

State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority-Karnataka

(Constituted by MoEF, Government of India, under section 3(3) of E(P) Act, 1986)

Proceedings of the 215th SEIAA Meeting held on 12th April 2022 at 11:00. AM at Room No. 709, 7th Floor, Gate IV, M.S Building, Bangalore-560001.

Members present: -

Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha - Chairman, SEIAA
 Shri. K. N. Shivalinge Gowda - Member, SEIAA

3. Shri. Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS - Member Secretary, SEIAA

The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the discussion. The subjects discussed and the decisions made on each of the agenda points are as follows:

215.1. Fresh Projects (Recommended for EC):

Construction Projects:

215.1.1. Residential apartment project at Kyalasanahalli Village, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. ROHAN BUILDERS -Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/NCP/32846/2019 (SEIAA 43 CON 2019): Expansion

M/s. Rohan Builders, have proposed for construction of "ROHAN UPAVAN" – Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 53,443.31 sqm. The total built up area is 1,79,702.06 sqm. The proposed project consists of 970 units in 2 buildings. Building 1 & 2 each consisting of 2B + G + 19UF. Total water consumption is 666 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 600 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 650 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 61 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|--------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Name: M/s. Rohan Builders, Address: #1147, 3 rd Floor, K P Icon Building, 12 th Main Road, HAL 2 nd Stage, Indiranagar, Bangalore - 560 038 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "ROHAN UPAVAN" - Residential Apartment Project at Survey Nos. 48/1, 48/3, 48/4A, 48/4B, 48/5, 48/6 and 49/2, Kyalasanahalli Village, K R Puram Hobli, Bangalore East, Bangalore. |
| 3 | Type of Development | Residential Apartment Building. |

Room No. 706, 7th Floor, 4th Gate, M.S. Building, Bangalore - 560 001 Phone : 080-22032497 Fax : 080-22254377 Website : http://environmentclearance.nic.in http://seiaa.kamataka.gov.in e-mail : msseiaakamataka@gmail.com

| | — | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical | |
|----|----|--|--|
| | a. | Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other | notification 2006 |
| | b. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not applicable |
| 4 | Į. | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | Expansion |
| 5 | , | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Secondary nalas passing in three locations in the project area. |
| 6 | , | Plot Area (Sqm) | 53,443.31 sqm |
| 7 | 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 1,79,702.06 sqm |
| 8 | } | FAR Permissible Proposed | 2.25 2.249 |
| 9 | • | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Building 1 & 2 each consisting of 2B + G + 19UF |
| 19 | 0 | Number of units / plots in case of Construction / Residential Township / Area Development Projects | 970 units |
| 11 | 1 | Height Clearance | NoC from Airports Authority of India is obtained dated 07/09/2016, mentioned with reference to CCZM. |
| 13 | 2 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 61 Crores towards expansion and modification |
| 13 | 3 | Disposal of Demolition wastes and or Excavated earth | Total earth excavated from the building 1 of project is - 41,000 cum Landscape development = 16,000 cum About 2,000 cum of soil to be used for terrace landscaping, filling pots for sapling plantation etc., Backfilling = 13,000 cum Paved area = 10,000 cum |
| 14 | 4 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | |
| | a. | | 16,270.61 sq m |
| | b. | - | Foot path kharab - 14 Guntas |

| | m. I o I k N d | A0 700 0 4 | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Į | Total Green belt on Mother | 20,782.84 sq m | |
| _{C.} | Earth for projects under 8(a) of | | |
| | the Schedule of the EIA | | |
| | notification, 2006 | | |
| d. | Internal Roads | 11,980.35 sq m | |
| e. | Paved area | | |
| <u>f.</u> | f. Others Specify | | |
| | Parks and Open space in case of | Not applicable | |
| g. | Residential Township/ Area | | |
| | Development Projects | | <u> </u> |
| <u>h.</u> | Total | 53,443.31 sq m | |
| 15 | WATER | | |
| I. | Construction Phase | | |
| a. | Source of water | Tertiary treated | i water |
| ь. | Quantity of water for | 20 KLD | |
| 0. | Construction in KLD | | |
| c. | Quantity of water for Domestic | 50 KLD | |
| ι . | Purpose in KLD | | |
| d. | Waste water generation in KLD | 45 KLD | |
| | Treatment facility proposed | Package Sewage Treatment Plant of 50 | |
| e. | and scheme of disposal of | KLD Capacity. | |
| | treated water | | |
| II. | Operational Phase | Γ | |
| | Total Requirement of Water in | Fresh | 448 KLD |
| a. | KLD | Recycled | 218 KLD |
| | | Total | 666 KLD |
| <u>b.</u> | Source of water | BWSSB | |
| C. | Waste water generation in KLD | 600 KLD | |
| d. | STP capacity | 650 KLD | |
| e. | Technology employed for | Sequencing Ba | tch Reactor |
| - E. | Treatment | | |
| | Scheme of disposal of excess | | g, landscape development |
| f. | treated water if any | and watering | avenue plantations/ car and |
| | deated water it any | road washing | |
| 16 | Infrastructure for Rain water har | vesting | |
| | Capacity of sump tank to store | 345 cum | |
| a. | Roof run off | | |
| l. | No's of Ground water recharge | 11 recharge pit | <u></u> |
| b. | pits | | |
| _ · | | Surface runof | f to be collected in three |
| 17 | Storm water management plan | | al capacity 1325Cum and |
| | | excess to be | diverted to recharge pits |
| | - | | |

| | | |
|---------------------|---|---|
| | | within the site area. |
| 18 WASTE MANAGEMENT | | - |
| I. | Construction Phase | |
| a. | Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 0, 2, |
| II. | Operational Phase | |
| a. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 1746 kg/day, Organic solid waste to be treated in proposed Bio gas plant and product to be used as manure for landscape development |
| b. | Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 1164 kg/day inorganic solid waste to be handed over to recyclers |
| c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Used oil -1500 L/annum (Collected in leak proof containers and disposed to KSPCB registered authorized reprocessors/incinerators) |
| d. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | e-Waste to be segregated, collected and stored at a designated place and will be handed over to authorized recyclers |
| 19 | POWER | |
| a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | 5,145 kW from BESCOM |
| Ъ. | Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply | 5 X 380 kVA capacity DG sets |
| c. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | Low Sulphur content Diesel |
| d. | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | |
| 20 | PARKING | - |
| a. | Parking Requirement as per norms | 1086 ECS |
| b. | Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | |
| | | 8 mtr |
| 21 | CER Activities | Remaining works as per earlier EC |

1. 1.

| | | conditions to be carried out. |
|----|--|--|
| 22 | EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase | Construction phase capital cost: Rs. 2,17,80,000/- Operation phase capital cost: Rs.1,04,50,000/- |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for expansion of residential development project for which EC was issued earlier on 26/04/2017for BUA of 1,48,342.81Sqm in a plot area of 53,494.64Sqmand now proposed for BUA of 1,79,702.06Sqm in plot area of 53,443.31Sqm. The proponent has submitted Certified Compliance Report from MOEF&CC dated 13/09/2021, where the status of compliance is rated as satisfactory for the earlier EC and SEIAA on 27/08/2021 issued amendment to ToR issued on 01/07/2019.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification about the foot kharab, natural drains as per village map, FAR details, provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area, provisions for bio gas plant, details of trees proposed to be grown in the project location. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that foot kharab is rerouted as per Orders of DC Bangalore urban District order dated: 04/09/2015. For the natural drains, the proponent informed the committee that there are three secondary drains passing in north, south west and one in center of the project area, for which they had proposed a buffer of 25mtrs from center on either sides for the drain passing in north and in center and 25mtrs from center for drains in south western side of the project area.

Proponent informed the committee that for the proposed modification gross BUA is considered and wherein earlier EC net BUA was considered and slight increase in basement floor areas for parking and increase in upper floor BUA, which has resulted in increase in BUA and slight decrease in FAR area. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed a total of 345cum storage tanks for runoff from roof top and three ponds of total capacity 1325cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 11nos of recharge pits and made provisions to install biogas plantand planned to use as bio-fuel in proposed project.

The proponent informed that there are 308 of existing trees, out of which 115 trees would be removed, the committee insisted to grow 345 trees for the once that are removed and with a total of 820 trees to be grown in the project area. The proponent also informed the committee that green building concept will be adopted for the project and to comply with ECBC guidelines and have made provision for charging electrical vehicles in proposed project.

Drafted by

1:-1

The proponent has committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are within permissible limits and informed the proponent to comply for the observations made in Certified Compliance Report issued by MoEF&CC.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to leave for free access to public in foot kharab area and to take necessary permissions from concerned authorities to construct culvert/bridge on drains.

Dr. K.R. Sree Harsha, Chairman of Karnataka State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority, Karnataka has recused himself from the deliberations on this subject.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority also perused the Certified Compliance Report from MOEF&CC dated 13/09/2021, where the status of compliance is rated as satisfactory for the earlier EC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan/Status report of the CER activities proposed for earlier EC.
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Drafted by ken

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall leave the kharab area for free access to public.
- The PP shall take necessary permissions from concerned authorities to construct culvert/bridge on drains.

215.1.2. Expansion of Residential Apartment Project at Manchanahalli Village, Attibele Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. ICONICA PROJECT. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/61268/2021 (SEIAA 28 CON 2021): Expansion.

M/s. Iconica Projects., have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 78,610.25 sqm. The total built up area is 2,44,807 sq m. The proposed project consists of 22 towers in 2 Buildings with total of 2397 residential flats. Building 1 comprise of 15 towers. Tower 7 to 14 and 1, 1A to 6 consisting of 2B+G+14UF and a clubhouse with 2B+G+2F; Building 2 comprising of 7 towers (Tower 15 to 21) with Tower 15,16,17 and 21 consisting 1B+G+14UF and Tower 18,19 and 20 consisting 1B+G+14UF. Total water consumption is 1796 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 1640 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 1640 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 600 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Name: M/s. Iconica Projects., Address: 5 AC-510, HRBR Layout, 2 nd Block, Kalyan Nagar, Outer Ring Road, Bengaluru - 560 043. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Survey No. 5/1, 5/2, 7/2, 7/3, 10/2, 44/2, 44/5, 45/2C, 46 and 47, Manchanahalli Village, Attibele Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore. |
| 3 | Type of Development | Residential Apartment Building. |

Drafted by kein

1:-1

| | | | Category 8(b), Townships and Area Development projects as per the EIA notification 2006 |
|----|---|---|--|
| : | a. | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other | |
| | b. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not applicable |
| 4 | | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | Expansion |
| 5 | | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Manchanahalli Lake is located adjacent to the site (North East direction) Arehalli Lake is at about 1.1 Km (South East Direction) Krishnasagara lake is at about 1.3 Km (North direction) from the project site. |
| 6 | I | Plot Area (Sqm) | 78,610.25 sqm |
| 7 | | Built Up area (Sqm) | 2,44,807 sq m |
| | _ | AR | |
| 8 | | Permissible | 2.25 |
| | ┙ | Proposed | 2.24 |
| 9 | \rank | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Vings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Building 1 comprise of 15 towers. Tower 7 to 14 and 1, 1A to 6 consisting of 2B+G+14UF and a clubhouse with 2B+G+2F; Building 2 comprising of 7 towers (Tower 15 to 21) |
| | | basements and Opper Pacors | 15 to 21) with Tower 15,16,17 and 21 consisting 1B+G+14UF and Tower 18,19 and 20 consisting 1B+G+14UF. |
| 10 |) (| Number of units / plots in case of Construction / Residential Township / Area Development Projects | 22 towers in 2 Buildings with total of 2397 residential flats |
| 11 | <u> </u> | Height Clearance | NoC from Airports Authority of India is obtained for the projectdated:03/12/2021 |
| 12 | <u> </u> | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs 600Cr |
| 13 | | Disposal of Demolition wastes and or Excavated earth | Excavated soil for basement (49,000 cum) to be completely reused with in the project for landscape development (25,000 cum), paved area formation (9,000 cum) and |

Drafted by ke

1. 18

| | | | backfilling act | ivities (15,000 cum). |
|----|-----|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 14 | De | etails of Land Use (Sqm) | | , |
| Ī | a. | Ground Coverage Area | 12,000 sqm | |
| | b. | Kharab Land | Foot path kha: Lake kharab: | - |
| | | Total Green belt on Mother Earth | 24,105.26 sq m | |
| | | for projects under 8(a) of the | , | |
| | c. | Schedule of the EIA notification, 2006 | _ | |
| | d. | Internal Roads | 22 002 01 | |
| | ę. | Paved area | 33,003.01 sq m | 1 |
| | | | Civic amenitie | es: 3,938.00 sq m |
| | f. | Others Specify | | oad widening: |
| | | | 3,888.69 Sqm+ | ·1675.29 Sqm |
| | | Parks and Open space in case of | Included in la | |
| | g. | Residential Township/ Area | | - |
| | ŭ | Development Projects | | |
| | h. | Total | 78,610.25 sq m | 1 |
| 15 | W | ATER | | |
| | Ī. | Construction Phase | | |
| | a. | Source of water | Tertiary treate | ed water |
| | b. | Quantity of water for Construction in KLD | 50 KLD | |
| | | Quantity of water for Domestic | 45 KLD | - |
| | C. | Purpose in KLD | ı | |
| | đ. | Waste water generation in KLD | 50 KLD | |
| | | Treatment facility proposed and | Package Sew | age Treatment Plant of 50 |
| | е. | scheme of disposal of treated | KLD Capacity | - |
| | | water | ' ' | |
| | II. | Operational Phase | • | - |
| | | <u> </u> | Fresh | 1190 KLD |
| | a. | Total Requirement of Water in | Recycled | 606 KLD |
| | | KLD | Total | 1796 KLD |
| | b. | Source of water | from Gram Pa | anchayath |
| | c. | Waste water generation in KLD | 1640 KLD | |
| | d. | STP capacity | 1,640 KLD | |
| | e. | Technology employed for Treatment | | pe |
| | f. | Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any | landscaping, watering ave | r to be used for toilet flushing, car washing, road washing, enue plantation / used for ction projects. |

Drafted by kein

1:-1

| 14 | 1 1.5 | Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting | | |
|-----|----------|---|---|--|
| 16 | , L(1) | | , | |
| | a. | Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off | 1 359 cum | |
| | b. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | 29 recharge pits | |
| 17 | ' St | orm water management plan | Surface runoff to be diverted to pond of capacity 100cum and excess to be used to recharge ground water through 29 recharge pits. | |
| 18 | } W | ASTE MANAGEMENT | | |
| | I. | Construction Phase | | |
| | a. | Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 125 kg/day, domestic wastes to be segregated at source collected vermi composted. | |
| | II. | Operational Phase | | |
| | a. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 3823 kg/day, Organic solid waste to be treated in proposed OWC and product will be used as manure for landscape development | |
| | ь. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | |
| | c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Used oil 500 L/annum, (Collected in leak proof containers and disposed to KSPCB registered authorized reprocessors/incinerators) | |
| | d. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Waste to be segregated, collected and stored at a designated place and to be handed over to authorized recyclers. | |
| 19 | PC | OWER | | |
| | a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | 7,100 kVA from BESCOM | |
| · ; | b. | Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply | 1 X 625 kVA, 2 X 380 kVA and 2 X 320 kVA | |
| | C. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | Low Sulphur content Diesel | |
| | đ. | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | Total savings of 23.44% | |
| 20 | PA | ARKING | | |
| | a. | Parking Requirement as per | 2511 ECS | |
| | | | | |

| | | norms | | |
|---|-------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | Level of Service (LOS) of | the | LOS: A and B |
| | b. | connecting Roads as per | the | |
| | | Traffic Study Report | | |
| | C. | Internal Road width (RoW) | | 8 m |
| 2 | 1 0 | CER Activities | Development of Manchanahalli lake provide lights in nearby villages. | |
| 2 | 2 1 | EMP | | Construction phase capital cost: Rs. |
| 1 | 1 | Construction phase | | 1,86,90,000/- |
| | | Operation Phase | | • Operation phase capital cost : |
| | | • Operation Flase | | Rs.58,30,000/- |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for modification and expansion of residential development project for which EC was issued earlier on 26/04/2017for BUA of 1,32,115.63Sqm in a plot area of 73,348.25Sqmand corrigendum was obtained in 03/08/2018 and 28/01/2019. Now proposed for BUA of 2,44,807Sqm in plot area of 78,610.25Sqm. The proponent has submitted Certified Compliance Report from MOEF&CC dated 11/02/2022, where the status of compliance is rated as satisfactory for the earlier EC and SEIAA on 24/08/2021 issued ToRs.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification about the foot kharab, natural drains, water bodies as per village map, provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area, provisions for bio gas plant, details of trees proposed to be grown in the project location. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that foot kharab in North East will be left open for free access to public and no construction activities are proposed. Natural drains in north is out of the project area and for the water body in north east, a buffer of 30mtrs is proposed from the edge of water body.

For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed a total of 359cum storage tanks for runoff from roof top and a pond of capacity 100cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 29nos of recharge pits and the proponent agreed to make provisions to install biogas plant in proposed project.

The proponent submitted revised tree list and proposed to grow 990 trees in the project area. The proponent also informed the committee that green building concept will be adopted for the project and to comply with ECBC guidelines and have made provision for charging electrical vehicles in proposed project.

Drafted by

The proponent has committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are within permissible limits and informed the proponent to comply with the observations made in Certified Compliance Report issued by MoEF&CC and also to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area.

The Committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to leave for free access to public in foot kharab area.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.

___كيك____

J. J.

- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall leave the kharab area for free access to public.

215.1.3. Development of Residential Apartment Project at Kada Agrahara Village, Sarjapura Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru Urban District by M/s. Bhavisha Properties - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIS/253722/2022 (SEIAA 22 CON 2022)

M/s. Bhavisha Properties have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Building" Project on a plot area of 13,455.74 Sqm. The total built up area is 42,846.90 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 225 units BF+GF+12UF. Total water consumption is 172 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 155 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 175 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 70 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | Mr. S. Prasad Naidu, Proprietor |
| | Name & Address of the Project | M/s. Bhavisha Properties |
| 1. | Name & Address of the Project | No. 001, 3rd Floor, Sy. No. 54, 55/1, |
| | Proponent | Sarjapura Main Road, Yamare Village, |
| | | Bengaluru-562125. |
| | | "Development of Residential Apartment |
| | | Building" Sy. Nos. 13/5, 13/6, 13/7, 13/8, |
| 2. | Name & Location of the Project | 13/9, 16/4, 16/5, 16/6, 16/7, 16/8, 16/9 & |
| | | 16/10, Kada Agrahara Village, Sarjapura |
| | | Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bengaluru - 562 125. |
| 3. | Type of Development | |
| | Residential Apartment / Villas / | Residential Apartment Building. |
| | Row Houses / Vertical | Category 8(a), Building & Construction |
| a. | Development / Office / IT/ | project as per the EIA notification 2006 |
| | ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital | |
| | /other | |
| b. | Residential Township/ Area | NA |
| b. | Development Projects | |

Drafted by

| 4. | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New |
|-----|---|---|
| 5. | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Kada Agrahara lake is on the western side of the site |
| 6. | Plot Area (Sqm) | 13,455.74 Sqm |
| 7. | Built Up area (Sqm) | 42,846.90 Sqm |
| 8. | FAR Permissible Proposed | 2.25 2.249 |
| 9. | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | BF+GF+12UF |
| 10. | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | 255 units |
| 11. | Height Clearance | As per CCZM map, the permissible height is 145 mtr and the height achieved for our proposed building is 39.95 mtr. |
| 12. | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 70 Crores |
| 13. | Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth | Total Excavated earth quantity: 15,000 m ³ For Backfilling: 5,683 m ³ For Landscaping: 4,425 m ³ For internal driveway & hardscape: 4,892 m ³ |
| 14, | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | • |
| a. | Ground Coverage Area | 2,816.19 Sqm |
| b. | Kharab Land | |
| c. | Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006 | 3,687.72 Sqm |
| d. | Internal Roads | 4,891.85 Sqm (Internal driveway & services |
| e. | Paved area | area) 1,384.73 Road Relinquishment Area - |
| f. | Others Specify | CA Area - 675.25 Sqm |
| g. | Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | |
| h. | Total | 13,455.74 Sqm |

| 1 | 15. | WATER | |
|-----------------------|-----|---|---|
| | I. | Construction Phase | |
| | a. | Source of water | The domestic water requirement to be met from external water suppliers and water requirement for construction purpose to be met by STP tertiary treated water. |
| | ъ. | Quantity of water for Construction in KLD | 24 KLD |
| | c. | Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD | 4.5 KLD |
| | d. | Waste water generation in KLD | 4.0 KLD |
| | e. | Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water | Domestic sewage generated during construction phase will be lifted to BWSSB sewage treatment plant through external agencies for further treatment. |
| | II. | Operational Phase | _ |
| | | Trial Descious of Misses in | Fresh 115 KLD |
| | a. | Total Requirement of Water in | Recycled 57 KLD |
| | | KLD | Total 172 KLD |
| | b. | Source of water | Yamare Gam Panchayath |
| | C. | Wastewater generation in KLD | 155 KLD |
| | d. | STP capacity | 175 KLD |
| | e. | Technology employed for Treatment | Sequential Batch Reactor Technology |
| | f. | Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any | Excess 64 KLD to be used for avenue plantation/construction works. |
| | 16. | Infrastructure for Rain water harv | esting |
| | a. | Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off | 240cum |
| | b. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | 09 Nos. |
| 1 | 17. | Storm water management plan | Storm water collection sump of 120 cum capacity to be provided and to be used for domestic purpose. Internal garland drains to be provided within the site in order to carry out the storm water into the recharge pits and to be managed within the site. |
| | 18. | WASTE MANAGEMENT | Y |
| I. Construction Phase | | | |
| Н | | Quantity of Solid waste | The domestic solid wastes is minimal as |
| | a. | generation and mode of Disposal | there is no provision of labor colony; the |
| ш | | · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

Drafted by ______

J. - - - -

| | as per norms | generated of handed over Construction This to be rec and pavemen | to outside v debris : 43 c used within (| endors. :um | |
|------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| II. | Operational Phase | | | | |
| a. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 255 kg/day, to levels and to organic waste | be processed | | |
| b. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 383 kg/day, l over to autho | | | e handed |
| c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Waste Oil C hour of DG Hazardous v sets, used bat the authorize | vastes like v tteries etc. to | waste oil be hand | from DG ed over to |
| d. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | E-Wastes toll be handed recyclers for t | be collected over to a | l separate uthorized | ly & it to |
| 19. | POWER | 0001747 | · - · | | |
| a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | 869 kW | | | |
| Ъ. | Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply | 350 kVA -1 N 300 kVA- 1 N | | | |
| c. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | 136.19 l/hr | | | |
| d. | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | Total savings | is around 2 | 3.30 % | |
| 20. | PARKING | | | | |
| a. | Parking Requirement as per norms | 281 ECS | | | |
| | | Road | Towards | Existin g | Change d |
| b. | Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | Approach road & B. Hosahalli road | Sarjapura Main road | A | A |
| | | Sarjapura | Sarjapura | C | В |
| | i İ | Main Road | ORR | С | В |
| Drafted by | · <u>**</u> | 1. | ł | 7 | 16 |

| C. | Internal Road width (RoW) | 12.19 m wide road. |
|-----|---------------------------|---|
| 21. | CER Activities | Development of Kada Agrahara Lake |
| 22. | EMP | During Construction: |
| | Construction phase | Capital Investment - 3.8 Lakh |
| | Operation Phase | Construction - 18.55 Lakh |
| • | • | During Operation: |
| | | Capital investment - 147 Lakh |
| | | Operation Investment - 14.55 Lakh/annum |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per zoning regulation of Anekal Planning Authority.

The committee during appraisalsought clarification for the water body and drain in western side as per village map, details of approach road and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that as per village map there is a water body on western side of the project and a buffer of 30mtr from the edge has been proposed and no developmental activities is proposed in the water body buffer zone and for drain in north western side, the proponent informed that the proposed building line is out of the drain buffer zone. The proponent informed that there is an existing road bifurcating the project and passing in center, further informed that construction is proposed only in the northern side and the portion of land in southern side to be used only for landscaping. For harvesting rain water, the proponent submitted revised proposal and had proposed 120cumstorage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 120 cumcapacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 9nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent further informed the committee that they have made provisions to grow 169 trees in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the

Drafted by

proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 215.1.4. Residential Apartment Building Project at Kannamangala Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Sri Martin Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/257573/2022 (SEIAA 28 CON 2022)
- S. Martin Represented by GPA Holder S. Vedamuthu have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Building Project on a plot area of 16,813.66 Sqm.

Drafted by kein

1.

The total built up area is 70,369.03 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 350 units Tower A: 2 BF + GF + 26 UP + Terrace, Tower B: 2 BF + GF + 26 UP + Terrace and Amenities Building: 2 BF + GF + 02 UP + Terrace. Total water consumption is 255.60 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 204.48 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 250 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 105 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. S. Vedamuthu No.416, 100 feet Road, 4th Block, Koramangala Bangalore – 560 034 | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Residential Apartment Building by S. Martin Represented by GPA Holder S. Vedamuthu Survey. No. 188 & 189 of Kannamang Village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore E. Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Bangalore 560067 | |
| 3 | Type of Development | | |
| a. | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other | Residential Apartment Building. Category 8(a), Building & Construction project as per the EIA notification 2006 | |
| b. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not Applicable | |
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New | |
| | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity | Sadaramangala Lake: 4.63 Km (SW) | |
| 5 | of project site | K R Puram Lake :6.37 Km (NW) | |
| | | Hoskote Lake: 3.71 Km (NE) | |
| 6_ | Plot Area (Sqm) | 16,813.66 Sqm | |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 70,369.03 Sqm | |
| 8 | FAR • Permissible • Proposed | 2.50 2.49 | |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and | Tower A: 2 BF + GF + 26 UP + Terrace Tower B: 2 BF + GF + 26 UP + Terrace Amenities Building: 2 BF + GF + 02 UP + | |

Drafted by kein

| | Upper Floors] | Теттасе |
|-----|---|--|
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | 350 Units |
| 11 | Height Clearance | The highest RL of the building after construction to be 967.35 m which is less than the permissible height of 1035 m as per CCZM. |
| 12 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 105.0 Cr |
| 13 | Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth | Total Quantity of Excavated Soil: 6,419.08 Cum Back filling for footings: 1,925.72 Cum For Landscaping : 1,283.82 Cum For formation of roads : 3,209.54 Cum |
| 14 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | |
| a. | | 2567.63 Sqm |
| b. | Kharab Land | |
| c. | Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule the EIA notification, 2006 | -1 |
| d. | · | |
| e. | Paved area | 2524.46 Sqm |
| f. | Others Specify | Podium Landscape area: 3500.30 Sqm Area under Existing Road: 175.66 Sqm Road Widening Area: 206.24 Sq.m PRR Area: 3207.74 Sqm |
| g. | Parks and Open space in case Residential Township/ Are Development Projects | of Not Applicable |
| h. | | 16813.66 Sqm |
| 15 | WATER | |
| I. | Construction Phase | - |
| a. | Source of water | Tanker Water for Domestic Use at construction site. Tertiary treated water construction Activity. |
| b. | Quantity of water for Construction : KLD | in 10 KLD |
| c. | Quantity of water for Domest Purpose in KLD | ic 3.83 KLD |
| d. | Waste water generation in KLD | 3.06 KLD |
| e. | Treatment facility proposed an | d Mobile STP |
| - ~ | | |

Drafted by _______

<u>Ju</u>

| | | scheme of disposal of treated water | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|---|
| Ī | I | Operational Phase | | | |
| | | | | Fresh | 217.40 KLD |
| a | ı. | Total Requirement of Water in KLD | • [| Recycled | 38.20 KLD |
| | T | | Total | 255.60 KLD | |
| l |) . | Source of water | | Gram Panchayat Water Supplies | |
| | :. | Waste water generation in KLD | | 204.48 KLD | |
| d | <u>1.</u> | STP capacity | | 250 KLD | |
| e | 9. | Technology employed for Treatmen | t | SBR | |
| 1 | f. | Scheme of disposal of excess treat water if any | ed | Flushing :38.: Greenbelt :23 On land for I | |
| 16 | Т | Infrastructure for Rain water harves | ting | | |
| | à. | Capacity of sump tank to store Root run off | _ | 100Cum | |
| L | Э. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | | 12 Nos | |
| 17 | | Storm water management plan | cap | pacity 50Cum | be harvested in a tank of and excess water to be used to d water through 12nos of |
| 18 | | WASTE MANAGEMENT | | | |
| | I. | Construction Phase | | | |
| | a. Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | used within possible an segregated at In addition, working at the kgs/day of | ction waste generated shall be the project site to the extent d residual waste shall be nd be disposed off safely. There will be 85 Nos of labours site due to which about 42.50 of municipal solid waste of be collected & disposed off | |
| | II | Operational Phase | | | |
| | a. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 5 | using Organ | y,to be converted as compost ic Waste converter. |
| | b. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | authorized r | |
| | с. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal a | s | | Waste Oil from servicing of inded over to KSPCB approved |

Drafted by kenny

| | per norms | | recycler. |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| _1 | Quantity of E waste generation and | i | Quantity generated to be handed over to |
| d | mode of Disposal as per norms | | KSPCB authorized recyclers. |
| 19 | POWER | | , |
| | Total Power Requirement - | | 1750 kVA will be sourced from BESCOM |
| a. | Operational Phase | | |
| _{b.} | Numbers of DG set and capacity in | | 2 Nos X 500 KVA |
| ——— | KVA for Standby Power Supply | | |
| C. | | | HSD |
| | Energy conservation plan and | | Total Energy Savings: 25% |
| d. | Percentage of savings including pla | | |
| | for utilization of solar energy as per | r | |
| <u> </u> | ECBC 2007 | | |
| 20_ | PARKING | | |
| a. | | | 543 ECS |
| Ĺ | Level of Service (LOS) of the | | LOS:B |
| b. | G | | |
| . ∟ . c. | Study Report Internal Road width (RoW) | | Internal and the COO |
| 21 | Internal Road Width (ROVV) | | Internal road width 6.00 m |
| | | 1 | In three years |
| | | • | Primary Health centres in Kannamangala |
| | | | (Providing Ambulance) Seegehalli Govt Hospital(Providing |
| | | | Ambulance), K R Puram Govt |
| | | | Hospital(Providing Ambulance) |
| | | 2 | |
| | | | of KannamangalaVillage, |
| | CED A Madelan | | KajisonnenahalliVillage, |
| | CER Activities | | DoddabanahalliVillage |
| | | 3 | |
| | | | KannamangalaVillage - (Provision of |
| | | | Toilets), Kajisonnenahalli Village - (Provisior |
| | | | of Toilets), DoddabanahalliVillage - |
| | | | (Provision of Toilets) |
| | | 4 | Education (smart class room) in Governmen |
| | | | HighSchool - Kannamangala, Government |
| | | | Primary School - Seegehalli, Government |
| . | EMD | | Lower Primary School - Bevinamara Colony |
| | | IP Budget during Construction Phase: 50 | |
| | Construction phase | Lal | |
| | Operation Phase | ΕM | P Budget during Operation Phase: |
| | | | Capital Cost: 505 Lakhs |

Drafted by ke-

1

22 \

| | • | Recurring Cost: 20 Lakhs/annum |
|--|---|--------------------------------|

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per RMP of BDA.

The committee during appraisal sought details for the area left for road widening, provisions for electric vehicles charging, CNG and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that a area of 3207.74Sqm is left for road widening in north and an additional area of 206.24Sqm to be left for road widening in southern side. For e-vehicles charging, the proponent informed thatthey have made a provision of 25% out of the total parking slots for e-vehicles charging stations and also had made provision to use CNG in the proposed project. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 100cum storage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 50cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 12nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent informed that in the proposed area there are 10 existing trees, all the trees to be removed and 30 trees to be grown for the once that are removed and with a total of 240 trees to be grown in the project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden

Drafted by Kenn

(CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.

- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

215.1.5. Warehouse and Logistics facility Project at Bheemakkanahaili Village, Hoskote Taluk, Bangalore Rural by M/s. Sri D K Mohan - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/251570/2022 District (SEIAA 12 CON 2022)

Mr. D K Mohan have proposed for construction of Ware House & Logistics Project Project on a plot area of 48,460,43 Sqm. The total built up area is 22,510.66 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 1 Block of Ware house with Ground Floor. Total water consumption is 30 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 28,50 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 50 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 23.58 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Mr. D K Mohan No.264, Bajaj Layout, Devasandra, K R Puram, Bangalore. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Ware House & Logistics Project Sy. No 96/1, 96/2, 102/2C2 & 104 of Bheemakkanahalli Village, Sulibele Hobli, Hoskote Taluk, Bangalore Rural District - 562114 |

Drafted by ______

| 3 | Type of Davidonment | |
|----|--|---|
| 3 | Type of Development | Warehouse and Logistics. |
| a. | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other | _ |
| ъ. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not Applicable |
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Narsapura Lake at16.33 Km (E) |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 48,460.43 Sqm |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 22,510.66 Sqm |
| 8 | FAR Permissible Proposed | 2.50 0.49 |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Ware house : Ground Floor |
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | 1 Block |
| 11 | Height Clearance | Not Applicable |
| 12 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 23.58 Cr |
| 13 | Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth | Total Quantity of Excavated Soil: 945 Cum Back filling for footings: 283.50 Cum For Landscaping : 189.00 Cum For formation of roads : 472.50 Cum |
| 14 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | |
| a. | Ground Coverage Area | 22510.66 Sqm |
| b. | Kharab Land | i |
| c. | Total Green belt on Mother Earth projects under 8(a) of the schedule the EIA notification, 2006 | |
| d. | Internal Roads | 12,944.89 Sqm |
| e. | Paved area | <u> </u> |
| f. | Others Specify | Road Widening Area: 2911.86 Sqm |

| | | 18.0m Proposed Road: 5244.29 | |
|------------|--|---|--|
| | Parks and Open space in case | | |
| g. | Residential Township/ Are | | |
| | Development Projects | | |
| h. | Total | 48,460.43 Sqm | |
| 15 | WATER | <u> </u> | |
| I. | Construction Phase | <u> </u> | |
| | | Tanker Water for Domestic Use at | |
| a. | Source of water | construction site. | |
| 2 . | Source of water | Tertiary treated water construction | |
| | | Activity. | |
| ь. | Quantity of water for Construction : KLD | n 04 KLD | |
| | Quantity of water for Domest | ic , 1.80 KLD | |
| C. | Purpose in KLD | | |
| d. | Waste water generation in KLD | 1.44 KLD | |
| e. | Treatment facility proposed an | d Mobile STP | |
| <u>.</u> | scheme of disposal of treated water | | |
| II. | Operational Phase | | |
| | | Fresh 15.00 KLD | |
| a. | Total Requirement of Water in KLD | Recycled 15.00 KLD | |
| | | Total 30.00 KLD | |
| b. | Source of water | Gram Panchayat Supplies | |
| c. | Waste water generation in KLD | 28.50 KLD | |
| d. | STP capacity | 50 KLD | |
| e. | Technology employed for Treatment | SBR | |
| | Scheme of disposal of excess treate | Hushing: 15.00 KLD | |
| f. | water if any | Greenbelt : 15.00 KLD | |
| <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | |
| 16_ | Infrastructure for Rain water harves | · | |
| a. | Capacity of sump tank to store Roof | 4 Nos of 150 KLD | |
| ļ., | run off | | |
| b. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | 20 Nos | |
| 4.77 | | Storm water to be stored in a pond of 400cm | |
| 17 | | capacity and excess storm water to b | |
| 4.0 | | narvested in recharge pits of 20nos. | |
| 18_ | WASTE MANAGEMENT | | |
| I, | Construction Phase | | |
| | | The construction waste generated shall b | |
| a. | Quantity of Solid waste generation | used within the project site to the extent | |
| i | and mode of Disposal as per norms | possible and residual waste shall be | |
| | <u> </u> | segregated and be disposed off safely. | |

Drafted by Kew

| | | | In addition, there to be 40 Nos of labours working at site due to which about 20 kgs/day municipal solid waste generated to be collected & disposed off suitably. |
|-----|--|--------|---|
| II. | Operational Phase | | |
| a. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | · · | 180.0 Kg/day,to be converted as compost using Organic Waste converter. |
| b. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | 1070.00 Kg/day,to be handed over to authorized recyclers. |
| c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | ; | 200 Liters of Waste Oil from servicing of DG. to be handed over to KSPCB approved recycler. |
| đ. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | Not Applicable |
| 19 | POWER | | |
| a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | | 500 kVA will be sourced from BESCOM |
| ъ. | Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply | | 2 Nos X 250 KVA |
| c. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | | HSD |
| d. | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | | Total Energy Savings: 35% |
| 20 | PARKING | | |
| a. | Parking Requirement as per norms | | No of Cars parking space provided: 235 No of Trucks parking space provided: 23 |
| b. | Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | | Existing: B Modified: B |
| C, | Internal Road width (RoW) | | Internal road width 9.0 m |
| 21 | CER Activities | 1 2 | Bheemakkanahalli Village |
| | | 3 | |

| 22 | EMP | EMP Budget during Construction Phase: 75 Lakhs | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| } | Construction phase Operation Phase | EMP Budget during Operation Phase: Capital Cost: 332,16 Lakhs Recurring Cost: 22 Lakhs | | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of warehouse and logistics building in an area which is earmarked for industrial use as per BIAAPA zoning regulations.

The committee during appraisal sought details for the kind of materials to be stored in the warehouse, provisions for fire fighting facility, solar energy harvesting and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent submitted undertaking that the proposed warehouse facility Hazardous no Chemicals/materials/wastes to be stored and only to be used of storing consumer goods and for firefighting, proponent informed that all safety precautions to be as per NBC guidelines and agreed to use entire roof area for solar power generation in the proposed project and to comply with ECBC guidelines. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed (4x150)cum storage tank for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 400cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 20nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 605 trees in the project area and provisions to charge electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.
- 4. The project proponent shall submit undertaking that in the proposed warehouse facility Hazardous Chemicals/materials/wastes will not be stored.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 215.1.6. Residential Apartment Project at Kariyammana Agrahara village, Bangalore East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s. GREENFINCH PROJECTS PVT.LTD. Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/250470/2022(SEIAA 06 CON 2022)

M/S. GREENFINCH PROJECTS PVT.LTD have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 7891.90 Sqm. The total built up area is 27583.45 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 181 units with Block A: BF + GF + 13UP + Terrace and Block B: 2BF + GF + 13UP + Terrace. Total water consumption is 122.85 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 98.28 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 100 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 63.35 Crores.

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Mr. Arjun Anjaneya Reddy No. 18/2A and 2B, Kempapura, Yamalur Kempapura Main Road, Bangalore - 560 037 | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | M/S. GREENFINCH PROJECTS PVT.LTD. Sy. No 21/2, 21/3 & 22 Kariyammana Agrahara Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Bangalore - 560087 | | |
| 3 | Type of Development | 1 | | |
| a. | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Offic / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital /other | 1 1 | | |
| b. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not Applicable | | |
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New | | |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Bellandur Lake – 0.92 Km (NW) Doddanakundi Lake – 3.40 Km (N) BEML Layout Lake – 4.40 Km (NE) Panathur Lake – 2.26 Km (SE) | | |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 7891.90 Sqm | | |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 27583.45 Sqm | | |
| 8 | FAR • Permissible • Proposed | 2.25 2.24 | | |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Block A: BF + GF + 13UP + Terrace Block B: 2BF + GF + 13UP + Terrace | | |
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | 181 Units | | |
| 11 | Height Clearance | The proponent submitted justification for the proposed height and informed that an | | |

Drafted by

| _ | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | existing building (Helios Business Park) at | | |
| | | | a distance of 330mtrs from the proposed | | |
| | | | project site at an site elevation of 877mtr, | | |
| ļ | | | having a top elevation of 917mtrs and the | | |
| | | | proposed project area is having a site | | |
| | - 1 | | elevation of 870mtr and proposed top | | |
| | | | elevation is 916mtrs. | | |
| | | | 63.35 Cr | | |
| 1: | 2 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Sixty-Three Crore Thirty-Five Lakhs | | |
| | | | Only/- | | |
| | | | Total Quantity of Excavated Soil: 5533.80 | | |
| | | | Cum | | |
| | | | Back filling for footings: 1660.14 | | |
| ١. | ! | Disposal of Demolition waste and or | Cum | | |
| 1: | 3 | Excavated earth | • For Landscaping : 1106.76 | | |
| | j | | Cum | | |
| | | | For formation of roads : 2766.90 | | |
| | | | Cum | | |
| 1 | 4 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | | | |
| T | <u>a</u> . | Ground Coverage Area | 2213.52 Sqm | | |
| ! | b. | Kharab Land | NIL | | |
| | | Total Green belt on Mother Earth fo | or 2604.33 Sqm | | |
| Ш | C. | projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the | _ <u>-</u> | | |
| Н | | EIA notification, 2006 | | | |
| Ιŀ | d. | Internal Roads | | | |
|] | е. | Paved area | - 3074.05 Sqm | | |
| | f. | Others Specify | ** | | |
| | Parks and Open space in case of | | of Not Applicable | | |
| | | | 1 | | |
| | g. Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | | | | |
| | h. | Total | 7891.90 Sqm | | |
| 1 | 5 | WATER | | | |
| П | Ī. | Construction Phase | | | |
| | | | Tanker Water for Domestic Use at | | |
| | | | construction site. | | |
| 1 | a. | Source of water | Tertiary treated water for construction | | |
| | | | Activity. | | |
| | | Quantity of water for Construction | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | b. | KLD | 1 | | |
| | | Quantity of water for Domestic Purpo | se 4.50 KLD | | |
| c. | | in KLD | | | |
| | d. | Waste water generation in KLD | 3.60 KLD | | |
| \Box | | \ | <u> </u> | | |

Drafted by 16-1

| | e. | Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water | | Mobile STP | |
|----|---------|--|---|---|---|
| | II · | | | | |
| | a. | . Total Requirement of Water in KLD | | Fresh Recycled Fotal | 104.45 KLD 18.40 KLD 122.85 KLD |
| | Ъ. | Source of water | - | BWSSB Water Supplies | |
| | c. | Waste water generation in KLD | | 98.28 KLD | |
| | d. | | | 100 KLD | |
| | е. | Technology employed for Treatment | _ | SBR | |
| | f, | Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any | | Flushing - 18.40 KLD Greenbelt - 13.02 KLD Municipal Sewers - 66.86 KLD | |
| _1 | 16 | Infrastructure for Rain water harvesting | | | |
| | a. | Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off | | 100 KLD | |
| | b. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | 1 | l6 Nos | |
| | i | Storm water management plan | | Storm water to be harvested in a tank of capacity 100Cum and excess water to be used to recharge ground water through 16nos of recharge pits. | |
| _1 | 18 | WASTE MANAGEMENT | | | |
| | I. | Construction Phase | | | |
| | a. | Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | The construction waste generated shall be used within the project site to the extent possible and residual waste shall be segregated and be disposed off safely. There to be 40 Nos of labours working at site and hence about 20 kg/day municipal solid waste generated to be collected & disposed off suitably. | |
| | II · | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms Quantity of Non- Biodegradable waste | | | |
| | a. | | | 276 Kg/day,to be converted as compost using Organic Waste converter. | |
| | Ъ. | | | 184 Kg/day,to be handed over to authorized recyclers. | |
| | c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per | | | Waste Oil from servicing of anded over to KSPCB |

Drafted by ________

1

| | norms | · | approved recycler. | |
|--|---|---------------|--|--|
| | Quantity of E waste generation and | | Not Applicable | |
| d. | mode of Disposal as per norms | | Tion of the product | |
| 19 | POWER | | | |
| Τ' | Total Power Requirement -Operational | | 900 kVA will be sourced from BESCOM | |
| a, | Phase | | | |
| | Numbers of DG set and capacity in K | VA | 2 Nos X 250 KVA | |
| b. | for Standby Power Supply | | | |
| c. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | | HSD | |
| | Energy conservation plan and | | Total Energy Savings: 35% | |
| d. | Percentage of savings including plan | for | | |
| u. | utilization of solar energy as per ECBC | | | |
| | 2007 | | | |
| 20 | PARKING | | | |
| a. | Parking Requirement as per norms | | 14 ECS | |
| | Level of Service (LOS) of the | | .OS: B | |
| b . | connecting Roads as per the Traffic | | | |
| | Study Report | | 1 1 14 7 7 7 | |
| C. | Internal Road width (RoW) | <u> L</u> : | nternal road width 7.50 m | |
| 21 | | 4 5 | For Three years | |
| | | | rimary Health care in PHC Varthur, | |
| | | | Providing Ambulance) | |
| | | | Green belt development in surrounding rea of | |
| | | 1 1 1 | | |
| ļ | | | KariyammanaAgraharaVillage,Devarabee anahalliVillage, | |
| : | | | KadachikkanahalliVillage | |
| | CER Activities | - | Drinking water / sanitation project in | |
| | CERTICUTUCS | | Cariyammana Agrahara Village- | |
| | | | Provision of Toilets), | |
| | | | DevarabeesanahalliVillage - (Provision of | |
| | | | oilets), KadachikkanahalliVillage - | |
| | | | Provision of Toilets) | |
| | | | Education(Smart class room) in | |
| | | 1 0 | Government PrimarySchool - Varthur | |
| | | | Government, School – Ramagondanahalli, | |
| | | | Government PU College- Varthur | |
| 22 | 22 EMP Lak | | Budget during Construction Phase: 50 | |
| | | | ns | |
| | | | IP Budget during Operation Phase: | |
| | | | Capital Cost: 391.70 Lakhs | |
| : | | | Recurring Cost: 20 Lakhs/Annum | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment building in an area which is earmarked for industrial use as per RMP of BDA, for which the proponent informed that for the proposed area they had obtained conversion of land to residential by DC, Bangalore Urban and also had obtained change of land use from industrial to residential from BDA.

The committee during appraisal sought details of natural drain in north of the project area, kharab area in southern side as per village map, height clearance justification for proposed height and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent submitted clarifications for the details and informed the committee that there is primary drain in northern side of the project and a buffer of 50mtr is left from the center of the drain to the building line as per regulations and a kharab area of 190.50Sqm is existing road and an additional area of 111.60Sqm is reserved for road widening in south west side of the project area. The proponent submitted justification for the proposed height and informed that an existing building (Helios Business Park) at a distance of 330mtrs from the proposed project site at an site elevation of 877mtr, having a top elevation of 917mtrs and the proposed project area is having a site elevation of 870mtr and proposed top elevation is 916mtrs. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 100cum storage tank for runoff from rooftop and a pond of 100cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 16nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent informed that they have made provisions to grow 100 trees in the project area and provisions to charge electrical vehicles in the proposed project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per RMP of BDA and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

215.1.7. Residential Apartment Building Project at Attibele Village, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District by M/s. SCT SMART CITY HOMES CREATORS PVT. LTD. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/250559/2022(SEIAA 07 CON 2022)

M/s. SCT Smart City Homes Creators Pvt Ltd. have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 12,191.05 Sqm. The total built up area is 31,251.7 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 228 units in Block A: BF + GF + 3UP + Terrace and Block B: 2BF + GF + 3UP + Terrace. Total water consumption is 171.77 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 137.42 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 150 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 57.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

Drafted by keny

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Mr. Ramesh & G. Srinivas Sy. No 28/1 & 28/2, Attibele village & Hobli, Anckal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Bangalore | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | M/s. SCT Smart City Homes Creators Pvt Ltd. Sy.No 28/1 & 28/2, Attibele village & Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Bangalore | |
| 3 | Type of Development | | |
| a. | Residential Apartment / Villa Row Houses / Vertical | s / Residential Apartment Building Category 8(a), Building & Construction project as per the EIA notification 2006 | |
| b. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not Applicable | |
| 4 | New/Expansion/ Modification/Renewal | New | |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Bidaraguppe Lake – 1.87 Km (N) Zuzuwadi Lake – 0.90 Km (S) Shanthapuram Lake – 4.64 Km (SE) | |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 12,191.05 Sqm | |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 31,251.7 Sqm | |
| 8 | FAR • Permissible • Proposed | 1.75 1.74 | |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Block A: BF + GF + 3UP + Terrace Block B: 2BF + GF + 3UP + Terrace | |
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | 228 Units | |
| 11 | Height Clearance | Low rise building max height 12.80mtr | |
| 12 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 57 Cr | |
| 13 | Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth | Total Quantity of Excavated Soil: 12192.53 Cum Back filling for footings: 3657.76 Cum For Landscaping : 2438.51 Cum | |

Drafted by ten

| | | • For formation | of roads : 6096.26 Cum |
|----------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 14 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | | |
| a. | Ground Coverage Area | 4877.01 Sqm | |
| b. | Kharab Land | NIL | |
| c. | Total Green belt on Mother Earth for projects under 8(a) of the schedule of the EIA notification, 2006 | 3880.4 Sqm | |
| d. | Internal Roads | | |
| e. | Paved area | 3001.52 Sqm | |
| f. | Others Specify | Area under existi 432.04 Sqm | ng road : |
| g. | Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Not Applicable | |
| h, | Total | 12,191.05 Sqm | |
| 15 | WATER | | |
| I. | Construction Phase | | |
| a. | Source of water | site. | Domestic Use at construction vater for construction Activity. |
| b. | Quantity of water for Construction in KLD | 05 KLD | |
| c. | Quantity of water for Domestic Purpose in KLD | 5.4 KLD | |
| d. | - · · · · | 4.32 KLD | |
| e. | Treatment facility proposed and scheme of disposal of treated water | Mobile STP | |
| 11 | Operational Phase | · | |
| a. | Total Requirement of Water in KLD | Fresh Recycled Total | 145.99 KLD 25.78 KLD 171,77 KLD |
| L | Source of water | Gram panchayat | <u></u> |
| b. | | 137.42 KLD | rrater ouppries |
| c. d. | Waste water generation in KLD | 150 KLD | |
| e. | Technology employed for Treatment | SBR | |
| f. | Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any | Flushing - 25.78 Greenbelt - 19.40 | |

Drafted by

J 37 /

| | | On Land Control of the Control of th |
|----|--|--|
| 16 | Infrastructura for Bain system by | On Land for Irrigation – 92.24 KLD |
| 10 | Infrastructure for Rain water ha | |
| a. | Koot run off | |
| b. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | e 16 Nos |
| 17 | Storm water management plan | Storm water collection sump of 100 cum capacity to be provided and excess water to be used for recharging ground water with 16nos of recharge pits. |
| 18 | WASTE MANAGEMENT | * |
| I. | Construction Phase | |
| a. | Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Dispos as per norms | The construction waste generated shall be used within the project site to the extent possible and residual waste to be segregated and be disposed off safely. In addition, there will be 120 Nos of labours working at site due to which about 60 kgs of municipal solid waste generated to be collected & disposed off suitably. |
| II | Operational Phase | |
| a. | Quantity of Biodegradable was generation and mode of Disposas per norms | 1 2. 3 |
| b. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | |
| c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste | 1 |
| d. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Not Applicable |
| 19 | POWER | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | 1140 kVA to be sourced from BESCOM |
| b. | Numbers of DG set and capacit | ty 2 Nos X 250 KVA |
| c. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | HSD |
| d. | T | Total Energy Savings: 30% |
| , | , PJ P | 1 |

Drafted by

| 20 a. | Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 PARKING Parking Requirement as per norms | 263 ECS |
|----------|--|---|
| b | Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | Present: A Modified: C |
| C. | Internal Road width (RoW) | Internal road width 3.80 m |
| 21 | CER Activities | For three years 1 Primary Health care in PHC Attibele (Providing Ambulance), Anekal Govt Hospital (Providing Ambulance), Marasuru Govt Hospital(Providing Ambulance) 2 Green belt development insurrounding area Attibele Village & Manchanahalli Village 3 Drinking water / sanitation project in Attibele Village - (Provision of Toilets), Manchanahalli Village - (Provision of Toilets), Arehalli Village - (Provision of Toilets) 4 Education - To provide smart class room Government High School - Attibele, Government Primary School - Attibele Government School - Bidagague |
| 22 | | EMP Budget during Construction Phase: 50 Lakhs |
| | EMP Construction phase Operation Phase | EMP Budget during Operation Phase: • Capital Cost: 404 Lakhs • Recurring Cost: 20 Lakhs |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential building in an area which is earmarked for residential use as per Anekal Planning Authority.

The committee during appraisal sought details for the drain as per village map, provisions for electrical vehicles charging facilities and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that there is a natural drain in the southern side of the project and a buffer of

Drafted by ke___

9mtr from the edge of the drain is proposed as per regulations. For e-vehicles charging, the proponent informed thatthey have made a provision of 25% out of the total parking slots for e-vehicles charging stations. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 140Cum(2x70) storage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 100cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 16nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent submitted a revised tree list and informed the committee that they have made provisions to grow 153 trees in the project. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Drafted by

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 215.1.8. Residential Apartment Building Project at Sadaramangala Village and Kumbena Agrahara Village, K R Puram & Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by M/s. UNITED INFRASTRUCTURES Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/250752/2022(SEIAA 09 CON 2022)

M/S. United Infrastructures have proposed for construction of Residential Apartment Project on a plot area of 13,051.00 Sqm. The total built up area is 43,806.10 Sqm. The proposed project consists of 268 Units with Wing 1: Basement Floor + GF + 14 UP + Terrace. Total water consumption is 204.44 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 163.55 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 175 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 80.40 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Mr. M S Santhosh & S Pradeep Flat No. G 001, Keerthi Heights, 3rd Main Road, Belathur, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore - 560067 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | M/S. United Infrastructures Sy. No 6/3, 6/4 of Sadaramangala Village and Sy. No. 40/5 of Kumbena Agrahara Village, K R Puram & Bidarahalli Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, Bangalore - 560067 |
| 3 | Type of Development | |
| a | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Developme / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other | |
| b | Residential Township/ Area | Not Applicable |

Drafted by

1 (

| | Development Projects | |
|-----|--|--|
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Sadaramangala Lake – 1.25 Km (W) K R Puram Lake – 4.40 Km (NW) |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 13,051.00 Sqm |
| _7_ | Built Up area (Sqm) | 43,806.10 Sqm |
| 8 | FAR • Permissible • Proposed | 2.50 2.29 |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Wing 1: Basement Floor + GF + 14 UP + Terrace |
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | 268 Units |
| 11 | Height Clearance | As per CCZM permitted height is 1010m AMSL, Proposed building maximum heath is 915.10mtr. |
| 12 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 80.40 Cr |
| 13 | Disposal of Demolition waste and or Excavated earth | Total Quantity of Excavated Soil; 5402.50 Cum Back filling for footings: 1620.75 Cum For Landscaping : 1080.50 Cum For formation of roads : 2701.25 Cum |
| 14 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | Total Cunt |
| a. | Ground Coverage Area | 2161 Sqm |
| b. | Kharab Land | |
| с. | Total Green belt on Mother Earth f projects under 8(a) of the schedule the EIA notification, 2006 | |
| d. | Internal Roads | 5704 FO C |
| e. | Paved area | 5724.50 Sqm |
| f. | Others Specify | Area for road widening: 160.48 Sqm |
| g. | Parks and Open space in case Residential Township/ Are Development Projects | of Not Applicable |
| h. | Total | 13,051.00 Sqm |
| 15 | WATER | |

Drafted by _______

July 1

| - - | I. ! | Construction Phase | | |
|---|----------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| - | -+ | | Tanker W | Vater for Domestic Use at |
| l _a | a. | Source of water | construct | tion site. |
| - [' | - - | COMME OF THE CO | I | treated water construction Activity. |
| \vdash | | Quantity of water for Construction is | _+ | <u> </u> |
| 1 | nı | KLD | II OT KED | |
| | | Quantity of water for Domesti | c 3.00 KLD |) |
| | ~ . | Purpose in KLD | | |
| l | | Waste water generation in KLD | 2.40 KLD | <u> </u> |
| | | Treatment facility proposed an | d Mobile S | TP |
| | e. | scheme of disposal of treated water | | |
| - | 11 | Sciente of darposar of details. | _ | |
| | * | Operational Phase | | |
| | - | | Fresh | 173.82 KLD |
| | _ ا | Total Requirement of Water in KLD | | 1 30.62 KLD |
| \ | а. | Total Requirement of Water at 122 | Total | 204.44 KLD |
| | b. | Source of water | | Water Supplies |
| I ⊢ | 1 | Waste water generation in KLD | 163.55 K | |
| . ⊢ | c. d. | STP capacity | 175 KLD | |
| 1 ⊦ | - 1 | Technology employed for Treatment | | |
| - | e. | | Flushing | g – 30.62 KLD |
| 11 | f. | Scheme of disposal of excess treate | | elt – 25.03 KLD |
| | ı. | water if any | | oal Sewers - 107.90 KLD |
| 10 | <u>_</u> | Infrastructure for Rain water harvest | | |
| + | | Capacity of sump tank to store Roof | | 100 KLD |
| | a. | run off | | |
| 1 } | b. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | 29 Nos | <u> </u> |
| \vdash | -D. | No sor Ground water remarge pro- | | r collection sump of 100 cum capacity |
| | | | to be provi- | ded and excess water to be used for |
| 1 | 7 | Storm water management plan | recharging | ground water with 29nos of recharge |
| | | | pits. | |
| 1 | 8 | WASTE MANAGEMENT | <u>,</u> | |
| \ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | I. | Construction Phase | | · |
| H | 1 | COMMITTEE AND A STATE OF THE ST | The cor | nstruction waste generated shall be |
| | | | used w | within the project site to the exten |
| 1 | | | possible | e and residual waste to be segregated |
| | | Quantity of Solid waste generation | | disposed off safely. |
| 11 | a. | and mode of Disposal as per norms | | ition, there will be 65 Nos of labour |
| | | and mode of Disposit as particular | working | g at site due to which 32.50 kg/day o |
| | | | municin | pal solid waste generated to b |
| | | | collecte | d & disposed off suitably. |
| | II | Operational Phase | _ | |
| | 111 | Operational Lines | _ | |

Drafted by kein

| Ŀ | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------|---|
| а. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal a per norms | : :S | 459.30 Kg/day,to be converted as compost using Organic Waste converter. |
| b. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable | | 306.20 Kg/day, to be handed over to authorized recyclers. |
| c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal a per norms | s | 200 Liters of Waste Oil from servicing of DG to be handed over to KSPCB approved recycler. |
| d. | <u> mode</u> of Disposal as per norms | l | Not Applicable |
| 9 | POWER | | |
| a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | | 1340 kVA to be sourced from BESCOM |
| b. | Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply | | 2 Nos X 500 KVA |
| с, | | | HSD |
| d. | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including pla for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | | Total Energy Savings: 30% |
| 0 , | PARKING | | - |
| a | Parking Requirement as per norms | | 300 ECS |
| | Level of Service (LOS) of the | | Existing: B |
| Ь. | connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | | Modified: C |
| C. | Internal Road width (RoW) | | Internal road width 6.00 m |
| ! | | _ | For three years |
| | | | Primary Health care in Seegehalli Govt Hospital (Providing Ambulance), Varthur Govt Hospital (Providing Ambulance), K R Puram Govt Hospital (Providing Ambulance) |
| | CER Activities | 2 | Green belt development in surrounding areas of Sadaramangala Village, Kumbena Agrahara Village, Dommasandra Village |
| | | 3 | Drinking water / sanitation project in SadaramangalaVillage - (Provision of Toilets), Kumbena AgraharaVillage - (Provision of Toilets), DommasandraVillage - (Provision of |

Drafted by Kening

| | Toilets) |
|---|--|
| | 4 Education -smart class room in Government PrimarySchool - Sadaramangala, Government Primary School - Kumbena Agrahara, Government Primary School - Kadugodi Plantation |
| EMP Construction phase Operation Phase | Construction Phase: 50 Lakhs Operation Phase: Capital Cost: 450.80 Lakhs Recurring Cost: 20 Lakhs/Annum |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal is for construction of residential apartment building in an area which is earmarked for transportation zone as per RMP of BDA, for which the proponent informed that the for the proposed area they had obtained conversion of land to residential by DC, Bangalore Urban and also had obtained change of land use from transportation zone to residential use from BDA.

The committee during appraisal sought details for the drain in north west side as per village map, provisions for electrical vehicles charging facilities and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that there is a tertiary drain in the north west side, which is out side the project area and a buffer of 15mtr from the center of the drain is proposed as per regulations. For e-vehicles charging, the proponent informed thatthey have made a provision of 25% out of the total parking slots for e-vehicles charging stations. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 200Cum(2x100) storage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 100cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 29nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent informed the committee that they have made provisions to grow 165 trees in the project and an area of 160.48Sqm is reserved for road widening in north east side of the project area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

Drafted by

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per RMP of BDA and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. The project proponent shall furnish Notarized undertaking that he shall maintain Buffer zone as per bylaw and compliance to provisions of CDP.
- 2. The project proponent shall leave the buffer from the lake /drain as per the RCDP 2015 as directed by Supreme Court order CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5016 OF 2016 dated 5th March 2019.
- 3. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 4. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 5. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 215.1.9. Expansion of Zion Hills Golf County Project at Vaggaina Dinne Village, Hudukula Village, Siddanahalli Village, Rampara Dinne Village,

Drafted by

1

Pakarahalli Village and Krishnapurudinne Village, Bangarpete Taluk, Kolar District by M/s. Confident Projects India Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/63567/2021(SEIAA 03 CON 2021)

M/s Confident Projects (India) Private Limited have proposed for Expansion of Zion Hills Golf County (Additional Development of Golf Course and Construction of Residential Units) Project on a plot area of 8,92,534.64 Sq.m. The total built up area is 1,64,752.29 Sq.m. The proposed project consists of

| Details | After Expansion |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Villas (nos.) | 322 |
| Group Housing Units (Nos.) | 423 |
| Club House (Nos.) | 2 |
| Utility shops (Nos.) | 15 |
| Golf Course Holes (Nos.) | 18 |

Total water consumption is 1755 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 513 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 600 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 202.60 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s Confident Projects (India) Private Limited Address: Confident Propus, 6, Longford Town, Hosur Main road, Bangalore - 560025 |

Drafted by _k____

| SI. | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Name: Expansion of Zion Hills Golf County (Additional Development of Golf Course and Construction of Residential Units) Location: Sy.no. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8/2, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 50 of Vaggaina Dinne village, Sy.no. 253, 356, 357, 358 of Hudukula village, Sy.no. 161, 162, 163, 217, 218 of Siddanahalli village, Sy.no. 3 of Rampara Dinne Village, Sr. no. 134, 135 of Pakarahalli village and Sy.no. 10, 10/1, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 of Krishnapurudinne village, Bangarpet |
| 3 | Type of Development | Taluk, District Kolar, Karnataka |
| i a. | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other | _ |
| Ь. | Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | Expansion of Golf course Project - Category 8(b), Townships and Area Development projects as per the EIA notification 2006 |
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | Expansion Project |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Nala is not passing through the Site. 30 m Nala Buffer line is provided as Lakes are adjacent to the proposed Phase |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 8,92,534.64 Sq.m |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | |
| 8 | FAR Permissible Proposed | 1.5 <1 |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | NA |

Drafted by

| SI. No | PARTICULARS INFORMAT | | | IATION |
|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | De | rtails | After Expansion |
| | | Vi | llas (nos.) | 322 |
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential | Gr | oup Housing Units | 423 |
| _ | Township/Area Development | | ub House (Nos.) | 2 |
| ļ | Projects | | ility shops (Nos.) | 15 |
| | | Go | olf Course Holes | 18 |
| 11 | II-i-ht Clearange | | t Applicable | |
| 11 | Height Clearance | _ | .6 Cr | |
| 12_ | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | demolition activiti | |
| 13 | Disposal of Demolition waster and or Excavated earth | involvedDevelopment of Golf contours. The entire earth generated from the construction work to be utilized for the grading of golf course. | | |
| 14 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | | | |
| a. | | | 1,10,024.00 Sq.m | |
| b. | | | 9,813.20 Sq.m | |
| c. | of the EIA notification, 2006 Internal Roads | | NA. 87,364.71 Sq.m | |
| e. | Paved area | | 1 4 | After Europeion |
| | | | Area | After Expansion (Sq.m) |
| f. | Others Specify | | Golf area | 4,42,674.08 |
| ' | | | Residential areas | 42,054.19 1,81,588.86 |
| - | Parks and Open space in case | e of | 1,00,101.06 Sq.m | |
| g | The state of the second state of the state o | Area | | he total area to be |
| Development Projects | | under grass cultivation and landscape) | | |
| h | | | 8,92,534.64 Sq.m | |
| 15 | WATER | _ | | |
| I | | | | |
| | . Source of water | • | STP treated water | for domestic use for irrigation and |
| 4 | | | Construction acti | vity |

Drafted by Kein

| S1. | DA DETICULA DE | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| No | PARTICULARS | | INFORMATION | | |
| | in KLD | | | | |
| ا | Purpose in KLD . Waste water generation in KLD Treatment facility proposed and | | 9 KLD | | |
| <u> </u> <u> </u> | | | 7.2 KLD | | |
| e | | | | | |
| | scheme of disposal of treated w Description of treated w | ater | STP of 200 kld functional at present. | | |
| 💾 | . Operational Phase | | | | |
| a | Total Requirement of Water in | KLD | 1755KLD | | |
| | . Source of water | | Fresh water: Village Panchayat Supply and 2 Existing Borewell in case of scarcity Recycled water: From Existing STP of 200 kld and 400 kld of proposed STP. Rain water reservoirs of 1.6 mld | | |
| \ | Waste water generation in KLD |) | 513 KLD Total 600 KLD | | |
| d | | | | | |
| e | Technology employed for Treat | ment | Bio-hybrid technology | | |
| f. | Schome of disposal of suggest the | | NIL as Zero liquid Discharge from the | | |
| _ " | water if any | | Project Site | | |
| 16 | Infrastructure for Rain water ha | rvestin | og | | |
| a | Capacity of sump tank to store | Roof | Total 7 Artificial reservoirs of 1,60,000 | | |
| | run off | | KLD | | |
| b. | No's of Ground water recharge | pits | 70 Nos. of RWH Structures | | |
| 1 7 | Storm water management plan | To avoid the loss of soil during monsoon, major construction activities tol be avoided during rainy season. Water accumulated on the soil dump to be locally drained in the perimeter drain using small capacity pumps after particulate settlement. All potential contaminants such as lime, paints, whitewashes, shuttering lining tars, grease, oil, solvents, etc. to be decanted/handled on the impervious PCC floor of the construction warehouse. There warehouse to be closed type with no chance of rainwater meeting the material | | | |
| 18 | meeting the material. WASTE MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| I, | | | | | |
| | 1 Toring Endai A Music | | | | |

Drafted by ke_____

| | il. Io | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | a. | Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 20 kg/day (For proposed construction) Mode of Disposal Domestic Waste – sent to MSW site. Construction and Demolition waste to be segregated and reused on site or sent for recycling | |
| ļ ļ | II. | Operational Phase | | |
| | a. | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 673 kg/day, Mode of Disposal: After segregation, biodegradable waste to be treated in the biohybrid STP Horticultural waste to be composted at the site. | |
| | ъ. | Quantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 1394 kg/day, Recyclable waste to be sold to recyclers. Non-biodegradable to be sent to Common Solid Waste Management Facility. | |
| | c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Negligible. Used oil from the DG sumps (occasional) to be sold to registered waste oil recyclers. E waste to be stored at a designated place and sold to registered recyclers. | |
| | d. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | |
| | 19 | POWER | | |
| | a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase5,265 kW | Source: Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Limited (KPTCL) | |
| | b. | Numbers of DG set and capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply3 DG set of | 2019 kVA | |
| | c. | Details of Fuel used for DG Set | HSD - 404 1/hr After expansion | |
| | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan d. for utilization of solar energy and compliance to Karnataka ECBC guidelines | | Total Energy saving is 15%. | |
| | 20 | PARKING | | |
| | a. | norms | 1,535 ECS | |
| | b. | Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the | LOS:C | |

Drafted by ______

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|--|
| | Traffic Study Report | - |
| C. | Internal Road width (RoW) | 12 m |
| 21 | CER Activities | Sanitation facilities & Health Construction of water tanks, water purifiers Construction of toilets for girls and boys Solid waste management facilities Health awareness and medical camp Educational & Vocational Training Books Distribution, Scholarships for students and set up computer labs and other facilities in schools Imparting vocational training for technical skills, self-employment training for women as golf caddies, maintenance and machine operations, horticulture etc. Agriculture and Environmental initiatives Support to farmers for agricultural yield improvement and produce marketing Lake desilting |
| 22 | EMPConstruction phaseOperation Phase | Construction Phase: Rs. 55.10 Lakhs Operation Phase Capital cost: Rs, 119.10Lakhs Operation Cost: Rs, 12.17Lakhs |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was initially considered in 266th SEAC meeting, the committee had deferred the appraisal as the proponent had not submitted the Certified Compliance Report for earlier EC issued on 28/11/2019. Presently the proponent had submitted satisfactory Certified Compliance Report from MoEF&CC date 11/02/2022.

The proposal is for expansion of golf course, for which earlier EC was issued on 28/11/2019 for total BUA of 1,22,856Sqm and 13 number of golf course holes in a plot area of 7,27,222.86 proposals is for modification and expansion of residential villas for which EC was issued earlier on 04/09/2020 for BUA of 1,49,772.83 Sqm and currently proposed for BUA of 1,92,588,70Sqm. SEIAA had issued ToR on 08/03/2021.

The committee during appraisal sought clarification forcart track road and water bodies as per village map, provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area,

Drafted by

details of trees proposed to be grown in the project location, provisions for bio gas plan and provisions for vermicomposting. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that there in the proposed expansion area, there is cart track road in western side of the project, which will be left open for free access to public. And for the natural water bodies, the proponent informed that there are four natural water bodies adjacent to the site and a buffer of 30mtrs all around, from the edge of the natural water body is proposed and five artificial reservoirs which are developed and another two reservoirs proposed to store rainwater inside the project area. For harvesting rain water, the proponent has informed that for the total project area they proposed a total of seven reservoirs of total capacity 1,60,000KL of storage capacity for harvesting rain water and a total of 70 rain water recharge pits. The proponent agreed to make provisions for biogas plan and to use the biogas for canteen as bio fuel and submitted a undertaking to install solar panels on roof tops of apartments and office buildings and to make provisions for vermicomposting.

The proponent has submitted revised tree list making provision to grow a total of 7271number of trees in the proposed project area. The committee informed the proponent to grow more trees in the water body buffer zones and also to comply with the observations of MoEF&CC in the Certified Compliance Report for earlier EC.

The proponent has committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per the zoning regulations and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to leave the kharab area for free access to public.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

 If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.

1 / - -

- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall leave the kharab area for free access to public.

215.1.10. Commercial Mall & Hotel Project at Gokul Road, Hubli Taluk, Dharwad District by M/s. Shriya Holdings & Properties Pvt. Ltd. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/208131/2021(SEIAA 53 CON 2021)

M/s. Shriya Holdings & Properties Private Limited have proposed for construction of Commercial Mall and Hotel project on a plot area of 6,829.02 sq.m. The total built up area is 29,344.35 sq.m.. The proposed project consists of Block A :2 Basements + 1 Ground Floor + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace floor and Block B: 1 Upper Ground Floor + 6 Upper Floors + Terrace floor Total water consumption is 73.60 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 69.98 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 85 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 58.00 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Mr. Suraj Director M/s. Shriya Holdings & Properties Private Limited, No.07, Vigneshwar Nilay, Arjun Vihar, Gokul Road, Hubbli-580030 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Proposed Commercial Mall and Hotel project by M/s Shriya Holdings and Properties Private Limited at CTS No. 4784/A1/B1, Gokul Road, Hubbli Taluk, |

Drafted by ten

| | - | Dharwad District |
|----|---|---|
| 3 | Villas / Row Houses / Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hospital / other | Proposed Commercial Mall and Hotel project Category 8(a), Building & Construction project as per the EIA notification 2006 |
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the vicinity of project site | Topallgatti Lake at 1.10 kms(NW) |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 6,829.02 sq.m |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 29,344,35 sq.m. |
| 8 | FAR • Permissible • Proposed | 2.80 3.00 |
| 9 | Building Configuration [Number of Blocks / Towers / Wings etc., with Numbers of Basements and Upper Floors] | Block A: 2 Basements + 1 Ground Floor + 4 Upper Floors + Terrace floor Block B: 1 Upper Ground Floor + 6 Upper Floors + Terrace floor |
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | |
| 20 | Height Clearance | Site Elevation in mts: 638.72 M Permissible top elevation: 688.72 M Difference: 50m Height Proposed: 23.9m NoC obtained on 15/06/2020 |
| 11 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 58.0 Cr. |
| 12 | Disposal of Demolition waster ar or Excavated earth | Total quantity of Excavated earth: 34,107.52Cum For back filling for footings= 17,053.76 Cum |

Drafted by

| | | · | For Site Gilling | = 9 541 42 Com | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| l | | | - | g = 8,561.63 Cum | |
| | | | For back filling for Retaining wall= 5,948.43 Cum | | |
| | ! | | I ' | e= 1,372.63 Cum | |
| | | | | | |
| 13 | Det | ails of Land Use (Sqm) | 101 Internal N | Road making = 1,171.07 Cum | |
| <u> </u> | a. | Ground Coverage Area | 3,404.37 sq.m | | |
| | b. | Kharab Land | 5/404.57 Sq.III | <u> </u> | |
| | | Total Green belt on Mother | <u> </u> | | |
| | | Earth for projects under | -/ (-1.22) | | |
| [| C. | 8(a) of the schedule of the | | | |
| | | EIA notification, 2006 | | | |
| | d, | Internal Roads | 1,171.07 Sq.m | | |
| | e. | Paved area | 1,171.07 Sq.iii | <u>-</u> | |
| | f. | Others Specify | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Parks and Open space in | | | |
| | İ | case of Residential | INA | | |
| 1 | g. | Township/ Area | | | |
| | | Development Projects | | | |
| | h. | Total | 6,829.02 sq.m. | | |
| 14 | WA | TER | 0,027.02 sq.m. | <u> </u> | |
| | I, | Construction Phase | | | |
| | a. | Source of water | From Nearby tro | ated water suppliers | |
| | _ | Quantity of water for | | acca water suppliers | |
| | b. | Construction in KLD | JO KED | | |
| | _ | Quantity of water for | 10 KLD | | |
| | C. | Domestic Purpose in KLD | 101120 | | |
| | , | Waste water generation in | 8 KLD | - | |
| | d. | KLD | O RED | | |
| | | Treatment facility | The sewage | generated during the | |
| | e. | proposed and scheme of | <i>a</i> . | ase will be treated in the | |
| | | disposal of treated water | Mobile STP | will be dealed in the | |
| | II. | Operational Phase | | | |
| | | | Fresh | 26.68 KLD | |
| i | a. | Total Requirement of | Recycled | 34.98+12.01 KLD | |
| | | Water in KLD | Total | 73.60 KLD | |
| [| b. | Source of water | HDMC | | |
| Ì | i | Waste water generation in | 69.98 KLD | | |
| ļ | C. | KLD | | | |
| ſ | d. | STP capacity | 85 KLD | | |
| Ī | Technology employed for | | | | |
| | e. | Treatment | | | |
| | | | | | |

Drafted by

| | f. | Scheme of disposal of excess treated water if any | No Disposal. The treated water to be reused for toilet flushing, landscaping in the project site, avenue plantation and Reuse after treating with ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis | | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| 15 | Infra | astructure for Rain water har | vesting | | |
| | a. | Capacity of sump tank to store Roof run off | 184 cu.m. | | |
| | Ь. | No's of Ground water recharge pits | 7 Nos. | | |
| 16 | Stor | m water management plan | The storm water from the site to be collected by rainwater harvesting tank of 56cum capacity and excess to be used for recharging the ground water. | | |
| 17 | WA | STE MANAGEMENT | | | |
| | Ī. | Construction Phase | | | |
| | Quantity of Solid waste a. generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | No of labours = 100 Nos. Per capita of waste generated = 0.4 kg/day Separate collection bins to be used for organic and inorganic waste. | | |
| | II. | Operational Phase | | | |
| | Quantity of Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per | | 121.44 kg/day. Biodegradable waste to be converted in organic convertor. | | |
| | b. | Ouantity of Non-Biodegradable waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | 80.96 kg/day. Non- Biodegradable waste to be handed over to authorized recyclers | | |
| | c. | Quantity of Hazardous Waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | Nil | | |
| | d. | Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | E-waste generation to be handed over to authorized recyclers | | |
| 18 | PO | WER | Lannista | | |
| | a. | Total Power Requirement - Operational Phase | | | |
| | Numbers of DG set and b. capacity in KVA for Standby Power Supply | | 1 X1000 kVA | | |

Drafted by ke

J. 57

| | c. Details of Fuel used for DG Set | | | HSD | | |
|----|--|--|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | d. | Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | | | | |
| 19 | PAF | KING | | <u>-</u> | - | |
| | a. Parking Requirement as per norms Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | | 37 | 2 ECS | | |
| | | | Gokul Road-LOS : A | | | |
| Ĺ | | | 8m | 8mtr | | |
| 21 | CER Activities | | | For 5 Years 1st 2nd | Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) Rain Water Harvesting in GHPS School at Hubbli Avenue planation and planation in GHPS School at Hubbli Solar Panels Provision in | |
| , | | | | GHPS School at Hubbli 4th Cleaning and deepening of Topallgatti Lake | | |
| 22 | EMP (Construction & Operation) | | | Capital Cost Operation I Recurring C | ost Per Annum = 15.68 lakhs t = 39.42 lakhs | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15^{th} & 17^{th} March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was initially considered 264th and 266th SEAC meeting and the committee had deferred the proposal, as the proponent was absent. Currently, after the request of the proponent to consider the proposal for appraisal, the committee considered the proposal in the present meeting. The proposal is for construction of

Drafted by 🕰 👢

commercial mall and hotel in an area which is earmarked for commercial use as per Hubballi Dharwad Planning Authority.

The committee during appraisal sought details for the compliance to ECBC conditions and provisions for harvesting rain water in the proposed area. The proponent informed the committee that for the proposed project to comply with mandatory ECBC conditions. For harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 184Cum storage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 56cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 7nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent informed the committee that they have made provisions to grow 85 trees in the project. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

 Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.

Drafted by

- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.

215.1.11. Non Residential Development IT/BT Project at Dasarahalli Village, K R Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore and Rachenahalli Village, K R Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore by M/s. Manyata Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd. & M/s. Manyata Realty - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/69036/2021 (SEIAA 57 CON 2020)

M/s. Manyata Realty and Manyata Infrastructure Developments Pvt Ltd, have proposed for construction of Non Residential Development IT/BT Project on a plot area of 2,39,531.57 sqm. The total built up area is 18,97,475.50 sq m. The proposed project consists of Block -01: 3 Basements + Ground LVL+ 17 Floors, Block -02: 3 Basements + 5 MLCP +24 Floors, Block -03: 3 Basements + 6 MLCP +18 Floors, Block -04 & 5: Ground floor, Block -06: 3 Basements + 5 MLCP + 15 Floors, Block -07: 3 Basements + 5 MLCP +19 Floors, Block -08: 3 Basements + 5 MLCP +19 Floors, Block -09: 3 Basements + 5 MLCP +24 Floors, Block -10: 3 Basements + Ground LVL + 10 Floors, Block -11: 3 Basements + 5 MLCP + 19 Floors, Block -12: 3 Basements + 6 MLCP + 20 Floors, Block -13: 3 Basements + 6 MLCP + 20 Floors, Total water consumption is 5190 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated is 4670 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 4920 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 1800 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| S1. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s. Manyata Realty and Manyata Infrastructure Developments Pvt Ltd, 9/1, II Floor, Classic Court, Richmond Road, Bangalore- 560025 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Non Residential Development IT/BT project At Sy Nos. 9/1a, 9/1b, 9/2, 10, 11/1&3, 12/1, 12/2a, 12/2b, 42/1, 44/1, 44/2, 44/3, 45/2 of Dasarahalli Village, K R Puram Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk, Bangalore and 8/1, 8/2, 8/3, 8/4, 46/1, 47/1, 47/2a, 47/2b, 48/1, |

Drafted by tei

| 3 | | | 50/9, 51/1, 51/2, 52, 53, 54/1b, 56/1, 56/2, 57/1, 57/2, 57/3, 61, 62 (5/12) |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 3 | | | ZO ZEITS ZO ZAIO ZAIO ZEIO- L |
| 3 | i | 1 | 62, 65/D, 63, 64/1, 64/2, 65/1a, 65/1b, 65/2, 65/3, 65/4, 66/1, |
| 3 | | | 66/2, 67/1, 67/2, 67/3, 67/4, 68, |
| 3 | | | 66/1, 68 of Rachenahalli Village, |
| 3 | | | K R Puram Hobli, Bangalore East |
| | - . | Tree of Dayslanmant | Taluk, Bangalore |
| - 1 | | Type of Development | Non Residential Development |
| | | Residential Apartment / Villas / Row Houses / | IT/BT project |
| | | AL II TO Learness I | |
| 1 | | a. Vertical Development / Office / IT/ ITES/ Mall/ | I |
| |] | Hotel/ Hospital /other | per the EIA notification 2006 |
| - | - | Residential Township/ | |
| | 1 | b. Area Development | 1 |
| | | Projects | |
| | | New/ Expansion/ | New. |
| 4 | | Modification/ Renewal | |
| | | | a. Rachenahalli lake on northern |
| 5 | | Water Bodies/ Nalas in | side |
| ĺ | 1 | the vicinity of project site | b.Secondary nalas in east, south |
| <u> </u> | | | |
| 6 | 1 | | |
| 7_ | | | 18,97,475.50 sqm |
| 1 | | | |
| 8 | • • | | |
| | • • | Proposed | |
| ļ | | | |
| | | D 711 C C C | |
| | | | |
| | | 1 - | 1 |
| 1 0 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 9 | <u> </u> | and Opper Hoors | Block -06: 3 Basements + 5 |
| 9 | 1 | | MLCP + 15 Floors |
| 8 | \ - \ \ - \ \ - \ \ - \ \ - \ \ \ - \ | Plot Area (Sqm) Built Up area (Sqm) FAR • Permissible | and west sides. 2,39,531.57 sqm 18,97,475.50 sqm 5.2 5.15 Block -01: 3 Basements + Grount LVL+ 17 Floors Block -02: 3 Basements + MLCP +24 Floors Block -03: 3 Basements + MLCP +18 Floors Block -04 & 5: Ground floor Block -06: 3 Basements + |

Drafted by kein

) _____

| | | Block -07: 3 Basements + 5 | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| ' | | MLCP +19 Floors | |
| ļ ₁ | | Block -08: 3 Basements + 5 | |
| | | MLCP +19 Floors | |
| | | Block -09: 3 Basements + 5 | |
| | 1 | MLCP +24 Floors | |
| | | Block -10: 3 Basements + Ground | |
| | | LVL + 10 Floors | |
| | | Block -11: 3 Basements + 5 | |
| | | MLCP + 19 Floors | |
| | | Block -12: 3 Basements + 6 | |
| <u> </u> | | MLCP + 20 Floors | |
| | | Block -13: 3 Basements + 6 | |
| <u> </u> | | MLCP + 20 Floors | |
| i | Number of units/plots in | NA | |
| <u>- </u> | case of | | |
| 10 | Construction/Residential | ! | |
| ! | Township/Area | | |
| | Development Projects | | |
| | | Justification, As per CCZM of | |
| | | Bangalore permitted top elevation | |
| | | permitted is 955m AMSL and | |
| . ! | | existing building of SNN project | |
| | | at a distance of 1.60km at a | |
| 11 | Height Clearance | ground elevation of 893m AMSL | |
| | | is having a top elevation of 1033m | |
| | | AMSL and proposed building is | |
| ' | | at ground elevation of 887.81m | |
| | | AMSL is having a maximum top | |
| ; | | elevation of 1005.81m AMSL. | |
| 12 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 1800 Cr | |
| | | There is no demolition waste. | |
| | | Total earth excavation: 4,00,000 | |
| | | cum | |
| | Disposal of Demolition | For back filling :1,50,000 cum | |
| 13 | waster and or Excavated | For Landscape:1,00,000 cum | |
| | earth | For Internal Road making | |
| | Carti | :1,00,000 cum | |
| | | Excess will be stored and will be | |
| | | used for our future construction | |
| | | projects :50,000 cum | |
| 14 | Details of Land Use (Sqm) | | |
| | | | |

Drafted by

| (| a . | Ground Coverage Area | 76,065.0 Sqm | | |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| | 1 | | | 65.02Guntas (Excluded from | |
| | b. | Kharab Land | total plot area) | | |
| | | Total Green belt on | 47,906.31 Sqm | <u> </u> | |
| | | Mother Earth for projects | _ | | |
| | c. | under 8(a) of the | | | |
| | İ | schedule of the EIA | | | |
| | Ì | notification, 2006 | | | |
| | d. | Internal Roads | 1,03,410.26 Sqm | | |
| | e. | Paved area | 1,05,410.20 5qm | | |
| _ | f, | Others Specify | Surface parkin | g :12,150Sqmt | |
| | | Parks and Open space in | NA | | |
| | _ | case of Residential | | | |
| | g. | Township/ Area | : | | |
| | | Development Projects | | <u>-</u> - | |
| | 1. | Tatal | 2,39,531.57 sq | m (Excluding | |
| | h. | Total | kharab) | _ | |
| 15 | W | ATER | ·· | | |
| | I. | Construction Phase | <u></u> | | |
| | a. | Source of water | Existing STP treated water | | |
| | b. | Quantity of water for | 100 KLD | | |
| | <i>D</i> . | Construction in KLD | | | |
| | | Quantity of water for | 10 KLD | | |
| | c. | Domestic Purpose in | | | |
| | | KLD | | | |
| | d. | Waste water generation | 8 KLD | | |
| | ш. | in KLD | | | |
| | | Treatment facility | | e treated in | |
| | e. | proposed and scheme of | existing STP | | |
| | | disposal of treated water | L | <u> </u> | |
| | II. | Operational Phase | - | MODA ICI ES | |
| | | Total Requirement of | | 2883 KLD | |
| | a . | Water in KLD | Recycled 2 | 2307 KLD | |
| <u>.</u> | | <u> </u> | | 5190 K <u>L</u> D | |
| | b | Source of water | BWSSB | <u></u> | |
| | c. | Wastewater generation | 4670KLD | | |
| | | in KLD | _ | 6 4000 151 75 | |
| | | | Total capacity | | |
| | | | Blocks | STP capacity | |
| | d. | STP capacity | | In KLD | |
| | | Block - 01, | 450 | | |
| | <u> </u> | | 04 & 05 | | |

Drafted by Kei

| | | | Block - 02 | 550 |
|---|-------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Block - 03 | 400 |
| | | | Block - 06 | 300 |
| | | | Block - 07 | 400 |
| | | | Block - 08 | 420 |
| | | | Block - 09 | 600 |
| | | | Block - 10 | 100 |
| | | | Block - 11 | 500 |
| | | | Block - 12 | 700 |
| | | <u></u> | Block - 13 | 500 |
| | | Technology employed | MBBR/SBR | |
| | e. | for Treatment | | |
| | | Scheme of disposal o | f To be used for : | HVAC |
| | f. | excess treated water i | • | |
| | | any | | |
| 16 | lr | frastructure for Rain water | | |
| | a. | Capacity of sump tank to | · | |
| <u> </u> | ш. | store Roof run off | Total Capacity of 2270 KLD | |
| j | Ъ. | No's of Ground water | 50 No's | |
| | <u> </u> | recharge pits | | |
| ! | | | Excess storm water is harvested | |
| 45 | | Storm water management | within the site in 32nos of deep | |
| 17 | | lan | recharge wells in addition to the | |
| | 1 | | 50nos of recharge pits within the | |
| 18 | TA | ACTE MANTACENARIE | site area. | |
| <u> </u> | 7 <u>1.</u> | ASTE MANAGEMENT | | |
| - | + 1. | Construction Phase | 11,532kg/day, disposed through BBMP authorities | |
| · | | Quantity of Solid waste | | |
| | a. | generation and mode of | | |
| - | II. | Disposal as per norms Operational Phase | | |
| - | + 11. | _ | 1 4614 1 / 1. | |
| | | | 0,, - | |
| | a, | 1 22 | , , | and used for |
| | 1 | generation and mode of garden Disposal as per norms | | |
| - | + | | 6018 kg/dov.ba | adad assa- t |
| | | Biodegradable waste | 0, , , | |
| | b. | generation and mode of | | recycler |
| | | Disposal as per norms | | |
| <u> </u> | i i | Quantity of Hazardous | 1000-1600 Lts/ y | vear criven to |
| | c. | Waste generation and | | |
| 1 | 1 - | | | ALLY CIES |
| | | mode of Disposal as per | | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |

Drafted by &

| | - | norms | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | Quantity of E waste | | 900 kg/year, handed over toPCB | |
| | đ. | generation and mode of | authorized r | |
| | u. | Disposal as per norms | out to the contract of | ceyence |
| 19 | LPC | OWER | | |
| 17 | 110 | Total Power | 138385 kVA | <u>-</u> |
| | a. | Requirement - | 100000 K 111 | |
| | "· | Operational Phase | | |
| | | Operational Fitting | Blocks | DG capacity |
| | |] | 3777 | with Nos. |
| | | | Block 1 | 2250 KVA X 6 |
| į į | | | | Nos |
| | ' | | Block 2 | 2250 KVA X 6 |
| | | | | Nos |
| | | | Block 3 | 2250 KVA X 6 |
| | | | | Nos |
| | | | Block 4 & | 2250 KVA X 5 |
| | | | 5 | Nos |
| | 1 | | Block 6 | 2250 KVA X 4 |
| | | N 1 (BC) 4 | | Nos |
| | b. capacity in KVA for | Numbers of DG set and | Block 7 | 2250 KVA X 5 |
| | | | Nos | |
| | | Standby Power Supply | Block 8 | 2250 KVA X 6 |
| | ! | | i | Nos |
| | | | Block 9 | 2250 KVA X 5 |
| | | | | Nos |
| | | | Block 10 | 2250 KVA X 2 |
| | | | | Nos |
| | | | Block 11 | 2250 KVA X 5 |
| | | | | Nos |
| | | | Block 12 | 2250 KVA X 8 |
| | | | [<u></u> | Nos |
| | | | Block 13 | 2250 KVA X 8 |
| | | | | Nos |
| | _ | Details of Fuel used for | Low Sulphu | ıric diesel |
| | c. DG Set | | | |
| | | Energy conservation | Total savings of 31.4% | |
| | | plan and Percentage of | | |
| | d. | | | |
| | | for utilization of solar | | |
| | | energy as per ECBC 2007 | <u> </u> | |
| 20 | P | ARKING | | <u> </u> |

Drafted by _ beal___

D 65

| | а. | Parking Requirement as per norms | 24,730 Nos. of | ECS |
|----|----------------|---|--|---|
| , | b. | Level of Service (LOS) of the connecting Roads as per the Traffic Study Report | . | |
| | c. | Internal Road width (RoW) | 8.0 mtr | |
| 21 | CER Activities | | Rejuvanantion of Rachenahalli lake& Contributions to Bandipura National Park, Nagarahole National Park Bannerghatta National Park. | |
| 22 | En | MP Construction phase Operation Phase | Capital investment During Construction Capital investment During | 2.0 crores 7.50 crores/annum 41.0 crores |
| | | <u> </u> | operation_ | crores/annum |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was initially considered 273rd SEAC meeting helt on 1st, 2nd and 3rd of February 2022 and the committee had deferred the proposal, to have a site visit so as to ascertain the ground realities with respect to sensitivity of the proposed project area.

The sub-committee visited the site on 10/02/2022 and the sub-committee after detailed inspection decided to seek clarifications/details from the proponent for the observations made. The proponent submitted the following clarification for the observations made by the sub-committee,

Detail of existing nala kharabs as per village map with records justifying the type
of nalas and rerouted nala and treepark as per DC Orders with gps co-ordinates.

The proponent submitted the details and informed the committee that in the proposed project area there is a total of 65.02Guntas of nala kharab as per village map and indicated through GPS co-ordinates.

Drafted by

2. Details of water table depth, with reference to proposed basements levels.

The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that water table is at a depth of 15mtr below ground level and for the proposed project, maximum basement level below ground level is 10mtrs and to take necessary precautionary measures for construction of basement levels.

3. Coloured conceptual plan clearly demarcating two different village boundaries and details of nalas and tree parks clearly indicating buffers as per by-laws.

The proponent submitted revised conceptual plan clearly demarcating village boundaries and details of nalas and tree park with necessary buffers as per by-laws.

4. Details of precautionary measures to be taken to prevent flooding inside the proposed area and carrying capacities of nalas during extreme flooding conditions.

The proponent submitted hydrology report and informed the committee that drains to be designed to handle the flooding and to carry out strengthening and frequent cleaning of of existing natural nalas in the vicinity of the project, as a precautionary measures to avoid flooding.

5. Provisions proposed for internal transportation facilities from nearest public transportation through e-vehicles and solar powered buggy.

The proponent agreed to provide internal transportation facilities from nearest public transportation through e-vehicles and solar powered buggy.

6. Undertaking to take up nala strengthening, widening and deepening works so as to achieve un-interpreted flow of water in all the nalas, especially in the merging location of nalas, during the initial stage of construction.

The proponent submitted undertaking and informed that nala strengthening, widening and deepening works to as to achieve uninterpreted flow of water in all the natural nalas and also in the merging location of natural nalas before starting construction activity.

Undertaking to take precautionary measures for preservation of nalas and water body during and after construction.

Drafted by

J 67

The proponent submitted undertaking to take precautionary measures for preservation of nalas and water body during and after construction.

- 8. Undertaking to obtain necessary permissions from competent authorities for construction of bridge/culverts on nalas before construction.
 The proponent submitted undertaking to take all necessary permissions from competent authorities for construction of bridge/culvert on nalas before beginning of construction.
- 9. Undertaking to adhere to the conditions of rerouted orders and sensitive zone committee and BDA bylaws for buffers and setbacks.

The proponent submitted undertaking to adhere to the conditions of rerouted orders and sensitive zone committee and BDA by-laws for buffers and setbacks.

10. Undertaking to grow only trees in the buffer zones of nalas and water bodies.

The proponent submitted undertaking to grow only trees in the buffer zones of nalas and water bodies.

11. Height clearance justification as per CCZM Bangalore.

The proponent submitted justification for proposed height of the buildings and informed that, as per CCZM of Bangalore permitted top elevation in the yellow zone is 955m AMSL and existing building of SNN project at a distance of 1.60km falling in yellow zone with a ground elevation of 893m AMSL is having a top elevation of 1033m AMSL and proposed building is at ground elevation of 887.81m AMSL is having a maximum top elevation of 1005.81m AMSL.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent and appraised the proposal. The proponent informed the committee that proposal is for non-residential IT/BT development in an area which is earmarked for residential high tech as per Revised Master Plan of BDA. The proponent justified that land use permissible is residential, as the road abutting to project site is more than 18mtr wide, proposed ancillary land use is permitted as main land use as per zoning regulations and hence proposed land use is permitted. Further the proponent informed the committee that as the part of proposed project area is under sensitive zone, they had obtained sensitive zone clearance from BDA on 17/06/2020. ToR was issued by SEIAA on 07/10/2021.

Drafted by _______

The committee during appraisal sought clarification for water body and nalas present as per village map, provisions for rain water harvesting in the proposed area and provisions for bio-methanation plant. The proponent submitted clarifications and informed the committee that as per village map water body is adjacent to the project area in northern side of the project and 30mtr of no development zone is provided as per BDA regulations and no construction activities is proposed in that area.

Proponent informed that project is located towards downstream side of Rachenahalli lake and three out of the four nalas as per village map were found to be active.

Nala 1: Situated in western side of the project and having active discharge from the waste weir of Rachenahalli lake and flowing towards east in the project site area and joining nala 4.

Nala 2: Physically was not in existence but as per village map, located in left center of the project area. Nala 3: Situated in right center of the proposed area and having gradual discharge of water from waste weir in eastern side of the Rachenahalli lake and joining nala 1. Nala 4: Flowing from north to south from Rachenahalli village in north and merging with nala 1 in the project area and continue flowing southern side.

Further, the proponent informed the committee that a part of nala 2 situated in left center of the project site as per village map is rerouted towards western side adjacent to nala 1 as per DC, Bangalore Urban, order dated 01/01/2017 and remaining part of nala 2 is converted to tree park by DC, Bangalore Urban in orders dated 28/06/2019 and later the tree park was rerouted to west of the project area adjacent to nala 1 as per DC, Bangalore Urban order dated 05/02/2021.

For harvesting rain water, the proponent has proposed a total of 2270Cumstorage tank for runoff from roof top and for runoff from landscape and paved areas to 50nos of recharge pits 32nos of deep recharge wells is proposed within the site area. Proponent agreed to install bio-methanisation plant for the proposed project. Further proponent informed the committee that as the proposed project is adjacent to water body, proper precautionary measures will be taken during construction of basements floors.

The proponent has submitted revised tree list making provision to grow 3000 trees in the proposed project area. They further informed that they have made provision for charging electrical vehicles in 5% out of the total parking slots in the proposed project.

The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the

Drafted by

1; - L

proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to adhere to the compliances given to sub-committee observations.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 2. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Additional Condition:

- 1. Assured water supply, commensurate with the ultimate occupancy envisaged in the project, shall be ensured before commencement of the project.
- 2. 25% of parking space shall have charging facility to enable charging of electric vehicles.
- 3. The PP shall strictly adhere to the local Planning Authority Bye-Laws.
- 4. The PP shall adhere to the compliances given to SEAC sub-committee observations.

Drafted by

JL.

Mining Projects:

215.1.12. Building Stone Quarry Project at Tavaragera Village, Kalaburgi Taluk, Kalaburgi District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Bandeppa Tengli - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/253361/2022 (SEIAA 53 MIN 2022)

Sri. Bandeppa S/o AmbarayaTengli have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 2-00 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 137/*/2 in Tavaragera Village, Kalaburagi Taluk, Kalaburagi District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | _ | INFORMATION | |
|-------|--|-----------|--|--|
| 1 | Name &Addressof the Projects | | Sri. BandeppaS/o AmbarayaTengli | |
| | Proponent | | H.No. 21/2, Humanabad Road, | |
| | _ | | Uplaon, Kalaburagi Taluk,Kalaburagi | |
| | | | District | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Proj | ect | Building Stone Quarry in 2-00 Acres of | |
| | | | Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 137/*/2 in | |
| | | | Tavaragera Village, Kalaburagi Taluk, | |
| | | | Kalaburagi District, Karnataka. | |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | _ | Building Stone | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modific | ation / | New | |
| | Renewal | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Government | | Patta Land | |
| | Revenue, Gomal, Private / I | | | |
| | Other] | | | |
| 6 | Area in Acres | <u> </u> | 2-00 Acres | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / | | 53,352 Tons/Annum (Avg.) | |
| | Cum) Per Annum | | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | 0.20 (Rs. 20 Lakhs) | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- | | 4,23,430 Tons | |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - | | 53,352 Tons/Annum (Max.) | |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | | | dditional plantation on either side of the | |
| | approach road from o | quarry lo | cation to Tavaragera Village Road. | |
| 12 | EMP Budget Rs. 8.05 L(Capital Cost) &14.20 Lakhs | | L(Capital Cost) &14.20 Lakhs (Recurring | |
| | | cost) | | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 17.11.20 | 20 | |
| 14 | Notification | 16,08.20 | 21 | |

Drafted by ke-

| 15 | Quarry plan | 28.12.2021 |
|----|---------------------|------------|
| 16 | Cluster Certificate | 20.12.2021 |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 250m connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 5 other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease and 2 leases were exempted from cluster in view of the ECs were issued prior to 15.01.2016. The area of the 4 leases including the subject lease is 7-34 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 4,23,430tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 8 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 53,352 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

215.1.13. Building Stone Quarry Project at Honnenahalli Village in Belur Taluk, Hassan District (4-32 Acres) by Sri S. Vasanth Shekar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/254711/2022 (SEIAA 42 MIN 2022)

Sri S. Vasanthshekar S/o Siddashetty have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 4-32 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 50/3 in HonnenahalliVillage, Belur Taluk, Hassan District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | į | INFORMATION |
|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Pr | ojects | Sri S. VasanthshekarS/o Siddashetty, |
| | Proponent | | 1st Cross, Lakshmipura, Belur, Hassan |
| ! | | | District. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the I | Project | Building Stone Quarry in 4-32 Acres of |
| | | | Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 50/3 in |
| | | | HonnenahalliVillage, Belur Taluk, Hassan |
| | | <u></u> , | District |
| 3 | Type Of Mincral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | _ | <u> </u> |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, G | omal, | |
| | Private / Patta, Other] | | · |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 4-32 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Met | ric Ton | 2,15,460 tonnes/annum (Avg.) |
| | / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | 0.45 (Rs. 45 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | | 13,49,190 Tons |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - | | 2,15,460 tonnes/annum (Max.) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Propose take up 2 | 200 No. ი | of additional plantation on either side of the |
| | | rom quarry location to Somashettihalli Village Road. | |
| 12 | | | 5 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 20.50 Lakhs |
| | | (Recurring cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 10.02.2020 | |
| 14 | Notification | 24.12.2021 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 25.01.2022 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 25.01.202 | 22 |

Drafted by ke-

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300m connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 3 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease. The total area of all these leases is 10-22 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 13,49,190tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,15,460 tonnes/annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.14. Building Stone Quarry Project at Honnenahalli Village, Belur Taluk, Hassan District (7-20 Acres) Smt. K S Hemavathi - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/255042/2022(SEIAA 46 MIN 2022)

Smt. K. S. Hemavathi W/o K.N. Devegowda have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry in 7-20 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 115/4 & 115/5 in HonnenahalliVillage, Belur Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Name &Addressof the Projects Proponent | Smt. K. S. HemavathiW/o K.N. Devegowda MathapithrushriNilaya, 4th Cross, Jayanagar, Chikkamagalur, Chikkamagalur District-577101. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry in 7-20 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 115/4 & 115/5 in HonnenahalliVillage, Belur Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] | Patta Land |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 7-20 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum | 2,63,263 Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.60 (Rs. 60 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | 16,59,898 Tons |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton | 2,63,263 Tons/Annum (Max.) |

Drafted by kem

| 11 | CER Action P | CER Action Plan: | | |
|----|--|------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Propose take up 400 No. of additional plantation on either side of the approach road from quarry location to Honnenahalli Village Road with an approximate cost of Rs. 2,00,000. | | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget Rs. 23.41 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 25.50 Lakhs (Recurring cos | | | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 13.01.2020 | | |
| 14 | Notification | 24.12.2021 | | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 25.01.2022 | | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 25.01.2022 | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 850m connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 3 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease. The total area of all these leases is 09-20 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Committee based on the proved quantity, estimated the life of the mine as 6 years and recommended the proposal to SEIAA for Issue of EC, for annual production of 2,57,998 Tons/Annum.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 16,59,898tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,63,263 tonnes/annum

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.15. Building Stone (M-Sand) Quarry Project at Khanpet Village, Ramadurga Taluk, Belagavi District (5-00 Acres) by Sri Jagadish R Meti - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/243514/2021(SEIAA 48 MIN 2022)

Sri Jagadish R Meti have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy. No. 81(P) of Khanpet Village, Ramadurga Taluk, Belgaum District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|--------|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s. Laxmi Enterprises Managing Partner:Sri Jagadish R Meti, H.No.1045,Kotambari Galli, Taluk:Bailhongal, Dist:Belagavi |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri Jagadish R Meti at Sy. No. 81(P) of Khanpet Village, Ramadurga Taluk, Belgaum District. |
| 3 | Type of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New /expansion/modification /renewal | New |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Patta Land. |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 5-00 Acres (2.02 Ha) |
| 7 | Annual production (metric ton | 77,778 tons/annum |

Drafted by team

J. - (

| | /Cur | n) per annum | |
|----|---------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 8 | Proje | ct Cost (Rs. In Cr | ores) 1.5 Crores |
| 9 | | ed quantity of /quarry-Cu.m/T | 12,26,100tons ons |
| 10 | | itted quantity pe /Ton | r annum- 77,778 tons/annum |
| 11 | CER. | Activities | <u> </u> |
| | | Corporate Env | ironmental Responsibility (CER) |
| | 1st | Plantations | |
| | 2 nd | Maintainance, | Watering of plantation on both sides of nala, |
| | 3rd | | Watering of plantation on both sides of nala. |
| | 4 th | Maintainance A | Watering of plantation on both sides of nala. |
| | 5 th | Maintainance , | Watering of plantation on both sides of nala. |
| 12 | ЕМР | Budget | Rs.19.30 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 14.65 lakhs (Recurring cost) |
| 13 | Forest NOC | | 30.07.2019 |
| 14 | Notification | | 29.10.2021 |
| 15 | Quarr | y plan | 20.01,2022 |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | | 02.02.2022 |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 250meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject lease is 5-00Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent will collect baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Drafted by Kan

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 12,26,100tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 13 years and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for annual production of 77,778TPA.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.16. Laterite Stone Quarry Project at Inam Badas Village, Belagavi Taluk, Belagavi District (9-20 Acres) by Sri Channayya Siddayya Sangalmath - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/255293/2022(SEIAA 51 MIN 2022)

Sri Channayya Siddayya Sangalmath have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Laterite Stone Quarry in 9-20 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 130/*/* in InamBadasVillage, Belagavi Taluk, Belagavi District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|---|--|
| 1 | Name &Addressof the Projects Proponent | Sri ChannayyaSiddayyaSangalmath At: Plot No. 1491, Saraswati Nagar, Naragund, Gadag Taluk, Gadag District |
| | Name & Location of the Project | Laterite Stone Quarry in 9-20 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 130/*/* in InamBadasVillage, Belagavi Taluk, Belagavi District. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Laterite Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New |

Drafted by Kenny

J. - 7

| | Modification / Renev | wal | |
|----------|--|------------|---|
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, | | |
| | Gomal, Private / Patta, | | |
| | Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | _ | 9-20 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (| Metric | 1,05,705 Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Ann | um | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In C | rores) | 0.60 (Rs. 60 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of n | nine/ | 5,67,405 Tons |
| ļ | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity P | er | 1,05,705 Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| <u> </u> | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | , , , , |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Propose take up 150 No. of additional plantation on either side of the | | |
| | approach road from | n quarry | location to InamBadasVillage Road |
| | Propose to provide | Roof to | P Rain water Harvesting facility to nearby Govt |
| | Primary School, Ind | amBadas | Village. |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 14,3 | 1 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &24.35 Lakhs (Recurring |
| | <u> </u> | cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC_ | 09.11.2021 | |
| 14 | Notification | 13.01.2022 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 31.01.2022 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 31.01.20 | 22 |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.20 km connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease. The area of the subject lease is 9-20 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 5,67,405 tonnes (includingwaste) exper the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 6 years.

Drafted by ______

The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,05,705 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.17. Building Stone Quarry Project at Honnebail Village, Ankola Taluk, Uttara Kannada District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Raghavendra Nayak - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/255458/2022(SEIAA 52 MIN 2022)

Sri, Raghavendra Ramadas Nayak have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy No: 313Honnebail Village, Ankola Taluk, Uttar Kannada District, Karnataka

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. Raghavendra Ramadas Nayak Hicchada Village,Ankola Taluk, Uttar Kannada District,Karnataka |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri. Raghavendra Ramadas Nayak Sy No: 313Honnebail Village, Ankola Taluk,Uttar Kannada District, Karnataka |

Drafted by

81)

| | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | | Corner Pillar | Latitude | Longitude |
|----------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | | A | 14º 36′ 56.41″ | 741 17 08,85" |
| | | | В | 14° 36′ 58.10" | 741 17 08.38" |
| | | | c | 14º 36' 58.08" | 74° 17′ 10.70″ |
| 3 | | | D | 14º 36' 55.48" | 74° 17″ 11.40″ |
| <u> </u> | | , | E | 14º 36′ 55.55″ | 74° 17′ 10.60″ |
| | | | F | 140 361 56.211 | 74" 17" (0.41" |
| | | | G : | 14º 36′ 55.06″ | 74° 17′ 10.15″ |
| | | | H | 14º 36′ 56.88″ | 74° 17′ 09.92″ |
| | _ | | | P DATUM - WGS 84 | DATUM |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | | Building Stone | еQиатту | <u> </u> |
| 5 | New / Expansion / I | , | New | | |
| | Type of Land [I | | D-st- I d | | |
| 6 | Government Re | | Patta Land | | |
| U | | | | | |
| 7 | Private/Patta, Other] Area in Ha | | 0.404 Ha | | |
| | Annual Product | ion Proposed | 16,683TPA (inc | luding waste) | |
| 8 | (Metric Tons/ C | - | , | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | 0.95crores | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity | of | 1,24,081 Tonne | s(including wast | e) |
| 10 | mine/quarry-Co | u.m/Tons | | ` | , |
| 11 | Permitted quant | tity per annum- | 16,683TPA (inc | luding waste) | |
| | Cu.m/Ton | | | | |
| | CER Action Plan | - | <u></u> | | |
| | For 5 Years | Corporate Env | ironmental Resp | ponsibility (CER | () |
| ĺ | 1st | Providing sola | ar power panels | s to GLPS scho | ol at Honnebail |
| | | village | | | |
| 12 | 2nd | The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants to the GLPS school at Honnebail village | | ry plants to the | |
| | 3rd | 7 | | - | |
| | 4th | | | | |
| | 5th | Health camp in the GLPS school at Honnebail village | | | illage |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 20.96lakh | s (Capital Cost) & | & Rs. 7.41 lakhs (| Recurring cost) |
| | Forest NOC | 01.09.2021 | | | 0/ |

Drafted by te

| 13 | | |
|----|---------------------|------------|
| 14 | Notification | 11.01.2021 |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 27.01.2022 |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 14.02.2022 |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 353meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject lease is 1-00Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent will collect baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,24,081tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 8 years and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for annual production of 16,683Tonnes / Annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Drafted by

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.18. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nej Village, Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District (2-06 Acres) by Shri Om Ganesh Industries Pvt. Ltd., - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/255375/2022(SEIAA 54 MIN 2022)

Shri. Om Ganesh Industries Pvt. Ltd have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 2-06 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 94/2 of Nej village in Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|------------|---|---|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | Shri. Om Ganesh Industries Pvt. Ltd. |
| | Projects Proponent | Managing Director: Shri. Dheeraj M. Vaddar, |
| | | Plot No. 52 Scheme 13, T. V. Centre, |
| _ <u>-</u> | | Belagavi District, Karnataka - 590001. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Building Stone Quarry in 2-06 Acres of Patta |
| | Project | Land bearing Sy. No. 94/2 of Nej village in |
| | | Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District, Karnataka |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | |
| | Private / Patta, Other] | |
| 6 | Area in Acre-Guntas | 2-06Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | 32,181(Avg.) Tons/ Annum |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 0.35Lakhs (Rs. 35 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 1,41,915Tons |
| | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | 32,181Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | , , |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | |
| | Propose take up 200 N | o. of additional plantation on either side of the |
| | approach road from qua | rry location to Nej Village Road. |
| 12 | | 25Lakhs (Capital Cost) &12.30Lakhs (Recurring |
| | | 5 years) |

Drafted by

| 13 | Forest NOC | 27.02.2017 |
|----|---------------------|------------|
| 14 | Notification | 23.07.2021 |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 25.01.2022 |
| | Cluster certificate | 04.02.2022 |
| 16 | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500m radius of quarry lease area. The area of the subject lease 2-06 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,41,915 tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 32,181 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

Drafted by

N 85

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.19. Building Stone Quarry Project at Gujjikunda Village, Davanagere Taluk & District (1-28 Acres) by Sri Yathiraj S - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/255840/2022(SEIAA 59 MIN 2022)

Sri. Yathiraj S/o J. Siddalingappa have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 1-28 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No.14/7 of Gujjikonda village in Mayakonda Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District, Karnataka

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects Proponent | Sri. Yathiraj S/o J. Siddalingappa Near Nituvalli High School, Shivakumaraswamy Badavane 1st Stage, Door No. 552/9, Davanagere |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry in 1-28 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No.14/7 of Gujjikonda village in Mayakonda Hobli, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District, Karnataka |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] | Patta Land |
| 6 | Area in Acre | 1-28 Acres |

Drafted by

| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | 45,919 (Avg.) Tons/ Annum |
|----|---|--------------------|---|
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | Rs. 0.20 Crores (Rs. 20 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | 2,62,043 Tons |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | 45,919 (Avg.) Tons/ Annum |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: Propose take up 200 No. of adapproach road from quarry lo | | |
| | Propose take up 200 | No. of acquarry lo | dditional plantation on either side of the ocation to Gujjikonda Village Road. |
| 12 | Propose take up 200 | quarry lo | dditional plantation on either side of the ocation to Gujjikonda Village Road. 4 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.30 Lakhs (Recurring or 5 years) |
| 12 | Propose take up 200 approach road from | quarry lo | ocation to Gujjikonda Village Road. 4 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.30 Lakhs (Recurring or 5 years) |
| | Propose take up 200 approach road from EMP Budget | Rs. 5.4 cost fo | ocation to Gujjikonda Village Road. 4 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.30 Lakhs (Recurring or 5 years) |
| 13 | Propose take up 200 approach road from EMP Budget Forest NOC | Rs. 5.4 cost fo | ocation to Gujjikonda Village Road. 4 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.30 Lakhs (Recurring or 5 years) 2019 |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 400 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500m radius of quarry lease area. The area of the subject lease 1-28 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,62,043 tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 6

Drafted by _______

1 / 87

years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 45,919tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.20. Pink Granite Quarry Project at Hoolgeri Village, Kushtagi Taluk, Koppala District (5-19 Acres) by Sri Chandrashekar S - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/256081/2022(SEIAA 61 MIN 2022)

Sri. ChandrashekarS/o. Sanganagouda Goudar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Ornamental Granite (Pink Granite) Quarry in 5-19 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy.No.180/5, Hoolgeri Village, Kushtagi Taluk & Koppal District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects Proponent | Sri. ChandrashekarS/o. Sanganagouda Goudar, SAA: Hoolgeri, Kushtagi Taluk Koppal District, Kamataka. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Ornamental Granite (Pink Granite) Quarry in 5-19 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy.No.180/5, Hoolgeri Village, Kushtagi Taluk & Koppal District, Karnataka. |
| _ 3 | Type Of Mineral | Pink Granite |

يسمع Drafted by

| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New | |
|----|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| _ | Modification / Renew | ral | <u></u> | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land | |
| | Government Revenue | | | |
| | Gomal, Private / Patt | a, | | |
| | Other] | | | |
| 6 | Area in Acere-Guntas | | 5-19 Acres | |
| 7 | Annual Production (N | Aetri c | 19,999Cum (recovery 30% and 70% waste) | |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Anni | ım | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Ca | rores) | Rs. 0.50Crores (Rs. 50 Lakhs) | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of m | ine/ | 2,50,847Cum (recovery 30% and 70% waste) | |
| | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity P | er | 19,999Cum (recovery 30% and 70% waste) | |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | l <u> </u> | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | Propose take u | p 550No. | of additional plantation on either side of the | |
| | approach road | from qua | rry location to Hoolgeri Village Road. | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs.19.24Lakhs (Capital Cost) &21.95Lakhs (Recurring | | |
| | | cost for 5 years) | | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 12.02.2018 | | |
| 14 | District Task Force | 26.11.2021 | | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 22.12.2021 | | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 27.01.2022 | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 700meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch prepared by the DMG, there are 3 other leases within the 500 meter radius from this lease area, out of which 1lease granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining 3 leases including the subject lease is 11-39Acres. Hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all parameters are found to be within permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken up to ensure that the parameters are maintained within permissible limits.

Drafted by

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,50,847Cum (recovery 30% and 70% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 13 years and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for annual production of 19,999Cum (recovery 30% and 70% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.
- 5. The PP shall extended cluster certificate from concerned Authority.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.21. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kesavinahaklu Village, Chikkamagaluru Taluk & District (3-00 Acres) by M/s. A. N. Traders (Ramesh DE) - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/251977/2022(SEIAA 18 MIN 2022)

M/s. A.N. Traders, Parner: Sri D.E. Ramesh have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 3-00 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 90 in KesavinahakluVillage, Chikkamagalur Taluk, Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | | INFO | ORMATION | | | |
|------------|----------------------|------|---------------|----------|---|----|----------------|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | M/s. | A.N. Traders, | | | • | \overline{c} |
| Drafted by | <u>kei</u> | | | | 7 | 90 | |

| 1 | Projects Proponent | _ | Parner:Sri D.E. RameshS/o Late D.P. |
|---------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | , . | | Eregowda, Handuguli, Jogannanakere Post, |
| | | | Mudigere Taluk, Chikkamagalur District. |
| 2 | | | Building Stone Quarry in 3-00 Acres of Patta |
| } | Project | | Land bearing Sy. No: 90 in |
| | • | | Kesavinahaklu Village, Chikkamagalur Taluk, |
| | | | Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New |
| | Modification / Renewa | 1 | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, | | |
| | Gomal, Private / Patta, | , | |
| | Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | <u>_</u> | 3-00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (M | etric | 1,10,460tonnes/annum (Avg.) |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cro | | 0.35 (Rs. 35 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mi | ne/ | 5,54,930 Tons |
| L | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | _ | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | r | 1,10,460tonnes/annum (Avg.) |
| <u></u> | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | l |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Propose take up | p 300 N | o, of additional plantation on either side of the |
| | | roach road from quarry location to KesavinahakluVillage Roa | |
| 12 | 0 1 | | 75 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 16.40 Lakhs (Recurring |
| | | cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 20.09.2021 | |
| 14 | Notification | 17.12.2021 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 05.01.2022 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 13.01.2022 | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 350 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Drafted by Kein

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500m radius of quarry lease area. The area of the subject lease is 3-00 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 5,54,930tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,10,460tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.22. Building Stone Quarry Project at Jakkali Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District (2-27 Acres) by Sri Sudarshan Reddy - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/256536/202 (SEIAA 64 MIN 2022)

Sri. P. Sudarshan Reddy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy No: 396/2, 396/3,396/4, 396/5 & 396/1B+1C,Jakkali Village,Ron Taluk, Gadag District,Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. P. Sudarshan Reddy Near Bus stand, Basavaketan Automobile, Naregal Village and post, Ron Taluk,Gadag |

Drafted by kein

1

| | | | District,Karna | ataka | |
|----|--|--|------------------|---|--|
| 2 | Name & I | "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri. P. Sudars Reddy, Sy No: 396/2, 396/3,396/4, 396/3 396/1B+1C,Jakkali Village,Ron Taluk, Ga District,Karnataka. | | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | | A B C D | GPS CO-ORIDINATES LATITUDE LONGITUDE N15° 36' 25.3" E75° 47' 21.4" N15° 36' 23.9" E75° 47' 21.5" N15° 36' 23.1" E75° 47' 28.0" N15° 36' 25.8" E75° 47' 26.6" | |
| 4 | Type of N | 1ineral | Building Sto | oneQuarry | |
| 5 | New / Ex | spansion / sion / Renewal | New | | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | | Patta Land | | |
| 7 | Area in H | | 1.082 Ha | | |
| 8 | Annual P | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | | including waste) | |
| 9 | | ost (Rs. In Crores) | 1.16crores | | |
| 10 | Proved q | uantity of arry-Cu.m/Tons | 10,14,316 To | nnes(including waste) | |
| 11 | | quantity per annum- | 26,316 TPA (| including waste) | |
| _ | CER Acti | | | | |
| | 5 Year | Corporate Environmen | <u></u> | | |
| | 1st | Providing solar power panels to GHPKGS school at Jakkali village | | | |
| | 2nd | Rain water harvesting pits in GHPKGS school at Jakkali village | | | |
| 12 | 3rd | Cleaning out and deepening of Totganti Pond – 1.74 Kms (SW) & Abbigeri pond – 3.75 Kms (SW) | | | |
| | 4th | Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder | | | |
| | 5th | Health camp in GHPKGS school at Jakkali village | | | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 28.81lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.11 lakhs (Recurring cost) | | | |

Drafted by ter-

| 13 | Forest NOC | 04.10.2021 | <u></u> |
|----|------------------------|------------|-------------|
| 14 | Notificatio n | 18.01.2022 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 27.01.2022 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 28.01.2022 | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 233 meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500m radius of quarry lease area. The area of the subject lease is 2-27 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 10,14,316tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as co terminus with the lease period. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 26,316tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by kenny

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.23. Shahabad Stone Quarry Project at Miriyan Village, Chincholli Taluk, Kalaburgi District (1-00 Acre) by Smt. P Sujatha Reddy - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/235904/2021(SEIAA 589 MIN 2021)

Smt. P. Sujatha Reddy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Shahabad Stone Quarry in 1-00 Acre of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 87/1 in MiriyanVillage, ChincholiTaluk, Kalaburagi District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI.N | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Name &Addressof the Projects | Smt. P. Sujatha ReddyW/o |
| | Proponent | P.Madhusudhanreddy,H No. 28/D, M I G, |
| | 1 | M S K Mill Road, Shanthi Nagar, Opp. |
| | | Central Bus Stand, Kalaburagi |
| | | District, Karnataka. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Shahabad Stone Quarry in 1-00 Acre of |
| | | Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 87/1 in |
| | | MiriyanVillage, ChincholiTaluk, |
| ' | | Kalaburagi District, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Shahabad Stone (Cherty Lime Stone) |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modification / | New |
| | Renewal | <u> </u> |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Government | Patta Land |
| | Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, | |
| | Other] | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 1-00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / | 300cum (60%recovery and 40%waste) |
| | Cum/Sq. Meter) Per Annum | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.20 (Rs. 20 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- | 15,000cum (60%recovery and 40%waste) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - | 300cum (60% recovery and 40% waste) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | |

Drafted by _____

| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | ProposetocarryoutRoofTopRainWaterHarvestingsystemwithgroundwaterrec | | | | | |
| | hargingfacility,at | theGovt.School, inthenearbyMiriyanVillage. | | | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 19.27 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 8.60 Lakhs (Recurring cost) | | | | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 22.07.2021 | | | | |
| 14 | Notification | 16.08.2021 | | | | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 18.08.2021 | | | | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 21.09.2021 | | | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.8KM connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 8 other leases within 500m radius of quarry lease area and out of which 5 notified areas. The total area of 4 leases including the subject lease is 6-27 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 15,000cum (60% recovery and 40% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as co terminus with the lease period. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 300cum (60% recovery and 40% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.24. Building Stone Quarry Project at Marikatti Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belagavi District (5-28 Acres) by M/s. Shivam Stone Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/250167/2022 (SEIAA 08 MIN 2022)

M/s. Shivam Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 5-28 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 14/1,3,4 (P) in MarikattiVillage, Bailahongal Taluk, Belagavi District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Name &Addressof the Projects Proponent | M/s. Shivam Stone Crusher, Sri Shripad A. Hishobkar Plot No. 4842A/5A Savali Building, Shivalaya Road, Sadashiv Nagar, Belgavi- 590001. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry in 5-28 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 14/1,3,4 (P) in MarikattiVillage, Bailahongal Taluk, Belagavi District. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New |

Drafted by _______

| 5 | Type of Land [Fore | st. | Patta Land |
|----|---|--|---|
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | | THE EMPE |
| | Private / Patta, Oth | , , | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 5-28 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production | (Metric Ton / | 2,78,359 Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | Cum) Per Annum | | , , , , |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In | Crores) | 1.35 (Rs. 135 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of | mine/ | 15,06,990 Tons |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - | | 2,78,359 Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | , , , |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | - | |
| - | Propose take | up 500 No. of | additional plantation on either side of the |
| | approach road from quarry location to Marikatti Village Road. | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 7.85 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 24.45 Lakhs (Recurring | |
| | <u> </u> | cost) | _ ` ' |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 11.02.2020 | |
| 14 | Notification | 10.11.2021 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 08.12.2021 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 21.12.2021 | - |

The committee received a complaint from villagers from Marikatti Village stating that the proponent has operated without the lease execution in the project site and requested to take legal action. The complaint circulated to all the members and to the proponent. The proponent replied and stated that the Notification issued after payment of penalty to DMG authorities. The committee after discussion decided to continue with the appraisal.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 200meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 3 leases including this leasewithin 500 meter radius from this lease area and the total area of all these leases is 10-08 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all

Drafted by _______

mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 15,06,990tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 6 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 2,84,040 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.25. Building Ganikoppa Building Stone Quarry Project at Ganikoppa Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belagavi District (9-00 Acres) by SRI RAVI SHANKAR MATHAD - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/241086/2021(SEIAA 47 MIN 2022)

Sri.Ravi Shankar Mathad have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy. No. 134/1,2&136/1,3,4,5 of Ganikoppa Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belgaum District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|--------|--|--------------------------------|
| | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/S Siddeshwar Stone Crusher |
| 1 | | Sri Ravi Shankar Mathad |
| | | Ravi Mathad Badawane, Honnihal |

Drafted by ______

J. 99

| | T — | | <u>.</u> | Belgavi Taluk, Belgavi District. | |
|----|---|-------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri.Ravi | |
| | | | | Shankar Mathad at Sy. No. | |
| 2 | Name & L | ocation of | the Project | 134/1,2&136/1,3,4,5 of Ganikoppa | |
| | | | | Village, Bailhongal Taluk, Belgaum | |
| | | | | District. | |
| 3 | Type of M | | | Building stone | |
| 4 | New /exp /renewal | ansion/m | odification | New | |
| | Type of La | ınd [Fores | t, | Patta Land. | |
| 5 | Governme | nt Revenu | ie, Gomal, | | |
| | Private/Pa | atta, Other | <u> </u> | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | | 9-00 Acre(3.64 Ha) | |
| 7 | 1 - | | metric ton | 1,48,694 tonnes/annum | |
| | /Cum) per | | | | |
| 8 | Project Cos | | | 2.0 Crores | |
| 9 | | | tine/quarry- | 15,65,062 tonnes (including waste) | |
| | Cu.m/Tons | | | | |
| 10 | permitted quantity per annum- Cu.m/Ton | | er annum- | 1,48,694 tonnes/annum | |
| 11 | CER Activities | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 5 Year | Corporat | te Environmer | ntal Responsibility (CER) | |
| | 1 st | Plantatio | ons, | | |
| | 2 nd | Maintain | ance ,Waterin | g of plantation on both sides of nala. | |
| | 3rd | | | ng of plantation on both sides of nala. | |
| | 4ւհ | | intainance, Watering of plantation on both sides of nala. intainance, Watering of plantation on both sides of nala. | | |
| | 5 th | Maintain | | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget Rs.25.10 | | | chs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 14.65 lakhs | |
| | | | (Recurring cost) | | |
| 13 | Forest NO | C | 16.01.2021 | | |
| 14 | Notificatio | n | 11.11.2021 | | |
| 15 | - | | 31.01.2022 | | |
| 16 | | | 02.02.2021 | | |
| | | | | | |

Drafted by Kei

, 100

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject leases is 9-00 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 15,65,062 tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 11 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,48,694 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.26. Building Stone Quarry Project at Ambhalare Village, Periyapatna Taluk & Mysore District (3-35 Acres) by M/s. M. M. STONE CRUSHER- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/256662/2022(SEIAA 68 MIN 2022)

M/s. M M Stone Crusher Managing Partner: Sri. P M Umesh have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 3-35 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 106/1, Ambhalare Village, Periyapatna Taluk & Mysore District, Karnataka

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULA | RS | INFORMATION | |
|---------|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | | M/s. M M Stone Crusher | |
| Í | Projects Proponent | | Managing Partner: Sri. P M Umesh | |
| | | | No.648, C-Block, 22/2 Cross, Vijayanagara, 3rd | |
| | | | Stage, Mysore-570017 | |
| 2 | Name & Location of | the | Building Stone Quarry in 3-35 Acres of Patta | |
| | Project | | Land bearing Sy. No. 106/1, Ambhalare | |
| | | | Village, Periyapatna Taluk & Mysore District, | |
| <u></u> | | | Karnataka. | |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New | |
| | Modification / Rene | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Fores | | Patta Land | |
| | Government Revenu | - | | |
| | Gomal, Private / Pa | rta, | | |
| 6 | Other] | | 3-35 Acres | |
| 7 | Area in Acere-Guntas Annual Production (Metric | | 1,00,000(Avg.) Tons/ Annum | |
| ' | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | 1,00,000(Avg.) Tolls/ Amilum | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | 0.35 (Rs. 35 Lakhs) | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | | 6,63,427tonnes (excluding waste) | |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | oyoy III tolates (excitating waste) | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | | 1,00,000(Avg.) Tons/ Annum | |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | , | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | Propose to takeup additional plantation of 200 locally su | | plantation of 200 locally suitable tress, on both | |
| | | ch road and near public places. | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 22.50 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &13.80 Lakhs (Recurring | | |
| | 1 | cost for 5 years) | | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 18.09.2021 | | |
| 14 | Notification | 31.12.2021 | | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 09.02.2022 | | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 17.02.202 | 2 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject leases is 3-35 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 6,63,427tonnes (excluding waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,00,000 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.27. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sagadageri Village, Ankola Taluk, Uttara Kannada District (2-21 Acres) by Sri Parikshit Nayak - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/257763/2022 (SEIAA 72 MIN 2022)

Sri. Parikshit N Nayak, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy No: 43/A3 Sagadageri Village, Ankola Taluk, Uttar Kannada District.

Drafted by _ ke___

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------|---|---|----------------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. Parikshit N Nayak #22, Hanehalli, Bankikodla, Kumata Taluk, Uttar Kannada District | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri. Parikshit Nayak, Sy No: 43/A3 Sagadageri Village, Ankola Taluk, Uttar Kannada District. | | | lageri | |
| | · | Corner Pillar | Latitude | Longitude | |
| | | Λ | 141 351 36.597 | 74° 21′ 04.46″ | |
| | | В | 141 351 37.72" | 741 201 59.98" | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | C C | 14º 35' 40.17" | 74" 20" 59,35" | |
| | | D | 14" 35' 39.40" | 74° 21' 02.45" | |
| | | E | 14" 35' 39.63" | 74" 21" 03.92" | |
| | | MAP DATUM - WGS 84 DATUM | | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building Sto | neQuarry | | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New | | _ | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Patta Land | | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 1.021 Ha | | - | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | 51,020 TPA | - | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 1.17crores | | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry- Cu.m/Tons | 3,82,084 Tonnes | | | |
| 11 | Permitted quantity per annum- Cu.m/Ton | 51,020 TPA | - | | |
| | CER Action Plan: | | | | |
| 12 | 5 Corpora Years | Parata and Marinitation (Cappains) | | | |
| | 1st Providing solar power pa | Providing solar power panels to GLPS school at Agerkeri village | | | |

Drafted by

· 104

| | 2 nd | The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants at GLPS school at Agerkeri village | | | |
|----|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 3rd | Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the GLPS school at Agerkeri village | | | |
| | 4 th | Scientific support and awareness to local farmers to increase yield of crop and fodder | | | |
| | 5 th | Health car | Health camp in GLPS school at Agerkeri village | | |
| 13 | EMP Bud | lget | Rs. 28.31lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.46 lakhs (Recurring cost) | | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | | 01.01.2022 | | |
| 15 | Notification | | 14.12.2022 | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | | 17.02.2022 | | |
| 17 | Cluster certificate | | 19.02.2022 | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 225meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject leases is 2-21 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 3,82,084 Tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 8 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 51,020 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life

Drafted by . Ken

Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.28. Pudakalakatti Building Stone Quarry Project at Pudakalakatti Village, Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District (5-00 Acres) by Sri Nagaraj Tukaram Kagal -Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/257089/2022 (SEIAA 73 MIN 2022)

Sri Nagaraj Tukaram Kagal have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry, resh Grant, QL.Area Applied, in 5-00 Acres (2.024 Ha) in Sy.No 239/2,3/4 (P), Patta Land, Pudakalakatti Village, Gokak Taluk, Belagavi District

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No. | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | " | Sri Nagaraj Tukaram Kagal |
| | Name & Address of the Project | # 184/2A, Plot No.1-2, Mahalaxmi Nilaya, |
| | Proponent | Vivekananda Nagar, 7th Cross, |
| | <u> </u> | Gokak-591 307, Belagavi District |
| 2 | | Pudakalakatti Building Stone Quarry, |
| | Name & Location of the Project | Fresh Grant, QL. Area Applied, in 5-00 Acres |
| | | (2.024 Ha) in Sy.No 239/2,3,4 (P), Patta Land, |
| | i | Pudakalakatti Village, Gokak Taluk , |
| | | Belagavi District |
| 3 | Type of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | |
| 5 | Type of Land(Forest, | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, | |
| | Gomal, Private/Patta, Others | |
| 6 | Area in Ha. | 2.024Ha |

Drafted by

. 106

| 7 | Annual Production Proposed | 1,20,000 | tonnes/Annum |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | (Metric Tons/CUM)/Annum | <u> </u> | |
| 8 | Project Cost (in Crores) | 0.50 Cro | re (50.0 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of | 19,58,63 | 1 tonnes |
| | mine/Quarry-Cu.m/ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity per Annum | 1,20,000 | tonnes/Annum |
| | -C.um/ton | | |
| 11 | EMP Budget | | Cost Rs. 17,33,000/- and |
| | Bivir budget | Recurri | ng Cost Rs.9,47,000/- |
| 12 | Forest NOC | 28.09.20 | 21 |
| 13 | Notification | 10.01.20 | 22 |
| 14 | Quarry plan | 02.02.20 | 22 |
| 15 | Cluster certificate | 02.02.20 | 22 |
| 16 | | For 5 Years 1st | Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) Providing solar power panels to common public places Pudakalakatti village |
| | CER | 2nd | Rain water harvesting pits Pudakalakatti village Govt. schools |
| | | 3rd | 50 No;s Plantation at Pudakalakatti village Govt. schools |
| | | 4th | Health camp in nearby community places |
| | | 5th | Enhancing ground water through construction of check dams. |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject leases is 5-00 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are

Drafted by ter-

within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 19,58,631 tonnes(excluding waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 8 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,20,000 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.29. Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Bydrahalli Village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore rural District (3-00 Acres) by Sri P Mahadevaiah - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/258077/2022 (SEIAA 74 MIN 2022)

Sri P Mahadevaiah have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry" at Sy. No. 19/1,Byadarahalli Village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. P Mahadevaiah S/o Puttamallappa, Kodimanchenahalli, Devanahalli Town, Ward No.23, Bangalore Rural District - 562110. |

Drafted by Lead

| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry" of Sri P Mahadevaiah Sy. No. 19/1,Byadarahalli Village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District. | | | |
|----|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | Latitude | Longitude | | |
| | | N 13° 17' 24.70" N 13° 17' 22.85" | E77° 39′ 48.80″ E77° 39′ 48.44″ | | |
| | | N 13° 17' 24.19" | E77° 39' 42.50" | | |
| | | N 13° 17' 26.58" | E77° 39' 42,88" | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Ornamental Granite (Grey Gra | ınite) Quarry | | |
| | New / Expansion / | New | | | |
| 5 | Modification / Renewal | | | | |
| | Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Land | | | |
| 6 | Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | | | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 1.214 Ha | | | |
| | Annual Production | 17,295cum (50%recovery &50% waste) | | | |
| 8 | Proposed (Metric Tons/ | | , | | |
| | CUM) / Annum | | | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry-Cu.m/Tons | 1,88,400cu.m(50%recovery &50% waste) | | | |
| 11 | Permitted quantity per annum-Cu.m/Ton | 17,295cum(50% recovery &50% | waste) | | |
| | The state of the s | <u> </u> | | | |

Drafted by

| | CER Action Plan: | | |
|----|---------------------|--|--|
| | For 5 Years | Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| | 1st | | |
| 12 | 2 nd | Rain water harvesting pits to Anganwadi at Byadarahalli | |
| | 3rd | 7 | |
| | 4th | Plantation to Anganwadi at Byadarahalli | |
| | 5 th | Health camp to Anganwadi at Byadarahalli | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 34.40lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 13.88 lakhs (Recurring cost) | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 06.03.2021 | |
| 15 | C&I Notification | 19.01.2022 | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 19.02.2022 | |
| 17 | Cluster certificate | 21.02.2022 | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 220meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 3 leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease area, out of which one lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of2 leases 6-05 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,88,400cu.m(50%recovery &50% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 22 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 17,295cum/annum (50%recovery &50% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

Drafted by _______

110

₹

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all
 due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump
 shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.30. Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Bydrahalli Village,
Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore rural District (3-33 Acres) by Sri
GURUMURTHY ANAND - Online Proposal
No.SIA/KA/MIN/258154/2022(SEIAA 75 MIN 2022)

Sri G Anand, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry" at Sy. Nos. 19/3 & 222,Byadarahalli Village,Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. G AnandS/o Gurumurthy Reddy, No.B-203, Salarpuria Sattva, Gold Smith, Gubbi Cross, Hennur Bagaluru main road, Kotthanuru, Dr. Shivarama Kaaranth Nagar, Bangalore North, Bangalore. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Ornamental Granite (Grey Granite) Quarry"OfSri G Anand,Sy. Nos. 19/3 & 222,Byadarahalli Village,Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Rural District. |

Drafted by ______

| | | | 1 | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | P. No. | Latitude | Longitude | | |
| | | | A | N 13° 17' 24.70° | E 77° 39' 48.80* | |
| | | | В | N 13° 17' 24.22" | £ 77° 39' 50.29' | |
| | | C | N 13° 17' 22.62° | E 77° 39' 50.12" | | |
| | | D | N 13° 17' 22,66" | E 77° 39' 49.76" | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Pr | roject | E | N 13° 17' 18.81" | E 77° 39' 49.34" | |
| ് | Site | | F | N 13° 17' 18.87" | E 77° 39' 47,67" | |
| | | | G | N 13° 17' 21.69" | E 77° 39' 48.21" | |
| | | | Н | N 13° 17' 22.74" | E 77° 39' 42.28" | |
| | Ì | | | N 13° 17' 24.18' | E 77° 39' 42,50" | |
| | | | | N 13° 17' 22.85° | E 77° 39' 48.44" | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | | Quarry | tal Granite (Grey | Granitej | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / | New | - | | | |
| <u> </u> | Modification / Renew | | ļ | | | |
| | Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Lan | d | | | |
| 6 | Government Revenue, | | | | | |
| | Gomal, Private/Patta, | 4 510 55 | | | | |
| 7 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Area in Ha | | 1.548 Ha | | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed | | 17,359cum (50%recovery & 50% waste) | | | |
| 0 | (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | | | | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cr | oreel | 1.36crores | v | | |
| | Proved quantity of | oreaj | | ı.m(50% recovery | lr 50% wastal | |
| 10 | mine/quarry-Cu.m/T | ons | 2,05,715CL | i(50 % recovery | oc 50% waste) | |
| | Permitted quantity pe | | 17,359cun | n (5 <mark>0%recovery &</mark> | | |
| 11 | annum- Cu.m/Ton | - | | | 2010 11404 | |
| | CER Action Plan: | | 1 | | · | |
| | For 5 Years | Corpo | rate Enviro | nmental Respon | sibility (CER) | |
| | 1st | Dec col | | ion Vitte Assess | aradi at Dra damahati: | |
| 12 | 2nd | r re-sci | noor educat | uon Kit to Angan | wadi at Byadarahalli | |
| | 3rd | 147 | 'C' | 101-1-1- | | |
| | 4 th | | - | _ | financially backwards | |
| | 5 th | | en or Anga | nwadi at Byadara | inant | |
| 13 | EMD Rudget | Rs. 45.2 | 28lakhs (Ca | pital Cost) & Rs. | 14.81 lakhs (Recurring | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | cost) | | | | |
| 4 | Forest NOC | 08.04.2 | 08.04.2021 | | | |
| 15 | C&I Notification | 19.01.2 | 9.01.2022 | | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 19.02.2022 | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |

Drafted by Kenny

l 12

| | | ····························· | |
|----|---------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| 17 | Cluster certificate | 21.02.2022 | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 210meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 3 leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease area, out of which one lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of 2 leases 6-38 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,69,719cu.m(50%recovery & 50% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 16 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 17,359cum/annum (50%recovery & 50% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all
 due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump
 shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

215.1.31. Building Stone Quarry Project at Siddapura Village, Nyamati Taluk, Davanagere District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Malathesha P - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/252774/2022 (SEIAA 27 MIN 2022)

Sri Malathesha P. have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 1-00Acre of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 64/7 of Siddapura village, BelaguttiHobli, Nyamati taluk, Davanagere district, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTIC | CULARS | INFORMATION | | | |
|-------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name &Addr | essof the | Sri Malathesha PS/o Parameshwarappa, | | | |
| | Projects Propo | nent | Devakattikoppa Village, KoteGangooru Post, | | | |
| | | | Shivamogga | | | |
| 2 | Name & Locat | ion of the | Building Stone Quarry in 1-00Acre of PattaLand | | | |
| | Project | | bearing Sy. No: 64/7 of Siddapura village, | | | |
| | ļ | | BelaguttiHobli, Nyamati taluk, Davanagere | | | |
| | | | district, Karnataka. | | | |
| 3 | Type Of Mine | | Building Stone Quarry | | | |
| 4 | New / Expans | • | New | | | |
| | Modification / | | | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land | | Patta Land | | | |
| | Government F | | | | | |
| | Gomal, Private | e / Patta, | | | | |
| | Other] | | | | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 1-00 Acres | | | |
| 7 | Annual Produ | • | 40,816Tons/Annum (Avg.) | | | |
| | Ton / Cum) P | | | | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (R | | 0.25 (Rs. 25 Lakhs) | | | |
| 9 | Proved Quant | | 2,13,819Tons | | | |
| _ | Quarry- Cu.m | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Qua | , | 40,816Tons/Annum (Max.) | | | |
| | Annum - Cu.n | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 11 | CER Action P | | | | | |
| | Propose | take up 100 l | No. of additional plantation on either side of the | | | |
| - 40 | | ch road from quarry location to Siddapura Village Road | | | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 4.27 Lakhs | s (Capital Cost) &9.80 Lakhs (Recurring cost) | | | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 18.11.2021 | | | | |
| 15 | Notification | 03.12.2021 | | | | |
| | Quarry plan | 01.01.2022 | - | | | |

Drafted by te-

114 /

| 16 | | |
|----|-------------|------------|
| | Cluster | 17.01.2022 |
| 17 | certificate | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leaseswithin 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject lease is 1-00Acre and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,13,819tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 6 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 40,816TPA (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

215.1.32. Building Stone Quarry Project at Harappanahalli Village, Harappanahalli Taluk, Vijayanagara District (2-00 Acres) by Sri K PRAKASH - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/252297/2022 (SEIAA 26 MIN 2022)

Sri. K Prakash have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry of over an extent of 2.00 Acres of Government revenue land in Survey No. 492/BP1 of Harapanhalli Village, Harapanhalli Taluk & Vijaynagara District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | K Prakash S/o. Shivanna, 6th Ward Matter Oni | | | | |
| | Projects Proponent | Harappanahalli-583131 | | | | |
| | | Vijaynagara District, Karnataka | | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Building Stone Quarry of K Prakash | | | | |
| | Project | over an extent of 2.00 Acres of Government | | | | |
| | | revenue land in Survey No. 492/BP1 of | | | | |
| | i | HarapanhalliVillage, | | | | |
| | | HarapanhalliTaluk&VijaynagaraDistrict, | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Karnataka. | | | | |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone | | | | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | Expansion in production | | | | |
| | Modification / Renewal | | | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Government revenueLand | | | | |
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | | | | | |
| —-· <u>.</u> -— | Private / Patta, Other] | | | | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 0.809 Ha (2.00 Acres) | | | | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | 88,373 TPA(including waste)-Avg | | | | |
| —-· <u></u> | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | | | | |
| | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.27 (Rs. 27 Lakhs) | | | | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 176,746 tonnes | | | | |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | 88,373 TPA(including waste)-Avg | | | | |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | | | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | | | |
| 11 | An amount of Rs. 3.00 Lakhs shall be spent towards construction of two | | | | | |
| | toilets along with overhead | water tank with Borewell with power | | | | |
| | | nance of the same & Anganwadi kitchen, at | | | | |
| | Govt. Primary school in Ka | | | | | |
| | • An amount of Rs. 2.00 lakh/annum shall be spend towards CER | | | | | |
| | activities like desilting & rejuvenation a Harapanahalli pond, Drinking | | | | | |
| | activities are desiring & | iejuvenanon a marapananam pond, Drinkin | | | | |

Drafted by ke

| | water etc | |
|----|---------------|---|
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 27.0Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.10 Lakhs (Recurring cost) |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 03.05.2012 |
| 15 | Lease Grant | 07.02.2019 w.e.f 19.06.2012 |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 06.11.2021 |
| 17 | Environmental | 30.09.2013 |
| | Clearance | |

The proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 5.5KM connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 176,746 tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 2 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 88,373TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the

Drafted by kein

117)

proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.33. Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry Project at Gajjigarahalli Village, Sira Taluk, Tumkur District (4-12 Acres) by M/s. Priya Granites - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/255645/2022(SEIAA 58 MIN 2022)

M/s. Priya Granites have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry", at Sy. No: 51,Gajjigarahalli Village, Sira Taluk,Tumkur District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s. Priya Granites Prop: R. Bharathi W/o. S. Ravichandran Balajinagar, Sira Town, Sira, Tumkur - 572137 | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry" of M/s. Priya Granites, At Sy. No: 51, Gajjigarahalli Village, Sira Taluk, Tumkur District, Karnataka. | | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | CORNER PILLAR BP-A BP-B BP-C BP-D BP-E BP-F BP-G BP-H BP-I BP-J | LATITUDE 13° 48' 06.3" 13° 48' 06.6" 13° 48' 04.1" 13° 48' 01.4" 13° 48' 03.6" 13° 48' 03.6" 13° 48' 03.8" 13° 48' 05.3" 13° 48' 05.3" 13° 48' 05.3" | LONGITUDE 76° 49' 07.4" 76° 49' 14.0" 76° 49' 13.6" 76° 49' 07.1" 76° 49' 06.8" 76° 49' 10.8" 76° 49' 12.3" 76° 49' 11.3" 76° 49' 07.4" | |

Drafted by _______

| 4 | Type of Mineral | | | Ornamental Stone (Grey Granite) Quarry | |
|----|--|------------|--------------|--|--|
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | | val | New | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | | e, Gomal, | Government Kharab Land | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | | | 1.739 Ha | |
| 8 | Annual Production (Metric Tons/ CU | | - | 4,166cum/annum (60%recovery & 40% waste) | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. I | n C | rores) | 1.30crores | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry-Cu.m/Tons | | Tons | 2,09,957cu.m(60%recovery & 40% waste) | |
| 11 | Permitted quantit Cu.m/Ton | y P | er annum- | 4,166cum/annum (60%recovery & 40% waste) | |
| | CER Action Plan: For 5 Years Corporate | | Corporate | Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| 12 | 1 st Rain wate village | | | harvesting pit to GLPS school at Gajjigarahalli | |
| ** | 2nd 3rd | | | nent proposes to distribute nursery plants at GLPS Sajjigarahalli village | |
| | 4th | | | np in GLPS school at Gajjigarahalli village | |
| | 5 th | | | | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs | . 46.44lakhs | (Capital Cost) & Rs. 15.51 lakhs (Recurring cost) | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 25 | 25.08.2015 | | |
| 15 | C&I Notification | 02.12.2021 | | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 05.01.2022 | | | |
| 17 | Cluster certificate | 19.01.2022 | | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 274meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying

Drafted by <u>kenin</u>

operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 4 leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease area, out of which for one lease EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016 and the total area of 3 leases is 10-21 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,09,957cu.m(60%recovery & 40% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as co terminus with the lease period. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 4,166cum/annum (60%recovery & 40% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all
 due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump
 shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.34. Building Stone Quarry Project at Halekote Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballari District (2-50 Acres) by M/s. KARUNAMAYA CONSTRUCTION CO., - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/256019/2022 (SEIAA 62 MIN 2022)

Drafted by ken

M/s. Karunamaya Construction Co have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry"., Sy. No. 594, Halekote Village, Siraguppa Taluk, Ballari District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s. Karunamaya Construction Co. Surya Complex, Near National School, 15thWard, Shiva Nagara, R. G. Road, Karatagi Post, Gangavathi Taluk, Koppal District - 583229 | | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Constructi | Stone Quarry" o on Co., Sy. No. 5 raguppa Taluk, I | | | |
| | | | WGS 84 DAT | UM | | |
| : | | Si. No. | Latitude | Longitude | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project | 1 | N 15° 33′ 24.6″ | E 76° 52′ 32.8″ | | |
| J | Site | 2 | N 15° 33′ 27.6″ | E 76° 52′ 36.0″ | | |
| | | 3 | N 15° 33′ 25.9″ | E 76° 52′ 37.8″ | | |
| | | 4 | N 15° 33′ 22.8″ | E 76° 52′ 34.5″ | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building | StoneQuarry | | | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New | | | | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Government Land | | | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 1.01171Ha | l | | | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | 1,73,470 TPA | | | | |
| 9_ | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 1.33crores | | | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry-Cu.m/Tons | 12,15,443 Tonnes | | | | |
| 11 | Permitted quantity per annum- Cu.m/Ton | 1,73,470 T | 1,73,470 TPA | | | |

Drafted by

| | CER Action Plan: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | For 5 Years | Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| | 1st | Providing color power people to CMUDC at Talkalakata Will | |
| 12 | 2 nd | Providing solar power panels to GMHPS at Tekkalakote Vi | |
| | 3 rd | Rain water harvesting pits in GMHPS at Tekkalakote Village | |
| | 4 th | Health camp centers in GMHPS at Tekkalakote Village. | |
| | 5 th | Plantation programs in GMHPS at Tekkalakote Village. | |
| 13 | Rs. 13.88lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.95 lakhs (Recost) | | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 2020-21 | |
| 15 | Notification | 14.06.2021 | |
| 16 | Quarry plan 14.01.2022 | | |
| 17 | Cluster certificate 20.09.2021 | | |

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.45KM connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 2 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the total area of all these leases is 7-20 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 12,15,443 tonnes(including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,73,470 tonnes/annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by Ec.

J. .

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.35. Ornamental Stone (Pink Granite) Quarry Project at Naremaddapalli Village, Bagepalli Taluk, Chikkabalapura District (3-00 Acres) by Sri. Sriramulu N V. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/224651/2021 (SEIAA 380 MIN 2021)

Sri Sriramulu N V have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Pink Granite Quarry in 3-00Acres of Government Gomala Land bearing Sy. No: 180 of Naremaddepalli Village, Bagepalli Taluk and Chikkaballapura District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|----------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects | Sri Sriramulu N V, No. 290, Musthuru Road |
| | Proponent | (flour Mill Road), Behind Paathi Hospital, |
| | _ | Ward No. 1, Prashanthnagar, |
| | | Chikkaballapura-562101 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Pink Granite Quarry in 3-00Acres of |
| | | Government Gomala Land bearing Sy. No: |
| | | 180 of Naremaddepalli Village, Bagepalli |
| | | Taluk and Chikkaballapura District, |
| <u> </u> | | Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Pink Granite |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Government Gomala Land |
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | |

Drafted by

| | Private / Patta | , Other] | |
|------|---|---|--|
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 3-00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Produc | ction (Metric Ton | 37,380cum(40% recovery & 60% waste) |
| | / Cum) Per An | mum | |
| 8 | Project Cost (R | s. In Crores) | 0.35 (Rs. 35 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quanti | ty of mine/ | 2,25,835cum(40% recovery & 60% waste) |
| | Quarry- Cu.m | <u>/</u> Ton | |
| 10 | Permitted Qua | ntity Per | 37,380cum(40% recovery & 60% waste) |
| | Annum - Cu.m | ı / Ton | <u> </u> |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Propose to take up 300 no | | o, of additional plantation on either sides of the |
| | approach road from quar | | ry location to Naremaddepalli Village Road |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 20.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &17 Lakhs (Recurring cost) | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 09.01.2015 | |
| | C&I | 07.04.000 | |
| 15 | Notification | 01.04.2021 | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 03.08.2021 | |
| | Cluster | 05.08.2021 | - |
| 17 | certificate | · | |

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was not submitted the clear Forest NOC. Now the proponent has submitted the Forest NOC.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 750meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject lease 3-00 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 2,25,835cum(40% recovery & 60% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for same of

Drafted by

Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 37,380cum(40% recovery & 60% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all
 due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump
 shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.36. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sudi Village, Gajendragada Taluk, Gadag District (7-04 Acres) by Sri Shekharagoud L Hudedamani - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/226604/2021 (SEIAA 415 MIN 2021)

Sri. Shekharagoud L. Hudedamani have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Ordinary Sand Quarry" Sy. No. 354/3 & 354/5 Sudi Village, Gajendragada Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. Shekharagoud L. Hudedamani Gadagoli Village & Post, Holealur Hobli, Ron Taluk,Gadag District, Karnataka. |

Drafted by

| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Ordinary SandQuarry" of Sri. Shekharagoud L. Hudedamani, Sy. No. 354/3 & 354/5 Sudi Village, Gajendragada Taluk, Gadag District,Karnataka. | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| | | GPS READING OF CORNER PILLARS | | |
| | | CORNER PILLAR LATITUDE LONGITUD | | |
| | | A N15°44'50.2" E75°51'30.9 | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | B N15°44'52.6" E75°51'30.2 | | |
| | | C N15*44'S4.7" E75*51'40.2 | | |
| ! | | D N15°44′51.8" €75°51′42.5 | | |
| | | MAP DATUM - WGS 84 | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Ordinary SandQuarry | | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New | | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Patta Land | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 2.873 Ha | | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | 45,530 tons for 1st year & 36,500 tons per annum for remaining 2nd and 3rd years of plan period. | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 1.48crores | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of | 1,18,530 tonnes | | |
| - | mine/quarry-Cu.m/Tons Permitted quantity per annum- | 45 530 tone for 1st years \$24 500 tone nor | | |
| 11 | Cu.m/Ton | 45,530 tons for 1st year & 36,500 tons per annum for remaining 2nd and 3rd years of plan period | | |
| | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | Yea Corporate Environmenta | l Responsibility (CER) | | |
| -10 | r Describing of the second | 1 APCLATE AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND | | |
| 12 | <u> </u> | anels at BGMKS at Soodi Village | | |
| | | 31 | | |
| | 3rd Health camp in BGMKS | d Health camp in BGMKS at Soodi Village | | |
| | · · · | | | |

Drafted by _____

| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 21.70 lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 11.59 lakhs (Recurring cost) |
|----|---------------------|---|
| 14 | Forest NOC | 09.01.2015 |
| 15 | DistrictTask Force | 13.07.2021 |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 04.08.2021 |
| 17 | Cluster certificate | 16.08.2021 |

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was not submitted the C&I Notification. Now the proponent submitted the District Task Force proceedings and requested to appraise the proposal.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 310meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms &would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius and the total area of the subject lease is 7-28Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. The proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 & 2020.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,18,530 tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 3 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 45,530 tons for 1st year & 36,500 tons per annum for remaining 2nd and 3rd years of plan period.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by ke-

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.

215.1.37. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Govanakoppa Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District (6-10 Acres) by Sri Laxman N Kumargoppa - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/226619/2021 (SEIAA 414 MIN 2021)

Sri. Laxman N. Kumargoppa, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Ordinary Sand Quarry" at Sy. No. 68/3 & 68/4Govanakoppa Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. Laxman N. Kumargoppa S/o Nagappa, Lakshmi Nagara, Arekurahatti Village,Navalgund Taluk, Dharwad District, Karnataka - 582208. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Ordinary SandQuarry" of Sri. Laxman N. Kumargoppa, Sy. No. 68/3 & 68/4Govanakoppa Village, Shirahatti Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka. |

Drafted by __te____

1

| 7 | | | | GPS RE | ADING OF CORNER PIL | LARS |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|
| | | | | CORNER PILLAR | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE |
| | | | Α. | N15"00'18.8" | E75*40'39.2* | |
| | | | | B | N15*00'25.0" | £75*40'39.4" |
| | _ · | | . 01. | Ç | N15°00'24.5" | E75"40'42.1" |
| 3 | Co-ordi | inates of the Proje | ct Site | D | N15*00'23.7" | E75*40'42.8" |
| | | | | E | N15"00'23.5" | E75°40'43.5" |
| | | | | F | N15*00'21.5" | E75°40'44.9" |
| | | | | <u> </u> | N15*00*18.3* | E75°40'42.8" |
| ! | | | | | MAP DATUM - WGS 84 | |
| 4 | Type of | Mineral | | Ordinary SandQu | ıarry | |
| T | Type or | | | 27 | | |
| 5 | | Expansion / | | New | | |
| | Modific | cation / Renewal | | | | _ |
| | Type of | f Land [Forest, | | Patta Land | | |
| 6 | | ment Revenue, G | omal, | | | |
| | Private | /Patta, Other] | | | | |
| 7 | A ! | 11- | | 2.528 Ha | | |
| | Area in | . На | | | | |
| | 1 | Production Prop | osed | 55,875 tons for 1st year & 39,000 tons per annum for remaining 2nd and 3rd years of plan period. | | |
| 8 | (Metric Tons/ CUM) / | | remaining 2 nd and | l 3 rd years of plan | n period. | |
| | Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | 1.32crores | | | |
| · | | quantity of | | 1,33,875 tonnes | | · |
| 10 | 1 | quarry-Cu.m/Tor | าร | | | |
| 11 | Permitt | ted quantity per | | 55,875 tons for 1st | | |
| | - | - Cu.m/Ton | _ | remaining 2 nd and | 1 3rd years of plan | n period. |
| | | ction Plan: | | tal Dagnanaihilite (| CED) | |
| | Year | <u> </u> | | tal Responsibility (| | |
| 12 | 1st | | | ilities in GHS school at Kadakol Village | | |
| | 2nd | | | pits to at GHS school | | |
| | 3rd | Health camp and Plantation in GHS school at Kadakol Village | | | | age |
| 13 | EMDD | udget | Rs. 48.7 | 6lakhs (Capital Cos | st) & Rs. 18.43 lak | chs (Recurring |
| | EMP Budget cost) | | | | | . <u></u> |
| 4 | Forest NOC 04.12.20 | | | | | |
| 15 | _Distric | t Task Force | 13.07.20 | <u> </u> | | _ |

Drafted by

| 16 | Quarry plan | 04.08.2021 | |
|----|---------------------|------------|---|
| 17 | Cluster certificate | 17.08.2021 | · |

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was not submitted the C&I Notification. Now the proponent submitted the District Task Force proceedings and requested to appraise the proposal.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.56KM connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms &would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are two leases including this lease within 500 meter radius and the total area of all these leases is 11-10Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. The proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 & 2020.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,33,875 tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 3 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 55,875 tons for 1st year & 39,000 tons per annum for remaining 2nd and 3rd years of plan period.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

Drafted by kein

- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.

215.1.38. Ornamental Black Granite Project at Chinnambahalli Village, Nanjangudu Taluk, Mysore District (2-22 Acres) by Sri R R Selvakumar - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/229759/2021 (SEIAA 502 MIN 2021)

Sri. R.R. Selvakumar S/o Sri. Ramaswamy have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Ornamental Black Granite Quarry in2-22 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 33/1A & 33/1B of Chinnambahalli Village, Nanjangud Taluk & Mysore District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|---------------------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | Sri. R.R. SelvakumarS/o Sri. Ramaswamy |
| ļ | Projects Proponent | Anugraha Nilaya, Siddarthanagara, |
| | | Chamarajanagara |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Ornamental Black Granite Quarry in2-22 Acres |
| ļ | Project | of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 33/1A & 33/1B of |
| | | Chinnambahalli Village, Nanjangud Taluk & |
| | | Mysore District, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Ornamental Black Granite |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | Existing and previously operated |
| | Modification / Renewal | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, | |
| | Gomal, Private / Patta, | |
| | Other] | |
| 6 | Area in Acere-Guntas | 2-22 acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | 12,500cum(12% recovery & 88% waste) |
| 1 | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | |

Drafted by ke

| - 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | Rs. 0.35 Lakhs (Rs. 35 Lakhs) |
|-----|------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | | 1,29,890cum(12% recovery & 88% waste) |
| | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | , , |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Pe | r [| 12,500cum(12% recovery & 88% waste) |
| Ĺ | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | , |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Propose to plant 400 N | los. of Ad | ditional plantations on either side of |
| | approachroad from qu | arry site (| Chinnambahalli village connecting road and |
| | also at public places lil | ke temple | s, schools etc |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 22.0 | 3 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &17.95 Lakhs (Recurring |
| | | cost for | 5 years) |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 14.06.2018 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 05.11.2020 | |
| 16 | Working permission | 27.09.2008 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 22.10.20 |)21 |

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was not submitted the C&I Notification and extended cluster sketch. Now the proponent has submitted the extended cluster sketch. The proponent informed that the proposal is from old mysore region and has working permission and quarry plan approved by DMG authorities. As per the audit report certified by DMG authorities, the proponent worked from 2008-09 to 2009-10 and further no quarrying activities carried out till 2021-22.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 2leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the total area of these leases is 4-00 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,29,890cum(12% recovery & 88% waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as11 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of

Drafted by

Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 12,500cum(12% recovery & 88% waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.39. Building Stone Quarry Project at Bhanapura Village, Kuknoor Taluk, Koppal District (2-29 Acres) by Sri Basavaraj Purad- Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/231687/2021 (SEIAA 533 MIN 2021)

Basavaraj Purad have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry of over an extent of 2-29Acres of Patta land in Survey No.45/2of BhanapurVillage, KuknoorTaluk& Koppal District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects | BasavarajPurad |
| | Proponent | S/o. ManoharPurad |
| | - | # 8/7/684, Near Bannihatti Station area, |
| | | Koppal -583231,Koppal District, Karnataka |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry of |
| | ĺ | BasavarajPuradover an extent of 2-29Acres |
| | | of Patta land in Survey No.45/2of |

Drafted by ke

| | | | BhanapurVillage, KuknoorTaluk& Koppal District, Karnataka. | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | | | | |
| 4 | | | Building Stone | | | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New | | | |
| | Modification / Renewal | | 77 7 | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | , | Patta Land | | | |
| | Government Revenue, Go | mal, | | | | |
| _ | Private / Patta, Other] | | 1 10077 (0 00 4 | | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | - | 1.102 Ha (2-29 Acres) | | | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metri | c Ton | 82,494tons (including waaste) | | | |
| | / Cum) Per Annum | | 0.10 (7) 10.7 11.3 | | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores | | 0.19 (Rs. 19 Lakhs) | | | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | | 4,12,630 tons (including waste) | | | |
| | Quarry-Cum / Ton | | | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Ar | mum | 82,494tons (including waaste) | | | |
| | - Cu.m / Ton | ' - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | | | |
| | An amount of Rs. 3.00 Lakhs shall be spent towards construction of two | | | | | |
| | | overhead water tank with Borewell with power rly maintenance of the same & Anganwadi kitchen, at | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Govt. Primary school | | • • | | | |
| | | | akh/annum shail be spend towards CER | | | |
| | activities like desilting | z &reju | venation a BenakalKere, Drinking water etc | | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget F | Rs. 19.0 | Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 10.60 Lakhs | | | |
| | | | ing cost) | | | |
| 14 | 1 | | | | | |
| | Forest NOC 2 | 27.04.2021 | | | | |
| 15 | District Task Force 0 | 3.07.20 |)21 | | | |
| | O | 0.00.00 | 201 | | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan 1 | 9.09.20 | <i>J</i> 21 | | | |
| | Cluster certificate 2 | 8.09.20 |)21 | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting, since the proponent has not left the buffer from the water body. The proponent submitted village map and informed that there is no water body within the project site.

Drafted by _______

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 240meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius and the area of the subject lease is 5-20Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 4,12,630 tons (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 6 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 82,494tons (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.40. Ordinary Sand Mining Project at Honniganoor Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District (5-21 Acres) by M/s. R. R. Infra - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/231208/2021(SEIAA 525 MIN 2021)

Drafted by Kai

M/s. R. R. Infra, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Ordinary Sand Mining" at Sy. No – 58/3, 58/4, 60/2, 61/2 & 61/3 (Part), Honniganoor Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s. R. R. Infra Prop: Sri Rajendra R. Patil, No. 1110, Basvesharnagar, Goudar Oni, Ron, ground floor,Ron Taluk, Gadag, Karnataka - 582209. | | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Ordinary SandMining" of M/s. R. R. Infra, Sy. No - 58/3, 58/4, 60/2, 61/2 & 61/3 (Part), Honniganoor Village, Ron Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka. | | | | |
| | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | Corner Point No | Latitude | Longitude | | |
| | | A | N 15° 46′ 46.3.″ | E 75° 47′ 49.5″ | | |
| | | В | N 15° 46′ 47.5.″ | E 75° 47′ 49,7″ | | |
| | | C | N 15° 46′ 50.3.″ | E 75° 47′ 50.4″ | | |
| | | D | N 15° 46′ 49.8.″ | E 75° 47′ 51.5″ | | |
| _ | | E | N 15° 46′ 54.5.″ | E 75° 47′ 52.7″ | | |
| 3 | | F | N 15° 46′ 55.1.″ | E 75° 47′ 51.4″ | | |
| | | G | N 15° 46′ 55.5.″ | E 75° 47′ 50.8″ | | |
| | | H | N 15° 46′ 56.1." | E 75° 47′ 49.9″ | | |
| | | I | N 15° 46′ 47.8." | E 75° 47′ 48.3″ | | |
| | | J | N 15° 46′ 47.7." | E 75° 47′ 48.9″ | | |
| | | K | N 15° 46′ 45.1." | E 75° 47′ 48.7″ | | |
| | | L | N 15° 46′ 43.8.″ | E 75° 47′ 50.9″ | | |
| | <u> </u> | M | N 15° 46′ 45.9.″ | E 75° 47′ 51.4″ | | |

Drafted by k-

| 4 | Type of Mineral | SandBlock | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | | New | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | | Patta Land | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 2 | 2.236 Ha | |
| 8 | Annual Production Propos (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | ed 3 | 30,100 TPA | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 1 | 1.30crores | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry-Cu.m/Tons | | 90,300 Tonnes | |
| 11 | Permitted quantity per annum-Cu.m/Ton | | 30,100 TPA | |
| | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | For 3 Years | Corp | orate Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| 12 | 1st | Provi place: | ding solar power panels to common public | |
| 12 | 2nd | Rain water harvesting pits near by GHPS School in Honniganoor Village | | |
| | 3rd | | tific support and awareness to local farmers to ase yield of crop and fodder | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 34,95lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 14.54 lakhs (Recurring cost) | | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 09.03.2021 | | |
| 15 | District Task Force | 13.07.2020 | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 23.09.2021 | | |
| 17 | Cluster certificate | 23.09 | 9.2021 | |

Drafted by kan

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was not submitted the C&I Notification. Now the proponent submitted the District Task Force proceedings and requested to appraise the proposal.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 240meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms &would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius and the area of the subject lease is 5-20Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. The proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 & 2020.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 90,300 tonnes(including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 3 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 30,100 tons per annum for 3 years of plan period.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Drafted by

/ \ 138

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 215.1.41. Building Stone Quarry Project at Ballenahalli Village, Hassan Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) by Sri B.S. Yashodha Nandana Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/229046/2021 (SEIAA 489 MIN 2021)-Expansion

Sri. B. S. Yashodha Nandana have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy. No: 10, Ballenahalli Village, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. B. S. YashodhaNandana S/o Sanne Gowda, Ballenahalli Village, Shantigrama Hobli, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District. | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Building StoneQuarry" of Sri. B. S. YashodhaNandana,Sy. No: 10, Ballenahalli Village, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka. | | | |
| | | Corner Pillar | Latitude | Longitude | |
| | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | A | N 12° 57′ 03.5″ | E 76° 17′ 11.9″ | |
| | | В | N 12° 56′ 58.9″ | E 76° 17′ 11.9″ | |
| 3 | | C | N 12° 56′ 59.0″ | E 76° 17′ 13.9″ | |
| | | D | N 12° 57′ 03.3″ | E 76° 17′ 13.9″ | |
| | | | WGS-84 DATU | М | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building Stone | eQuarry | | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | Expansion (QL. No. HMG - 526) | | | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Government L | and | | |

Drafted by kein

| 7 | Area in Ha | | | 0.808 Ha | |
|----|---|------------|---|---|--|
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | | ns/ | 1,05,263TPA | |
| 9 | Project Cost (| Rs. In C | rores) | 1.22crores | |
| 10 | Proved quant mine/quarry- | ity of | | 11,39,365tonnes | |
| 11 | Permitted qua annum- Cu.m | | er | 1,05,263 TPA | |
| | CER Action P | lan: | | ·——— -—— ·—— ·— | |
| | For 5 Years | | Corpo | rate Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| | 1st | Provid | | ding solar power panels to GLPS School in ahalli Village | |
| 12 | 2nd Rain | | Rain w | n water harvesting pits near by GLPS school at enahalli village | |
| | 3rd | - - | Cleaning out and deepening of Ballenahalli pond | | |
| | 4th Plan Villa | | Planta Village | lantation programmes in GLPS School in Ballenahalli llage | |
| | 5th | | Health | camp in GLPS School in Ballenahalli Village | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 29 | | (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.33 lakhs (Recurring cost) | |
| 14 | Forest NOC 10.02.2013 | | | (Recurring Cost) | |
| 15 | Lease Grant | 07.06.2018 | | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 19.08.2021 | | | |
| 17 | Environment al Clearance | 10.12.2015 | | | |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

Drafted by ken

1

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 900meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 11,39,365tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 11 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,05,263 TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.42. Building Stone Quarry Project at Chigahalli Village, Hassan Taluk & District (1-00 Acres) (QL No HMG-527) by Sri Kempegowda - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/229029/2021 (SEIAA 488 MIN 2021) - Expansion

Sri. Kempegowda, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" Sy. No: 38, Chigahalli Village, Shanthigrama Hobli, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka

Drafted by ke-

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULA | AR5 | INFORMATION | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | <u> </u> | | Sri. Kempegow | Sri. Kempegowda S/o Puttaningegowda, | | | |
| 1 | Name & Address | _ | Chigahalli Villa | age, Kowshika Po | ost, | | |
| , . | Project Proponent | | Shantigrama H | obli, Hassan Talı | ık, Hassan Dis tri ct, | | |
| <u></u> | | | Karnataka. | | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location | of the | "Building Stone | eQuarry" of Sri. 1 | Kempegowda, | | |
| | Project | | Sy. No: 38, Chi | gahalli Village, Si | hanthigrama Hobli, | | |
| | | | | Hassan District, F | | | |
| | | | Corner Pillar | | Longitude | | |
| | | . . | A | N 12° 57′ ()5.6″ | E 76° 11′ 03.6″ | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Site | e Project | | N 12° 57′ (14.0″ | E 76° 11′ 03.8″ | | |
| | Site | | C | N 12° 57′ 03.8″ | E 76° 11′ 01.3″ | | |
| | | | D | N 12° 57′ 05.4″ | E 76° 11′ 00.9″ | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | WGS-84 DATUN | М | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | | Building Stone | Quarty | | | |
| | 5 New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | | Expansion (QL. No. HMG - 527) | | | | |
| 5 | | | | 710/11/10 - 32/) | | | |
| | Type of Land [Fore | | Government Lar | | | | |
| 6 | Government Revenue, | | 1 | | | | |
| · [| Gomal, Private/Patta, | | | | | | |
| - | Other] | <u>-</u> _ | | | | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | | 0.404 Ha | <u> </u> | | | |
| | A | | | | | | |
| 8 | | nnual Production | | ling waste | | | |
| | Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | | | | | | |
| | Project Cost (Rs. In | | 1.00crores | | ~ , | | |
| 9 | Crores) | | 2.00010163 | | 1 | | |
| | Proved quantity of | _ | 3,69,587 tonnes | <u> </u> | | | |
| | mine/quarry-Cu.m/Tons | | | | | | |
| | Permitted quantity per 36,842 TPA including waste | | | | | | |
| | annum- Cu.m/Ton | | _ <u>_</u> | | | | |
| l j | For 5 Years | CER Action Plan: | | | | | |
| 12 | _ | | ate Environmental Responsibility (CER) | | | | |
|] | 1st | | inwater harvesting pits nearby GHPS school at Chigahalli | | | | |
| [| 2nd | Cleaning out and deepening of Chigahalli pond | | | pond \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | | |
| | | | | | / | | |

Drafted by ______

| | 3rd I | Providing Solar panels at GHPS school at Chigahalli village. |
|--------|---------------------|---|
| | 4th | Avenue plantation programmes at Chigahalli village. |
| | 5th I | Health camp facilities at GHPS school at Chigahalii village. |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 16.88lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.72 lakhs (Recurring cost) |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 08.08.2014 |
| 15 | Lease Grant | 04.06.2018 |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 26.08.2021 |
| 17 | Environmental Clear | rance 05.01.2016 |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 520meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 3,69,587tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 11 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 36,842TPA (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by ke

143

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.43. Building Stone Quarry Project at Chigahalli Village, Hassan Taluk & District (2-00 Acres) (QL No HMG-529) by Sri Janardhana G K. - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/228913/2021 (SEIAA 486 MIN 2021) - Expansion

Sri, Janardhana G. K,have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" Sy. No: 38, Chigahalli Village, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District,

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | | |
|--------|--|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. Janardhana G. K. S/o Late Krishnegowda, KoteBeedhi Village & Post, ShantigramaHobli, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District, Karnataka. | | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Building StoneQuarry" of Sri. Janardhana G. K, Sy. No: 38, Chigahalli Village, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District, | | | |
| | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | Corner Pillar | Latitude | Longitude | |
| | | Λ | N 12° 57′ 05.6″ | E 76° 11' 03.6" | |
| 3 | | В | N 12° 57′ 11.2″ | E 76° 11' 05.1" | |
| | | C | N 12° 57′ 11.9″ | E 76° 11′ 03.5″ | |
| | | D | N 12° 57′ 06.2″ | E 76° 11′ 02.0" | |
| | | | WGS-84 DATUN | vi C | |

Drafted by

| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building StoneQuarry | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 5 | New / Expansion , Modification / Ren | | |
| 6 | Type of Land [For Government Rever Gomal, Private/Pa Other] | nue, | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 0.808 Ha | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric T CUM) / Annum | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. Ir Crores) | 1.19crores | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry- Cu.m/Tons | 11,63,613 tonnes | |
| 11 | Permitted quantity annum- Cu.m/To | | |
| | CER Action Plan: For the5 Years 1st | Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) Providing solar power panels to GLPS school at Chigahalli village | |
| 12 | 2nd | Rain water harvesting pits near by GLPS school at Chigahalli village | |
| | 3rd | Cleaning out and deepening of Chigahalli pond | |
| | 4th | Conducting E-waste drive campaigns in the GLPS school at Chigahalli village | |
| | 5th | Health camp in GLPS school at Chigahalli village | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | Rs. 24.46lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 10.02 lakhs (Recurring cos | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 16.08.2014 | |
| 15 | Lease Grant | 04.06.2018 | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | 27.08.2021 | |
| <u> </u> | Environmental Clearance | 10.12.2015 | |

Drafted by ken

145)

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 350meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 11,63,613 tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 11 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 1,05,263 TPA (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

W.

215.1.44. Building Stone Quarry Project at Chigahalli Village, Hassan Taluk & District (1-00 Acre) by Sri C K Ramegowda - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/228905/2021 (SEIAA 485 MIN 2021) - Expansion

Sri. C. K. Ramegowda, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" of Sy. No: 38, Chigahalli Village, Shanthigrama Hobli, Hassan Taluk, Hassan District, Kamataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| 51. No | PARTICULARS | | INFORMATIO | ON |
|-------------|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri. C. K. Rameg S/o Kalegowda Chigahalli Villa ShantigramaHo Hassan Taluk, H Karnataka. | , ge, Kowshika Po bli, | st, |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Building Stone Sri, C. K. Rame Sy. No: 38, Chig Shanthigrama Hassan Taluk, Hassan District | gowda, gahalli Village, Iobli, | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | Corner Pillar A B C D | N 12° 57′ 11.1″ N 12° 57′ 12.7″ N 12° 57′ 13.4″ N 12° 57′ 11.8″ WGS-84 DATU | Langitude E 76° 11′ 06.0″ E 76° 11′ 03.2″ E 76° 11′ 03.5″ E 76° 11′ 12.2″ M |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building Ston | | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | Expansion (QL. No. HMG - 528) | | 3) |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Government L | and | |

Drafted by

| 7 | Area in Ha | | 0.404 Ha | | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| 8 | Annual Production (Metric Tons/ CU Annum | | 36,842 TPA | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. 1 | | 0.97crores | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry-Cu. | m/Tons | 3,17,523 tonnes | | |
| 11 | Permitted quantit | | 36,842 TPA | | |
| ļ | CER Action Plan: | | | | |
| | For 5 Years | Corporate | Environmental Responsibility (CER) | | |
| | 1st | | Rain water harvesting pits nearby GLPS school at Chigahalli | | |
| 12 | 2nd | Providing | Providing solar power panels at GLPS school at Chigahalli | | |
| | 3rd | village | village village | | |
| | 4th | Avenue plantation programmes GLPS school at Chigahalli village | | | |
| | 5th | Health camp in GLPS school at Chigahalli village | | | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | | chs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 7.68 lakhs (Recurring cost) | | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 20.09.2014 | | | |
| 15 | Lease Grant | 02.06.2018 | | | |
| 16 | Quarry plan | n 26.08.2021 | | | |
| 17 | Environmental 05.01.2016 Clearance | | | | |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

Drafted by ______

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 360meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the EC was issued prior to 15.01.2016, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 3,17,523tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 9 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 36,842 TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.45. Building Stone Quarry project at Ganaguru Village, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District (1-19 Acres) by M/s. SANMATHI STONE CRUSHER - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/244004/2021 (SEIAA 658 MIN 2021) - Expansion

M/s. Sanmathi Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy. No: 142/3, Gananguru Village, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District, Karnataka

Drafted by King

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | | INFORM | MATION |
|-----------|--|--|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Prop: K S/o Lat No.1585 | unmathi Stone Crus S. Jayaram, te Siddegowda, 5, 5th Main, 2nd St agar, Mysore Distr | age, |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Buildin M/s. Sa Sy. No: Sriranga | ng StoneQuarry" o nmathi Stone Crus 142/3, Gananguru apatna Taluk, a District,Karnataka | sher, Village, |
| | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | Corner Point No | Latitude | Longitude |
| | | A | N 12° 28′ 21.0″ | E 76° 46′ 26.2″ |
| | | В | N 12° 28′ 21.1″ | E 76° 46′ 26.4″ |
| 3 | | C | N 12° 28′ 20.9″ | E 76° 46′ 27.6″ |
| | | D | N 12° 28′ 19.4″ | E 76° 46′ 27.3″ |
| | | E | N 12° 28′ 19.4″ | E 76° 46′ 27.1″ |
| | | F | N 12° 28′ 15.5″ | E 76° 46′ 26.8″ |
| | | G | N 12° 28′ 15.6″ | E 76° 46′ 25.7″ |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building | StoneQuarry | - |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | Expansio | on (QL. No. 1100) | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | Patta Land | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | 0.596 Ha | | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | 52,631TP | A | |

Drafted by ______

1

| | <u> </u> | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In | | 1.11crores | |
| | Crores) | | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of | | 5,40,887 Tonnes | |
| 10 | mine/quarry-(| Cu.m/Tons | | |
| 11 | Permitted quar | | 52,631 TPA | |
| 11 | annum- Cu.m _J | /Ton | | |
| | CER Action Pl | | | |
| | For 5 Years | Corporate | Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| | 1st | Providing | solar power panels to GLPS in Koddishettipura | |
| | | Village | | |
| 12 | 2nd | Plantation Programmes at GLPS in Koddishettipura Village | | |
| | | Rain water harvesting pits at GLPS in Koddishettipura Village | | |
| | 3rd | | | |
| | 4th | Health camp in GLPS in Koddishettipura Village | | |
| | 5th | <u> </u> | | |
| <u> </u> | - - | Pe 2 | 1.12lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 8.66 lakhs (Recurring | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | cost | | |
| | - | — - COSC) | <u> </u> | |
| 14 | Forest NOC | 12.03 | 2.2020 | |
| ļ | <u> </u> | - | | |
| | Lease Grant | 14.0 | 9,2021 | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 1.6 | Quarry plan 26 | | 8.2021 | |
| 16 | | | 5.2021 | |
| 1 4 14 | Lijvitotatetaa | | J,204.2 | |
| <u> 17</u> _ | Clearance | | | |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting, since the proponent remain absent. As per the audit report certified by DMG authorities, the proponent has not carried out quarrying activity till date.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 426meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch prepared by the DMG, there are 20 leases including the subject lease within the 500 meter radius from this lease area, out of which 13 leases

Drafted by

granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the total area of the remaining 7 leases is 10-17 Acres. Hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all parameters are found to be within permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken up to ensure that the parameters are maintained within permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 5,40,887tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 11years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 52,631 TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.46. Building Stone Quarry Project at Marne Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (0.50 Acres) by M/s. Shree Manjushree Enterprises - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/225195/2021 (SEIAA 393 MIN 2021) - Expansion

M/s Shree Manjushree Enterprises have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building. Stone Quarry" at Sy No: 276, Marne Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|--------|--|---|
| | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | M/s Shree Manjushree Enterprises, Marne Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District, |

Drafted by

Drafted by kein

| | | | Karnataka. | | |
|----|---|----------------------|----------------|---|------------------|
| 2 | Name & Location of Project | the | Manjushre | Stone Quarry" of Me Enterprises at Sy N rkala Taluk,Udupi I | No: 276,Marne |
| | | | Corner | Latitude | Longitude |
| | | | A | N 13° 19' 32.90" | E 75° 00' 59.90" |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the | Project | B | N 13° 19' 32.50" | E 75° 01' 01.30" |
| .) | Site | | C | N 13° 19' 31.00" | E 75° 01' 00.60" |
| | | | D | N 13° 19' 31.40" | E 75° 00' 59.30" |
| | | | | DATUM- V | VGS-84 |
| | Type of Project | | Building S | Stone Quarry | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | | Expansion | (QL No. 3038) | |
| 6 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private/Patta, Other] | | Governme | entLand | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | | 0.202Ha | | |
| 8 | Annual Production Proposed (Metric Tons/ CUM) / Annum | | 21,053 TP. | A (including waste) | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In | Crores) | 0.93Crore | | |
| 10 | Proved quantity of mine/quarry-Cu.n | : | 1,05,317 T | onnes | |
| 11 | Permitted quantity annum-Cu.m/Tor | per per | 21,053 TP | A (including waste) | |
| | CER Action Plan: For 5 Years | Corpora | te Environr | nental Responsibili | ty (CER) |
| _ | 1st | Providii Village | ng solar pow | er panels to GHPS | School in Marne |
| 12 | 2nd | Poin | tor hamaetic | ng pits in GHPS Sch | ool in Marne |
| | 3rd | Kain wa Village | iter itarvesti | ie bie it our obse | |
| | 5th | Health (| camp in GH | PS School in Marne | Village |
| | EMP Budget | | - T | .25 lakhs (Capital C | Cost) & Dr. 734 |

11

| | | lakhs (Recurring cost) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 14 | Forest NOC | 18.05.2018 |
| 15 | Lease Grant | 25.09.2020 w.e.f 11.08.2005 |
| <u>16</u> | Quarry plan | 12.11.2020 |
| 17 | Environmental Clearance | 22.07.2020 |

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 520meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,05,317tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 21,053 TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the

Drafted by kair

- proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.47. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.31/C1 of Kanavi Thimmalapura District (1.70)Taluk, Ballari Hosapete Village, Sri C. Laxminarasaiah - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/140797/2020 (SEIAA 69 MIN 2020)

Sri C. Laxminarasaiah have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Establishment of Proposed Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy.No.31/C1 of Kanavi Thimmalapura Village, Hosapete Taluk, Ballari District (1.70 Acres) Karnataka

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 19-06-2020. The Committee noted that this is an old lease involving building stone mining in Govt land. The proponent has stated that he has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept.

The lease deed has been executed on 26-09-2006 for 5 years and he has carried out mining up to 2011 and further no mining activity has been carried out since then till date and the same has been reflected in the audit report prepared by DMG.

As seen from the quarry plan there is a level difference of 12 meters within the mining area and taking this factor into consideration, and also the fact that he has already mined 5850 tons the committee opined that the proposed quantity of 46753 cum or 122963 tons can be mined safely and scientifically to a quarry pit depth of 10 meters for a plan period of 5 years because the lease period ends in 2026.

The proponent has claimed exemption from cluster effect for this lease due to the fact that the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013. In view of this the committee decided to categorize this project under B2 category as per EIA Notification 2006 and proceeded with the appraisal accordingly.

As far as approach road is concerned, the proponent has stated that, there is an existing cart track road to a length of 100meters connecting lease area to kaccha road. As far as CER is concerned the proponent has stated that he will earmark Rs 2.5 lakhs and contribute same to CM care fund.

The committee after discussion decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA to issue Environment Clearance.

Drafted by ke-

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to issue Environmental Clearance for extraction of Building Stone proposed to be extracted as per approved quarry plan, subject to submission of the following information: -

- 1) Distance of the proposed project site from the boundary of nearest National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal and if it is located within 10 Km of the said National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal then a map duly authenticated by the Chief Wildlife Warden showing the boundary from such National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor of wild life animal vis a vis the project location and the recommendation or comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden thereon.
- 2) An action plan with activities proposed under CER in accordance with O.M F. No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 1st May 2018 issued by MoEF& CC, Government of India, allocation of funds against each of the activities and the time frame within which such activities will be completed. The funds so earmarked shall be in accordance with para 6 (II) and the activities shall be in accordance with para 6 (V) of the said O.M.

This proposal was reconsidered by SEAC on 15^{th} 16^{th} & 17^{th} March 2022 to send the recommendation to SEIAA through online.

This proposal was cleared in 188th SEIAA meeting held on 14th July 2020. This proposal was once again placed before the Authority for which already decision has been made during previous meeting. The Authority decided to issue EC after receipt of the following information.

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by Ke-

215.1.48. Building Stone Quarry Project at Chimmada Village, Rabakavi Banahatti Taluk, Bagalkot District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Husensab M Nadaf - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/186820/2020 (SEIAA 405 MIN 2020)

Husensab Meerasab Nadaf have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 1-00Acre of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 70/1/2 & 70/4 in Chimmada Village, Rabakavibanahatti Taluk, Bagalkot District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Projects | Husensab Meerasab Nadaf |
| | Proponent | Station road, Ugarkhurd, Belagavi - |
| | | 591316 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building StoneQuarry in 1-00Acre of |
| _ | | Patta Land bearing Sy, No: 70/1/2 & |
| | | 70/4 in Chimmada Village, |
| | | RabakavibanahattiTaluk, |
| | | Bagalkot District, Karnataka. |
| | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| | New / Expansion / Modification / | New |
| 1 | Renewal | |
| | Type of Land [Forest, Government | Patta Land |
| • | Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, | 1 |
| | Other] | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 1-00 Acres |
| $-\frac{1}{7}$ | Annual Production (Metric Ton / | 12,000Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | Cum) Per Annum | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.50 (Rs. 50 Lakhs) |
| <u> </u> | Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- | 1,19,757Tons |
| • | Cu.m / Ton | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum - | 12,000Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| 10 | Cu.m / Ton | |
| 11 | Modified CER Action Plan: | |
| | Year CER Activities | |
| | 2022 22 Avenue plantation towa | ards Chimmad village PWD road |
| 1 | (1000 m / 10 m = 100 X) | 2 line = 200 X Rs 50 = 1,00,000 (Neam, |
| | Honge, Jack fruit, Samp | oigeetc) |
| | Total | |
| 12 | EMP Budget Rs. 2.05 Lak | hs (Capital Cost) &0.45 Lakhs (Recurring |
| 1 12 | cost) | |

Drafted by ken

| 13 | Forest NOC | 10.02.2017 |
|----|---------------------|------------|
| 14 | Notification | 25.09.2019 |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 09.11.2020 |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 26.08.2021 |

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was absent.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 100m connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 6 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease, out of which the ECs for 2 leases was issued prior to 15.01.2016. The total area of the 4 leases is 10-34 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,19,757tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 10 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 12,000 tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden/ (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).

Drafted by Kenny

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

- 215.1.49. Building Stone Quarry Project at H Thimmapura Village, Tarikere Taluk, Chikkamagaluru District (2-00 Acres) by Sri T V Satyanarayana Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/229195/2021 (SEIAA 494 MIN 2021) Expansion
- Sri. T. V. Sathyanarayana have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry(Enhancement) in 2-00 Acres of Government Land bearing Sy. No: 26 in H. Thimmapur Village, Tarikere Taluk, Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Name &Addressof the Projects | Sri. T. V. SathyanarayanaS/o Sri. V P |
| | Proponent | VenkateshMadaliyar, Maruthi Street, |
| | _ | Tarikere, Chikkamagalur District. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry(Enhancement) in 2-00 |
| | · | Acres of Government Land bearing Sy. No: |
| | | 26 in H. Thimmapur Village, Tarikere Taluk, |
| | | Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka. |
| 3_ | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | Modification |
| | Modification / Renewal | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Government Land |
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | |
| | Private / Patta, Other] | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 2-00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | 1,50,225Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.25 (Rs. 25 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 7,52,233 Tons |
| | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | 1,50,225Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | |

Drafted by

| 11 | | o. Of additional plantation on either side of the |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|
| ļ | _ - | arry location to Thimmapura Village. |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 10.10 Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 14.95 Lakhs (Recurring cost) |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 25.04.2012 |
| 14 | Lease Grant | 01.10.2019 w.e.f 05.12.2005 |
| ! 1 5 | Quarry plan | 11.07.2020 |
| 16 | Environmental Clearance | 10.09.2018 |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 830meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 7,52,233tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 6 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 1,50,225 TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

Drafted by ______

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.50. Building Stone Quarry Project at Hosahalli Village, Tumkur Taluk & District (3-00 Acres) by M/s. N.S. Jelly Crusher - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/189748/2020 (SEIAA 450 MIN 2020)

M/s. N. S. Jelly Crusher, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry of over an extent of 3.00 Acres of Government land in Survey No. 59 (P) of Hosahalli Village, Kora Hobli, Tumkur Taluk & Vijaynagara District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | |
|-------|---|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects Proponent | M/s. N. S. Jelly Crusher Dr. N. S. JAYAKUMAR S/o. N. S. NarayanaSetty, "PUNARVASU", 5th Cross, Siddaganga Extension, Tumkur District, Karnataka Mob. No.: +91 9844375342. | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry of M/s. N. S. Jelly Crusher, over an extent of 3.00 Acres of Government land in Survey No. 59 (P) of Hosahalli Village, KoraHobli, Tumkur Taluk&VijaynagaraDistrict, Karnataka. | |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | Expansion in production | |

Drafted by

16)

| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 3 | | Government Land | |
| | Government Revenue, Gor | nat, | |
| | Private / Patta, Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 1.214 Ha (3.00 Acres) | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | Ton 1,30,359TPA(including waste)-Avg | |
| | / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.18 (Rs. 18 Lakhs) | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 651,797tonnes | |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per An | num 1,30,359TPA(including waste)-Avg | |
| | - Cu.m / Ton | (====================================== | |
| 11 | Existing Production | Existing Production: 23,044 TPA | |
| | | | |
| İ | Proposed Capacity | Proposed Capacity: 150,039 TPA) | |
| | ! ' ' | respond capacity, 100,005 11 A) | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| 1 | An amount of Rs. 3.00 Lakhs shall be spent towards construction of two | | |
| | toilets along with ov | erhead water tank with Borewell with power | |
| | connection & yearly | maintenance of the same & Anganwadi kitchen, at | |
| | Govt. Primary school | l in Siddrayapalyavillage. | |
| i | • An amount of Rs | . 2.00 lakh/annum shall be spend towards CER | |
| | activities like desilti | ing &rejuvenation a Arakerepond, Drinking water | |
| | etc | and derejuvenation a Arakerepoliti, Drinking Water | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 18.0Lakhs (Capital Cost) & 14.80 Lakhs | |
| | | (Recurring cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | (Recurring cost) 13.07.2016 | |
| 14 | Lease Grant | <u> </u> | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 21.10.2019 w.e.f 07.06.1996 | |
| 16 | 1 | 06.08.2020 | |
| | Livironmental Clearance | 25.01.2018 | |

This project was deferred during 258th SEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.1KM connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and

Drafted by _______

J. (...

the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Since the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 6,51,797tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 1,30,359TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.51. Building Stone Quarry Project at Mulawad Village, Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapura District (3-00 Acres) by Sri Mainuddin M. Girgavi - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/221547/2021 (SEIAA 367 MIN 2021)

Sri. Mainuddin M. Girgavi have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 3-00 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No.50/4, Mulawad Village of Kolhar Taluk, Vijaypura District

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | <u> </u> | INFORMATION |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Proje | cts 5 | Sri. Mainuddin M. GirgaviS/o. Sri. |
| | Proponent | | Mahabubsab Girgavi, Kolhar Town, Kolhar |
| | | | Taluk, Vijaypura District |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Proje | | Building Stone Quarry in 3-00 Acres of |
| | | F | Patta Land bearing Sy. No.50/4, Mulawad |
| | | _ | Village of Kolhar Taluk, Vijaypura District |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | E | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | N | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| ļ | Government Revenue, Goma | 1, [| |
| <u> </u> | Private / Patta, Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Acere-Guntas | | -00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric T | on 3 | 9,361(Avg.) Tons/ Annum |
| | / Cum) Per Annum | | <u> </u> |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | | 0,59,698Tons |
| <u> </u> | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | <u> </u> | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annu | m - 3 | 9,361(Avg.) Tons/ Annum |
| 11 | Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | Cl l | D |
| i l | order stream located at a dist | спеск . | Dam at a suitable location, to the first |
| | boulders. | t a distance of 77M on W side, with locally available | |
| 12 | _ | Pe 22 4 | 455I akho (Canital Cast) \$ 10.00 I all |
| - - | • | 173, 33.4 (Recurs | 455Lakhs (Capital Cost) &12.80 Lakhs ring cost for 5 years) |
| 13 | | 21.01.20 | |
| 14 | | 29.01.20 | |
| 15 | | 04.01.20 | |
| 16 | | 06.01.20 | |
| | | 00.01,20 | <u> </u> |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15^{th} 16^{th} & 17^{th} March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent has not submitted the EMP clearly showing the gully plugs, check dams.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.25KM connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying

___عا Drafted by

\ 164

operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 2 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease. The total area of these 2 leases is 5-00 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 9,53,728 tonnes (excluding waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 25 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 39,361 Tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.52. Building Stone Quarry Project at Mulawad Village, Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapura District (4-00 Acres) by Sri Kasimsab M. Girgavi - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/221656/2021 (SEIAA 369 MIN 2021)

Sri. Kasimsab M. Girgavi have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 4-00 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 48/6, Mulawad Village of Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapura District.

Drafted by kend

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULA | ARS | INFORMATION |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | Name & Addressof th | ne Projects | Sri. Kasimsab M. Girgavi S/o. Sri. |
| | Proponent | , | Mahabubsab Girgavi, Khaza Nagar, |
| | | | Kolhar Village, Kolhar TalukVijayapura |
| <u> </u> | | | District |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | he Project | Building Stone Quarry in 4-00 Acres of |
| | | • | Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 48/6, Mulawad |
| | | | Village of Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapura |
| | <u> </u> | | District |
| _ 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / M | lodification | New |
| <u> </u> | / Renewal | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, | | |
| - | Private / Patta, Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Acere-Guntas | | 4-00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / | | 60,688 (Avg.) Tons/ Annum |
| $\frac{}{8}$ | Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cro | | Rs. 0.35 Crores (Rs. 35 Lakhs) |
| 7 | Proved Quantity of mi | ne/ | 14,61,369 Tons |
| 10 | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Cu.m / Ton | r Annum - | 60,688(Max.) Tons/ Annum |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| 11 | | £ - 1 divi - | 1.1 |
| | anproach road from an | o. or addition | nal plantation on either side of the |
| $-\frac{1}{12}$ | | | to Mulwad village Road. |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 18.29 Lak | hs (Capital Cost) &17.60 Lakhs (Recurring |
| 13 | | cost for 5 year | ars) |
| $-\frac{13}{14}$ | | 09.09.2020 | |
| 15 | | 29.01.2021 | |
| 16 | | 02.01.2021 | |
| 10 | Cluster certificate (| 02.01.2021 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent tas not submitted the EMP clearly showing the gully plugs, check dams.

Drafted by

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 630meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease and the area of the subject lease is 4-00 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 13,15,232 tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 22 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 60,688 tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.53. Black Granite Quarry Project at Kumbaragundi Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District (5-21 Acres) by Sri M. Nagendra - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/221909/2021 (SEIAA 345 MIN 2021)

Sri. M. Nagendra have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Black Granite Quarry in 5-21 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 9/2 of Kumbaragundi Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District Karnataka.

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

| S1.No | | | INFORMATION |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | Projects | Sri, M. Nagendra |
| | Proponent | , | S/o. Sri. Mahadevappa, Udigala Village, |
| l | | | Chamarajanagara Taluk & District, |
| | | | PIN-571313 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Project | Black Granite Quarry in 5-21 Acres of Patta |
| | | | Land bearing Sy. No. 9/2 of Kumbaragundi |
| | | | Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District |
| | | | Karnataka |
| $-\frac{3}{4}$ | Type Of Mineral | | Black Granite Quarry |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | <u>-</u> | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, (| Gomal, | |
| — <u>, </u> | Private / Patta, Other] | | |
| <u>6</u> 7 | Area in Acere-Guntas | | 5-21acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | 9,950cum per annum (25% recovery & 75% waste) |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cror | es) | 0.50 (Rs,50Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine | e/ | 41,810cum (25% recovery & 75% waste) |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | (25% tecovery & 75% waste) |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per A - Cu.m / Ton | Annum | 9,950cum per annum (25% recovery & 75% waste) |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | | 700 No. (| of additional plantation on either side of the |
| | approach road fro | m quarry | location to Kumbaragundi Village Road |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 28.98 | 5] akhs (Capital Cost) 8-22 451 -11 |
| | | Rs. 28.985Lakhs (Capital Cost) &22.45Lakhs (Recurring cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 21.05,2020 | |
| 14 | District Task Force | 29.01.202 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 22.04,202 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 22.07.2021 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15^{th} & 17^{th} March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by _______

M

<u>168</u>

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent was not submitted the C&I Notification. Now the proponent submitted the District Task Force proceedings and requested to appraise the proposal.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 650meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms &would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius and the area of the subject lease is 5-21Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 41,810cum (25% recovery & 75% waste) (excluding waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 9,950cum per annum (25% recovery & 75% waste)

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

215.1.54. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kolhal Village, Kolhal Taluk, Vijayapura District (1-00 Acre) by Sri Ramesh S Limbikai - Online Proposal No.SIA/KA/MIN/229837/2021 (SEIAA 504 MIN 2021)

Sri. Ramesh S. Limbikai have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 1-00 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 28/2 of Kolhar Village, Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapur District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | Sri. Ramesh S. Limbikai S/o. Sangappa | | |
| | Projects Proponent | Limbikai | | |
| | | Kolhar Town, Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapur Distrcit | | |
| | | - 586210 | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Building Stone Quarry in 1-00 Acres of Patta | | |
| | Project | Land bearing Sy, No. 28/2 of Kolhar Village. | | |
| <u> </u> | | Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapur District, Karnataka. | | |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone Quarry | | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New | | |
| | Modification / Renewal | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Land | | |
| | Government Revenue, Gor | nal, | | |
| —, — | Private / Patta, Other] | | | |
| <u>6</u> | Area in Acere-Guntas | 1-00 acres | | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | 8,986Tons per annum (Avg.) | | |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0. 25 (Rs. 25 Lakhs) | | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 1,45,697 Tons | | |
| - 10 | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | 8,986Tons per annum (Avg.) | | |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| i | Propose to plant 100. | Additional plantation from quarry site to approach | | |
| $ \rightarrow$ | road connecting Koin | ar village with an approximate cost of Rs. 50,000 | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs.6.275Lakhs (Capital Cost) &6.80Lakhs | | |
| | | (Recurring cost) | | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 18.02.2020 | | |
| 4 | Notification | 22.02.2021 | | |
| 5 | Quarry plan | 27.01,2021 | | |
| 6 | Cluster certificate | 27.01.2021 | | |

Drafted by Kein

<u>M</u>

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting, since the proponent has not submitted the EMP clearly showing the gully plugs, check dams.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 500metersconnecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 2 leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease and the total area of all these leases is 2.20 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,45,697 tonnes (excluding waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 17 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 8,986 Tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

<u>Additional Conditions:</u>

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

215.1.55. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nitte Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District (1-62 Acres) by Sri Yogitha S Shetty - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/245003/2021 (SEIAA 670 MIN 2021) - Renewal

Smt. Yogitha S Shetty have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 1.62 Acres of Govt. Land bearing Sy. No. 354/1C of Nitte village in Karkala Taluk, Udupi District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | | ΓICULARS | INFORMATION | |
|----------------|---|-----------------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Ad | | Smt. Yogitha S Shetty W/o Srikar Shetty | |
| | Projects Pro | ponent | 2-9-1135/16, Embee Flat No. 404 | |
| | | | Near Air India Office, HathillLalbagh, | |
| | | | Ashoknagar, Mangalore, Dakshina Kannada | |
| | | | District | |
| 2 | 1 | cation of the | Building Stone Quarry in 1.62 Acres of Govt. | |
| | Project | | Land bearing Sy. No. 354/1C of Nitte village | |
| | | | ın Karkala Taluk, Udupi District. | |
| 3 | Type Of Mir | | Building Stone | |
| 4 | New / Expa | | Existing Quarry | |
| <u></u> | Modification | | (20 years w.e.f. 13-04- 2010) | |
| 5 | Type of Land | d [Forest, | Govt. Land | |
| | Government | Revenue, | | |
| | Gomal, Priva Other] | ite / Patta, | | |
| | Area in Ha | | | |
| $-\frac{3}{7}$ | — — | 1. 1. 1. 1. | 1.62 acres | |
| • | Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | 25,003Tons/Annum (Avg.) | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 1 | Propert Cost (| (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 0.30 Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs) | |
| 7 | Onorra Co- | itity of mine/ | 4,82,433tonnes | |
| 10 | Quarry- Cu.r | n / 10 n | | |
| | Permitted Qu Annum - Cu. | antity Per | 25,003Tons/Annum (Max.) | |
| 11 | CER Action | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| ļ | on hot | h eidus of ther | tional plantation of 200 locally suitable tress, | |
| 12 | EMP | Re 0.301 alche | roach road and near public places | |
| | Budget | 5 years) | apital Cost) &13.20Lakhs (Recurring cost for | |
| 13 | Forest | y (als) | | |
| | NOC | 22.02.2017 | _ | |
| | | 13.04.2010 | | |
| | | | | |

Drafted by ke

W___

| | | | | |
|----|----------------|-------------|----------|--|
| | Grant | <u></u> | <u> </u> | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 07.12.2021 | | |
| 16 | Cluster | 13-12-2021 | | |
| | certificate | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |

As per the audit report certified by DMG, the proponent has not carried out quarrying activity since 12.04.2015 to till date. There is an existing cart track road to a length of 300meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

The lease has granted prior to 09.09.2013, hence the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 4,82,433 tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 20 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 25,003 tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Drafted by 142

4. Since there is substantial quantity of generation of waste from the quarry activity, all due precautions with respect to environment management aspects of waste dump shall be observed.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.56. Building Stone Quarry Project at Nageshanahalli Village, Koppala Taluk & District (3-00 Acres) by M/s. Sainath Stone Crushers - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/230932/2021 (SEIAA 519 MIN 2021)

Sri Sainath Stone Crushers have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 3-00Acres of Government Land bearing Sy. No: 10 in Nageshanahalli village, Koppal Taluk and District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | IIIIIIICOLAI() | INFORMATION | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects | Sri Sainath Stone Crushers, Plot No. 12, | | |
| | Proponent | BhuvaneshwariNilaya, Vivekanand Nagar, | | |
| | | JoladrasiGudda, Hospet Ballary Dietrict | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry in 3-00Acres of | | |
| | | Government Land bearing Sv. No: 10 in | | |
| | | Nageshanahalli village, Koppal Taluk and | | |
| | | District, Karnataka. | | |
| | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone Quarry | | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | Renewal | | |
| | Modification / Renewal | | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Government Land | | |
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | | | |
| — <u>.</u> — | Private / Patta, Other] | | | |
| _6 | Area in Ha | 3-00 Acres | | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton | 1,53,063Tons/Annum (Avg.) | | |
| | / Cum) Per Annum | , | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.35 (Rs. 35 Lakhs) | | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 7,66,783Tons | | |
| | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per Annum | 1,53,063Tons/Annum (Max.) | | |
| | - Cu.m / Ton | , , , | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | Propose to carryout cleaning | ng of surrounding waterbodies | | |
| | Propose to construct 1 No. of check dam at a suitable location to the | | | |

Drafted by tan

M

| | order stream located at a distance of 900 m SE of the Quarry location | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 20.95 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &17 Lakhs (Recurring cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 17.04.2021 | |
| 14 | Lease Grant | 29.04.2010 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 23.07.2021 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 16.09.2021 | |

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting, since the proponent has not submitted the undertaking for construction of cement concrete road and EMP clearly showing the gully plugs, check dams.

As per the audit report submitted, the proponent carried out mining till 2014-15 and further no quarrying activity was carried out. There is an existing cart track road to a length of 580meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

The lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013 and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 7,66,783 tonnes (includingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 1,53,063 tonnes/annum (including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the

Drafted by Me

proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/migratory corridor).

- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.57. Building Stone Quarry Project at Veerakamba Village, Bantwala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada District (2-41 Acres) by Sri Pradeep M.S. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/229041/2021 (SEIAA 484 MIN 2021)

Sri. Pradeep M S have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 2.41 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 28/2, 28/3, 28/4 & 28/6, Veerakamba Village, Bantwala Taluk & Dakshina Kannada District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|--|---|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects Proponent | Sri. Pradeep MSS/o. Sreckantan Nair Veerakamba Village, Bantwala Taluk Dakshina Kannada District. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Building Stone Quarry in 2.41 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 28/2, 28/3, 28/4 & 28/6, Veerakamba Village, Bantwala Taluk & Dakshina Kannada District, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | New |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Government Revenue, Gomal, Private / Patta, Other] | Patta Land |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 2.41Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum | 86,727Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| 88 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 0.30Crores (Rs. 30 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | 8,80,884 Tons |

| 10 | Permitted Quantity I | er Annum - | 86,727Tons/Annum (Max.) |
|-----|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 11 | | | of additional plantation on either side of uarry location to Veerakamba Village on thesides of Irrigation canal, nearby |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs.47.05Lak cost for 5 ye | hs (Capital Cost) &15.60Lakhs (Recurring ars) |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 08.02.2021 | |
| 14_ | Notification 06.04.2021 | | |
| 15 | Quarry plan 16.06.2021 | | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 16.06.2021 | |

This project was deferred during 273rdSEAC meeting, since the proponent has not circulated the project detail, PPT to the members.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.8KM connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 3 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease. The total area of all these leases is 9.47 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 8,80,884 tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 11 years.

Drafted by Kan

The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 86,727 tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.58. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Vasan Village, Nargunda Taluk, Gadag District (9-30 Acres) by Sri Tippusultan D Nadaf - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/228481/2021 (SEIAA 477 MIN 2021)

Sri. Tippusultan. D. Nadaf have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Ordinary Sand Quarry in 9-30 Acre of Patta Land bearing Sy.No. 33/1, 33/2, 31/1, 31/2, 30/2, 30/3, 30/5, 30/6, 29/1, 29/2, 29/3 in Vasan Village, Nargund Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka state

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects Proponent | Sri. Tippusultan. D. Nadaf |
| | | 419/B, Ramaling Street, Saa II |
| | | Navalagund, Taa II Navalagund, |
| | | Dharwad District-582208 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | Ordinary Sand Quarry in 9-30 Acre of |
| | | Patta Land bearing Sv.No. 33/1, 33/2 |
| | | [31/1, 31/2, 30/2, 30/3, 30/5, 30/6, 29/1] |
| | | 29/2, 29/3 in Vasan Village, Nargund |
| | | Taluk, Gadag District, Karnataka state |
| _3 | Type Of Mineral | Ordinary Sand |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New |

Drafted by 🖾

| T | | |
|--|--|--|
| <u> </u> | | |
| Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Land | |
| Government Revenue, Gomala, | | |
| Private / Patta, Other] | <u> </u> | |
| Area in Acre-Guntas | 9-30 Acres. | |
| Annual Production (Metric Ton | 33,150 (Avg.) Tons/ Annum | |
| / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.12 (Rs. 12 Lakhs) | |
| Proved Quantity of mine/ | 1,65,750 Tons | |
| _ | | |
| | 33,150 (Avg.) Tons/ Annum | |
| - Cu.m / Ton | | |
| CER Action Plan: | | |
| Propose to Plant take up Nos. of Additional plantation on both the sides of | | |
| Approach Road from quarry site to road connecting Vasan Village and also | | |
| at near by temple sand on the sides of Irrigation Canal in the village. | | |
| • Propose to carryout Roof Top Rain Water Harvesting system with ground | | |
| water recharging facility at the Govt. Higher Primary School in the nearby VasanVillage. | | |
| | | |
| | Recurring cost for 5 years) | |
| Forest NOC | 01.12.2020 | |
| District Task Force | 13.07.2021 | |
| Quarry plan | 30.08.2021 | |
| | 30,08.2021 | |
| | Area in Acre-Guntas Annual Production (Metric Ton / Cum) Per Annum Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) Proved Quantity of mine/ Quarry- Cu.m / Ton Permitted Quantity Per Annum - Cu.m / Ton CER Action Plan: Propose to Plant take up Nos. of Approach Road from quarry sit at near by temple sand on the s Propose to carryout Roof Top water recharging facility at the VasanVillage. EMP Budget Forest NOC District Task Force Quarry plan | |

This project was deferred during 273rdSEAC meeting, since the proponent has not circulated the project detail, PPT to the members.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 320meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius and the total area of the subject lease is 9-30Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are

Drafted by *-~

within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits. The proponent agreed to follow the conditions stipulated in sustainable sand mining guidelines 2016 & 2020.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,65,750 tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 5 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 33,150 tons per annum for 5 years of plan period.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.
- 4. The proponent shall furnish a certificate that there is no sand quarry within 5 KM of project site.

Additional Conditions:

- 1. Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.
- 2. The PP shall utilize the permission as per the Sand policy of the GoK Notification No. CI 343 MMN 2019 (Part 7) dated 01.12.2021.
- 215.1.59. Building Stone Quarry Project at Devur Village, Devara Hippagri Taluk, Vijayapura District (2-00 Acres) by Sri Shashidhar S Patil Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/239778/2021 (SEIAA 636 MIN 2021)

Sri Shashidhar S. Patil, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 2.00 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 209/1 of Devur Village, Devara Hippagri Taluk, Vijayapura District, Karnataka.

Drafted by ke

JL___

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | S | INFORMATION |
|-------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the Projects | | Sri Shashidhar S. Patil, Opp. To BLDEAs |
| | Proponent | | Hospital, DCC Bankers Colony, |
| | • | | Vijayapura Taluk & District-586103. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Project | Building Stone Quarry in 2.00 Acres of |
| | | | Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 209/1 of |
| ļ | | | Devur Village, Devara Hippagri Taluk, |
| | | | Vijayapura District, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Mod | dification | New |
| ļ | / Renewal | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, C | Gomal, | |
| | Private / Patta, Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 2-00 Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric Ton | | 24,570Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cror | | Rs. 0.30 Crores |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of min | e/ | 2,57,085Cum (6,68,421 Tons) |
| | Quarry-Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | Annum - | 24,570 Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | 1 (0 to 10 to 10 to 11 70 Lolling |
| 12 | EMP Budget | | Lakhs (Capital Cost) &11.70 Lakhs |
| | | (Recurring cost for 5 years) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 15.12.2020 | |
| 14 | Notification | 29.01.2021 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 31.12.2020 | |
| 16 | Cluster certificate | 31.12.2020 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 273rdSEAC meeting, since the proponent has not circulated the project detail, PPT to the members.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 530m connecting lease area to the all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the

Drafted by Kend

road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 2 leases including the subject lease within 500 meter radius from this lease. The total area of all these leases is 6-00 Acres and project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 6,01,579 tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 25 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an annual production of 24,570 tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.60. Building Stone Quarry Project at Mattihal Village, Kolhar Taluk, Vijayapura District (4-34 Acres) by Sri Bandenavaj M. Girgavi - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/221477/2021 (SEIAA 366 MIN 2021)

Sri. Bandenavaj M. Girgavi have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 4-34 Acres of Patta Land, Sy. No.89/6 of Mattihal Village, Kolhar Taluk & Vijayapura District.

Drafted by

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULAR | s | INFORMATION |
|-------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the F | rojects | Sri. Bandenavaj M. Girgavi |
| | Proponent | | S/o. Sri. Mehaboobsab Girgavi, |
| | _ | | Kolhar Town, Vijayapura District. |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Project | Building Stone Quarry in 4-34 Acres of |
| 1 | | · | Patta Land, Sy. No.89/6 of Mattihal |
| | | | Village, Kolhar Taluk & Vijayapura |
| | | | District |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / Mod | lification / | New |
| İ | Renewal | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, Go | overnment | Patta Land |
| | Revenue, Gomal, Private | | |
| | Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 4-34Acres |
| 7 | Annual Production (Met | ric Ton / | 66,648Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cror | es) | Rs. 0.45 Crores (Rs. 45 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine | | 15,96,119 tonnes |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | Annum - | 66,648Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Proposeto takeup | additional | plantation of 600 locally suitable tress, on |
| | both sides of thea | ipproach roa | nd and near public places |
| 12 | EMP Budget F | Rs. 30.97 Lak | hs (Capital Cost) &19.65 Lakhs |
| | | | ost for 5 years) |
| 13 | Forest NOC 0 | 09.09.2020 | |
| 14 | Notification 2 | 29.01.2021 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan 0 | 02.01.2021 | |
| 16 | | 2.01.2021 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 268th SEAC meeting, since the proponent has not submitted the EMP clearly showing the gully plugs, check dams.

Drafted by ke

J. 1

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 1.12KM connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are 2 leases including this lease within 500 meter radius from this lease and the total area of all these leases is 6-24 Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 15,96,119 tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 24 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 66,648 tonnes/annum (excluding waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.61. Building Stone Quarry Project at Kabburu Village, Davanagere Taluk & District (5-15 Acres) by Sri AMOGH H A - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/196620/2021 (SEIAA 68 MIN 2021)

Sri. Amogh H A, have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" Sy No. 24/2, Kabburu village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District

Drafted by <u>ke</u>

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTIC | CULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | | Sri. Amogh H AS/o Sri Aravinda H B #1824/2/91, Anjaneya Badavane, 1st Cross,Davanagere - 577004 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | | "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri. Amogh H A, Sy No. 24/2, Kabburu village, Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District |
| 3 | Type of Mineral | | Building Stone Quarry |
| 4 | | n / Modification / | New |
| 5 | Type of Land [For Revenue, Gomal, Other] | orest, Government Private/Patta, | Patta Land |
| 6 | Area in Ha | | 2.175 Ha |
| 7 | Annual production /Cum) per annu | | 2,65,306 TPA |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. | | 1.58crores |
| 9 | Proved quantity Cu.m/Tons | | 17,16,877Tonnes |
| 10 | permitted quanti Cu.m/Ton | ty per annum- | 2,65,306 TPA |
| 11 | CER Action Plan | <u> </u> | |
| ļ | For 5 Years | Corporate Enviro | nmental Responsibility (CER) |
| | 1st | The proponent pr Public school at M | oposes to distribute nursery plants at |
| | 2nd | Rain water harves | sting pits to Public school at Mayakonda |
| | 3rd | Solar Power Pane | ls in Public school at Mayakonda |
| | 4th | | |
| | 5th | Health camp in P | ublic school at Mayakonda |
| | EMP Budget | | s (Capital Cost) & Rs. 13.2 lakhs |
| 12 | (Recurring of | | • |
| 13 | Forest NOC 05.09.2018 | | |
| 14 | Notification 03.09.2020 | | |
| 15 | Quarry plan 08.12.2020 | | |
| 16 | Cluster certificat | te 03.02.2021 | |

Drafted by

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The proposal was deferred during 265thSEAC meeting, since the proponent was absent during 271st SEAC meeting.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 350meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease and the area of the subject lease is 5-15Acres and the project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 17,16,877tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 2,65,306 tonnes/annum(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

Drafted by

l

215.1.62. Building Stone Quarry Project at Gummanakolli Village, Somwarpet Taluk, Kodagu District (1-00 Acre) (Q.L.No.338) by Sri T. Nagaraju - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/204230/2021 (SEIAA 154 MIN 2021) - Expansion

Sri T. Nagaraju have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for "Building Stone Quarry" at Sy No: 1/2, Gummanakolli Village,Somwarpet Taluk, Kodagu District, Karnataka.

Details of the project are as follows:

| SI. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Sri T. NagarajuS/o. Thammegowda, Kudumangalore,Somawarapete Taluk, Kudumangalore, Kodagu - 571232. | | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Building Stone Quarry" of Sri T. Nagaraju Sy No: 1/2, Gummanakolli Village,Somwarpet Taluk, Kodagu District,Karnataka. | | |
| 3 | Co-ordinates of the Project Site | A N 12° 28′39.1″ E 75° 55′55.4″ B N 12° 28′37.5″ E 75° 55′56.7″ C N 12° 28′36.1″ E 75° 55′55.1″ D N 12° 28′37.8″ E 75° 55′53.7″ WGS-84 DATUM | | |
| 4 | Type of Mineral | Building Stone | | |
| 5 | New / Expansion / Modification / Renewal | Expansion (QL No. 338) | | |

Drafted by ken

| | · | | - , | |
|----|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | Type of Land [Fo | | | |
| 6 | Government Rev | enue, Gomal, | Government Land | |
| | Private/Patta, Other] | | | |
| 7 | Area in Ha | | 0.404Ha | |
| 8 | Annual Production | on Proposed | 7,653TPA (including waste) | |
| L° | (Metric Tons/ Cl | JM) / Annun | | |
| 9 | Project Cost (Rs.) | In Crores) | 0.94crores | |
| 10 | Proved quantity | | 1,23,720 Tonnes | |
| 10 | mine/quarry-Cu | | , , = = = = ======= | |
| 11 | Permitted quanti | | 7,653 TPA (including waste) | |
| 11 | Cu.m/Ton | | · / (| |
| | CER Action Plan: | | | |
| | For 5 Years | Corporate E | Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) | |
| | Ţst | Rain water harvesting pits to Primary Health Centre at | | |
| | 2 nd | Somvarpet v | rillage | |
| 12 | 3rd | | | |
| | | The proponent proposes to distribute nursery plants to Primary Health Centre at Somvarpet | | |
| | 4 th | Health camp in Primary Health Centre at Somvarpet | | |
| | | village | Trouble Centre di Sonivarpei | |
| | | | | |
| 13 | EMP Budget | | s. 6.09lakhs (Capital Cost) & Rs. 6.14 lakhs | |
| | (Rec | | Recurring cost) | |
| 14 | | | 4.07.2016 | |
| 15 | Lease Grant 28.0 | | 3.09.2006 | |
| 16 | Quarry plan 27.1 | | 7.11.2020 | |
| 17 | Environmental Cl | earance 2 | 2.05.2019 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 263rdSEAC meeting for want of certified compliance to earlier EC conditions and the proponent has submitted the certified compliance to earlier EC conditions from KSPCB and the proponent informed that he will submit the undertaking to comply with all the earlier EC conditions within 1 year.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 440meters connecting the lease area to an all weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry and the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

Drafted by <u>ke</u>

<u>ll____</u>

~ G88

Since the lease was granted prior to 09.09.2013, the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise which are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 1,23,720tonnes (including waste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 17 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environmental Clearance for an average annual production of 7,653TPA(including waste).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- 1. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.63. Building Stone Quarry Project at Vanaballari Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District (12-11 Acres) by M/s. Amba Bhavani Stone rusher - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/246246/2021 (SEIAA 684 MIN 2021)

M/s Amba Bhavani Stone Crusher have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Building Stone Quarry in 12A-11G of Patta Land bearing Sy. No.72/1 & 72/2, Vanaballari Village, Koppal Taluk, Koppal District.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Į | Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|---|-------|-------------|---|
| | 1 | | M/s Amba Bhavani Stone Crusher, |
| | | Proponent | Sri Somashekar, Proprietor, Hitnal Village, |

Drafted by _____

| | T - · | | KoppalTaluk, Koppal District. |
|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|---|
| 2 | Name & Location of t | he Project | Building Stone Quarry in 12A-11G of |
| | | , | Patta Land bearing Sy. No.72/1 & 72/2, |
| | | | Vanaballari Village, Koppal Taluk, |
| | | | Koppal District. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / M / Renewal | lodification | New |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| 1 | Government Revenue | , Gomal, | |
| | Private / Patta, Other | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | _ | 4.968 Ha |
| 7 | Annual Production (M | letric Ton / | 3,60,000 Tons/Annum |
| | Cum) Per Annum | | , |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Cr. | ores) | 0.60 (Rs. 60 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mi | ne/ | 22,83,546 Tons |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Pe | r Annum - | 3,60,000Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Cu.m / Ton | | (11211) |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | <u> </u> |
| | · Propose to pro | vide Portabl | e RO Plant to the nearby Govt. School at |
| | Vanaballari Village | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 12 | EMP Budget | Rs. 6.22 Lal | chs (Capital Cost) &8.84 Lakhs (Recurring |
| | | cost) | |
| 13 | Forest NOC | 16.11.2021 | |
| 14 | Notification | 08.12,2021 | |
| 15 | Quarry plan | 13.12.2021 | |
| 16_ | Cluster certificate | 14.12.2021 | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15^{th} & 17^{th} March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 269th SEAC meeting, since the proponent has not left the buffer from the nala. The proponent submitted revised quarry plan incorporating buffer from the nala.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 200meters connecting lease area to the all weather black topped roadand the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the

Drafted by

Ju-

road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms & would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius from this lease area and the area of the subject lease is 12-11Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 22,83,546 tonnes (excludingwaste) as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years. The committee decided to recommend the proposal toSEIAA for issue of Environmental

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.
- 3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.1.64. Siemens Healthineers Campus Project at Plot no 239, Bommasandra Industrial Estate, 3rd Phase, Hosur Road, Attibele Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District (SEIAA 141 CON 2021) by M/s. SIEMENS HEALTHCARE PVT. LTD. - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIS/240342/2021.

M/s. Siemens Healthcare Pvt. Ltd have proposed for construction of "Siemens Healthineers Campus project" on a plot area of 30,315 sqm. The total built up area is 1,49,365.24 sq m. The proposed project consists of 5 towers with 2 common basements and configuration varying from Ground + 10 floors to Ground + 18 floors. Total water consumption is 228 KLD (Fresh water + Recycled water). The total wastewater generated

Drafted by kind

is 206 KLD. The project proponent has proposed to construct Sewage Treatment plant with capacity of 210 KLD. The project cost is Rs. 1385 Crores.

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl. No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION |
|-----------|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Address of the Project Proponent | Name: M/s. Siemens Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., represented by Mr. Manoj Prasad (Executive Director) and Mr. Surinder Singh (Regional Area Head - Real Estate) Address: Unit 9A, 9th Floor, North Tower, Godrej One, Priojshanagar, Eastern Express Highway, Vikhroli East, Mumbai - 400 079 |
| 2 | Name & Location of the Project | "Siemens Healthineers Campus project" Plot no 239, Bommasandra Industrial Estate, 3rd Phase, Hosur Road, Attibele Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District, Karnataka State. |
| 3 | IT / BT and R & D in the field of Hear equipment. Category 8(a), Building & Construction proper the EIA notification 2006 | |
| | Residential Apartment / Vi / Row Houses / Vert a. Development / Office / I ITES/ Mall/ Hotel/ Hosp /other | ical T/ ital |
| | b. Residential Township/ A Development Projects | |
| 4 | New/ Expansion/ Modification/ Renewal | New |
| 5 | Water Bodies/ Nalas in the NA vicinity of project site | |
| 6 | Plot Area (Sqm) | 30,315 sqm |
| 7 | Built Up area (Sqm) | 1,49,365.24 sq m |
| 8 | FAR Permissible Proposed | 3.25 3.20 |

Drafted by ke-

J.

| 9 | Nun Wing | ding Configuration I there of Blocks / Towers / gs etc., with Numbers of ments and Upper Floors] | of Ground + 18 floors. | |
|-----|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| 10 | Number of units/plots in case of Construction/Residential Township/Area Development Projects | | | applicable |
| 11 | Heig | tht Clearance | NOC | C obtained from AAI dated:22/02/2021 |
| 12 | Proje | ect Cost (Rs. In Crores) | Rs. 1 | ,385 Crores |
| 13 | Disposal of Demolition wastes and or Excavated earth | | For For Road area | wated earth: 1,24,000 cum Backfilling: 33,000 cubic meters, Road/paved area formation: 16,000 cum Landscape area formation: 20,000 cum d development activities in surrounding after necessary permissions from concerned norities: 50,000 Cum. |
| 14 | Deta | ails of Land Use (Sqm) | | |
| | a. | Ground Coverage Area | | 10,878 sq m |
| l i | b. | Kharab Land | | NA |
| | c. | Total Green belt on Mo Earth for projects under 8(a the schedule of the notification, 2006 | | 8005.00 sq m |
| | d. | Internal Roads | | 10,158 sq m |
| | f. | Paved area Others Specify | | Area left for road widening – 1,273.31 sq m Podium landscape – 5,115 sq m |
| | g. | Parks and Open space in case of Residential Township/ Area Development Projects | | Not applicable |
| | h. | Total | | 30,315.00 sq m |
| 15 | | TER | | |
| | I | Construction Phase | | |
| | a. | Source of water | | Tertiary treated water |
| | b. | Quantity of water Construction in KLD | for | 20 KLD |
| | c. | Quantity of water for Dom Purpose in KLD | estic | 100 KLD |
| | d. | Waste water generation | ı <u>in</u> | 90 KLD |

Drafted by <u>&</u>

| | | KLD | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| | | Treatment facility proposed | Doglaron Course | Teacher of Discount Control |
| | e. | | | |
| | Ç. | and scheme of disposal of treated water | Capacity. | |
| | II. | | | _ _ |
| | 11. | Operational Phase | T1 | ADD ICE D |
| | _ | Total Requirement of Water in | Fresh | 127 KLD |
| | a. | KLD | Recycled | 101 KLD |
| } | | - | Total | 228 KLD |
| | b. | Source of water | BWSSB, supp | lied through Bommasandra |
| ł | | IATonto | Industries Asso | ociation (BIA) |
| | c. | Waste water generation in KLD | 206 KLD | |
| | <u>d</u> . | STP capacity | 210 KLD | - |
| | e. | Technology employed for Treatment | Sequencing Bat | tch Reactor |
| ŀ | | Scheme of disposal of excess | Toilet flushing | , landscape development and |
| | f. | treated water if any | AC cooling tow | ver make un |
| 16 | Infr | astructure for Rain water harvest | ing | ver make up |
| | | Capacity of sump tank to store | | <u> </u> |
| | a. | Roof run off | 500 Cum | |
| | | No's of Ground water recharge | 28 numbers | |
| 1 | Ъ. | pits | 20 manuers | |
| 17 | Storm water management plan Sur | | face runoff to be 70 cum. | collected in a collection tank |
| 18 | | STE MANAGEMENT | | |
| | <u>I.</u> | Construction Phase | | |
| | ā. | Quantity of Solid waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | composting n | to be composted by vermi nethod and the product be used for landscape |
| F | II. | Operational Phase | development. | <u>-</u> |
| | | Quantity of Biodegradable | 405 kg/day 0 | rganic waste is sent to bio- |
| (| a. | waste generation and mode of | methanization | agatue waste is sent to 010- |
| | | Disposal as per norms | meniamzadon j | Plant. |
| | | Quantity of Non- | 608 kg/day I- | o organia supoto in si- |
| | _ | Biodegradable waste | recyclers. | n-organic waste is given to |
| | b. | generation and mode of | recyclers. | |
| | | Disposal as per norms | | |
| | | | • Head oil 20 | W Itn/annum (Callage 3 : |
| | | Quantity of Hazardous Waste | leak proof a | 000 Itr/annum (Collected in |
| | c. | generation and mode of | | ontainers and disposed to distered authorized re- |
| | | Disposal as per norms | processors) | gistered authorized re |
| | | | | + |

Drafted by ten

U___

| | | | Oil-soaked cotton waste (Stored in carboys and disposed to KSPCB approved incinerator facility) | | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| | d. Quantity of E waste generation and mode of Disposal as per norms | | | | |
| 19 | POV | OWER | | | |
| | a. | Total Power Requirement Operational Phase | | | |
| | b. | Numbers of DG set at capacity in KVA for Stand Power Supply | | | |
| | c. | Details of Fuel used for DG S | 450 I/ hr for each LAG set of 2,250 kV A. | | |
| | d. Energy conservation plan and Percentage of savings including plan for utilization of solar energy as per ECBC 2007 | | ngs of | | |
| 20 | PAI | RKING | | | |
| | a. | Parking Requirement as p | | | |
| | ъ. | Level of Service (LOS) of connecting Roads as per Traffic Study Report | | | |
| | c. | Internal Road width (RoW) | 8 mtr | | |
| 21 | 1. Creating drinking water state loacated around the project state of the composition of the project state of the composition o | | Skill development training for youth Health check camp in near by villages. | | |
| 22 | EMP Construction phase Operation Phase Operation Phase Construction phase Operation Phase Capital cost: Rs. 160.6 L Recurring cost: Rs. 15.40L Operation phase Capital cost: Rs. 99 L Recurring cost: Rs. 35.2L | | Recurring cost: Rs. 15.40L Operation phase Capital cost: Rs. 99 L | | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

Drafted by

The proposal was initially considered 273rd SEAC meeting helt on 1st, 2nd and 3rd of February 2022 and the committee had deferred the proposal for site visit, so as to ascertain the present site conditions so as to evaluate the extent of earlier construction activities.

The sub-committee visited the site on 10/02/2022 and the sub-committee after detailed inspection decided to seek clarifications/details from the proponent for the observations made. The proponent in the present meeting submitted the following clarification for the observations made by the sub-committee,

- Details of permissions accorded from competent authorities for demolition of earlier buildings and compliance to post demolition conditions from authorities, with details of due-diligence, quantification of demolition debris and methods of scientific disposal.
 - The proponent submitted the details and informed the committee that, M/s Jesmajo Industrial Fabrications Karnataka Pvt. Ltd. had taken necessary permissions issued by Town Muncipal Corporation, Bommasandra, Bangalore dated:29/10/2020. And in letter dated 11/02/2022, Town Muncipal Corporation, Bommasandra, Bangalore, has informed to M/s Jesmajo Industrial Fabrications Karnataka Pvt. Ltd. that they had given permission for demolition in the above said date for M/s Jesmajo Industrial Fabrications Karnataka Pvt. Ltd. and after inspection of demolition works, the said vacant land is registered to M/s Jesmajo Industrial Fabrications Karnataka Pvt. Ltd.
- Sanctioned plan from competent authorities with respect to earlier construction.
 The proponent submitted the sanctioned plan for earlier construction of M/s Jesmajo Industrial Fabrications Karnataka Pvt. Ltd.
- 3. Revised tree list and enumerate the existing trees species in the site area. The proponent submitted the revised tree list and enumerating the existing tree species in the project area and informed the committee that there are total of 216 existing trees and 173 trees are proposed to be removed and 34 trees to be retained and 9 trees to be transplanted.
- 4. To explore possibilities for in-house parking for outsourced/outside vehicles and undertaking for outsourced bus parking facilities in rented parking spaces. The proponent submitted the details and informed the committee that, for the proposed project car parking is designated in basements as per KIADB planning norms and submitted a undertaking for outsourced bus parking stating, bus parking not to be done on any of the service roads abutting the plot and to ensure the supplier for the transportation shall rent/lease parking facilities in the vicinity.

Drafted by _______

196

- 5. To explore possibilities for providing e-vehicles/solar powered buggy/monorail for the internal transportation from nearest metro station. The proponent informed the committee that they will ensure sustainable transportation facility like electrical vehicles, etc. from nearest metro stations to facilitate employees.
- 6. Revised Conceptual plan with entry/exit by considering safety measures for public using skywalk/foot bridge and bus stand adjacent to the project location(Existing 12mtrs space between foot bridge and property should by maintained)

 The proponent submitted a revised site layout drawing for the committee's observation and assured to follow the same by considering all safety measures for public using skywalk, foot bridge and bus stand.
- 7. Undertaking to obtain necessary permissions from ground water authorities for using borewell water for the proposed project.

 The proponent submitted a undertaking and informed the committee that the water supply for the project is to be supplied by BWSSB/KIADB/BIA as per agreement. At present there is one bore well which is currently not in operation and as per undertaking, to take necessary permission from ground water authorities before using the existing bore well water.

The committee accepted the clarification given by proponent. Further the proponent informed the committee that for the proposed project to comply with mandatory ECBC conditions and for harvesting rain water, the proponent had proposed 500Cum storage tank for runoff from rooftop and an additional tank of 670cum capacity for runoff from landscape and paved areas in addition to 28nos recharge pits are proposed within the project area.

The proponent informed the committee that there are total of 216 existing trees and 173 trees are to be removed and 34 trees to be retained and 9 trees to be transplanted and had made provisions to grow 380trees in the project, for which the committee insisted to grow additional 3 trees for the once which are removed in the site area. The proponent committed to take precautionary measures during and after construction to maintain the environmental parameters within permissible limits in the proposed project and agreed to comply with the ECBC and NBC guidelines for the proposed construction and adhere to the by-laws stipulated by the governing authority for buffers and setbacks.

The committee noted that the baseline parameters are found to be within permissible limits and informed the proponent to leave buffers from the lake/drain as per zoning regulations and informed the proponent to harvest maximum rainwater in the proposed project area. The committee after discussion decided to recommend the

Drafted by

proposal to SEIAA for issue of EC with a condition to adhere to the compliances given to sub-committee observations.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to reconsider the proposal after receipt of the following information:

- Submit details of Environmental due diligence to assess the environmental footprint of the previous activity before demolition along with monitoring reports pertaining to soil and water quality in the project premises.
- 2. Status details pertaining to CFE/CFO and HWM authorization for the previous activity and its closure with KSPCB with submittals of all pertainant returns.
- 3. Rework and submit rain water harvesting calculations and runoff calculations.
- 4. Provide location of STP, rain water harvesting tank, solid waste management facility etc appropriately and submit revised site plan.
- 5. Rework the design calculation of the sewage treatment plant and submit revised flow chart accordingly.
- 6. Submit the detailed design calculation for biomethanization plant along with the quantity of biogas generated, type of inocculants added along with ratio of biogas to power generation.
- 7. Rework and submit revised water calculation with appropriate standards along with revised water balance chart and disposal details.
- 8. Rework and submit earth excavation quantity along with disposal options.
- 9. The PP shall submit details of the permission accorded for disposal of Hazordous waste.
- 10. If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) shall be submitted.
- 11. The PP shall submit CER in Specific Physical Terms with time bound action plan.
- 12. The project proponent shall ensure that tree planting/afforestation measures proposed in the EMP shall be strictly complied and an undertaking to this effect shall be submitted.

Drafted by

198 /

215.2. Recommended for Closure:

215.2.1. Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.11/1, 11/2, 11/3 of Shirol Village, Nargund Taluk, Gadag District (5-20 Acres) by Sri I V Kyamangoudar - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/227743/2021 (SEIAA 468 MIN 2021).

Sri I V Kyamangoudar have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for Ordinary Sand Quarry Project at Sy. Nos.11/1, 11/2, 11/3 of Shirol Village, Nargund Taluk, Gadag District.

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 15th 16th & 17th March 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

This project was deferred during 273rdSEAC meeting, since the proponent has not circulated the project detail, PPT to the members.

The committee received a complaint from Sri Ramesh Kumbar, informing that the proposals bearing file number SEIAA295MIN2019(6-20Acre-EC issued on 03.01.2020) and SEIAA273MIN2020 (7-10Acres- EC issued on 04.09.2020)wouldcome under the same cluster, and hence this project needs to appraised after public hearing.

The committee observed that as per the KML files submitted by the proponent and other two proposals which were coming within 500meters radius from the project site. The total area of these two leases is 13-30Acres and for these two projects ECs have already been issued by SEIAA.

The committee after thorough discussion decided to recommend to SEIAA and informed the proponent to apply under B1 category.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC.

The Authority after discussion decided to close the file and delist the file from the pendency.

215.2.2. Building Stone Quarry Project at Sy. Nos. 151/1 & 147 of Kottalavadi Village, Chamarajanagara Taluk & District (3-10 Acres) by Sri H Ramakrishna - Online Proposal No. SIA/KA/MIN/229786/2021 (SEIAA 503 MIN 2021)- Request for Not to Close File.

Sri H. Ramakrishna have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry in 3-10 Acres of Patta Land bearing Sy. No. 151/1 & 147 of Kotthalavadi Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk & District, Karnataka

Drafted by 🌬 🗘

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | INFORMATION | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | Sri H. Ramakrishna S/o. Sri Hanumegowda, | |
| | Projects Proponent | 15, 2nd Cross, Sri Vana Layout, Near Banker | |
| | | Colony, Mysore | |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | Building Stone Quarry in 3-10 Acres of Patta | |
| | Project | Land bearing Sy. No. 151/1 & 147 of | |
| | | Kotthalavadi Village, Chamarajanagar Taluk | |
| | | & District, Karnataka | |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | Building Stone | |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | New | |
| | Modification / Renewal | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | Patta Land | |
| | Government Revenue, | | |
| | Gomal, Private / Patta, | | |
| <u> </u> | Other] | | |
| 6 | Area in Ha | 3-10Acres | |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | 60,657 Tons/Annum (Max.) | |
| ļ | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | 0.35 (Rs. 35 Lakhs) | |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | 4,21,376 Tons | |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | 60,657 Tons/Annum (Max.) | |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 11 | CER Action Plan: | | |
| | D | | |
| | Propose take up 350 Nos. | of additional plantation on either side of the | |
| | approach road from quarry location to Kotthalavadi Village connecting | | |
| | road and also near the temples, govt. school etc. | | |
| 12 | EMP Budget Rs. 2.29 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &14.50 Lakhs (Recurring cost) | | |

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 25th October 2021. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Proponent has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept. and obtained land conversion order on 15.10.2019. The lease was notified on 26.07.2021.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 800m connecting lease area to the all-weather black topped road. The proponent has informed that the approach toad strengthening works (Cement Concrete Road) will be taken up under CER activities.

<u>لننا</u>

W

(200

As per the Cluster Sketch there are 3 leases within 500 meter radius including the subject lease. The total area of all these leases is 12-13 Acres. The project is categorized as B2. The Proponent has collected baseline data of air, water, soil and noise and all are within the permissible limits. The proponent has informed that all mitigative measures will be taken to ensure that the parameters will be maintained within the permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 4,21,376 tonnes as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 7 years, the committee decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for an annual production of Production is 60,657 tonnes per annum.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority have verified the documents and it was observed that file No. SEIAA 52 MIN 2021 (2-20 Acres) which was already recommended during the 198th SEIAA meeting held on 2nd July 2021 and pending for issue of EC (C&I Notification pending).

Therefore, the extent of the all these leases within 500meter is more than 5.00 Ha i.e. 14-33 Acres. Hence file must be considered or reappraised as BI category. The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC for reappraisal in the light of the above observation and sending recommendation deemed fit based on merit.

The SEAC was recommended the proposal for issue of EC during 269th SEAC meeting.

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The Authority have verified the documents and it was observed that file No. SEIAA 52 MIN 2021 (2-20 Acres) which was already recommended during the 198th SEIAA meeting held on 2nd July 2021 and pending for issue of EC (C&I Notification pending).

Therefore, the extent of all these leases within 500meter is more than 5.00 Ha i.e 14-33 Acres. Hence file must be considered or reappraised as B1 category. The Authority therefore decided to refer the file back to SEAC for reappraisal in the light of the above observation and sending recommendation deemed fit based on merit.

The committee after thorough discussion of the observation made by the authority, decided to reject the proposal and informed the proponent to apply under B1 category.

The project proponent vide his letter dated 04.04.2022, requested to consider the above said projecut under B2 Category. The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and decided to send file to SEAC for reappraisal and sending recommendation deemed fit based on merit.

Drafted by

215.3. Reconsidered Projects:

215.3.1. Building Stone Quarry Project at B K Halli Village, Haliyala Taluk, Uttara Kannada District (2-20 Acres) by Sri Shivaji Ramanna Bandiwad - Online proposal number - SIA/KA/MIN/244202/2021 (SEIAA 660 MIN 2021).

Sri Shivaji Ramanna Bandiwad have applied for Environmental clearance from SEIAA for quarrying of Building Stone Quarry in 2-00Acre 20 Gunta of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: 218/5 in B K Halli Village, HaliyalaTaluk, Uttara KannadaDistrict, Karnataka

Details of the project are as follows:

| Sl.No | PARTICULARS | | INFORMATION |
|-------|---|----------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Name & Addressof the | | Sri ShivajiRamanna Bandiwad, |
| | Projects Proponent | | #B/699, SiddarameshwarGalli |
| | | | Haliyal, Uttara Kannada District |
| 2 | Name & Location of the | | Building Stone Quarry in 2-00Acre 20 |
| | Project | | Gunta of Patta Land bearing Sy. No: |
| | | | 218/5 in B K Halli Village, |
| | | | HaliyalaTaluk, |
| | | | Uttara KannadaDistrict, Karnataka. |
| 3 | Type Of Mineral | | Building Stone |
| 4 | New / Expansion / | | New |
| | Modification / Renewal | | |
| 5 | Type of Land [Forest, | | Patta Land |
| | Government Revenue, Gomal, | | |
| | Private / Patta, Other] | | ! |
| - 6 | Area in Ha | | 2-00 Acres 20 Guntas |
| 7 | Annual Production (Metric | | 60,540Tons/Annum (Avg.) |
| | Ton / Cum) Per Annum | | |
| 8 | Project Cost (Rs. In Crores) | | 0.75 (Rs. 75 Lakhs) |
| 9 | Proved Quantity of mine/ | | 4,57,417Tons |
| | Quarry- Cu.m / Ton | | |
| 10 | Permitted Quantity Per | | 60,540Tons/Annum (Max.) |
| | Annum - Cu.m / Ton | | , , , |
| 11 | Modified CER Action Plan: | | |
| | Year CER Activities | | |
| | 2022-23 Rejuvenation of | | B K Hallikere (1.00 Ha) |
| ľ | | | B K Hallikere (1.00 Ha) |
| | | <u> </u> | |
| 12 | EMP Budget Rs. 1.05 Lakhs (Capital Cost) &1.02 Lakhs (Recurring cost) | | |

Drafted by

W___

The subject was discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 3rd January 2022. The Committee has recommended to SEIAA for issue of EC and the extract of the proceedings of the Committee meeting is as below:

The Proponent has obtained NOCs from Forest, Revenue Dept. and applied for land conversion. The lease was notified on 19.11.2021.

There is an existing cart track road to a length of 660meters connecting the lease area to an all-weather black topped road and the proponent informed that the quarrying operation will be commenced after strengthening the approach road to the quarry & the road connecting to the crusher as per IRC (Indian Road Congress) standard norms &would grow trees all along the approach road.

As per the cluster sketch there are no other leases within 500 meter radius and the total area of the subject lease is 2-20 Acres and hence the project is categorized as B2. The proponent has collected baseline data for air, water, soil and noise and all parameters are found to be within permissible limits. The proponent informed that all mitigative measures will be taken up to ensure that the parameters are maintained within permissible limits.

Considering the proved mineable reserve of 4,57,417Tons Tonnes as per the approved quarry plan, the committee estimated the life of the mine as 8 years and decided to recommend the proposal to SEIAA for issue of Environment Clearance for an annual production of 60,540 TPA(max).

The Authority perused the proposal and took note of the recommendation of SEAC. The authority verified the documents and noted that as per the google shows growth of many trees in the proposed project site. A report from Forest Department may be obtained along with the tree enumeration list (Number and kind of tree species) in the proposed area along with a map. Therefore, the Authority decided to reconsider the subject after receipt of the above information.

The project proponent has submitted information vide letter dated 24.03.2022. The Authority perused the information and after discussion decided to clear the proposal for issue of Environmental Clearance subject to following:

- If the distance of nearest Protected Area (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor) is within 10 KM, a certificate from the Chief Wild Life Warden (CWLW) along with his recommendation, else a certificate from the proponent that the proposed site is more than 10 KM away from any Protection Authority (PA) (National Park/ Sanctuary/Bio sphere reserve/ migratory corridor).
- 2. Safety measures proposed shall be submitted.

203

Drafted by 🛌 🗀

3. A time bound action plan for implementation of proposed CER activities as a part of EMP shall be furnished.

Additional Conditions:

Dust suppression measures have to be strictly followed.

215.4. Miscellaneous Projects

Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 20 (P) of Madapatna Village,
 Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Sri. B A Guruprakash
 SEIAA 471 MIN 2015 - Requesting for transfer of EC in favor of M/s Mathrushree Stone Crushers.

Environmental clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No SEIAA 471 MIN 2015 dated 17.02.2016 for Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 20 (P) of Madapatna Village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore Urban District by Sri. B A Guruprakash.

M/s Mathrushree Stone Crushers, Proprietor: H R Shanthamma vide letter received on 25.03.2022 requesting this Authority for transfer of EC granted to Sri. B A Gurupraksh in their favor as lease has been transferred to M/s Mathrushree Stone Crushers through the Dept. of Mines and Geology.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Mathrushree Stone Crushers and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Mathrushree Stone Crushers subject to the following conditions

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Mathrushree Stone Crushers relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of Sri. B A Guruprakash)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.
- iii. Notarised Copy of Form-T.
- 215.4.2. Quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 705 (P) of Mudgal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur District by Sri. Ambji SEIAA 1004 MIN 2015 Requesting for transfer of EC in favor of Sri. Naveen Kumar.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 1004 MIN 2015 dated 23.11.2015 for quarrying of Building Stone at Sy No. 705 (P) of Mudgal Village, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur District to Sri. Ambji.

Drafted by ta-

Naveen Kumar (Legal heir), S/o. Late. Sri. Ambji vide letter dated 26.03.2022 have informed that his father Sri. Ambji died on 23.04.2021 and therefore The Department of Mines and Geology—have held Sri. Naveen Kumar has the legal heir for continuing the quarrying business of Sri. Ambji due to his demise. Sri. Naveen Kumar (Legal heir), S/o. Late. Sri. Ambji have requested this Authority for transfer of Environment Clearance dated 23.11.2015 granted by SEIAA, Karnataka in favor of his father Sri. Ambji to his name to facilitate continuing the quarry business.

The Authority perused the request made by Naveen Kumar and decided to transfer the EC in favour of Naveen Kumar subject to the following conditions

- 1. Notorised Copy of EC
- 2. Notorised Copy of Form-T.
- 3. Notorized copy of the Death certificate of Sri. Ambji

215.5. Additional Agenda (with the permission of Chair)

215.5.1. Construction of Proposed Mixed Use Development Project at Sy. Nos. 180/1, 180/2(P), 180/3(P), 180/4(P), 174/2(P) & 175/1 of Kangrali K H Village, Belagavi Taluk & District, Karnataka by M/s. Aryan Builders and Developers - SEIAA 111 CON 2019 dated 14.10.2019 - Requesting for Transfer of EC in favor of M/s U K Ventures and Corrigendum to EC.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 111 CON 2019 dated 14.10.2019 for Construction of Proposed Mixed Use Development Project at Sy. Nos. 180/1, 180/2(P), 180/3(P), 180/4(P), 174/2(P) & 175/1 of Kangrali K H Village, Belagavi Taluk & District, Karnataka by M/s. Aryan Builders and Developers.

M/s. Aryan Builders and Developers vide letter dated 04.04.2022 requesting this Authority for Transfer of EC granted to them in favor of M/s U K Ventures as M/s. Aryan Builders and Developers has sold the above mentioned property to M/s U K Ventures and also requested for issue amendment to EC due to change in plan the BUA is increase from 36,065.05 Sqm to 38,051.84 Sqm.

The Authority perused the request made by M/s. Aryan Builders and Developers and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s U K Ventures subject to the following conditions

لمنظ Drafted by

- i. The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s U K Ventures relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s, Aryan Builders and Developers)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.

and also decide to issue corrigendum to EC

215.5.2. Construction of Mixed use development (Residential & Commercial) Project at Sy No. 61, 61/2 & 61/3 of Whitefield Main road, Mahadevapuraa Village, Krishnarajapura Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s Elegant Properties - SEIAA 79 CON 2015 - Requesting for transfer of EC in favor of M/s Ozone Elegant Developers Private Limited and issue corrigendum to EC dated 05.01.2016

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 79 CON 2015 dated 05.01.2016 and corrigendum issued on 06.12.2016 for Construction of Mixed use development (Residential & Commercial) Project at Sy No. 61, 61/2 & 61/3 of Whitefield Main road, Mahadevapuraa Village, Krishnarajapura Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District by M/s Elegant Properties.

M/s Ozone Elegant Developers Private Limited vide letter dated 06.04.2022 requested this Authority for change name of the company as M/s Ozone Elegant Developers Private Limited and also requested for issue corrigendum to EC due to change in plan BUA is increases from 1,36,821.42 Sqm to 1,42,426.19 Sqm., building configuration changed to B + G + 19 UF (Residential is B +G+19 and 2B + G + 10UF).

The Authority perused the request made by M/s Ozone Elegant Developers Private Limited and decided to transfer the EC in favour of M/s Ozone Elegant Developers Private Limited subject to the following conditions

- The applicant shall furnish Notarised affidavit of M/s Ozone Elegant Developers Private Limited relinquishing his claim (duly witnessed by Authorized Signatory of M/s Elegant Properties.)
- ii. Notarised Copy of EC.

and also decide to issue corrigendum to EC

215.5.3. Proposed horizontal Expansion of Commercial Office Building Project at Sy.Nos.4, 19/1, 19/2, 19/3, 19/4, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 21, 22(P), 25(P), 39, 41/3A2, 41/3B2, 41/4 & 56 of Devarabeesanahalli Village and Sy.Nos.96(P), 97(P), 98/1,

Drafted by kein

M

98/2, 99, 100, 101 102/1&2, 102/3, 103, 104/1, 104/2, 105(P), 106(P) of Bhoganahalli Village and Sy.Nos.72/1, 72/2(P), 72/5 of Doddakannahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District By M/s RMZ Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd - SEIAA 149 CON 2018 - Requesting for issue Amendment to EC dated 13.12.2019.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 149 CON 2018 dated 13.12.2019 for Proposed horizontal Expansion of Commercial Office Building Project at Sy.Nos.4, 19/1, 19/2, 19/3, 19/4, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 21, 22(P), 25(P), 39, 41/3A2, 41/3B2, 41/4 & 56 of Devarabeesanahalli Village and Sy.Nos.96(P), 97(P), 98/1, 98/2, 99, 100, 101 102/1&2, 102/3, 103, 104/1, 104/2, 105(P), 106(P) of Bhoganahalli Village and Sy.Nos.72/1, 72/2(P), 72/5 of Doddakannahalli Village, Varthur Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru District By M/s RMZ Eco world Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.

The project proponent vide letter dated 05.04.2022 requesting this Authority for issue amendment to EC dated 13.12.2019. Due to certain technical and commercial reasons BUA of the Tower 4D Building increased from 57,209.41 Sqm to 65,769.58Sqm with addition of Two Upper floors i.e, 2BF + GF + 8UF to BF + GF + 10UF and Total BUA of the project has been increased from 10,54,093.86Sqm to 10,62,654.03 Sqm.

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and verified the documents, after discussion decided to issue corrigendum

215.5.4. Quarrying of Multi Colour Granite at Sy No. 42 (P) of Maniyambal Village, Kanakapura Taluk, Ramanagara District by Sri. Noorulla Sharieff - SEIAA 362 MIN 2015 - Requesting for change of name of the proponent as Mastan Sharieff.

Environmental Clearance has been issued to this project vide letter No. SEIAA 362 MIN 2015 dated 17.10.2015 for Quarrying of Multi Colour Granite at Sy No. 42 (P) of Maniyambal Village, Kanakapura Taluk, Ramanagara District by Sri. Noorulla Sharieff.

Mastan Sharieff vide letter dated 29.03.2022 requested this Authority to change the name in the EC as Sri. Mastan Sharieff as per the school records, Adhar Card and PAN Card Noorulla Sharieff. Further DMG Ramanagara also insisted to obtain revised EC in the name of Sri. Mastan Sharieff.

Drafted by ______

The Authority perused the request made by the proponent and perused the documents and decided to issue Corrigendum in the name of Sri. Mastan Sharieff uruf Sri. Noorulla Sharieff.

204.4.1. Complaint against the builder for not obtaining EC by Shilpitha Splendour Apartment owners Associations Reg

Shilpitha Splendour Apartment Owners Associations vide their Email dated 23.09.2021 have highlighted their grievances of not getting the fresh CFO from the KSPCB due to Non availability of the EC which the builder M/s Maithri Developer have never obtained from the concerned Authority. The project is located in Sy No.119, 149 and 150 of Chinnappa Layout, Mahadevapura ORR, opp to Bagmane Tech park, Bangalore. Total BUA of the Project is 28,658.43 Sqm.

Shilpitha Splendour Apartment owners Associations further stated that "The project was constructed between 2010 to 2013. Since the time the residents have occupied in 2013. We have been following up with the builder M/s Maithri Developer for the EC, CFE and CFO documents along with the other list of NoC's which he was suppose to handover to the Resident Wellfare Association (RWA). We were always told that they have applied for, and it will take time. Since the builder failed to obtain the EC, CFE and CFO we had filed a compliant against him in the State Consumer Forum bearing case No. 295/2018. The matter is now sub judice.

Since the RWA has the law abiding citizen took matter to our hands and voluntarily got the dual pipe line and the RWH. Since the RWA had been receiving the notices constantly for which we had been responding pro actively with all available documents and since we have been operating and maintaining the STP in the premises as per ther KSPCB norms. We have decided to apply for CFE and CFO voluntarily.

In this regard the project proponent has requested this Authority to take action agaist the Builder and recommend to issue CFO for our Apartment as we have completed all the necessary process and paid the fees for CFE & CFO.

The Authority perused the request made by the Shilpitha Splendour Apartment Owners Associations and as per the information provided by them the project has been completed without getting prior Environmental Clearance. The Authority considered this project as case of Violation and instructed the RWA to apply a fresh proposal.

Since this is a case of violation, the Authority decided to seek clarification from MoEF&CC, New Delhi, as and when the applicant formally approaches this Authority.

Drafted by الميا

Further, Shilpitha Splendour Apartment Owners Associations have requested this Authority to issue notice to the builder pertaining to this matter.

The Authority after discussion decided to communicate the above information to MoEF&CC, CPCB & KSPCB for information and to take further necessary action in this regard.

Meeting concluded with thanks to the Chair.

(Dr. K. R. Sree Harsha) Chairman,

SEIAA, Karnataka

(K. N. Shivalinge Gowda)

Member, SEIAA, Kamataka (Vijay Mohan Raj V, IFS)

Member Secretary, SEIAA, Karnataka