

**MINUTES OF THE 67th MEETING OF
STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE,
(SEAC), TELANGANA STATE
HELD ON 17.03.2020, 11:00 A.M.**

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

MINUTES OF THE 67th MEETING OF STATE EXPERT APPRISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC) HELD ON 17.03.2020 AT TSPCB, PARYAVARAN BHAVAN, A-3, I.E., SANATHNAGAR, HYDERABAD.

The following members were present:

S.No.	Name of the Expert	Position
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy, H.No: 2-2-20/L/7, #401, Golden towers – II, Raja Rajeshwari BLPG, D.D. Colony, Hyderabad. Ph: 9866629265	Chairman.
2.	Dr.(Ms)Thaiparthi Vijayalakshmi Plot No.110, Siddartha Nagar, S.R. Nagar Post, Hyderabad-500038. Ph: 9440896661	Member
3.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri H.No: 3-5-44/1, Flat No. 301, Areadia Apartments, Edengaden Road, Hyderabad- 500001. Ph:9491145160	Member
4.	Shri Suresh, B-106, Vertex prime, Nizampet Road, Kukatpalli, Hyderabad. Ph: 9177037785	Member
5.	Dr. Vemula Vinod Goud, H.No. 6-156, Sridurga Estates, Deeptisri Nagar, Madinaguda, Hyderabad-500049. Ph:9440386945	Member
6.	Dr.K.Shivakumar, Plot No. 328, Flat No: 302, Mehar Ninan, KPHB 6 th phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500072 Ph: 9951701067	Member
7.	Prof.A.Panasa Reddy, H.No. 4-7-17/5/1, Ragharendra Nagar, Nacharam, Hyderabad-500076. Ph: 9849957268	Member
8.	Prof.B.Reddy Naik, Department of Zoology, University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007. Ph: 9290491044	Member
9.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar, Department of Botany, University College of Science. OU. Hyd. Flat No. 117, 'C' Block, Janapria castle, Rammagar, Vidyanagar – Hyderabad Ph:9440487742 & 8096754604	Member

After general introductory remarks by the Chairman, SEAC, the Committee took up items agenda-wise. The decisions of the SEAC on each case are recorded below.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

DECLARATION

It is hereby declared that the Chairman and members of SEAC, T.S., do not have conflict of interest with any project proponent pertaining to the items discussed in the SEAC meeting held on 07.03.2020.

S. No.	Name of the Expert	Signature
1.	Prof.Ch.Krishna Reddy	Sd/-
2.	Dr.(Ms)Thatiparthi Vijayalakshmi	Sd/-
3.	Shri Ravindra Samaya Mantri	Sd/-
4.	Shri Suresh	Sd/-
5.	Dr.Vemula Vinod Goud	Sd/-
6.	Dr.K.Shivakumar,	Sd/-
7.	Prof.A.Panasa Reddy	Sd/-
8.	Prof.B.Reddya Naik	Sd/-
9.	Prof.C.Venkateshwar	Sd/-

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 01	2.0 Ha. Gravel Mine of Sri K. Janaki Ramulu, Sy. No. 393/P, Arekodu (V), Khammam Rural (M), Khammam Dist. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/134971/2019 (EC)

The representative of the project proponent Sri K. Janaki Ram; and Smt. T. Srilatha & Smt. Lochana of M/s. Pridhvi Enviro Tech Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted from Notice dt. 04.08.2018 of the DMG, Hyderabad that quarry lease was granted in favour of the proponent for a further period of 5 years. It may be noted that the Mine Lease is granted after 09.09.2013. The proponent submitted application along with Scrutinized /Approved Mining Plan & EMP Report.

The Proponent also submitted a copy of Ir.dt. 06.08.2019 of ADMG, Khammam District informing that there are no quarry leases falling within 500m from the proposed quarry lease.

The SEAC noted that the mine lease area is 2.0 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. It is further noted that the total Cluster area is 2.0 Ha. which is less than 5.0 Ha. Hence, the project is considered under B2 Category as per provisions laid under EIA Notification, 2006 & its subsequent amendments and orders of the Hon'ble NGT.

The nearest village to the proposed site is Chinthapalli (V) which is existing at a distance of 0.68 km; and Waterbody near Errakunta & Gobalarna Cheruvu exists at a distance of 0.4 km & 0.46 km⁷ respectively from the boundary of the site.

It is proposed to mine 35,823 m³/annum of Gravel and the life of mine is reported as 5 years (@ 28,993.08 m³/annum).

The opencast semi-mechanized method without drilling & blasting operations are adopted for quarrying.

The proponent is proposing the following measures towards control of Air Pollution:

- a. Regular spraying of water by water sprinkling system on haul roads and retaining wall within the premises.
- b. Timely maintenance of vehicles to minimize air pollution due to movement of vehicles.
- c. Dust masks for employees.
- d. Covering the Mineral carrying vehicles with tarpaulin covers.
- e. Plantation of trees to reduce the impact of dust in the nearby villages. Fertile soil will be purchased locally to spread on dump for plantation.

The source of water requirement for the proposed project is from nearby village by tankers. Total water requirement is 11.0 KLD. Out of that, 6.0 KLD is used for dust suppression, 3.5 KLD for development of green belt and 1.5 KLD for domestic purpose. Wastewater generated from the domestic section is to be disposed into septic tank followed by soak pit.


The proponent informed that no waste is anticipated in the mine lease area. The project proponent is proposing garland drain with siltation ponds around the mine lease area to arrest siltation. The proponent is proposing plantation of Neem, Teak & Eucalyptus.

The total cost of the project is Rs. 40.0 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 7.6 lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 2.2 Lakhs/annum.

During presentation, the SEAC observed from the google maps & photographs that there is much vegetation with plants & trees existing in the proposed mine lease area. Hence, after detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the mine, existence of vegetation, impacts of the project on nearest water bodies, human habitation, bio-diversity, surrounding environment, etc.,

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. Venkateshwar
2. R. Naik,


CHAIRMAN/SEAC

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 02	Sri Mandadi Nageswar, Sy. No. 22 (G.I.), Narsimhulagudem H/o Repala Village, Munagala Mandal, Suryapet District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/134597/2020 (EC)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 03	12.00 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Varshitha Rocks, Sy. No. 69 of Polampally Village, Thimmapur Mandal, Karimnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43516/2019 (TOR)

The representative of the project proponent Sri B. Shivashankar Reddy; and Dr. Sreekanth Desi of M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 12.0 Ha. The proponent informed that the production capacity of the project is to mine 53,085 m³/annum of Colour Granite. It was informed that the proponent started mining operations from the year 2012 without obtaining prior EC.

The SEAC noted that the project was considered by the SEAC/SEIAA as a violation case and the State Government was requested for taking action against the proponent on the violation under Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and Section 15 of the act for the period for which the violation has taken place. Accordingly, Complaint Case No 313 of 2016 was filed in the Court of Hon'ble Judicial Magistrate, Karimnagar.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing** for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during Public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item No. 04	2.34 Ha. Rough Stone and Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Sri Sai Metal Industries, Sy. No. 159 of Jublakpally Village, B.Pochampally Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/34978/2019 (EC)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 05	1.214 Ha. Colour Granite mine of M/s. Tulip Granites (P) Ltd., Sy. No: 464, Nagulamalyal Village, Karimnagar Mandal, Karimnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43515/2019 (EC)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 06	M/s. Mylan Laboratories Limited, Unit - 3, Plot No. 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 49, 50 and 51, Phase IV IDA Jeedimetla (V), Quthubullapur (M), Ranga Reddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/IND2/50988/1900 (EC)

The representative of the project proponent Sri S. Ravi Sankar Reddy; and Dr. Sreekanth Desi of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that earlier the SEIAA in its meeting held on 16.03.2018 decided not to consider the project for issue of EC, since ZLD facilities are not proposed in Unit-3, keeping in view of the provisions laid under G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; & G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Subsequently, the proponent submitted a representation to the SEIAA quoting provisions of G.O.Ms.No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 and Judgement dt. 24.10.2017 of the Hon'ble NGT in Applicatio No. 69 of 2013 (SZ) (THC) & others and requested to issue EC. But, the SEIAA in its meeting held on 26.04.2018 observed that G.O.Ms.No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013 did not indicate the installation of ZLD outside the premises of manufacturing unit and hence, not considered the request of the proponent.

Now, the proponent again uploaded the proposal in parivesh. The proponent again informed that the effluent generated from Unit-3 will be treated in the proposed ZLD system provided in Unit-V where production Blocks exists which are not in operation. It was also informed that they will not go for any production operations in Unit-V but will exclusively use Unit-V for ZLD system. It was requested to consider their proposal and issue EC.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records, examine the issue in detail and submit report on request of the proponent keeping in view of the GOs i.e., G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; & G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019, norms of the TSPCB/CPCB/MoEF, Orders of the Hon'ble NGT:

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. *Ch. Krishna Reddy*
2. *Radhakrishna Reddy*
Vijaya Lakshmi

Agenda Item No. 07	M/s. Meka Laboratories, Sy. No. 10/C, IDA Gaddapotharam (V), Jinnaram (M), Sangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIATG/IND2/51254/2017 (EC)

The representative of the project proponent Sri M.S.R.Koteswar Rao; and Dr. Srekanth Desi of M/s. Team Labs & Consultants, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that TORs were issued to the project in the SEAC meeting held on 20.04.2018. The SEAC also observed then that Sub-Committee has to be constituted after re-constitution of SEIAA & SEAC, Telangana.

Accordingly, the SEAC noted that the proponent submitted EIA Report. After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a Sub-Committee with the following members to inspect the unit, verify records and submit a report on the following:

- i) Project modification.
- ii) Project cost.
- iii) ZLD system & its adequacy.
- iv) ETP modifications.
- v) Products: Comparison of existing & proposed (which are going for expansion).
- vi) Verify production details w.r.t. permitted for the past one year, as per ER-I/GST.
- vii) Raw material: Comparison of existing & proposed (which are going for expansion).
- viii) Solid waste: Comparison of existing & proposed (which are going for expansion).
- ix) Impact on surroundings.
- x) Applicability of S.O.804E dt. 14.03.2017 & S.O.1030E dt. 08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI.
- xi) Justification of the project w.r.t. G.O.Ms. No. 95, dt. 21.09.2007; G.O.Ms. No. 64, dt. 25.07.2013; & G.O.Ms. No. 24, dt. 24.04.2019.

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. *Radhakrishna*
2. *Ch. Krishna Reddy*
A. Panasa Reddy

Ch. Reddy
CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 08	4.93 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. K. G. R. Mines, Sy. No. 44/1, Kondareddypally Village, Vangoor Mandal, Nagar Kurnool District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43508/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 09	2.15 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. K. G. R. Mines, Sy. No. 40/1, Kondareddypally Village, Vangoor Mandal, Nagar Kurnool District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43507/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 10	M/s. Radical Bio-Organics Limited, Sy. Nos. 36, 38, 41 & 43, Jekkepally Village, Yalal Mandal, Vikarabad (Earlier Rangareddy District) District- Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/IND2/49001/2019 (EC)

The representative of the project proponent Sri B.Srinivas Reddy; and Sri Maheshwar Reddy of M/s. Pioneer Enviro Laboratories & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the proponent obtained EC vide orders dt. 05.10.2010 and 12.10.2012 from the MoEF&CC, GoI, New Delhi for the existing plant of 60 KLPD Distillery plant to produce ENA / Ethano; / Industrial Alcohol; and 7.0 MW Biomass based captive power plant. The proponent obtained CFO from the SPCB and presently operating the unit with latest CTO valid till 31/08/2019.

Now, the proponent proposed to enhance the distillery plant capacity from 60 KLPD to 90 KLPD in the same premises by doing process modifications and Distillation columns modifications.

The proponent informed that due to absence of SEIAA & SEAC during May, 2019, they have earlier applied in the MoEF&CC, GoI and the Ministry has issued Standard Terms of Reference vide Lr. dt. 30.05.2019 for the proposed expansion plant with Public Hearing. Later, the proponent informed the Ministry that Public hearing for the existing project has been carried out on 07.07.2010 and hence requested to exempt the proposed expansion project from the process of public hearing and issue Amendment to TOR. Accordingly, the Ministry examined the request of the proponent in accordance with provisions laid under para 7(ii) of the EIA Notification and issued Amendment to TOR vide Lr. dt. 04.11.2019 duly exempting Public Hearing for the proposed expansion.

After detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit report on present status of the project, existing environmental measures being practiced, adequacy of proposed EMP measures, impacts of the proposed expansion on the surrounding environment, etc.,

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. *Dr. Krishna Reddy.*
2. *Vijaya Lakshmi*
3. *Reda Krishna.*

Dr. Reddy
CHAIRMAN, SEAC

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 11	33.99 Ha. Anjani Limestone Mine II of M/s. Anjani Portland Cement Ltd., Sy. No. 384 (P), Chintalapalem (V), Mellacheruvu (M), Nalgonda District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43505/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 12	33.99 Ha. Anjani Limestone Mine II of M/s. Anjani Portland Cement Ltd., Sy. No. 384 (P), Chintalapalem (V), Mellacheruvu (M), Nalgonda District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43505/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 13	M/s. Kemzen Industries Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No.126/A2, Nagulapally Millage, Sadasivpet Mandal, Sangareddy District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/IND2/130502/2019 (EC)

The representative of the project proponent Dattaray N. Sawant; and Sri Ravi Kiran of M/s. Pragathi Labs & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

During presentation, the proponent informed that they have proposed to manufacture Linear Alkyl Benzene Sulphonic Acid (LABSA) and requested to consider their project under B2 category, as their water consumption is less than 25 KLD, fuel consumption is less than 25 TPD & it is not covered in the category of MAH units.

The SEAC observed that total area of the plant is 4642 Sq.m. Out of that, the area earmarked greenbelt development is 1578 Sq.m. (34%). The proponent proposed to manufacture 20 TPD of Linear Alkyl Benzene Sulphonic Acid (LABSA).

It is noted that the nearest habitation is Nagulapally (V) located at distance of 1.3 km and nearest water body is Mumpalli Chervu located at distance of 2.7 km from the unit.

Total cost of the project for proposed expansion is Rs. 110 Lakhs. The proponent is proposing budget for Environmental protection towards capital cost: Rs. 11.0 Lakhs and recurring cost: Rs. 7.2 Lakhs/annum.

During presentation, it was informed that they had earlier applied for CFE and the CFE committee reviewed that the industry's one of the proposed products is to manufacture LABSA by reacting Linear Alkyl Benzene (LAB) with Oleum, involving chemical reaction which will attract EC. Accordingly, they have applied for EC but to manufacture only one product i.e., LABSA – 20 TPD. The SEAC further observed that it was reported that “Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) System shall be adopted”, but it was also reported that “the treated effluent will be used in soap and detergents making”.

In view of the above and after detailed discussions, the SEAC decided to constitute a sub-committee with the following members to inspect the unit and submit report on present status of the project, any products proposed other than LABSA, proposed utilities, proposed ZLD system, adequacy of proposed EMP measures, impacts of the proposed project on the surrounding environment, etc.,

Members of Sub-Committee:

1. *Ch. Krishna Reddy*
2. *Vijaya Lakshmi*
3. *Siva Kumar*

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 14	4.0 Ha. Rough Stone of M/s Pulluri Mining & Logistics Pvt Ltd, Sy. No. 738, Lakdaram (V), Patancheru (M), Sangareddy District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/135200/2020 (EC)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 15	1.659 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/S. G.B.R MINERALS PVT. LTD., Sy. No. 323, Asifnagar Village, Kothapally Mandal, Karimnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/73801/2018 (EC)

The representative of the project proponent Sri R. Gunasekaran; and Sri M. Venkatesham of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

During presentation the SEAC observed that it is a violation case. But, the proponent has uploaded the proposal then under “EC” instead of “TOR”. The SEAC informed the proponent to upload the proposal under “TOR” in parivesh.

In view of the above, the SEAC decided to return the proposal.

Agenda Item No. 16	1.80 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Imperial Granites Pvt. Ltd., Sy.No.163 of Odyaram Village, Gangadhara Mandal, Karimnagar District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43501/2019 (TOR)

The representative of the project proponent Sri R. Gunasekaran; and Sri M. Venkatesham of M/s. Global Enviro Labs, Hyderabad attended and made a presentation before the SEAC.

The SEAC noted that the project is for Colour Granite Mine with Mine Lease Area of 1.8 Ha. The proponent informed that they proposed Expansion by increasing the production capacity from 6000 m³/annum to 18,107 m³/annum of Colour Granite. It was reported in the Jr. dt. 04.07.2017 of the Regional Office of the MoEF&CC, GoI, Chennai that “during the year 2016-17 the quantity of granite mined was more than the EC approved quantity”.

After detailed discussions, the Committee confirmed the project as a case of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence decided to consider the project in the terms of the provisions of the S.O.No.804 (E) dt.14.03.2017 and S.O.1030 (E), dt.08.03.2018 issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI. The SEAC recommended to issue **Standard Terms of Reference (TOR)** issued by the MoEF&CC, GoI along with the following **Specific Terms of Reference** and to conduct the **public hearing**, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP).

Specific Terms of Reference:

- (i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC (if Credible Action was not initiated).
- (ii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

- (iii) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- (iv) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- (v) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- (vi) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per O.M. dt.01.05.2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in the EIA/EMP report.
- (vii) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- (viii) The project proponent shall undergo the process of public hearing in consultation with the TSPCB, as per EIA notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments. The project proponent shall include commitments made by the project proponent on issues raised during public hearing in a tabular form in the EIA/EMP report.
- (ix) The project proponent shall give an Undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dt. 02.08.2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 20 14 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. before grant of ToR/ EC, as per OM dt.30.05.2018. The Undertaking inter-alia includes Commitment of the project proponent not to repeat any such violation in future.
- (x) In case of violation of above undertaking by the project proponent, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- (xi) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.

Agenda Item No. 17	8.09 Ha. Quartz & Feldspar Mine of M/s. Sri Sai Mines & Minerals, Sy. No. 343/1, Jagatpally Village, Peddamandadi Mandal, Wanaparthi District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/34978/2019 (EC)

The SEAC noted that earlier the project was considered as a violation case and the State Government was requested to take credible action on violation against the proponent. Later, the file was transferred to the MoEF&CC, GoI, as per S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017.

Subsequently, the Ministry transferred the proposal to the SEIAA, as per S.O.804 (E), dt.14.03.2017. But, it is observed that the proponent uploaded the proposal then under "EC" instead of "TOR". The SEAC informed the proponent to upload the proposal under "TOR" in parivesh.

In view of the above, the SEAC decided to return the proposal.

Agenda Item No. 18	8.0 Ha. M/s. Ganga Bhavani Granites, Sy. No. 199, Palitham Village, Peddapalli Mandal, Peddapalli District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43512/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 19	5.56 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Yak Granite Industries (P) Ltd., Sy.No: 584/1, Peddapuram (V), Athmakur (M), Warangal District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43504/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 20	10.52 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Khammam Granite Private Limited, Sy. No. 162, Polepally Village, Khammam Rural Tehsil, Khammam District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43503/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 21	10.93 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Khammam Granite Private Limited, Sy. No. 85, Mallemadugu Village, Khammam Rural Tehsil, Khammam District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43502/2019

The SEAC noted that EC was already issued to the project vide order dt. 14.02.2018. Hence, the SEAC decided to return the proposal, as it is a duplicate proposal.

Agenda Item No. 22	13.406 Ha. M/s Rank Silicon & Industries Pvt Ltd., Sy. No. 34, 35 & 36, Ravolkole Village, Medchal Mandal, Medchal District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43506/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 23	9.46 Ha. Building Stone & Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Rock 'N' Rock Sand (P) Limited, Sy. No. 262 & 275, Jainapally (V), Bibinagar (M), Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43510/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 24	6.0 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal of M/s. Rock 'N' Rock Sand (P) Limited, Sy.No: 247, 283 & 284, Jainapally Village, Bibinagar Mandal, Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43509/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 25	4.277 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Pvt. Limited, Sy. No. 310, Saravaram Village, Garidepally Mandal, Nalgonda District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43514/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 26	15.00 Ha. Rough Stone and Road Metal Quarry of M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Pvt. Limited, Sy. No.278, Saravaram Village, Garidepally Mandal, Nalgonda District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43513/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 27	6.0 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Sai Krishna Granites, Sy. No. 270, Balwanthapur Village, Malyal Mandal, Jagityal District. – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43517/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 28	3.237 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Midwest Granite Pvt. Ltd., Sy. No. 18, Arpanapally (V), Keasamudram (M), Mahububabad District – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/43498/2019 (TOR)

The SEAC deferred the project, as the proponent did not attend the meeting.

Hence, the SEAC decided to inform the proponent to submit a letter stating willingness to consider their proposal in the SEAC meeting.

Agenda Item No. 29	2.0 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of Sri T.Sudhakar Rao, Survey No.203, Kachapuram (V), Shankarapatnam (M), Karimnagar District.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/70994/2017 (EC)

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 09.01.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, and submit report on present status of the project, existence of Stream along the mine lease area, impacts of the project on the nearest waterbody, Village and surrounding environment, specify additional environment measures to be taken by the project proponent, if any.

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 14.03.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

Present status of the mine

The Project has not yet started. The deposit is intact.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Distance of the nearest habitation from the proposed mine

The nearest village is Kachapur(V), ShankarapatnamMandal which is found to be more than 1.35Km (aerial distance) from the mining site.

Existence of Stream along the mine lease area

One stream is passing through the mining lease area

This stream is a rain water drain that appears as a stream. It is in fact a water channel between the bigger (with peak 275 m) and smaller mound (with peak 267 m) that allows the rain water to get discharged to the bottom of the mounds. A small portion of the smaller mound is proposed as a dumping ground.

Mining is being proposed only in a bigger mound as shown in the approved mine plan

Impact of the project on human habitation and surrounding environment

The proposed mine is for the exploitation of granite and the mining is done by semi mechanized method of wire saw cutting. The proponent should strictly follow the release of dust and particulate matter that are allowed and stipulated by PCB. This would not have adverse effect on the surrounding environment.

The nearby village is 1.35 km away therefore mining in the proposed area would not have any negative impact on the habitat and dwellings.

Measures like Air pollution equipment need to be installed to arrest and restrict the spread of particulate matter.

Green belt development

The proponent has to develop green belt in the adjoining land, as the same cannot be developed in the mine area as it is entirely covered by the granite batholith.

The following conditions to be included in the EC:

- a) Mining method should be by wire saw cutting method only
- b) Mining should be done as stipulated by Director General of Mines Safety
- c) The proponent should follow the rules and instructions of DMS from time to time
- d) Stream/rain water drain of the hill mounds should be protected and entire smaller mound (with peak 267 m) should be used as dumping yard and siltation pond only.
- e) Submit the revised mine plan with dumping yard and siltation pond as shown in the above map.
- f) Construction of garland drains
- g) Develop a green belt as per the norms
- h) Construction of the approach road
- i) Install the air pollution equipment and sprinkling of water

Recommendations:

As such there is no adverse effect on the surrounding environment due to the project if the above conditions are met with. Since the habitation is around 1350 meters from the project site it is safe and would not disturb the habitat. Earmarking the smaller mound (with peak 267 m) for dumping and construction of siltation pond the flow of stream/rain water drain would not be affected.

Environmental Clearance may be given to the project with the above conditions.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC with the following specific condition:

- Stream/rain water drain of the hill mounds should be protected and entire smaller mound (with peak 267 m) should be used as dumping yard and siltation pond only.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 30	1.214 Ha. Limestone Slabs Quarry of Smt. Chandanakeri Shobha, Sy. No: 6/A/1, Ogipur (V), Tandur (M), Vikarabad District, Telangana State - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/41006/2019 (EC)

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 09.01.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the project on the nearest village, nearest waterbody and surrounding environment, specify additional environment measures to be taken by the project proponent, if any.

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 11.02.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Type of Land: It is a plane with very little slope.
2. Ownership: Patta land and the lease for 15 years and the adjacent land is barren land.
3. Site Location/Boundaries/Habitation/ etc: The distance between the mine boundary to the Ogipur Village existing at a distance of 100 Meters, but there is no impact of the proposed project on the human habitation because it is a Mosaic Chips Quarry operated by wire saw method of cutting the Mosaic chips. While doing so if trace of dust rises using water sprinkling is proposed, but to stop the outgoing dust green belt massive plantation is essential along the boundary of the quarry mining site and also garland and sitation pond are compulsory requirements.
4. Road connectivity: Pakka metal road is existing up to the quarry area. But much big tree plantation is needed, further the road must be maintained well by the proponent so that the dust should not prevail.
5. Water body: The water body Mullamarri River is flowing at a distance of 0.6km from the boundary of the quarry site, but there is no any impact on the river.
6. Present status of the mine: The proponent has not yet started the mining the evidence is provided in the form of photographs at the end of description.
7. Plantation: The proponent has to do massive green belt plantation around the mining area, because the dust will go to the long distances as the Mosaic chip quarry produce smallest form dust, If plantation is not done the dust generated reach the nearby village and create problems to the villagers.
8. IMPACT OF THE MINING: The project proponent has to prepare a garland drain and sitation pond to arrest sitation. The dust impact on human habitation will not be that much if massive green belt is maintained, in addition to that frequent watering at the mine site is also essential. The Ogipur Village is 0.1km (100 mts) therefore the proponent has to leave 9 mts space in between mining area to village boundary i.e altogether 100+9= 109 mts, has to be free from the boundary of mining.

Note: Environmental Clearance may be issued subject to proponent undertaking given to fulfill the conditions proposed in the inspection report.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

Agenda Item No. 31	1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of M/s. Jeyaa Subhasre Mines, Suryey No. 249/A, Chinthapally (V), Kuravi (M), Mahabubabad District, Telangana State Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/33461/2019 (EC)

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 23.12.2019 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, to verify records and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the proposed project on nearest human habitation, waterbody, surrounding environment, etc.,

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 03.03.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Type of Land: It is a small convex hill type plain.
2. Ownership: The previous owner of the mine is Sri.Ch. Venkateswarlu sanction proceeding no 11479/R2-2/2004, Dated 04-11-2004 for 20 years, the lease area was transferred to M/S Shashi Granites vide proceedings no 36894/R61/2008, Dt. 07. 11. 2008. The same lease area again transferred to M/S JEYAA SUBHASRE MINES vide proceedings no 223473/R1-2/2019, Dt 11. 02. 2019. The ADMG, Mahabubabad issued transfer proceedings no 1748/Q/2018, Dt 25. 02. 2019. Now the mine lease area is occupied by the M/S JEYAA SUBHASRE MINES
3. Site Location/Boundaries/Habitation: The distance between the mine boundary to the village Kotta Tanda existing at a distance of 0.2km and Sirivole Cervvu exists at a distance of 3.6km from the boundary of the mining site.
4. Road connectivity: clay road is existing at bad condition hence much big tree plantation is needed further the road must be maintained well by the proponent.
5. Present status of the mine: The proponent has not yet started the mining. The previous mining activity is visible.
6. Plantation: The plantation is not done by the previous proponent at the periphery of the mining boundary, hence now the present proponent has to do massive plantation around the mining area because the mining area is attached to the crops fields of the nearby villages. If plantation is not done the dust generated will fall on the leaf of the crop there by the sun light penetration will be stopped due to that the photosynthesis will get effected which leads to loss of productivity.

7. IMPACT OF THE MINING: The project proponent proposed for garland drain and siltation pond to arrest siltation. The impact on human habitation is not much there are about six houses are there and the same people are working in the same mine. And also on the water body exists in the nearby area. 1. The approach road is not good and no metal road available hence plantation along the approaching road is essential. 2. There is no reserve forests are located near the site area, but a little scrub jungle is on the approaching road, hence road side plantation is essential. 3. Green belt development is essential because the surrounding of the mine is encircled by the various crops hence to stop the dust rising the massive plantation is essential.

Environmental Clearance may be issued subject to proponent undertaking to fulfill the conditions proposed in the inspection report.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

Agenda Item No. 32	M/s. MEEENAKSHI INFRASTRUCTURES PVT. LTD. (ECOPARK - Proposed IT Campus), Sy. No. 83, 84, 87 & 150, Nanakramguda village, Serilingampally mandal, Rangareddy District. Telangana State - Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/ TG/MIS/138747/2020 (EC)

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 31.01.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, verify documents and submit report on present status of the project, whether proposed site area is under submergence, storm water drainage, impacts of the proposed project on nearest waterbodies, status of NOC from I&CAD Dept., for proposed project w.r.t. waterbody, etc.,

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 13.02.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. *In the proposed site, no activity has been initiated*
2. *As per the members suggestion, enumeration of the trees present in the site was submitted by the proponent along with undertaking of translocation of the trees. A total of 86 trees are present , of which Neem trees are 47 , Mango 7, 0 and Neelgiri 32 are enumerated.*
3. *As per the letter issued by irrigation department, Puppalaguda Tank FTL is falling in the survey No's of 151, 150(P) , 87(p), 84(P) surveyed during 2003.*
4. *During the site visit, and the proposed plan, it was clearly mentioned and consent was given for safeguarding the tank area. Proponent has given consent and commitment for adoption of the tank for proper maintenance of lakefront.*
5. *It is advised to leave additional 9 mt buffer area from the FTL.*
6. *As the site is well connected with inner radial road and Outer ring road, proper measures may be taken in to account minimize traffic related issues in future.*
7. *Map showing the FTL issued by Irrigation dept is enclosed.*
8. *Undertaking from the proponent towards translocation of trees is enclosed.*

In view of the consent given by the proponent for proper environmental measures, the proposed project may not affect the tank. In addition to implementing other environmental measures it is recommended to issue the Environmental Clearance for the project.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

Agenda Item No.33	3.32 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of Sri Velmareddy Ram Reddy, Survey No. 316/1, Devampalli Village, Manakondur Mandal, Karimnagar District, Telangana State Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal No.	SIA/TG/MIN/35943/1900 (EC)

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 23.12.2019 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, to verify records and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the proposed project on nearest human habitation, waterbody, surrounding environment, etc.,

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 03.03.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Present status of the mine

The Project site falls on the government land covering the small stony hillocks, locally known as Pattigunta, of Devampalli village, Manakondurmandal, Karimnagar district. It is adjacent to the working mine of 1.7 Ha belonging to Omega Granites and falling in the same Survey no. 316/1. EC was granted to this mine with a letter no. SEIAA/AP/KRM-311/2013-2346 dated 10.07.2013 and mining activities were found to be going on by the team during the visit. Though both the mines - the working mine of 1.7 ha and this proposed mine of 3.32 Ha - put together exceeds 5 Ha but yet it does not attract the Cluster norms because the Omega Granites mine was granted before 15.01.2016, which exempts the project to have a public hearing.

The Project has NOT yet started. The pictures of the site taken during the visit are inserted in the report elsewhere below.

2. Impacts of the project on the nearest Village and surrounding environment

The nearest village is Lalithapur and it is located at about 223M from the boundary of the mine towards the North side (Fig 1). It was further found that, according to the mine working plan (please see Annexure I) submitted by the Client, the location of the mining activity is about 60-70 M inside from the northern boundary line (Pillars 1 & 2) of the mine, which is earmarked for the dump yard and other non-mining purposes. In this context, it was found that the last house of the Lalithapur village might be easily about 300 M away from the actual mining activity site. Given this much distance and the proposed non-blasting wire-saw method of mining by the Client, the village and the surrounding environment may not be directly impacted negatively by the mining activity of this project. However, the dust generated during the operations should be contained by regular water spraying. Measures such as Air pollution equipment need to be installed to arrest and restrict the spread of particulate matter towards the village.

There are no water bodies near to the project site. However, the hillocks are surrounded by the private paddy fields. These paddy lands have to be protected by digging garland drains with settling ponds around the mining site to prevent any possible water and ground pollution. There is one farm pond constructed by the village labour under the government MGNREGA scheme in 2018 towards the southern side of the mine. This pond should be protected and garland drains can be connected to it using it as a settling pond. Retaining walls may be constructed on the mine boundary at required places to prevent any possible damage to the neighbouring arable lands.

Green belt development

The interspaces among boulder stones of the hillocks having soil patches have been planted with Kanuga (Pongamiapinnata) saplings under the MGNREGA scheme all around the project site a couple of years, reportedly under the committed leadership by the Village Sarpanch. Due to good care with watch and ward and coupled with regular watering, they have grown up very well and become dense with lush greenery along with the local vegetation (Fig 2). These patches look as though a standing demonstration of an ideal green belt that is supposed to be done around the mining sites everywhere!

Therefore, the client is advised not to disturb these plantation patches, including the natural vegetation, which is located on the periphery. Besides, the green belt plantation has to be taken up in the vacant areas all around the mine sites as to meet the mandatory requirement of the green belt in 7.5 M with multiple tree species.

3. Environmental measures/ Additional TORs to be taken up by the Proponent:

- a) Mining method should be done with non-blasting, wire-saw method.
- b) Install the air pollution equipment and carry out regular sprinkling of water.
- c) Construction of garland drains with settling ponds on the periphery all around the mining site, including the retaining walls wherever necessary.
- d) Protect all the young plantation blocks around the hillocks/mining site with due care while mining, transporting the ore material and dumping the waste as per the norms.
- e) Strengthen the existing approach road for commutation of heavy vehicles.

Recommendations:

Environmental Clearance may be given to the project, subject to the submission of above undertakings and compliance of other statutory guidelines for such mines.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC with the following specific condition:

- The proponent shall take all precautionary measures to protect all the young plantation blocks around the hillocks/mining site with due care while mining; transporting the ore material and dumping the waste, as per the norms.
- The proponent shall not disturb the plantation patches existing in the mine lease area, including the natural vegetation, which is located on the periphery.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

Agenda Item No. 34	1.366 Ha. Rough Stone & Road Metal Quarry of Sri. Venna Srinivas Reddy, Sy. No.318, Raghunadhapalem Village, Mattampally Mandal, Suryapet District, Telangana State – Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal no.	SIA/TG/MIN/41276/2019

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 27.02.2020 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site and submit report on present status of the project, impacts of the project on nearest human habitation, waterbody and surrounding environment.

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 11.03.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Type of Land: It is a plane with very little slope.
2. Ownership: Govt land is on lease for 20 years and the adjacent land is barren land.
3. Site Location/Boundaries/ Habitation/ etc: The distance between the mine boundary to the RAGHUNADHAPALEM, Village existing at a distance of 247.34 Meters, but there is no impact of the proposed project on the human habitation because it is a Mosaic Chips Quarry operated by wire saw method of cutting the Mosaic chips. While doing so if trace of dust rises using water sprinkling is proposed, but to stop the outgoing dust green belt massive plantation is essential along the boundary of the quarrymining site and also garland and siltation pond are compulsory requirements.
4. Road connectivity: Pakka metal road is existing up to the quarry area. But much big tree plantation is needed further the road must be maintained well by the proponent so that the dust should not prevail
5. Present status of the mine: The proponent has not yet started the mining photographic evidences are provided.
6. Plantation: The proponent has to do massive green belt plantation around the mining area because the dust will go to the long distances because the Mosaic chip quarry produce smallest dust, If plantation is not done the dust generated reach the nearby village and create problems to the villagers.
7. IMPACT OF THE MINING: The project proponent has to prepare garland drain and siltation pond to arrest siltation. The dust impact on human habitation will not be that much if massive green belt is maintained in addition to that frequent watering at the mine site is also essential.

Note: Environmental Clearance may be issued subject to proponent undertaking given to fulfill the conditions proposed in the inspection report.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

Agenda Item No. 35	1.0 Ha. Black Granite Mine of Sri P. Krishna Reddy, Survey No. 123, Ennararam Village, Ramanapet Mandal, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana State Environmental Clearance - Reg.
Proposal no.	SIA/TG/MIN/45295/1900

Earlier, the SEAC in its meeting held on 23.12.2019 constituted a sub-committee to inspect the site, to verify records and submit report on present status of the project, existence of stream in mine lease area, impacts of the proposed project on nearest human habitation, waterbody, surrounding environment and to propose additional measures, if any.

Minutes of the SEAC Meeting held on 17.03.2020

The Sub-Committee constituted by the SEAC inspected the site on 12.03.2020 and submitted the report. The following observations were made by the sub-committee members:

1. Type of Land: It is a plane with very little slope.
2. Ownership: Govt land is on lease for 20 years, but the adjacent land is own
3. Site Location/Boundaries/Habitation/ etc: The distance between the mine boundary to the village Emaram existing at a distance of 1.2km, hence there is no impact of the proposed project on the human habitation, but there are crop plat fields exists adjacent to the mine lease area therefore massive greenbelt plantation is required around the boundary of the mining site and also garland and sitation pond are compulsory requirements.
4. Road connectivity: Clay road is existing at bad condition hence much big tree plantation is needed further the road must be maintained well by the proponent so that the dust should not prevail, which lead to production losses to the formers at the vicinity.
5. Present status of the mine: The proponent has not yet started the mining. The previous illegal mining activity is visible(see in the photos).
6. plantation: The plantation is not done by the previous proponent at the periphery of the mining boundary, hence now the present proponent has to do massive plantation around the mining area because the mining area is attached to the crops fields of the nearby villages. If plantation is not done the dust generated will fall on the leaf of the crop there by the sun light penetration will be stopped due to that the photosynthesis will get effected which leads to loss of productivity.

7. IMPACT OF THE MINING: The project proponent has to prepare garland drain and sitation pond to arrest sitation. The impact on human habitation is not much there. There is no human habitation near the mine. And also on the water body exists in the nearby area.

1. The approach road is not good and no metal road available hence plantation along the approaching road is essential.
2. There is no reserve forests are located near the site area.
3. Green belt development is essential because the surrounding of the mine is encircled by the various crop fields hence to stop the dust rising, the massive plantation is essential.

Environmental Clearance may be issued subject to proponent undertaking given to fulfill the conditions proposed in the inspection report.

The SEAC examined the report of the Sub-Committee and after detailed discussions, the SEAC recommended the project for issue of EC.

CHAIRMAN, SEAC


CHAIRMAN, SEAC

