

The 483<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was held on 18<sup>th</sup> February, 2021 under the Chairmanship of Mohd. Kasam Khan for the projects / issues received from SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting in person or through video conferencing -

1. Dr. Mohd. Akram Khan, Member.
2. Dr. A. K. Sharma, Member.
3. Dr. Sonal Mehta, Member.
4. Dr. Jai Prakash Shukla, Member.
5. Dr. R. Maheshwari, Member.
6. Dr. Rubina Chaudhary, Member.
7. Shri A.A. Mishra, Secretary.

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee and thereafter agenda items were taken up for deliberations.

**1. Case No 8194/2021 M/s R.K.N. Enterprises Prop. Shri Nikhlesh Ganghoriya, 318, Ward No. 09, Tehsil - Marg, Kurwai, Dist. Vidisha, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.30 ha. (2000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 194), Village - Kumharra, Tehsil - Mungaoli, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 194), Village - Kumharra, Tehsil - Mungaoli, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP) 3.30 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Assistant Mining Officer (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 502 dated: 21/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the part of lease is under submergence, for which PP submitted that this is a second order tributary of River Kathan of Betwa River but volume is only 2,000 cum/year. This can be easily evacuated from the dry area and in the Mining

Plan, submerged area and dry area has been shown. PP further stated that they are also submitted the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 20.36 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.89 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**2. Case No 8195/2021 M/s R.K.N. Enterprises, Prop. Shri Nikhlesh Ganghoriya, 318, Ward No. 09, Tehsil - Marg, Kurwai, Dist. Vidisha, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (2000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 1/1), Village - Shajapur, Tehsil - Nayisaray, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 1/1), Village - Shajapur, Tehsil - Nayisaray, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP) 4.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Assistant Mining Officer (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 502 dated: 21/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining of River Sindh. PP stated that they are submitting the photographs of mineable area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 19.36Lakh as capital and Rs 01.59 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**3. Case No 8197/2021 M/s R.K.N. Enterprises, Prop. Shri Nikhlesh Ganghoriya, 318, Ward No. 09, Tehsil - Marg, Kurwai, Dist. Vidisha, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.0 ha. (2000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 178), Village - Gora Bahadurpur, Tehsil - Mungaoli, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 178), Village - Gora Bahadurpur, Tehsil - Mungaoli, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP) 3.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Assistant Mining Officer (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 502 dated: 21/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the part of lease is under submergence, for which PP submitted that this is a second order tributary of River Kathan of Betwa River but volume is only 2,000 cum/year. This can be easily evacuated from the dry area and in the Mining Plan, submerged area and dry area has shown. PP stated that they are also submitted the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 12.36 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.84 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**4. Case No 8198/2021 M/s R.K.N. Enterprises, Prop. Shri Nikhlesh Ganghoriya, 318, Ward No. 09, Tehsil - Marg, Kurwai, Dist. Vidisha, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.0 ha. (1000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 01), Village - Kherona, Tehsil - Shadhora, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 01), Village - Kherona, Tehsil - Shadhora, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP) 3.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Assistant Mining Officer (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 502 dated: 21/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the about 20% lease area is under submergence, for which PP submitted that this lease located on River Sindh, but volume is only 1,000 cum/year. This can be easily evacuated from the dry area and in the Mining Plan, submerged area and dry area has shown. PP stated that they are also submitted the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 11.86 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.84 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**5. Case No 8199/2021 M/s R.K.N. Enterprises, Prop. Shri Nikhlesh Ganghoriya, 318, Ward No. 09, Tehsil - Marg, Kurwai, Dist. Vidisha, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.063 ha. (1000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 359, 360, 363), Village - Depalkhedi, Tehsil - Nayisaray, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 359, 360, 363), Village - Depalkhedi, Tehsil - Nayisaray, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP) 3.063 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Assistant Mining Officer (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 502 dated: 02/01/2021 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. PP submitted that this is a second order tributary of River Choch of Sindh River but volume is only 1,000 cum/year. PP stated that they are submitting the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 12.36 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.84 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**6. Case No 8200/2021 M/s R.K.N. Enterprises, Prop. Shri Nikhlesh Ganghoriya, 318, Ward No. 09, Tehsil - Marg, Kurwai, Dist. Vidisha, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.369 ha. (2000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 180/167, 622/614), Village - Madaukhedi, Tehsil - Mungaoli, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 180/167, 622/614), Village - Madaukhedi, Tehsil - Mungaoli, Dist. Ashoknagar (MP) 3.369 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Assistant Mining Officer (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 502 dated: 21/01/2021 has reported that there are 03 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the most of lease is under submerged in water, for which PP submitted that this current image is of rainy season. However after rainy season sand can be evacuated, when lease area becomes dry. PP stated that they are also submitted the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 20.36 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.84 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**7. Case No. – 7731/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP – 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 0.770 ha. (3,849 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 72), Village - Berkhedi Ghat, Tehsil - Raisen, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 72), Village - Berkhedi Ghat, Tehsil - Raisen, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 0.770 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

*PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project*

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the part of lease is under submergence, for which PP submitted that this lease is on Betwa River, for which PP submitted that this current image is of rainy season. However after rainy season, area becomes dry and sand can be evacuated. PP stated that they are also submitting the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 3,849 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 5.16 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.32 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.



**8. Case No. – 7733/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 0.330 ha. (1,648 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 30), Village - Barla, Tehsil - Raisen, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 30), Village - Barla, Tehsil - Raisen, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 0.330 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 0.73 ha., including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the part of lease is under submergence, for which PP submitted that this lease is on Betwa River, for which PP submitted that this current image is of rainy season. However after rainy season sand can be evacuated from the dry area. PP stated that they are also submitting the photographs of mineable dry area with presentation. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,648 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 05.36 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.42 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**9. Case No. – 7730/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.00 ha. (25,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 551), Village - Motlasir, Tehsil - Baraily, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 551), Village - Motlasir, Tehsil - Baraily, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 4.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that this lease is on Narmada River, for which PP submitted that only manual mining shall be carried out. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 25,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 14.86 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.49 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**10. Case No. – 7734/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP – 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 5.00 ha. (10,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 385), Village - Sultanganj, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 385), Village - Sultanganj, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 5.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 451 dated: 24/07/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that this lease is on Narmada River, for which PP submitted that only manual mining shall be carried out. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Revised plantation scheme (@1500 trees /ha.) as suggested by committee.
- Revised EMP as suggested by committee.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 10,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 14.86 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.43 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**11. Case No. – 7736/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 0.40 ha. (2,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 123), Village - Tijalpur, Tehsil - Raisen, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 123), Village - Tijalpur, Tehsil - Raisen, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 0.40 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 0.73 ha., including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09, October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the part of lease is under submergence, for which PP submitted that this is a River sand mining on Betwa River. PP submitted that this current image is of rainy season. However after rainy season lease is mineable which can be verified by two past google image. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':



1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 5.28 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.33 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**12. Case No. – 7772/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 46438 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.50 ha. (1,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 229), Village - Mohadkalan, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 229), Village - Mohadkalan, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 3.50 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09, October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that this lease is on Narmada River, for which PP submitted that only manual mining shall be carried out. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMS. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 14.28 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.33 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**13. Case No. – 7732/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP – 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 2.00 ha. (1,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 104), Village - Bhouti-1 Ghat, Tehsil - Badi, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 104), Village - Bhouti-1 Ghat, Tehsil - Badi, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 2.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that this lease is on Narmada River, for which PP submitted that only manual mining shall be carried out. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 08.28 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.35 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**14. Case No. – 7729/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 5.00 ha. (5,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 73), Village - Muwar, Tehsil - Baraily, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 73), Village - Muwar, Tehsil - Baraily, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 5.00 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 451 dated: 24/07/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that this lease is on Narmada River, for which PP submitted that only manual mining shall be carried out. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 5,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 17.28 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.33 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**15. Case No. – 7771/2020 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 0.710 ha. (1,000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 245), Village - Nayakheda-2, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP).**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 245), Village - Nayakheda-2, Tehsil - Udaipura, Dist. Raisen, (MP) 0.710 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation.

Case was scheduled in SEAC meeting wherein PP remains absent. Since the tenure of SEAC will be over on 09 October, 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-I cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

The case was discussed in 655<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting 29/01/2021 and it has been recorded that...

The case was discussed in 643<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd. 06/10/200 and it was recorded that.....

The case was recommended in 464<sup>th</sup> SEAC dated 03/10/2020 it was recorded that....

PP's reply not received till date and it appears that PP is not interested to continue with the project

Since the tensure of SEAC will be over on 09,October 2020 and all such cases which are pending at SEAC will become category-1 cases in the absence of SEAC. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

As per above observation of SEAC, it has been decided to delist above case mentioned in S.No. 81 to 132 on the condition that if PP intends to present the case in SEIAA. Thus case file is being sent to SEIAA for onward necessary action please.

PP has requested vide L.No. NIL dated 22/01/2021 (with a copy of order dated 12/10/2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India) received in SEIAA office on 23/01/2021 to relist the case as PP is now ready to present their case in SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC. Considering the request of PP, it was decided to relist the case and send the technical file to SEAC for examination and obtaining legal opinion of Advocate General, Govt. of MP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant, during appraisal of the project it was observed that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court .PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Committee observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee shall be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India.

During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that this lease is on Narmada River, for which PP submitted that only manual mining shall be carried out. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,000cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 05.28 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.35 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.



**16. Case No 8179/2021 Shri Shishir Khandar, Samashish Bhavan, 18, Malik Complex, Tenament Hotel, Airport Center Plient, Somalwada, Warda Road, Dist. Nagpur, Mah. – 480106 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 2.0 ha. (13200 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 240), Village - Lonikala, Tehsil - Chand, Dist. Chhindwara (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 240), Village - Lonikala, Tehsil - Chand, Dist. Chhindwara (MP) 2.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 4107 dated: 07/01/2021 has reported that there is one more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed that the part of lease is under submergence, for which PP submitted that out of 20,000 sq.m. 9000 sq.m. Is submerged and mining will be carried out in remaining 11,000 sq.m. dry area with 1.20 m sanctioned depth in the Mining Plan. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 13,200 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 9.72 Lakh as capital and Rs 03.28 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

**17. Case No. -5149/16 M/S R.K. Transpor & Construction Ltd., 65-A Transport Nagar Korba Distt. Korba (CG). Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Quarry in an area of 6.00 ha. (60,000 cum/year) at Khasra no.-206, Village-Singhodi, Tehsil-Pipariya, District-Hoshangabad (MP)**

This is case of River Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, at Khasra no.-206, Village-Singhodi, Tehsil-Pipariya, District-Hoshangabad (MP) 6.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.3458, dated: -09/11/15, has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein submissions made by PP were found satisfactory and acceptable and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

- Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 60,000 cum/year.
- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 50 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
- No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

As per the policy decision of 654<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dtd 28/01/2021....

“it has been observed that multiple cases which were granted EC transfers as per the prevailing mining plan have now requested for consideration of revised mining plan approved by competent authority.

SEIAA recommends this case to SEAC for examination as per prevailing rules and guidelines.

The case was scheduled for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings.

**18. Case No 8066/2021 Shri Virendra Singh Jadoun, E-7/M-708, Arera Colony, Dist. Bhopal, MP – 462016 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (2000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 22), Village - Dehariya, Tehsil - Dharampuri, Dist. Dhar, (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 22), Village - Dehariya, Tehsil - Dharampuri, Dist. Dhar, (MP) 4.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patra) letter no. 1045 dated: 27/05/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining. During presentation as per Google image based on coordinates provided by PP, it was observed by the committee that two road bridges are built on highways which are located both side of the lease as in the east and west side of the lease area thus mandatory safety distances shall be left as per Monitoring and Enforcement Guidelines for sand mining, 2020 published by MoEF&CC. After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Revised production plan leaving safety distances shall be left as per Monitoring and Enforcement Guidelines for sand mining, 2020 published by MoEF&CC.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 28.01.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. Committee observed that even after deducting the volume of sand in the submerged area, the sanctioned volume of sand can be evacuated from the available dry area as per the revised plan submitted by PP. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 2000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 9.27 Lakh as capital and Rs 05.67 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.

The case was discussed in 657<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 08/02/2021 and it has been recorded that.....

“PP has submitted the response of above quarries same data vide letter dated 28/01/2021 which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. Committee observed that even after deducting the volume of sand in the submerged area, the sanctioned volume of sand can be evacuated from the available dry area as per the revised plan submitted by PP. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure-B

The case was scheduled for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings.

After detail discussion, As per Google image it seems that another mine also located within 500m. radius of the proposed mine lease area on Khasra No. 22 and it was also found that the EC has been issued in case no. 7209/2020 vide letter 1170-71/SEIAA/20

dtd. 19/06/2020. Thus, it seems that total area of the both mines is more than 5 ha. and it was observed that the case comes under category B1. After detail discussion it was decided that return this case with technical file SEAC for re-appraisal.

The case was scheduled for the presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings.

**19. Case No 8201/2021 Shri Virendra Singh Jadoun, E-7/M-708, Arera Colony, Dist. Bhopal, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Khodu- Bharu Sand Quarry in an area of 3.0 ha. (1500 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 40), Village - Rajpura, Tehsil - Manawar, Dist. Dhar (MP)**

This is case of Khodu- Bharu Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 40), Village - Rajpura, Tehsil - Manawar, Dist. Dhar (MP) 3.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 1056 dated: 27/05/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that The PP stated that this is a Khodu-Bharu type sand mine. The method of mining will be open cast semi mechanized. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'C':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,500 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 6.73 Lakh as capital and Rs 4.53 Lakh has proposed.

**20. Case No 8205/2021 Shri Virendra Singh Jadoun, E-7/M-708, Arera Colony, Dist. Bhopal, MP Prior Environment Clearance for Khodu- Bharu Sand Quarry in an area of 5.0 ha. (4000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 205/1), Village - Pipaldagadi, Tehsil - Dharampuri, Dist. Dhar (MP)**

This is case of Khodu- Bharu Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at (Khasra No. 205/1), Village - Pipaldagadi, Tehsil - Dharampuri, Dist. Dhar (MP) 5.0 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 237 dated: 27/05/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant. PP stated that The PP stated that this is a Khodu-Bharu type sand mine . The method of mining will be open cast semi mechanized. Some trees are existing in lease area PP submitted that this area shall be dealt as non – mining area . After presentation the committee asked to submit following details:

- Revised surface map showing non – mining area where some trees are existing.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries same date vide letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee and the same found satisfactory. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'C':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 4,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 12.25 Lakh as capital and Rs 6.75 Lakh as recurring cost has proposed.

**DISCUSSION BASED ON QUERY REPLY SUBMITTED BY PP/ PENDING SINCE LONG**

**21. Case No 8132/2021 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 3.0 ha. (20001 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 299), Village - Ghatpipariya-4, Tehsil - Baraily, Dist. Raisen (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 299), Village - Ghatpipariya-4, Tehsil - Baraily, Dist. Raisen (MP) 3.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the SEAC 480<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 13.02.2021. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining on Narmada River, the method of mining will be manual. During presentation it was observed by the committee that matter was sub judicious in the High Court and H'ble Supreme Court wherein no information is submitted by PP in the Form-I. Hence, after presentation the committee asked to submit following details for further appraisal of this case:

- Summary of the court orders given by H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court in the chronological sequence.

PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Wherein it was observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee will be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India. Further, Committee observed that even after deducting the volume of sand in the submerged area, the sanctioned volume of sand can be evacuated from the available dry area as per the revised plan submitted by PP. The mining shall be done as per the

approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 20,001cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 11.86 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.44 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**22. Case No 8143/2021 Shri Rajendra Raghuwanshi, D-4, Phase-2, Shri Ram Colony, Hoshangabad Road, Dist. Bhopal, MP - 464385 Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry in an area of 4.0 ha. (1000 cum per annum) (Khasra No. 735), Village - Boras-1, Tehsil - Udaypura, Dist. Raisen (MP)**

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site (Khasra No. 735), Village - Boras-1, Tehsil - Udaypura, Dist. Raisen (MP) 4.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, DSR report, information in the lease's within 500 meters radius around the site and other requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified in the Collector Office (Ekal Praman-Patr) letter no. 2293 dated: 02/09/2020 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the SEAC 480<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 13.02.2021. PP stated that this is a case of river sand mining on Narmada River, the method of mining will be manual. During presentation it was observed by the committee that matter was sub judicious in the H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court wherein no information is submitted by PP in the Form-I. Hence, after presentation the committee asked to submit following details for further appraisal of this case:

- Summary of the court orders given by H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court in the chronological sequence.



PP has submitted the response of above quarries letter dated 18.02.2021, which was placed before the committee. Wherein it was observed that PP has submitted chronology of cases filed H'ble High Court and H'ble Supreme Court. PP submitted that H'ble Supreme Court of India has stayed the order passed by H'ble High Court. Committee recommends that in the light of above submission by PP, the recommendation of committee will be subjected to the final order passed by H'ble Supreme Court of India. Further, Committee observed that even after deducting the volume of sand in the submerged area, the sanctioned volume of sand can be evacuated from the available dry area as per the revised plan submitted by PP. The mining shall be done as per the approved mine plan by concerned DGMs. The EMP and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,000 cum/year.
2. A budgetary provision for Environmental management Plan of Rs. 18.36 Lakh as capital and Rs 01.49 Lakh/year as recurring are proposed by PP.
3. This recommendation is subjected to the final decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

**(Dr. Mohd. Akram Khan)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. R. Maheshwari)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. Rubina Chaudhary)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. Sonal Mehta)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. J. P. Shukla)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. Anil Sharma)**  
**Member**

**(A. A. Mishra)**  
**Secretary**

**(Mohd. Kasam Khan)**  
**Chairman**

**Following standard conditions shall be applicable for the mining projects of minor mineral in addition to the specific conditions and cases appraised for grant of TOR:**

**Annexure- 'A'**

**Standard conditions applicable to Stone/Murram and Soil quarries:**

1. Mining should be carried out as per the submitted land use plan and approved mine plan.
2. The lease boundary should be clearly demarcated at site with the given co-ordinates by pillars and fenced from all around the site. Necessary safety signage & caution boards shall be displayed at mine site.
3. Overhead sprinklers arrangements with solar pumps should be provided for dust suppression at the exit of the lease area and fixed types sprinklers on the evacuation road. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
4. Transportation of material shall only be done in covered & PUC certified vehicles with required moisture to avoid fugitive emissions. Transportation of minerals shall not be carried out through forest area without permissions from the competent authority.
5. Mineral evacuation road shall be made pucca (WBM/black top) by PP.
6. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
7. Crusher with inbuilt APCD & water sprinkling system shall be installed minimum 100 meters away from the road and 500 meters away from the habitations only after the permissions of MP Pollution Control Board with atleast 03 meters high wind breaking wall of suitable material to avoid fugitive emissions.
8. Thick plantation shall be carryout in the periphery/barrier zone of the lease, mineral evacuation road and common area in the village. Top soil shall be simultaneously used for the plantation within the lease area and no OB/dump shall be stacked outside the lease area. PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement and to take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
9. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat/competent authority.
10. Six monthly occupational health surveys of workers shall be carryout and all the workers shall be provided with necessary PPE's. Mandatory facilities such as Rest Shelters, First Aid, Proper Fire Fighting Equipments and Toilets (separate for male & female) shall also be provided for all the mine workers and other staff. Mine's site office, rest shelters etc shall be illuminated and ventilated through solar lights.
11. A separate bank account should be maintained for all the expenses made in the EMP and CER activities by PP for financial accountability and these details should be provided in Annual Environmental Statement. In case the allocated EMP budget for mitigative measures to control the pollution is not utilized fully, the reason of under utilization of budgetary provisions for EMP should be addressed in annual return.
12. To avoid vibration, no overcharging shall be carried out during blasting and muffle blasting shall be adopted. Blasting shall be carried out through certified blaster only and no explosive will be stored at mine site without permission from the competent authority.

13. Mine water should not be discharged from the lease and be used for sprinkling & plantations. For surface runoff and storm water garland drains and settling tanks (SS pattern) of suitable sizes shall be provided.
14. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
15. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
16. NOC of Gram Panchayat should be obtained for the water requirement and forest department before uprooting any trees in the lease area. PP shall take Socio-economic activities in the region through the 'Gram Panchayat'.
17. The leases which are falling <250 meters of the forest area and PP has obtained approval for the Divisional Level Commissioner committee, all the conditions stipulated by Divisional Level Commissioner committee shall be fulfilled by the PP.
18. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
19. If it being a case of Temporary Permit (TP), the validity of EC should be only up to the validity of TP and PP has to ensure the execution of closure plan.
20. All the mines where production is > 50,000 cum/year, PP shall develop its own website to display various mining related activities proposed in EMP & CER along with budgetary allocations. All the six monthly progress report shall also be uploads on this website along with MoEF&CC & SEIAA, MP with relevant photographs of various activities such as garland drains, settling tanks, plantation, water sprinkling arrangements, transportation & haul road etc. PP or Mine Manager shall be made responsible for its maintenance & regular updation.
21. All the soil queries, the maximum permitted depth shall not exceed 02 meters below general ground level & other provisions laid down in MoEF&CC OM No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M) dated 24/06/2013.
22. The mining lease holders shall after ceasing mining operation, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to their mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. Moreover, A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
23. The project proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
24. Any change in the correspondence address shall be duly intimated to all the regulatory authority within 30 days of such change.
25. Mining Lease boundary shall be appropriately earmarked with fencing.
26. A display board with following details of the project is mandatory at the entry to the mine.
  - a. Lease owner's Name, Contact details etc.
  - b. Mining Lease area of the project (in ha.)
  - c. Production capacity of the project.

**Annexure- 'B'**

**Standard conditions applicable for the Sand Mine Quarries\***

1. District Authority should annually record the deposition of sand in the lease area (at an interval of 100 meters for leases 10 ha or > 10.00 ha and at an interval of 50 meters for leases < 10 ha.) before monsoon & in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority shall allow lease holder to excavate only the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
2. The lease boundary should be clearly demarcated at site with the given co-ordinates by pillars. Necessary safety signage & caution boards shall be displayed at mine site.
3. Overhead sprinklers arrangements with solar pumps should be provided for dust suppression at the exit of the lease area and fixed types sprinklers on the evacuation road. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
4. Only registered vehicles/tractor trolleys which are having the necessary registration and permission for the aforesaid purpose under the Motor Vehicle Act and also insurance coverage for the same shall alone be used for said purpose.
5. Transportation of material shall only be done in covered & PUC certified vehicles with required moisture to avoid fugitive emissions. Transportation of minerals shall not be carried out through forest area without permissions from the competent authority.
6. Mineral evacuation road shall be made Pucca (WBM/black top) by PP.
7. Sand and gravel shall not be extracted up to a distance of 1 kilometer (1Km) from major bridges and highways on both sides, or five times (5x) of the span (x) of a bridge/public civil structure (including water intake points) on up-stream side and ten times (10x) the span of such bridge on down-stream side, subjected to a minimum of 250 meters on the upstream side and 500 meters on the downstream side.
8. Mining depth should be restricted to 3 meters or water level, whichever is less and distance from the bank should be 1/4<sup>th</sup> or river width and should not be less than 7.5 meters. No in-stream mining is allowed. Established water conveyance channels should not be relocated, straightened, or modified.
9. Demarcation of mining area with pillars and geo-referencing should be done prior to the start of mining.
10. PP shall carry out independent environmental audit atleast once in a year by reputed third party entity and report of such audit be placed on public domain.
11. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
12. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mine plan and in accordance with the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines, 2016 and Enforcement & Monitoring Guidelines for Sand Mining, 2020 issued by the MoEF&CC ensuring that the annual replenishment of sand in the mining lease area is sufficient to sustain the mining operations at levels prescribed in the mining plan.
13. If the stream is dry, the excavation must not proceed beyond the lowest undisturbed elevation of the stream bottom, which is a function of local hydraulics, hydrology, and geomorphology.
14. After mining is complete, the edge of the pit should be graded to a 2.5:1 slope in the direction of the flow.
15. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
16. Thick plantation shall be carryout on the banks of the river adjacent to the lease, mineral evacuation road and common area in the village. PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty

replacement. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement and to take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.

17. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat/competent authority.
18. Six monthly occupational health surveys of workers shall be carryout and all the workers shall be provided with necessary PPE's. Mandatory facilities such as Rest Shelters, First Aid, Proper Fire Fighting Equipments and Toilets (separate for male & female) shall also be provided for all the mine workers and other staff. Mine's site office, rest shelters etc shall be illuminated and ventilated through solar lights.
19. A separate bank account should be maintained for all the expenses made in the EMP and CER activities by PP for financial accountability and these details should be provided in Annual Environmental Statement. In case the allocated EMP budget for mitigative measures to control the pollution is not utilized fully, the reason of under utilization of budgetary provisions for EMP should be addressed in annual return.
20. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
21. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
22. NOC of Gram Panchayat should be obtained for the water requirement and forest department before uprooting any trees in the lease area.
23. The leases which are falling <250 meters of the forest area and PP has obtained approval for the Divisional Level Commissioner committee, all the conditions stipulated by Divisional Level Commissioner committee shall be fulfilled by the PP.
24. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
25. If it being a case of Temporary Permit (TP), the validity of EC should be only up to the validity of TP and PP has to ensure the execution of closure plan.
26. A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
27. The project proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".
27. Any change in the correspondence address shall be duly intimated to all the regulatory authority within 30 days of such change.
28. Mining Lease boundary shall be appropriately earmarked with fencing.
29. A display board with following details of the project is mandatory at the entry to the mine.
  - a. Lease owner's Name, Contact details etc.
  - b. Mining Lease area of the project (in ha.)
  - c. Production capacity of the project.

28. Following conditions must be implemented by PP in case of sand mining as per NGT (CZ) order dated 19/10/2020 in OA NO. 66/2020 and SEIAA's instruction vide letter No. 5084 dated 09/12/2020.
- i. The Licensee must use minimum number of poclains and it should not be more than two in the project site.
  - ii. The District Administration should assess the site for Environmental impact at the end of first year to permit the continuation of the operation.
  - iii. The ultimate working depth shall be 01 m from the present natural river bed level and the thickness of the sand available shall be more than 03 m the proposed quarry site.
  - iv. The sand quarrying shall not be carried out blow the ground water table under any circumstances. In case, the ground water table occurs within the permitted depth at 01 meter, quarrying operation shall be stopped immediately.
  - v. The sand mining should not disturb in any way the turbidity, velocity and flow pattern of the river water.
  - vi. The mining activity shall be monitored by the Taluk level Force once in a month by conducting physical verification.
  - vii. After closure of the mining, the licensee shall immediately remove all the sheds put up in the quarry and all the equipments used for operation of sand quarry. The roads/pathways shall be leveled to let the river resume its normal course without any artificial obstruction to the extent possible.
  - viii. The mined out pits to be backfilled where warranted and area should be suitable landscaped to prevent environmental degradation.
  - ix. PP shall adhere to the norms regarding extent and depth of quarry as per approved mining plan. The boundary of the quarry shall be properly demarcated by PP.

**Annexure- 'C'**

**Standard conditions applicable for the Sand deposits on Agricultural Land/ Khodu Bharu Type Sand Mine Quarries\***

1. Mining should be done only to the extent of reclaiming the agricultural land.
2. Only deposited sand is to be removed and no mining/digging below the ground level is allowed.
3. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mining plan.
4. The lease boundary should be clearly demarcated at site with the given co-ordinates by pillars and necessary safety signage & caution boards shall be displayed at mine site.
5. Overhead sprinklers arrangements with solar pumps should be provided for dust suppression at the exit gate of the lease area and fixed types sprinklers on the evacuation road. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
6. The mining activity shall be done as per approved mine plan and as per the land use plan submitted by PP.
7. Transportation of material shall only be done in covered & PUC certified vehicles with required moisture to avoid fugitive emissions. Transportation of minerals shall not be carried out through forest area without permissions from the competent authority.
8. Mineral evacuation road shall be made Pucca (WBM/black top) by PP.
9. For carrying out mining in proximity to any bridge and/or embankment, appropriate safety zone on upstream as well as on downstream from the periphery of the mining site shall be ensured taking into account the structural parameters, location aspects, flow rate, etc., and no mining shall be carried out in the safety zone.

10. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
11. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mine plan and in accordance with the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines, 2016 issued by the MoEF&CC.
12. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
13. Thick plantation shall be carryout on the banks of the river adjacent to the lease, mineral evacuation road and common area in the village. PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement and to take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
14. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat/competent authority.
15. Six monthly occupational health surveys of workers shall be carryout and all the workers shall be provided with necessary PPE's. Mandatory facilities such as Rest Shelters, First Aid, Proper Fire Fighting Equipments and Toilets (separate for male & female) shall also be provided for all the mine workers and other staff. Mine's site office, rest shelters etc shall be illuminated and ventilated through solar lights.
16. A separate bank account should be maintained for all the expenses made in the EMP and CER activities by PP for financial accountability and these details should be provided in Annual Environmental Statement. In case the allocated EMP budget for mitigative measures to control the pollution is not utilized fully, the reason of under utilization of budgetary provisions for EMP should be addressed in annual return.
17. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
18. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
19. NOC of Gram Panchayat should be obtained for the water requirement and forest department before uprooting any trees in the lease area.
20. The leases which are falling <250 meters of the forest area and PP has obtained approval for the Divisional Level Commissioner committee, all the conditions stipulated by Divisional Level Commissioner committee shall be fulfilled by the PP.
21. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
22. If it being a case of Temporary Permit (TP), the validity of EC should be only up to the validity of TP and PP has to ensure the execution of closure plan.
23. A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
24. The project proponent shall follow the mitigation measures provided in MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area".

25. Any change in the correspondence address shall be duly intimated to all the regulatory authority within 30 days of such change.
26. Mining Lease boundary shall be appropriately earmarked with fencing.
27. A display board with following details of the project is mandatory at the entry to the mine.
  - a. Lease owner's Name, Contact details etc.
  - b. Mining Lease area of the project (in ha.)
  - c. Production capacity of the project.

**Annexure- 'D'**

**General conditions applicable for the granting of TOR**

1. The date and duration of carrying out the baseline data collection and monitoring shall be informed to the concerned Regional Officer of the M.P Pollution Control Board.
2. During monitoring, photographs shall be taken as a proof of the activity with latitude & longitude, date, time & place and same shall be attached with the EIA report. A drone video showing various sensitivities of the lease and nearby area shall also be shown during EIA presentation.
3. An inventory of various features such as sensitive area, fragile areas, mining / industrial areas, habitation, water-bodies, major roads, etc. shall be prepared and furnished with EIA.
4. An inventory of flora & fauna based on actual ground survey shall be presented.
5. Risk factors with their management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
6. The EIA report should be prepared by the accredited consultant having no conflict of interest with any committee processing the case.
7. The EIA document shall be printed on both sides, as far as possible.
8. All documents should be properly indexed, page numbered.
9. Period/date of data collection should be clearly indicated.
10. The letter /application for EC should quote the SEIAA case No./year and also attach a copy of the letter prescribing the TOR.
11. The copy of the letter received from the SEAC prescribing TOR for the project should be attached as an annexure to the final EIA/EMP report.
12. The final EIA/EMP report submitted to the SEIAA must incorporate all issues mentioned in TOR and that raised in Public Hearing with the generic structure as detailed out in the EIA report.
13. Grant of TOR does not mean grant of EC.
14. The status of accreditation of the EIA consultant with NABET/QCI shall be specifically mentioned. The consultant shall certify that his accreditation is for the sector for which this EIA is prepared. If consultant has engaged other laboratory for carrying out the task of monitoring and analysis of pollutants, a representative from laboratory shall also be present to answer the site specific queries.
15. On the front page of EIA/EMP reports, the name of the consultant/consultancy firm along with their complete details including their accreditation, if any shall be indicated. The consultant while submitting the EIA/EMP report shall give an undertaking to the effect that the prescribed TORs (TOR proposed by the project proponent and additional TOR given by the MOEF & CC) have been complied with and the data submitted is factually correct.
16. While submitting the EIA/EMP reports, the name of the experts associated with involved in the preparation of these reports and the laboratories through which the samples have been got analyzed should be stated in the report. It shall be indicated whether these laboratories are approved under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and also have NABL accreditation.
17. All the necessary NOC's duly verified by the competent authority should be annexed.



18. PP has to submit the copy of earlier Consent condition /EC compliance report, whatever applicable along with EIA report.
19. The EIA report should clearly mention activity wise EMP and CER cost details and should depict clear breakup of the capital and recurring costs along with the timeline for incurring the capital cost. The basis of allocation of EMP and CER cost should be detailed in the EIA report to enable the comparison of compliance with the commitment by the monitoring agencies.
20. A time bound action plan should be provided in the EIA report for fulfillment of the EMP commitments mentioned in the EIA report.
21. The name and number of posts to be engaged by the PP for implementation and monitoring of environmental parameters should be specified in the EIA report.
22. EIA report should be strictly as per the TOR, comply with the generic structure as detailed out in the EIA notification, 2006, baseline data is accurate and concerns raised during the public hearing are adequately addressed.
23. The EIA report should be prepared by the accredited consultant having no conflict of interest with any committee processing the case.
24. Public Hearing has to be carried out as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The issues raised in public hearing shall be properly addressed in the EMP and suitable budgetary allocations shall be made in the EMP and CER based on their nature.
25. Actual measurement of top soil shall be carried out in the lease area at minimum 05 locations and additionally N, P, K and Heavy Metals shall be analyzed in all soil samples. Additionally in one soil sample, pesticides shall also be analysed.
26. A separate budget in EMP & CER shall maintained for development and maintenance of grazing land as per the latest O.M dated 16/01/2020.
27. PP shall submit biological diversity report stating that there is no adverse impact in- situ and on surrounding area by this project on local flora and fauna's habitat, breeding ground, corridor/ route etc. This report shall be filed annually with six-monthly compliance report.
28. The project proponent shall provide the mitigation measures as per MoEFCCs Office Memorandum No. Z-11013/57/2014-IA. II (M) dated 29th October 2014, titled "Impact of mining activities on Habitations-issues related to the mining Projects wherein Habitations and villages are the part of mine lease areas or Habitations and villages are surrounded by the mine lease area" with EIA report.

**FOR PROJECTS LOCATED IN SCHEDULED (V) TRIBAL AREA , following should be studied and discussed in EIA Report before Public Hearing as per the instruction of SEIAA vide letter No. 1241 dated 30/07/2018.**

29. Detailed analysis by a National Institute of repute of all aspects of the health of the residents of the Schedule Tribal block.
30. Detailed analysis of availability and quality of the drinking water resources available in the block.
31. A study by CPCB of the methodology of disposal of industrial waste from the existing industries in the block, whether it is being done in a manner that mitigate all health and environmental risks.
32. The consent of Gram Sabha of the villages in the area where project is proposed shall be obtain.