering the level of water table in that area.

Project 2: "Bus Depot-cum-Workshop" at Raipur Kalan, Chandigarh, located at Phase III, Industrial Area, Raipur Kalan, Chandigarh.



The project mentioned at Sr. No. 2 namely "Bus Depot-cum-Workshop" at Raipur Kalan, Chandigarh, located at Phase III, Industrial Area, Raipur Kalan, Chandigarh" was placed before the committee for recommendation of Environment Clearance. Sh. Amit Gupta, General Manager, Transport Department, U.T. Chandigarh, along with Mr. Sandeep Garg and Mr. Simran, Project Consultant, Eco-Laboratories and Consultant Private Ltd. were present before the committee on behalf of Transport Department, Chandigarh, for detailed presentation of the project.

Based on the presentation and responses to the queries raised by the members, following observations (which were made known to the Project Proponent during the presentation itself) have been made by the committee. The PP consented to submit their reply regarding the deficiencies/observations at the earliest. After detailed deliberations, the committee unanimously agreed to recommend the proposal to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), U.T. Chandigarh for further consideration and grant of Environmental Clearance to the proposed project with the following specific conditions.


1. The subject mentioned on the permission letter obtained from Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh vide Memo No. 2438, Dated 23.06.2022 regarding the water supply and sewer connection for disposal of waste water must be rectified and submitted again. The permission must also specify the quantity of water committed for the proposed project during the operational phase.

Contd...

2. The 20% water loss during the operation of the Effluent Treatment Plant, as shown in the water balance diagram, need to be reassessed and a sound scientific rationale be presented. Accordingly, the water balance diagram for the ETP component may be revised.
3. SEAC suggested that the proposed project should include use of more tertiary treated water from Raipur Kalam STP for the various activities of the workshop like cleaning/washing buses, flushing and gardening etc. The fresh water use shall be limited only to drinking or direct human consumption, consequently, the 18 KLD fresh water requirement projected may be reviewed. Taking this into consideration the water balance diagram may be revised and approval from Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh for the revised amount of water demand, must be obtained.
4. In order to improve the water efficiency of the project, the PP should also consider the possibility of rain water harvesting and use rather than the current proposal of rain water for aquifer recharging.
5. In compliance with the MoEF New Delhi circular J-11013/41/2006-IA.11 (I) Part, dated 19 May 2011, the project needs to have a well laid down Environment Policy, duly approved by the Board of Directors. The policy should consist of standard operating norms/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/ deviation / violation etc and the hierarchical system or administrative order to deal with the environmental issues, for ensuring compliance with EC conditions as well as Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and subsequent amendments issued there under.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


Sh. Brij Bhushan
Secretary


Prof. Siby John
Chairman

MINUTES OF 16th MEETING OF STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA), U.T. CHANDIGARH HELD ON 25TH APRIL, 2022 AT 03:00PM IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 2ND FLOOR, PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SECTOR 19 B, CHANDIGARH TO APPRAISE THE ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE (TERMS OF REFERENCE) OF THE PROJECT NAMELY:

“Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at LT. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board”.

A meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Union Territory, Chandigarh was held on 25th April, 2022 at 03.00 P.M. in the Conference Hall, 2nd floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19-B, Chandigarh under the Chairmanship of Sh. Srishti Pal Vasudeva, IFS (Retd.) to examine Terms of Reference (ToR) to the above referred project.

Following members were present in the meeting:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Sh. Jatinder Singh Kamyotra,
Ex-Director, CPCB | Member |
| 2. Sh. Debendra Dalai, IFS,
Director, Department of Environment,
Chandigarh Administration | Member Secretary |
| 3. Sh. Brij Bhushan,
Scientist ‘SD’, Department of Environment
Chandigarh Administration | Secretary, SEAC |

The meeting started with Chairman, SEIAA, Chandigarh (U.T.) welcoming all those present. The meeting started with Member Secretary, SEIAA apprising the authority members about the project placed in the agenda for the meeting. It was informed that the project proposal was considered by the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) in its 45th & 46th meetings held on 09.12.2021 & 04.03.2022 respectively. As per the procedure and on the basis of documents enclosed with the application form and the additional clarification furnished in response to the observations of the SEAC, Chandigarh, in writing and verbally during the presentations, the SEAC after detailed discussions decided to forward the proposed project to the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Chandigarh, with the recommendation to grant Terms of Reference (ToR) to the Project Proponent under the provision of EIA Notification dated 2006, subject to certain conditions.

The Chairman, SEIAA, Chandigarh, then invited the Project Proponent to make the presentation. The team of Chandigarh Housing Board headed by Sh. Ajay Grover, SE II with other officials and Mr. Sandeep Garg with Ms. Simran, Project Consultant, Eco-Laboratories and Consultant Private Ltd. nominated by the project proponent made the presentation. Members of the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) raised queries and sought clarifications on the realignment of the existing Barsati Nallah with all the technical details such as the discharge capacity, existing gradient and proposed gradient, length of the realigned drain, high flood level, distance between the proposed construction/boundary wall and the realigned Barsati Nallah, clear demarcation of the entry and exit points to the project site, treated sewage standards proposed to be achieved, measures to ensure that noise levels remain within the prescribed limits for sensitive zone as the proposed project is in the vicinity of Sukhna Lake and Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary, details regarding compensatory tree plantation, declaration of no use of groundwater during any phase of the project, probing feasibility for maximum reuse of treated sewage, etc.

After detailed deliberations and discussions on the clarifications/reply submitted by the project proponent and keeping in view the recommendations of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), Chandigarh, the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Chandigarh decided to grant Terms of Reference to Chandigarh Housing Board for, "Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board", subject to the compliance of the following **specific conditions in addition to the specific conditions given by SEAC in its 46th meeting along with the TOR proposed by the project proponent and the standard TOR by MoEF&CC**, for the preparation of EIA-EMP Report.

Specific Conditions by SEIAA:

1. Complete technical details about the realignment of Barsati Nallah proposed in the project, including its capacity to carry the flow of water specifically during rainy season, the area's high flood level (HFL), gradient of aligned Barsati Nallah, compliance of latest regulations regarding the distance between the building and the nallah/water bodies, and any permissions required from adjoining states/regulatory agencies.



2. The Entry and Exit points to the project site should be clearly marked on the Layout Plan. A proper traffic management plan shall be put in place to avoid traffic congestion on the road especially during morning and evening hours.
3. Noise levels have to be ensured to be well within the prescribed limits for sensitive zone during construction and operation phase of the project as the proposed project site is in the vicinity of Sukhna Lake which is a notified sensitive zone. It must also be assured that any festivities or public gatherings after coming up of the housing colony, involving use of loudspeakers or generation of high noise level should take place indoors only. The noise pollution control facilities, considering the sensitivity of the adjoining sensitive place are to be provided. Acoustics and thick green belt need to be encouraged for dissipations of noise levels.
4. Alternate use of left over treated sewage of the colony may be explored, as discharge of treated waste water into the drain/sewerage system already carrying untreated waste water is neither environmentally sound nor economically viable.
5. PP shall explore the possibility of retaining the maximum number of trees specifically in parking area that are proposed to be cut and only the bare minimum number of trees should be removed that are essential and cannot be avoided. PP shall provide total number of trees species wise on the site, trees to be removed and the trees saved clearly in the EIA report. The detailed information whether any protected or endangered species is involved need to be given. The feasibility to transplant the existing trees as much as possible shall be explored. Measures taken to reduce the number of the trees to be removed should be explained in detail. The trees planted shall conform to the Central Pollution Control Board's Guidelines on Green Belt Development and the best horticultural trees suitable to the local climate be planted to improve aesthetics.
6. The proponent needs to earmark the area where losses of trees so caused have to be compensated as part of compensatory plantation plan including number of trees and species proposed. A schedule of the compensatory tree plantation should be given. The survival of the plants needs to be ensured for at least five years and fresh trees planted as replacement for every tree that die.



7. The guidelines of National Building Code of India 2016 (NBC 2016) should be followed in the design and construction of the project including energy management and construction material. To have a lower carbon footprint, it is recommended to utilize more timber than steel and aluminum during construction.
8. The site after completion of the housing scheme should not have any exposed area to generate air pollutants. Ground need to be covered with grass, vegetation, coal tar on roads and concrete elsewhere.
9. The report should mention details regarding use of renewable energy and shall take into account the NBC provisions, possible Green Building Rating etc.
10. Installation of Solar Photovoltaic's (SPVs) is recommended for the project to make use of renewable energy. The proposed capacity of installation to be specified in the report.
11. PP shall ensure use of fly ash and fly ash products as per the provisions of MoEFCC notification No. S.O. 5481(E) dated December 31st, 2021.
12. Due to proximity to Sukhna Lake, the ground water table in the project area may be assessed and brought on record. If required water recharging through rain water harvesting be resorted to and to the contrary possibility to store harvested rain water should be explored.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.



Sh. Debendra Dalai (IFS)
(Member Secretary)

MINUTES OF MEETING OF STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, CHANDIGARH (UT) HELD ON 04th MARCH 2022 AT 10:30 AM, IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 2ND FLOOR, PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SECTOR 19 B, CHANDIGARH TO APPRIASE THE ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE/TERMS OF REFERENCE TO PROJECTS, NAMELY:

1. "Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board".
2. "Multilevel Parking for Public in between District Court Complex and Judicial Academy Sector 43, Chandigarh"

A meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee, Union Territory, Chandigarh was held on 04th March 2022 at 10.30 AM, in the Conference Hall, 2nd Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19 B, Chandigarh under the chairmanship of Prof. Siby John, Department of Civil Engineering, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh.

Following members SEAC, Chandigarh (UT) were present in the meeting:

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Prof. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia,
Pro Vice Chancellor, Eternal University, Baru Sahib, Sirmour, H.P./
Professor, Department of Botany, Panjab University,
Chandigarh-160014 | Member |
| 2. Dr. Sanjay Sharma, Professor & Head,
Civil Engineering Department, NITTTR Campus,
Sector-26, Chandigarh. | Member |
| 3. Dr. Suman Mor,
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Environment Studies, Panjab University,
Chandigarh. | Member |
| 4. Prof. Haripada Bhunia, Professor,
Department of Chemical Engineering, Thapar University,
C-101, Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Patiala -147004. | Member |
| 5. Sh. Sushil Dogra, Scientist B,
Representative from Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee,
Chandigarh. | Member |
| 6. Sh. Vivek Pandey, Scientist 'SD',
Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration. | Secretary |

Following members were on leave of absence:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Prof. Naval Kishore, Department of Geology,
Panjab University, # G-9, P.U. Campus Sector 14,
Chandigarh-160014. | Member |
| 2. Prof. Sangeeta Bagga Mehta,
Principal, Chandigarh College of Architecture, PEC Campus, Sector-12,
Chandigarh. | Member |

3. Dr. Neeraj Khatri,
Principal Scientist & Head, IMTECH, Sector-39 A,
Chandigarh.

Member

At the outset, Professor Siby John, Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh welcomed all the members of the committee and apprised the committee members about brief of the projects mentioned at Sr. No.1 and 2, which was to be considered by State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), U.T. Chandigarh.

Project 1: "Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board".

The item was considered in continuation to the meeting held on 09.12.2021 and the reply to the Additional Details Sought (ADS) submitted by the Project Proponent (PP).

The Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh, then invited the Project Proponent for making the presentation. The team of Chandigarh Housing Board headed by Sh. Rajiv Singla, Chief Engineer and Sh. Ajay Grover, SE II with others along with Mr. Sandeep Garg and Ms. Simran, Project Consultant, Eco-Laboratories and Consultant Private Ltd. made the presentation of the project.

During the presentation, the committee members raised queries on the different aspects of the project and it was emphasized that the information presented during the meeting should match with the information/data already submitted in the project proposal.

For better understanding of the project, a field visit to the project site was conducted by the members of SEAC, Chandigarh at nearly 1 P.M.

Based on the presentation and responses to the queries raised by the members, following observations (which were made known to the Project Proponent during the presentation itself) have been made by the committee. The PP consented to submit their reply regarding the deficiencies/observations with the final EIA report. After detailed deliberations, the committee unanimously agreed to recommend the proposal to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), U.T. Chandigarh for further consideration with the following specific conditions in addition to the TOR proposed by the project proponent and the standard TOR, for the preparation of EIA-EMP Report.

Specific TORs for conducting EIA study

1. A detailed report on the realignment of the Barsati Nallah (natural water course), as proposed in the report is required to be submitted having timeline mapping using Remote Sensing, to be done by a government institute. The report should establish that there will be no environmental disturbance to the hydrology of the region, and that the Barsati Nallah is not natural, it's man-made, which was built in the year 2006 as informed during the presentation. Information should also be provided on the authority that will be in-

charge of the management of the open drain that will be built to divert the existing Barsati Nallah.

2. The authorization/permission of the appropriate authority (Engineering Department, Chandigarh Administration, MC Chandigarh) specifying the amount of treated wastewater/sewage that can be released into the sewer, as proposed. Also, the details of the STP to which the wastewater will be transferred further indicating the feasibility be included.
3. It is suggested that the treated water be utilised upto maximum extent within the 110.63 acres of project area, and that discharge into the MC sewer be avoided. A comprehensive water balance assessment of the entire planning region is ideal.
4. A complete groundwater study to ensure that there will be no abstraction of groundwater for the proposed project. The study should take into account the holistic view of the IT Habitat Project area of 110.63 Acres and also the Rain Water Harvesting (Recharge) System proposed, taking into consideration the fluctuating groundwater table in the area adjacent to the Sukhna Choe. Groundwater recharge facilities proposed should be technically sound considering the level of water table in that area.
5. It was proposed to make use of tertiary treated water during construction phase, for which the exact details have to be mentioned with quantity and source of tertiary treated water. As mentioned in the ADS, tertiary treated water is not available at site till date, therefore, the possible supply of tertiary treated water through tankers; for which complete details i.e. the permission mentioning the provider and the quantity of tertiary treated water should be submitted.
6. A detailed plan of Municipal Solid Waste Management in compliance to Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016 and its amendments is required to be submitted. As per the rules, waste must be collected from door to door, and it cannot be transferred from one storage location to another, as proposed by the PP. Therefore, the non-biodegradable waste has to be properly disposed of as per the relevant rules. The desired permission may be obtained from the Competent Authority/proper management strategy, with the quantity specified, be developed.
7. The guidelines of National Building Code of India 2016 (NBC 2016) should be followed in the design and construction of the project including energy management and construction material. The report should mention details regarding use of renewable energy and take into account the NBC provisions, possible Green Building Rating etc.
8. Installation of Solar Photovoltaic's (SPVs) is recommended for the project to make use of renewable energy. The proposed capacity of installation to be specified in the report.

9. Instead of felling, for which the permission has been obtained from Department of Forest and Wildlife, U.T Chandigarh vide letter no. FOR/2018/2902 dated 05.02.2018, it is recommended to transplant the existing trees, as much as possible. Plantation of endemic plant species should be preferred in the green spaces and for compensatory tree plantation. *Shehtoot* and some such other trees should be considered for plantation since it provides food for birds. A schedule for the compensating tree plantation should also be provided, with plantation efforts should begin as soon as the project begins (construction phase).
10. Wildlife Clearance from the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife to be submitted.
11. Schematic and design details of the proposed Sewage Treatment Plant has to be submitted.
12. Environment Management Cell (EMC) to be constituted and details to be submitted.

General Guidelines:-

1. Grant of TOR does not mean grant of EC.
2. Grant of TOR/EC to the present project does not mean grant of approvals in other regulations such as the Forest (Conservation) Act 1980 or the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
3. Grant of EC is also subject to Circulars issued under the EIA Notification 2006, which are available on the MoEF&CC website: www.envfor.nic.in.
4. All documents should be properly indexed, page numbered.
5. Period/ date of data collection should be clearly indicated.
6. The letter/application for EC should quote the Parivesh File No. and also attach a copy of the letter prescribing the TOR.
7. On the front page of EIA/EMP reports, the name of the consultant/ consultancy firm along with their complete details including the status of accreditation of the EIA consultant with NABET/ QCI shall be specifically mentioned. The consultant shall certify that his accreditation is for the sector for which this EIA is prepared.
8. The consultant while submitting the EIA/EMP report shall give an undertaking to the effect that the Specific TORs along with the TOR proposed by the project proponent and Standard TOR; have been complied with and the data submitted is factually correct.

9. While submitting the EIA/EMP reports, the name of the experts associated with/involved in the preparation of these reports and the laboratories through which the samples have been got analyzed should be stated in the report. It shall clearly be indicated whether these laboratories are approved under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and the rules made there under (Please refer MoEF office memorandum dated 4th August, 2009 & notification of the MoEF dated 19.07.2013). The project leader of the EIA study shall also be mentioned.
10. The prescribed TOR would be valid for a period of three years for submission of the EIA/EMP reports.

Project 2: "Multilevel Parking for Public in between District Court Complex and Judicial Academy Sector 43, Chandigarh"

The project mentioned at Sr. No. 2 namely "Multilevel Parking for Public in between District Court Complex and Judicial Academy Sector 43, Chandigarh" was placed before the committee for recommendation for Environment Clearance. Sh. Umesh Sharma, XEN, Sh. Sudhir Batra, SDE and Sukhwinder Singh, along with the project consultant, Mantras Green Resources Ltd., Nasik, were present before the committee on behalf of Engineering Department, Chandigarh, for detailed explanation of the project.

After detailed presentation and discussion at length on various environment parameters, following preliminary observations (which were made known to the Project Proponent during the presentation itself) have been made by the committee. The PP consented to submit their reply regarding the deficiencies/observations at their earliest possible so as to enable the committee to proceed further in the matter.

1. Copy of application for Wildlife Clearance from the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife to be submitted.
2. Requisite permission from the Competent Authority or a copy of application for request of water supply for the proposed project (for use for drinking water, fire fighting system and toilets) is required to be submitted.
3. The parking should have public amenities like toilets, drinking water facilities etc.
4. Provision of air circulation in basement has to be ensured i.e. properly designed exhaust system for air circulation/ventilation considering the vehicular emissions in the basement must be provided.
5. The noise pollution control facilities, considering the sensitivity of the adjoining public place are to be provided.

6. Water balance and wastewater calculations along with permission from the MC, Chandigarh for supply of water and discharge of sewage into MC sewer arising out of the project, is required to be submitted. The quantity has to be mentioned in the approval, as obtained.
7. Mode of disposal of treated sewage/effluent during construction and operation phase should be clearly mentioned.
8. Disposal bins for solid waste and dust to be placed in various locations. Also, the management of plan of the solid waste so generated be provided.
9. Information on the labour colony, such as the number of workers, the amount of water required, and the wastewater and solid waste disposal method, are required to be submitted. Provision of septic tanks is not acceptable. Therefore, portable toilets for the use of labour must be provided and requisite permission obtained from the Competent Authority for the same is required to be submitted.
10. It is recommended to make use of tertiary treated water during construction phase. In this regard, the permission mentioning the provider and the quantity of tertiary treated water should be submitted.
11. The report should mention details regarding use of renewable energy and take into account the NBC provisions, possible Green Building Rating etc.
12. Installation of Solar Photovoltaic's (SPVs) is recommended for the project to make use of renewable energy. The proposed capacity of installation to be specified in the report.
13. The details of the existing plantation of trees in proposed site such as the number of trees to be felled, species that are removed, density of the plantation etc need to be specified. Likewise, details of compensatory plantation, the site and species details etc. are also required to be specified. The proponent needs to earmark the area where losses of trees so caused have to be compensated as part of compensatory plantation plan. A schedule of the compensatory tree plantation should be given. The survival of the plants needs to be ensured for at least five years.
14. It is recommended that fly ash bricks shall be used for construction in place of clay bricks.
15. A proper traffic management plan shall be put in place to avoid traffic congestion on the road especially during morning and evening hours.

X

16. Revised proposal (Form 1, 1A & Conceptual plan with detailed EMP) needs to be submitted with all the approvals and approved drawings from the competent authority. The report needs to be rectified/modified w.r.t issues such as water requirement, waste water disposal, solid waste disposal, emissions, noise, sensitive structures nearby, various permissions from the Municipal Corporation, plantation, land use etc.
17. In compliance with the MoEF New Delhi circular J-11013/41/2006-1A,II (I) Part, dated 19 May 2011, the project needs to have a well laid down Environment Policy, duly approved by the Board of Directors. The policy should consist of standard operating norms/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/ deviation / violation etc and the hierarchical system or administrative order to deal with the environmental issues, for ensuring compliance with EC conditions as well as Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and subsequent amendments issued there under.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


Sh. Vivek Pandey
Secretary

MINUTES OF MEETING OF STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE, CHANDIGARH (UT) HELD ON 09th DECEMBER'2021 AT 10:30 AM, IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 2ND FLOOR, PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SECTOR 19 B, CHANDIGARH FOR ISSUE OF TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR) TO THE PROJECT, NAMELY:

"Proposed General Housing Scheme at Plot No. 1 & 2 at I.T. Habitat at RGCTP by Chandigarh Housing Board".

A meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee, Union Territory, Chandigarh was held on 09th December'2021 at 10.30 AM, in the Conference Hall, 2nd Floor, Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19 B, Chandigarh under the chairmanship of Prof. Siby John, Department of Civil Engineering, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh.

Following members SEAC, Chandigarh (UT) were present in the meeting:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Dr. Sanjay Sharma, Professor & Head,
Civil Engineering Department, NITTTR Campus,
Sector-26, Chandigarh. | Member |
| 2. Prof. Naval Kishore, Department of Geology,
Panjab University, # G-9, P.U. Campus Sector 14,
Chandigarh-160014. | Member |
| 3. Prof. HaripadaBhunia, Professor,
Department of Chemical Engineering, Thapar University,
C-101, Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Patiala -147004. | Member |
| 4. Sh. Sushil Dogra, Scientist B
Chandigarh Pollution Control Committee, Chandigarh. | Member |
| 5. Dr. Harish Sharma, Scientist 'SD',
Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration. | Secretary |

Following members were members on leave of absence:


- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Prof. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia,
Professor, Department of Botany, Panjab University,
Chandigarh-160014 | Member |
| 2. Prof. Sangeeta Bagga Mehta,
Principal, Chandigarh College of Architecture, PEC Campus, Sector-12,
Chandigarh. | Member |
| 3. Dr. Suman Mor,
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Environment Studies, Panjab University,
Chandigarh. | Member |
| 4. Dr. Neeraj Khatri,
Principal Scientist & Head, IMTECH, Sector-39 A,
Chandigarh. | Member |

At the outset, Professor Siby John, Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh welcomed all the members of the committee and apprised the committee members about brief of the project. The Chairman, SEAC, Chandigarh, then invited the Project Proponent for making the presentation. The team of Chandigarh Housing Board headed by Sh. Ajay Grover, SE, II and his team along with Ms. Priyanka, Project Consultant, Eco-Laboratories and Consultant Private Ltd. made the presentation of the project.

During the presentation, the committee members raised queries on the different aspects of the project and it was observed by the committee that there was inconsistencies w.r.t., environmental data at many places in the proposal submitted by the project proponent at initial stage. Also, it was highlighted that the whole of project land of 110.63 Acres as per approved Master Plan needed to be considered for the Environment Clearance and applying for only Plot No.1 & 2 out of total of 16 plots in parts might defeat the purpose of a comprehensive outlook of the entire planning region and holistic perspective of the EC. It was emphasised that a comprehensive study needed to be done for whole of the land area under IT Habitat Project and not just for the plots 1 & 2.

Based on the presentation and responses on the queries raised by the members, following preliminary observations (which were made known to the Project Proponent during the presentation itself) have been made by the committee. The PP consented to submit their reply regarding the deficiencies/observations at their earliest possible so as to enable the committee to proceed further in the matter.

1. The study of the project should take into consideration the whole of the area allotted to Chandigarh Housing Board for IT Habitat Project and not just Plot No. 1 & 2 or plots in isolation. A Comprehensive Plan should be prepared considering the holistic view of the proposed project for the study of environmental parameters.
2. The realignment of the natural water course, as proposed in the report required more specific details, if to be considered (clear justification with sound environmental study required to establish no environmental disturbance to the hydrology of the region).
3. The 'status of litigation' column should mention the present status as on date of the court proceedings with documentary evidence supporting it.
4. Clarification should be submitted on water supply from Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh or whether ground water abstraction is being done. The quantity has to be mentioned for which approval has been obtained.
5. Whole of treated water should be used within the project land and not to be discharged in the MC sewer. Zero discharge concept should be adopted and accordingly water balance presented needs to be revised.
6. If Mechanical Composters for Solid Waste Management are proposed for the project, clarification to be submitted based on Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016 and amendments thereof for disposing off the non-biodegradable to recyclers. The collection




mechanism of the solid waste from multi-storeyed apartments proposed needs detailing and compliance to the relevant rules to be established.

7. Ground water study should also take into account the holistic view of the IT Habitat Project area of 110.63 Acres and not the individual plots in isolation.
8. As mentioned in the proposal, tertiary treated water will be used for construction purpose. In this regard, the permission mentioning the provider and the quantity of tertiary treated water should be submitted.
9. The water from roof top rain water harvesting is proposed to be used for recharge of aquifers; whereas the proposed project site is adjacent to Sukhna Choe. Therefore, the proposal needs to be relooked for aquifer recharge considering the level of water table in that area (no information/study presented to this effect).
10. The report should mention details regarding use of renewable energy and take into account the NBC provisions, possible Green Building Rating etc.
11. All the clarifications should be made at this stage before the grant of TOR and not in the final EIA report. TOR application needs to be revised in view of the above observations of committee conveyed to the PP during the meeting.
12. The details of the existing plantation of trees in proposed site such as the number of trees to be felled, species that are removed, density of the plantation etc need to be specified. Likewise, details of compensatory plantation, the site and species details etc. are also required to be specified. The proponent needs to earmark the area where losses of trees so caused have to be compensated as part of compensatory plantation plan. Also, a schedule of the compensatory tree plantation should be given.
13. In compliance with the MoEF New Delhi circular J-11013/41/2006-1A, II (I) Part, dated 19 May 2011, the project needs to have a well laid down Environment Policy, duly approved by the Board of Directors. The policy should consist of standard operating norms/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/ deviation / violation etc and the hierarchical system or administrative order to deal with the environmental issues, for ensuring compliance with EC conditions as well as Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and subsequent amendments issued there under.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


Dr. Harish Sharma
Secretary


Prof. Siby John
Chairman

Report

of the

**Monitoring Committee, constituted by the
Hon'ble National Green Tribunal,
to oversee certain environmental issues**

in the

State of Punjab,

in

OA No. 980 of 2019

in the matter of

Harminder Singh & Anr

V/s

Union of India & Ors

**with regard to remedial measures to be
taken to maintain the natural flow of river
Siswan and its tributary passing through
village Bharounjian, Sub Tehsil Majri, Distt.
SAS Nagar.**

(ii) That the work relating to restoration of Siswan's Tributary to its original land width/ capacity has been completed.

(iii) That the work relating to removal of the unauthorized embankment in the main bed of Siswan River will be completed after the harvesting of standing crops with the help of the Local Administration and the Police Authorities.

(v) That the point 'A' in Annexure A has been plugged and necessary flood protection work of providing wire crates filled with stones/ boulders in the shape of revetment alongside VR-6 Roads from Point 'A' to 'B' stands completed at site."

7. The applicant has filed objections to the effect that Environmental Clearance (EC) was granted without taking into account the fact of Siswan River passing through the project. **There is diversion of the natural flow of the river to raise constructions.** The report does not address the problem fully. Construction of bridge at point B will not solve the problem. There is need to make culverts at points A and B as contemplated in the earlier order of this Tribunal. There is need to restore the area affected by the illegal diversion of the river flow. The builder has filled up the course of the River and raised construction in the River bed in village Bharoujian and Kansala, resulting in irreversible damage to the environment.

8. We have duly considered the matter and also heard the representative of the State. The Builder in question, has also put in appearance. We have also considered the application filed by another builder-company, the PCB Real Estate Pvt. Ltd. seeking direction to restore the River Siswan which has been illegally obstructed affecting the inhabitants.

9. We find that since **there is change of the natural course of River Siswan by the Builder and the SEIAA while granting EC failed to even**

consider this aspect, satisfactory remedial action has to be taken. While we appreciate the efforts of the Chief Secretary in personally intervening in the matter, the remedy provided does not adequately address the problem. Mere construction of bridge may not be a solution in the circumstances. There is need to further examine the matter with the assistance of an independent Committee which may also visit to the site.

10. Accordingly, without expressing final view at this stage, we request the Committee headed by Justice Jasbir Singh, former Judge of Punjab and Haryana High Court (constituted to oversee certain environmental issues in the State of Punjab) to look into the matter after undertaking visit to the site and suggest remedial measures. The Committee will be at liberty to take technical assistance from any other experts/institutions and also consider the viewpoint of the rival parties, including concerned Departments. The Committee may give its report preferably by 30.04.2021 and also upload the same on website of PPCB simultaneously so that the concerned parties/Departments and the Chief Secretary, Punjab can access the same for further course of action, unless there are objections to the report in which case the Tribunal will resolve the issue. The report may be filed by e-mail at judicial-ngt@gov.in preferably in the form of searchable PDF/ OCR Support PDF and not in the form of Image PDF.

List for further consideration on 20.05.2021.

A copy of this order be forwarded to the Chief Secretary Punjab, Justice Jasbir Singh, GMADA, the Housing and Urban Development Department, the Irrigation Department, the Environment Department Punjab, SEIAA Punjab and State PCB by email for compliance.

Adarsh Kumar Goel, CP

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
EASTERN ZONE BENCH,
KOLKATA**

.....
ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 141/2017/EZ

IN THE MATTER OF:

**Alekha Chandra Tripathy,
Aged about 58 years,
S/o Late Joginath Tripathy,
At-Kabi Smaraka, Dagarapada,
PO-Chandini Chow, P.S.-Lalbag,
District-Cutack, Odisha,
Pin - 753002,**

....Applicant(s)

Versus

- 1. State of Odisha,
Through Chief Secretary,
Secretariat Building, Bhubaneswar,
District-Khurdha, Pin - 751001,**
- 2. Principal Secretary, Govt. of Odisha,
Deptt. of Water Resources,
Rajiv Bhawan, Bhubaneswar,
District-Khurdha, Pin - 751001,**
- 3. Principal Secretary, Govt. of Odisha,
Deptt. of Revenue & Disaster Management,
Secretariat Building, Bhubaneswar,
District-Khurdha, Pin - 751001,**
- 4. Collector and District Magistrate, Angul,
At/PO/District-Angul, Odisha,
Pin - 759122,**
- 5. Tahasildar, Banarpal,
At/PO-Banarpal, District-Angul,
Pin - 759122,**

6. Jindal Steel & Power Ltd.,
Through Executive Director,
Chhendipada Road, SH-63,
Jindal Nagar, Angul, Odisha,
Pin - 759111.

....Respondent(s)

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT:

Mr. Sankar Prasad Pani, Advocate

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS :

Mr. S. K. Nayak, Addl. Govt. Advocate for R-1 to 5,
Mr. Samrat Sen, Sr. Advocate a/w Mr. Pratik Sanu, Advocate &
Mr. Vishal Sinha, Advocate for R-6,

JUDGMENT

PRESENT:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. AMIT STHALEKAR (JUDICIAL MEMBER)
HON'BLE MR. SAIBAL DASGUPTA (EXPERT MEMBER)

Reserved On:- 09th November, 2021
Pronounce On:- 26th November, 2021

1. Whether the Judgment is allowed to be published on the net? **Yes**
2. Whether the Judgment is allowed to be published in the NGT Reporter? **Yes**

JUSTICE B. AMIT STHALEKAR (JUDICIAL MEMBER)

This Original Application has been filed by the Applicant on the allegation that the Jindal Steel & Power Limited, Respondent No.6, (hereinafter referred to as 'JSPL') has unauthorisedly usurped the Nandira river in the District Angul, Odisha in connivance with the State Authorities located at Bhubaneswar, Odisha and has filled

of Respondent No.6 Plant and though the original Nalla may have been 'dismembered beyond repair' within the premises of Respondent No.6, the free and smooth flow of the water has not been hampered and it continues to flow through the alternative diversionary channel into the Parang Minor Irrigation Project. So far as Nandira River is concerned, there is absolutely no interference with or damage to the same since the said river originates from the Parang Minor Irrigation Project and is beyond the premises of the Respondent No.6.

39. Having said that, the consistent reports of the Government and the own averments of Respondent No.6, show that the Respondent No.6, JSPL, has interfered with nature by changing the natural course of Kurdabahali Nalla which cannot now be restored. We, therefore, impose a cost of Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Two Crore) upon the Respondent No.6, Jindal Steel & Power Limited, for filling up the original Kurdabahali Nalla with earth and constructing its industrial complex thereon at a time when it had not received sanction from the Government of Odisha for diversion of the Kurdabahali Nalla through an alternate diversion into the Parang Minor Irrigation Project.

40. We also direct that out of the amount of Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores), Rupees 1,50,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore Fifty Lakhs) shall be deposited with the Forest Department, Govt. of Odisha and Rupees 50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lakhs) shall be deposited with the Odisha State Pollution Control Board. The Forest