

Agenda for the 116th meeting of State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority to be held on 20.10.2016 at 11.00 AM in Committee Room, Regional Office, Punjab Pollution Control Board, Mohali.

INDEX

Item No.	Description	Page No.
116.01	Confirmation of the minutes of 115 th meeting of SEIAA held on 23.09.2016.	3
116.02	Action taken on the proceedings of 115 th meeting of SEIAA held on 23.09.2016 respectively.	4-10
116.03	Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Seonty, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot	11-13
116.04	Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Nangli, Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar.	14-16
116.05	Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Chak Chima, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot.	17-19
116.06	Application for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for establishment of a group housing project namely "Athens" at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab being developed by M/s Brawn Buildtech (P) Limited. (Proposal no. SIA/PB/NCP/57420/2016)	20-30
116.07	Application for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for establishment of a group housing project namely "Athens-2" at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab being developed by M/s Brawn Buildtech (P) Limited. (Proposal no. SIA/PB/NCP/58204/2016)	31-41
116.08	Show cause notice for carrying out expansions beyond threshold limit of built up area without obtaining environmental clearance for the expansion project under the provision of EIA notification, 2006 to the various Hospitals & Universities.	42-54
116.09	Violations of the provisions of the Environment Impact Assessment Notification dated 14/09/2006 by M/s DAV Institute of Engineering & Technology, Kabir Nagar, Jalandhar.	55-56

116.10	Complaint against M/s Janta Land Promoters Pvt. Ltd., SAS Nagar, Mohali in the projects namely "Super Mega Mixed Use Integrated Industrial Park" and "Galaxy Heights" located at Sector-66A, 82 & 83, SAS Nagar, Mohali by the Director, Environment, Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration, 3rd floor Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.	57-58
116.11	Complaint against M/s Shivalik Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd., Sector-127, Kharar, District Mohali by Residents of Shivalik City, Kharar Landran Road, Sector-127, Mohali.	59-61
116.12	Establishment of area development project namely Shivalik Avenue and various group housing schemes in the said project at Shivalik Avenue, Sector-125, Kharar, District SAS Nagar in violation of the provisions of EIA notification dated 14.09.2006	62-65

Item No.116.01: Confirmation of the minutes of 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 23.09.2016.

The proceedings of 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 23.09.2016, were circulated to all concerned vide letter no. 3399-3407 dated 26.09.2016. No observation has been received from any of the members. As such, SEIAA may confirm the said proceedings.

Item No.116.02: Action taken on the proceedings of 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 23.09.2016 respectively.

Action taken on the proceedings of 115th meeting of SEIAA held on 23.09.2016 has been taken and the same is placed below:

Action taken on the proceedings of 115th held on 23.09.2016

Item no.	Subject	Decision of SEIAA taken in 115th meeting held on 23.09.2016	Action taken on the decision of 115th meeting held on 23.09.2016
115.01	Confirmation of the minutes of 114 th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.08.2016.	The SEIAA confirmed the proceedings of 114 th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.08.2016.	No action is required to be taken in the matter.
115.02	Action taken on the proceedings of 114 th meeting of SEIAA held on 26.08.2016 respectively.	It was seen by the SEIAA.	No action is required to be taken in the matter.
115.03	Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand) in the revenue estate of Village Beri Qadradabad, Tehsil Zira, District Ferozepur of General Manager cum Mining Officer, District Industries Centre, Ferozepur.	The SEIAA decided to delist the case as recommended by the SEAC.	The decision of SEIAA has conveyed to Project proponent vide letter no 3401 dated 27.09.2016.
115.04	Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (Gravel) from the bed of river Beas in the revenue estate of Village Tagar Kalan, Tehsil Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur of General Manager cum Mining Officer, District	The SEIAA decided to delist the case as recommended by the SEAC.	The decision of SEIAA has conveyed to Project proponent vide letter no 3402 dated 27.09.2016.

	Industries Centre, Hoshiarpur.		
115.05	Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (Sand) from paleo channel of river Beas in the revenue estate of Village Munda Pind, Tehsil Khadoor Sahib, District Tarn Taran of General Manager cum Mining Officer, District Industries Centre, Amritsar.	The SEIAA decided to delist the case as recommended by the SEAC.	The decision of SEIAA has conveyed to Project proponent vide letter no 3403 dated 27.09.2016.
115.06	Application for obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for area development project in Sector- 88, 89, SAS Nagar, Distt. Mohali by M/s Greater Mohali Area Development Authority. (Proposal No. : SIA/PB/NCP/10610/2015)	The SEIAA decided to grant environmental clearance to the project proponent.	Environmental clearance has been granted vide letter No. 3404-12 dated 27.09.2016 to the project proponent.
115.07	Application for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for the development of International Cricket Stadium cum Sports Complex namely "IS Bindra Cricket Stadium" at Village Tira, Tehsil Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Punjab by M/s Punjab Cricket Association (Proposal no. SIA/PB/NCP/53252/	The SEIAA decided to grant environmental clearance to the project proponent.	Environmental clearance has been granted vide letter No. 3413-21 dated 27.09.2016 to the project proponent.

	2016)		
115.08	Application for obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for development of a residential project namely 'Falcon View' at Sector-66 A, Distt. Mohali by M/s Janta Land Promoters Limited. (Proposal No. SIA/PB/NCP/10626/2013)	<p>The SEIAA decided to accept the recommendations of SEAC and take the action as recommended by SEAC as under:</p> <p>a) For initiating credible action against project proponent / responsible persons / Promoter Company under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 due to continuing violation by the project proponent construction activities of the project even after filing of earlier complaint in the court of Competent Law & without obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006. The names of all the Directors as mentioned in the Memorandum & Article of Association submitted by the project proponent along with applicant be sent to Punjab Pollution Control Board as project proponent(s)/persons responsible.</p> <p>b) Once action as mentioned above have been taken,</p>	<p>a) The Member Secretary, Punjab Pollution Control board has been informed vide letter No. 3422-23 dated 27.09.2016.</p> <p>b) The decision of SEIAA has been conveyed to Project Proponent vide letter No. 3424 dated 27.09.2016.</p> <p>c) The directions has been issued to the project proponent vide letter No. 3425-26 dated 27.09.2016.</p>

		<p>the concerned case will be dealt with and processed as per the prescribed procedure for dealing with cases for grant of TORs / Environment Clearance /CRZ Clearance and appropriate recommendation made by the EAC/decision taken by the Ministry as per the merit of the case.</p> <p>c) For issuance of directions under Section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to restrain the promoter company from carrying out any further construction activity of the project till the environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 is obtained. Further, the SEIAA also decided to issue directions u/s 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to restrain the promoter company not to create any third party interest in the project till the environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 is obtained.</p>	
115.09	Application for obtaining Environmental	The SEIAA decided to accept the recommendations of SEAC	

	<p>Clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for area development project namely "Multi-Storey Residential Complex" in revenue estate of Village Birmi, Tehsil Mullanpur, Distt. Ludhiana by M/s Hero Realty Ltd., Ludhiana (Proposal no. SIA/PB/NCP/4973/2015)</p>	<p>and take the action as recommended by SEAC as under:</p> <p>a) To ask the project proponent to submit a formal resolution passed by the Board of Directors of the Company or to the Managing Committee / CEO of the Society, Trust, partnership / individually owned concern, within 60 days, mentioning that violations will not be repeated in future.</p> <p>b) For issuance of directions under Section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 to restrain the promoter company from carrying out any further construction activity of the project till the environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 is obtained.</p> <p>c) To ask Punjab Pollution Control Board to initiate credible action against project proponent / responsible persons / Promoter Company under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 due to start of construction activities of the project without obtaining Environmental Clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006. The names of all the Directors as mentioned</p>	<p>a) The decision of SEIAA has been conveyed to Project Proponent vide letter No. 3449-50 dated 29.09.2016</p> <p>b) The directions has been issued to the project proponent vide letter No. 3444-46 dated 29.09.2016.</p> <p>c) The Member Secretary, Punjab Pollution Control board has been informed vide letter No. 3447-48 dated 29.09.2016</p>
--	---	--	---

		in the Memorandum & Article of Association submitted by the project proponent alongwith applicant be sent to Punjab Pollution Control Board as project proponent(s)/persons responsible.	
115.10	Violations of the provisions of the Environment Impact Assessment Notification dated 14/09/2006 by M/s Indian Railway Welfare Organizatrion (IRWO) for its group housing project namely "Rail Vihar" at VIP Road, Zirakpur, Distt. S.A.S. Nagar.	the SEIAA decided as under: 1. Show cause notice be issued to the M/s Indian Railway Welfare Organization (IRWO) for its group housing project namely "Rail Vihar" at VIP Road, Zirakpur, Distt. S.A.S. Nagar. 2. Punjab Pollution Control Board be asked to report all similar projects which are violating the provision of the EIA, Notification 14.09.2006.	1) Show cause notice has been issued to the project proponent vide letter No. 3434 dated 27.09.2016. 2) The Member Secretary, Punjab Pollution Control board has been informed vide letter No. 3435 dated 27.09.2016
115.11	Request to exonerate & deletion of name from complaint no. 396 dated 18.12.2013 i.e. Punjab Pollution Control Board Vs. Swami Vivekanand Institute of Engineering and Technology, Village Ram Nagar, Banur, Distt. Patiala, pending in the Hon'ble Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura submitted by Sh. Anurag Goyal S/o Sh. Ram Ratan Goyal.	The SEIAA decided that request be forwarded to the Punjab Pollution Control Board be asked to the send the detailed comments in the matter as names of the responsible person to be included in the complaint have been finalized by PPCB.	The Member Secretary, Punjab Pollution Control board has been requested vide letter No. 3436 dated 27.09.2016 to send the detailed comments in the matter.
115.12	Appeal for intervention for reducing negative	The SEIAA decided that the representation be sent	State geologist has been informed vide letter 3437

	impacts of open sand mining in Punjab, Haryana and Himachal Pradesh by Sh.Vinod Kumar Jain, Chief Executive Officer /Environment Matters.	to the State geologist for ensuring compliance of the mine closure plans and the compliance report be also sent to the SEIAA from time to time.	dated 27.09.2016 for ensuring compliance of the mine closure plans and the compliance report be also sent to the SEIAA from time to time.
115.13	Regarding convening of joint meeting of State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Punjab with Development Authorities in and around the Mohali area	The SEIAA decided that matter be referred to SEAC to convene a meeting on the issue with all the concerned departments and sending recommendations to SEIAA.	The case file has been sent SEAC to convene a meeting on the issue with all the concerned departments and sending recommendations to SEIAA.
	General Discussion	The SEIAA decided that Ministry of Environment Forest and Climate change, New Delhi be recommended to provide independent staff to SEIAA/SEAC so as to effective Post Environment Clearance monitoring can be carried out	Ministry of Environment Forest and Climate change, New Delhi has been recommended vide letter no. 3438 dated 27.09.2016 to provide independent staff to SEIAA/SEAC so as to effective Post Environment Clearance monitoring can be carried out.

Item no. 116.03: Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Seonty, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot.

The facts of the case are as under:-

1. Earlier, GM cum Mining Officer, Pathankot, Punjab vide letter no. 2165 dated 25.10.2013 had submitted final EIA report to MoEF & CC after completing the process of issuance of TOR's, preparation of draft EIA report and public consultation for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for river bed mining of minor minerals(sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Seonty, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot. The area of the project is though 49.6 hectare and is a category 'B' project, however, the project was categorized as category 'A' project due to falling of Kathlaur wild life sanctuary, Interstate boundary with Jammu & Kashmir and International Boundary with Pakistan within 10 kms of the radius of the project. The project is covered under category 1 (a) of the Schedule appended to the said notification.
2. Thereafter, the General Manager cum Mining Officer, Pathankot vide letter no. 402 dated 26.02.2015 requested the Ministry of Environment and Forests to transfer the application for obtaining EC to SEIAA, Punjab since the mine lease is located at distance of more than 5 km from the interstate and international boundary.
3. The Ministry of Environment and Forests, vide letter no. 186 dated 05.03.2015 transferred the said application to SEIAA Punjab.
4. A copy of final EIA report was also submitted by the project proponent.

The details of the project are as under:-

- a) About 6 lac Ton/year of mining of minor minerals(Sand/Gravel) will be carried out in an area of 49.6 Hectare in the revenue estate of Village Seonty, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot in H.B. no. 78, Khasra no.:
58/4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,56/5,6,7,8,11,12,13,14,57/1,2,3,4,5,6,7,10,14,58/1,2,3,34/5,22,23,24,25,35/15,26,54//6,7,14,15,16,55/18,19,20,56//3,4,32/1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13/1,13/2,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,32/24,25,33/1,2,4,5,6,7,8,15/,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,34/1,2,4,31/1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,55/8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,56/2.

b) NOC from the Department of Forest & Wildlife has not been submitted. Distance from Kathlaur Wild Life Sanctuary is required to be certified by DFO (Wildlife), Pathankot & if distance is less than 5 km, in that case General Condition (GC) will apply. The distance of the project site from Wildlife Sanctuary being less than 10 km as mentioned in point no.1 above, the project proponent is required to apply to the Chief Wildlife Warden, Punjab for obtaining Wildlife Clearance from NBWL.

c) The project proponent has submitted the following documents alongwith Form –I:

- Approved Mining Plan
- Location Plan.
- Environmental Management Plan.
- Key plan map.
- Photographs of the project site.
- Aks Shajra of the Quarry.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 112th meeting held on 06.04.2015, which was attended by Sh. Balwinder Pal Singh, GMDIC, Pathankot. He informed that their Environmental Consultant could not attend the meeting due to some un-avoidable circumstances and requested for deferment of the same.

The SEAC decided to accept the request of the GMDIC and defer the case.

Accordingly, the decision of the SEAC was conveyed vide letter no. 2516 dated 22.04.2015 to the project proponent. Thereafter, no request/reply was received from the project proponent to reconsider the case.

While reviewing the progress report for the month of April, May & June 2016 in 112th meeting of SEIAA held on 27.07.2016, it was observed that 7 cases of the mining (non coal) have been pending since more than six months. The SEIAA among other decisions, decided that 7 cases of the mining (non coal), which are pending for more than six months, be placed in the next meeting of SEIAA as and when scheduled after taking recommendations from SEAC.

The case was considered by SEAC in its 149th meeting but no one attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent.

The SEAC observed that the case is though pending for more than six months, however, no observation on the application was conveyed to the project proponent. The case was placed before the SEAC only once i.e. in its 126 meeting held on 21.08.2015, wherein the project proponent had sought deferment as environmental consultant was not available.

After deliberations, the SEAC decided to defer the case in light of Office Memorandum dated 25.02.2010 of MoEF, Govt. of India and ask the project proponent to attend the next meeting as and when called for.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 150th meeting held on 16.09.2016, which was attended by Mohammed Shahid, Suptd. , DIC, Pathankot on behalf of project proponent.

The SEAC observed that as per the amendment in EIA notification, 2006 issued by MoEF & CC vide notification dated 15.01.2016, sustainable sand mining guidelines have been framed according to which the District Survey Report (DSR) shall be prepared and finalized for each minor mineral in the district separately by the DEIAA and DSR shall form the basis for application for Environmental Clearance, Preparation of report and appraisal of the projects. Thus, after finalization of District Survey Report, the project proponent is required to prepare revised EIA, mining plan and file fresh application based on these documents. As such, present application has become redundant and is liable to be sent for rejection.

After deliberations, the SEAC decided to forward the case to SEIAA with recommendation for rejection of the application.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item no. 116.04: Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Nangli, Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar.

The facts of the case are as under:-

1. Earlier, GM cum Mining Officer, SAS Nagar, Punjab vide letter dated 27.10.2010 had submitted final EIA report to MoEF & CC after completing the process of issuance of TOR's, preparation of draft EIA report and public consultation for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for river bed mining of minor minerals(sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Nangli, Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar. The area of the project is though 27.65 hectare and is a category 'B' project, however, the project was categorized as category 'A' project due to falling of Interstate boundary with Himachal Pradesh within 10 kms of the radius of the project. The project is covered under category 1 (a) of the Schedule appended to the said notification.
2. The TORs dated 04.03.2011 were issued by MoEF for extraction of 1,80,000 TPA of sand from the river bed of river Soan, in an area of 27.65 Ha in the revenue estate of Village Nangali, HB no. 354 Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar. However, the project proponent submitted final EIA for extraction of 32,200 TPA of sand from the river bed of river Soan, in an area of 27.65 Ha in the revenue estate of Village Nangali, HB no. 354 Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar.
3. Thereafter, the General Manager cum Mining Officer, Rupnagar vide letter no. nil dated 26.02.2015 requested the Ministry of Environment and Forests to transfer the application for obtaining EC to SEIAA, Punjab since the mine lease is located at distance of more than 5 km from the interstate and international boundary.
4. The Ministry of Environment and Forests, vide letter no 345 dated 05.03.2015 transferred the said application to SEIAA Punjab.
5. A copy of final EIA report was also submitted by project proponent.

The details of the project are as under:

- a) About 1,80,000 metric Ton of mining of minor minerals(Sand/Gravel) will be carried out in an area of 27.65 Hectare in the revenue estate of Village Nangli, Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar in H.B. no. 354.
- b) NOC from the Department of Forest & Wildlife has not been submitted. Distance from Nangal Wild Life Sanctuary as well as Jhajjar Bachholi Wild Life Sanctuary is required to be certified by DFO (Wildlife), Rupnagar & if distance

is less than 5 km, in that case General Condition (GC) will apply. The distance of the project site from Wildlife Sanctuary being less than 10 km as per list provided by DFO (Wildlife), Roopnagar the project proponent is required to apply to the Chief Wildlife Warden, Punjab for obtaining Wildlife Clearance from NBWL.

c) The project proponent has submitted the pre feasibility report.

d) The project proponent has not submitted the following documents:

- Approved Mining Plan
- Location Plan.
- Route Map
- Environmental Management Plan.
- Key plan map.
- Photographs of the project site.
- Aks Shajra of the Quarry.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 112th meeting held on 06.04.2015, which was attended by Sh. Tehal Singh, GMDIC, Roop Nagar. He informed that their Environmental Consultant could not attend the meeting due to some un-avoidable circumstances and requested for deferment of the same.

The SEAC decided to accept the request of the GMDIC and defer the case.

Accordingly, the decision of the SEAC was conveyed vide letter no. 2511 dated 22.04.2015 to the project proponent. Thereafter, no request/reply was received from the project proponent to reconsider the case.

While reviewing the progress report for the month of April, May & June 2016 in 112th meeting of SEIAA held on 27.07.2016, it was observed that 7 cases of the mining (non coal) have been pending since more than six months. The SEIAA among other decisions, decided that 7 cases of the mining (non coal), which are pending for more than six months, be placed in the next meeting of SEIAA as and when scheduled after taking recommendations from SEAC.

The case was considered by SEAC in its 149th meeting but no one attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent.

The SEAC observed that the case is though pending for more than six months, however, no observation on the application was conveyed to the project proponent. The case was placed before the SEAC only once i.e. in its 126 meeting

held on 21.08.2015, wherein the project proponent had sought deferment as environmental consultant was not available.

After deliberations, the SEAC decided to defer the case in light of Office Memorandum dated 25.02.2010 of MoEF, Govt. of India and ask the project proponent to attend the next meeting as and when called for.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 150th meeting held on 16.09.2016, which was attended by Sh. Vipin Kumar, Mining Officer, DIC, Rupnagar on behalf of project proponent.

The SEAC observed that as per the amendment in EIA notification, 2006 issued by MoEF & CC vide notification dated 15.01.2016, sustainable sand mining guidelines have been framed according to which the District Survey Report (DSR) shall be prepared and finalized for each minor mineral in the district separately by the DEIAA and DSR shall form the basis for application for Environmental Clearance, Preparation of report and appraisal of the projects. Thus, after finalization of District Survey Report, the project proponent is required to prepare revised EIA, mining plan and file fresh application based on these documents. As such, present application has become redundant and is liable to be sent for rejection.

After deliberations, the SEAC decided to forward the case to SEIAA with recommendation for rejection of the application.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item no. 116.05: Application for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Chak Chima, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot.

The facts of the case are as under:-

1. Earlier, GM cum Mining Officer, Pathankot, Punjab had submitted final EIA report to MoEF & CC after completing the process of issuance of TOR's, preparation of draft EIA report and public consultation for obtaining environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for river bed mining of minor minerals (sand/Gravel), in the revenue estate of Village Chak Chima, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot. The area of the project is though 14.0 hectare and is a category 'B' project, however, the project was categorized as category 'A' project due to falling of Interstate boundary with Himachal Pradesh within 10 kms of the radius of the project. The project is covered under category 1 (a) of the Schedule appended to the said notification.
2. The Ministry of Environment and Forests, has transferred the said application to SEIAA Punjab.
3. A copy of final EIA report was also submitted by project proponent.

The details of the project are as under:

- a) About 1,66,000 TPA of mining of minor minerals(Sand/Gravel) will be carried out in an area of 14.0 Hectare in the revenue estate of Village Chak Chima, Tehsil Pathankot, District Pathankot in H.B. no. 296.
- b) NOC from the Department of Forest & Wildlife, has not been submitted.
- c) The project proponent has submitted the Surface plan.
- d) The project proponent has not submitted the following documents:
 - Approved Mining Plan
 - Pre-feasibility report
 - Location Plan.
 - Route Map
 - Key plan map.
 - Photographs of the project site.
 - Aks Shajra of the Quarry.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 112th meeting held on 06.04.2015, which was attended by Sh. Balwinder Pal Singh, GMDIC, Pathankot. He

informed that their Environmental Consultant could not attend the meeting due to some un-avoidable circumstances and requested for deferment of the same.

The SEAC decided to accept the request of the GMDIC and defer the case.

Accordingly, the decision of the SEAC has been conveyed vide letter no. 2508 dated 22.04.2015 to the project proponent. Thereafter, no request/reply has been received from the project proponent to reconsider the case.

While reviewing the progress report for the month of April, May & June 2016 in 112th meeting of SEIAA held on 27.07.2016, it was observed that 7 cases of the mining (non coal) have been pending since more than six months. The SEIAA among other decisions, decided that 7 cases of the mining (non coal), which are pending more than six months, be placed for delisting in the next meeting of SEIAA as and when scheduled after taking recommendations from SEAC.

The case was considered by SEAC in its 149th meeting but no one attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent.

The SEAC observed that the case is though pending for more than six months, however, no observation on the application was conveyed to the project proponent. The case was placed before the SEAC only once i.e. in its 126 meeting held on 21.08.2015, wherein the project proponent had sought deferment as environmental consultant was not available.

After deliberations, the SEAC decided to defer the case in light of Office Memorandum dated 25.02.2010 of MoEF, Govt. of India and ask the project proponent to attend the next meeting as and when called for.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 150th meeting held on 16.09.2016, which was attended by Mohammed Shahid, Suptd. , DIC, Pathankot on behalf of project proponent.

The SEAC observed that as per the amendment in EIA notification, 2006 issued by MoEF & CC vide notification dated 15.01.2016, sustainable sand mining guidelines have been framed according to which the District Survey Report (DSR) shall be prepared and finalized for each minor mineral in the district separately by the DEIAA and DSR shall form the basis for application for Environmental Clearance, Preparation of report and appraisal of the projects. Thus, after finalization of District Survey Report, the project proponent is required to prepare revised EIA, mining plan and file fresh application based on these

documents. As such, present application has become redundant and is liable to be sent for rejection.

After deliberations, the SEAC decided to forward the case to SEIAA with recommendation for rejection of the application.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item no.116.06:Application for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for establishment of a group housing project namely "Athens" at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab being developed by M/s Brawn Buildtech (P) Limited. (Proposal no. SIA/PB/NCP/57420/2016)

The facts of the case are as under:

M/s Brawn Buildtech (P) Limited has applied for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for establishment of a group housing project namely "Athens" at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera Bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab. The project is covered under category building construction 8 (a) of the Schedule appended to the said notification.

The Environmental Engineer, Punjab Pollution Control Board, RO, Mohali was requested vide email dated 14.07.2016 to send the latest construction status at project site. The RO, Mohali vide its letter no. 3985 dated 15.07.2016 has reported that there was an old pucca house having boundary wall on two sides and one old temporary structure with 2-3 labourers are residing. Besides, there was a tubewell for abstraction of groundwater, which was probably earlier for irrigation of the agricultural land. However, no new construction has been made at the project site and even boundary wall has not yet been constructed. The photographs showing the status of the existing building and temporary structure are given as under:



The case was considered by the SEAC in its 148th meeting held on 19.07.2016, which was attended by the following:-

- (i) Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Project Manager of the promoter company on behalf of project proponent.
- (ii) Sh. Vishal Duggal, FAE, Air Pollution, M/s Shivalik Solid Waste Management, Environmental Consultant of the promoter Company

The Environmental Consultant of the promoter company presented the salient features of the project as under:-

- The total plot area of the project is 41319 sqm and the total built up area of the Project is 79600 sqm.
- The total water requirement for the project will be 788 KLD, which will be met through tubewell or public supply (if available in near future).
- The total wastewater generation from the project will be 630 KL/day, which will be treated in a STP of capacity 650 KLD (considering 15 KLD as wet weather flow) to be installed at project site. In summer season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 177 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 12 KLD as make water for DG set cooling, 34 KLD will be utilized for horticulture and remaining 407 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. In winter season, 177 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 10 KLD will be utilized for horticulture, 8 KLD as make water for DG set cooling and remaining 435 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. In rainy season, 177 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 12 KLD as make water for DG set cooling and remaining 441 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer.
- The total quantity of solid waste generation will be 1576 kg/day. Solid wastes generated will be segregated through Garbage chute system. The biodegradable organic wastes will be converted to manure by using vessel composting as per presentation and will be sent to approved site as per application form. Recyclable waste will be sold to authorized vendors. Inert waste will be sent to Municipal dumping site.
- The total load of electricity required for commercial project will be 4200 KW which will be taken from the PSPCL. There is a proposal to install silent 3 nos. DG Sets (2 X 500 KVA & 1 x 125KVA) as stand-by arrangement.
- The project proponent has also proposed to provide rain water harvesting pit to recharge the rain water.
- Used oil to be generated from the DG sets will be stored in HDPE tanks and sold to the authorized recyclers.
- Director will be responsible for implementation of EMP.
- Rs. 32.0 lac will be incurred for implementation of EMP on account of capital cost and Rs.12 lacs will be incurred on account of recurring charges during construction phase and Rs. 11 lacs will be incurred on account of recurring charges and Rs. 110 lacs will be incurred on account of capital cost during

operation phase. Further, Rs.5.90 lacs/annum will be incurred on account of recurring charges during construction phase and Rs. 6.90 lacs/ annum will be incurred on account of recurring charges during operation phase.

- The project proponent has mentioned that Rs. 10 lacs will be spent on CSR activities which are given as under:-
 - a. Provide jobs to nearby people
 - b. Blood donation camps and health related camps within radius of 5 km.
 - c. Widening of road within vicinity of the project.
 - d. Toilet for girls in nearby schools.
- The distance of Khol-Hai-Raitan wildlife sanctuary from project site is 9.25 km and a copy of acknowledgment alongwith set of application for obtaining permission has been submitted to Chief Wildlife Warden, Panchkula, Haryana has also been attached with the application.

The SEAC observed that the project proponent is required to submit the following:-

1. Copy of NABET accreditation certificate
2. Layout of main sewerline for carrying the treated sewage to MC sewer which is 200 mtrs away from the project site as proposed by the project proponent to be certified by MC, Zirakpur
3. Permission from MC Zirakpur to connect the sewer from project site to MC sewer.
4. Solar power plant area & capacity details.

After detailed deliberations, the SEAC decided to defer the case and ask the project proponent to submit the details of aforesaid observations so that further action in the matter can be taken.

Accordingly, the decision of the SEAC has been conveyed to the project proponent vide letter no. 3086 dated 02.08.2016. The project proponent has submitted the reply to the observation on 05.08.2016, which was annexed as annexure with the agenda.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 149th meeting held on 29.08.2016, which was attended by the following:-

- (i) Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Project Manager of the promoter company on behalf of project proponent.

(ii) Sh. Vishal Duggal, FAE, Air Pollution, M/s Shivalik Solid Waste Management, Environmental Consultant of the promoter Company

The SEAC observed that from perusal of reply, the 500 mtr radius plan of two projects namely Athens & Athens 2 is not complete and details of solar power generation has not been mentioned. Further, permission letter from MC Zirakpur does not include the quantity of treated waste water to be discharged into MC sewer. To these observations, the project proponent submitted a copy of 500 mtr radius map showing exact locations of both sites alongwith other features in vicinity, revised letter permission letter from MC Zirakpur vide no. 8354 dated 19.08.2016 wherein 419 KLD has been mentioned as the quantity of treated waste water to be discharged into MC sewer and an undertaking that they will use 30% of the total roof top area for solar power generation. The reply submitted by the project proponent alongwith annexure was taken on record by the SEAC.

The SEAC further observed that the EO, MC, Zirakpur has given permission for allowing discharge of 419 KLD of sewage into the MC sewer whereas the actual discharge into the sewer during winter and monsoon season submitted by the project proponent is 435 KLD & 441 KLD respectively. Moreover, the wet weather flow has not been considered in the revised water balance chart submitted for the rainy season.

After deliberation, the SEAC decided to defer the case and asked the project proponent to attend the aforesaid observations so that further action in the matter can be taken.

Accordingly, the decision of the SEAC has been conveyed to the project proponent vide letter no. 3345 dated 12.09.2016. The project proponent has submitted the reply to the observation on 15.09.2016, which was annexed with agenda.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 150th meeting held on 16.09.2016, which was attended by Sh. Manoj Sharma, Project Engineer of the promoter company on behalf of project proponent.

Sh. Manoj Sharma submitted an authority letter dated 15.09.2016 wherein he has been authorized by the Director of project to present the case before SEAC on 16.09.2016 for projects namely Athens & Athens-2. The same was taken on record by the SEAC. Thereafter, the SEAC observed that from perusal of reply, the project proponent has submitted the revised permission letter no. 8791 dated

15.09.2016 from EO, MC, Zirakpur for discharge of treated effluent @456 KLD to be generated from the project namely Athens. The SEAC further observed that the project proponent has submitted revised water balance for rainy season which is as under:-

"In rainy season, 177 KL/day of treated wastewater will be used for flushing purpose, 12 KLD as make up water for DG set cooling and remaining 441 KL/day plus 15 KLD as wet weather flow thereby totaling it to 456 KLD will be discharged into M.C. sewer."

The reply submitted by the project proponent alongwith annexure was taken on record by the SEAC.

The SEAC observed that the project proponent has provided adequate and satisfactory clarifications to the observations raised by it. Therefore, the Committee awarded '**Silver Grading**' to the project proposal and decided that case be forwarded to SEIAA with the recommendations to grant environmental clearance for establishment of group housing project namely "Athens" in an area of 41319 sqm & having total built up area of the Project as 79600 sqm at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab subject to the following conditions in addition to the proposed measures:

PART-A – Conditions common for all the three phases i.e. Pre-Construction Phase, Construction Phase and Operation Phase & Entire Life:

- (i) Any appeal against this environmental clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
- (ii) A first aid room will be provided in the project both during construction and operation phase of the project.
- (iii) Construction of the STP, solid waste, e-waste, hazardous waste, storage facilities tubewell, DG Sets, Utilities etc, earmarked by the project proponent on the layout plan, should be made in the earmarked area only. In any case the position/location of these utilities should not be changed later-on.
- (iv) The environmental safeguards contained in the application of the promoter / mentioned during the presentation before State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority/State Expert Appraisal Committee should be implemented in letter and spirit.
- (v) Ambient air & noise levels should conform to prescribed standards both during day and night. Incremental pollution loads on the ambient air quality, noise especially during worst noise generating activities, water quality and soil should be periodically monitored during construction phase as well as operation & entire life phase as per the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change guidelines and all the mitigation measures should be taken to bring down the levels within the prescribed standards.
- (vi) The project proponent is required to obtain prior Forest & Wildlife clearance under Forest Conservation Act, 1980/ Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 from

NBWL as the project site falls within the 10KM radius from Khol-Hi-Raitan Wildlife Sanctuary. The grant of environmental clearance does not necessarily implies that forestry and wildlife clearance be granted to the project and that their proposals for forestry and wild life clearance will be considered by the respective authorities on their merits and decision taken. The investment made in the project, if any, based on environmental clearance so granted, in anticipation of the clearance from forestry and wildlife angle shall be entirely at the cost and risk of the project proponent and Ministry of Environment and Forests shall not be responsible in this regard in any manner

- (vii) All other statutory clearances such as the approvals for storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 etc. shall be obtained, by project proponents from the competent authorities including Punjab Pollution Control Board and from other statutory bodies as applicable.
- (viii) The State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Punjab reserves the right to add additional safeguards/ measures subsequently, if found necessary, and to take action including revoking of the environmental clearance under the provisions of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, to ensure effective implementation of the suggested safeguards/ measures in a time bound and satisfactory manner.
- (ix) A proper record showing compliance of all the conditions of environmental clearance shall be maintained and made available at site at all the times.
- (x) The project proponent shall also submit half yearly compliance reports in respect of the stipulated prior environmental clearance terms & conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard & soft copies) to the respective Regional office of MoEF , the Zonal Office of CPCB, the SPCB and SEIAA, Punjab on 1st June and 1st December of each calendar year.
- (xi) Officials from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chandigarh / State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority / State Level Expert Appraisal Committee / Punjab Pollution Control Board who would be monitoring the implementation of environmental safeguards should be given full cooperation, facilities and documents / data by the project proponents during their inspection. A complete set of all the documents submitted to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority should be forwarded to the APCCF, Regional Office of Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chandigarh.
- (xii) In the case of any change(s) in the scope of the project, the project would require a fresh appraisal by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Punjab.
- (xiii) Environmental clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Goa Foundation Vs. Union of India in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 460 of 2004 as may be applicable to this project and decisions of any Competent Court, to the extent applicable.
- (xiv) The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to the Regional Office of MoEF&CC, SEIAA, Punjab the respective Zonal Office of CPCB and the SPCB. The criteria pollutant levels for all the parameters of NAAQM standards shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the main gate of the company in the public domain.
- (xv) The inlet and outlet point of natural drain system should be maintained with

- adequate size of channel for ensuring unrestricted flow of water.
- (xvi) The unpaved area shall be more than or equal to 20% of the recreational open spaces.
 - (xvii) The project proponent shall comply with the provisions of Construction and Demolition Waste Rules, 2016.

PART-B – Specific Conditions:

I. Pre-Construction Phase

- (i) “Consent to establish” shall be obtained from Punjab Pollution Control Board under Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and a copy of the same shall be submitted to the Ministry of Environment & Forests / State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority before the start of any construction work at site.
- (ii) All required sanitary and hygienic measures should be in place before starting construction activities and to be maintained throughout the construction phase.
- (iii) The approval of competent authority shall be obtained for structural safety of the buildings due to earthquakes, adequacy of fire fighting equipments etc. as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightning.
- (iv) Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labor within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, disposal of waste water & solid waste in an environmentally sound manner, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.

II. Construction Phase:

- (i) All the topsoil excavated during construction activities should be stored for use in horticulture / landscape development within the project site.
- (ii) Disposal of muck during construction phase should not create any adverse effect on the neighboring communities and be disposed off after taking the necessary precautions for general safety and health aspects of people with the approval of competent authority. The project proponent will comply with the provisions of Construction & Demolition Waste Rules, 2016. Dust, smoke & debris prevention measures such as wheel washing, screens, barricading and debris chute shall be installed at the site during construction including plastic / tarpaulin sheet covers for trucks bringing in sand & material at the site.
- (iii) Construction spoils, including bituminous material and other hazardous material, must not be allowed to contaminate watercourses. The dump sites for such material must be secured, so that they should not leach into the groundwater.
- (iv) Vehicles hired for bringing construction material to the site and other machinery to be used during construction should be in good condition and should conform to applicable air emission standards.
- (v) The project proponent shall use only treated sewage/wastewater for construction activities and no fresh water for this purpose will be used. A proper record in this regard should be maintained and available at site.
- (vi) Fly ash based construction material should be used in the construction as per the provisions of Fly Ash Notification of September, 1999 and as amended on August, 2003 and notification No. S.O. 2804 (E) dated 03.11.2009.
- (vii) Water demand during construction should be reduced by use of ready mixed concrete, curing agents and other best practices.

- (viii) Adequate treatment facility for drinking water shall be provided, if required.
- (ix) The project proponent shall provide electromagnetic flow meter at the outlet of the water supply, outlet of the STP and any pipeline to be used for re-using the treated wastewater back into the system for flushing and for horticulture purpose/green etc.
- (x) The project proponent will provide dual plumbing system for reuse of treated wastewater for flushing/ HVAC purposes etc. and color coding of different pipe lines carrying water/wastewater/ treated wastewater as follows:
 - a. Fresh water : Blue
 - b. Untreated wastewater : Black
 - c. Treated wastewater : Green
(for reuse)
 - d. Treated wastewater : Yellow
(for discharge)
 - e. Storm water : Orange
- (xi) Fixtures for showers, toilet flushing and drinking should be of low flow either by use of aerators or pressure reducing devices or sensor based control.
- (xii) Separation of drinking water supply and treated sewage supply should be done by the use of different colors.
- (xiii) **(a)** Adequate steps shall be taken to conserve energy by limiting the use of glass, provision of proper thermal insulation and taking measures as prescribed under the Energy Conservation Building Code and National Building Code, 2005 on Energy conservation.
(b) Solar power plant by utilizing at least 30% of the open roof top area in the premises shall be installed for utilizing maximum solar energy. Also, solar lights shall be provided as proposed for illumination of common areas.
- (xiv) The diesel generator sets to be used during construction phase should conform to the provisions of Diesel Generator Set Rules prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
- (xv) Chute system, separate wet & dry bins at ground level and for common areas for facilitating segregation of waste, collection centre and mechanical composter (with a minimum capacity of 0.3kg/tenement/day) shall be provided for proper collection, handling, storage, segregation, treatment and disposal of solid waste. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions of Municipal Solid Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 2016.
- (xvi) A rainwater harvesting plan shall be designed where the re-charge bores (minimum one per 5000 sqm of built up area) shall be provided. Recharging wells for roof top run-off shall have provision of adequate treatment for removing suspended matter etc. before recharging as per the CGWA guidelines. Run-off from areas other than roof top such as green areas and roads/pavement etc. may also be recharged but only after providing adequate treatment to remove suspended matter, oil & grease etc. and ensuring that rainwater being recharged from these areas is not contaminated with pesticides, insecticides, chemical fertilizer etc.
- (xvii) Green belt of adequate width as proposed shall be provided so as to achieve attenuation factor conforming to the day & night standards prescribed for residential land use. The open spaces inside the plot should be suitably landscaped and covered with vegetation of indigenous species/variety. A minimum of one tree for every 80 sqm of land shall be planted and maintained. The existing trees may be counted for this purpose. Preference

should be given to planting native species. Where the trees need to be cut, compensatory plantation in the ratio of 1:3 (i.e. planting of three trees for every one tree that is cut) shall be done with the obligation to continue maintenance.

III. **Operation Phase and Entire Life**

- i) "Consent to operate" shall be obtained from Punjab Pollution Control Board under Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and a copy of the same shall be submitted to the Ministry of Environment & Forests / State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority at the time of start of operation.
- ii) The total water requirement for the project will be 788 KLD, which will be met through tubewell or public supply (if available in near future).
- iii) a) The total wastewater generation from the project will be 630 KL/day, which will be treated in a STP of capacity 650 KLD (considering 15 KLD as wet weather flow). As proposed, reuse of treated wastewater and discharge of surplus treated wastewater shall be as below:

Season	Reuse for flushing (KLD)	For horticulture purpose (KLD)	For DG set cooling as make up water (KLD)	Discharge onto sewer (KLD)
Summer	177	34	12	407
Winter	177	10	8	437
Rainy	177	NIL	12	441 +15 KLD (wet weather flow) = 456 KLD

- b) Storage tank of adequate capacity shall be provided for the storage of treated wastewater and all efforts shall be made to supply the same for construction purposes. Only, the surplus treated wastewater shall be discharged onto land for plantation to be developed as per Karnal Technology/ into sewer after maintaining the proper record.
- iv) The project proponent shall ensure safe drinking water supply to the habitants.
- v) The wastewater generated from swimming pool(s) if provided shall not be discharged and the same shall be reused within the premises for purposes such as horticulture, HVAC etc.
- vi) A proper record regarding groundwater abstraction, water consumption, its reuse and disposal shall be maintained on daily basis and shall maintain a record of readings of each such meter on daily basis.
- vii) Rainwater harvesting/recharging systems shall be operated and maintained properly as per CGWA guidelines.
- viii) The facilities provided for collection, segregation, handling, on site storage & processing of solid waste such as chute system, wet & dry bins, collection centre & mechanical composter etc. shall be properly maintained chute system provided for collection of solid waste. The collected solid waste shall be segregated at site. The recyclable solid waste shall be sold out to the authorized vendors for which a written tie-up must be done with the authorized recyclers. Organic waste shall be composted by mechanical composters with a minimum capacity of 0.3kg/tenement/day and the inert

- solid waste shall be sent to the concerned collection centre of integrated municipal solid waste management facility of the area. A proper record in this regard shall be maintained.
- ix) Hazardous waste/E-waste should be disposed off as per Rules applicable and with the necessary approval of the Punjab Pollution Control Board.
 - x) Traffic congestion near the entry and exit points from the roads adjoining the proposed project site must be avoided. Parking should be fully internalized and no public space should be utilized.
 - xi) The project proponent before allowing any occupancy shall obtain completion and occupancy certificate from the Competent Authority and submit a copy of the same to the SEIAA, Punjab.
 - xii) The green belt along the periphery of the plot shall achieve attenuation factor conforming to the day and night noise standards prescribed for residential land use.
 - xiii) Solar power plant and other solar energy related equipments shall be operated and maintained properly.
 - xiv) A report on the energy conservation measures conforming to energy conservation norms should be prepared incorporating details about machinery of air conditioning, lifts, lighting, building materials, R & U Factors etc. and submitted to the respective Regional office of MoEF, the Zonal Office of CPCB and the SPCB/SEIAA in three months time.
 - xv) Environmental Management Cell shall be formed during operation phase which will supervise and monitor the environment related aspects of the project.

PART C – General Conditions :

I. Pre-Construction Phase

- i) This environmental clearance will be valid for a period of seven years from the date of its issue or till the completion of the project, whichever is earlier.
- ii) The project proponent should advertise in at least two local newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which shall be in the vernacular language informing that the project has been accorded environmental clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the Punjab Pollution Control Board. The advertisement should be made within seven days from the day of issue of the clearance letter and a copy of the same should be forwarded to the Regional Office, Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chandigarh and SEIAA, Punjab.
- iii) The project proponent shall obtain permission from the CGWA for abstraction of groundwater & digging of bore well(s) and shall not abstract any groundwater without prior written permission of the CGWA, even if any bore well(s) exist at site.
- iv) The project proponent shall obtain CLU from the competent authority.
- v) A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zilla Parishad/ Municipal Corporation, Urban local body and the local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions / representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the proponent.

II. Construction Phase

- i) The project proponent shall adhere to the commitments made in the Environment Management Plan for the construction phase and Corporate Social Responsibility and shall spend minimum amount of Rs. 32.0 Lacs towards capital investment, Rs.12.0 Lacs/annum towards recurring

expenditure and Rs.10 lacs towards CSR activities as proposed in addition to the amount to be spent under the provisions of the Companies Act 1956.

III. Operation Phase and Entire Life

- i)
 - a) The entire cost of the environmental management plan will continue to be borne by the project proponent until the responsibility of environmental management plan is transferred to the occupier/residents society under proper MOU under intimation to SEIAA, Punjab. The project proponent shall spend minimum amount of Rs.110.0 lacs towards capital cost and Rs. 6.90 lacs/ annum recurring expenditure as proposed in the EMP.
 - b) The project proponent shall adhere to the commitments made in the proposal for CSR activities and shall spend a minimum amount of Rs.10 Lacs as proposed.
- ii) The diesel generator sets to be provided shall conform to the provisions of Diesel Generator Set Rules prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. The exhaust pipe of DG set if installed must be minimum 10 m away from the building or in case it is less than 10 m away, the exhaust pipe shall be taken upto 3 m above the building.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item no.116.07:Application for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for establishment of a group housing project namely "Athens-2" at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab being developed by M/s Brawn Buildtech (P) Limited. (Proposal no. SIA/PB/NCP/58204/2016)

The facts of the case are as under:-

M/s Brawn Buildtech (P) Limited has applied for environmental clearance under EIA notification dated 14.09.2006 for establishment of a group housing project namely "Athens-2" at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab. The project is covered under category building construction 8 (a) of the Schedule appended to the said notification.

The Environmental Engineer, Punjab Pollution Control Board, RO, Mohali was requested vide email dated 23.08.2016 to send the latest construction status at project site. The RO, Mohali vide return email dated 24.08.2016 has reported that the site of the subject cited project was visited by AEE of this office on 23/08/2016 and Sh. Deepak Gupta, Consultant of the project was contacted and he showed the site of the project. It was observed that no construction work has been started at the site of the project. Furthermore, no demarcation of the boundary of the project has been made, so far. There was an old tube-well for abstraction of groundwater.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 149th meeting held on 29.08.2016, which was attended by the following:-

- (i) Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Projects Manager of the promoter company on behalf of project proponent.
- (ii) Sh. Sumitava Dutta, FAE, M/s Chandigarh Pollution Testing Laboratory, Environmental Consultant of the promoter Company

The SEAC allowed the project proponent to present the salient features of the project and the environmental consultant of the promoter company presented as under:-

- The total plot area of the project is 15976 sqm and the total built up area of the Project is 31133 sqm.
- The EO, MC, Zirakpur has approved the building map vide letter no. 8355 dated 19.08.2016.
- The total water requirement for the project will be 256 KLD which will be met

through tubewell or public supply (if available in near future).

- The total wastewater generation from the project will be 205 KL/day, which will be treated in a STP of capacity 225 KLD including infiltration to be installed at project site. In summer season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 12 KLD will be utilized for horticulture, 7 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling makeup water and remaining 128 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. In winter season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 5 KLD will be utilized for horticulture, 2 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling makeup water and remaining 140 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. In rainy season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 2 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling makeup water and remaining 145 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. About 2260 sq mtr area has been earmarked for green development.
- Obtained permission from EO, MC, Zirakpur vide no.8355 dated 19.08.2016 for discharge of treated sewage @128 KLD into MC sewer.
- The total roof top area will be 3126 sq mtr and 30 % of the same will be utilized for generation of solar energy.
- The total quantity of solid waste generation will be 512 kg/day. Solid wastes generated will be segregated through Garbage chute system. The biodegradable organic wastes will be converted to manure by using vessel composting as per presentation and will be sent to approved site as per application form. Recyclable waste will be sold to authorized venders. Inert waste will be sent to Municipal dumping site.
- The total load of electricity required for commercial project will be 1500 KW which will be taken from the PSPCL. There is a proposal to install silent DG Sets as stand-by arrangement.
- The project proponent has also proposed to provide rain water harvesting pit to recharge the rain water.
- Used oil to be generated from the DG sets will be stored in HDPE tanks and sold to the authorized recyclers.
- Project head will be responsible for implementation of EMP till the project is handed over to Association of the residents or MC.

- Rs. 32.0 lac will be incurred for implementation of EMP on account of capital cost and Rs.12 lacs will be incurred on account of recurring charges during construction phase and Rs. 10.5 lacs will be incurred on account of recurring charges and Rs. 64 lacs will be incurred on account of capital cost during operation phase. Further, Rs.5.90 lacs /annum will be incurred on account of recurring charges during construction phase and Rs. 5.90 lacs/ annum will be incurred on account of recurring charges during operation phase.
- Association of the Residents or MC whosoever takes the project will be responsible.
- The project proponent has mentioned that Rs. 10 lacs will be spent on CSR activities which are given as under:-
 - a) Provide jobs to nearby people
 - b) Blood donation camps and health related camps within radius of 5 km.
 - c) Widening of road within vicinity of the project.
 - d) Toilet for girls in nearby schools.
- The exact distance of Khol-Hai-Raitan wildlife sanctuary from project site is 8.76 km and copy of acknowledgment alongwith copy of set of application has been submitted.

The SEAC observed that 500 mtr radius plan of two projects namely Athens & Athens 2 is not complete and water balance & rain water peak event needs to be revised as the wet weather flow has not been considered correctly for roof area, green area, paved area available in project site. To this observation, the project proponent submitted a copy of 500 mtr radius map showing exact locations of both sites alongwith other features in vicinity, revised rain water harvesting calculations i.e. total collection has been mentioned as 286 m³/ year instead of 7340 m³/year alongwith revised water balance for all three seasons which is given as under:-

“The total water requirement for the project will be 256 KLD which includes fresh water@198 KLD &will be met through tubewell or public supply (if available in near future).The total wastewater generation from the project will be 205 KL/day, which will be treated in a STP of capacity 225 KLD including infiltration to be installed at project site. In summer season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 12 KLD will be utilized for horticulture, 7 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling makeup water and remaining 128 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. In winter season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 5 KLD will be utilized for horticulture, 2 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling

makeup water and remaining 140 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. In rainy season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 2 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling makeup water and remaining 145 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer. About 2260 sq mtr area has been ear marked for green development."

The SEAC observed that the EO, MC, Zirakpur has given permission for allowing discharge of 128 KLD of sewage into the MC sewer whereas the actual discharge into the sewer during winter and monsoon season submitted by the project proponent is 140 KLD & 145 KLD respectively. Moreover, the wet weather flow has not been considered in the revised water balance chart submitted for the rainy season.

After deliberation, the SEAC decided to defer the case and asked the project proponent to attend the aforesaid observations so that further action in the matter can be taken.

Accordingly, the decision of the SEAC has been conveyed to the project proponent vide letter no. 3339 dated 12.09.2016. The project proponent has submitted the reply to the observation on 15.09.2016, which was annexed with agenda.

The case was considered by the SEAC in its 150th meeting held on 16.09.2016, which was attended by Sh. Manoj Sharma, Project Engineer of the promoter company on behalf of project proponent.

Sh. Manoj Sharma submitted an authority letter dated 15.09.2016 wherein he has been authorized by the Director of project to present the case before SEAC on 16.09.2016 for projects namely Athens & Athens-2. The same was taken on record by the SEAC. Thereafter, the SEAC observed that from perusal of reply, the project proponent has submitted the revised permission letter no. 8792 dated 15.09.2016 from EO, MC, Zirakpur for discharge of treated effluent @155 KLD to be generated from the project namely Athens. The SEAC further observed that the project proponent has submitted revised water balance for rainy season which is as under:-

"In rainy season, the project proponent has proposed to utilize 58 KL/day of treated wastewater for flushing purpose, 2 KLD will be utilized for DG set cooling makeup water and remaining 145 KLD plus 10 KLD as wet weather flow thereby totaling it to 155 KL/day will be discharged into M.C. sewer."

The reply submitted by the project proponent along with annexure was taken on record by the SEAC.

The SEAC observed that the project proponent has provided adequate and satisfactory clarifications to the observations raised by it. Therefore, the Committee awarded '**Silver Grading**' to the project proposal and decided that case be forwarded to SEIAA with the recommendations to grant environmental clearance for establishment of group housing project namely "Athens-2" in an area of 15,976 sqm & having total built up area of the Project as 31133 sqm at Village Ramgarh Bhudda, Zirakpur, Tehsil Dera Bassi, Mohali, District S.A.S. Nagar, Punjab subject to the following conditions in addition to the proposed measures:

PART-A – Conditions common for all the three phases i.e. Pre-Construction Phase, Construction Phase and Operation Phase & Entire Life:

- (i) Any appeal against this environmental clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
- (ii) A first aid room will be provided in the project both during construction and operation phase of the project.
- (iii) Construction of the STP, solid waste, e-waste, hazardous waste, storage facilities tubewell, DG Sets, Utilities etc, earmarked by the project proponent on the layout plan, should be made in the earmarked area only. In any case the position/location of these utilities should not be changed later-on.
- (iv) The environmental safeguards contained in the application of the promoter / mentioned during the presentation before State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority/State Expert Appraisal Committee should be implemented in letter and spirit.
- (v) Ambient air & noise levels should conform to prescribed standards both during day and night. Incremental pollution loads on the ambient air quality, noise especially during worst noise generating activities, water quality and soil should be periodically monitored during construction phase as well as operation & entire life phase as per the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change guidelines and all the mitigation measures should be taken to bring down the levels within the prescribed standards.
- (vi) The project proponent is required to obtain prior Forest & Wildlife clearance under Forest Conservation Act, 1980/ Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 from NBWL as the project site falls within the 10KM radius from Khol-Hi-Raitan Wildlife Sanctuary. The grant of environmental clearance does not necessarily implies that forestry and wildlife clearance be granted to the project and that their proposals for forestry and wild life clearance will be considered by the respective authorities on their merits and decision taken. The investment made in the project, if any, based on environmental clearance so granted, in anticipation of the clearance from forestry and wildlife angle shall be entirely at the cost and risk of the project proponent and Ministry of Environment and Forests shall not be responsible in this regard in any manner
- (vii) All other statutory clearances such as the approvals for storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 etc. shall

- be obtained, by project proponents from the competent authorities including Punjab Pollution Control Board and from other statutory bodies as applicable.
- (viii) The State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Punjab reserves the right to add additional safeguards/ measures subsequently, if found necessary, and to take action including revoking of the environmental clearance under the provisions of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, to ensure effective implementation of the suggested safeguards/ measures in a time bound and satisfactory manner.
 - (ix) A proper record showing compliance of all the conditions of environmental clearance shall be maintained and made available at site at all the times.
 - (x) The project proponent shall also submit half yearly compliance reports in respect of the stipulated prior environmental clearance terms & conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard & soft copies) to the respective Regional office of MoEF , the Zonal Office of CPCB, the SPCB and SEIAA, Punjab on 1st June and 1st December of each calendar year.
 - (xi) Officials from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chandigarh / State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority / State Level Expert Appraisal Committee / Punjab Pollution Control Board who would be monitoring the implementation of environmental safeguards should be given full cooperation, facilities and documents / data by the project proponents during their inspection. A complete set of all the documents submitted to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority should be forwarded to the APCCF, Regional Office of Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chandigarh.
 - (xii) In the case of any change(s) in the scope of the project, the project would require a fresh appraisal by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Punjab.
 - (xiii) Environmental clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Goa Foundation Vs. Union of India in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 460 of 2004 as may be applicable to this project and decisions of any Competent Court, to the extent applicable.
 - (xiv) The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to the Regional Office of MoEF&CC, SEIAA, Punjab the respective Zonal Office of CPCB and the SPCB. The criteria pollutant levels for all the parameters of NAAQM standards shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the main gate of the company in the public domain.
 - (xv) The inlet and outlet point of natural drain system should be maintained with adequate size of channel for ensuring unrestricted flow of water.
 - (xvi) The unpaved area shall be more than or equal to 20% of the recreational open spaces.
 - (xvii) The project proponent shall comply with the provisions of Construction and Demolition Waste Rules, 2016.

PART-B – Specific Conditions:

II. Pre-Construction Phase

- (i) "Consent to establish" shall be obtained from Punjab Pollution Control Board under Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and a copy of the same shall be submitted to the Ministry of Environment & Forests / State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority before the start of any construction work at site.

- (ii) All required sanitary and hygienic measures should be in place before starting construction activities and to be maintained throughout the construction phase.
- (iii) The approval of competent authority shall be obtained for structural safety of the buildings due to earthquakes, adequacy of fire fighting equipments etc. as per National Building Code including protection measures from lightning.
- (iv) Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labor within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, disposal of waste water & solid waste in an environmentally sound manner, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.

III. Construction Phase:

- (i) All the topsoil excavated during construction activities should be stored for use in horticulture / landscape development within the project site.
- (ii) Disposal of muck during construction phase should not create any adverse effect on the neighboring communities and be disposed off after taking the necessary precautions for general safety and health aspects of people with the approval of competent authority. The project proponent will comply with the provisions of Construction & Demolition Waste Rules, 2016. Dust, smoke & debris prevention measures such as wheel washing, screens, barricading and debris chute shall be installed at the site during construction including plastic / tarpaulin sheet covers for trucks bringing in sand & material at the site.
- (iii) Construction spoils, including bituminous material and other hazardous material, must not be allowed to contaminate watercourses. The dump sites for such material must be secured, so that they should not leach into the groundwater.
- (iv) Vehicles hired for bringing construction material to the site and other machinery to be used during construction should be in good condition and should conform to applicable air emission standards.
- (v) The project proponent shall use only treated sewage/wastewater for construction activities and no fresh water for this purpose will be used. A proper record in this regard should be maintained and available at site.
- (vi) Fly ash based construction material should be used in the construction as per the provisions of Fly Ash Notification of September, 1999 and as amended on August, 2003 and notification No. S.O. 2804 (E) dated 03.11.2009.
- (vii) Water demand during construction should be reduced by use of ready mixed concrete, curing agents and other best practices.
- (viii) Adequate treatment facility for drinking water shall be provided, if required.
- (ix) The project proponent shall provide electromagnetic flow meter at the outlet of the water supply, outlet of the STP and any pipeline to be used for re-using the treated wastewater back into the system for flushing and for horticulture purpose/green etc.
- (x) The project proponent will provide dual plumbing system for reuse of treated wastewater for flushing/ HVAC purposes etc. and color coding of different pipe lines carrying water/wastewater/ treated wastewater as follows:
 - e. Fresh water : Blue
 - f. Untreated wastewater : Black
 - g. Treated wastewater : Green
 - (for reuse)

- h. Treated wastewater : Yellow
(for discharge)
- e. Storm water : Orange
- (xi) Fixtures for showers, toilet flushing and drinking should be of low flow either by use of aerators or pressure reducing devices or sensor based control.
- (xii) Separation of drinking water supply and treated sewage supply should be done by the use of different colors.
- (xiii) (a) Adequate steps shall be taken to conserve energy by limiting the use of glass, provision of proper thermal insulation and taking measures as prescribed under the Energy Conservation Building Code and National Building Code, 2005 on Energy conservation.
(b) Solar power plant by utilizing at least 30% of the open roof top area in the premises shall be installed for utilizing maximum solar energy. Also, solar lights shall be provided as proposed for illumination of common areas.
- (xiv) The diesel generator sets to be used during construction phase should conform to the provisions of Diesel Generator Set Rules prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
- (xv) Chute system, separate wet & dry bins at ground level and for common areas for facilitating segregation of waste, collection centre and mechanical composter (with a minimum capacity of 0.3kg/tenement/day) shall be provided for proper collection, handling, storage, segregation, treatment and disposal of solid waste. The project proponent shall comply with the provisions of Municipal Solid Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 2016.
- (xvi) A rainwater harvesting plan shall be designed where the re-charge bores (minimum one per 5000 sqm of built up area) shall be provided. Recharging wells for roof top run-off shall have provision of adequate treatment for removing suspended matter etc. before recharging as per the CGWA guidelines. Run-off from areas other than roof top such as green areas and roads/pavement etc. may also be recharged but only after providing adequate treatment to remove suspended matter, oil & grease etc. and ensuring that rainwater being recharged from these areas is not contaminated with pesticides, insecticides, chemical fertilizer etc.
- (xvii) Green belt of adequate width as proposed shall be provided so as to achieve attenuation factor conforming to the day & night standards prescribed for residential land use. The open spaces inside the plot should be suitably landscaped and covered with vegetation of indigenous species/variety. A minimum of one tree for every 80 sqm of land shall be planted and maintained. The existing trees may be counted for this purpose. Preference should be given to planting native species. Where the trees need to be cut, compensatory plantation in the ratio of 1:3 (i.e. planting of three trees for every one tree that is cut) shall be done with the obligation to continue maintenance.

IV. Operation Phase and Entire Life

- i) "Consent to operate" shall be obtained from Punjab Pollution Control Board under Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and a copy of the same shall be submitted to the Ministry of Environment & Forests / State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority at the time of start of operation.
- ii) The total water requirement for the project will be 256 KLD, which will be met through tubewell or public supply (if available in near future).

- iii) a) The total wastewater generation from the project will be 205 KL/day, which will be treated in a STP of capacity 225 KLD (considering 10 KLD as wet weather flow). As proposed, reuse of treated wastewater and discharge of surplus treated wastewater shall be as below:

Season	Reuse for flushing (KLD)	For horticulture purpose (KLD)	For DG set cooling as make up water (KLD)	Discharge onto sewer (KLD)
Summer	58	12	7	128
Winter	58	5	2	140
Rainy	58	NIL	2	145 +10 KLD (wet weather flow) = 155 KLD

- b) Storage tank of adequate capacity shall be provided for the storage of treated wastewater and all efforts shall be made to supply the same for construction purposes. Only, the surplus treated wastewater shall be discharged onto land for plantation to be developed as per Karnal Technology/ into sewer after maintaining the proper record.
- iv) The project proponent shall ensure safe drinking water supply to the habitants.
- v) The wastewater generated from swimming pool(s) if provided shall not be discharged and the same shall be reused within the premises for purposes such as horticulture, HVAC etc.
- vi) A proper record regarding groundwater abstraction, water consumption, its reuse and disposal shall be maintained on daily basis and shall maintain a record of readings of each such meter on daily basis.
- vii) Rainwater harvesting/recharging systems shall be operated and maintained properly as per CGWA guidelines.
- viii) The facilities provided for collection, segregation, handling, on site storage & processing of solid waste such as chute system, wet & dry bins, collection centre & mechanical composter etc. shall be properly maintained chute system provided for collection of solid waste. The collected solid waste shall be segregated at site. The recyclable solid waste shall be sold out to the authorized vendors for which a written tie-up must be done with the authorized recyclers. Organic waste shall be composted by mechanical composters with a minimum capacity of 0.3kg/tenement/day and the inert solid waste shall be sent to the concerned collection centre of integrated municipal solid waste management facility of the area. A proper record in this regard shall be maintained.
- ix) Hazardous waste/E-waste should be disposed off as per Rules applicable and with the necessary approval of the Punjab Pollution Control Board.
- x) Traffic congestion near the entry and exit points from the roads adjoining the proposed project site must be avoided. Parking should be fully internalized and no public space should be utilized.
- xi) The project proponent before allowing any occupancy shall obtain completion and occupancy certificate from the Competent Authority and submit a copy of the same to the SEIAA, Punjab.
- xii) The green belt along the periphery of the plot shall achieve attenuation factor conforming to the day and night noise standards prescribed for residential

- land use.
- xiii) Solar power plant and other solar energy related equipments shall be operated and maintained properly.
 - xiv) A report on the energy conservation measures conforming to energy conservation norms should be prepared incorporating details about machinery of air conditioning, lifts, lighting, building materials, R & U Factors etc. and submitted to the respective Regional office of MoEF, the Zonal Office of CPCB and the SPCB/SEIAA in three months time.
 - xv) Environmental Management Cell shall be formed during operation phase which will supervise and monitor the environment related aspects of the project.

PART C – General Conditions :

I. Pre-Construction Phase

- i) This environmental clearance will be valid for a period of seven years from the date of its issue or till the completion of the project, whichever is earlier.
- ii) The project proponent should advertise in at least two local newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which shall be in the vernacular language informing that the project has been accorded environmental clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the Punjab Pollution Control Board. The advertisement should be made within seven days from the day of issue of the clearance letter and a copy of the same should be forwarded to the Regional Office, Ministry of Environment & Forests, Chandigarh and SEIAA, Punjab.
- iii) The project proponent shall obtain permission from the CGWA for abstraction of groundwater & digging of bore well(s) and shall not abstract any groundwater without prior written permission of the CGWA, even if any bore well(s) exist at site.
- iv) The project proponent shall obtain CLU from the competent authority.
- v) A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zilla Parishad/ Municipal Corporation, Urban local body and the local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions / representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the proponent.

II. Construction Phase

- i) The project proponent shall adhere to the commitments made in the Environment Management Plan for the construction phase and Corporate Social Responsibility and shall spend minimum amount of Rs. 32.0 Lacs towards capital investment, Rs.12.0 Lacs/annum towards recurring expenditure and Rs.10 lacs towards CSR activities as proposed in addition to the amount to be spent under the provisions of the Companies Act 1956.

III. Operation Phase and Entire Life

- i) **a)** The entire cost of the environmental management plan will continue to be borne by the project proponent until the responsibility of environmental management plan is transferred to the occupier/residents society under proper MOU under intimation to SEIAA, Punjab. The project proponent shall spend minimum amount of Rs.64.00 lacs towards capital cost and Rs. 5.90 lacs/ annum recurring expenditure as proposed in the EMP.
b) The project proponent shall adhere to the commitments made in the proposal for CSR activities and shall spend a minimum amount of Rs.10 Lacs as proposed.
- ii) The diesel generator sets to be provided shall conform to the provisions of

Diesel Generator Set Rules prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. The exhaust pipe of DG set if installed must be minimum 10 m away from the building or in case it is less than 10 m away, the exhaust pipe shall be taken upto 3 m above the building.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item No. 116.08: Show cause notice for carrying out expansions beyond threshold limit of built up area without obtaining environmental clearance for the expansion project under the provision of EIA notification, 2006 to the various Hospitals & Universities.

The facts of the case are as under:-

The SEIAA in its 108th meeting held on 03.06.2016 while doing general discussion on the subject cited matter observed that the various hospitals & universities are carrying out expansions and may be crossing the threshold limit of built up area as mentioned in the EIA notification, 2006. Thus, violating the provision of requiring prior environmental clearance for the expansion project.

After deliberations, the SEIAA decided that a show cause notice be issued to such hospitals & universities asking them to clarify the position for carrying out expansions beyond threshold limit of built up area without obtaining environmental clearance for the expansion project under the provision of EIA Notification, 2006. Accordingly, show cause notices were issued to the various hospital & universities. The status with regard to submission of reply by the various hospital & universities is as under:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Institute/ Hospital	Show cause notice No.	Reply from the project proponent
1.	Rajindra Hospital, Patiala	2855 dated 08/07/2016	<p>No reply was received from the hospital till 08.08.2016. The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3207 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA has been conveyed vide letter no. 3372 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute. No reply has been submitted by the hospital so far.</p> <p>The hospital has been informed</p>

			telephonically on 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.
2.	Government Medical College, Patiala	2856 dated 08/07/2016	<p>No reply was received from the institute till 08.08.2016. The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3211 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital. The Hospital did not submitted any reply.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity requesting them to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA has been conveyed vide letter no. 3375 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute. No reply has been submitted by the hospital so far.</p> <p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
3.	Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot	2857 dated 08/07/2016	<p>The Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot vide letter no. 22614 dated 21.07.2016 in reply to the show cause notice issued vide SEIAA letter no. 2857 dated 08.07.2016 had informed that earlier, the medical college & hospital was under the control of Govt. of Punjab, Deptt. of medical Education & Research, Chandigarh and it came into existence in the year 1978. The medical college & hospital building is very old and its all building including its hospital building constructed by the Govt. of Punjab prior to the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986. The administrative control of</p>

		<p>the medical college & hospital handed over to Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot vide Punjab Govt. Notification No. 8/02/2005-1HB3/6308 dated 31.10.2006. Thereafter, no new building has been constructed. However, only minor alterations have been carried out in the already existing building prior to the year 2013 & 2014. One MCH (Mother & Child Health Block) building having built up area 8098 sqm, is under construction after receiving the grant from the State Govt. However, no building having built up area more than 20,000 sqm, is under construction.</p> <p>It was further stated that the hospital is running for the betterment & treatment of the poor patients to give relief to the suffering patient with no idea of minting money. The medical college has requested for withdrawal of show cause notice as no violation has been made under the provision of the EIA notification, 2006.</p> <p>The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 decided that the Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot be asked to be present in the next meeting of SEIAA as and when called. Accordingly, Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot was asked vide letter no. 3210 dated 22.08.2016 to appear before the SEIAA in its next meeting to be held on 26.08.2016.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 which was attended by Dr. J.P Singh Medical Supdt., Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot. He submitted that earlier, the medical college & hospital was under the control of Govt. of Punjab, Deptt. of medical Education & Research,</p>
--	--	---

			<p>Chandigarh and it came into existence in the year 1978. The medical college & hospital building is very old and its all building including its hospital building constructed by the Govt. of Punjab prior to the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986. Thus, the environmental clearance for the expansion project under the provision of EIA notification, 2006 is not required to be obtained by the hospital. The SEIAA observed that the institute might also be covered under the provisions of EIA Notification, 1994 as amended in July, 2004 as well as EIA Notification 14.09.2006. As such, the institute is required to submit documentary evidence regarding the detail of land area, built up area status prior to July, 2004 and subsequent expansion made thereafter so as to decide applicability of EIA Notifications.</p> <p>After detailed deliberations, the SEIAA decided that the Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot be asked to submit the documents regarding the detail of land area, built up area status prior to July, 2004 and subsequent expansion made thereafter, within 15 days so as to decide the matter regarding applicability of EIA Notifications. Accordingly, the Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot was requested vide letter no 3368 dated 20.09.2016 to submit the documents regarding the detail of land area, built up area status prior to July, 2004 and subsequent expansion made thereafter, within 15 days so as to decide the matter regarding applicability of EIA Notifications.</p> <p>Now, the Principal of Guru Gobind Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot vide letter no. GA/2016/30981 dated 09.09.2016 in reply to the</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>show cause notice no. SEIAA/2016/3210 dated 22.08.2016 has submitted that Medical Superintendent of Hospital, Faridkot has attended the SIEAA meeting on 26.08.2016. During meeting, Medical College was directed to submit land details and the covered area of hospital as on 31-10-2006. The details submitted are as under:-</p> <p>(A) Land Guru Gobind Singh Medical College & Hospital, Faridkot=75 acres</p> <p>(B) Covered Area</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Guru Gobind Singh Medical College Faridkot = 8424 Sqm 2) Guru Gobind Singh Hospital, Faridkot = 39546 Sqm <p>The college has also submitted that all above said buildings were funded by Punjab Govt. and were constructed by PWD, B & R Deptt. of Punjab Govt. following all laid down norms. The College has requested to withdraw the show cause notice issued vide letter no. SEIAA/2016/2857 dated 08.07.2016 and reminder sent vide letter no. SEIAA/2016/3210 Date 22-08-2016.</p> <p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
4.	Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Bathinda	2858 dated 08/07/2016	<p>Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Barnala Road, Bathinda vide letter no. 2870 dated 21.07.2016 in reply to the show cause notice issued vide SEIAA letter no. 2858 dated 08.07.2016 had submitted that notice has been received by the institute on 19.07.2016 and therefore, it is difficult to reply, within 03 days. Further, the institute has requested to give time upto 04.08.2016 i.e. 15 days from the receipt of the notice to submit the reply.</p>

			<p>The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3209 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity requesting them to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3374 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute.</p> <p>Now, Adarsh Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Barnala Road, Bathinda vide letter no. 4363 dated 01.10.2016 in reply to letter no. SEIAA/2016/3374 dated 20.09.2016 has submitted as under: -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The institute has already informed vide its letter no 3020 dated 07/09/2016 in reference to show cause notice issued vide its Letter no. SEIAA /2016/3209 dated 22.08.2016 that environmental clearance is under preparation and will be submitted as soon as possible. 2. The institute has also appointed an Environmental Consultant for processing of filing an application for the Environmental Clearance and same will be submitted to SEIAA within a month time. 3. The institute has requested not to take any harsh action against it as Environment Consultant is working on the application of Environment Clearance, which will be submitted within the period of one month.
--	--	--	--

			<p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
5.	Government Medical College, Amritsar	2859 dated 08/07/2016	<p>No reply was received from the college till 08.08.2016. The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3208 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity requesting them to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA has been conveyed vide letter no. 3373 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute.</p> <p>Now, the Principal of Govt. Medical College, Amritsar vide endorsement letter no. 21092 dated 07.09.2016 in reply to letter no. SEIAA/2016/3208 dated 08.07.2016 has informed that show cause notice issued vide letter no. SIEAA/2016/2859 has been forwarded to the Sub Divisional Officer, Public works Departments, Construction Division-2, Amritsar & Sub Divisional Officer, Punjab Health System Corporation, Amritsar with request to send the requisite information within one week to the college.</p> <p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
6.	Punjabi	2860 dated	The Registrar, Punjabi University Patiala vide letter

	University, Patiala	08/07/2016	<p>no. 2237 dated 22.07.2016 had submitted that University has already applied online to the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, New Delhi to obtain Environmental Clearance through its appointed consultant. The online application has been submitted on 02.06.2016 on the official website of the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, New Delhi for which acknowledgement slip for TORs has also been received by the University. It is pertinent to mention here that the said proposal submitted to the SEIAA by the Punjabi University, has already been returned on 10.06.2016 on the web portal due to the certain shortcomings.</p> <p>The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3206 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity requesting them to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was been conveyed vide letter no. 3371 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute.</p> <p>Now, the Registrar, Punjabi University Patiala, vide letter no. 3649/Xen dated 15.09.2016 in reply to the SEIAA letter no. 3206 dated 22.08.2016 and 2860 dated 08.07.2016 has submitted that University had already applied online to the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India to obtain Environment</p>
--	------------------------	------------	---

			<p>clearance through its appointed Consultant. However, the application/ Proposal of the University has been returned due to certain observations. In reference to observation No.1, it is stated that an official letter no. 3334 /XEN dated 31.08.2016 has been sent to DFO (Wildlife) Patiala and the reply for the same is awaited. The reply of DFO (Wildlife) will be intimated to the SEIAA as and when reply is received from concerned authorities. In reference to the observation no. 2, 3 & 4, it is stated that the required information has been uploaded on the official website of the Ministry on 12.09.2016 and send the acknowledgement slip of the same.</p> <p>It is pertinent to mention here that the University has replied on 12.09.2016 for the earlier queries dated 10.06.2016 raised on the application. The application of the university has been again scrutinized and fresh shortcomings has been conveyed online on 20.09.2016, for which university has not yet replied.</p> <p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
7.	Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar	2861 dated 08/07/2016	<p>No reply was received from the university till 08.08.2016. The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3205 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity</p>

			<p>to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA has been conveyed vide letter no. 3370 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute.</p> <p>Now, the Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar vide letter no. 3720/EE dated 03/10/2016 has submitted that University had already submitted its reply vide letter no. No. 3250/EE dated 07/09/2016 in reply to the show cause notice issued vide letter no. SEIAA/2016/2861 dated 08/07/2016. The university vide letter no. 3250/EE dated 07/09/2016 had submitted that university has appointed Environmental Consultant i.e. Eco Laboratories & Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and send the copy of the work order. Thus, the university is in process of filing an application for the Environmental Clearance and same will be submitted to SEIAA within a month's time.</p> <p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 by SEIAA to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
8.	The Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana	2862 dated 08/07/2016	<p>No reply was received from the project proponent till 08.08.2016. The SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given another 15 days time to file written reply. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3204 dated 22.08.2016 to hospital.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and decided that the institute be given last opportunity to submit written reply within 15 days. Accordingly, the decision of the SEIAA has been conveyed vide letter no. 3369 dated 20.09.2016 to the institute.</p>

			<p>Now, the Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana vide letter no. 2016/19491 dated 03/10/2016 has submitted in reply to reminder issued vide letter no. SEIAA/2016/3369 dated 20.09.2016 that the reply from this University had already been sent to SEIAA vide its D.O. No. 17124 dated 26.08.2016. The university vide its D.O. No. 17124 dated 26.08.2016 had submitted in reply to the show cause notice issued vide letter No. SEIAA/2016/2862 dated 08.07.2016 that no building having threshold limit of built up area more than 20,000 sqm but less than 1, 50,000 sqm has been constructed in PAU. Therefore it is intimated that there is no violation of the EIA notification, 2006.</p> <p>The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
9.	Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar	2863 dated 08/07/2016	<p>The Registrar, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar vide its letter dated 19.07.2016 had submitted in reply to the show cause notice issued vide SEIAA letter no. 2863 dated 08.07.2016 that 15 days time from the date of issuance of the letter is too short and requested more time to submit the proper clarification in the matter.</p> <p>The matter was considered by the SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016 and it was apprised that Registrar, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar has submitted reply vide letter no. LPU/REG/EC/160809/001/315/14 dated 09.08.2016 in reference to the show cause notice issued vide SEIAA letter no. 2863 dated 08.07.2016. The university submitted that no new construction or expansion of any building was started at the</p>

			<p>campus after the enforcement of the above said EIA notification, 2006. Even the Environmental Clearance is not required to be obtained by the university due to MoEF, vide its office memorandum F.No. 19-2/2013-IA-III dated 09.06.2015 provides exemption to the buildings of education institutes including universities from obtaining prior Environmental Clearance under the provisions of EIA notification, 2006 subject to the sustainable environmental Management. After detailed deliberations, the SEIAA decided that Lovely Professional University be asked to submit the documentary evidence to prove his contention made in the written reply. Accordingly, the decision of SEIAA was conveyed vide letter no. 3203 dated 22.08.2016 to Lovely Professional University.</p> <p>The matter was again considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 which was attended by Sh Sujan Chhabra, Associate Registrar, Lovely Professional University (LPU). He submitted that construction of building has been carried out prior to enactment of EIA Notification, 2006. Moreover, Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, New Delhi vide its office memorandum F.No. 19-2/2013-IA-III dated 09.06.2015 clarified that Environmental Clearance is not required to be obtained by the buildings of education institutes including universities subject to the sustainable environmental Management. The SEIAA observed that the university might also be covered under the provisions of EIA Notification, 1994 as amended in July, 2004 as well as EIA Notification 14.09.2006. As such, the university is required to submit documentary evidence regarding</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>the detail of land area, built up area status prior to July, 2004 and subsequent expansion made thereafter so as to decide applicability of EIA Notifications.</p> <p>After detailed deliberations, the SEIAA decided that Lovely Professional University be asked to submit the documentary evidence regarding the detail of land area, built up area status prior to July, 2004 and subsequent expansion made thereafter, within one month so as to decide the matter regarding applicability of EIA Notifications. Accordingly, University has been requested vide letter no 3367 dated 20.09.2016 to submit the documentary evidence regarding the detail of land area, built up area status prior to July, 2004 and subsequent expansion made thereafter, within one month so as to decide the matter regarding applicability of EIA Notifications. No reply has been submitted by the hospital so far. The institute has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.</p>
--	--	--	---

The matter is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item No. 116.09: Violations of the provisions of the Environment Impact Assessment Notification dated 14/09/2006 by M/s DAV Institute of Engineering & Technology, Kabir Nagar, Jalandhar.

The facts of the matter are as under:-

The Punjab Pollution Control Board vide dairy no 1030 dated 29.09.2016 has sent a letter no. 8746 dated 26.09.2016 received from the Senior Environmental Engineer, Punjab Pollution Control Board, Jalandhar along with the copy of the proceedings of the personal hearing conveyed vide letter no. 7978 dated 07.09.2016 to M/s DAV Institute of Engineering & Technology, Kabir Nagar, Jalandhar. The detail of the said letter & proceedings are as under:

1. M/s DAV Institute of Engineering & Technology, Kabir Nagar, Jalandhar was given personal hearing on 26.08.2016 before the Senior Environmental Engineer, Jalandhar for the violation under the provisions of Water Act, 1974 as amended in 1988 and Air Act, 1981 as amended in 1987.
2. The Institute was visited by the officers of the Board on 14.07.2016 and it was observed that the Institute is perusing the Engineering & Management courses having strength of 2700 personal and established in Aug-2001. As per the representative, the total built up area is approx. 4,40,000 sq.ft (40,877.34 sqm) but no written proof of built up area was submitted. The Institute has not installed any STP for the treatment of its domestic effluent and the same is being directly discharged into MC Sewer. The institute has 02 DG set of 250 KVA and 150 KVA with canopy. The institute is in operation without consent to operate of the Board order Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act 1974 and Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 as amended in 1987.
3. During hearing, the representative of the institute submitted in writing that institute has total strength of 2400 persons out of which only 600 are resident scholars in the campus. The institute has total built up area of 39,199.81 sqft. The domestic effluent of the institute is directly discharged into the MC sewer for which institution is paying requisite fee. The institute was established in 2001 and maintenance started in 2011 and still the same is going on. He admitted that effluent treatment plant has not installed by the institute yet. He further told that he is not aware about the consent of the Board but obtained corporation approved plans. He further requested for some time to apply for consent to operate of the Board under Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974

as amended in 1988 and Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 as amended in 1987.

4. After hearing, the Senior Environmental Engineer, Punjab Pollution Control Board, Jalandhar among other decision decided that the case be referred to SCA-cum-SAC, Head office, Patiala as the Institute has not obtained the environment clearance as per the Institute letter dated 26.08.2016 regarding the details of built up area year wise and the addition has been made yearly.

The matter is placed before SEIAA for consideration.

Item No.116.10 Complaint against M/s Janta Land Promoters Pvt. Ltd., SAS Nagar, Mohali in the projects namely "Super Mega Mixed Use Integrated Industrial Park" and "Galaxy Heights" located at Sector-66A, 82 & 83, SAS Nagar, Mohali by the Director, Environment, Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration, 3rd floor Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh.

The facts of the case are as under:-

As per record available with SEIAA,

1. Environmental clearance has been granted vide No. SEIAA/2015/8257 dated 16.12.2015 for developing a project namely "Super Mega Mixed Use Integrated Industrial Park" at Sector 82, 83 & 66A, SAS Nagar, Mohali by M/s Janta Land Promoters Ltd by the SEIAA in its 98th meeting held on 28.11.2015.
2. Environmental clearance has been granted vide No. SEIAA/2015/8247 dated 16.12.2015 for construction of a group housing project namely "Galaxy Heights" at JLPL Super Mega Mixed Use Integrated Industrial Park, Sector-66A, 82 & 83, SAS Nagar, Mohali developed by M/s Janta Land Promoters Pvt. Ltd by the SEIAA in its 98th meeting held on 28.11.2015.

The Punjab Pollution Control Board, Zonal Office-I, Patiala vide letter no. 3140 dated 03.06.2016 informed that a complaint from the Director, Environment, Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration, 3rd floor Paryavaran Bhawan, Sector 19-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh vide memo no. 891 dated 11.05.2016 has been received against M/s Janta Land Promoters Pvt. Ltd. , SAS Nagar, Mohali.

The Director Environment vide memo no. 891 dated 11.05.2016 informed that Letter no FOR/16/208 dated 29.04.2016 has been received from the Deputy Conservator of Forests (WL), Chandigarh wherein he informed that M/s Janta Land Promoters Pvt. Ltd., SAS Nagar, Mohali (Punjab) had applied for Wildlife Clearance for the project of Super Mega Mixed use Integrated Industrial Park Project and Galaxy Heights located at Sector 82, 83 & 66A, Mohali, to the Department of Forest & Wildlife, UT, Chandigarh on 20.07.2015, as the projects falls within 10 KM boundary of Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary and City Bird Sanctuary.

After conducting field visit by the Deputy Conservator of Forest (WL), UT, Chandigarh, it was found that the construction of "Super Mega Mixed use Integrated Industrial Park Project had already been started without taking prior Wildlife Clearance, which is mandatory as per EIA notification 2006 and Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 too. Resultantly, the project proponent violated the provisions of Environment Protection Act, 1986 and suitable action as per Act is required to be initiated against the project proponent by the Competent Authority. Further, the Director Environment has requested to initiate

suitable action as per Environment Protection Act, 1986 against the above said project proponent.

The matter was placed before the SEIAA in its 109th meeting held on 15.06.2016 and the SEIAA observed that construction of "Super Mega Mixed use Integrated Industrial Park Project is violation of Wildlife Protection Act, 1972. However, the Director Environment, Department of Environment, Chandigarh Administration, has requested in the matter to initiate suitable action against the project proponents as per Environment Protection Act, 1986.

After detailed deliberations, the SEIAA decided that legal opinion may be obtained from the Law Officer, Punjab Pollution Control Board in the said matter as to whether action is required to be taken by the Department of Forest & Wildlife/ Forest Division of MoEF for carrying out the construction activity without NBL permission or SEIAA/ Northern Regional office of MoEF for violation of following conditions of Environmental Clearance:

- (i) The project proponent shall obtain permission from the National Board of Wild Life and the promoter company shall not carry out any construction activity at site till the said permission is obtained and the copy of the same be submitted to the SEIAA, Punjab. The grant of environmental clearance does not necessarily imply that wildlife clearance shall be granted to the project and the proposal for grant of wildlife clearance will be considered by the respective authorities on merits.
- (ii) All other statutory clearances such as the approvals for storage of diesel from Chief Controller of Explosives, Fire Department, Civil Aviation Department, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 etc. shall be obtained, by project proponents from the competent authorities including Punjab Pollution Control Board and from other statutory bodies as applicable.

Accordingly, the Law Officer, Punjab Pollution Control Board was requested vide letter No. 2845 dated 28.06.2016 to give legal opinion in the matter. But, no reply has been received from the Board.

The matter is placed before the SEIAA for consideration please.

Item No.116.11: Complaint against M/s Shivalik Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd., Sector-127, Kharar, District Mohali by Residents of Shivalik City, Kharar Landran Road, Sector-127, Mohali.

The facts of the case are as under:-

The Residents of Shivalik City, Kharar Landran Road, Sector-127, Mohali have sent a complaint against M/s Shivalik Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd., Sector-127, Kharar, District Mohali, wherein it has been mentioned that the STP of the project is near their residence due to which many problems are being faced as there is lot of foul smell and noise due to the STP.

The complainants have mentioned that the project has not obtained environmental clearance as well as NOC/consents from PPCB and is not maintaining proper healthy environment as per law.

As per record available with SEIAA, environmental clearance has been granted to M/s Shivalik Properties & Developers for their Group Housing Project namely "Shivalik Height", Sector-127, Landran-Kharar Road, District Mohali vide No. 6105 dated 24.01.2014.

As per approval given by Chairman (SEAC) on the note file, the project site was visited by Secretary (SEAC) and Environmental Engineer (SEIAA/SEAC) on 17.08.2015 for verification of contents of complaint. Sh. Manjit Singh General Manager and Sh. Narinder Chauhan Site Engineer of the company were present during the visit. They were asked to produce the relevant record such as approved plan etc. of the project. The G.M., however, told that he has recently joined the company and is not aware about the records. He, however, stated that he will attend the office of the SEAC at Patiala on 18.08.2015 with all the relevant record of the project. But, no one from the company attended the office till date.

Accordingly, vide SEAC No. 4510 dated 27.08.2015 and subsequent reminder No. 36323 dated 04.09.2015, Director, M/s Shivalik Infrastructures & Developers (P) Ltd, Sector 127, Kharar, Mohali was requested to attend the office of Secretary (SEAC) on 02.09.2015 and 09.09.2015, respectively. A copy of the letter dated 04.09.2015 was got delivered through Regional Office, Punjab Pollution Control Board, Mohali and copy of acknowledgement was duly sent by Regional Office to SEAC. Sh. Amarjit Singh Hira, Managing Director, M/s Shivalik Site Planners Pvt. Ltd., SCO 510, Sector-70, Mohali attended the office of Secretary (SEAC), however he did not submit any documents as asked for and assured that all

the documents will be submitted in a day or so. But, the project proponent has not submitted any document with SEAC, so far.

The matter was considered by the SEAC in detail. After deliberation, it was decided as under:-

- i. The case be recommended to SEIAA for issuing show cause notice for violation of the provisions of EIA notification, 2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change under Environment Protection Act, 1986.
- ii. Data (photocopy of NOC, consents issued and complete application submitted by the applicant) be collected from the Punjab Pollution Control Board.
- iii. Any available document related to the violation (data) be collected from the complainant.

The matter was considered by the SEIAA in its 104th meeting held on 12.03.2016 and decided to accept the recommendations of SEAC and to issue show cause notice to the project proponent for violation of the provisions of EIA notification, 2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change under Environment Protection Act, 1986.

As decided, the show cause notice was issued to the project proponent vide letter No. 2137 dated 21.03.2016, but no reply was received from the project proponent, so far.

The case was considered by the SEIAA in its 109th meeting held on 15.06.2016 and Sh. Manjit Singh, General Manager was attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent.

The SEIAA queried that why the project proponent has not submitted the reply to show cause notice. To this query of SEIAA, he informed that Mr. Amandeep Singh Hira, Chairman of the Group is in abroad due to some urgent family compulsion and shall be back by the middle of July. He submitted a written request letter and asked to give time of one month for submission all the relevant documents, which was taken on record by the SEIAA.

After deliberations, the SEIAA decided to defer the matter and allowed one month time to the project proponent for submitting the reply to the show cause notice.

Accordingly, project proponent vide letter No. 2846 dated 28.06.2016 was requested to reply to show cause notice within one month time. But no reply was received from the project proponent, so far.

The case was considered by the SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016, but no one has attended the meeting. However, it was apprised that a telephonic message has been received from the representative of the project proponent and he requested to consider the matter in the next meeting as he was unable to attend the meeting due to short period of notice received.

As such, the SEIAA decided to accept the request of project proponent and deferred case to be placed in the next meeting with reasonable sufficient and advance written intimation to project proponent.

Accordingly, the project proponent vide letter No. 3139 dated 22.08.2016 and through email dated 22/08/2016 was requested to reply to show cause notice immediately and attend the SEIAA next meeting to be held on 26.08.2016.

The case was considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and Sh. Daljit Singh, CEO attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent. During meeting, he sought time for submission of complete documents.

After deliberations, the SEIAA decided to defer the matter and allowed 15 days' time to the project proponent for submitting the reply to the show cause notice and it was made clear that in case of non-submission of reply within stipulated time, action for violation will be initiated without any further notice/opportunity.

Accordingly, project proponent was requested vide letter No. 3362 dated 20.09.2016 to submit the reply to show cause notice, within 15 days and attend the meeting as and when scheduled. But no reply has been received from the project proponent, so far. Further, the project proponent has been informed vide email dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration please.

Item No.116.12: Establishment of area development project namely Shivalik Avenue and various group housing schemes in the said project at Shivalik Avenue, Sector-125, Kharar, District SAS Nagar in violation of the provisions of EIA notification dated 14.09.2006.

The facts of the case are as under:

An application for obtaining information under RTI Act, 2005 has been received in this office wherein it is stated that M/s Shivalik Site Planners Pvt Ltd is developing Shivalik Avenue in Sector-125, Kharar, District SAS Nagar, wherein various group housing and other building construction projects are coming up including one Mount View Hi-Tech Township Pvt. Ltd which is being raised illegally.

To verify the facts, as approved by the Chairman (SEAC) on note file, the site was visited by the Secretary (SEAC) alongwith Environmental Engineer (SEIAA/SEAC) on 05.02.2016. During visit Sh. Anil Verma Site Supervisor of the Company was present. He could not produce any details regarding the project such as site plan & building plans and approvals from Competent Authorities obtained, if any regarding the various projects coming up in the Shivalik Avenue Sector-125, Kharar. He informed that Sh. Manjit Singh, General Manager of M/s Shivalik Site Planners is the authorized person who can provide all these details. Sh. Manjit Singh, General Manager was tried to be contacted by the visiting team telephonically by calling his mobile No. 8427988077 but he did not respond.

Enquiries by the visiting team from the persons present at site revealed that M/s Shivalik Avenue, Sector 125 Kharar is being developed by M/s Shivalik Site Planners Pvt. Ltd in an area approximately 38 acres. Various group housing schemes and villas coming up in the said project are as under:

1. Millennium Tower
2. Eco Tower
3. Amari Heights
4. Mountview Hi-Tech Township
5. Earth Son
6. 50-60 Villas
7. Spanish Home

The said list may not be complete as details of few additional projects coming up in the scheme could not be obtained by the visiting team.

As per the record of this office, none of above projects has obtained nor submitted any application to obtain the environmental clearance as required under the EIA notification, 2006. Also, as per the information gathered at site, it was noticed that the project proponent has not installed the sewage treatment plant (STP) for the entire project and the untreated sewage is being discharged into nearby drain through mobile tankers which is an unauthorized mode of disposal.

Accordingly, vide SEAC letter no. 2014 dated 10.02.2016, Sh. Amarjit Singh Hira, Managing Director, M/s Shivalik Site Planners Pvt. Ltd., SCO 510, Sector-70, Mohali was directed to visit the office of Secretary (SEAC) on 16.02.2016 at 11.00 AM alongwith complete records including layout plan, site plan, building plans etc. and approvals obtained from the Competent Authority, if any. However, no one from the project proponent attended the office of SEAC on said date.

The matter was considered by the SEAC in detail. After deliberation, it was decided as under: -

- i. The case be recommended to SEIAA for issuing show cause notice for violation of the provisions of EIA notification, 2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change under Environment Protection Act, 1986.
- ii. Data (photocopy of NOC, consents issued and complete application submitted by the applicant) be collected from the Punjab Pollution Control Board.
- iii. Any available document related to the violation (data) be collected from the complainant

The matter was considered by the SEIAA in its 104th meeting held on 12.03.2016 and decided to accept the recommendations of SEAC and to issue show cause notice to the project proponent for violation of the provisions of EIA notification, 2006 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change under Environment Protection Act, 1986.

As decided, the show cause notice was issued to the project proponent vide letter No. 2121 dated 21.03.2016, but no reply was received from the project proponent, so far.

The case was considered by the SEIAA in its 109th meeting held on 15.06.2016 and Sh. Manjit Singh, General Manager was attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent.

The SEIAA queried that why the project proponent has not submitted the reply to show cause notice. To this query of SEIAA, he informed that Mr.

Amandeep Singh Hira, Chairman of the Group is in abroad due to some urgent family compulsion and shall be back by the middle of July. He submitted a written request letter and asked to give time of one month for submission all the relevant documents, which was taken on record by the SEIAA.

After deliberations, the SEIAA decided to defer the matter and allowed one month time to the project proponent for submitting the reply to the show cause notice.

Accordingly, project proponent vide letter No. 2794 dated 28.06.2016 was requested to reply to show cause notice within one month time. But no reply was received from the project proponent, so far.

The case was considered by the SEIAA in its 113th meeting held on 10.08.2016, but no one has attended the meeting. However, it was apprised that a telephonic message has been received from the representative of the project proponent and he requested to consider the matter in the next meeting as he was unable to attend the meeting due to short period of notice received.

As such, the SEIAA decided to accept the request of project proponent and deferred case to be placed in the next meeting with reasonable sufficient and advance written intimation to project proponent.

Accordingly, the project proponent vide letter No. 3186 dated 22.08.2016 and through email dated 22/08/2016 was requested to reply to show cause notice immediately and attend the SEIAA next meeting to be held on 26.08.2016.

The case was considered by the SEIAA in its 114th meeting held on 26.08.2016 and Sh. Daljit Singh, CEO attended the meeting on behalf of the project proponent. During meeting, he sought time for submission of complete documents.

After deliberations, the SEIAA decided to defer the matter and allowed 15 days time to the project proponent for submitting the reply to the show cause notice and it was made clear that in case of non-submission of reply with in stipulated time, action for violation will be initiated without any further notice/opportunity.

Accordingly, project proponent was requested vide letter No. 3363 dated 20.09.2016 to submit the reply to show cause notice, within 15 days and attend the meeting as and when scheduled. But no reply has been received from the project proponent, so far. Further, the project proponent has been informed vide email

dated 14.10.2016 to attend meeting to be held on 20.10.2016 along with reply to the show cause notice.

The case is placed before SEIAA for consideration please.

* * * *

Any other item with the approval of Chair.

* * * *