

**Minutes of the 226<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), Haryana constituted for considering Environmental Clearance of Projects (B Category) under Government of India Notification dated 14.09.2006 held on 18.11.2021 under the Chairmanship of Sh. V. K. Gupta, Chairman, SEAC, through Video Conferencing (VC).**

At the outset the Chairman, SEAC welcomed the Members of the SEAC and advised the Secretary to give brief background of this meeting. The minutes of the 225<sup>th</sup> Meeting were discussed and approved without any modification. In the meeting 7 no. of agenda projects received from SEIAA, were taken up for scoping, appraisal and grading as per agenda circulated.

In the wake of recent crises of COVID-19, lockdown situation, Committee took a decision to scope and appraises the EC cases as per the guidelines issued by MoEF& CC from time to time by video conferencing. It was decided that before the commencement of online video conferencing the agenda is required to be mailed beforehand. Accordingly the agenda of the present meeting was mailed to SEAC members in advance and a video conference meeting was organized in this regard on 18.11.2021.

The 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC Haryana was held online by video conferencing on 18.11.2021. The following members joined the meeting:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>
1.	ShriPrabhakarVerma	Member
2.	Dr. S. N. Mishra	Member
3.	Shri Raj Kumar Sapra	Member
4	Dr.Surinder Kumar Mehta	Member
5.	Ar. Hitender Singh	Member
6.	Dr.VivekSaxena	Member
7.	Dr.Mehar Chand	Member
8.	Shri Anil Kumar Mehta	Member
9.	Dr. R. K. Chauhan, Joint Director, Environment & Climate Change Department, Haryana	Secretary

**226.01 EC for project “proposed construction of Road & Parking in Sector 25 (Residential), Rohtak , Haryana by M/s HUDA Rohtak**

**Project Proponent : Mr. Sandeep Dahiya**  
**Consultant : Global Management and Engineering consultants**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA, Haryana vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/63806/2020 dated 02.07.2021. The project proponent submitted the case to the

**226<sup>th</sup> Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 18.11.2021**

SEIAA as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for EC under Category 8(b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The TOR was issued vide SEIAA letter dated 28.10.2021.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 217<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 19.07.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 19.07.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

Then, the case was taken up in 222<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 11.10.2021. The Discussion was held on revised Form IA, Aravali NOC, Traffic study, no. of Trees details, EMP, Collaboration agreement, Geo Technical studies, Fire safety and fire rescue plan, contour plan, STP, air dispersion etc. and certain observations were raised as following:-

1. The PP shall submit the documents as per area development plan
2. The PP shall submit the note of STP capacity details and inflow of areas into STP.
3. The PP shall submit the undertaking for outflow of STP not to be put in drain and use in green area of this and other sectors of HUDA
4. The PP shall submit the affidavit from HOD that not to use/put in canal (reuse) and will pump STP water to other sectors for use in other sectors green belt.
5. The PP shall submit the large map of contour level and its rainflow
6. The PP shall submit the Air mode primary micro met logical data
7. The PP shall submit the dat files; isopleths, wind rose diagram
8. The PP shall submit the plagiarism certificate for EIA report
9. The PP shall submit the Geo-Technical study
10. The PP shall submit the revised population detail
11. The PP shall submit the valid license / land details
12. The PP shall submit the zoning plan
13. The PP shall submit the authority letter for engaging consultant
14. The PP shall submit the status of construction
15. The PP shall submit the green belt development plan
16. The PP shall submit the traffic circulation plan
17. The PP shall submit the parking plan
18. The PP shall submit the location of RWH on map
19. The PP shall submit the water assurance from competent authority
20. The PP shall submit the power assurance from competent authority
21. The PP shall submit the reports of air, water, noise and soil
22. The PP shall submit the dual plumbing plan
23. The PP shall submit the Tangible EMP
24. The PP shall submit the details of construction to be carried out by HUDA
25. The PP shall submit the levels of drain with projects.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 30

**226<sup>th</sup> Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 18.11.2021**

days and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

The case was taken up for appraisal on 21.10.2021 but the reply of observation was circulated through email to the members and PP requested to take up the case on 22<sup>nd</sup> October due to some technical issues. The case was again taken up on 22.10.2021 and PP presented the case before the committee and discussion was held on the observation and it was conveyed that the PP shall submit the complete reply of observation as per discussion.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

The PP submitted the reply of the above said observations and thereafter, the case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The discussion was held on population as per existing NBC Norms and the Committee observed that inspite of taking up in numerous meetings consultant not submitted the reply of observations of committee as per the advice of the committee. The Committee further decided that displeasure of the committee be conveyed to the consultant for failing in submission of appropriate reply and committee also asked the consultant that why not the matter be communicated to NABL.

Further, the committee decided that the PP and consultant shall submit the complete reply of above communicated 25 observations as per the corrections/omissions suggested by the committee.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**226.02            EC for Expansion of “Medicity Project Institute of Integrated Medical Science and Holistic Therapies in Sector 38, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Global Health Private Limited**

**Project Proponent                    : Mr.Sanjay Batra**  
**Consultant                                : Vardan Environment**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/61752/2006 on dated 04.10.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR was granted by SEIAA vide letter dated 08.07.2021.

The case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The discussion was held on certified compliance report of earlier EC dated 11.09.2006, pending court cases, compliance of orders of court , details of existing Green Belt, STP, ETP, Forest land,

**226<sup>th</sup>Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 18.11.2021**

bio medical waste, MSHIC Rules, Contour plan, fire fighting plan and certain observations were raised as following:-

1. The PP shall submit the compliance of court directions passed by various courts i.e Supreme Court, Hon'ble NGT, Environment Court Faridabad.
2. The PP shall submit the Affidavit regarding details and status of forest cases(copy of the judgements and status of compliance etc.) action taken on court directions
3. The PP shall submit the details of existing trees , girth, age of the trees in compliance of earlier EC
4. The PP shall submit the self contained note on the forest land i.e. whether the forest land stated in the orders was a part of earlier EC of 43 acres or not. The Status of surrender of forest land
5. The PP shall submit the self contained note upon establishing STP/ETP separately and undertaking that ETP waste water will not be mix into the STP after the treatment
6. The PP shall submit the contour plan indicating the levels of propose site in terms of drainage pattern
7. The PP shall submit the fire fighting plan , showing location of the fire hydrants and fire rescue plan (SOP)
8. The PP shall submit the Design and location of lightning arrestors for various building of the institute.
9. The PP shall submit the detailed report with design specification and schematic diagram of 120 +20 KLD STP also mark location of ETP on the site plan
10. The PP shall submit the separate STP and ETP water calculation diagram and the treated water of ETP need to be used for horticulture.
11. The PP shall submit the separate provisions of hazardous chemicals/ waste generation for laboratories of institute.
12. The PP shall submit the incremental load statement for expansion of the project wr.t. existing approved capacity
13. The PP shall submit the revised biomedical waste calculations @1.5kg/bed and also explain the reason for decreasing beds from 1500 beds as approved in earlier EC to 1200 beds.
14. The PP shall submit the waste water generation shown as 85% in tables instead of 80% required for MBBR technology.
15. The PP shall submit the design and dimension of 1200KLD SAF Technology with tertiary treatment design / disinfection of treated water.
16. The PP shall submit the MSDS of all chemicals/pharmaceutical products with CAS no.
17. The PP shall submit the compliance of MSHIC Rules, OHSAS, and Hazardous waste management rules.
18. The PP shall submit the action taken report point wise on the certified compliance report
19. The PP shall submit the Green plan: the annexure 7, 8 ,9 of the certified compliance report , progress of green plan in terms of Green Area and trees(proposed and achieved )
20. The PP shall submit the approved building plan for the expansion part.
21. The PP shall submit the new zoning plan
22. The PP shall submit the status of construction of earlier EC and photograph
23. The PP shall submit the copy of CTE/CTO
24. The PP shall submit the location of RWH on plan
25. The PP shall submit the Forest NOC
26. The PP shall submit the Aravali NOC
27. The PP shall submit the traffic study
28. The PP shall submit the location of storage of hazardous waste.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered in next meeting after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

**226.03      Extension of ToR Validity for one year of project "Expansion of Residential Plotted Colony" at Sector 81, 82, 82A, 83, 84 & 85 at Village Sihi, Sikhopur, Badha, Sikandarpur Badha & Kherki Daula, District Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Vatika Limited**

**Project Proponent                      : Mr. Virender**

**Consultant                                      : Vardan Environment**

File was received from SEIAA along with request of PP regarding extension of TOR Validity for one year of project in reference to MoEF Notification dated 12.01.2017. The TOR was issued vide SEIAA letter dated 28.08.2018.

The case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The discussion was held on the request of PP for extension of TOR, MoEF & CC notification dated 18.01.2021 i.e. In view of outbreak of COVID-19, 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021 period shall not be considered for the purpose of calculations of period of validity of TOR granted and MoEF notification dated 12.01.2017 as given below:-

*"This period of validity could be extended by the Regulatory Authority concerned by a maximum period of one year provided an application is made by the applicant to the Regulatory Authority before the expiry of validity period, together with an updated Form-I, based on proper justification and also recommendation of the EAC/SEAC".*

The committee discussed that the PP has not submitted the updated form-I in reference of notification dated 12.01.2017 and after deliberation in view of above committee decided to recommend to SEIAA for extension in validity of TOR for further 1 year in reference to 18.01.2021.

**226.04      EC for Expansion of Institutional Project "NCR Biotech Science Cluster Phase-II at village Bhankri, Faridabad, Haryana by M/s Translational Health Science and Technology institute Thsti.**

**Project Proponent: Mr. MV Santo**

**Consultant                      : M/s Atmos Sustainable Solutions Pvt. Ltd.**

The project was submitted to the SEIAA vide online proposal no. SIA/HR/MIS/211992/2021 on dated 02.08.2021 as per check list approved by the SEIAA/SEAC for obtaining Environmental Clearance under Category 8 (b) of EIA Notification 14.09.2006. The Auto TOR was granted by SEIAA vide letter dated 07.08.2020.

The case was taken up in 218<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 30.07.2021 but the members informed the committee that they have not received the documents and it was

**226<sup>th</sup> Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 18.11.2021**

unanimously decided to defer the case as the documents were not circulated to the members and their case will be considered only after the receipt of documents.

Then, the case was taken up in 222th meeting of SEAC held on 11.10.2021. The Discussion was held on compliance report , Forest NoC, distance of wildlife from the project site ,ETPetc. and certain observations were raised as following:-

- 1) The PP shall submit the certified compliance report
- 2) The PP shall submit the Wild life conservation plan approval by Chief Wild life warden.
- 3) The PP shall submit the ETP – why not installed
- 4) The PP shall submit the green belt development map and details of green area.
- 5) The PP shall submit the copy of Sanction of FCA cases (old and new)
- 6) The PP shall submit the activity wise break up area of the project
- 7) The PP shall submit the duly approved plan/Layout plan.
- 8) The PP shall submit the drainage map with contour of each area of the project
- 9) The PP shall submit the position of existing and proposed area of the project.
- 10) The PP shall submit the hydraulic design details of STP proposed at the site.
- 11) The PP shall submit the FAR for each component as per approved plan.
- 12) The PP shall submit the affidavit that no legal case is pending against the PP regarding land or any other issues of the project.
- 13) The PP shall submit the KLM file of the project site
- 14) The PP shall submit the land use details of the project
- 15) The PP shall submit the Geo Technical Studies
- 16) The PP shall submit the Population calculations as per NBC norms.
- 17) The PP shall submit the seasonal testing reports of water, air, soil and noise
- 18) The PP shall submit the technology of water treatment, hydraulic design, dimensions of each component of each STP, MLSS standards to be achieved in each STP
- 19) The PP shall submit the Solid waste calculations and its management plan
- 20) The PP shall submit the traffic study incremental load analysis wr.t. current roads/status of connecting roads a up-gradation plan.
- 21) The PP shall submit the air dispersion modeling, sampling locations, wind rose, DG/vehicular emission data, AAQ data of seven locations.
- 22) The PP shall submit the ECBC Compliance with Energy saving
- 23) The PP shall submit the RWH details based on calculation @ 90 mm rain fall and double bore well for better sustainable RWH
- 24) The PP shall submit the parking calculations along with Map
- 25) The PP shall submit the tangible EMP Capital and recurring cost for the project
- 26) The PP shall submit the biodegradable waste management plan of the project along with organic waste convertor. The schematic diagramme for the management of organic waste and calculation along with mode of collection, segregation, transportation and disposal of complete Biodegrade waste.
- 27) The PP shall submit the proof and affidavit that no work has been carried out after the expiry of EC.
- 28) The PP shall submit the affidavit that the plot holders will seek separate EC, if the built up area is more than 20,000.

The PP shall submit the required information as detailed above within 30 days and it was also made clear to the PP that the project will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information. In case of non-receipt of information in time the case shall be recommended for rejection/ filing.

Thereafter, the case was taken up in 223<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 21.10.2021 but the PP requested for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

The case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021 but the PP requested vide letter dated 18.11.2021 for the deferment of the case which was considered and acceded by the SEAC.

**226.05 EC for Expansion of Commercial Project “AIPL Joy Street” at Sector- 66, Gurugram, Haryana by M/s Landmark Apartments Pvt. Ltd**

**Project Proponent :Ms Julie Jha**  
**Consultant : Vardan Environet**

The said case is for Grant of Terms of Reference under violation.

The project is for expansion of commercial project “Landmark Mall”. Proposed site is under construction as per previous EC which was granted vide letter no. SEIAA/HR/2012/96 on dated 11/07/2012.

**Chronology of events as submitted by PP to SEAC as follow:**

- Environment Clearance for this project is granted on dated 11.07.2012 through letter SEIAA/HR/2012/96.
- PP applied for Environment Clearance for Expansion phase of the Commercial Project on dated 06.02.2019 with proposal No. SIA/HR/NCP/94587/2019.
- The case was taken up in the 178th meeting of SEAC held on 10.04.2019 and the project proponent submitted a request for withdrawal of their case through vide letter dated 08.04.2019. The committee decided to take up the case for appraisal in the next meeting and PP was informed to submit the reason for withdrawal of case for EC.
- The case was again taken up in the 179th meeting of the SEAC held on 29.04.2019. The PP attended the meeting and requested for withdrawal the case and after deliberation the committee decided in the meeting to constitute a Sub-Committee for site visit to verify the status of construction.
- The sub-committee submitted the inspection report dated 25.07.2019. Thereafter, the case was taken up in 193rd meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 23.12.2019. The inspection report was placed before the committee which mentioned some observations as below:-
  1. No green Belt maintained i.e. weak plantation
  2. No Visible dust suppression arrangement within the project area.
  3. CER program yet not initiated properly, document submitted neither prove CER nor relates audited report of fund assigned to this.
  4. STP water used for construction seems lesser than required
  5. ATR submitted to SEIAA Haryana shows yet to comply with various EC conditions.

After detailed deliberations on the report, committee decided to seek the action taken report on the above said observations from the PP.

The case was again taken up in 215th meeting of SEAC Haryana held on 17.06.2021. The PP requested vide letter dated 10.06.2021 for withdrawal of expansion case as the project has already been applied under violation category dated 17.05.2021 (copy of acknowledgement placed on record). PP revealed that their project is under violation,

The committee deliberated on the duly signed note submitted by PP and consultant, request of PP for withdrawal of case in view of their another application for the same project already submitted under violation category to SEIAA and decided to recommend to SEIAA to merge the existing file under consideration of SEAC for expansion with the already applied case to SEIAA under violation vide file no. SIA/HR/MIS/212342/2021 as case is a violation case.

Finally, the case has been taken up in its 220th meeting. SEAC decided that the following recommendation to be forwarded to SEIAA for approval and Committee recommended to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference under violation along with public consultation and additional terms of reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) and also club the two files as stated above. :

1. The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and no Consent to Operate or Occupancy Certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
2. Public hearing to be conducted for the project and the issues raised by the public should be addressed in the Environmental Management Plan.
3. The Project Proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the SEAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the SEAC and approval of the regulatory authority.
4. The PP should submit compliance report of existing building

The case has been considered during the 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021 and Authority observed that the case pertains to "Violation", Authority decided to refer back the case to SEAC to check the validity of application to apply during the stipulated "Time Window" as per the Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018 and the proof of credible action under section-15 of EP Act, 1986.

Then the case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021 as following:-

**226<sup>th</sup>Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 18.11.2021**



1. Initially the unit has not applied for Environmental Clearance in the violation window as per the Notification S. O. 804 (E) dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017.
2. Further the proposal was submitted on 17.05.2021 after reopening of window for violation category projects.
3. There are number of proposals that have been granted ToR under violation from MoEF&CC. One such ToR dated 08.07.2021 under violation from MoEF&CC as per record for ready reference.
4. Minutes of Meeting of 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 14.10.2021 states "Committee recommended to SEIAA for Grant of Terms of Reference under violation along with public consultant." We would like to inform that Public Hearing to be conducted only for those categories of projects for which the EIA Notification, 2006 itself requires public hearing to be conducted as per Office Memorandum vide F.No. 22-28/2020-IA.III dated 12<sup>th</sup> Nov, 2020, as per record. As per EIA Notification, 2006 our project falls under item 8(a) for which public consultation is exempted.

The committee discussed the reply of PP, credible action, window period of violation cases, the receipt of violation application on 17.05.2021 , no credible action initiated against the unit and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for approval of ToR under Violation Category and the Project Proponent will prepare the EIA by using Standard Terms of Reference of MoEF & CC with additional Terms of Reference and public consultation as recommended vide minutes of 220<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 31.08.2021.

**226.06            EC under violation notification dated 14.03.2017 for Group Housing Residential Colony Project "Vipul Gardens" located in Sector-1, village Dharuhera (NH-8), District-Rewari, Haryana by M/s Mudra Finance Ltd**

**Project Proponent: Mr. Ravinder Singh**

**Consultant:    Kadam Environmental Consultants**

Earlier the committee recommended budget for "Remediation & Augmentation Plan" of Rs.60,00,000 /-(Rupees Sixty Lakhs Only). The PP again presented the revised damage assessment report and "Remediation & Augmentation Plan" with the total budget of Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees Sixty Lakhs) (Placed on record). The SEAC after deliberation again recommended its earlier recommendations of 206<sup>th</sup> MOM regarding Remediation & Augmentation Plan Budget which was Rs.60,00,000/- (Rupees Sixty Lakhs Only) along with the specific conditions as per MoM of 206<sup>th</sup> meeting in addition to all standard conditions applicable for such projects.

The recommendation of SEAC was considered in 128<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the following queries were raised:

- The PP should recalculate the budget as per the guidelines of CPCB.
- Remediation & Augmentation plan should be sustainable, verifiable and apart from what PP is supposed to do under its "Environment management plan", should be community based.

After detailed deliberations; the Authority decided to defer this case to the next meeting.

The case was again taken up in 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 14/10/2021, Authority deliberated on the observations raised in 128<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting and decided to refer back the case to SEAC to take cognizance of raised observations & SEAC and to find out that

- a) whether the case has been applied during the stipulated time period for applying the cases under the “Violation category” as per Violation Notification dated 14.03.2017 & 08.03.2018.
- b) the proof of credible action taken under the EPA, 1986.

Then, the case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021

1. The TOR has been issued by SEIAA vide TOR letter dated 07.08.2018 under violation category
2. The PP submitted the copy of letter issued by HSPCB dated 25.08.2020 stating that credible action has been initiated by HSPCB in Environment Court Faridabad

The committee discussed the reply of PP, credible action, window period of violation cases, application received in SEIAA on 17.04.2018, Tor issued dated 07.08.2018 applied under violation category, prosecution filed in Environment Court Faridabad and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for EC under Violation Category as recommended vide minutes of 210<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.02.2021.

**226.07 EC for Expansion of Residential Project “Lavanya Apartment” located at Sector-81, Village Nawada Fatehpur, Gurgaon by M/s Graphic Research Consultants (India) Private Limited.  
Project Proponent: Mr. Ravinder Singh  
Consultant: Kadam Environmental Consultants**

Lastly, the case was considered in the 127<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 17.03.2021 and the Authority observed that the PP has submitted proof of “Bank Guarantee” for a sum of Rs. 38.70 Lakhs; further the Authority directed the PP to explain & submit the following documents:

- a) Latest Status Report of the Project duly verified by the RO, MoEF & CC/ RO, HSPCB;
- b) Confirmation of the land use as per the ‘Master-Plan’ of the area from DTCP.

Accordingly, the Authority decided to defer this case till the next meeting.

The case was again considered in 128<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 26.05.2021 and the Authority observed that the Project Proponent has not submitted the reply of the above raised observations.

The Authority considered the case in 129<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 14.10.2021 and Authority decided to refer back this case to SEAC for further verification of documents submitted by Project proponent.

Then the case was taken up in 226<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 18.11.2021. The PP submitted the reply of observations raised in 129<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 12.10.2021

**226<sup>th</sup> Video Conferencing (VC) Meeting of SEAC, Haryana, dated 18.11.2021**

1. Along with copy of latest status report of RO Gurugram indicating that case has been filed against the unit in Faridabad Environment Court
2. Copy of licence granted by DTCP and final development plan of Gurugram-Manesar Urban Complex 2031AD for confirmation of the land use

The committee discussed the reply of PP, latest status report of the project duly verified by RO HSPCB mentioning regarding the filing of complaint against the unit dated 22.05.2019, master plan, date of transfer of application to violation committee shown as 06.04.2017, copy of license granted by DTCP, credible action, window period of violation cases and decided to send the case again to SEIAA for EC under Violation Category as recommended vide minutes of 199<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 22.06.2020.