



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

**121<sup>st</sup> SEAC, A.P.**

**Present:**

**The following members were present:**

1.	Dr. V.S.R.K.Prasad Visakhapatnam	Chairman
2.	Sri. BSS Prasad, I.F.S. Chairman, APPCB	Special Invitee
3.	Prof. M.Vijayalakshmi, Vijayawada	Member
4.	Prof. S. Bala Prasad Visakhapatnam	Member
5.	Prof. B.V.Sandeep Visakhapatnam	Member
6.	Prof. P. Jagannadha Rao Visakhapatnam	Member
7.	Sri. V. Veda Kumar, Hyderabad 121 SEAC AP	Member
8.	Dr. M. Bullaiah, IFS(Retd.) Hyderabad	Member
9.	Prof.K.Seshaiah Tirupati	Member
10.	Prof. KVG Murali Krishna Kakinada.	Member
11.	Dr. G.Devala Rao Vijayawada	Member
12.	Prof. K. Kameswara Rao( Retd.) Visakhapatnam	Member



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

Agenda No.	Name of the Project	Category
121.01	<b>7.0 Ha. Road Metal &amp; Building Stone Mine of M/s. Vijaya Stone Crusher at Sy.No.268, Kothavalasa Village, Salur Mandal, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their RQP, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The committee noted that the Project Proponent (PP) has not submitted the cluster details.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the PP shall furnish the Form 2 duly filled in with all details and also to submit revised mining plan with dump yard details and dump management plan.</i></p>	
121.02	<b>5.740 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s Spoorthy Infra at Sy. No.518/2 (old 96/2) of Ananthapuram Village, Chittoor Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their Consultant M/s. B.S. Envi-Tech (P) Ltd , have attended the meeting</p> <p>The committee noted that the Project Proponent (PP) has not submitted the cluster details.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the PP shall furnish the Form 2 duly filled in with all details and also to submit revised mining plan with dump yard details and dump management plan.</i></p>	
121.03	<b>8.640 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Shirdi Sai Mines &amp; Minerals at Survey No.694/P, Nasanakota Village, Ramagiri Mandal, Ananthapuramu District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their Consultant M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented the case.</p> <p>The committee noted that the Project Proponent (PP) has not submitted the cluster details.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the PP shall furnish the Form 2 duly filled in with all details and also to submit revised mining plan with dump yard details ( with dump height restricted to 15 meters only ) and dump management plan.</i></p>	
121.04	<b>40.313 Ha Quartz Mine of Sri K. Ravi Shankar at Sy No.100, Odulapalli (V), Talpula (M), Ananthapuramu District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (EC)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their Consultant M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Quartz Mine. The Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Andhra Pradesh issued In-principle letter on 27.04.2017 for mining lease for a period of 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 09.07.2017. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Anantapuramu District vide letter dated 11.08.2017, stated that there is one existing lease within the radius of 500m . Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B1' project.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the TOR was issued on 12.10.2017. Public hearing was conducted on 27.06.2018. The EIA report submitted and presented before the committee.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Quartz mine for the production quantities: <b>Quartz – 5,64,870 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

<b>121.05</b>	<b>Storage of Bulk Liquid Cargo by M/s. Mahathi ARC Storage Terminal Pvt. Ltd at Suryaraopeta (V), Kakinada, East Godavari (Dist), Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (EC)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their Consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented the final EIA report for the proposed Storage Terminal.</p> <p>The Committee noted this proposal is an Isolated storage of Bulk Liquid Storage –Class A &amp; B Chemicals and it is located in Kakinada Port area. Andhra Pradesh Port Department allotted the land for lease period 30 years. The existing pipeline of M/s. Kakinada Seaport Ltd., will be utilized for transportation of liquid chemicals.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item 6(b) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 –Isolated storage and handling of Hazardous Chemicals. And the exemption of public consultation, as provided for under Para 7(i) III. Stage (3)(i)(b) of EIA Notification, 2006. The TOR with exemption of public hearing was issued on 14.05.2018.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue the Environmental Clearance</b> this proposed Bulk Liquid Storage of Class A &amp; B Chemicals: <b>Class A – 9000 KL &amp; Class B – 15000 KL. Total Storage Capacity – 24000 KL</b> duly stipulating the conditions. The public hearing was exempted as the proposed project is located in the notified area i.e. Kakinada Port area. Additional conditions: The project proponent shall implement the on-site and off-site emergency action plans.</i></p>	
<b>121.06</b>	<b>7.538 Ha. Silica Sand Mine of M/s. KPR Mines &amp; Minerals at Survey No. 451, Momidi Village, Chillakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	121 SEAC AP	
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant M/s. Team Labs and Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Silica Sand Mine. The Director of Mines &amp;Geology, Ibrahimpatnam issued In-principle letter on 28.06.2018 for mining lease for a period of 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 02.08.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide letter 13.08.2018 stated that there is one mine leases within 500 meters i.e., (2.89 Ha.) for which Lol was issued. Hence, the present proposal comes under category ‘B2’ project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Silica Sand mine for the production quantities: <b>Silica Sand – 65,740 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.07</b>	<b>2.89 Ha. Silica Sand Mine of M/s. KPR Mines &amp; Minerals at Survey No. 451, Momidi Village, Chillakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant M/s. Team Labs and Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Silica Sand Mine. The Director of Mines &amp;Geology, Ibrahimpatnam issued In-principle letter on 28.06.2018 for mining lease for a period of 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 02.08.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide letter 13.08.2018 stated that there are two mine leases within 500 meters i.e.,one mine (8.0 Ha.) and another mine ( 7.538 Ha.) for which Lol</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>was issued, Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B2' project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Silica Sand mine for the production quantities: <b>Silica Sand – 24,576 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.08</b>	<b>6.465 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s Varsha Rocks at Sy. No.495 (Old.Sy.No.GapArea) of Anupalli Village, Ramachandrapuram Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant M/s. B.S. Envi-Tech (P) Ltd have attended the meeting</p> <p>The committee noted that there is sufficient dump yard for the proposed production quantity.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the PP shall furnish the Form 2 duly filled in with all details and also to submit revised mining plan with dump yard details and dump management plan. Also to furnish the surrounding linked cluster mines details.</i></p>	
<b>121.09</b>	<b>6.340 Ha. Road Metal &amp; Building Stone of M/s. Mavric &amp; Company at Survey No. 752/part, Thettu Village, Kurabakota Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant M/s. B.S. Envi-Tech (P) Ltd have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The committee noted that the EMP furnished by the project proponent is incomplete and irrelevant, not connected to neither this area nor the project.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended to reject the proposal of the project.</i></p>	
<b>121.10</b>	<b>4.476 Ha. Silica Sand Mine of M/s Gaba Mines &amp; Minerals at Sy.No:- 465/1P, 465/2P, 467/P of Chintavaram Village, Chillakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Silica Sand Mine. The Director of Mines and Geology, Ibrahimpatnam, has issued in-principle letter on 18.05.2018 for mining lease – 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 04.07.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide Letter No. 2968/P/2016, dt:10.08.2018, stated that two mine leases were granted within 500 m radius of the proposed quarry lease area, but 58 granted mining leases present outside of 500 m radius in continuation. The total 61 mining leases are arrived in continues of 500mts radius from this proposed mine. These mine leases were granted before 9<sup>th</sup> September 2013. Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B2' project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Silica Sand mine for the validity period of 6 years only , for the production quantities: <b>Silica Sand – 39,052 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.11</b>	<b>12.857 Ha. Quartz Mine of M/s. SGS Minerals Private Limited at Sy.No. 129/P, Singareddypalli Village, Seetharamapuram Mandal, S.P.S.R Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>The Committee noted that this is a new Quartz Mine. The Director of Mines and Geology, Ibrahimpatnam, has issued in-principle letter on 29.06.2018 for mining lease – 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 10.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide Letter No. 4182/P/2017, dt: 12.10.2018, stated that there is one quarry lease (10.825 Ha.) existing within 500m. Hence, the present proposal comes under category ‘B2’ project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Quartz mine for the validity period of 5 years only , for the production quantities: <b>Quartz – 12,282 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.12</b>	<b>2.662 Ha. Mica, Quartz and Feldspar Mine of M/s. Radha Krishna Mining Company at Survey No. 329, 330/P, Turimerla Village, Sydapuram Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant M/s. Team Labs and Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Mica ,Quartz and Feldspar Mine. The Depty. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, issued in-principle letter on 24.01.2018 for mining lease – 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 22.06.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide letter dated 02.07.2018, stated that there is one quarry lease ( 33.015 Ha.- M/s. Sri Bhagavan Mines ) existing within 500m. The mine lease of M/s. Sri Bhagavan Mines was granted before 9<sup>th</sup> September 2013. Hence, the present proposal comes under category ‘B2’ project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for issue of Environmental Clearance to this Mica, Quartz &amp; Feldspar mine for the production quantities: <b>Mica – 871 TPA, Quartz – 7841 TPA and Feldspar – 5227 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.13</b>	<b>40.065 Ha Quartzite Mine of M/s. Sri B. Ramesh at Sy.No:11, Marrivalasa (V), Dattirajeru (M), Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V.Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Quartzite mine was operated during the period 2001 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Gajapathinagaram ( C.C.No. 282/2016).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference ( TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Quartzite mine for production quantities - 2,43,000 TPA.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <p>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li><li>3. Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li><li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li><li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li><li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report . 121 SEAC AP</li><li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li><li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li><li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li><li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li><li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li><li>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</li><li>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</li><li>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</li></ol>
--	--





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.14</b>	<b>10.121 Ha (25.00 Acres) Silica Sand Mine of M/s. Sai Chinnamman Mines (Procs.No.3598/M2/94) at Sy.No.256, Vellapalem (V), Chillakur (M), S.P.S.R. Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. B.S.Enviro Tech (P) Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Silica Sand Mine was operated during the period 2002 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Nellore ( C.C.No. 479/2017).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this silica sand mine for production of Silica Sand Mine - 84,000 Tons/Annum .</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <p>1) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3) ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6) The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8) Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10) District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11) Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> <li>12) Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</li> <li>13) The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</li> <li>14) In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</li> <li>15) The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time</li> </ol>
--	---





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17) The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18) Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19) The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20) Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.15</b>	<b>38.843 Ha. Silica Sand Mine of M/s.Kohinoor Minerals at Sy.No. 396,397, 398, 410, 411, 420 &amp; 421 of Chintavaram Village and 496/1 of Ballavolu Village, Chillakur Mandal, Nellore District. Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt. Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Silica Sand Mine was operated during the period 1998 to 2016 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Nellore ( C.C.No. 182/2016) and remitted the penalty amount Rs.1.0 Lakh to the Hon'ble District Court on 18.03.2016. Previously the TOR was issued on 02.09.2016. The public hearing was conducted on 20.02.2018.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 . As <b>recommended Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference, the proponent prepared TOR and STR and also completed conduct of the public hearing and presented EIA and Environmental Management Plan (EMP). However as the production capacities were not justified, it is recommended that the proponent shall submit all the relevant documents as mentioned in the cases of violation, with the proper capacities and quantities, for this Silica Sand Mine for the production quantities - 2,01,975 Tons/Annum.</b></i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

**Specific Terms of Reference:**

- 1) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
- 2) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
- 3) ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- 4) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- 5) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
- 6) Public Hearing (PH) has been conducted on dated 20-02-2018 covering all the issues, Committee felt that one time PH as per the order of Hon'ble High Court of Madras has already taken care and hence repeat PH is not required.
- 7) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
- 8) Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
- 9) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- 10) District survey report to be submitted.
- 11) Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
- 12) Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
- 13) The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
- 14) In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15) The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17) The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18) Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19) The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20) Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.16</b>	<b>19.425 Ha. Black Galaxy Granite Mine of M/s.Andhra Pradesh Granite (Midwest) Private Limited, Sy.No.55/5P, Rajupalem Lakshmipuram (V), Chimakurthy (M), Prakasam District (Expansion)</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Committee noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting.</p> <p>The committee recommended to defer this proposal to the next meeting.</p>	
<b>121.17</b>	<b>7.0 Ha Colour Granite Mine of M/s Pure Minerals at Sy No. 34, Meelasativada Village, Tekkali Mandal, Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V.Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Colour Granite Mine was operated during the period 2013 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEF&amp;CC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Tekkali ( C.C.No. 103/2016).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<b><i>for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Colour Granite Mine for the production quantities Colour Granite - 900 m3/annum</i></b>
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh ll be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> <li>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</li> <li>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</li> </ol>



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.18</b>	<b>7.80 Ha Colur Granite Mine of M/s. Karunai Granites Pvt Ltd., Sy.No.71, Lingalavalasa (V), Tekkali (M), Srikakulam District</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V.Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Colour Granite Mine was operated during the period 2015 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Tekkali (C.C.No. 34/2017).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Colour Granite Mine for the production quantities Colour Granite - 4800 m3/annum.</b></i></p>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

**Specific Terms of Reference:**

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 & 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .
7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
10. District survey report to be submitted.
11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water. <small>1. SEAC AP</small></p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.19</b>	<b>45.649 Ha. Quartzite Mine of M/s. Shaik Allah Mohammad Bakshi at Sy. No. 425, Chintakunta (V), Muddanur (M), Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Quartzite Mine was operated during the period 2006 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEF&amp;CC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Quartzite Mine for the production quantities of Quartzite - 20,00,000 TPA . Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

**Specific Terms of Reference:**

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 & 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .
7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh ll be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
10. District survey report to be submitted.
11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.20</b>	<b>40.00 Ha Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Virgin Rocks (P) Ltd, Sy. No: 60, Gummapadu Village, Saravakota Mandal, Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Sri Sai Manasa Nature Tech Pvt Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Colour Granite Mine was operated during the period 2010 to 2016 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Pathapatnam (C.C.No. 37/2016). TOR issued on 08.12.2016, public hearing conducted on 04.10.2017.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along the following Specific Terms of Reference for undertaking revised EIA and revised Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Colour Granite Mine for the production quantities of Colour Granite - 6120 m3/annum . The costs of remediation plan need to be revised and resubmitted along with the appropriate financial commitment through bank guarantee.</b></i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

**Specific Terms of Reference:**

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
6. Public Hearing (PH) has been conducted on dated 04.10.2017 covering all the issues, Committee felt that one time PH as per the order of Hon'ble High Court of Madras has already taken care and hence repeat PH is not required.
7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
10. District survey report to be submitted.
11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water. <small>SEAC AP</small></p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.21</b>	<p><b>Construction Project of Fame Horizon Residential Apartments by M/s. Fame Builders at Sy.No.'s 94/1, 94/2B, 94/3A, 94/4, 94/5A, 94/5B, 94/6A, 94/6B, 94/7, 94/8A, 94/8B, 94/10A, 94/10B &amp; 96/2, Sagar Nagar, Yendada Village, Chinagadila Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and their consultant M/s. Right source Industrial Solutions Pvt. Ltd. have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the project proponent has furnished the incomplete details in Form I.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the project proponent shall submit the revised Form I, Conceptual plan with details of water consumption with 150 lpcd, energy saving systems, source of water &amp; water balance for further appraisal. The green belt and traffic routing need to be presented in detail.</i></p>	
<b>121.22</b>	<p><b>M/s Ultramarine &amp; Pigments Limited at Plot Nos. 60 &amp; 61, Block-C, APIIC, Industrial Estate, Menakur (V), Naidupeta (M), SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<b>B1 ( EC)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting and presented the EIA report for the proposed Phase I project.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the TOR was issued for Phase-I &amp; Phase - II with exemption of public hearing as it is located in the notified industrial estate - Naidupeta Industrial</p>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Estate. The project proponent has requested for the Environmental Clearance for Phase I only. Accordingly submitted the EIA report.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance for the proposed Phase I Project for the production of product: Surfactants – 40,000 TPA</b> duly stipulating the conditions. The Project Proponent shall adopt appropriate pollution control systems to achieve the ZLD system within the premises.</i></p>	
<b>121.23</b>	<p><b>Development of Industrial Park of Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (APIIC) at 1-8,10-15,17,146,148,155-157, partly158, partly(167,168),169, partly(170,171), 570, 574, 596-598, 603, 607, Ammavaripalli (V), Penukonda (M), Ananthapur District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<b>B1 (EC)</b>
	<p>The representatives of the project proponent - APIIC and their consultant M/s. L&amp;T Infrastructure Engineering Ltd have attended the meeting and presented the final EIA report for the proposed project.</p> <p>The Committee noted that M/s. APIIC proposal for development of model industrial park in an extent of area about 365.0 acres (147.70 Ha.) was earlier placed in the SEAC in its meeting held on 21.01.2018 and SEIAA, A.P., meeting held on 06.02.2018.</p> <p>The TOR was issued to the Project Proponent vide letter dt. 26.02.2018 to prepare EIA report based on the Standard TOR with public hearing along with additional TOR, for development of model industrial park - 365.0 acres in Ammavaripalli Village, Anantapur District.</p> <p>The project proponent requested for TOR amendment vide letter dated. June,.2018 for the development of model industrial park - 420.32 acres ( 170.09 Ha.) proposed to establish the automobile sector, production, manufacturing &amp; Assembling of various automobile and auto components and for land use, water consumption etc.</p> <p>The APIIC submitted the commitment letter as the proposed industrial `park area is less than 500 Ha. and does not house any category A or B1 or any public hearing attracting industries, but the proposed project area is more than 50 Ha. APIIC will not construct CETP in this proposed industrial park.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item 8(b) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006-Township and Area Development Projects - [ ≥1,50,000 sq. mtrs built up area ] or [covering an area ≥ 50 Ha.] and the exemption of public consultation, as provided for under Para 7(i) III. Stage(3)(i)(d) of EIA Notification, 2006. This proposal comes under category 'B1'project.</p> <p>This proposal was again placed in the SEAC &amp; SEIAA meetings held on 25.08.2018 &amp; 25.09.2018 and subsequently issued TOR amendment with exemption of public hearing on 10.10.2018.The project proponent has submitted the final EIA report on 30.10.2018 and presented the same before the committee.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance for the proposed project – Development of Industrial Park – Ammavaripalli, for the automobile sector, production, manufacturing &amp; assembling of various automobile and auto components., duly stipulating the condition that the developer -APIIC shall not construct CETP in this proposed industrial park. The APIIC shall ensure to develop the green belt 33% of the total industrial area.</b></i></p>	
<b>121.24</b>	<p><b>Construction Project of M/s. Sree Madhurya Projects Private Limited, at Sy.No.98/1A,98/1A4, 98/1B, 98/2, Gollapudi Village Grama Panchayath, Vijayawada Mandal, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Pridhvi Envirotech ( P ) Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The committee noted the total built up area of the proposed construction project is</p>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>less than 50,000 Sq.m. Recently the MoEFCC vide notification S.O.No.5733 (E), dated 14.11.2018, delegated the powers to local bodies such as Municipalities, Development ensure the environmental conditions in respect of building or construction projects with built-up area conditions as specified in the Appendix in respect of building or construction projects with built-up area &gt;20,000 m<sup>2</sup> to 50,000 m<sup>2</sup>. and industrial sheds, educational institutions, hospitals and hostels for educational institutions_20,000 sqm upto 1,50,000 sqm along with building permission and to ensure that the conditions specified in Appendix are complied with, before granting the occupation certificate/completion certificate.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended to address a letter to the local body i.e., Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, Vijayawada &amp; APCRDA to process this proposal as per the said MoEF&amp;CC notification dated 14.11.2018.</i></p>	
<b>121.25</b>	<b>6.321 Ha. Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine of M/s Sujith Infraat Sy. Nos. 1, Bhuvanagiripalem Village, Ozili Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine. The Dy. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh issued the In-principle letter on 01.09.2018 for mining lease for a period of 10 years. The mining plan was approved on 03.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide letter 25.10.2018 stated that there is one mine leases within 500 meters i.e., (5.249 Ha.) for which Lol was issued. Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B2' project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p align="center">121 SEAC AP</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Road Metal &amp; Gravel mine for the production quantities: Road Metal – 84600 m<sup>3</sup>/Annum Gravel – 9400 m<sup>3</sup>/Annum duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.26</b>	<b>5.249 Ha Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine of M/s Sujith Infraat at Sy. Nos. 1, Bhuvanagiripalem Village, Ozili Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants ., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine. The Dy. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh issued the In-principle letter on 01.09.2018 for mining lease for a period of 10 years. The mining plan was approved on 03.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Nellore vide letter 25.10.2018 stated that there is one mine leases within 500 meters i.e., (6.321 Ha.) for which Lol was issued. Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B2' project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Road Metal &amp; Gravel mine for the production quantities: Road Metal – 69667 Cbm /Annum Gravel – 7741 Cbm /Annum duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.27</b>	<b>8.510 Ha. Road Metal and Building Stone and Gravel Mine of Sri.B.M.J.Appa Rao at Sy.No: 258, MarupakaVillage, Ravikamatham Mandal, Visakhapatanam District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The representative of project proponent and their RQP, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>The Committee noted that this is a new Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine. The Deputy Director of Mines &amp; Geology Visakhapatnam issued in-principle letter on 01.08.2018 for mining lease – 10 years. The mining plan was approved on 01.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Anakapalli vide letter 19.09.2018 stated that there is no mine leases within 500 meters. Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B2' project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Road Metal and Building stone mine for the production quantities: <b>Road metal &amp; Building stone – 6,60,923.27 m<sup>3</sup>/ annum</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.28</b>	<b>22.43 Ha. Laterite Mine of Sri Ulli Lakshmayya at Survey No. 535, Asanagiri Village, Nathavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The representative of project proponent and their RQP, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Laterite Mine. The Director of Mines and Geology, Ibrahimpattanam, has issued in-principle letter on 23.03.2018 for mining lease – 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 19.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Anakapalli vide letter 01.11.2018 stated that there is no mine leases within 500 meters. Hence, the present proposal comes under category 'B2' project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Laterite mine for the production quantities: <b>Laterite – 6,87,453.75 Tons / annum</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.29</b>	<b>7.992 Ha. Quartz, Feldspar &amp; Mica Mine of Sri N.P.Prasanna Reddy at Sy.No. 234 &amp; 235, Lakshmipuram Village, Kaligiri Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s.SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that PP has not furnished the past production details.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the Project Proponent shall submit the production details of Quartz, Feldspar &amp; Mica from 01.04.2006, for further appraisal.</i></p>	
<b>121.30</b>	<b>5.342 Ha. Silica Sand Mine of M/s. Smarco Industries at Survey No. 24/3B, 24/4A, 24/4B, 29/1 &amp; 29/2, Addepalli Village, Chillakur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that PP has not furnished the surrounding mine lease details.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that PP shall submit the linked cluster mine leases details from the proposed mine lease.</i></p>	
<b>121.31</b>	<b>7.532 Ha. Road Metal and Building Stone Quarry of M/s. Trimex Industries Private Limited at Sy. No. 1016/P, Vempalli Village, Madanapalli Mandal, Chittoor District, A.P</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>The Committee noted that this is a new Road Metal and Building Stone Quarry . The Deputy Director of Mines and Geology, Kadapa, has issued in-principle letter on 03.10.2017 for mining lease – 15 years. The mining plan was approved on 22.11.2017. .The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Palamaneru vide letter 19.01.2018 stated that there is no mine leases within 500 meters. Hence, the present proposal comes under category ‘B2’ project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Road Metal and Building stone Quarry for the production quantities: <b>Road metal &amp; Building stone – 4,95,000 TPA ( Manufactured Sand – 4,45,500 TPA and Road metal &amp; Gravel – 49,500 TPA )</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.32</b>	<b>35.627 Ha. Kethavaram Silica Sand &amp; Quartzite Mine of M/s. NR Industries at Sy. No: 288, Kethavaram Village, Orvakal Mandal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 ( TOR)</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their consultant M/s. B.S.Envi-Tech (P) Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the project proponent has applied for expansion of production capacity of Silica sand &amp; Quartzite Mine for which they have submitted the approved mining plan. However the certified compliance report of EC Order was not furnished.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the project proponent shall submit the certified compliance report of EC Order of existing Mine from the MoEF&amp;CC, Regional Office, Chennai for the proposed expansion project, The committee further recommends the issue of TOR with standard terms of reference.</i></p>	
<b>121.33</b>	<b>4.996 Ha. Quartz Quarry Lease Mine of Smt Abhina Kanthi at Sy.No.482/P, Chakalikonda Village, Vinjamur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Committee note that the DEIAA, Nellore transferred this propels to SEIAA,A.P. The PP has not attended the meeting.</p> <p>The committee recommended to defer case till the Project Proponent furnish all the details of their project.</p>	
<b>121.34</b>	<b>3.949 Ha. Gravel Quarry Mine of M/s. Sri S. Ashok Kumar Reddy at Sy.No.116, Mettu Village, Chittamur Mandal, SPSR Nellore District</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The Committee note that the DEIAA, Nellore transferred this propels to SEIAA,A.P. The PP has not attended the meeting.</p> <p>The committee recommended to defer case till the Project Proponent furnish all the details of their project.</p>	
<b>121.35</b>	<b>10.117 Ha (25.00 Acres) Silica Sand Mine of M/s. Sai Chinnamman Mines (Procs.No.570/M2/2004), Sy.No.256, Vellapalem (V), Chillakur (M), S.P.S.R. Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. B.S.Envi- Tech (P) Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Silica Sand Mine was operated during the period 2004 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Nellore (C.C.No. 477/2017).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 <b>and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Silica Sand Mine for the production quantities of Silica sand - 84,000 Tonns/annum.</b></i></p>
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh II be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not ca rried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> </ol>



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
121.36	<p><b>33.029 Ha Mica, Quartz and Feldspar Mine of M/s. Sri Bhagavan Mines, Sy No. 326/1, 328, 529, 530, 531, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538 &amp; 549, Turimerla (V), Sydapuram (M), S.P.S.R Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<p><b>B1 (violation)</b></p>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s.S.V.Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Mica, Quartz and Feldspar Mine was operated during the period 2008 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed</p>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>in the Hon'ble District Court, Nellore (C.C.No. 147/2016). TOR issued on 13.07.2016, public hearing conducted on 03.08.2017.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference for undertaking to advise the PP to revise EIA and preparation of revised Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Mica, Quartz &amp; Feldspar mine for production of Mica-3,000 TPA, Quartz-12,000 TPA and Feldspar - 30,000 TPA.</i></p>
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. Public Hearing (PH) has been conducted on dated 03.08.2017 covering all the issues, Committee felt that one time PH as per the order of Hon'ble High Court of Madras has already taken care and hence repeat PH is not required.</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> </ol>





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.37</b>	<b>16.512 Ha Silica Sand Mine of M/s. B.Rajan Mine at Sy.No. 36/P, Addepalli (V), Chillakur (M), SPSR Nellore District</b>	<b>B2 ( violation)</b>
	<p>The Committee noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. <i>The committee recommended to defer this proposal to the next meeting.</i></p>	
<b>121.38</b>	<b>20.23 Ha. China Clay Mine of M/s. K. T. Mines at Survey No. 1171/P, Tallapaka Village, Rajampeta Mandal, YSR Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 ( violation)</b>
	<p>The Committee noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. <i>The committee recommended to defer this proposal to the next meeting.</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

<b>121.39</b>	<b>21.587 Ha Garividi Manganese Ore Mine of M/s. R.B.S.S.D &amp; F.N. DAS at Sy.No. 20(P), Garividi (V), Garividi (M), Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s.B.S.Envi-Tech Pvt. Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Manganese Ore Mine was operated during the period 2004 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Cheepurapalli (C.C.No. 75/2017).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Manganese Ore Mine for production of Manganese Ore - 50,000 Tonns/annum.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

- 8 Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
- 9 Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
- 10 District survey report to be submitted.
- 11 Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
- 12 Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
- 13 The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
- 14 In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
- 15 The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.
- 16 State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.
- 17 The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.
- 18 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.
- 19 The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..
- 20 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

<b>121.40</b>	<b>56.838 Ha Sadanandapuram Manganese Mine of M/s. RBSSD &amp; FN DAS at Sy.no. 2/3 of Sadanandapuram village, Gurla Mandal, Vizianagaram district, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s.B.S.Envi- Tech Pvt.Ltd., have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is an existing Manganese Ore mine. The mine lease is upto 14.09.2025 issued the by Govt of A.P. G.O.Ms.No.131, dated 29.05.2007 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> renewal of mine lease. The mining plan was approved by Regional Controller of Mines, IBM, Hyderabad Regional Office, vide letter, dated 29.12.2015 for a period of 5 years ( 2015-16 to 2019-20).</p> <p>The committee noted that the project proponent vide letter dated 25.09.2018 stated that M/s. RBSSD &amp; FN Das is operating the Sadanandapuram Manganese mine since way back 1948 for Manganese ore with present production at 0.022 MTPA. Mining lease is valid up to 14.9.2025. Environmental Clearance (EC) was obtained for the present production level of 0.022 MTPA from MoEF vide J-11015/882/2007-IA.II (M) dated December 10, 2008. We have proposed to increase the Manganese Ore production from 0.022 MTPA to 0.1 MTPA from Sadanandapuram Manganese Mine. As per the requirement of EIA notification, RBSSD &amp; FN Das had submitted the necessary application to MoEF for approval of Terms of Reference (TOR). The Terms of Reference was approved by MoEF for carrying out the Environmental Impact Assessment study vide letter No. J-11015/393/2010- IA.II (M) dated 28/03/2011. <b>Public Consultation was conducted on 21/11/2012.</b> Final EIA was submitted to MOEF &amp; CC for proposed expansion on 07 May 2015 (IA/AP/MIN/27610/2010).</p> <p>The project was appraised in the following EAC meetings : April 29-30, 2014; June 24-26, 2015 ; March 21-22, 2016 and June 22-23, 2016. The proposal was submitted to MOEF under violation notification dated SO 804 (E) dated 14-03-2017 and MoEFCC Office Memorandum dated 16th March, 2018 MOEFCC has transferred to the SEIAA, AP vide proposal number SIA/AP/MIN/28923/2018.</p> <p>Further committee noted that the proposal was transferred from MoEF&amp;CC to SEIAA, A.P. through online on 05.09.2018 (SIA/AP/MIN/28923/2018) as per the Notification issued by MoEF&amp;CC vide S.O.No.3977(E) dt 14.08.2018 (i.e. upto 100Ha of non-coal mining projects falls under the purview of SEIAA). The project proponent submitted the Notorised affidavit through online on 26.09.2018, as a violation case for consideration of mining proposal involving violation of EIA Notification 2006 under the provisions of S.O.804 (E) dated: 14.03.2017 and its amendment notification dated 8<sup>th</sup> March 2018 for the expansion of production of Manganese ore from 0.022 to 0.10 Million TPA.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Manganese Ore Mine carried out expansion production without EC during the period 2015 to 2017 and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act. Public hearing conducted on 21.11.2012.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference for undertaking to advise the PP to revise EIA and preparation of revised Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Sadanandapuram Manganese Mine for expansion of production of Manganese Ore Mine from 22,000 to 1,00,000 Tons/annum.</i></p> <p><i>Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

**Specific Terms of Reference:**

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
6. Public Hearing (PH) has been conducted on dated 21.11.2012 covering all the issues, Committee felt that one time PH as per the order of Hon'ble High Court of Madras has already taken care and hence repeat PH is not required.
7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
10. District survey report to be submitted.
11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water. <small>1. SEAC AP</small></p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.41</b>	<b>1.21 Ha. Quartz Mine of M/s. Sri Dosarla Naga Raja Reddy at Sy.No.760/1P, Settisamudram Village, Marripadu Mandal, SPSR Nellore District</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s. Cognizance Research India Pvt. Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The committee noted that there is sufficient details of the dump yard for the proposed production quantity of Quartz.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the PP shall furnish the Form 2 duly filled in with all details and also to submit revised mining plan with dump yard details and dump management plan. Also to furnish the surrounding linked cluster mines details from this proposed Quartz mine.</i></p>	
<b>121.42</b>	<b>M/s. Kevy's Labs at Plot No.: 31 to 34, APIIC Industrial Park, Nadikudi Village, Dachepalli Mandal, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (TOR)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their Consultant M/s. Right Source Industrial Solutions Pvt. Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The committee noted that the existing unit obtained permission (CFE&amp;CFO) from the APPCB for manufacturing of Calcium Chloride – 280 Kgs/day, Potassium Sulphate – 200 Kgs/day &amp; DMA Hydro Chloric Acid – 190 kgs/day. Now, the PP has proposed the Bulk</p>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Drug Intermediates manufacturing unit with production capacity: 52 Tons/ Month.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item 5(f) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (Synthetic organic chemicals , bulk drug and intermediates &amp; dyes &amp; dye intermediates ) and the exemption of public consultation, as provided for under Para 7(i) III. Stage (3)(i)(b) of EIA Notification, 2006. The present proposal comes under category 'B1' project.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed discussions recommended for <b>issue the Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) with exemption of Public hearing</b>, as it is located in APIIC, Nadikudi Industrial Park, to this proposed project for establishment of <b>Bulk Drug Intermediates – 52.0 Tons/Month</b> with additional TOR : industry shall adopt appropriate pollution control system to achieve Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD).</i></p>	
<b>121.43</b>	<b>M/s. Sanjeev Life Sciences at Plot No.: 82 &amp; 83, Phase-I, APIIC Industrial Park, Nadikudi Village, Dachepalli Mandal, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (TOR)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their Consultant M/s. Right Source Industrial Solutions Pvt. Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the existing unit is inorganic products manufacturing unit. Now, the PP has proposed the Bulk Drug Intermediates manufacturing unit with Production Capacity: 30 Tons/ Month by dropping the existing inorganic products.</p> <p><i>The proposed project falls under Item 5(f) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- Synthetic organic chemicals industry ( dyes &amp; dye intermediates &amp; bulk drug and intermediates) and the exemption of public consultation, as provided for under Para 7(i) III. Stage (3)(i)(b) of EIA Notification, 2006. The present proposal comes under category 'B1' project.</i></p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations recommended for <b>issue the Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) with exemption of Public hearing</b>, as it is located in APIIC, Nadikudi Industrial Park, to this proposed project for establishment of <b>Bulk Drug Intermediates – 30.0 Tons/Month</b> with additional TOR : industry shall adopt appropriate pollution control system to achieve Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD).</i></p>	
<b>121.44</b>	<b>M/s. Brahmeswara Steatite &amp; Iron Ore Mine, Sy No 865, Veldurthy (V&amp;M), Kurnool District</b>	<b>B1</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent has attended the meeting.</p> <p>The committee noted that M/s. Brahmeswara Steatite &amp; Iron Ore Mine, Sy. No. 865, Veldurthy (V&amp;M), Kurnool District has obtained Environmental Clearances from SEIAA, A.P. SEIAA/AP/KNL- 18/2008, dated: 19.08.2009 for mining of Steatite &amp; Iron Ore Mine i.e. Mining of Steatite: 1,500 TPA &amp; Mining of Iron Ore: 78,000 TPA in an area 33.02 Ha. The Total iron ore (inferior grade) available in this mine is 31,826 + 1,82,254 + 54,624 = 2,68,704 Tons. The project proponent submitted the for EC amendment application through online on 09.11.2018. However, the MoEFCC issued Office Memorandum dated 18.06.2018 states that</p> <p align="center"><i>" The matter has been examined in the Ministry and it is submitted that mining projects including dump mining, overburden mining etc. do require the prior Environmental Clearance as per the provisions of Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification, 2006. Therefore it is clarified that as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006, the mining projects stated in the schedule require prior environmental clearance irrespective of the size of the mine</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p><i>lease area and type of mineral including over burden and dump mining.”</i></p> <p><i>The Committee recommended that the project proponent shall submit the certified compliance report of EC Order of existing Mine from the MoEF&amp;CC, Regional Office, Chennai for the proposed expansion project, for further appraisal.</i></p>	
<b>121.45</b>	<p><b>13.27 Ha. (32.8 Acres) Mica, Quartz and Feldspar Mine of M/s. Radha Krishna Mica Mining Company at Sy. Nos.1134/2, 1135/1A, 1136/1, 1137/1, 1138, 1139 &amp; 1141 of Griddaluru Village, Sydapuram Mandal, SPSR Nellore District</b></p>	<p><b>B2 (expansion)</b></p>
	<p>The project proponent and their Consultant M/s. Pioneer Enviro Laboratories and Consultants Pvt.Ltd., have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the existing Mica , Quartz and Feldspar Mine obtained the Environmental Clearance vide order No. SEIAA/AP/VZN/MIN/07/2016/160-1231, dated: 02.09.2016 for production capacity – 24,000 TPA. The certified compliance report of the existing EC order submitted on 14.11.2018 vide MoEFCC RO report dated 28.09.2018. The renewal of mine lease was issued by Industries &amp; Commerce (M.II) Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh for period of 10 years from 19.02.2018 to 18.02.2028 vide Memo No. 199/M.II/2018 dated: 09/11/2018. The mining plan was approved by the Dy. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Guntur vide Letter No: 2112/MP/Mica/NLR/2018, dated: 21.08.2018 for the mining scheme 5 years. The mine lease area is 13.27 Ha. i.e., less than 25 Ha. The present proposal comes under category ‘B2’ project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for issue of Environmental Clearance to this Mica , Quartz and Feldspar Mine for the expansion project for the production quantities: Mica , Quartz and Feldspar from 24,000 TPA to – 1,05,327 TPA duly stipulating the conditions. Further recommended for the screening unit: Screening of Mica,Quartz &amp; Feldspar – 50,000 TPA duly stipulating the standards.</i></p>	
<b>121.46</b>	<p><b>6.0 Ha Road Metal &amp; Building Stone of M/s. Sri Lakshmi Venkateswara Stone Crushers at Sy. No. 543 of Yalakallu Village, V. Kota Mandal, Chittoor District of Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<p><b>B2 (violation)</b></p>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent has attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the proposal was earlier examined in the SEAC,A.P., meeting held on 25.08.2018. Then the committee noted The Committee noted that this road metal &amp; building stone mine of M/s. Sri Lakshmi Venkateswara Stone Crushers, was operated without obtaining the Environmental Clearance and hence attracts violation under the provisions of EIA Notification 2006.The Committee recommended to take necessary action against this mine of road metal &amp; building stone mine of M/s. Sri Lakshmi Venkateswara Stone Crushers as per the notification S.O.804(E), dated 14.03.2017 issued by the MoEF&amp;CC, GoI, New Delhi.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this mine was operated without EC during the year 2017-2018 and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p><i>provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Road Metal &amp; Building stone , for production of - 1,52,119 Tons/annum .</b></i></p> <p><i>Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment. 121 SEAC AP</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh II be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> <li>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during</li> </ol>



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water. <a href="#">SEAC AP</a></p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.47</b>	<b>5.93 Ha. M/s. M.S.P. Granites, Sy. No. 01, Addukonda (V), Tekkali (M), Srikakulam District</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their consultant M/s. Global Enviro Labs have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Colour Granite Mine was operated during the period 2013 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Tekkali (C.C.No. 265/2016) and the penalty amount of Rs. 40,000/- paid to the Hon'ble Court on 27.09.2017.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the</i></p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p><i>provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Colour Granite Mine for production of Colour Granite - 15,846 m<sup>3</sup>/annum.</i></p>
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh ll be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not ca rried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> <li>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</li> </ol>





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.48</b>	<b>16.827 Ha Silica Sand Mine of M/s. Modern Minerals, Sy.No. 39/P, Addepalli (V), Chillakur (M), SPSR Nellore District</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The committee noted that the project proponent has not attended.</p> <p><i>The committee recommended to defer the case to the next meeting.</i></p>	
<b>121.49</b>	<b>50.60 Ha. Silica Sand Mine of Sri K. Seshagiri Rao &amp; Co. at Sy. No. 628/A, Kothapatnam Village, Kota Mandal, SPS Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (violation)</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their consultant M/s. Pioneer Enviro Laboratories &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>The Committee noted that this Silica Sand Mine was operated during the period 2007 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Nellore C.C.No. 497/2016). Public hearing was conducted on 07.10.2017 &amp; again on 21.10.2017 , notarized affidavit, and Bank guarantee were submitted.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed discussion noticed that the APSEIAA conditions for the Silica Sand mines were not implemented and the production quantities were not justified, and the consultant accepted the fact that the wrong calculations were intentional and deliberate. Hence, the committee recommends to reject the proposal.</i></p>	
<b>121.50</b>	<b>10.117 Ha Silica Sand Mine of M/s. Naseeb Mining Company, Sy. No. 256/P, Vellapalem (V), Chillakur (M), SPSR Nellore (Dt), Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Silica Sand Mine was operated during the period 2005 to 2017 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act and a case was filed in the Hon'ble District Court, Nellore C.C.No. 302/2015).</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Silica Sand Mine for production of Silica Sand Mine - 70,405 Tons/annum.</b></i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.

6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 & 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .
7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh ll be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not ca rried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
10. District survey report to be submitted.
11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.
16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.
17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.
18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.51</b>	<b>8.656 Ha. Sai Baba-1 Manganese Mine of M/S. S.K. Sarawagi Co Pvt Ltd., at Sy No 9 of Kothakarra (V) &amp; Sy No 117/2 of Budarayavalasa (V), Merakamudidam (M), Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Manganese Mine obtained the EC on 21.07.2009. However exceeded the production beyond the permitted quantities without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Manganese Mine for production of Manganese Mine from 18,000 TPA to 30,015.60 TPA. Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</b></i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 & 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .
7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh ll be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.
8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not ca rried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.
9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.
10. District survey report to be submitted.
11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.
12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.
13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.
14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.
15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.
16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining & Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.
17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.
18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB)





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.52</b>	<b>15.72 Ha. Maheswari Manganese Mine of M/S. S.K. Sarawagi Co Pvt. Ltd., at Sy No. 3 (P), Bankuravalasa Village, Bobbili Mandal, Vizianagaram District of Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (Violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Manganese Mine obtained the EC on 26.03.2013. However exceeded the production beyond the permitted quantities without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Manganese Mine for production of Manganese Mine from 10,000 TPA to 41,538.84 TPA. Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</b></i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</li> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</p> <p>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</p> <p>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</p> <p>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER sh ll be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</p> <p>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not ca rried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</p> <p>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</p> <p>10. District survey report to be submitted.</p> <p>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</p> <p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p>
--	--



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>18 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19 The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
121.53	<p><b>9.365 Ha. Parameswari Manganese Mine of M/S. S.K. Sarawagi Co. Pvt Ltd., at Sy No. 29 (P), 30 (P), 30/2P &amp; 30/3P, Peddanadipalli Village, Chipurupalli Mandal, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<p><b>B2 (Violation)</b></p>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Manganese Mine obtained the EC on 26.03.2013. However exceeded the production beyond the permitted quantities without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p align="center">121 SEAC AP</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Manganese Mine for production of Manganese Mine from 7,000 TPA to 70,000 TPA.</b> Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</p> <p>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</p> <p>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</p> <p>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</p> <p>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</p> <p>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</p> <p>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</p> <p>10. District survey report to be submitted.</p> <p>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</p> <p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the</p>
--	---



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19 The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.54</b>	<b>4.055 Ha. Chinnaravyam Manganese Mine of M/s. S.K. Sarawagi Co. Pvt Ltd., at Sy No. 5 (P), 6/1,2,3(P), 5,6,7,9(P) &amp; 10/2, Chinnaravyam (V), Merakamudidam (M), Vizianagaram District</b>	<b>B2 (Violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Manganese Mine obtained the EC on 23.10.2013. However exceeded the production beyond the permitted quantities without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 <b>and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing</b>, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Manganese Mine <i>for production of Manganese Mine</i> from 24,000 TPA to 35,271 TPA. <i>Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment</li> </ol>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>(Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</p> <p>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</p> <p>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</p> <p>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</p> <p>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</p> <p>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</p> <p>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</p> <p>10. District survey report to be submitted.</p> <p>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</p> <p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from</p>
--	--



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19 The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20 Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.55</b>	<b>1.914 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s.Amman Granites at Sy.No:6, Palampalli Village, Punganur Mandal, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. Vision Labs have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Colour Granite Mine obtained the EC on 25.10.2013. However exceeded the production beyond the permitted quantities without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Colour Granite Mine for production of Colour Granite from 718m<sup>3</sup>/annum to 12,012m<sup>3</sup>/annum Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</b></i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</p> <p>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</p> <p>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</p> <p>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</p> <p>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</p> <p>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</p> <p>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</p> <p>10. District survey report to be submitted.</p> <p>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</p> <p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p>
--	--



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.56</b>	<b>1.0 Ha. Road Metal and Gravel Mine of Sri N.Suresh Kumar at Sy.No.84, Nave Pothavaram Village, Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their consultant M/s. S.V. Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this mine was operated without EC during the year 2012-2018 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and <b><i>recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing</i></b>, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Road Metal &amp; Building stone , <i>for production of – 7,57,096.2 m3/annum.</i></p> <p><i>Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</li> <li>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</li> <li>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</li> <li>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</li> <li>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</li> <li>10. District survey report to be submitted.</li> <li>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</li> <li>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</li> <li>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</li> <li>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</li> <li>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</li> <li>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</li> </ol>
--	--





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.57</b>	<b>4.0 Ha Colour Granite of The Andhra Pradesh Mineral Development Corporation Ltd (APMDC) at Sy.No. 01, Addukonda Village, Tekkali Mandal, Srikakulam District</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	<p>The Project Proponent and his Consultant, SV Enviro attended the meeting and the PP submitted that the mine doesn't come under violation. However, after the presentation made by the PP, the Committee noted that this mine was operated without EC during the year 2005-2018 and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEFCC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference and to conduct the public hearing, for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) by this Colour Granite Mine for production of - Colour Granite – 6559.20 m3/annum .</i></p> <p><i>Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P.Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</li> <li>3. ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be</li> </ol>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</p> <p>4. Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</p> <p>5. The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</p> <p>6. The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</p> <p>7. Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</p> <p>8. Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</p> <p>9. Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</p> <p>10. District survey report to be submitted.</p> <p>11. Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</p> <p>12. Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13. The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14. In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15. The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16. State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p>
--	--



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>17. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19. The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20. Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.58</b>	<b>21.87 Ha. Black Galaxy Granite Mine of The Andhra Pradesh Mineral Development Corporation Ltd ( APMDC) at Sy.No. 52, 55/3C and 55/4A of R.L.Puram Village, Chimakurthy Mandal, Prakasam District</b>	<b>B2 (violation)</b>
	The project proponent attended the meeting and submitted that they wish to withdraw their application for request of issue the environmental clearance.	
<b>121.59</b>	<b>1.0 Ha. Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine of Sri B. Tulasidas at Sy. No. 84, Nave Pothavaram, Ibrahimpatnam, Krishna Dist, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B2 ( violation )</b>
	<p>The representative of project proponent and their RQP have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this Road Metal &amp; Gravel Mine was operated during the period 2012 to 2018 without EC and thus comes under violation under the provisions of MoEF&amp;CC, Notification S.O. No.804, dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017. The credible action was not initiated against the mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed deliberations on the proposal in the terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification S.O.No.804 ( E) dated 14th March 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) along with the following Specific Terms of Reference with public hearing, for production of Road metal – 1,82,529 m3/annum and Gravel -2970 m3/annum.</i></p> <p><i>Further the committee recommended to address a letter to A.P. Pollution Control Board to take action against this mine under Section 19 of E (P) Act,1986.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Specific Terms of Reference:</b></p> <p>1) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</p> <p>2) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the S E A C and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</p> <p>3) ) Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.</p> <p>4) Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.</p> <p>5) The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.</p> <p>6) The project proponent shall get the public hearing as per the order dated 13.10.2017 &amp; 14.03.2018 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No.11189 of 2017 conducted in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification 2006 and all the concerns raised during the public consultation shall be addressed and incorporated in the Final EIA report .</p> <p>7) Funds allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Ministry's O.M. No. 22-65/ 2017-IA.III dated May, 2018 for various activities therein. The details of fund allocation and activities for CER shall be incorporated in EIA/EMP report.</p> <p>8) Submission of certificate to be obtained from Director Mines and Geology of State Government for PP has not carried out any mining activity beyond mining lease area.</p> <p>9) Detailed hydrological study to be carried out in core and buffer zone of the project as per recent GEC guidelines 2015.</p> <p>10) District survey report to be submitted.</p> <p>11) Letter from state government that lease holder has not carried out any mining activity in the surrender forest land.</p> <p>12) Submission of report in tabular form regarding issues raised during hearing and commitment made by the PP.</p> <p>13) The project proponent shall give an undertaking by way of affidavit to comply with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors. Before grant of ToR/ EC. The undertaking inter-alia includes commitment of the PP not to repeat any such violation in future.</p> <p>14) In case of violation of above undertaking, the ToR/Environmental Clearance shall be liable to be terminated forthwith.</p> <p>15) The Environmental Clearance will not be operational till such time the Project Proponent complies with all the statutory requirements and judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>16) State Government concerned shall ensure that mining operation shall not commence till the entire compensation levied, if any, for illegal mining paid by the Project Proponent through their respective</p>
--	--



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Department of Mining &amp; Geology in strict compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated the 2nd August 2017 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 114 of 2014 in the matter of Common Cause versus Union of India and Ors.</p> <p>17) The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water.</p> <p>18) Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p> <p>19) The PP shall not use groundwater without obtaining approval from CGWA/SGWA as the case may be. The project proponent shall apply to the Central Ground Water Authority (CGWA)/State Ground Water Authority (SGWA), as the case may be, for obtaining No Objection Certificate (NOC), for withdrawal of ground water..</p> <p>20) Approval/permission of CGWA/SGWA shall be obtained before drawing ground water .for the project activities. State Pollution Control Board (SPCB) concerned shall not issue Consent to Operate (CTO) till the project proponent obtains such permission.</p>	
<b>121.60</b>	<p><b>“Amaravati Happy Nest” Project of the Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority (APCRDA), Survey Nos. 153 (p), 154(p), 155(p), 156(p), 157(p), Nelapadu Village, Thulluru Mnadal, Amaravati Capital City, Guntur Dist. Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p align="right"><small>121 SEAC AP</small></p>	<p><b>B1 (TOR)</b></p>
	<p>The representatives of the project proponent have attended the meeting and presented the proposed TOR.</p> <p>The committee noted that the proposed construction project of “Amaravati Happy Nest “ of APCRDA issued with Environmental Clearance with built up area- 1,40,756.19 Sq.m., in the Greenfield Capital City Amaravati Project area, by stipulating the standard conditions and other conditions. Now the project proponent applied for TOR to increase the built up area from 1,40,756.19 Sq.m to 2,82,426.33 Sq.m.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the proposed project falls under Item 8(b) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- Township and Area Development projects ; The proposed project built up area is &gt;1,50,000 sq. m., and the exemption of public consultation, as provided for under Para 7(i) III. Stage(3)(i)(d) of EIA Notification, 2006. This proposal comes under category ‘B1’project.</p> <p><b><i>The Committee recommended for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) with exemption of public hearing to this construction project: “Amaravati Happy Nest ” Project for built up area – enhancement from 1,40,756.19 Sq.m. to 2,82,426.33 Sq.m, in the Greenfield Capital City Amaravati Project area, by stipulating the standard conditions and other conditions:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) The project proponent-APCRDA shall comply with the conditions of the Environmental Clearance order issued to the Greenfield Capital City - Amaravati (EC order No.SEIAA/AP/GTN/151/2015, dated 09.10.2015 and Environmental Clearance Amendment order issued to the Greenfield Capital City - Amaravati (EC Amendment order No.SEIAA/AP/GNT/151/2015-515, dated 11.06.2018 stipulating the additional conditions in the EC as per the Hon’ble NGT Order dated 17.11.2017 in O.A.No.171 of 2015 &amp; O.A.No.148 of 2015) relating to this APCRDA proposed construction project - “Amaravati Happy Nest ” Project as it is one of the inter-linked projects of the</i></b></p>	





**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p><i>Greenfield Capital City Amaravati Project.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) The Project Proponent shall implement the solid waste management as per the Solid Waste Management Rule, 2016, applicable for construction projects;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The parking area in compliance with the NBC/ MoEF&amp;CC / local Government regulations as applicable, whichever is higher;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) The Project Proponent shall develop the avenue plantation along the approach road leading to the proposed project site.</i></p>	
<b>121.61</b>	<b>Construction of Fishery Harbour at Juvvaladinne Village, Bogole Mandal, SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh</b>	<b>B1 (EC)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. WAPCOS Limited have attended the meeting and presented their proposal with final EIA report.</p> <p>The Committee in its meeting held on 29.10.2018, this proposal was examined and recommended to defer the case and the project proponent shall submit the final EIA Report duly covering all the details in the format given in Appendix III &amp; Appendix IIIA of the EIA Notification 2006., for further appraisal. EIA and EMP need to be prepared as for the standard format, covering the TOR compliance.</p> <p>The project proponent again submitted the final EIA report duly incorporating the above information in the report and presented before the committee.</p> <p>The committee noted that the Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh proposed the construction of fishery Harbour at Juvvaladinne Village and this proposed fishery harbour shall be designed to accommodate for a fleet size of 1250 fishing vessels comprising 1000 numbers of 9 m length motorized, 100 numbers of each of 12 and 15 meter mechanised fishing vessels, 50 numbers of 24 meter Tuna Liners besides 50 numbers of Non- Motorized boats.</p> <p>The proposed project envisages (i).Development of 610m long landing quay for trawlers viz., 12 m and 14 m. The total length of outfitting quay required shall be 45 m. (ii).Repair quay for repairing one boat length for 12 m Gill netter and one boat length 14m trawlers will be provided. (iii).The total length of repair quay will be 30 m and a 304 m long idle-berthing quay for resting will also be developed and providing the land side facilities.</p> <p>TOR has been accorded by State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), Andhra Pradesh on 13.07.2016. The Public hearing was conducted on 23.12.2017.The project proponent obtained the Coastal Regulation Zone ( CRZ) Clearance vide SEIAA, Andhra Pradesh Lr. 82/APCZMA/CRZ/IND/2018-849, dated 29.08.2018 ( NOC letter issued to Fishery Harbour) and Lr. 82/APCZMA/CRZ/IND/2018-836, dated 29.08.2018 ( addressed to SEIAA , A.P.).</p> <p>The Committee noted that the proposed project falls under Item 7(e): Harbours, dredging;Ports/ harbours ≥10,000 TPA of fish handling capacity. The present proposal comes under category 'B1' project.</p> <p><i>The Committee after detailed discussions recommended for <b>issue of the Environmental Clearance to this proposed project - construction of fishery Harbour at Juvvaladinne Village, Bagole Mandal, SPSR Nellore District</b> by the Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, duly stipulating the condition (i). The Project proponent shall comply all the conditions stipulated by the A.P.Coastal Zone Management Authority (APCZMA) in the letters dated 29.08.2018 and other conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.62</b>	<b>M/s. KBK Biotech Pvt. Ltd. (formerly M/s. Milano Spirits India Pvt., Ltd.) Sy.Nos. 1-315, 316, 317 &amp; 318, Chinnabramadevam (V), Peddapuram (M), East Godavari District.</b>	<b>B1 (EC)</b>
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. SV Enviro Labs &amp; Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented the final EIA report.</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>The Committee noted that the existing unit obtained Environmental Clearance for Distillery unit: Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel - 20 KLPD Molasses based (or) Grain Based and proposed for expansion Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel - 39 KLPD on Grain Based. The Project Proponent submitted the Transfer of EC in the name of M/s. KBK Biotech Pvt. Ltd. (formerly M/s. Milano Spirits India Pvt. Ltd.) on 02.05.2018.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 5(g) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 - Distilleries. This proposal comes under category 'B1' project.</p> <p>This proposal earlier appraised in the SEAC, A.P., meeting held on 11.05.2018. The committee recommended for issue of standard Terms of Reference (TOR) and accepted for exemption of public hearing due to less pollution load, adopting ZLD, only expansion and not new, no additional usage of resources of water, less fuel usage than previous one due to additional methane production, due to 25 to 30% water savings, condensation vapor recovery and other beneficial impacts to be implemented. The SEIAA, A.P., in its meeting held on 01.06.2018 and the Authority requested the SEAC, A.P., to examine their recommendations again, to mention the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 for the said grounds for exemption of public hearing to this proposed project. This proposal was re-appraised and the committee considered the exemption of public hearing as per Para No.7(ii) of EIA Notification 2006. The committee noted that this unit is proposing expansion of Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel - 39 KLPD on Grain Based only.</p> <p>The SEAC, A.P. in its meeting held on 24.08.2018 after detailed discussions, reiterated the earlier recommendations for issue of standard Terms of Reference (TOR) with exemption of public hearing, for expansion of the Distillery unit : Existing production capacity - Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel : 20 KL per day on Molasses based (or) Grain Based and Production capacity after expansion - Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel : 20 KL per day on Molasses based (or) Grain Based and Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel : 39 KL per day on Grain Based only.. due to less pollution load; adopting ZLD; only expansion and not new; no additional usage of resources of water; less fuel usage than previous one; additional methane production; 25 to 30% water savings; condensation vapor recovery and other beneficial impacts to be implemented.</p> <p>The SEIAA, A.P., in its meeting held on 24-25 September 2018, agreed with recommendation of the SEAC, A.P for issue of Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) with exemption of public hearing.</p> <p>The Committee after deliberations recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance for expansion of the Distillery unit</b> : existing production capacity - Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel : 20 KL per day on Molasses based (or) Grain Based and <b>Production capacity after expansion - Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel : 20 KL per day on Molasses based (or) Grain Based and Rectified Spirit / ENA/Ethanol Fuel : 39 KL per day on Grain Based only</b>, duly stipulating the additional conditions with other standard conditions. The industry shall comply with the following conditions as committed for in their expansion project proposal- (a). The industry shall adopt and implement the ZLD system; (b). The industry shall ensure that there shall not be additional usage of resources of water; (c). There shall be less fuel usage than the previous one and there is no additional methane production; (d). The industry shall recycle the treated water to ensure the 25 to 30% water savings and (e) Condensation vapor recovery and other beneficial impacts to be implemented.</p>	
<b>121.63</b>	<b>10.0 Ha Road Metal and Gravel Mine of M/s. Rock Sand Minerals (P) Limited , Sy.No.334, Alamanda Village, Jammi Mandal, Vizianagaraam District , Andhra Pradesh.</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Road Metal and Gravel. The Dy. Director of</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Mines &amp; Geology, issued in-principle letter on 26.09.2018 for mining lease – 10 years. The mining plan was approved on 23.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Vizianagaram vide letter dated 05.11.2018, stated that there are 7 existing quarry leases within 500m. The mine leases were granted before 9<sup>th</sup> September 2013.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Road metal &amp; Building Stone and Gravel mine for the production quantities: <b>Road metal &amp; Building Stone –3,91,652.70 m<sup>3</sup>/annum and Gravel – 20,613.30 m<sup>3</sup>/annum</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.64</b>	<b>M/s.RCL Vizag Cement Grinding Unit (formerly M/s. Madras Cements Limited) at Gobburupalem and Gobburu (V), Kasimkota (M), Visakhapatnam District.</b>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The representative of project proponent and their consultant M/s. Env.Systems Consultants have attended the meeting.</p> <p>The Committee noted that the industry obtained Environmental Clearance for Clinker Grinding Unit–I vide EC order dated 03.05.2013 for production of Cement – 0.95 Million TPA. Now they proposed for expansion of production quantities of Cement from 0.95 Million TPA to 2.0 Million TPA by installing another unit - Clinker Grinding Unit –II of capacity - 1.05 Million TPA in the existing premises.</p> <p>The project proponent has not submitted the certified EC compliance of the existing Plant from the MoEF&amp;CC, Regional Office, Chennai.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item 3(b) – Cement Plant in the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006 and under Category ‘B2’ as per MoEF Office Memorandum dated 24.12.2013 – All stand-alone grinding units listed in the schedule as Category ‘B’ subject to the condition that transportation of raw material and finished products shall be primarily through railways [<i>transportation by railways should not be less than 90% of the traffic (inward and outward put together)</i>]. The Project Proponent has submitted the undertaking that they transport the Clinker &amp; Cement through railways.</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> for the proposed expansion project to increase the production capacity of <b>Cement from 0.95 Million TPA to 2.0 Million TPA</b> duly incorporating the special condition that transportation of raw material and finished product through railways/waterways shall be more than 90% of the traffic, with a condition to submit the certified EC compliance of the existing Plant from the MoEF&amp;CC, Regional Office, Chennai.</i></p>	
<b>121.65</b>	<b>M/s Avanti Leathers Limited in at Kambakam village, VaradaiahpalemMandal, Chittoor District Andhra Pradesh</b>	
	<p>The representative of the project proponent and their consultant M/s. ABC Techno Labs India Pvt Ltd have attended the meeting and presented their proposal.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this proposal was previously appraised in its SEAC meeting held on 31.10.2018. The representative of the project proponent has informed to the committee that M/s Avanti Leathers Limited is manufacturing finished leathers. The project lease area of 85.23 acre and the proposed expansion will take place within the existing premises. No additional area to be required for this substantial expansion. The production and manufacturing process involves post tanning of raw leather followed by liming and dyeing, fatliquoring and finishing. The existing products : Raw skin to Finished (Hides)- 17,500 sft/day and Raw skin to Finished (Skins) -15,000 sft/day. The proposed products : Wet Blue to Finished (Hides)- 35,000 sft/day and Wet Blue to</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>Finished (Skins) -30,000 sft/day.</p> <p>The Committee noted that in the manufacturing process of Wet Blue to Finished leather (tanned leather to finished leather), there must be chrome compounds that will be present during the process. The proponent proposes to increase the ETP capacity by 210 KLD, it implies that the proposed manufacturing process of the expansion project comes under tanning process. The Committee recommended that the project proponent be informed to apply for TOR for their expansion project. Also the project proponent shall furnish the details of working status of Chrome recovery Plant and the performance of ETP.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 4(f) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- Skin/Hide processing industry including tanning.</p> <p>Now project proponent again presented their proposal with all details of the working status of the Chrome recovery Plant and the performance of ETP and other details. In their presentation before the committee, the representative of the project proponent has reiterated that in their proposed expansion project, there will not be any tanning process and hence it will comes under B2 category and consider to issue EC –expansion for their proposed.</p> <p><i>The committee after deliberations recommended to <b>issue the Terms of Reference (TOR) with exemption of public hearing</b> as it is located in industrial park and it is proposed with no tanning operation. The complete tanning process for the existing and for the proposed expansion unit need to be submitted.</i></p>	
<b>121.66</b>	<p><b>9.132 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Tandra Minerals at Survey No. 293/2, Sibilipeddavalasa Village, Makkuva Mandal, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Colour Granite. The Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Ibrahimpatnam issued in-principle letter on 21.06.2018 for mining lease – 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 08.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Vizianagaram vide letter 13.08.2018 stated that there is another mine lease ( 9.951 Ha.) within 500 meters. Hence, the present proposal comes under category ‘B2’ project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Colour Granite mine for the production quantities: <b>Colour Granite – 1,50,000 m<sup>3</sup>/annum</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.67</b>	<p><b>9.951 Ha. Colour Granite Mine of M/s. Tandra Exports at Survey No. 293/3, Sibilipeddavalasa Village, Makkuva Mandal, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<b>B2</b>
	<p>The project proponent and their M/s. Team Labs and Consultants, have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this is a new Colour Granite. The Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Ibrahimpatnam issued in-principle letter on 29.06.2018 for mining lease – 20 years. The mining plan was approved on 08.10.2018. The Asst. Director of Mines &amp; Geology, Vizianagaram vide letter 13.08.2018 stated that there is another mine lease (9.132 Ha.) within 500 meters. Hence, the present proposal comes under category ‘B2’</p>	



**Minutes of the 121<sup>st</sup> Meeting of State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), A.P., held on 23.11.2018, 24.11.2018 & 25.11.2018 at A.P. Pollution Control Board, Head Office, Vijayawada , Andhra Pradesh.**

	<p>project.</p> <p>The proposed project falls under Item No. 1(a) of the schedule of the EIA Notification 2006- (i). Mining of minerals (&lt;100 ha of mining lease area in respect of non-coal mine lease).</p> <p><i>The Committee recommended for <b>issue of Environmental Clearance</b> to this Colour Granite mine for the production quantities: <b>Colour Granite – 1,50,000 m<sup>3</sup>/annum</b> duly stipulating the conditions.</i></p>	
<b>121.68</b>	<p><b>M/s. Padmasree Steels Private Limited at Survey Nos. Sy.Nos. 106/2,3,4,5,6,8,9, 109/6,7, 110/1,2,3,4 , Devarapalli (V), Rangampeta (M), East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p>	<p><b>B1 (TOR - corrigendum)</b></p>
	<p>The project proponent and their Consultant M/s. Team Labs &amp; Consultants have attended the meeting and presented their case.</p> <p>The Committee noted that this proposal was earlier appraised in the SEAC, A.P., meeting held on 30.06.2018 and the committee recommended for issue the Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) amendment with public hearing for the expansion project. Accordingly issued the TOR amendment on 23.08.2018.</p> <p>Now, the project proponent vide letter dated 24.11.2018 requested for amendment in the TOR for survey numbers and name of the mandal of the proposed expansion project .i.e., in the survey numbers from Sy.Nos. 106/4,109/4,6,7, 110/1,2,3,4, 112 to 106/2,3,4,5,6,8,9, 109/6,7, 110/1,2,3,4 ad Mandal from Rangampeta to Ravulapalem.</p> <p><i>The committee recommended for <b>issue the amendment to Standard Terms of Reference (TOR) with Public hearing issued vide letter dated 23.08.2018</b> for the expansion project that the Survey Numbers shall be read as “Sy.Nos. 106/2,3,4,5,6,8,9, 109/6,7, 110/1,2,3,4 and name of the Mandal as “Ravulapalem”.</i></p>	
	-&&-	

**Sd/-  
Dr. V.S.R.K.Prasad  
CHAIRMAN  
SEAC, A.P**