

**MINUTES OF THE 191<sup>st</sup> MEETING OF  
STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE,  
(SEAC), TELANGANA STATE  
HELD ON 13.09.2022, 11.00 A.M.**



**MINUTES OF THE 191<sup>st</sup> MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 13.09.2022 AT TSPCB, PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, A-3, I.E., SANATHNAGAR, HYDERABAD.**

The following members were present:

S. No.	Name of the Expert	Position
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, Villa No. 25, Senor Valley, Filmnagar, Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500 096 Ph: 9866629265	Chairman.
2.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri H.No: 3-5-44/1, Flat No. 301, Areadia Apartments, Edengaden Road, Hyderabad- 500001. Ph:9491145160	Member
3.	Prof.A.Panasa Reddy, H.No. 4-7-17/5/1, Ragharendra Nagar, Nacharam, Hyderabad-500076. Ph: 9849957268	Member
4.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Ramnagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604	Member
5.	Dr.P.Radha Krishna, H.No. 9/40, Bahar 'B', Sahara States, Mansoorabad, LB Nagar, Hyderabad-500068 Ph:9848555242	Member
6.	Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi Member, SEAC, Plot No.110, Siddartha Nagar, S.R. Nagar Post, Hyderabad-500038. Ph: 9440896661	Member
7.	Dr.K.Shivakumar, Member, SEAC, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Meher Ninan, KPHB 6th phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072 Ph: 9951701067	Member

The members present declared that there are no conflicts of interest with the projects being considered in this meeting. After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agenda-wise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022

<b>Agenda Item No. 01</b>	<b>M/s. GOCL Corporation Limited., Survey Nos. 627(P), 629, 1011/1(P), 1011/4 (P), situated at Kukatpally, Medchal - Malkagiri District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/70503/2021 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent K.V. Durga Prasad and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA, TS issued TORs on 28.02.2022 for preparation of EIA Report. Accordingly, the proponent prepared the EIA report and uploaded online.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 1,09,557.4 Sq.m., Out of which green area is 11,696.1 Sq.m. (10.7%)

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 7,68,970.4 Sq.m. The project consists of Residential Apartments Construction Project to accommodate 2132 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are Residential Towers -18 (3C + G + 16 Floors) & 2 Amenities (3C + G + 4 Floors).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 2,98,985.3 Sq.m., (63.6% against required 33%).

The total cost of the project is Rs.1,540 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 1895.6 Lakhs during construction phase and Rs.44.8 lakhs during occupation phase, Recurring cost: Rs.142.0 lakhs/annum during construction phase and Rs.467.7 lakhs/annum during occupation phase.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 02</b>	<b>DivyaSree Tech Ridge Block P3 by M/s. DivyaSree Tech Park Contractors Pvt. Ltd., Survey No. 201 (P), Manikonda, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/80212/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Manohar and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The project is proposed within 10 km radius of Himayat Sagar and Osman Sagar Lakes. But, Manikonda (V) is not included in the list of 84 villages in the 10km catchment of above lakes as mentioned in the G.O.Ms. No: 111, MA, dt. 08.03.1996.

Earlier, the SEIAA, TS issued EC vide order dt.14.02.2018 for construction of Commercial Office Building with total built up area of 1,31,203.91 Sq.m.

Now, the proponent informed that they proposed to increase no. of floors due to which there are other changes in the project. It was also informed that the construction is under excavation stage. Hence, it was requested to issue New EC in place of old EC.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 15,545.2 Sq.m., Out of which green area is 2,196.0 Sq.m. (14.1%)

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 1,88,628.8 Sq.m. The project consists of Commercial Office Building Construction Project (5C + G + 21 Floors).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 69,126.22 Sq.m., (55.6% against required 44%).

The total cost of the project is Rs.397.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs.454.1 Lakhs during construction phase and Rs. 14.8 lakhs during occupation phase, Recurring cost: Rs.106.6 lakhs/annum during construction phase and Rs. 165.8 lakhs/annum during occupation phase.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 03</b>	<b>PVR -LAKSHYA by M/s. PVR Developers India Private Limited., Survey Nos. 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220 and 222, Muthangi, Patancheru Mandal, Sanga Reddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/80210/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Lakshmi Reddy and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA issued TORs (Auto generated) on 14.07.2022 for preparation of EIA Report. Accordingly, the proponent prepared the EIA report and uploaded online.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 79,049.4 Sq.m., Out of which green area is 16,813 Sq.m. (21.3%)

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 7,48,854.0 Sq.m. The project consists of Residential Apartments Construction Project to accommodate 3402 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are Residential 12 Blocks (3B + G + 32 Floors) and 2 Amenities (2B + G + 4 Floors).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 2,12,550 Sq.m., (39.6% against required 22%).

During the presentation, the SEAC observed that a nala is flowing adjacent to site. In this regard, the proponent submitted a copy of Lr. dt. 07.02.2022 of the SE, Irrigation Circle, Sangareddy I&CAD Dept. It is observed from the document that the land over an exten of Ac 203 Gts. Located in Sy. Nos. 214, 215, 216, 217/P, 218, 219/P, 220/P, 222 and 239/P situated at Muthangi, Patancheru Mandal, Sanga Reddy District is not affected in any water body/channel and it is free from the water body but, an area of Ac 0-17.50 Gts is affected in 9m buffer zone leaving balance land of Ac. 20-21.50 Gts as per the norms stipulated in G.O.Ms.No. 168, dt. 07.04.2012 of MA&UD Department. Accordingly, the project proponent proposed layout of project.

During presentation, the proponent informed that they have obtained NOCs (3 Nos.) dt. 02.06.2020 & 30.03.2020 for height clearance from Airports Authority of India w.r.t. the proposed project and submitted copies of the same. It is observed from the NOC that the site elevation is 529.15 mts., 528.5 mts., & 527.6 mts. AMSL and the permissible top elevation is restricted to 779.15 mts 778.5 mts & 777.6 mts. AMSL for 1, 2 & 3 respectively. The SEAC noted that the height of the building is within the permissible top elevation restricted by the AAI. The proponent submitted the Risk Assessment report & Disaster Management Plan.

The SEAC observed that the proponent obtained NOCs from AAI for 3 Blocks only out of 12 Blocks. However, it is observed that the Heigh of the Buildings is only 104.8m, whereas the difference in site elevation & permissible top elevation is 250 m which is much less than the restricted height by AAI. Hence, on request of the proponent the SEAC considered the same for the whole project site.

The total cost of the project is Rs.900 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 1942.9 Lakhs during construction phase and Rs. 59.0 lakhs during occupation phase, Recurring cost: Rs. 206.0 lakhs/annum during construction phase and Rs. 574.7 lakhs/annum during occupation phase.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 04</b>	<b>1.0 Ha. Rough Stone of Sri Mohd. Moin, Survey No. 210, Keesara Village, Keesara Mandal, Medchal-Malkajgiri District. – TOR Violation - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/80756/2022 (TOR Violation)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Mohd. Moin and Sri. G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

### Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022

The proponent informed that earlier, the lease was granted on 09.02.2000 in favour of the proponent for a period of 15 years i.e upto 08.02.2015. Later, Renewal of LOI was granted on 29.04.2022 for a period of 20 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease was granted before 09.09.2013. Hence, cluster is not applicable to the project. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 1.0 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Thus, the project is considered under B2 Category, but since it is a violation case considered under B1 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 37,650 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Rough Stone. The proponent continued mining operations even after lapse of lease (i.e 08.02.2015) as per lr. dt. 25.06.2022 of ADMG, Medchal-Malkajgiri District, without obtaining prior EC.

Nearest human habitation is Waniguda @ 1.7 km and nearest water body i.e Seasonal Shameerpet Vagu exists at a distance of 7.5 km; Nearest RF is Kesaragutta RF exists at 160 m from the mine lease area.

The SEAC confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and the project has to be considered in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018; and O.M. dt: 07.07.2021 & O.M. dt: 28.01.2022 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI w.r.t. SOP for identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification., 2006.

The proponent informed that they have already collected the baseline data from March – May 2022 and requested to consider the same for preparation of EIA report based on the Standard Terms of Reference for proposed Quarry. The SEAC considered the request of the proponent for utilizing the baseline data from March – May 2022 for preparation of EIA report.

After detailed discussions, the proponent is directed to prepare EIA report, considering the baseline data from March – May 2022 as per the Standard Terms of Reference (TORs) issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI for “Mining of Minerals” along with the Specific Terms of Reference w.r.t. violation as per S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 & S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 and OM dt. 07.07.2021 & 28.01.2022 and submit final EIA report to the SEAC for appraisal.

<b>Agenda Item No. 05</b>	<b>3.94 Ha. Gravel Quarry of M/s. KNR Granite Industries, Survey No. 102, Rajupalem Village, Yerrupalem Mandal, Kammam District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/283422/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Vallapu Saicharan and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that the lease was granted (in-principle) on 30.12.2019 in favour of the proponent for a period of 5 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease is granted after 09.09.2013. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The Proponent also submitted a copy of Lr.dt. 29.10.2020 of ADMG, Khammam District informing that there are no existing quarry leases falling within 500m from the proposed quarry lease. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 3.94 Ha. It is further noted that the total Cluster area is 3.94 Ha. and Net cluster area is 3.94 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT.

The nearest village Venkatapuram (V) exists at a distance of 0.35 km (N); nearest water body i.e Nagarjuna Left Bank Canal exists at 0.35 km (W) and Nearest RF i.e Gauravaram RF exists at a distance of 0.5 km (S) from the boundary of the site.

It is proposed to mine 1,20,240 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Gravel mine and the life of mine is reported as 16 years.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 35.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 3.54 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.83 Lakhs/annum.

During presentation the SEAC observed from Google Image that there is much vegetation in mine lease area which might not be disturbed for the sake of ground mining.

In view of the above, and after details discussed, the SEAC recommended for Rejection of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 06</b>	<b>M/s. Pumax Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., Plot. No. 74 &amp;75, Hyderabad Composites Park, Industrial Park MSME Ibrahimpatnam, Ibrahimpatnam Khalsa Village, Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Rangareddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/IND3/73779/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Piyush and Sri G.V. Reddy of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier the SEIAA, issued TORs (auto-generated) on 29.03.2022 for preparation of EIA Report. The proponent undergone the process of public hearing on 25.06.2022 @ 12:00 P.M and submitted Final EIA Report along with minutes of public hearing and issues emerged during public hearing. The SEAC noted the contents of Final EIA report. The SEAC observed from the minutes of Public Hearing & representations that the issues emerged during public hearing are employment to local people; implementation of pollution control measures. The proponent reported that they have allocated Rs. 68.3 Lakhs towards implementation of EMP measures, Rs. 18.0 Lakhs towards implementation of CER/CSR activities.

During presentation, the SEAC observed that few trees exists in the site. In this regard, the proponent informed that the intervening trees will be translocated to the boundaries of the site.

The SEAC noted that the proposal is for establishment of Resins manufacturing unit.

The SEAC noted the G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt.25.07.2013 of the EFS&T Dept., GoAP & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt.24.04.2019 of the EFS&T Dept., GoTS.

The SEAC noted the contents of final EIA report and noted the details of the project as follows:

Total area is 5,659 Sq.m., (Ac. 1.398), out of which Green area is 1,900 Sq.m. (33.5%).

Nearest human habitation is Vinobabhavenagar (V) @ 2.25 km (SE); Nearest water body i.e Seasonal stream is @ 0.66 km (NW); Nearest RF i.e., Ibrahimpatnam RF @ 0.06 km from the industry.

Project Cost is Rs.8.0 Crores. Budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost is Rs.68.4 Lakhs and Recurring Cost is Rs.24.2 Lakhs/annum. Budget for CER is Rs.18.0 lakhs.

During presentation, the SEAC observed that the total area of the project is only Ac. 1.398 which is less than Ac.2.0. In this regard, the project proponent informed that the proposed resins manufacturing unit will generate less pollution loads w.r.t. waste water, air pollution and no solid waste generation from process. Hence, the facility requires less process area for resin manufacturing units unlike pharma unit. The project proponent further informed that the minimum area requirement of 2.0 acres is for pharma units, as per SEIAA guidelines but the present project is only resin manufacturing unit. Hence, it was requested to consider their proposal and issue EC. The SEAC considered the request of the project proponent.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022**

<b>Agenda Item No. 07</b>	<b>1.30 Ha. Building Stone and Road Metal of M/s. T. Jagadeshwar Reddy, Survey No. 402/1, Sangampet Village, Soan Mandal, Nirmal District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/80826/2022 (EC)</b>

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

<b>Agenda Item No. 08</b>	<b>0.56 Ha. Building stone &amp; Road Metal Quarry of Sri. P. Venkatesham, Sy.No.493 of Kannala Village, Palakurthy Mandal, Peddapalli District. - TOR - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/80790/2022 (TOR Violation)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri G. Rajesham and S. Narayana Rao of M/s. Pragathi Labs & Consultants (P) Limited, Industrial Area, Sanath Nagar, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The proponent informed that earlier, the lease was granted to an extent of 0.56 ha. on 07.04.2014 in favour of the proponent for a period of 10 years i.e upto 28.01.2024. Subsequently the mine lease area the reduced by the mining department from 0.81 Ha. to 0.56 Ha. on 02.05.2018 upto 28.01.2024. It may be noted that the mine lease was granted after 09.09.2013. The Proponent also submitted a copy of cluster lr.dt. 13.06.2022 of ADMG, Peddapally District informing that there are 9 old quarry leases and 1 new quarry lease (9 leases granted before 09.09.2013 & 1 lease granted after 09.09.2013) falling within 500m from the proposed quarry leases. It is further noted that the total Cluster area is 5.36 Ha. and Net cluster area is 1.56 Ha. which is greather than 5.0 Ha. Thus, the project is considered under B2 Category, but since it is a violation case considered under B1 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plant.

The Proponent details of dispatch particulars during the period 2008-09 to 2022-24.05.2022. It is observed from the letter that dispatch permits were issued during the period 2008-09 to 2010-11, 2016-17 to 2022-24.05.2022 and Nil during the years 2011-12 to 2015-16.

The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 12,000 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Building stone & Road Metal. The proponent started mining operations from the year 2008-09 as per lr. dt. 24.05.2022 of ADMG, Peddapalli District, without obtaining prior EC.

Nearest human habitation is Bodaguttapalle (V) @ 0.45 km; Nearest water body SRSP Canal near Bodaguttapalli exsits at 0.7 km; SRSP Distributary Canal Near Kurmapalle exists at 0.7 km from the mine lease area.

The SEAC confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and the project has to be considered in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018; and O.M. dt: 07.07.2021 & O.M. dt: 28.01.2022 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI w.r.t. SOP for identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification., 2006.

The proponent informed that they have already collected the baseline data from March to May 2022 and requested to consider the same for preparation of EIA report based on the Standard Terms of Reference for proposed Quarry. The SEAC considered the request of the proponent for utilizing the baseline data from March to May 2022 for preparation of EIA report.

After detailed discussions, the proponent is directed to prepare EIA report, considering the baseline data from March to May 2022, as per the Standard Terms of Reference (TORs) issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI for "Mining of Minerals" along with the Specific Terms of Reference w.r.t. violation as per S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018; OM dt.07.07.2021 & OM dt.28.01.2022 and submit final EIA report to the SEAC for appraisal.



<b>Agenda Item No. 09</b>	<b>Commercial Office complex by M/s. Phoenix Techno Hub Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 45, Gachibowli Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Rangareddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/79158/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri D. Sudarshan Rao and Sri Santhosh Kumar of M/s. Pioneer Enviro Laboratories & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that earlier, the SEIAA issued TORs (Auto generated) on 29.06.2022 for preparation of EIA Report. Accordingly, the proponent prepared the EIA report and uploaded online.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 35,663 Sq.m., Out of which green area is 5,255.0 Sq.m. (14.74%)

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 5,83,123.05 Sq.m. The project consists of Commercial Office Building Construction Project. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are 1 Tower (4B + G + 20 Floors + Terrace).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 1,92,845.00 Sq.m., (49.4% against required 44%).

The total cost of the project is Rs.750.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards Capital Cost: Rs. 1,103.75 Lakhs and Recurring Cost: Rs. 450.0 lakhs/annum.

The SEAC observed from the google map that there are some sheds exist in the site. And the proponent informed that the sheds are for temporary labour camps which will be removed later.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 10</b>	<b>3.00 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Frasure Creek Mining Pvt Ltd., Sy.No. 305, Upparagudem Village, Kuravi Madal, Mahabubabad District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/274426/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri A. Shiva and Sri Venkat Reddy of M/s. Ampl Environ Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 13.06.2022 informed the proponent to acquire land for compensatory afforestation near the mine lease area and submit copies of land documents for the same.

Now, the proponent informed that, they will develop greenbelt in their own land belonging to their partner at a distance of 1.3 km from the quarry lease over an extent of Ac. 2.0 and 35 Gts in Sy.No. 69/B/1, 70/A/1, 70/A/2, 70/B/1, 75/A, 75/C/1 in Upparagudem (V), Kuravi (M), Mahabubabad District as compensatory afforestation and submitted copy of the same.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 11</b>	<b>1.31 Ha. Rough Stone and Road Metal Quarry, M/s. Sri Anjaneya Waddera Balaheena Vargamula Labour Contract CO-Operative Society Ltd., Sy. No.493of Kannala Village, Kamanpur Mandal of Peddapally District. - TOR - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/77323/2022 (TOR - Violation)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri Ashok and Sri Venkat Reddy of M/s. Ampl Environ Pvt Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

  
CHAIRMAN, SEAC

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022**

The proponent informed that earlier, the lease was granted on 02.07.2009 in favour of the proponent for a period of 10 years i.e upto 01.07.2019. Later, Renewal of LOI was granted (in-principle) on 05.07.2022 for a period of 20 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease was granted before 09.09.2013. Hence, cluster is not applicable to the project. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 1.31 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Thus, the project is considered under B2 Category, but since it is a violation case considered under B1 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 42,290.50 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Rough Stone. The proponent continued mining operations even after lapse of lease (i.e 01.07.2019) as per lr. dt. 08.07.2022 of ADMG, Peddapally District, without obtaining prior EC.

Nearest human habitation is Bomapally @ 0.86 km and nearest water body i.e Ragini Cheruvu exists at a distance of 1.6 km; Nearest RF is Putnnoor RF exists at 8.0 km from the mine lease area.

The SEAC confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and the project has to be considered in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018; and O.M. dt: 07.07.2021 & O.M. dt: 28.01.2022 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI w.r.t. SOP for identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification., 2006.

The proponent informed that they have already collected the baseline data from Jan – March 2022 and requested to consider the same for preparation of EIA report based on the Standard Terms of Reference for proposed Quarry. The SEAC considered the request of the proponent for utilizing the baseline data from Jan – March 2022 for preparation of EIA report.

After detailed discussions, the proponent is directed to prepare EIA report, considering the baseline data from Jan – March 2022 as per the Standard Terms of Reference (TORs) issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI for “Mining of Minerals” along with the Specific Terms of Reference w.r.t. violation as per S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 & S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 and OM dt. 07.07.2021 & 28.01.2022 and submit final EIA report to the SEAC for appraisal.

<b>Agenda Item No. 12</b>	<b>0.69 Ha. Building Stone and Road Metal of M/s. Laxmi Metal Suppliers., Sy. No.493 of Kannala village, Palakurhty Mandal, Peddapally District. – TOR Violation - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/80099/2022 (TOR Violation)</b>

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

<b>Agenda Item No. 13</b>	<b>Hi-Rise Residential Building by M/s. Apple Homes and Infra Projects, Sy. No. 425/2, Kodakal Village, Shankarpally Mandal, RangaReddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/282639/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri \_\_\_\_\_ and Sri Venkat Reddy of M/s. AmplEnviron Pvt Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 5,015.35 Sq.m., Out of which green area is 516.80 Sq.m. (10.3%)

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 27,760.79 Sq.m. The project consists of Residential Apartment Building to accommodate 82 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are 2B + G + 8 Floors and Amenities.

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 7,867.28 Sq.m., (39.54% against required, 22%).

The total cost of the project is Rs.36.0 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 42.00 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 17.50 Lakhs/annum.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 14</b>	<b>13.0 Ha. Quartz and Feldspar of M/s. SHRADHA ENTERPRISES, Survey No.105 of Rekulapalle (V) &amp;Kodir (M), Nagarkurnool District. - TOR - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/80747/2022 (TOR)</b>

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

<b>Agenda Item No. 15</b>	<b>1.50 Ha. Rough Stone &amp; Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Sri. N Janardhan Reddy, Sy. No.37, Undrugonda Village, Chivvemla Mandal, Suryapet District. - TOR - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/80802/2022 (TOR-Violation)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri N. Janardhan Reddy and Sri Vishnu Sharma of M/s. Ampl Environ Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Rough Stone & Road Metal with Mine Lease Area of 1.50 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha and thus it has to be considered under B2 Category. But, since it is a violation case, the project is considered under B1 Category as per the provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 31,273 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Rough Stone & Road Metal.

The SEAC noted that initially lease was granted on 22.04.2008 in favour of the proponent for a period of 10 years i.e upto 21.04.2018 for 5.0 Ha. Out of 5.0 Ha., 1.5 Ha. was transferred on 25.04.2011 in favour of Sri. Punj Lloyd Ltd. Subsequently, the lease of 1.5 Ha. was again transferred back to project proponent on 06.05.2015. Further, Renewal of LOI was granted (in-principle) on 28.07.2018 for a period of 20 years i.e upto 28.07.2038. It may be noted that the Mine Lease was initially granted before 09.09.2013. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan. The proponent carried out mining operations even after 21.04.2018 i.e during 2021-22 as per lr. dt. 16.05.2022 of ADMG, Suryapet District, without obtaining prior EC.

Nearest human habitation is Undrugonda (V) @ 1.6 km; Nearest water body i.e., a Canal exists at a distance of 0.09 km; Nearest RF i.e., Indergonda RF exists at 0.30 km from the boundary of the site.

The SEAC confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and the project has to be considered in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017; S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018; and O.M. dt: 07.07.2021 & O.M. dt: 28.01.2022 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI w.r.t. SOP for identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification., 2006.

The proponent informed that they have already collected the baseline data from Jan – March 2022 and requested to consider the same for preparation of EIA report based on the Standard Terms of Reference for proposed Quarry. The SEAC considered the request of the proponent for utilizing the baseline data from Jan – March 2022 for preparation of EIA report.

After detailed discussions, the proponent is directed to prepare EIA report, considering the baseline data from Jan – March 2022 as per the Standard Terms of Reference (TORs) issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI for "Mining of Minerals" along with the Specific Terms of Reference w.r.t. violation as per S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 & S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 and OM dt. 07.07.2021 & 28.01.2022 and submit final EIA report to the SEAC for appraisal.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022

<b>Agenda Item No. 16</b>	<b>8.0 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of Sri Vasala Ravinder, Sy.No. 84, Rekonda (V), Chigurumamidi (M), Karimnagar District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/61996/2021 (EC)</b>

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

<b>Agenda Item No. 17</b>	<b>2.03 Ha. Stone &amp; Metal Mine of M/s. Robo Silicon, Sy. No .345, Girmapur Village, Medchal Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/277854/2022 (EC)</b>

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

<b>Agenda Item No. 18</b>	<b>Pocharam Campus IT SEZ Project by M/s. INFOSYS LIMITED (referred as INFOSYS) (Formerly Infosys Technologies Limited), Sy. No. 44, 45(P), 48, 49, 50(P), 51 &amp; 54, 36(part) &amp; 41 (part) of Pocharam (V), Ghatkesar, (M), Medchal Malkajgiri District. – Amendment to Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/283657/2022 (Amendment)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Rajashekar Rao and Sri YBS Murthy of M/s. B. S. Envi-Tech Pvt Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

Earlier, the SEIAA, TS issued EC vide order dt.08.05.2009 for Parcel-1 & EC (Expansion) vide order dt.09.04.2021 for Expansion of project with Parcel -2 in two phases.

Now, the proponent informed that there is no change in the plot area and BUA as per EC and CFE obtained. The following are the changes contemplated by Infosys Ltd (Pocharam Campus) for which the amendment of EC is sought.

- ❖ Change of location of Buildings of Parcel-2 (Phase-II)
- ❖ Change in configuration of Buildings
- a) Parcel – 2 Phase –I  
Food Court – 4 will not be implemented
- b) b) Parcel – 2 Phase -II  
SDB-10 will not be implemented
- ❖ Revised Water and Waste Water Balance, STP Capacities Parcel-2 (Phase-II).
- ❖ Revised Solid Waste Generation and its Management
- ❖ Revised Parking Area
- ❖ Greenbelt

The entire Parcel – 2 Phase -II is shifted adjacent to Solar Plant area. The same is depicted in the revised master plan.

It is proposed to construct the following buildings whose Builtup Area (BUA) of 3,86,107.78 sq.m is well within the approved BUA of 3,86,330.69 sq.m as per Environmental Clearance. The proponent informed the following comparative statement of existing project as per ECs & proposed amendment (after Expansion) and requested to issue Amendment to EC:

S. No	Description	As per EC Dated 08.05.2009 & 09.04.2021	Proposed EC Amendment After Expansion
1	Total Plot Area, Acres	447.075	447.075 (No change)
2	Green Area	<p>The total greenbelt has been developed in an area of 58.39 acres in Parcel – 1 and in an area of 157.11 acres in Parcel -2. The development includes the following areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Solar power plant area,</li> <li>❖ operational areas,</li> <li>❖ Lake/ Reservoir/Rain water Harvesting Pit</li> <li>❖ Mass plantation incl. Mango &amp; Guava orchard</li> <li>❖ Plantation done in Haritha Haram Scheme.</li> <li>❖ Soft Landscape Areas</li> <li>❖ Hillock area</li> </ul> <p>Hence a total area of about 215.50 acres of land is covered under Green Belt out of the total Plot area of 447.075 acres (48%).</p>	Based on a physical survey of the area where the proposed buildings are coming up, it is estimated that about 3000 trees will be translocated within the campus area.
3	Builtup area (BUA), Sq.m	7,74,068.04	7,73,845.13 (Reduction of BUA by 222.91 sq.m)
4	No of Buildings with Floors	<p><b>Parcel-1(as per EC dt.08.05.2009):</b>                      SDB-1, 2 &amp; 3 (G+5 Floors)                      SDB-4 &amp; 5 (G+7 Floors)                      SDB – 6A &amp; 6B (LG+G+7 Floors)                      Food Court 1 &amp; 2 (G+1 Floor)                      Food Court 3 (LG+G+1 Floor)                      ECC (GYM (Includes Indoor Games), Library, Clinic, Shops (G+14 Floors)                      MLCP-1 (G+5 Floors)</p> <p><b>Parcel-2 in two Phases (as per EC dt.09.04.2021):</b>  <b>Phase-1 of Parcel-2:</b>                      SDB-7 (G+9 Floors)                      Food Court (G+2 Floors)                      Services (UG, G, G + 1 Floor)</p> <p><b>Phase-2 of Parcel-2:</b>                      SDB-8 &amp; 9 (G+13 Floors)                      SDB-10 (G+15 Floors)                      MLCP-2 (G+9 Floors)                      MLCP-3 (S+7 Floors)                      Auditorium / Banquet Hall (G+2 Floors)                      Food Court-5 &amp; 6 (G+1 Floor)                      Services (UG, G, G + 1 Floor)                      Underground Reservoir (UG Floor)                      STP (UG+G Floor)</p>	<p><b>Parcel-1:</b>                      SDB-1, 2 &amp; 3 (G+5 Floors)                      SDB-4 &amp; 5 (G+7 Floors)                      SDB – 6A &amp; 6B (LG+G+7 Floors)                      Food Court 1 &amp; 2 (G+1 Floor)                      Food Court 3 (LG+G+1 Floor)                      ECC (GYM (Includes Indoor Games), Library, Clinic, Shops (G+14 Floors)                      MLCP-1 (G+5 Floors)</p> <p><b>Parcel-2 in two Phases:</b>  <b>Phase-1 of Parcel-2:</b>                      SDB-7 (G+9 Floors)                      Services (UG, G, G + 1 Floor)</p> <p><b>Phase-2 of Parcel-2:</b>                      SDB-8A (G+8 Floors)*                      SDB-8B (G+12 Floors)*                      SDB-9A (G+10 Floors)*                      SDB-9B (G+13 Floors)*                      MLCP-2 (G+9 Floors + Terrace)                      Security Block – Main entrance (G+1 Floor)                      STP (UG+G Floor)                      *Note: SDB 8A, 8B, 9A &amp; 9B include Food Court &amp; Services</p>

**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022**

5	Parking Area, Sq.m	Parcel – 1: 206920 Parcel – 2: 31159 Total: 2,38,079 Four Wheelers – 4700 , Two Wheelers – 10000 Bus - 100	Parking Required (50% of Builtup area Office buildings + 10% Visitors) : 2,51,643.55 Total Parking Area Provided: 2,53,364.80 Hence adequate Parking is Provided for Four Wheelers – 5000, Two Wheelers – 10650 and Bus - 110
6	Water Requirement, KLD	3364	3161 (Existing + SDB-7 & Parcel 2 Phase –II)
	Fresh Water Requirement, KLD	1076	1012
	Recycled water, KLD	2288	2149 (Treated Wastewater- 85% of wastewater Generation – Post Treatment)
7	Waste Water Generation, KLD	2691	2528 (80% of Water Requirement)
8	STP Capacity, KLD	Parcel-1: 2300	The wastewater generation from Existing +SDB-7 is 1705 +20% Buffer is equal to 2046 KLD (Say 2050 KLD). The existing STP capacity is 1680 KLD which will be upgraded to 2050 KLD (Modular) to comply with NBC standards.
		Parcel – 2: 2000 (Phase –I & II)	The total wastewater generation is 823 KLD +20% Buffer is equal to 988 KLD (Say 1000 KLD). Hence, the STPs will be designed as per the NBC standards and will be implemented in Modular Configuration of 2X500 KLD.
9	DG sets for Parcel – 1	2 x 2000 kVA and 2 x 3000 kVA	25,000 kVA ---- (No change)  (5 x 2000 kVA and 5 x 3000 kVA)
	DG sets for Parcel-2 Phase-I & II	3 x 2000 kVA and 3 x 3000 kVA	
10	Solid Waste, kg/day	Organic Waste: 5,422 Inorganic Waste: 8,133 Total Waste: 13,555 STP Sludge: 195	Organic Waste: 4,533 Inorganic Waste: 6,799 Total Waste: 11,332 STP Sludge: 190
11	Project Cost, Crores	Proposed Expansion: Rs.2500	Rs.2500 Crores --- (No change)
12	EMP Cost, Lakhs	Capital: Rs.5,160 Recurring:Rs. 338.58	Capital: Rs.5,160 Recurring:Rs.338.58 --- (No change)

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of Amendment to EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 19</b>	<b>4.40 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Sri M. Anjaneyulu Goud, Sy.No 488, Mallapur Village, Thimmapur Mandal, Karimnagar District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIN/53368/2020 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri M. Anjaneyulu Goud and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted the lease was granted (in-principle) in favour of the proponent on 20.05.2016 for a period of 20 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease is granted after 09.09.2013. The Proponent also submitted a copy of lr.dt. 19.04.2018 of ADMG, Karimnagar District informing that there are 4 other quarry leases (5.0 Ha. 6.81 Ha. 5.0 Ha. – leases granted before 09.09.2013 and (6.56 Ha. – EC granted on 03.02.2017) falling within 500m from the proposed quarry lease. The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 4.40 Ha. It is further noted that the total Cluster area is 27.77 Ha. and Net cluster area is 10.96 Ha. which is more than 5.0 Ha. Thus, the project is considered under B1 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT. The proponent submitted a copy of Scrutinized/ Approved Mining Plan.

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA issued TORs (Auto Generated) on 27.10.2020 for preparation of EIA Report. Accordingly, the proponent undergone the process of public hearing on 01.07.2022 and submitted Final EIA Report along with minutes of public hearing and issues emerged during public hearing. The SEAC noted the contents of Final EIA report. The SEAC observed from the minutes of Public Hearing & representations that the issues emerged during public hearing are employment to local people; Greenbelt development; water sprinkling on roads; Conduct health camps; to lay approach road to avoid village road for vehicular movement; etc. The proponent reported that they have allocated an amount of Rs. 5.0 Lakhs towards CSR activities covering education, health, drinking water and skill development in the nearby villages. It was also reported that they have allocated an amount of Rs. 9.45 Lakhs for implementation of Environmental mitigation measures. Budget for greenbelt development & its maintenance is Rs. 2.5 Lakhs; Road maintenance of approach road is Rs. 1.0 Lakh; Water sprinkling equipment and regular vehicle maintenance is Rs. 1.5 Lakhs; Sanitation & drinking water facilities is Rs. 1.0 Lakh.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Mannempalli (V) which is existing at a distance of 723m (NW); nearest water body i.e., Kakatiya Main Canal exists at 3.5 km (E) from the boundary of the site.

It is proposed to mine 25,375 m<sup>3</sup>/annum of Colour Granite and the life of mine is reported as 11 years.

The total cost of the project is Rs.80.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 9.45 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 1.89 Lakhs/annum.

The proponent submitted a copy of lr. dt. 07.09.2022 of ADMG, Karimnagar District stating that there is no mining activity in the subject area.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended for issue of EC.

<b>Agenda Item No. 20</b>	<b>High Raised Residential Buildings of M/s. Vajram Constructions Private Limited., Sy.No.218, 219, 222, 290, 295, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305,306,310,312,313,314,315 &amp; 316, Gopanpally (V), Serlingampally (M), Rangareddy District. - Environmental Clearance - Reg.</b>
<b>Proposal No.</b>	<b>SIA/TG/MIS/76483/2022 (EC)</b>

The representative of the project proponent Sri ----- and Sri D. Sreekanth of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

  
CHAIRMAN, SEAC



**Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 13.09.2022**

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA, issued TORs (Auto generated) on 02.06.2022 for preparation of EIA Report. Accordingly, the proponent prepared the EIA report and uploaded online.

The SEAC noted that total plot area is 1,11,697 Sq.m., Net plot area is 1,05,206 Sq.m; Out of which green area is 21,431 Sq.m. (20.4%).

It was informed that the total built up area of the project is 11,16,004.31 Sq.m. The project consists of High Raised Residential Building to accommodate 4,179 units. Maximum No. of floors proposed in the project are 14 Blocks – Block A (3C + G + 15 Floors); Block B to N (3C + G + 40 Floors) and Club House (3C+G + 5 Floors).

It is also noted that Parking area to be provided is 2,78,532.96 Sq.m., (33.25 % against required 22%).

The total cost of the project is Rs.1,500 Crores. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 15.0 Crores and Recurring cost: Rs. 4.0 Crores /annum.

During presentation, the SEAC observed that a water body existed adjacent to the site earlier. In this regard, the proponent submitted a copy of lr.dt.26.11.2021 of the EE, North Tanks Division, Hyderabad of I & CAD Department. The SEAC observed from the document that the applicant's site over an extent of 1,11,697 sq.m is not affected under FTL but, affected on the buffer of kunta and also affected on the nala and its buffer leaving balance land of 1,05,206 sq.m.as per G.O.Ms.No.168, dt.07.04.2012. Accordingly, the proponent proposed layout of the project.

The SEAC informed the proponent to submit Risk Assessment report & Disaster Management Plan along with NOC from AAI .

In view of the above and after detailed discussions, the SEAC deferred the project for consideration after submission of above mentioned information by the proponent.

  
CHAIRMAN, SEAC