

**MINUTES OF THE 83<sup>rd</sup> MEETING OF  
STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE,  
(SEAC), TELANGANA STATE  
HELD ON 21.09.2020, 11:00 A.M.**



**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

**MINUTES OF THE 83<sup>rd</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 21.09.2020 AT TSPCB, PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, A-3, I.E., SANATHNAGAR, HYDERABAD.**

The following members were present:

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Expert</b>	<b>Position</b>
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, H.No: 2-2-20/L/7, #401. Golden towers – II, Raja Rajeshwari BLPG, D.D. Colony, Hyderabad. Ph: 9866629265	Chairman.
2.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri H.No: 3-5-44/1, Flat No. 301, Areadia Apartments, Edengaden Road, Hyderabad- 500001. Ph:9491145160	Member
3.	Shri Suresh, B-106, Vertex prime, Nizampet Road, Kukatpalli, Hyderabad. Ph: 9177037785	Member
4.	Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud, H.No. 6-156, Sridurga Estates, Deepthisri Nagar, Madinaguda, Hyderabad-500049. Ph:9440386945	Member
5.	Dr.K.Shivakumar, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Mehar Ninan, KPHB 6 <sup>th</sup> phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072 Ph: 9951701067	Member
6.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Ramnagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604	Member

After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agenda-wise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

**DECLARATION**

It is hereby declared that the Chairman and members of SEAC, T.S., do not have conflict of interest with any project proponent pertaining to the items discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 21.09.2020.

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Expert</b>	<b>Signature</b>
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy	Sd/-
2.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri	Sd/-
3.	Shri Suresh	Sd/-
4.	Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud	Sd/-
5.	Dr.K.Shivakumar,	Sd/-
6.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar	Sd/-

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

<b>Agenda Item No. 01</b>	<b>“Secretariat Complex” of Telangana State Government, Khairthabad Tehsil, Saifabad (V), near Necklace Road, Hyderabad District - Amendment to Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/174043/2020 (MODI-EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Satyanarayana, SE, R&B Dept.; and Smt. T. Srilatha & Sri P.V. Raju of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech (P) Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the SEIAA, TS issued EC vide order dt.01.09.2020 to the project.

Now, the proponent informed that the site was re-surveyed and observed that the area of the site is increased and also found few changes in builtup area of Main Secretariat Building, Staff Amenities & other buildings from the original plan. It was informed that they have not yet started any construction at site, as the EC was recently issued.

During presentation, the proponent informed that the co-ordinate of North-East boundary point i.e., 17° 24' 40.41"N 78° 28' 16.34"E is not changed and hence, the area affected under buffer zone of FTL of Hussain Sagar Lake is also not changed. Further, it is observed that an area of 7,122.47 Sq.m. is affected under Road widening.

During presentation, the proponent informed that they have obtained NOC dt. 25.08.2020 for height clearance from Airports Authority of India w.r.t. the proposed project and submitted a copy of the same. It is observed from the NOC that the site elevation is 523.1 mts AMSL and the permissible top elevation is restricted to 606.24 mts AMSL. In this regard, the proponent informed that the site elevation of 523.1 mts AMSL mentioned in the NOC is the highest FRL considering the whole site, whereas the maximum FRL at the location of the Main Secretariat Building is only 519.95 mts. Hence, the SEAC noted that the height of the building is within the permissible top elevation restricted by the AAI.

The overall area statement of the proposed project is as follows:

Details	Area		
	Sqm	Ac	%
Plinth Area (Ground coverage Area)	10979.69	2.71	10.66
Green belt	11605.92	2.87	11.29
Landscape Area	11649.41	2.88	11.33
Parking Area (Surface Parking)	32312.82	7.98	31.39
Road Area	29070.5	7.18	28.25
Vacant Area (setbacks & open areas)	7252.68	1.8	7.08
<b>Net Site Area</b>	<b>102871.02</b>	<b>25.42</b>	<b>100</b>
Area under Road widening	7122.47	1.76	
FTL Buffer Zone Area	3520.84	0.87	
<b>Total</b>	<b>113514.33</b>	<b>28.05</b>	

The proponent presented the following changes proposed in the project:

S.No.	Discription	As per EC	Proposed	Remarks		
1	Geo Co-ordinates of site	1	17° 24' 40.41"N 78° 28' 16.34"E	1	17° 24' 40.13"N 78° 28' 06.22"E	Additional co-ordinates
		2	17° 24' 29.09"N 78° 28' 16.56"E	2	17° 24' 40.41"N 78° 28' 16.34"E	
		3	17° 24' 28.88"N 78° 28' 07.04"E	3	17° 24' 29.34"N 78° 28' 17.25"E	
		4	17° 24' 39.72"N 78° 28' 06.71"E	4	17° 24' 29.02"N 78° 28' 16.36"E	
				5	17° 24' 31.92"N 78° 28' 04.71"E	
				6	17° 24' 37.79"N 78° 28' 06.25"E	
2	Total Site area	106394.17 Sq.m. (26.29 Ac.)	113514.33 Sq. m. (28.05 Ac.)	Increased		
3	Area affected in Road widening	---	7122.47 Sq. m. (1.76 Ac.)	Additional area		

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

S.No.	Discription	As per EC	Proposed	Remarks
4	FTL Buffer Zone Area	3520.84 Sq. m. (0.87 Ac.)	3520.84 Sq. m. (0.87 Ac.)	Same
5	Net Site Area	102873.33 Sq. m. (25.42 Ac.)	102871.02 Sq. m. (25.42 Ac.)	Decreased
6	Total Built up area including parking	66,479.92 Sq. m.	70,315.19 Sq. m.	Increased
7	Area of floors (Main Secretariat Building)	61914.67 Sq. m. (LG+G+11 Floors)	64989.46 Sq. m. (LG+G+11 Floors)	Same floors but Built-up area increased
8	Staff Amenities & Other Buildings	4565.25 Sq.m. 6 Buildings with G+1 Floors	5325.73 Sq.m. (7 Buildings Staff Amenities (6 Buildings with G+1 Floor & Electrical block: G+2 Floors))  Other Buildings: Drivers Toilets (2 No.s) & Security Rooms (4 No.s)	Increased
9	Parking (Surface Parking)	30223.21 Sq. m. (45.46%)	32312.82 Sq. m. (45.95%) No Stack Parking now	Increased
10	No. of car & 2- wheeler parkings	4 Wheelers – 1215 2 Wheelers - 475	4 Wheelers – 1215 2- Wheelers – 475	Same
11	Green Belt area	10738.71 Sq. m. (10.44%)	11605.92 Sq. m. (11.29%)	Increased
12	No. of employees to be accommodated	2000 Employees 1000 - Visitors	2000 Employees 1000 - Visitors	Same
13	Cost of the project	400 Crores	617 Crores	Increased
14	Budget for EMP	Capital Cost : Rs. 6.13 Crores Recurring cost: 1.80 Crores / annum	Capital Cost: Rs.6.33 Crores (1.02%) Recurring cost: 1.80 Crores/annum	Increased
15	CER Budget	Rs. 6.0 Crores (1.5%)	Rs. 6.17 Crores (1% as per MoEF O.M. dated 01.05.2018)	Increased
16	Total water consumption	207 KLD Fresh Water – 172 KLD Recycling water- 35 KLD	207 KLD Fresh Water – 172 KLD Recycling water- 35 KLD	Same
17	Waste water generation	100 KLD	100 KLD	Same
18	STP Capacity	120 KLD	120 KLD	Same
19	Solid waste generation	700 Kgs/day	700 Kgs/day	Same
20	DG sets	4 x 1250 kVA	4 x 1250 kVA	Same
21	No. of Lifts	22	23	Increased

The SEAC noted that the proponent requested to issue Amendment to EC with the following changes:

S.No.	Discription	As per EC	Proposed																														
1	Geo Co-ordinates of site	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>17° 24' 40.41"N</td> <td>78° 28' 16.34"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>17° 24' 29.09"N</td> <td>78° 28' 16.56"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>17° 24' 28.88"N</td> <td>78° 28' 07.04"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>17° 24' 39.72"N</td> <td>78° 28' 06.71"E</td> </tr> </table>	1	17° 24' 40.41"N	78° 28' 16.34"E	2	17° 24' 29.09"N	78° 28' 16.56"E	3	17° 24' 28.88"N	78° 28' 07.04"E	4	17° 24' 39.72"N	78° 28' 06.71"E	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>17° 24' 40.13"N</td> <td>78° 28' 06.22"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>17° 24' 40.41"N</td> <td>78° 28' 16.34"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>17° 24' 29.34"N</td> <td>78° 28' 17.25"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>17° 24' 29.02"N</td> <td>78° 28' 16.36"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>17° 24' 31.92"N</td> <td>78° 28' 04.71"E</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>17° 24' 37.79"N</td> <td>78° 28' 06.25"E</td> </tr> </table>	1	17° 24' 40.13"N	78° 28' 06.22"E	2	17° 24' 40.41"N	78° 28' 16.34"E	3	17° 24' 29.34"N	78° 28' 17.25"E	4	17° 24' 29.02"N	78° 28' 16.36"E	5	17° 24' 31.92"N	78° 28' 04.71"E	6	17° 24' 37.79"N	78° 28' 06.25"E
1	17° 24' 40.41"N	78° 28' 16.34"E																															
2	17° 24' 29.09"N	78° 28' 16.56"E																															
3	17° 24' 28.88"N	78° 28' 07.04"E																															
4	17° 24' 39.72"N	78° 28' 06.71"E																															
1	17° 24' 40.13"N	78° 28' 06.22"E																															
2	17° 24' 40.41"N	78° 28' 16.34"E																															
3	17° 24' 29.34"N	78° 28' 17.25"E																															
4	17° 24' 29.02"N	78° 28' 16.36"E																															
5	17° 24' 31.92"N	78° 28' 04.71"E																															
6	17° 24' 37.79"N	78° 28' 06.25"E																															
2	Total Site area	106394.17 Sq.m. (26.29 Ac.)	113514.33 Sq. m. (28.05 Ac.)																														
3	Area affected in Road widening	-	7122.47 Sq. m. (1.76 Ac.)																														
4	Net Site Area	102873.33 Sq. m. (25.42 Ac.)	102871.02 Sq. m. (25.419 Ac.)																														
5	Total Built up area including parking	66,479.92 Sq. m.	70,315.19 Sq. m.																														
6	Area of floors (Main Secretariat Building)	61914.67 Sq. m. (LG+G+11 Floors)	64989.46 Sq. m. (LG+G+11 Floors)																														
7	Staff Amenities & Other Buildings	4565.25 Sq.m. 6 Buildings with G+1 Floors	5325.73 Sq.m. (7 Buildings Staff Amenities (6 Buildings with G+1 Floor & Electrical block: G+2 Floors))  Other Buildings: Drivers Toilets (2 No.s) & Security Rooms (4 No.s)																														

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

S.No.	Discription	As per EC	Proposed
8	Parking (Surface Parking)	30223.21 Sq. m. (45.46%)	32312.82 Sq. m. (45.95%) No Stack Parking now
9	Green Belt area	10738.71 Sq. m. (10.44%)	11605.92 Sq. m. (11.29%)
10	Cost of the project	400 Crores	617 Crores
11	Budget for EMP	Capital Cost : Rs. 6.13 Crores Recurring cost: 1.80 Crores/annum	Capital Cost: Rs.6.33 Crores Recurring cost per: 1.80 Crores/annum
12	CER Budget	Rs. 6.0 Crores	Rs. 6.17 Crores

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of Amendment to EC, as sought by the proponent.

<b>Agenda Item No. 02</b>	<b>M/s. GAR Corporation Private Limited, Sy.No 214, 215, 216/P, 217/P, 218/P Kokapet (V), Gandipet (M), RangaReddy(D), Telangana State – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/126426/2019 (EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 06.12.2019 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, verify documents and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the proposed project on Nala, existence of raw water pipe line of Osman Sagar passing through site, adequacy of EMP measures taken by the proponent w.r.t. restrictions imposed by Government keeping in view of the G.O.Ms. No.111 of MA&UD Dept., dt.08.03.1996, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 06.01.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

- *The site is undisturbed and no construction activity started.*
- *The proponent has left the 9 mts buffer from the nala as per the Stipulations in G.O Ms No 168 and Recommendation of Irrigation and &CAD is verified and enclosed*
- *The raw water pipe line of Osman sagar is passing adjacent from the site for which the proponent has left 30 mts as buffer from Aqueduct which is proposed to build green belt. Permission from Hyderabad Metro Water Works and Sewerage Board is verified and enclosed*
- *Site Plan showing both buffer zones marked is verified and enclosed.*
- *The total cost of the project is 83.0 Crores and the budget allocated for environmental protection is Rs.90.0 Lakhs which is about more than 1% of the total project cost.*
- *Proposed to develop green belt 3253.36 Square meters which is about 27.66% of the total project site.*
- *Proposed to provide solar panels on the top roof and save 4% of energy on overall consumption.*

*Based on the assurance given by the proponent for implementation of environmental measures and to not to make any constructions in the buffer zone of both Nallah and Raw Water Pipeline as per the recommendations of concerned authorities, it is recommended that the EC maybe issued for the proposed project.*

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

<b>Agenda Item No. 03</b>	<b>M/s. Kemzen Industries Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No.126/A2, Nagulapally Mllage, Sadasivpet Mandal, Sangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/IND2/130502/2019 (EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 17.03.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the unit and submit report on present status of the project, any products proposed other than LABSA, proposed utilities, proposed ZLD system, adequacy of proposed EMP measures, impacts of the proposed project on the surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 28.07.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

*Brief History: The project proponent obtained CFE for the production of detergent soap cakes, powders and liquid formulation vide order no Order No. SRD-525/PCB/ZO/RCP/CFE/2019-281, dated: 25.03.2019*

*After the detailed examination the CFE committee reviewed that the industry's one of the proposed products is to manufacture LABSA by reacting Linear Alkyl Benzene (LAB) with Oleum, involving chemical reaction which will attract EC. Therefore, advised the proponent to obtain EC from the authority.*

	<b>To Verify the issues</b>	<b>Observations</b>
1	<i>Present status of the project</i>	<i>M/s. Kemzen Industries Pvt. Ltd. Sy. No. 126/A2, Nagulapally Village, Sadasivpet Mandal, Sangareddy District, Telangana, is newly proposed industry intended to produce 20 TPD Linear Alkyl Benzene Sulfonic Acid.</i>  <i>Yet to start.</i>
2	<i>Project cost</i>	<i>Rs 1.10 Cr</i>
3.	<i>Proposed Products</i>	<i>Proposed product is 20 TPD Linear Alkyl Benzene Sulfonic Acid</i>
4	<i>Products other than LABS</i>	<i>No</i>
5	<i>Proposed utilities</i>	<i>Sulfonation plant and lab Tank yard Magnesium sulfate plant Cooling tower ETP Administrative and ancillaries</i>
6	<i>Proposed ZLD system</i>	<i>ZLD system with the following steps is proposed Effluent --- Neutralization --- De-foaming --- Aeration Clarifier--- Pressure sand filter --- Treated water used in soap and detergent manufacturing and green belt</i>
7	<i>Adequacy of EMP measures Proposed</i>	<i>After installation of the above ZLD it is adequate to deal with effluents.</i>
8	<i>Impacts of the project on surrounding environment</i>	<i>As the unit is proposing ZLD system to treat the generated effluent and recovered water will be reused in the plant operations. The unit does not generate any hazardous and solid wastes. Gases from the process are treated with scrubbers and releasing to atmosphere. Fugitive gases and smoke generated from 30 kVaDG set will be dispersed through 1 meter above the stipulated height chimney. With these arrangements there will not be any impact on the surrounding.</i>



**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

9	Green belt development	M/s. Kemzen Industries Pvt. Ltd. has an area of 4642 Sq. m out of which 1578 sq.m. (53.77 %) is proposed for Green Belt area.
---	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Recommendations:**

*Impact of the proposed pharmaceutical products on the water body and surrounding environment at the site with the above EMP measures in place do not have adverse effects. Environmental Clearance may be given to the project with inclusion of the following clauses in EC.*

1. No Change in the product other than Linear Alkyl Benzene Sulfonic Acid
2. Construct ZLD as proposed
3. Construct the approach all weather CC roads to the site that would be suitable for plying heavy vehicles
4. Develop the green belt and preserve the existing trees in the plot

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 04</b>	<b>"Mall cum Multiplex Complex" by M/s. M. Swaroopa Rani &amp; Other, Sy. No. 369, Ibrahimpatnam (V), Ibrahimpatnam (M), Rangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/NCP/80612/2018 (EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 06.12.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project.

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 08.01.2020 and submitted the report on 17.09.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Examination of records reveal that HMDA accorded prmissio to construct cellar and floors to an extent of 8397 sq.mtrs. vide LR.No.3751/plg/SHZ/HMDA/2017 dated 28.11.2017.
2. Construction work is under way and not yet completed at the time of the visit.
3. Copies of the documents are annexed to the report.
4. Adverse impact on the surroundings is not envisaged.
5. Environment clearance may be given subject to compliance of the conditions as presented to SEAC.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 05</b>	<b>M/s. P. Vasudeva Reddy and Others by M/s. GAR Corporation Pvt Ltd, Sy.No.18/P, 19 &amp; 20, Kokapet (V), Gandipet (M), Ranga Reddy District, Telangana State Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/52782/2020 (EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 17.06.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, verify records and submit report on present status of the project, existence of feeder channel, impacts of the project on it & status of NOC by the I&CAD Dept., if applicable, adequacy of parking area as per G.O.Ms. No.168, adequacy of proposed EMP measures, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 26.06.2020 and submitted the report on 18.09.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

**Observations and Recommendations:**

*The following observations were made by the members during the site visit.*

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

- *The site is undisturbed and no construction activity started.*
- *There are no natural streams observed through the site.*
- *The site is not affected of FTL or water bodies.*
- *The parking area required as per the G.O Ms.No 168 is 33% of the total built-up area and the parking area provided is 1,49,832 53 Square meters which is about 48.32% of the total built-up area.*
- *The total cost of the project is 595 Crores and the budget allocated for environmental protection is Rs.6.0 Crores which is about more than 1% of the total project cost.*
- *Proposed to develop green belt 3694.52 Square meters which is about 14% of the total project site.*
- *Proposed to provide solar panels on the top roof and save 4% of energy on overall consumption.*

*Based on the assurance given by the proponent for implementation of environmental measures it is recommended to issue the Environmental Clearance for the proposed project.*

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 06</b>	<b>M/s. GAR Corporation Private Limited, Sy.No 107, Kokapet (V), Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana State Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/153774/2020 (MODI-EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 17.06.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, verify records and submit report on present status of the project, EMP measures being implemented, adequacy of proposed EMP measures proposed, impacts of the project on the surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 27.06.2020 and submitted the report on 18.09.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

*The following observations were made by the members during the site visit.*

- \* *SEIAA, TS issued EC vide order no. vide Order No. SEIAA/TS/RRD-120/2015-1657 dated 03.11.2015 for the project with total built up area of 2, 54,508.67 Square meters.*
- \* *Obtained amendment for EC in 2017 vide order No. Order No. SEIAA/TS/OL/RRD-175/2017 dated 30.10.2017 for the project with total built up area of 2,80,390.30 Sq.mts*
- \* *Obtained 2<sup>nd</sup> amendment for EC 2018 vide order No. Order No. SEIAA/TS/OL/RRD-204/2018 dated 08.03.2018*
- \* *The proponent has proposed to increase the built-up area to 2,87,340.90 Sq.m by increasing one upper floor.*
- \* *The present proposal is for amendment of Environmental clearance only.*
- \* *Risk assessment report, disaster management plan and fire safety measures as per the details given in earlier EC.*
- \* *It is noted that the total built up area of the project after amendment is 2,87,340.90 Sq.m with 3 Basements + Ground + 16 Upper Floors*
- \* *During the site visit, it is observed that Construction work is in progress as per earlier EC norms.*
- \* *Already unit established STP with a capacity of 1140 KLD which can safely take the additional load of 22 KLD likely to be generated from the additional floor.*
- \* *Developed the green belt and further increasing to meet the 10% Norm.*
- \* *Industry submitted self-certified compliance report on the previous condition of the EC which is enclosed.*
- \* *Sub - committee members informed the proponent to adhere to the guidelines/ rules/ regulations as mentioned in the provisional NOC issued by the State Disaster Response and Fire Services Dept., Govt of Telangana.*

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

- \* *In view of the consent given by the proponent for proper environmental measures, the proposed project may not affect the surrounding environs*

*Based on the assurance given by the proponent for implementation of environmental measures it is recommended to issue the Environmental Clearance for the expansion project.*

The SEAC observed that the proponent has submitted condition wise compliance report on EC granted earlier to the project.

The SEAC also observed that there are certain changes in the quantities mentioned during SEAC meeting held on 17.06.2020 to be made which were inadvertently mentioned earlier. The details of commercial area, parking area and water requirement proposed by the proponent shall be read as following:

S.NO	DESCRIPTION	PREVIOUS	PROPOSED
1.	Total Built up area including parking	2,80,390.30 Sq.m.	2,87,340.90 Sq.m.
a)	Commercial Area	1,57,501.66 Sq.mts	2,25,896.0 Sq.m
b)	Parking	1,22,888.64 Sq.mts	61,444.32 Sq.m (Stack parking in Basements)
2.	Total water consumption	1177 KLD Fresh Water – 400 KLD Recycled water – 777 KLD	1202 KLD Fresh Water – 417 KLD Recycled water – 785 KLD

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of Amendment to EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 07</b>	<b>M/s. GAR Corporation Private Limited, Sy.No 89, Kokapet (V), Rajendranagar Mandal, RangaReddy District, Telangana State Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/154701/2020 (MODI-EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 17.06.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, verify records and submit report on present status of the project, EMP measures being implemented, adequacy of proposed EMP measures proposed, impacts of the project on the surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 25.06.2020 and submitted the report on 18.09.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

*The following observations were made by the members during the site visit.*

- \* *SEIAA, TS issued EC vide order no. SEIAA / TS / OL / RRD - 94 / 2017 dt 18.05.2017 for the project with total built up area of 2,00,566.93 Sq.mts.*
- \* *Obtained EC for amendment in January 2019 vide order No. SEIAA/TS/ RRD- 330/2019 dated 08.11.2019 for the project with total built up area of 3,45,915.03 Sq.mts*
- \* *The proponent now proposed to increase the built-up area to 3,46,892.63 Sq.m by increasing one upper floor.*
- \* *The present proposal is for amendment of Environmental clearance only.*
- \* *Risk assessment report, disaster management plan and fire safety measures as per the details given in earlier EC.*
- \* *It is noted that the total built up area of the project after amendment is 3,46,892.63 Sq.m with 3 Basements + Ground + 28 Upper Floors*
- \* *During the site visit, it is observed that Construction work is in progress as per earlier EC norms.*
- \* *Already unit established STP with a capacity if 1110 KLD which can safely take the additional load of 21 KLD likely to be generated from the additional floor.*

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

- \* *Developed the green belt and further increasing to meet the 10% Norm.*
- \* *Industry submitted self-certified compliance report on the previous condition of the EC which is enclosed.*
- \* *Sub - committee members informed the proponent to duly adhere to the guidelines/ rules/ regulations as mentioned in the provisional NOC issued by the State Disaster Response and Fire Services Dept., Govt of Telangana.*
- \* *In view of the consent given by the proponent for proper environmental measures, the proposed project may not affect the surrounding environs.*

*Based on the assurance given by the proponent for implementation of environmental measures it is recommended to issue the Environmental Clearance for the expansion project.*

During presentation, the proponent informed that they have obtained NOC dt. 07.02.2019 for height clearance from Airports Authority of India w.r.t. the proposed project and submitted a copy of the same. It is observed from the NOC that the site elevation is 541.9 mts AMSL and the permissible top elevation is restricted to 791.9 mts AMSL. The SEAC noted that the height of the building is within the permissible top elevation restricted by the AAI.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of Amendment to EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 08</b>	<b>2.023 Ha. Mosaic Chips Quarry of M/s. Sangham Minerals, Sy. No. 318, Raghunadhapalem (V), Mattampally (M), Suryapet District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/134091/2019</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 13.03.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the project on Nala/Stream, nearest human habitation, RF, surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 17.06.2020 and submitted the report on 06.08.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

**Present status of the mine**

*The Project has not yet started.*

**Distance of the nearest habitation from the proposed mine**

*The nearest village is Raghunadhapalem which is found to be about 200 meters (aerial distance) from the mining site. Since the proposed mine and exploitation of the mosaic chips which is being carried out following semi mechanized, shallow drilling with controlled blasting, there is therefore likely to be very insignificant damage to the environment. The dust generated during the operations to be contained by regular water spraying. The nearby houses may not have any negative impact as the blasting method of mining will not be followed.*

**Impact of the proposed mining project on Nala/Stream and other Water body**

*A seasonal nala/stream flows through the mine lease area. A buffer zone of 10 meters on both sides of the nala should be left and no mining activity should take place. This area should be used to develop green belt. The dewatering of the mine should be passed through a siltation pond and should be released in to this seasonal nala that would enhance the out flow. Nearest village tank is around 550m from the site. Uravagu a seasonal nala flows around 1 k.m. from the mine site. With the above activity in place water bodies would not have any impact due to mining.*

**Impact of the proposed mining project on Reserved forest**

*Yepal Madhavaram Reserve Forest is the nearest reserve forest which is around 1 k.m. from the site. This reserve forest would not have any impact due to the proposed mining activity.*

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

**Impact of the project on human habitation and surrounding environment**

*Since the proposed mine and exploitation of the mosaic chips which is being carried out following semi mechanized, shallow drilling with controlled blasting, there is therefore likely to be very insignificant damage to the environment. The dust generated during the operations to be contained by regular water spraying. The nearby houses may not have any negative impact as the blasting method of mining will not be followed.*

*Both village and Raghunathapalem are more than 200 meters from the site therefore by mining the ore would not disturb the habitat.*

*The proponent has to follow the air pollution control measures as prescribed by the CPCB from time to time.*

*Measures like Air pollution equipment need to be installed to arrest and restrict the spread of particulate matter.*

**Green belt development**

*The proponent has to develop green belt in the proposed land.*

**Conditions to be include in the EC**

- 1. A buffer zone of 10 meters on both sides of the seasonal nala should be kept out of mining activity:*
- 2. De watering of mine after passing through the siltation pond should be released in to the nala*
- 3. Green belt should be developed as per norms*

**Recommendations:**

*Environmental Clearance may be given to the project, with the above conditions.*

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC with the specific conditions as suggested by the Sub-Committee.

<b>Agenda Item No. 09</b>	<b>1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Amity Enterprises Sy.No: 307, Mamilaguda (V), Mothey (M), Suryapet District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/46273/2019</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 13.03.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the project on nearest habitation, water body, surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 08.06.2020 and submitted the report on 06.08.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

**Present status of the mine**

*The Project has not yet started.*

**Impact of the project on the nearest habitation**

*The nearest Mamillagudem Village is 130 meters from the proposed mine. During the visit members had convinced the proponent to leave 70 meters (South Eastern portion of the lease area) that can be utilized for green belt development and dumping place as the waste would be around 70%. With the additional distance left without mining, the village would be around 200 meters away from the mining activity. In addition the proposed mine and exploitation of black granite is to be done semi mechanized following wire-saw cutting method only and blasting is not involved there would be insignificant impact on the near by human habitation.*

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

**Impact of the project on Water body**

The nearest water body is Hemaricheruvu which is around 1.25 k.m. and would not have any impact due to the proposed mining.

**Impact of the project on surrounding environment**

The proposed mine and exploitation of black granite is to be done semi mechanized following wire-saw cutting method only and blasting is not involved there would be insignificant impact on the near by water bodies and surrounding environment.

The proponent has to follow the air pollution control measures as prescribed by the CPCB from time to time.

Measures like Air pollution equipment need to be installed to arrest and restrict the spread of particulate matter.

**Green belt development**

The proponent has to develop green belt in the proposed land.

**Conditions to be included in the EC:**

The proponent should submit the following undertakings–

- a) Mining method should be by wire saw method only. No blasting should be carried out
- b) Leave 70 meters (South Eastern portion of the lease area) that can be utilized for green belt development and dumping place
- c) Construction of garland drains
- d) Develop a green belt as per the norms in the proposed land
- e) Construction of the approach road
- f) Install the air pollution equipment and sprinkling of water
- g) Fund allocation for CER need to be utilized

**Recommendations:**

Environmental Clearance may be given to the project, with the above conditions.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee & Undertaking submitted by the proponent and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC with the specific conditions as suggested by the Sub-Committee.

<b>Agenda Item No. 10</b>	<b>2.24 Ha. Mosaic Chips Quarry of M/s. G. R. Pulvarisers, Sy. No. 318, Raghunadhapalem (V), Mattampally (M), Suryapet District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/130353/2019 (EC)</b>

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 07.03.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the project on nearest human habitation, RF, water body, surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 16.06.2020 and submitted the report on 06.08.2020. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

**Present status of the mine**

The Project has not yet started.

**Distance of the nearest habitation, Water body from the proposed mine**

The nearest village is Gundlapalli which is found to be about 350 meters (aerial distance), Raghunadhapalem Village about 1600 meters from the mining site.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 21.09.2020**

**Impact of the project on human habitation and surrounding environment**

*Since the proposed mine and exploitation of the mosaic chips which is being carried out following semi mechanized, shallow drilling with controlled blasting, there is therefore likely to be very insignificant damage to the environment. The dust generated during the operations to be contained by regular water spraying. The nearby houses may not have any negative impact as the blasting method of mining will not be followed.*

*Both villages Gundlapalli and Raghunathapalem are more than 300 meters from the site therefore by mining the ore would not disturb the habitat.*

*The proponent has to follow the air pollution control measures as prescribed by the CPCB from time to time.*

*Measures like Air pollution equipment need to be installed to arrest and restrict the spread of particulate matter.*

**Distance of the nearest Water body from the proposed mine**

*Nearest village tank is around 1600m from the site. Uravagu a seasonal nala flows around 1 k.m. from the mine site. Both these water bodies would not have any impact due to the mining activity.*

**Green belt development**

*The proponent has to develop green belt in the proposed land.*

**Recommendations:**

*Environmental Clearance may be given to the project.*

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

  
CHAIRMAN, SEAC

